UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN ELECTRONIC DEVICES HAVING A RETRACTABLE USB CONNECTOR

Investigation No. 337-TA-843

NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW EIGHT INITIAL DETERMINATIONS TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION AS TO TEN RESPONDENTS ON THE BASIS OF WITHDRAWAL OF THE COMPLAINT, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, OR CONSENT ORDER; ISSUANCE OF CONSENT ORDERS AGAINST FIVE RESPONDENTS

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determinations ("IDs") (Order Nos. 12-16 and 18-20) terminating the investigation as to ten respondents on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint, settlement agreement, or consent order. The Commission has issued the subject consent orders as to the five respondents terminated by consent order.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 24, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Anu IP LLC of Longview, Texas ("Anu"), alleging a violation of section 337 by reason of the infringement of claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,979,210 and 7,090,515. 77 Fed. Reg. 31039-40 (Aug. 12, 2011). The notice of investigation named more than forty respondents.

On July 30, 2012, the ALJ issued an ID granting Anu's unopposed motion to withdraw the

complaint as to Corsair Memory, Inc., of Freemont, California ("Corsair") and thereby to terminate Corsair from the investigation. Order No. 15; see 19 C.F.R. § 210.21(a)(1). That same day, the ALJ issued an ID granting the joint unopposed motion of Anu and respondent Huawei Technology Company, Ltd., of Shenzhen, China ("Huawei") to withdraw the complaint as to Huawei, and thereby to terminate Huawei from the investigation. Order No. 16.

On July 31, 2012, the ALJ issued an ID granting Anu's unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to respondent Latte Communications Inc., of San Jose, California, on the basis of a settlement agreement. Order No. 18; see 19 C.F.R. § 210.21(b). That same day, the ALJ issued an ID granting the joint unopposed motion of Anu and respondents Silicon Power Computer and Communications, Inc., of Taipei, Taiwan, and Silicon Power Computer and Communications USA, Inc., of Cupertino, California (collectively, "Silicon Power"), to terminate the investigation as to Silicon Power on the basis of a settlement agreement. Order No. 20.

Between July 26 and July 31, 2012, the ALJ issued four IDs terminating the investigation as to five respondents on the basis of consent orders: Order No. 12 (July 26, 2012) (respondents Toshiba Corporation, of Tokyo, Japan, and Toshiba America, Inc., of New York, New York (collectively, "Toshiba")); Order No. 13 (July 30, 2012) (respondent Patriot Memory, LLC, of Freemont, California ("Patriot")); Order No. 14 (July 30, 2012) (respondent AIPTEK International, Inc., of Hsinchu, Taiwan ("AIPTEK")); and Order No. 19 (July 31, 2012) (respondent ViewSonic Corporation, of Walnut, California ("ViewSonic")). For each such ID, it was the subject respondent(s) who moved for termination, with no opposition from Anu and with the support of the Commission investigative attorney.

No petitions for review were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the IDs and to issue the consent orders as to Toshiba, Patriot, AIPTEK, and ViewSonic.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in sections 210.21 and 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.21 and 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton

Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: August 28, 2012