NTIA Privacy Multistakeholder Process
August 22, 2012 Meeting Stakeholder Poll Results

Below is a grouped list of all procedural working methods raised by stakeholders and listed on the flip charts during the facilitated discussion at the August 22, 2012 privacy multistakeholder meeting. Images of the flipcharts are available here. The list includes minor NTIA edits for clarity; edits appear within brackets.

Explanation of Poll Results

If an element was polled during the meeting, the polling results are listed beside the element using the following format: (1/2/3/4). 1 indicates "absolute/critical/must," 2 indicates "significant/should," 3 indicates "somewhat/might," and 4 indicates "not at this time." In-room poll results are listed first, followed by poll results from the conference call.

For example, the entry "Working group for agenda setting (5/7/5/45   0/6/0/3)" means that:

- a stakeholder suggested that procedural working methods for the process include the establishment of a working group to set meeting agendas.

- Approximately 5 in-room stakeholders viewed the element as critically important.

- Approximately 7 in-room stakeholders viewed the element as significantly important.

- Approximately 5 in-room stakeholders viewed the element as somewhat important.

- Approximately 45 in-room stakeholders viewed the element as not a good candidate at this time.

- 0 stakeholders who remotely participated *via* conference call viewed the element as critically important.

- 6 remote stakeholders viewed the element as significantly important.

- 0 remote stakeholders viewed the element as somewhat important.

- 3 remote stakeholders viewed the element as not a good candidate at this time.

Polling Similar Elements

Some similar elements were polled together. For example, several stakeholders suggested that the process include some form of technical briefing. These elements were the subject of a single poll, and are noted below as "Forum to learn as part of privacy multistakeholder process / Technical briefing for stakeholders / Technical workshop / Technical discussion among stakeholders (30/20/8/1   5/1/3/0)."

Working Question 1: "[Should the August 22, 2012 meeting agenda] deal with process before substance?"

Poll: (12/10/60/0 3/5/0/2)

Working Question 2: "Based on stakeholders' discussion of the working methods identified at the July 12, 2012 meeting, which working methods might we implement first?"

General Support	
Element	*Poll*
Revisiting process needs to be limited	(45/20/2/1 4/2/1/3)
Working groups to address substance	(35/8/1/1 3/3/3/0)
Forum to learn as part of privacy multistakeholder process / Technical briefing for stakeholders / Technical workshop / Technical discussion among stakeholders	(30/20/8/1 5/1/3/0)
Agendas in advance	(30/12/0/0 8/3/0/0)
Examine existing self-regulatory frameworks re: related issues	(30/15/0/0 2/4/1/2)
Working drafts in advance	(30/10/5/0 5/6/0/0)
Working groups that set their own rules	(22/15/5/0 1/3/1/2)
Define "mobile apps"	(25/8/20/1 3/1/3/1)
Decide procedural issues soon	(20/12/2/2 4/0/1/2)
Consider elements concurrently	(10/12/12/0 2/4/2/1)
Mixed Views	
Common information accessible to all	(6/10/30/3 3/7/1/0)
Small business participation/outreach	(12/12/12/4 2/0/5/3)
Start meetings later in day	(10/15/12/9 6/1/0/3)
Location of meetings	(5/5/20/18 1/3/6/0)
Define process for determining consensus – mutually agreed	(8/4/10/30 3/5/0/0)
Documentation of working group processes	(5/5/6/large number 4/3/1/0)
General Opposition	
Working group for agenda setting	(5/7/5/45 0/6/0/3)
Schedule meeting on different day of week	(4/0/3/60 1/1/3/5)
Broadening of stakeholder group	(2/4/3/large number 0/0/4/4)
Consensus process without voting mechanism	(2/2/4/large number 0/0/1/5)

Method to circulate and review drafts online, hosted by stakeholders	(1/6/10/35 1/2/3/2)
Meetings outside DC	(1/5/10/large number 0/4/2/4)
Develop and use online wiki and message board (e.g., privacymsh.org)	(0/2/7/large number 0/2/5/3)
Consider elements sequentially	(0/1/0/large number 1/1/3/3)
Avoid voting bloc constituencies	(0/0/0/some 2/2/1/3)

Working Question 3: [Agenda for August 29, 2012 Meeting]	
Element	*Poll*
Should there be substantive matters on 8/29/12 agenda?	(35/3/3/2 1/4/1/2)
Should there be procedural matters on 8/29/12 agenda?	(20/10/12/0 2/2/1/1)
Substance first?	(15/10/5/6 3/2/2/0)
Procedure first?	(8/7/5/20 3/1/1/2)