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## FOREWORD

The National Toxicology Program (NTP) is made up of four charter agencies of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS): the National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health; the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health; the National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR), Food and Drug Administration; and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control. In July 1981, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Testing Program, NCI, was transferred to the NIEHS. The NTP coordinates the relevant programs, staff, and resources from these Public Health Service agencies relating to basic and applied research and to biological assay development and validation.

The NTP develops, evaluates, and disseminates scientific information about potentially toxic and hazardous chemicals. This knowledge is used for protecting the health of the American people and for the primary prevention of disease.

The studies described in this Technical Report were performed under the direction of the NIEHS and were conducted in compliance with NTP laboratory health and safety requirements and must meet or exceed all applicable federal, state, and local health and safety regulations. Animal care and use were in accordance with the Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Animals. The prechronic and chronic studies were conducted in compliance with Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Good Laboratory Practice Regulations, and all aspects of the chronic studies were subjected to retrospective quality assurance audits before being presented for public review.

These studies are designed and conducted to characterize and evaluate the toxicologic potential, including carcinogenic activity, of selected chemicals in laboratory animals (usually two species, rats and mice). Chemicals selected for NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are chosen primarily on the bases of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. The interpretive conclusions presented in this Technical Report are based only on the results of these NTP studies. Extrapolation of these results to other species and quantitative risk analyses for humans require wider analyses beyond the purview of these studies. Selection per se is not an indicator of a chemical's carcinogenic potential.

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650). Single copies of this Technical Report are available without charge while supplies last from NTP Central Data Management, NIEHS, P.O. Box 12233, MD E1-02, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (919-541-3419). Listings of all published NTP reports and ongoing studies are also available from NTP Central Data Management. The Abstracts and other study information for 2-year studies are also available on the NTP's World Wide Web site: http://ntp-server.niehs.nih.gov.
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## ABSTRACT



## 2,2-BIS(BROMOMETHYL)-1,3-PROPANEDIOL (FR-1138 ${ }^{\circledR}$ )

(Technical Grade: 78.6\% 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, 6.6\% 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane, 6.9\% 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane, $0.2 \%$ pentaerythritol, and $7.7 \%$ dimers and structural isomers)

CAS No. 3296-90-0
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \quad$ Molecular Weight: 261.94
Synonyms: 2,2-Bis(2-bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol; 1,3-dibromo-2,2-dihydroxymethylpropane; 1,3-dibromo-2,2-dimethylolpropane; 2,2-dibromomethyl-1,3-propanediol; dibromopentaerythritol; dibromoneopentyl glycol; pentaerythritol dibromide; pentaerythritol dibromohydrin

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol is used as a fire retardant in unsaturated polyester resins, in molded products, and in rigid polyurethane foam. 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was chosen for study because it is a widely used flame retardant and little toxicity and carcinogenicity data were available.

Groups of male and female F344/N rats and $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{CHF}_{1}$ mice were exposed to technical grade 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ( $78.6 \%$ pure) in feed for 13 weeks or 2 years. Genetic toxicology studies were conducted in Salmonella typhimurium, cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells, mouse bone marrow, and mouse peripheral blood.

## 13-Week Study in Rats

Groups of 10 male and 10 female rats were fed diets containing $0,1,250,2,500,5,000,10,000$, or
$20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol for 13 weeks. These levels corresponded to approximately $100,200,400,800$, or $1,700 \mathrm{mg} 2,2-$ bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol/kg body weight (males) and $100,200,400,800$, or $1,600 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (females). No rats died during the studies. The final mean body weights and weight gains of 5,000 , 10,000 , and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females were significantly lower than those of the controls. Feed consumption by exposed animals was lower than that by controls at week 1 , but was generally similar to or slightly higher than that by controls at week 13. No chemical-related clinical findings were observed. Chemical-related differences in clinical pathology parameters included increased urine volumes accompanied by decreased urine specific gravity and minimally increased protein excretion in 10,000 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males. In females, urine parameters were less affected than males. Water deprivation tests demonstrated that male and female rats were able to adequately concentrate their urine in response
to decreased water intake. Serum protein and albumin concentrations in female rats exposed to $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ and higher were slightly lower than those of the controls. Renal papillary degeneration was present in 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males, and in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females. Hyperplasia of the urinary bladder was present in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males.

## 13-Week Study in Mice

Groups of 10 male and 10 female mice were fed diets containing $0,625,1,250,2,500,5,000$, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm} \quad 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol for 13 weeks. These levels corresponded to approximately $100,200,500,1,300$, or $3,000 \mathrm{mg}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol/kg body weight (males) and $140,300,600,1,200$, or $2,900 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (females). One control female, two males and one female receiving 625 ppm , one female receiving $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$, one female receiving $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$, one female receiving $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$, and three males receiving $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ died during the study. The final mean body weights and body weight gains of males and females receiving $1,250,2,500$, 5,000 , or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ and of females receiving 625 ppm were significantly lower than those of the controls. Feed consumption by exposed mice was generally higher than that by controls throughout the study. Clinical findings included abnormal posture and hypoactivity in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male and female mice. Blood urea nitrogen concentrations of $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ females and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females were greater than those of controls. Also, urine specific gravity was lower in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ females. Differences in organ weights generally followed those in body weights. Papillary necrosis, renal tubule regeneration, and fibrosis were observed in the kidneys of 2,500 and $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females. Urinary bladder hyperplasia was observed in 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females.

## 2-Year Study in Rats

Groups of 60 male and 60 female rats received 2,500, 5,000, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 104 to 105 weeks. Groups of 70 males and 60 females received 0 ppm 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 104
to 105 weeks. A stop-exposure group of 70 male rats received $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol in feed for 3 months, after which animals received undosed feed for the remainder of the 2 -year study. Average daily doses of 2,2 -bis(bromomethyl)1,3 -propanediol were 100,200 , or $430 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight for males and 115,230 , or $460 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for females. Stop-exposure males received an average daily dose of $800 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Ten animals from the 0 ppm male group and the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group were evaluated at 3 months; nine or 10 control animals and five to nine animals from each of the continuous-exposure groups were evaluated at 15 months.

## Survival, Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Findings

Survival of 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuousexposure study males and females and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males was significantly lower than that of the controls. Mean body weights of exposed male and female rats receiving $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ and stopexposure males receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were lower than those of the controls throughout most of the study. In the continuous-exposure study, feed consumption by exposed rats was generally similar to that by controls throughout the study. In $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males, the feed consumption was lower than that by controls. Clinical findings included skin and/or subcutaneous masses on the face, tail, and the ventral and dorsal surfaces of exposed rats.

## Pathology Findings

In the 2-year continuous and stop-exposure studies in male rats, exposure to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol was associated with neoplastic effects in the skin, mammary gland, Zymbal's gland, oral cavity, esophagus, forestomach, small and large intestines, mesothelium, urinary bladder, lung, thyroid gland, hematopoietic system, and seminal vesicle. Nonneoplastic effects in the kidney, lung, thyroid gland, seminal vesicle, pancreas, urinary bladder, and forestomach were also observed. In females, 2 -year exposure to 2,2 -bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was associated with neoplastic effects in the oral cavity, esophagus, mammary gland, and thyroid gland. Nonneoplastic effects in the kidney were also observed. These findings are outlined in the two summary tables.

## 2-Year Study in Mice

Groups of 60 male and 60 female mice received 0 , 312 , 625 , or $1,250 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol in feed for 104 to 105 weeks. Average daily doses of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol were 35,70 , or $140 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (males) and 40,80 , or $170 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (females). Eight to 10 animals from each group were evaluated at 15 months.

## Survival, Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Findings

Survival of $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females was significantly lower than that of the controls. Mean body weights of exposed male and female mice were similar to controls throughout the study. Final mean body weights were also generally similar to those of controls. Feed consumption by exposed male and female mice was similar to that by controls. Clinical findings included tissue masses involving the eye in exposed mice.

## Pathology Findings

Exposure of male mice to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol for 2 years was associated with neoplastic effects in the harderian gland, lung, and kidney. Exposure of female mice to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was associated with increased incidences of neoplasms of the harderian gland, lung, and skin. Nonneoplastic effects in the lung were also observed in exposed females. These findings are outlined in the two summary tables.

## Genetic Toxicology

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was mutagenic in Salmonella typhimurium strain TA100 when tested in the presence of induced $30 \%$ hamster liver S9; all other strain/activation combinations gave negative results. In cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol induced chromosomal aberrations only in the presence of $S 9$; no induction of sister chromatid exchanges was observed in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells after treatment with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol, with or without S9. In vivo, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol induced significant increases in the frequencies of micronucleated erythrocytes in male and female mice. Significant
increases in micronuclei were observed in peripheral blood samples from male and female mice exposed to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol for 13 weeks via dosed feed. Results of a bone marrow micronucleus test in male mice, where 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was administered by gavage, were considered to be equivocal due to inconsistent results obtained in two trials. An additional bone marrow micronucleus test was performed with male and female mice and 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was administered as a single intraperitoneal injection; results of this test were positive in females and negative in males.

## Conclusions

Under the conditions of these 2 -year feed studies, there was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity* of 2,2-bis-(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (FR-1138®) in male $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the skin, subcutaneous tissue, mammary gland, Zymbal's gland, oral cavity, esophagus, forestomach, small and large intestines, mesothelium, urinary bladder, lung, thyroid gland, and seminal vesicle, and the increased incidence of mononuclear cell leukemia.

There was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in female F344/N rats based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the oral cavity, esophagus, mammary gland, and thyroid gland.

There was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in male B6C3F $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ mice based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the harderian gland, lung, and kidney.

There was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in female $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the harderian gland, lung, and subcutaneous tissue.

Slight increases in the incidences of neoplasms of the pancreas and kidney in male rats; forestomach in male mice; and forestomach, mammary gland, and circulatory system in female mice may have also been related to treatment.

Exposure of male and female rats to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was associated with alveolar/bronchiolar hyperplasia in the lung (males only); focal atrophy, papillary degeneration, transitional epithelial hyperplasia (pelvis), and papillary epithelial hyperplasia in the kidney; follicular cell hyperplasia in the thyroid gland (males
only); hyperplasia in the seminal vesicle and pancreas (males only); mucosal hyperplasia in the forestomach (males only); and urinary bladder hyperplasia (males only). Exposure of mice to 2,2 -bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was associated with hyperplasia of the alveolar epithelium in females.

[^0]Summary of Site-Specific Carcinogenic Effects in Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | Male Rats | Female Rats | Male Mice | Female Mice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | + | - | - | - |
| Subcutaneous tissue | + | - | - | $+$ |
| Mammary gland | + | $+$ | - | $\pm$ |
| Zymbal's gland | + | - | - | - |
| Oral cavity | + | $+$ | - | - |
| Esophagus | + | $+$ | - | - |
| Forestomach | + | - | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| Small intestine | + | - | - | - |
| Large intestine | + | - | - | - |
| Mesothelium | $+$ | - | - | - |
| Kidney | $\pm$ | - | $+$ | - |
| Urinary bladder | + | - | - | - |
| Lung | $+$ | - | $+$ | + |
| Thyroid gland | + | $+$ | - | - |
| Seminal vesicle | + | NA | - | NA |
| Hematopoietic system | + | - | - | - |
| Pancreas | $\pm$ | - | - | - |
| Harderian gland | - | - | $+$ | + |
| Circulatory system | - | - | - | $\pm$ |

[^1]
## Summary of the 2-Year Carcinogenesis and Genetic Toxicology Studies

 of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol|  | Male <br> F344/N Rats | Female F344/N Rats | Male <br> B6C3F ${ }_{1}$ Mice | Female B6C3F 1 Mice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doses | $0,2,500,5,000$, or <br> $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ and <br> $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stopexposure (equivalent to <br> $0,100,200$, or $430 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and $800 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) | $0,2,500,5,000$, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (equivalent to $0,115,230$, or $460 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 0,312,625 \text {, or } \\ & 1,250 \mathrm{ppm} \text { (equivalent } \\ & \text { to } 0,35,70 \text {, or } \\ & 140 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,312,625 \text {, or } \\ & 1,250 \mathrm{ppm} \text { (equivalent } \\ & \text { to } 0,40,80 \text {, or } \\ & 170 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Body weights | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ and <br> $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop- <br> exposure groups lower than controls | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group lower than controls | Exposed groups similar to controls | Exposed groups similar to controls |
| 2-Year survival rates | $\begin{aligned} & 26 / 51,20 / 53,13 / 51 \\ & 1 / 55,0 / 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 / 50,27 / 51,23 / 53 \\ & 5 / 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 / 50,36 / 51,35 / 50, \\ & 30 / 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 / 52,30 / 50,26 / 51 \text {, } \\ & 11 / 50 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nonneoplastic effects | Kidney: focal atrophy (0/51, 0/53, 0/51, 5/55, 0/59); papillary degeneration (0/51, 5/53, 30/51, 29/55, 16/59); papillary epithelial hyperplasia (10/51, 20/53, 25/51, 47/55, 21/59); pelvis, transitional epithelium, hyperplasia (0/51, 0/53, $0 / 51,4 / 55,4 / 59$ ) <br> Lung: alveolar/ bronchiolar hyperplasia (3/51, 4/53, 5/51, 7/55, 14/60) <br> Thyroid gland: follicular cell hyperplasia ( $1 / 51,0 / 53$, 2/51, 5/55, 6/59) Seminal vesicle: hyperplasia ( $1 / 51,6 / 53$, 4/51, 16/55, 33/60) <br> Pancreas: focal hyperplasia (3/51, 9/53, $12 / 51,14 / 53,27 / 59$ ) Forestomach: mucosal hyperplasia (4/51, <br> 12/53, 6/51, 6/55, 6/59) <br> Urinary bladder: hyperplasia ( $0 / 51,0,53$, $1 / 51,3 / 55,10 / 59$ ) | Kidney: focal atrophy (0/50, 2/51, 1/53, <br> 7/52); papillary degeneration ( $0 / 50$, $1 / 51,3 / 53,17 / 52$ ); papillary epithelial hyperplasia ( $0 / 50,1 / 51$, $1 / 53,7 / 52$ ) | None | Lung: alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia (1/52, 3/50, 8/51, 15/50) |

Summary of the 2-Year Carcinogenesis and Genetic Toxicology Studies
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ \text { F344/N Rats } \end{gathered}$ | Female F344/N Rats | Male <br> B6C3F1 Mice | Female B6C3F1 Mice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Neoplastic effects | Skin: squamous cell papilloma, <br> keratoacanthoma, trichoepithelioma, basal cell adenoma, basal cell carcinoma, or squamous cell carcinoma (4/51, 6/53, 14/51, 24/55, 21/60) <br> Skin, subcutaneous tissue: fibroma, fibrosarcoma, or sarcoma (2/51, 9/53, $13 / 51,16 / 55,10 / 60$ ) <br> Mammary gland: <br> fibroadenoma or adenoma ( $0 / 51,4 / 53$, 7/51, 7/55, 5/60) <br> Zymbal's gland: <br> adenoma or carcinoma <br> (2/51, 1/53, 4/51, 5/55, 15/60) <br> Oral cavity (pharynx. tongue, or gingiva): squamous cell papilloma or carcinoma ( $0 / 51$, 4/53, 9/51, 10/55, 13/60) <br> Esophagus: squamous cell papilloma ( $0 / 51$, $0 / 53,1 / 51,5 / 55,0 / 60$ ) <br> Forestomach: squamous cell papilloma ( $0 / 51$, $0 / 53,0 / 51,1 / 55,5 / 60$ ) <br> Large intestine: <br> adenoma or carcinoma (0/51, 0/53, 3/51, 4/55, 11/59) <br> Small intestine: <br> adenoma or carcinoma <br> (0/51, 0/53, 0/51, 2/53, 5/59) <br> Malignant <br> mesothelioma: ( $0 / 51$, <br> $3 / 53,8 / 51,9 / 55,26 / 60$ ) <br> Urinary bladder: <br> transitional cell <br> papilloma or carcinoma (0/51, 0/53, 1/51, 3/55, 2/59) | Oral cavity: squamous cell papilloma or carcinoma ( $2 / 50,3 / 51$, $5 / 53,6 / 52$ ) <br> Esophagus: squamous cell papilloma ( $0 / 50$, $0 / 51,1 / 53,10 / 52$ ) <br> Mammary gland: fibroadenoma (25/50, 45/51, 46/53, 45/52) Thyroid gland: follicular cell adenoma or carcinoma ( $0 / 50$. $0 / 51,2 / 53,4 / 52$ ) | Harderian gland: <br> adenoma or carcinoma <br> (4/50, 7/51, 16/50, <br> 22/49) <br> Lung: alveolar/ bronchiolar adenoma or carcinoma ( $15 / 50$, 11/51, 16/50, 25/49) Kidney (renal tubule): adenoma ( $0 / 50,0 / 51$, 3/50, 2/49) | Harderian gland: <br> adenoma or carcinoma <br> (3/52, 12/50, 13/51, <br> 19/50) <br> Lung: alveolar/ bronchiolar adenoma or carcinoma (5/52, 5/50, 15/51, 19/50) <br> Skin (subcutaneous tissue): sarcoma (0/52, $1 / 50,4 / 51,11 / 50$ ) |

Summary of the 2-Year Carcinogenesis and Genetic Toxicology Studies
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | Male F344/N Rats | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Female } \\ & \text { F344/N } \end{aligned}$ | Male B6C3F 1 Mice | Female B6C3F ${ }_{1}$ Mice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Neoplastic effects (continued) | Lung: alveolar/ bronchiolar adenoma or carcinoma (1/51, 1/53. $3 / 51,4 / 55,7 / 60$ ); squamous cell carcinoma ( $0 / 51,0 / 53$, $0 / 51,0 / 55,3 / 60$ ) <br> Thyroid gland: <br> follicular cell adenoma or carcinoma ( $0 / 51$, $2 / 53,6 / 51,3 / 55,9 / 59$ ) <br> Seminal vesicle: <br> adenoma or carcinoma (0/51, 0/53, 0/51, 0/55, 2/60) <br> Hematopoietic system: mononuclear cell leukemia (27/51, 29/53. 40/51, 34/55, 25/60) |  |  |  |
| Uncertain effects | Kidney (renal tubule): <br> adenoma ( $0 / 51,0 / 53$, $1 / 51,3 / 55,1 / 59$ ) <br> Pancreas: acinar cell adenoma (1/51, 2/53, $4 / 51,3 / 53,3 / 59$ ) | None | Forestomach: squamous cell papilloma or carcinoma ( $0 / 50,3 / 51$, $3 / 50,4 / 49$ ) | Mammary gland: <br> carcinoma ( $0 / 52,0 / 50$, <br> $1 / 51,3 / 50$ ) <br> Forestomach: squamous <br> cell papilloma ( $0 / 52$, <br> $1 / 50,5 / 51,3 / 50$ ) <br> Circulatory system: <br> hemangioma and <br> hemangiosarcoma (1/52, $2 / 50,0 / 51,5 / 50)$ |
| Level of evidence of carcinogenic activity | Clear evidence | Clear evidence | Clear evidence | Clear evidence |
| Genetic toxicology |  |  |  |  |
| Salmonella typhimuriur | $m$ gene mutations: |  | ith S9 in strain TA100; neg and TA1537 with and witho | ive in strains TA98, S9 |
| Sister chromatid exchanges |  |  |  |  |
| Chromosomal aberrations |  |  |  |  |
| Cultured Chin Micronucleated ery | hamster ovary cells in vitro ocytes |  | ith S9; negative without S9 |  |
| Mouse bone <br> Mouse bone Mouse periph | row in vivo by gavage: row in vivo by intraperitonea l blood in vivo: | injection: | in male mice in male and positive in fem male and female mice | mice |

## EXPLANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY

The National Toxicology Program describes the results of individual experiments on a chemical agent and notes the strength of the evidence for conclusions regarding each study. Negative results, in which the study animals do not have a greater incidence of neoplasia than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a chemical is carcinogenic for laboratory animals under the conditions of the study and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans. Other organizations, such as the International Agency for Research on Cancer, assign a strength of evidence for conclusions based on an examination of all available evidence, including animal studies such as those conducted by the NTP, epidemiologic studies, and estimates of exposure. Thus, the actual determination of risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in laboratory animals requires a wider analysis that extends beyond the purview of these studies.

Five categories of evidence of carcinogenic activity are used in the Technical Report series to summarize the strength of the evidence observed in each experiment: two categories for positive results (clear evidence and some evidence); one category for uncertain findings (equivocal evidence); one category for no observable effects (no evidence); and one category for experiments that cannot be evaluated because of major flaws (inadequate study). These categories of interpretative conclusions were first adopted in June 1983 and then revised in March 1986 for use in the Technical Report series to incorporate more specifically the concept of actual weight of evidence of carcinogenic activity. For each separate experiment (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following five categories is selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to potency or mechanism.

- Clear evidence of carcinogenic activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a dose-related (i) increase of malignant neoplasms, (ii) increase of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms, or (iii) marked increase of benign neoplasms if there is an indication from this or other studies of the ability of such tumors to progress to malignancy.
- Some evidence of carcinogenic activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemical-related increased incidence of neoplasms (malignant, benign, or combined) in which the strength of the response is less than that required for clear evidence.
- Equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a marginal increase of neoplasms that may be chemical related.
- No evidence of carcinogenic activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemical-related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate study of carcinogenic activity is demonstrated by studies that, because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations, cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of carcinogenic activity.

When a conclusion statement for a particular experiment is selected, consideration must be given to key factors that would extend the actual boundary of an individual category of evidence. Such consideration should allow for incorporation of scientific experience and current understanding of long-term carcinogenesis studies in laboratory animals, especially for those evaluations that may be on the borderline between two adjacent levels. These considerations should include:

- adequacy of the experimental design and conduct;
- occurrence of common versus uncommon neoplasia;
- progression (or lack thereof) from benign to malignant neoplasia as well as from preneoplastic to neoplastic lesions;
- some benign neoplasms have the capacity to regress but others (of the same morphologic type) progress. At present, it is impossible to identify the difference. Therefore, where progression is known to be a possibility, the most prudent course is to assume that benign neoplasms of those types have the potential to become malignant;
- combining benign and malignant tumor incidence known or thought to represent stages of progression in the same organ or tissue;
- latency in tumor induction;
- multiplicity in site-specific neoplasia;
- metastases;
- supporting information from proliferative lesions (hyperplasia) in the same site of neoplasia or in other experiments (same lesion in another sex or species);
- presence or absence of dose relationships
- statistical significance of the observed tumor increase;
- concurrent control tumor incidence as well as the historical control rate and variability for a specific neoplasm
- survival-adjusted analyses and false positive or false negative concerns;
- structure-activity correlations; and
- in some cases, genetic toxicology.
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The members of the Technical Reports Review Subcommittee who evaluated the draft NTP Technical Report on 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol on November 29, 1994, are listed below. Subcommittee members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, subcommittee members have five major responsibilities in reviewing NTP studies:

- to ascertain that all relevant literature data have been adequately cited and interpreted,
- to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate,
- to ensure that the Technical Report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly,
- to judge the significance of the experimental results by scientific criteria, and
- to assess the evaluation of the evidence of carcinogenic activity and other observed toxic responses.
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## SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL REPORTS REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE COMMENTS

On November 29, 1994, the draft Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol received public review by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Reports Review Subcommittee. The review meeting was held at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC.

Dr. J.K. Dunnick, NIEHS, introduced the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol by discussing the rationale for study, describing the experimental design, reporting on survival and body weight effects, and commenting on compound-related neoplasms in rats and mice and possible compound-related nonneoplastic lesions in rats and female mice. The proposed conclusions for the studies were clear evidence of carcinogenic activity of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in male and female $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats and $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice.

Dr. Russo, a principal reviewer, agreed with the proposed conclusions. She asked if there was more information on possible mutagenic or carcinogenic effects of the impurities detected in the compound or on the metabolism of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol and its contaminants. (The studies were conducted on commercially available fire retardant from the sole manufacturer, and no attempt was made to study impurities, contaminants, or metabolites.)

Dr. Ryan, the second principal reviewer, agreed with proposed conclusions. She questioned the rationale for dosed feed administration since the text suggested dermal and inhalation exposures were the most likely exposure routes for humans. Dr. Dunnick said the oral route was chosen to provide maximum exposure to the tissues. Dr. Ryan remarked on the large
differences between the overall and adjusted incidence rates for several neoplasms and asked for discussion as to why. Dr. J.K. Haseman, NIEHS, said the adjusted rate provides an estimate of overall neoplasm incidence if all animals survive to the end of the study. In many cases this adjusted rate is reasonable, but it is less meaningful when there are only a few survivors as in the high dose groups of rats in the 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol study.

Dr. Miller, the third principal reviewer, agreed with the proposed conclusions. She asked how the rodent doses would compare with likely human exposures and suggested that information be added as to the sources, routes, and degrees of human exposure. Dr. Dunnick responded that the one company that produces 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol had not published information on worker exposure but noted that the Environmental Protection Agency has requested such information. Dr. J. Haartz, NIOSH, added that no information on 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was found in the National Occupational Exposure Survey, so there was no estimate of potentially exposed workers. Dr. Miller asked whether there should be concerns with vapor or pyrolysis products in the event of a fire. Dr. Dunnick said the chemical volatilizes at temperatures greater than $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at high temperatures would form hydrogen bromide.

Dr. Miller moved that the technical report on 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol be accepted with the revisions discussed and with the conclusions as written for male and female rats and mice, clear evidence of carcinogenic activity. Dr. Ryan seconded the motion, which was accepted unanimously with seven votes.

INTRODUCTION


## 2,2-BIS(BROMOMETHYL)-1,3-PROPANEDIOL (FR-1138 ${ }^{\text {® }}$ )

(Technical Grade: 78.6\% 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, 6.6\% 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane,
6.9\% 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane, $\mathbf{0 . 2 \%}$ pentaerythritol, and $7.7 \%$ dimers and structural isomers)
CAS No. 3296-90-0
Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \quad$ Molecular Weight: 261.94
Synonyms: 2,2-Bis(2-bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol; 1,3-dibromo-2,2-dihydroxymethylpropane; 1,3-dibromo-2,2-dimethylolpropane; 2,2-dibromomethyl-1,3-propanediol; dibromopentaerythritol; dibromoneopentyl glycol; pentaerythritol dibromide; pentaerythritol dibromohydrin

## Chemical and Physical Properties

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol is a white solid material with a slight, mild, musty odor. It has a melting point of $75^{\circ}$ to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for technical grade material and $109^{\circ}$ to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for pure material. It is soluble in acetone, ethanol, and ether, and slightly soluble in water ( $2 \mathrm{~g} / 1,000 \mathrm{~g}$ water at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The material is produced by replacement of the hydroxyl groups of pentaerythritol with bromide. In the case of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol approximately one-half of the hydroxyl groups of pentaerythritol are replaced with bromine-bonded carbon atoms. The compound is unique in that the aliphatic neopentyl structure contains no hydrogen atoms on the carbon atom adjacent to the carbon bonded to the bromine. This provides a compound very resistant to dehydrobromination by elevated temperatures, by chemical reactions, or by photodegradation. The remaining hydroxyl groups provide reactive sites that
allow for polymerization. These hydroxyl groups readily react with organic acids to form esters, with isocyanates to form urethanes, or with epoxides to form ethers. In addition, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol can react with aldehydes and ketones to form cyclic acetals or ketals, or with phosphorous oxyhalides to form cyclic phosphates or phosphites (Larsen, 1969; Larsen and Weaver, 1973).

## Use and Human Exposure

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol is used as a flame retardant in unsaturated polyester resins, for molded products, and in rigid polyurethane foam. This flame retardant may enter the environment as fugitive dust and through wastewater. 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol is expected to remain for long periods of time in water (USEPA, 1983).

It is estimated that three to four million pounds of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol are produced per year (USEPA, 1983), but current production figures are not reported (USITC, 1994). The United States produces $65 \%$ of the world's bromine, and the major uses for bromine in the United States are manufacturing of lead scavengers in gasoline ( $48 \%$ ), flame retardants ( $29 \%$ ), sanitation preparations $(16 \%)$, and other uses $(6 \%)$. The demand for bromine-based flame retardant chemicals has increased (Margler, 1982).

The brominated flame retardants (including FR-1138 ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) are a use-based class of 22 chemicals recommended by the United States Environmental Protection Agency for additional study (Fed. Regist., 1989, 1990) but were withdrawn from testing in 1994 because of the availability of sufficient toxicity data or limited production or use in the United States (Fed. Regist., 1994).

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health did not survey any United States facilities for 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol exposure information (NIOSH, 1995).

## Absorption, DISTRIBUTION, Metabolism, and Excretion

Experimental Animals
The National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences has ongoing studies on the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in rodents. However, there are no published studies on the metabolism of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol.

## Humans

No information on the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in humans was found in a search of the available literature.

## Toxicity

## Experimental Animals

The oral $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol in male rats is reported to be $3,458 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (range 2,810 to $4,257 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$; Keyes
et al., 1979). A comparison of the toxicity of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in rats and mice by the dosed feed and gavage administration demonstrated similar effects by each route at comparable doses (Elwell et al., 1989). The results of the feed studies are provided in this report.

## Humans

No information on 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol toxicity in humans has been reported in the literature.

## Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity

## Experimental Animals

The effect of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol on reproduction in Swiss (CD-1®) mice was evaluated by administering 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol in feed at $1,000,2,000$, or $4,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ in a continuous breeding study in which male and female $F_{0}$ mice were exposed 7 days prior to and during a 98 -day cohabitation period (Morrissey et al., 1989; Treinen et al., 1989). Although the fertility index was unchanged, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol exposure caused significantly decreased numbers of litters per pair, pups born alive per litter, and pup weight in mice exposed to $4,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. Sperm concentration, motility, morphology, and estrual cyclicity were unaffected by treatment. Crossover mating between exposed ( $4,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and control $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ mice indicated a specific effect on the female reproductive capacity. A decrease in the number of live pups per litter and decrease in pup weight were seen when exposed females were mated to control males but not when exposed males were mated to control females. 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol at $4,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ caused generalized toxicity in males and females as evidenced by the lower body weight, and this generalized toxicity may have contributed, in part, to the reproductive impairment produced by 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol at the $4,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ concentration.

## Humans

No information on the reproductive and developmental toxicity of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol in humans has been reported in the literature.

## Carcinogenicity

## Experimental Animals

In a 2 -year toxicity/carcinogenicity study, SpragueDawley rats were administered the flame retardant 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol [FR-1138 ${ }^{\text {® }}$ : $80 \%$ dibromopentyl glycol (2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol); $8 \%$ tribromoneopentyl alcohol (bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane) and 6\% monobromoneopentyl triol (2,2-bis (hydroxymethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane)] in feed at concentrations that delivered 0,5 , or 100 mg 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol $/ \mathrm{kg}$ body weight per day (Keyes et al., 1979). No carcinogenic effect was observed. However, degenerative changes in the liver and lens of the eye were attributed to chemical exposure. The article did not provide details on the preparation or stability of the chemical in the feed. No dose-related effects on the feed consumption, weight gain, clinical signs, or mortality were observed, suggesting that the animals may have been able to tolerate higher doses.

## Humans

No information on the carcinogenic potential of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in humans has been reported in the literature.

## Genetic Toxicity

There are no mutagenicity data for 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol other than the NTP studies included in Appendix E of this report. These data indicate that 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-
propanediol is mutagenic, but that specific conditions are required to observe a positive response. 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was mutagenic in Salmonella typhimurium strain TA100 in the presence of $30 \%$ induced hamster liver S9 (Zeiger et al., 1992); in the presence of $30 \%$ rat liver S 9 , no mutagenic response was observed. An earlier Salmonella mutation study showed no mutagenicity in strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, or TA1537 with or without $10 \%$ induced hamster or rat liver S9 (Mortelmans et al., 1986). In cytogenetic tests with cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells (Galloway et al., 1987), 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol induced a dose-related increase in chromosomal aberrations in the presence of induced rat liver S9; no increase in sister chromatid exchange frequency was noted in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells treated with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, with or without S 9 .

## Study Rationale

The National Cancer Institute nominated the flame retardant 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol [FR-1138*: $80 \%$ dibromopentyl glycol (2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol); $8 \%$ tribromoneopentyl alcohol (bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3hydroxypropane) and $6 \%$ monobromoneopentyl triol (2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane)] for study because it is a widely used flame retardant, and there was little or no information on the toxicity or carcinogenicity of this flame retardant reported in the literature at the time of nomination for study.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## PRocurement and Characterization OF 2,2-BIS(BROMOMETHYL)-1,3-PROPANEDIOL

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was obtained from Dow Chemical Company (Rolling Meadows, IL) in one lot (840429-162) which was used throughout the studies. Identity, purity, and stability analyses were conducted by the analytical chemistry laboratory, Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO) (Appendix I). Reports on analyses performed in support of the 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol studies are on file at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS).

The chemical, a fine white powder, was identified as 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol by infrared, ultraviolet/visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. The purity was determined by elemental analyses, Karl Fischer water analysis, thinlayer chromatography, and gas chromatography. Elemental analyses for carbon, hydrogen, and bromine were in agreement with the theoretical values for 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol. Karl Fischer water analysis indicated $0.3 \% \pm 0.1 \%$ water. Thin-layer chromatography by two systems indicated a major spot and one impurity. Gas chromatography using one system indicated one major peak and three impurities, and a second system indicated a major peak and four impurities. In both cases, the total impurity peak area was less than $3 \%$. High-performance liquid chromatography analyses detected multiple impurities with five impurity peaks having areas of $1 \%$ or greater relative to the major peak area. The overall impurity peak area was $21.2 \%$. Four impurities were isolated for identification by mass spectrometry. Two impurities, 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane ( $6.6 \%$ ) and 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane ( $6.9 \%$ ), were identified. One impurity ( $1 \%$ ) was tentatively identified as a dimer of the parent chemical. Another impurity peak ( $2.8 \%$ ) consisted of multiple components, including a
structural isomer and a dimer of the major component. A quantitative analysis for pentaerythritol, a reactant in the synthesis of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol, was also conducted. Using a reference standard, $0.2 \%$ pentaerythritol was found. The overall purity for lot 840429-162 was determined to be approximately $79 \%$.

Stability studies, performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory using gas chromatography, found that 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was stable as a bulk chemical for 2 weeks when stored protected from light at temperatures up to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To ensure stability, the bulk chemical was stored at room temperature in sealed containers protected from light. Stability was monitored monthly during the 13 -week and 2 -year studies using gas chromatography. No degradation of bulk chemical was detected.

## Preparation and Analysis of Dose Formulations

The dose formulations were prepared weekly by mixing 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol with feed (Table I1). Homogeneity and stability studies were performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory using gas chromatography. Homogeneity was confirmed, and the stability of the dose formulations was confirmed for at least 3 weeks when stored in the dark at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During the 13 -week and 2-year studies the dose formulations were stored in the dark at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for no more than 3 weeks.

Periodic analyses of the dose formulations of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol were conducted at the study laboratory and analytical chemistry laboratory using gas chromatography. During the 13 -week studies, dose formulations were analyzed at the beginning, midpoint, and end of the studies (Table I2). During the 2 -year studies, dose formulations were analyzed at least every 10 weeks (Table I3). Of the dose formulations analyzed, $92 \%$ (119/130) were within $10 \%$ of the target concentration. Results of periodic referee analyses performed
by the analytical chemistry laboratory agreed with the results obtained by the study laboratory (Table I4).

## 13-Week Studies

The 13 -week studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of repeated exposure to 2,2 -bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol and to determine the appropriate doses to be used in the 2-year studies.

Male and female $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats and $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{CHF}_{1}$ mice were obtained from Taconic Farms (Germantown, NY), On receipt, the rats and mice were 4 weeks old. The animals were quarantined for 11 (mice) or 14 (rats) days and were 6 weeks old on the first day of the studies. Before initiation of the studies, five male and five female rats and mice were randomly selected for parasite evaluation and gross observation for evidence of disease. At the end of the studies, serologic analyses were performed on five male and five female control rats and two male and four female control mice using the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix L).

The concentrations for these 13 -week feed studies were based on previous 13 -week gavage studies where the chemical was administered to $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats at doses of $0,50,100,200,400$, or $800 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and to $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice at doses of $0,25,50,100,200$, or $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Elwell et al., 1989). Decreased body weights, urinary bladder transitional cell hyperplasia, and kidney degeneration occurred in male rats receiving $800 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, and male and female mice receiving 200 or $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Body weights of female rats receiving $800 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ were only marginally decreased. A high dose of $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ was selected for the rat feed study which was estimated to deliver approximately $1,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. The high dose selected for the mouse feed study was $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ which was estimated to deliver approximately $4,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. The doses for the 13 -week mouse feed study were also selected to allow overlapping doses with the rat study for comparison of species response to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol.

Groups of 10 male and 10 female rats received 0 , $1,250,2,500,5,000,10,000$, or $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2-$ bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 13 weeks. Groups of 10 male and 10 female mice received $0,625,1,250,2,500,5,000$, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$

2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 13 weeks. Feed and water were available ad libitum except during urine collections. Rats were housed five per cage and mice were housed individually. Clinical findings were recorded weekly for rats and mice. Feed consumption was recorded weekly by cage. The animals were weighed initially, weekly, and at the end of the studies. Details of the study design and animal maintenance are summarized in Table 1.

Clinical pathology studies were performed on all male and female rats and mice in the 13 -week studies. Selected serum chemistry parameters were measured on days $3,15,30,60$, and week 13 on rats in a special study group and at week 13 on rats and mice in the core studies. Urinalysis studies were performed on days $3,15,30,60$, and week 13 on rats in the special study group and at week 13 on rats and mice in the core studies. Urinalysis water deprivation studies were conducted on days 4,16 , 31,61 , and week 13 on rats in the special study group.

For serum chemistry studies, rats and mice were anesthetized with carbon dioxide and bled from the retroorbital sinus. Blood for serum analyses was collected in containers without anticoagulant, allowed to clot at room temperature, and centrifuged to separate the serum. For all urine studies, rats and mice were placed individually into metabolism cages for 16 -hour (rats) or 24 -hour (mice) urine collection. The urine containers were kept immersed in an ice water bath during sampling to minimize evaporation and suppress bacterial growth. During urine collection periods feed was removed and, except for during water deprivation studies, water was available ad libitum. For water deprivation studies, urine was collected from special study rats for 4 hours following a 16 -hour water deprivation period. Water deprivation began approximately 8 hours after the blood collection required for serum chemistry analyses. Serum and urine chemistry end points were determined on a Cobas Fara chemistry analyzer (Roche Diagnostics Systems, Inc., Montclair, NJ) using reagents and methods obtained from the manufacturer. Urine volume was determined volumetrically and urine specific gravity was determined by refractometry. Parameters evaluated are listed in Table 1.

At the end of the studies, samples from $0,5,000$, 10,000 , and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ rats and $0,2,500,5,000$, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ mice were collected for sperm morphology and vaginal cytology evaluations. The parameters evaluated are listed in Table 1. Methods used were those described in the NTP General Statement of Work (April, 1984). For 7 consecutive days prior to scheduled terminal sacrifice, the vaginal vaults of the females were moistened with saline, if necessary, and aspirated samples of vaginal fluid and cells were transferred to slides and stained. Relative numbers of leukocytes, nucleated epithelial cells, and large squamous epithelial cells were determined to ascertain estrous cycle stage (i.e., diestrus, proestrus, estrus, and metestrus). All males were evaluated for sperm morphology, count, and motility. The right testis and right epididymis were isolated and weighed. The tail of the epididymis (cauda epididymis) was then removed from the epididymal body (corpus epididymis) and weighed. Test yolk (rats) or modified Tyrode's buffer (mice) was applied to slides and a small incision was made at the distal border of the cauda epididymis. The sperm effluxing from the incision were dispersed in the buffer on the slides, and the numbers of motile and nonmotile spermatozoa were counted for five fields per slide by two observers. Following completion of sperm motility estimates, each right cauda epididymis was placed in buffered saline solution and finely minced. The tissue was incubated in the saline solution and then heat fixed at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sperm density was then determined microscopically with the aid of a hemocytometer. To quantify spermatogenesis, testicular spermatid head count was determined in the left testis by removing the tunica albuginea and homogenizing the testis in phosphate-buffered saline containing $10 \%$ dimethyl sulfoxide. Homogenization-resistant spermatid nuclei were counted.

A necropsy was performed on all animals surviving to the end of the studies. The brain, heart, right kidney, liver, lung, spleen, right testis, and thymus were weighed. Tissues for microscopic examination were fixed and preserved in $10 \%$ neutral buffered formalin, processed and trimmed, embedded in paraffin, sectioned to a thickness of 5 to $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. A complete histopathologic examination was performed on control rats and mice, $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ rats, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ mice. In
addition, the kidneys and urinary bladder of all other dose groups of rats and mice were examined. Table 1 lists the tissues and organs routinely examined.

## 2-Year Studies

## Study Design

Groups of 60 male and 60 female rats received 2,500, 5,000, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 104 to 105 weeks. Groups of 70 male and 60 female rats received $0 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 104 to 105 weeks. Groups of 60 male and 60 female mice received $0,312,625$, or $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 104 to 105 weeks. Up to 10 male and female rats and mice from each group were evaluated at 15 months.

## Stop-Exposure Evaluation

A group of 70 male rats received $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2-$ bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 3 months, when ten control and ten $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ rats were evaluated. At 3 months, the dosed feed was replaced with a control diet for the remainder of the study.

## Source and Specification of Animals

Male and female $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats and $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice were obtained from Simonsen Laboratories, Inc. (Gilroy, CA) for use in the 2 -year studies. On receipt, the animals were approximately 4 weeks old. The animals were quarantined for 10 to 12 days and were 6 weeks old on the first day of the studies. Before the initiation of the studies, 10 male and 10 female rats and five male and five female mice were selected for parasite evaluation and gross observation of disease. Serology samples were collected for viral screening. The health of the animals was monitored during the studies according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix L).

## Animal Maintenance

Rats were housed five per cage and mice were housed individually. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Feed consumption was measured every 4 weeks by cage. Cages and racks were rotated every 2 weeks. Further details of animal maintenance are given in Table 1. Information on
feed composition and contaminants is provided in Appendix K.

## Clinical Examinations and Pathology

All animals were observed twice daily. Clinical findings and body weights were recorded initially, weekly for 13 weeks, monthly thereafter, and at the end of the studies.

A complete necropsy and microscopic examination were performed on all rats and mice except one $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ male mouse that was missing. At the 3 -month (male rats) and 15 -month interim evaluations, the right kidney and liver of rats and mice were weighed. At necropsy, all organs and tissues were examined for grossly visible lesions, and all major tissues were fixed and preserved in $10 \%$ neutral buffered formalin, processed and trimmed, embedded in paraffin, sectioned to a thickness of 5 to $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for microscopic examination. For all paired organs (i.e., adrenal gland, kidney, ovary), samples from each organ were examined. Tissues examined microscopically are listed in Table 1.

Microscopic evaluations were completed by the study laboratory pathologist, and the pathology data were entered into the Toxicology Data Management System. The microscopic slides, paraffin blocks, and residual wet tissues were sent to the NTP Archives for inventory, slide/block match, and wet tissue audit. The slides, individual animal data records, and pathology tables were evaluated by an independent quality assessment laboratory. The individual animal records and tables were compared for accuracy, the slide and tissue counts were verified, and the histotechnique was evaluated. For the 2 -year studies, a quality assessment pathologist reviewed the esophagus, kidney, pharynx, thyroid gland, tongue, and Zymbal's gland of male and female rats to confirm the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions. In addition, for male rats, the quality assessment pathologist reviewed ear, eye, forestomach, large and small intestine, liver, pancreas, seminal vesicle/coagulative gland, skin, spleen, teeth, urinary bladder, and multiple organs (mesothelioma) to confirm the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions. For mice, the quality assessment pathologist reviewed the forestomach, harderian
gland, and lung of all mice to confirm the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions.

The quality assessment report and slides were submitted to the NTP Pathology Working Group (PWG) chair, who reviewed the selected tissues and any other tissues for which a disagreement in diagnosis between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists existed. Representative histopathology slides containing examples of lesions related to chemical administration, examples of disagreements in diagnoses between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologist, or lesions of general interest were presented by the chair to the PWG for review. The PWG consisted of the quality assessment pathologist and other pathologists experienced in rodent toxicologic pathology. This group examined the tissues without any knowledge of dose groups or previously rendered diagnoses. When the PWG consensus differed from the opinion of the laboratory pathologist, the diagnosis was changed. Thus, the final diagnoses represent a consensus of contractor pathologists and the PWG. Details of these review procedures have been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985). For subsequent analyses of the pathology data, the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type were evaluated separately or combined according to the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1986).

## Statistical Methods

## Survival Analyses

The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals found dead of other than natural causes or missing were censored from the survival analyses; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for possible dose-related effects on survival used Cox's (1972) method for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test to identify dose-related trends. All reported P values for the survival analyses are two sided.

## Calculation of Incidence

The incidences of neoplasms or nonneoplastic lesions as presented in Tables A1, A5, B1, B5, C1, C5, D1, and D5 are given as the number of animals bearing
such lesions at a specific anatomic site and the number of animals with that site examined microscopically. For calculation of statistical significance, the incidences of most neoplasms (Tables A3, B3, C3, and D3) and all nonneoplastic lesions are given as the number of animals affected at each site examined microscopically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect neoplasms in certain tissues (e.g., skin, intestine, harderian gland, and mammary gland) before microscopic evaluation, or when neoplasms had multiple potential sites of occurrence (e.g., leukemia or lymphoma), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed. Tables A3, B3, C3, and D3 also give the survival-adjusted neoplasm rate for each group and each site-specific neoplasm, i.e., the Kaplan-Meier estimate of the neoplasm incidence that would have been observed at the end of the study in the absence of mortality from all other competing risks (Kaplan and Meier, 1958).

## Analysis of Neoplasm Incidences

In these studies, large numbers of exposed rats died or were killed moribund early in the studies. These deaths were considered to be due primarily to Zymbal's gland neoplasms, subcutaneous tumors, and mononuclear cell leukemia. Consequently, for these particular lesions, primary emphasis in the analysis of neoplasm incidence was given to the life table test (Cox, 1972; Tarone, 1975), a survival-adjusted procedure appropriate for rapidly lethal neoplasms. For incidental neoplasms, the statistical method used was a logistic regression analysis, which assumed that the diagnosed neoplasms were discovered as the result of death from an unrelated cause and thus did not affect the risk of death. In this approach, neoplasm prevalence was modeled as a logistic function of chemical exposure and time. Both linear and quadratic terms in time were incorporated initially, and the quadratic term was eliminated if it did not significantly enhance the fit of the model. The exposed and control groups were compared on the basis of the likelihood score test for the regression coefficient of dose. This method of adjusting for intercurrent mortality is the prevalence analysis of Dinse and Lagakos (1983), further described by Dinse and Haseman (1986). When neoplasms are incidental, this comparison of the time-specific neoplasm prevalences also provides a comparison of the time-specific neoplasm incidences (McKnight and Crowley, 1984). Other statis-
tical analyses reported in the appendixes include the Fisher exact test and the Cochran-Armitage trend test (Armitage, 1971; Gart et al., 1979), procedures that are based on the overall proportion of neoplasmbearing animals.

Tests of significance included pairwise comparisons of each exposed group with controls and a test for an overall dose-related trend. Continuity-corrected tests were used in the analysis of neoplasm incidence, and reported P values are one sided. The procedures described in the preceding paragraphs were also used to evaluate selected nonneoplastic lesions. For further discussion of these statistical methods, refer to Haseman (1984).

## Analysis of Nonneoplastic Lesion Incidences

Because all nonneoplastic lesions in this study were considered to be incidental to the cause of death or not rapidly lethal, the primary statistical analysis used was a logistic regression analysis in which nonneoplastic lesion prevalence was modeled as a logistic function of chemical exposure and time. For lesions detected at the interim evaluation, the Fisher exact test was used, a procedure based on the overall proportion of affected animals.

## Analysis of Continuous Variables

Two approaches were employed to assess the significance of pairwise comparisons between exposed and control groups in the analysis of continuous variables. Organ and body weight data, which have approximately normal distributions, were analyzed using the parametric multiple comparison procedures of Dunnett (1955) and Williams (1971, 1972). Clinical chemistry, urinalysis, spermatid, and epididymal spermatozoa data, which have typically skewed distributions, were analyzed using the nonparametric multiple comparison methods of Shirley (1977) and Dunn (1964). Jonckheere's test (Jonckheere, 1954) was used to assess the significance of the dose-related trends and to determine whether a trend-sensitive test (Williams' or Shirley's test) was more appropriate for pairwise comparisons than a test that does not assume a monotonic doserelated trend (Dunnett's or Dunn's test). Average severity values were analyzed for significance using the Mann-Whitney U test (Hollander and Wolfe, 1973). Because the vaginal cytology data are proportions (the proportion of the observation period that
an animal was in a given estrous stage), an arcsine transformation was used to bring the data into closer conformance with normality assumptions. Treatment effects were investigated by applying a multivariate analysis of variance (Morrison, 1976) to the transformed data to test for simultaneous equality of measurements across exposure levels.

## Historical Control Data

Although the concurrent control group is always the first and most appropriate control group used for evaluation, historical control data can be helpful in the overall assessment of neoplasm incidence in certain instances. Consequently, neoplasm incidences from the NTP historical control database (Haseman et al., 1984, 1985) are included in the NTP reports for neoplasms appearing to show compound-related effects.

## Quality Assurance Methods

The 13-week and 2-year studies were conducted in compliance with Food and Drug Administration Good Laboratory Practice Regulations ( 21 CFR, Part 58). In addition, as records from the 2-year studies were submitted to the NTP Archives, these studies were audited retrospectively by an independent quality assurance contractor. Separate audits covering completeness and accuracy of the pathology data, pathology specimens, final pathology tables, and a draft of this NTP Technical Report were conducted. Audit procedures and findings are presented in the reports and are on file at NIEHS. The audit findings were reviewed and assessed by NTP staff, so all comments had been resolved or were otherwise addressed during the preparation of this Technical Report.

## Genetic Toxicology

The genetic toxicity of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol was assessed by testing the ability of the chemical to induce mutations in various strains of

Salmonella typhimurium, sister chromatid exchanges and chromosomal aberrations in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells, and the frequency of micronucleated erythrocytes in peripheral blood and bone marrow. The protocols for these studies and the results are given in Appendix E.

The genetic toxicity studies of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol are part of a larger effort by the NTP to develop a database that would permit the evaluation of carcinogenicity in experimental animals from the structure and responses of the chemical in short-term in vitro and in vivo genetic toxicity tests. These genetic toxicity tests were originally developed to study mechanisms of chemically induced DNA damage and to predict carcinogenicity in animals, based on the electrophilic theory of chemical carcinogenesis and the somatic mutation theory (Miller and Miller, 1977; Straus, 1981; Crawford, 1985).

There is a strong correlation between a chemical's potential electrophilicity (structural alert to DNA reactivity), mutagenicity in Salmonella, and carcinogenicity in rodents. The combination of electrophilicity and Salmonella mutagenicity is highly correlated with the induction of carcinogenicity in rats and mice and/or at multiple tissue sites (Ashby and Tennant, 1991). Other in vitro genetic toxicity tests do not correlate well with rodent carcinogenicity (Tennant et al., 1987; Zeiger et al., 1990), although these other tests can provide information on the types of DNA and chromosome effects that can be induced by the chemical being investigated. Data from NTP studies show that a positive response in Salmonella is currently the most predictive in vitro test for rodent carcinogenicity ( $89 \%$ of the Salmonella mutagens were rodent carcinogens), and that there is no complementarity among the in vitro genetic toxicity tests. That is, no battery of tests that included the Salmonella test improved the predictivity of the Salmonella test alone. The predictivity for carcinogenicity of a positive response in bone marrow chromosome aberration or micronucleus tests is not yet defined.

Table 1
Experimental Design and Materials and Methods in the Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| 13-Week Studies | 2-Year Studies |
| :---: | :---: |
| Study Laboratory |  |
| American Biogenics Corporation (Woburn, MA) | Southern Research Institute (Birmingham, AL) |
| Strain and Species |  |
| Rats: F344/N | Rats: F344/N |
| Mice: $\mathrm{B6C3F}_{1}$ | Mice: $\mathrm{B6C3F}_{1}$ |
| Animal Source |  |
| Taconic Farms (Germantown, NY) | Simonsen Laboratories, Inc. (Gilroy, CA) |
| Time Held Before Studies |  |
| Rats: 14 days | Rats: 10 or 11 days |
| Special Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Study (rats only): <br> 13-15 days (males) <br> 20-22 days (females) | Mice: 12 days |
| Mice: 11 days |  |
| Average Age When Studies Began |  |
| 6-7 weeks | 6 weeks |
| Date of First Dose |  |
| Rats: 22 April 1986 | Rats: 27 March 1989 |
| Special Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Study (rats only): <br> 12-14 May 1986 (males) <br> 19-21 May 1986 (females) | Mice: 13 March 1989 |
| Mice: 14 April 1986 |  |
| Duration of Dosing |  |
| 13 weeks | 104 to 105 weeks |
| Date of Last Dose |  |
| Rats: 22-24 July 1986 | Rats: 24 March 1991 (males) |
| Special Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Study (rats only): | 26 March 1991 (females) |
| 13-15 August 1986 (males) | Mice: 10 March 1991 (males) |
| 21-22 August 1986 (females) | 17 March 1991 (females) |
| Mice: 14-16 July 1986 |  |
| Necropsy Dates |  |
| Rats: 22-24 July 1986 | Rats: 3-month interim evaluation - 26 June 1989 |
| Special Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Study (rats only): 13-15 August 1986 (males) | 15-month interim evaluation - 25 June 1990 terminal sacrifice - 1-5 April 1991 |
| 21-22 August 1986 (females) | Mice: 18-26 March 1991 |
| Mice: 14-16 July 1986 |  |
| Age at Necropsy |  |
| Rats: 19-20 weeks | Rats: 111 weeks |
| Mice: 19 weeks | Mice: 111-112 weeks |

Table 1
Experimental Design and Materials and Methods in the Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| 13-Week Studies | 2-Year Studies |
| :---: | :---: |
| Size of Study Groups |  |
| 10 males and 10 females | Rats: 60 males and 60 females $(2,500,5,000$, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ); 70 males and 60 females ( 0 ppm ); 70 males ( $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure) |
|  | Mice: 60 males and 60 females |
| Method of Distribution |  |
| Randomized by weight into cage groups using a computergenerated table of random numbers | Randomized by weight usinga random number table |
| Animals per Cage |  |
| Rats: 5 | Rats: 5 |
| Mice: 1 | Mice: 1 |
| Method of Animal Identification |  |
| Toe clip | Rats: Tail tattoo <br> Mice: Toe clip |
| Diet |  |
| NIH-07 open formula mash diet (Zeigler Brothers, Inc., Gardners, PA), available ad libitum | Same as 13-week studies |

## Water Distribution

Tap water (Woburn, MA, municipal supply) via automatic watering system (Edstrom Industries, Waterford, WI, or Hardco, Cincinnati, OH ) available ad libitum, except during urine collection

## Cages

Polycarbonate (Lab Products Inc., Garfield, NJ), changed twice weekly

Same as 13 -week studies, except mouse cages were changed weekly

## Bedding

Sani-Chip (P.J. Murphy Forestry Products, Corp., Rochelle Park, NJ)

## Cage Filters

Non-woven filter sheets Reemay ${ }^{\otimes}$ spun-bonded polyester (Andico, Birmingham, AL) changed every 2 weeks

## Racks

Stainless steel (Lab Products Inc., Garfield, NJ), changed once every 2 weeks

## Animal Room Environment

Temperature: $18^{\circ}$ to $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Relative humidity: $48 \%$ to $75 \%$
Fluorescent light: 12 hours/day
Room air: 12 changes per hour
Tap water (Birmingham, AL, municipal supply) via automatic watering system (Edstrom Industries, Waterford, WI) available ad libitum

Same as 13-week studies

Same as 13-week studies

Temperature: $19^{\circ}$ to $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (rats); $14^{\circ}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (mice)
Relative humidity: $26.3 \%$ to $90 \%$ (rats); $25.5 \%$ to $\mathbf{8 5 . 3 \%}$ (mice)
Fluorescent light: 12 hours/day
Room air: 10 changes per hour

## Table 1

Experimental Design and Materials and Methods in the Feed Studies
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| 13-Week Studies |
| :--- |

## Doses

Rats: $0,1,250,2,500,5,000,10,000$ or $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ in feed, available ad libitum
Mice: $0,625,1,250,2,500,5,000$, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ in feed, available ad libitum

## Type and Frequency of Observation

Observed twice daily; animals were weighed initially, weekly, and at the end of the studies. Clinical observations were recorded weekly. Feed consumption was measured weekly by cage.

## Method of Sacrifice

Anesthetized with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ followed by exsanguination via orbital bleeding

## Necropsy

Necropsy performed on all animals surviving to the end of the study. Organs weighed included the brain, heart, right kidney, liver, lung, spleen, right testis, and thymus.

## Clinical Pathology

At the end of the 13 -week studies, blood was collected from the retro-orbital sinus and urine was collected from all rats and mice.

In the special study rats, blood was collected on days $3,15,30$, 60 , and at study termination. Urine samples were collected on days $3,15,30,60$, and at study termination. Additional urine samples were collected for measurement of urinary concentrating ability following 16 -hour water deprivation periods.
Clinical Chemistry: albumin, albumin/globulin ratio, creatinine (rats only), globulin, glucose, total protein, and urea nitrogen Urinalysis: glucose, protein, specific gravity, and volume

## Sperm and Vaginal Cytology Evaluation

Sperm and vaginal fluid samples were evaluated in $0,5,000$, 10,000 , and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ rats and $0,2,500,5,000$, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ mice at the end of the studies. The parameters evaluated in males were sperm count, morphology, and motility. The right cauda, right epididymis, and right testis were weighed. Vaginal fluid samples were collected for up to 7 consecutive days prior to the end of the studies for vaginal cytology evaluations. The parameters evaluated in females were relative frequency of estrous stages and estrous cycle length.

Rats: continuous-exposure study $-0,2,500,5,000$, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$; stop-exposure study $-20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ in feed, available ad libitum
Mice: $0,312,625$, or $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ in feed, available ad libitum

Observed twice daily; body weights and clinical observations recorded initially, weekly for weeks 2 to 13 , monthly thereafter, and at the end of the studies. Feed consumption was measured every 4 weeks by cage.

Same as 13 -week studies

All animals (except one $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ mouse) were necropsied. Organs weighed at the 3-month (control and stop-exposure male rats) and 15 -month interim evaluations were the right kidney and liver.

None

None

# Table 1 <br> Experimental Design and Materials and Methods in the Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued) 

## 13-Week Studies

2-Year Studies

## Histopathology

Complete histopathologic examinations were performed on all control rats and mice, $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ rats, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ mice. In addition to gross lesions, tissue masses and associated lymph nodes, the tissues examined included: adrenal gland, bone and marrow, brain, esophagus, gallbladder (mice), heart, kidney, large intestine (cecum, colon, and rectum), liver, lung, lymph nodes (mandibular or mesenteric), mammary gland, nose, ovary, pancreas, parathyroid gland, pituitary gland, preputial or clitoral gland (rats), prostate gland, salivary gland, skin, small intestine (duodenum, jejunum, and ileum), spleen, stomach (forestomach and glandular), testis with epididymis and seminal vesicle, thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, urinary bladder, and uterus. The kidney and urinary bladder of all other rats and mice were also examined.

## RESULTS

## Rats

## 13-WEEK Study

All rats survived to the end of the study (Table 2). week 13 (Table 2). Dietary levels of $1,250,2,500$, The final mean body weights and weight gains of $5,000,10,000$, and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females were significantly lower than those of the controls. Feed consumption by exposed animals was lower than that by controls at week 1 , but was generally similar to or slightly higher than that by controls at
$5,000,10,000$, and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ delivered average daily doses of $100,200,400,800$, and $1,700 \mathrm{mg}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol/kg body weight to males, and $100,200,400,800$, and $1,630 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ to females. No chemical-related clinical findings were observed.

Table 2
Survival, Mean Body Weights, and Feed Consumption of Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Dose (ppm) | Survival ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean Body Weight ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (g) |  |  | Final Weight Relative to Controls (\%) | Feed <br> Consumption ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial | Final | Change |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week 1 | Week 13 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10/10 | $115 \pm 3$ | $353 \pm 6$ | $238 \pm 4$ |  | 16.1 | 17.9 |
| 1,250 | 10/10 | $116 \pm 4$ | $354 \pm 6$ | $238 \pm 5$ | 100 | 15.5 | 19.2 |
| 2,500 | 10/10 | $114 \pm 3$ | $338 \pm 5$ | $224 \pm 4^{*}$ | 96 | 15.0 | 18.1 |
| 5,000 | 10/10 | $117 \pm 4$ | $324 \pm{ }^{* *}$ | $207 \pm 7 * *$ | 92 | 15.0 | 19.3 |
| 10,000 | 10/10 | $116 \pm 5$ | $314 \pm 6^{* *}$ | $198 \pm 4^{* *}$ | 89 | 14.3 | 20.0 |
| 20,000 | 10/10 | $120 \pm 5$ | $269 \pm 4^{* *}$ | $149 \pm 5 * *$ | 76 | 13.7 | 19.3 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10/10 | $96 \pm 3$ | $209 \pm 4$ | $113 \pm 2$ |  | 11.6 | 11.9 |
| 1,250 | 10/10 | $100 \pm 2$ | $204 \pm 3$ | $105 \pm 2^{*}$ | 98 | 11.5 | 13.0 |
| 2,500 | 10/10 | $99 \pm 3$ | $200 \pm 3$ | $101 \pm{ }^{* *}$ | 96 | 11.4 | 11.8 |
| 5,000 | 10/10 | $93 \pm 2$ | $196 \pm 3^{* *}$ | $102 \pm 2^{* *}$ | 94 | 11.4 | 12.2 |
| 10,000 | 10/10 | $97 \pm 2$ | $191 \pm 3^{* *}$ | $94 \pm 3^{* *}$ | 92 | 11.4 | 12.1 |
| 20,000 | 10/10 | $95 \pm 2$ | $174 \pm 3^{* *}$ | $79 \pm 2^{* *}$ | 83 | 10.3 | 11.6 |

[^2]Urinalysis and clinical chemistry data for rats in the core study are listed in Table G1. At the end of the study, 16 -hour urine volumes in 10,000 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male rats were two-fold greater than that in the control group. These higher urine volumes were accompanied by urine specific gravity which was lower than that in the control group. Urine specific gravity in the $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male group was also lower than that in the control group. The female rats were less affected; minimal differences in the urine volume and specific gravity occurred only in the $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ group. Renal papillary degeneration occurred in male rats exposed to $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ or greater, which would be consistent with the increase in urine volume (polyuria). Minimally increased urine protein excretion (proteinuria) also occurred in the 10,000 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ groups and may have been related to the renal lesions. In female rats, renal papillary degeneration was present in only one animal in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group and could explain the lack of polyuria or proteinuria in females.

Serum total protein and albumin concentration in female rats exposed to $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ or greater were slightly lower than those in the controls. Decreased protein values can be caused by several factors including hyperhydration, albumin and/or protein loss
associated with renal or intestinal disease (Kaneko, 1989; Nguyen, 1989).

No biologically significant differences in organ weights were observed (Table F1).

There were no treatment-related gross lesions. Treatment-related microscopic lesions were present in the kidney of male and female rats and the urinary bladder of male rats (Table 3). A minimal to mild degeneration of the renal papilla was present in $5,000,10,000$, and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male rats and in one female rat in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group. This degenerative change was characterized by edema of the interstitial tissue at the distal tip of the renal papilla. The interstitial cells of the renal papilla appeared swollen and the nuclei stained less distinctly than in the controls. In the areas of papillary degeneration, there was increased eosinophilic staining of the cytoplasm of the interstitial cells that contained PASpositive droplets. The cytoplasm of the epithelial cells lining the collecting ducts was vacuolated, and frequently a clear, nonstaining area in the cytoplasm was present around the nuclei of these cells. In the urinary bladder of male rats in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group, there was minimal hyperplasia of the transitional epithelium.

Table 3
Incidences of Selected Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm |  | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 10 | 10 |
| Degeneration, Papillary ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | $(1.0)^{\text {c }}$ | 6** (1.3) | 8** (1.3) |
| Urinary Bladder | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 10 | 10 |
| Hyperplasia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 9** (1.0) |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 10 | 10. |
| Degeneration, Papillary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 (1.0) |

[^3]
## Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis in Special Study Rats

Urinalysis and clinical chemistry data for rats in the special study are listed in Table G1. Similar to the core study animals, changes in urine volume and specific gravity were the major treatment effects, and male rats were more affected than females. On days 3 and 15 , urine volume was slightly decreased, and urine specific gravity was increased in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males compared to controls. On day 3 , urine volume was also slightly decreased in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ females. This would be consistent with a mild transient dehydration related to decreased food and water intake resulting in a smaller, but more concentrated, urine volume. Transient dehydration is supported by the mild increase in serum total protein concentration that occurred in a treatmentrelated fashion in male rats on days 3 and 15. By day 60 , urine volumes were markedly increased (polyuria) in 10,000 and 20,000 ppm males compared to that of the controls. At this time, urine specific gravity decreased to the isosthenuric range ( 1.008 to 1.012 ) in these animals and in $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male rats. Additionally, a decreased urine specific gravity occurred in females exposed to $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ or greater but was not accompanied by increased urine volume. At the end of the study, 16 -hour urine volume was increased in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and was accompanied by decreased urine specific gravity in 10,000 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ females. Water deprivation tests demonstrated that male and
female rats were able to adequately concentrate their urine in response to dehydration throughout the study. However, by day 61 the urine specific gravity in water-deprived, $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males was lower than that in the control group. Renal papillary degeneration that occurred in males exposed to $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ or greater would be consistent with isosthenuric polyuria. On day 61, a minimal increase in the urine protein excretion also occurred in 10,000 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and could be consistent with renal lesions. By day 60, an increase in serum total protein concentration occurred in 5,000 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male rats. This could be consistent with excess renal fluid loss resulting in a mild dehydration. Again, the absence of renal papillary degeneration in female rats could explain the lack of polyuria. Changes in other clinical chemistry and urinalysis variables were minor, sporadic, and were not considered relevant.

Dose Selection Rationale: Based on lower final mean body weights in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females, the incidences of renal papillary degeneration in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females, and hyperplasia of the urinary bladder in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males, the high dose selected for continuous exposure in the 2-year study was $10,000 \mathrm{ppm} ; 20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ was selected as the exposure concentration for a 3-month stopexposure study in male rats to evaluate the potential for progression or regression of urinary bladder and kidney lesions.

## 2-Year Study

## Survival

Estimates of 2-year survival probabilities for male and female rats are shown in Table 4 and in the Kaplan-Meier survival curves in Figure 1. Survival of 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuous-exposure males and females and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males was significantly lower than that of the controls.

## Body Weights, Feed and Compound

Consumption, and Clinical Findings
Mean body weights of males and females receiving $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ and stop-exposure males receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were lower than those of the controls
throughout most of the study (Tables 5 and 6 and Figure 2). In the continuous-exposure study, feed consumption by exposed rats was generally similar to that by the controls throughout the study (Tables J1 and J2). In $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males the feed consumption was lower than that by controls. Dietary levels of $2,500,5,000$, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ delivered average daily doses of 100,200 , and $430 \mathrm{mg} \quad$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol/kg body weight to males and 115,230 , and $460 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ to females. Dietary levels of $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ delivered an average daily dose of $800 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ to stop-exposure males. Clinical findings included skin and subcutaneous tissue masses on the face, tail, and the ventral and dorsal surfaces of exposed rats.

Table 4
Survival of Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| (Stop-Exposure) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2-\mathrm{bis}$ (bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats received control feed until the end of the 2 -year study.
b Censored from survival analyses
c Kaplan-Meier determinations
d Mean of all deaths (uncensored, censored, and terminal sacrifice).
e The result of the life table trend test (Tarone, 1975) is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons (Cox, 1972) with the controls are in the dosed columns.


Figure 1
Kaplan-Meier Survival Curves for Male and Female Rats Administered 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in Feed for 2 Years

Table 5
Mean Body Weights and Survival of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Weeks <br> on <br> Study | 0 ppm |  | 2,500 ppm |  |  | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Av. Wt. <br> (g) | No. of Survivors | Av. Wt. <br> (g) | Wt. (\% of controls) | No. of Survivors | Av. Wt. <br> (g) | Wt. (\% of controls) | No. of Survivors |
| 1 | 114 | 70 | 114 | 101 | 60 | 114 | 100 | 60 |
| 2 | 163 | 70 | 161 | 99 | 60 | 157 | 97 | 60 |
| 3 | 198 | 70 | 195 | 99 | 60 | 193 | 98 | 60 |
| 4 | 231 | 70 | 227 | 98 | 60 | 223 | 97 | 60 |
| 5 | 249 | 70 | 242 | 98 | 60 | 236 | 95 | 60 |
| 6 | 267 | 70 | 260 | 98 | 60 | 253 | 95 | 60 |
| 7 | 284 | 70 | 277 | 98 | 60 | 269 | 95 | 60 |
| 8 | 295 | 70 | 288 | 98 | 60 | 285 | 97 | 60 |
| 9 | 306 | 70 | 297 | 97 | 60 | 291 | 95 | 60 |
| 10 | 314 | 70 | 306 | 97 | 60 | 300 | 96 | 60 |
| 11 | 321 | 70 | 311 | 97 | 60 | 305 | 95 | 60 |
| 12 | 330 | 70 | 323 | 98 | 60 | 316 | 96 | 60 |
| 13 | 341 | 70 | 333 | 98 | 60 | 326 | 96 | 60 |
| $17^{\text {a }}$ | 365 | 60 | 355 | 97 | 60 | 346 | 95 | 60 |
| 21 | 386 | 60 | 374 | 97 | 60 | 362 | 94 | 60 |
| 25 | 399 | 60 | 386 | 97 | 60 | 376 | 94 | 60 |
| 29 | 412 | 60 | 401 | 97 | 60 | 384 | 93 | 60 |
| 33 | 424 | 60 | 413 | 97 | 60 | 401 | 95 | 60 |
| 37 | 432 | 60 | 423 | 98 | 59 | 412 | 95 | 60 |
| 41 | 442 | 60 | 431 | 97 | 59 | 424 | 96 | 60 |
| 45 | 440 | 60 | 432 | 98 | 59 | 420 | 96 | 60 |
| 49 | 452 | 59 | 438 | 97 | 59 | 430 | 95 | 60 |
| 53 | 454 | 59 | 444 | 98 | 59 | 438 | 96 | 60 |
| 57 | 458 | 59 | 454 | 99 | 59 | 446 | 97 | 60 |
| 61 | 461 | 59 | 452 | 98 | 59 | 438 | 95 | 60 |
| 65 | 463 | 59 | 449 | 97 | 58 | 445 | 96 | 60 |
| $69^{\text {a }}$ | 457 | 49 | 449 | 98 | 49 | 443 | 97 | 50 |
| 73 | 455 | 48 | 446 | 98 | 48 | 435 | 96 | 50 |
| 77 | 450 | 47 | 443 | 98 | 46 | 431 | 96 | 50 |
| 81 | 444 | 47 | 442 | 100 | 42 | 428 | 96 | 48 |
| 85 | 443 | 47 | 442 | 100 | 38 | 428 | 97 | 46 |
| 89 | 440 | 44 | 440 | 100 | 37 | 418 | 95 | 42 |
| 93 | 435 | 41 | 429 | 99 | 35 | 412 | 95 | 33 |
| 97 | 432 | 35 | 433 | 100 | 29 | 403 | 93 | 27 |
| 101 | 432 | 31 | 426 | 99 | 25 | 408 | 94 | 16 |
| 105 | 425 | 26 | 425 | 100 | 20 | 401 | 95 | 13 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 263 |  | 256 | 97 |  | 251 | 95 |  |
| 14-52 | 417 |  | 406 | 97 |  | 395 | 95 |  |
| 53-105 | 446 |  | 441 | 99 |  | 427 | 96 |  |
| (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TARLE 5
Mean Body Weights and Survival of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Weeks <br> on Study | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |  |  | 20,000 $\mathrm{ppm}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Av. Wt. <br> (g) | Wt. (\% of controls) | No. of Survivors | Av. Wt. <br> (g) | Wt. (\% of controls) | No. of Survivors |
| 1 | 109 | 96 | 60 | 105 | 93 | 70 |
| 2 | 152 | 94 | 60 | 134 | 83 | 70 |
| 3 | 181 | 91 | 60 | 157 | 79 | 70 |
| 4 | 208 | 90 | 60 | 176 | 76 | 70 |
| 5 | 221 | 89 | 60 | 185 | 74 | 70 |
| 6 | 236 | 89 | 60 | 197 | 74 | 70 |
| 7 | 249 | 88 | 60 | 206 | 73 | 70 |
| 8 | 259 | 88 | 60 | 215 | 73 | 70 |
| 9 | 264 | 86 | 60 | 218 | 71 | 70 |
| 10 | 273 | 87 | 60 | 222 | 71 | 70 |
| 11 | 279 | 87 | 60 | 229 | 72 | 70 |
| 12 | 288 | 87 | 60 | 238 | 72 | 70 |
| 13 | 298 | 88 | 60 | 245 | 72 | 70 |
| $17^{\text {a }}$ | 319 | 88 | 60 | 292 | 80 | 60 |
| 21 | 340 | 88 | 60 | 323 | 84 | 60 |
| 25 | 355 | 89 | 59 | 347 | 87 | 60 |
| 29 | 367 | 89 | 59 | 366 | 89 | 60 |
| 33 | 377 | 89 | 59 | 382 | 90 | 59 |
| 37 | 388 | 90 | 59 | 399 | 92 | 59 |
| 41 | 396 | 90 | 59 | 410 | 93 | 59 |
| 45 | 395 | 90 | 59 | 410 | 93 | 59 |
| 49 | 401 | 89 | 59 | 419 | 93 | 59 |
| 53 | 414 | 91 | 59 | 430 | 95 | 57 |
| 57 | 418 | 91 | 57 | 431 | 94 | 56 |
| 61 | 415 | 90 | 57 | 422 | 92 | 53 |
| 65 | 414 | 89 | 56 | 429 | 93 | 48 |
| $69^{\text {a }}$ | 420 | 92 | 49 | 430 | 94 | 45 |
| 73 | 406 | 89 | 48 | 423 | 93 | 44 |
| 77 | 416 | 92 | 44 | 424 | 94 | 30 |
| 81 | 407 | 92 | 39 | 414 | 93 | 29 |
| 85 | 402 | 91 | 27 | 410 | 93 | 22 |
| 89 | 394 | 89 | 20 | 409 | 93 | 15 |
| 93 | 388 | 89 | 16 | 374 | 86 | 11 |
| 97 | 384 | 89 | 11 | 402 | 93 | 5 |
| 101 | 369 | 86 | 5 | 373 | 86 | 3 |
| 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 232 | 88 |  | 194 | 74 |  |
| 14-52 | 371 | 89 |  | 372 | 89 |  |
| 53-105 | 404 | 91 |  | 413 | 93 |  |

[^4]Table 6
Mean Body Weights and Survival of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Weeks on Study | 0 ppm |  | 2,500 ppm |  |  | 5,000 ppm |  |  | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Av. Wt. <br> (g) | No. of Survivors | Av. W (g) | Wt. (\% o controls) | No. of Survivors | Av. W (g) | Wt. (\% o controls) | No. of Survivors | Av. W (g) | Wt. (\% of controls) | No. of Survivors |
| 1 | 106 | 60 | 105 | 99 | 60 | 106 | 100 | 60 | 106 | 100 | 60 |
| 2 | 130 | 60 | 127 | 98 | 60 | 126 | 98 | 60 | 127 | 98 | 60 |
| 3 | 144 | 60 | 142 | 99 | 60 | 139 | 97 | 60 | 138 | 96 | 60 |
| 4 | 154 | 60 | 151 | 98 | 60 | 150 | 97 | 60 | 147 | 96 | 60 |
| 5 | 161 | 60 | 159 | 99 | 60 | 156 | 97 | 60 | 152 | 95 | 60 |
| 6 | 168 | 60 | 165 | 98 | 60 | 165 | 98 | 60 | 159 | 95 | 60 |
| 7 | 175 | 60 | 172 | 98 | 60 | 171 | 98 | 60 | 166 | 95 | 60 |
| 8 | 178 | 60 | 174 | 98 | 60 | 172 | 97 | 60 | 168 | 95 | 60 |
| 9 | 181 | 60 | 178 | 99 | 60 | 175 | 97 | 60 | 170 | 94 | 60 |
| 10 | 185 | 60 | 180 | 97 | 60 | 178 | 96 | 60 | 172 | 93 | 60 |
| 11 | 188 | 60 | 183 | 98 | 60 | 181 | 97 | 60 | 175 | 93 | 60 |
| 12 | 191 | 60 | 187 | 98 | 60 | 184 | 96 | 60 | 179 | 93 | 60 |
| 13 | 191 | 60 | 187 | 98 | 60 | 186 | 98 | 60 | 180 | 94 | 60 |
| 17 | 201 | 60 | 198 | 99 | 60 | 193 | 96 | 60 | 186 | 93 | 60 |
| 21 | 206 | 60 | 203 | 98 | 60 | 198 | 96 | 60 | 192 | 93 | 60 |
| 25 | 212 | 60 | 209 | 99 | 60 | 203 | 96 | 60 | 199 | 94 | 60 |
| 29 | 220 | 60 | 214 | 97 | 60 | 211 | 96 | 60 | 205 | 93 | 60 |
| 33 | 224 | 60 | 220 | 98 | 60 | 214 | 96 | 60 | 209 | 93 | 60 |
| 37 | 231 | 60 | 229 | 99 | 60 | 221 | 96 | 60 | 215 | 93 | 60 |
| 41 | 238 | 60 | 234 | 98 | 60 | 237 | 99 | $60^{\text {a }}$ | 224 | 94 | 60 |
| 45 | 246 | 60 | 240 | 98 | 60 | 234 | 95 | 60 | 228 | 93 | 60 |
| 49 | 258 | 60 | 254 | 98 | 60 | 247 | 96 | 60 | 239 | 93 | 60 |
| 53 | 268 | 60 | 265 | 99 | 60 | 257 | 96 | 60 | 247 | 92 | 59 |
| 57 | 282 | 60 | 277 | 98 | 60 | 270 | 96 | 59 | 259 | 92 | 59 |
| 61 | 289 | 60 | 284 | 98 | 59 | 275 | 95 | 58 | 262 | 91 | 59 |
| 65 | 299 | 60 | 293 | 98 | 59 | 283 | 95 | 57 | 269 | 90 | 58 |
| $69^{\text {b }}$ | 303 | 49 | 300 | 99 | 49 | 288 | 95 | 50 | 274 | 90 | 48 |
| 73 | 308 | 48 | 300 | 97 | 49 | 291 | 94 | 48 | 277 | 90 | 48 |
| 77 | 314 | 47 | 305 | 97 | 48 | 295 | 94 | 48 | 284 | 90 | 45 |
| 81 | 313 | 47 | 308 | 98 | 48 | 299 | 96 | 47 | 291 | 93 | 43 |
| 85 | 312 | 47 | 307 | 98 | 48 | 296 | 95 | 45 | 286 | 91 | 37 |
| 89 | 315 | 47 | 314 | 100 | 46 | 305 | 97 | 44 | 293 | 93 | 32 |
| 93 | 319 | 45 | 318 | 100 | 45 | 311 | 98 | 40 | 297 | 93 | 24 |
| 97 | 326 | 44 | 325 | 100 | 43 | 323 | 99 | 35 | 301 | 92 | 20 |
| 101 | 330 | 39 | 327 | 99 | 34 | 322 | 98 | 26 | 307 | 93 | 10 |
| 105 | 329 | 36 | 328 | 100 | 27 | 320 | 97 | 23 | 313 | 95 | 5 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 166 |  | 162 | 98 |  | 161 | 97 |  | 157 | 95 |  |
| 14-52 | 226 |  | 222 | 98 |  | 218 | 96 |  | 211 | 93 |  |
| 53-105 | 308 |  | 304 | 99 |  | 295 | 96 |  | 283 | 92 |  |

[^5]

Figure 2
Growth Curves for Male and Female Rats Administered 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in Feed for 2 Years

## Pathology and Statistical Analysis

This section describes statistically significant and biologically noteworthy changes in the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions of the skin, mammary gland, Zymbal's gland, oral cavity (pharynx, tongue, and gingiva), esophagus, forestomach, intestine (small and large), kidney, urinary bladder, lung, thyroid gland, seminal vesicle, and pancreas, and in the incidences of malignant mesothelioma and mononuclear cell leukemia. Summaries of the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions, individual animal tumor diagnoses, statistical analyses of primary neoplasms that occurred with an incidence of $5 \%$ in at least one exposure group, and historical incidences for the neoplasms mentioned in this section are presented in Appendix A for male rats and Appendix B for female rats.

Skin: The incidence of squamous cell papilloma in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males was significantly greater than that in the control group (Tables 7 and A3). Additionally, the incidences of keratoacanthoma, and of squamous and basal cell neoplasms (combined) in 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuousexposure males and in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males were significantly greater than that in the control group (Tables 7 and A3). The incidences of keratoacanthoma, and of squamous and basal cell neoplasms (combined) in 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuous-exposure males and in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males exceeded NTP historical control range (Tables 7 and A4a). These masses were all observed at necropsy and occurred at various sites on the body (tail, leg, neck, etc.). Eight of the squamous cell papillomas in exposed male rats occurred on the lips; the one papilloma that occurred in the control group was observed on the tail. Papillomas were exophytic masses of welldifferentiated squamous epithelium. Keratoacanthomas extended slightly above the skin surface but generally formed plaque-like masses within the skin and consisted of well-differentiated squamous epithelium with abundant keratin formation. One $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure male had squamous cell carcinoma.

The incidences of basal cell and sebaceous gland neoplasms (trichoepithelioma, basal cell adenoma, sebaceous gland adenoma, or basal cell carcinoma [combined]) in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuous-exposure males and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males were greater than that in the control group (Tables 7 and A3). Most of these neoplasms were benign neoplasms and ranged from well-differentiated sebaceous gland adenoma to basal cell adenoma that had morphologic patterns consisting of cords or nests of basal cells as well as areas with sebaceous or squamous differentiation or development of hair follicles (trichoepithelioma).

In addition to epithelial neoplasms of the skin, there were significantly increased incidences of subcutaneous skin neoplasms in all continuous-exposure groups of males (Tables 7 and A3). These subcutaneous masses were located along the lateral and ventral abdominal wall and were also present in the axillary and inguinal areas. Often these large masses were the primary reason for the moribund sacrifice of these rats. In the 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ groups of males, there were multiple fibromas in four and six rats, respectively; multiple sarcomas were present in one male in the $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group (Table A1). The incidences of fibroma, fibrosarcoma, or sarcoma (combined) in $2,500,5,000$ and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuous-exposure males and in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males exceeded the NTP historical control range (Tables 7 and A4b). Fibroma consisted of a uniform mass of spindle-shaped cells within a dense matrix of collagen fibers (Plate 1). Except for the absence of a glandular component, fibromas were morphologically similar to fibroadenomas observed in the same exposure groups of male rats. Sarcomas were composed of anaplastic, spindle-shaped cells that had indistinct and variable growth patterns. Because of the absence of sufficient differentiation of the sarcomas, it was not possible to determine the cell of origin for these malignant mesenchymal tumors.

TABLE 7
Incidences of Skin Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |

* Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by the logistic regression test or the life table test (subcutaneous neoplasms).
** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$ bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2 -year study.
b Number of animals with skin examined microscopically
c Number of animals with neoplasms
d No animals from the stop-exposure group were examined at the 15 -month interim evaluation
e Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): 101/1,353 ( $7.5 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ ); range $2 \%-16 \%$
f Historical incidence: $89 / 1,353(6.6 \% \pm 4.3 \%$ ); range $0 \%-16 \%$ (includes data for neurofibrosarcoma, fibrosarcoma, sarcoma, neurofibroma, and fibroma)

Mammary Gland: The incidences of benign mammary gland neoplasms (fibroadenoma and fibroadenoma or adenoma [combined]) were significantly greater in the stop-exposure group of male rats and in all continuous-exposure groups of male rats than in the control group (Tables 8 and A3). In female rats, the incidences of fibroadenoma and of fibroadenoma, adenoma, or carcinoma (combined) in all exposed groups were greater than those in the control group (Tables 8 and B3). In male rats, the incidences of fibroadenoma or adenoma (combined) in the 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ groups exceeded the NTP historical control range (Tables 8 and A4c). In female rats, the incidence of fibroadenoma, adenoma, or carcinoma (combined) in all exposure groups exceeded the historical control range (Tables 8
and B4a). The incidences of multiple fibroadenoma in all exposed female groups were greater than that in the control group (Table B1). Fibroadenomas were morphologically similar in exposed and control groups and consisted of multiple foci of a welldifferentiated epithelial component, forming ductules and alveoli that were surrounded by a dense proliferation of fibrous connective tissue. While the connective tissue component was prominent and sometimes composed the major portion of the fibroadenoma, the adenomas consisted predominantly of glands, ductules, or alveoli with little or no apparent fibrous stroma. Incidences of farcinoma in exposed groups did not differ significantly from those in the control groups (Tables 8, A3, and B3).

Table 8
Incidences of Mammary Gland Neoplasms in Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mammary Gland |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fibroadenoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0/51 (0\%) | 4/53 (8\%) | 6/51 (12\%) | 6/55 (11\%) | 5/60 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0\% | 18.9\% | $42.6 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0/26 (0\%) | 3/20 (15\%) | 5/13 (38\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | $0 / 0$ |
| First incidence (days) | $\sim^{\mathbf{f}}$ | 725 | 726 | 576 | 592 |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.034$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Fibroadenoma, Multiple |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | $2 / 51$ (4\%) | 0/55 (0\%) | 1/60 (2\%) |
| Adenoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 1/55 (2\%) | $0 / 60$ (0\%) |
| Fibroadenoma or Adenoma ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 4/53 (8\%) | 7/51 (14\%) | $7 / 55$ (13\%) | 5/60 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 18.9\% | 44.8\% | 53.2\% | 57.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 3/20 (15\%) | 5/13 (38\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | - | 725 | 684 | 576 | 592 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.034$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8
Incidences of Mammary Gland Neoplasms in Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim |  |  |  |  |
| Mammary Gland | 10 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| Fibroadenoma | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |
| Mammary Gland |  |  |  |  |
| Fibroadenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 25/50 (50\%) | 45/51 (88\%) | 46/53 (87\%) | 45/52 (87\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 60.7\% | 95.7\% | 97.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 20/36 (56\%) | 25/27 (93\%) | 22/23 (96\%) | 5/5 (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 516 | 460 | 565 | 460 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Fibroadenoma, Multiple |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $6 / 50$ (12\%) | 37/51** (73\%) | 40/53** (75\%) | 37/52** (71\%) |
| Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/50 (0\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 0/52 (0\%) |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/50 (8\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 3/53 (6\%) | 4/52 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 9.7\% | 12.9\% | 7.2\% | 10.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/36 (3\%) | $3 / 27$ (11\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | 0/5 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 624 | 404 | 388 | 432 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.211 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.603 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.341 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.313 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Fibroadenoma, Adenoma, or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 27/50 (54\%) | 47/51 (92\%) | 47/53 (89\%) | $47 / 52$ (90\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 62.5\% | 97.9\% | 97.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 20/36 (56\%) | 26/27 (96\%) | 22/23 (96\%) | $5 / 5$ (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 516 | 404 | 388 | 432 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | P<0.001 | P<0.001 | P<0.001 |

[^6]Zymbal's Gland: The incidences of Zymbal's gland adenoma in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and of adenoma or carcinoma in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males were significantly greater than those in the controls (Tables 9 and A3). The incidences of adenoma in all other exposed groups, and the incidences of carcinoma, or adenoma or carcinoma (combined) in all continuously exposed male and female rats were not significantly different from those of the control groups. The incidences of adenoma or carcinoma (combined) in 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuousexposure males, and in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males, exceeded the NTP historical control range (Tables 9 and A4d). In the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-
exposure group, two rats developed bilateral Zymbal's gland carcinoma (Table A1). The Zymbal's gland neoplasms frequently ulcerated through the skin and in almost all instances were the primary cause of the moribund condition of the rats. These neoplasms are of modified sebaceous gland origin, and those that occurred in exposed groups were morphologically similar to the malignant Zymbal's gland neoplasms that infrequently occur in control rats. Carcinomas were expansile, invasive neoplasms that extended into the adjacent muscle and soft tissues. Two carcinomas in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males, one in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males, and one in a control male metastasized to the lung (Table A1).

Table 9
Incidences of Zymbal's Gland Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm $^{\text {a }}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zymbal's Gland |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adenoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0/51 (0\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 3/55 (5\%) | 2/60 (3\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 52.6\% | 7.9\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | $0 / 1(0 \%)$ | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | - ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | - | 694 | 556 | 513 |
| Life table test ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.422$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.020$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.129$ |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/51 (4\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 2/55 (4\%) | 15/60 (25\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 4.1\% | 3.8\% | 7.2\% | 5.8\% | 44.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | 334 | 696 | 592 | 516 | 222 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.286$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.554 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.467$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.582$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/51 (4\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 5/55 (9\%) | 15/60 (25\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 4.1\% | 3.8\% | 11.2\% | 55.4\% | 44.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | 334 | 696 | 592 | 516 | 222 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.544 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.286$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.067$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

[^7]Oral Cavity (Pharynx, Tongue, and Gingiva), Esophagus, and Forestomach: The incidences of squamous cell papilloma of the oral cavity in exposed males (continuous- and stop-exposure) were significantly greater than that in the control group (Tables 10 and A3). Additionally, the incidences of squamous cell papilloma of the esophagus in male and female rats exposed to $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were significantly greater than those in the control groups (Tables 10, A3, and B3). These benign neoplasms were observed grossly at necropsy and consisted of well-demarcated exophytic nodular or papillary masses arising from the mucosal surface of the tongue, soft or hard palate of the pharynx, gingiva, or esophagus. In male rats these neoplasms were more commonly observed in the oral cavity (Plate 2), while in female rats the higher incidences occurred in the esophageal mucosa. Some of these esophageal squamous cell neoplasms occurred near the proximal origin of the esophagus at the posterior aspect of the pharynx. In exposed rats, the incidences of squamous cell carcinoma at these sites were not significantly different from those of the control groups;
however, these malignant neoplasms occurred only in the oral cavities of exposed rats (Tables 10, A1, and B1). The incidences of squamous cell neoplasms of the oral cavity in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males were similar to those in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males (Tables 10 and A1). The incidences of squamous cell papilloma and carcinoma (combined) of the oral cavity in all exposed groups of males and 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ females exceeded the NTP historical control ranges (Tables $10, \mathrm{~A} 4 \mathrm{~g}$, and B 4 e ).

Squamous cell papilloma of the forestomach (Plate 3) occurred in exposed groups of rats, but the incidence was only significant in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males (Tables 10, A3, and B3). These benign squamous cell neoplasms in the forestomach were morphologically similar to those that occurred in the oral cavity and esophagus. There were no significantly increased incidences of inflammation, necrosis, or diffuse hyperplasia at these sites, but focal areas of squamous cell hyperplasia in the tongue, palate of the pharynx, or esophagus were present in a few rats from exposed groups (Tables 10, A5, and B5).

Table 10
Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Oral Cavity, Esophagus, and Forestomach in Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pharynx ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 |
| Palate, Epithelium, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tongue | - | 2 | 5 | 13 | 9 |
| Epithelium, Hyperplasia, Focal |  | 0 | 0 | 4 (2.3) | 3 (2.3) |
| Oral Cavity (Pharynx, Tongue, or Gingiva) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | $0 / 51(0 \%)$ | 4/53 (8\%) | $8 / 51$ (16\%) | 10/55 (18\%) | 12/60 (20\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 35.7\% | 44.2\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 0726 (0\%) | 4/20 (20\%) | 3/13 (23\%) | $0 / 1$ (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | _ | 736 (T) | $536$ | $381$ | $511$ |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | P<0.001 | $\mathrm{P}=0.033$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 0/55 (0\%) | 2/60 (3\%) |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma or Squamous Cell Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 4/53 (8\%) | 9/51 (18\%) | 10/55 (18\%) | 13/60 (22\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 39.0\% | 44.2\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 4/20 (20\%) | 3/13 (23\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | - | 736 (T) | 536 | 381 | 511 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.033$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Esophagus | 51 | 53 | 51 | 55 | 60 |
| Epithelium, Hyperplasia, Focal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (2.0) | 0 |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | $0 / 53$ (0\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 5/55 (9\%) | 0/60 (0\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3:2\% | 62.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 662 | 549 | - |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.507$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.021$ | - |
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/55 (2\%) | 0/60 (0\%) |
| Forestomach | 51 | 53 | 51 | 55 | 59 |
| Mucosa, Hyperplasia | 4 (1.8) | 12 (1.8) | 6 (2.3) | 6 (2.0) | 6 (1.5) |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/55 (2\%) | 5/60 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 26.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | $0 / 1$ (0\%) | $0 / 0$ |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | - | 604 | 511 |
| Logistic regression test | P $<0.001$ | - | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.571$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.028$ |

Table 10
Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Oral Cavity, Esophagus, and Forestomach in Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| Pharynx | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Palate, Epithelium, |  |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, Focal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (1.0) |
| Tongue | 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| Epithelium, Hyperplasia, Focal | 0 | 1 (2.0) | 1 (2.0) | 1 (2.0) |
| Oral Cavity (Pharynx or Tongue) |  |  |  |  |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/50 (4\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 4/53 (8\%) | 5/52 (10\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 5.6\% | 7.4\% | 11.5\% | 47.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/36 (6\%) | 2/27 (7\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | $2 / 5$ (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 738 (T) | 738 (T) | 627 | 577 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.054$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.588$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.348$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.094$ |
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/50 (0\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 1/52 (2\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 2.6\% | 3.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/36 (0\%) | $0 / 27$ (0\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | $0 / 5$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 723 | 662 | 631 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.408$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.494$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.544$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.591$ |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma or Squamous Cell Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {m }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/50 (4\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 5/53 (9\%) | 6/52 (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 5.6\% | 10.4\% | 13.8\% | 48.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/36 (6\%) | 2/27 (7\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 2/5 (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 738 (T) | 723 | 627 | 577 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.042$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.424$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.236$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.064$ |
| Esophagus | 50 | 51 | 53 | 52 |
| Epithelium, Hyperplasia, Focal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (2.0) |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/50 (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 10/52 (19\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 42.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/36 (0\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 0/5 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 738 (T) | 474 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.411$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |

(T)Terminal sacrifice
a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2-year study.
b Number of animals with organ examined microscopically
c Number of animals with lesion
d Organ not examined at this exposure level
e Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
$f$ Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals necropsied
$g$ Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality.
${ }^{h}$ Observed incidence in animals surviving until the end of the study
1 In the control column are the $P$ values assaciated with the trend test (the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group was excluded from the trend test). In the exposure group columns are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposure group. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal.
j Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group
k Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): $11 / 1,353(0.8 \% \pm 1.4 \%)$; range $0 \%-4 \%$ (includes data for oral mucosa, tongue, pharynx, tooth, and lip)
1 Historical incidence: $3 / 1351(0.2 \% \pm 0.6 \%)$; range $0 \%-2 \%$
${ }^{m}$ Historical incidence: $12 / 1,351(0.9 \% \pm 1.4 \%)$; range $0 \%-6 \%$ (includes data for oral mucosa, tongue, pharynx, tooth, and lip)

Small and Large Intestine: The incidence of adenoma or carcinoma (combined) of the small intestine in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males was greater than that in the control group (Tables 11 and A1), although the difference was not statistically significant, and the incidence exceeded the NTP historical control range (Tables 11 and A 4 j ). The carcinomas were characterized by extensive invasion of the muscular wall of the intestine and a marked scirrhous response around and within the neoplasm. Several carcinomas contained cystic areas, and one carcinoma contained an area of osseous metaplasia (Table A5). One male from the stop-exposure group exhibited focal hyperplasia with osseous metaplasia in the mucosa of the small intestine (Table A5).

In the large intestine of males, there was a significant positive trend in the incidences of adenoma (adeno-
matous polyp) (Tables 11 and A3). In $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males, the incidence of adenoma of the large intestine was significantly greater than that in the control group. Additionally, the incidences of adenoma or carcinoma (combined) in the large intestine of $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male rats were significantly greater than that in the control group and exceeded the NTP historical control range (Tables 11, A3, and A4i). Adenomas of the large intestine were all generally similar polypoid masses extending into the intestinal lumen and composed of irregularly shaped, distended glands lined by a tall columnar epithelium (Plate 4).

In females, one rat in the $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group had a carcinoma in the small intestine, and one rat in the $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ group had an adenoma of the large intestine (Table B1).

Table 11
Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Intestine in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm $^{\text {a }}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small Intestine ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 51 | 53 | 51 | 53 | 59 |
| Mucosa, Hyperplasia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1(4.0)^{\text {d }}$ | 0 |
| Mucosa, Hyperplasia, Cystic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (3.0) |
| Adenoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Carcinoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5* |
| Large Intestine | 51 | 53 | 51 | 55 | 59 |
| Adenoma | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 10* |
| Carcinoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 11** |

[^8]Mesothelium: In males in the 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuous-exposure groups and in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group, the incidences of mesothelioma were significantly greater than that in the control group (Tables 12 and A3). In each of these groups the incidence of mesothelioma exceeded the NTP historical control range ( $0 \%-8 \%$; Table A4k). In some rats, the more widespread mesotheliomas were considered to be the cause of death. Mesotheliomas typically covered portions or most of the testis and
epididymis. Some of these neoplasms extended throughout the abdominal cavity and formed masses on the serosal surfaces of the mesentery, pancreas, intestine, or spleen. Typically, mesothelioma consisted of cuboidal cells that formed papillary and tubular structures as well as multilayered plaques on the testes and abdominal viscera (Plate 5). Invasion into the tissues of abdominal viscera and metastatic lesions in the thoracic lymph nodes was a feature of the more highly malignant mesotheliomas.

TABLe 12
Incidences of Malignant Mesothelioma in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Organs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malignant Mesothelioma |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Organs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malignant Mesothelioma ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 3/53 (6\%) | 8/51 (16\%) | 9/55 (16\%) | 26/60 (43\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 43.3\% | 100.0\% | 91.5\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 4/13 (31\%) | 1/1 (100\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 586 | 681 | 495 | 365 |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.157$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

[^9]Kidney and Urinary Bladder: In male rats, the incidence of renal tubule adenoma in the $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group was marginally but significantly greater than that in the control group; a single adenoma also occurred in one male in the $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group and in one male in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group (Tables 13, A1, and A3). However, the incidences of renal tubule adenoma in all exposed groups were within the NTP historical control range (Tables 13 and A41). The incidences of renal tubule hyperplasia in the exposed groups were similar to the incidence in the control group (Tables 13 and A5). In female rats, renal tubule adenoma occurred in one animal in the $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ group. Although renal tubule adenoma is rare in female rats, this single incidence was within the NTP historical control range (Tables 13 and B4i).

In the urinary bladder, a transitional cell papilloma was observed in one $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male at the 15 -month interim evaluation (Tables 14 and A1). Transitional cell papillomas were also observed in males from the 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ groups and the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group at 2 years; one $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male and one $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure male had transitional cell carcinomas (Table A1). In the current NTP historical database there are no occurrences of transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder; three papillomas have occurred in 1,329 male rats.

Incidences and types of treatment-related nonneoplastic lesions in the kidney and urinary bladder at 15 months and 2 years were similar to those observed at the same sites in the 13 -week studies. In the 2 -year study, these lesions generally occurred earlier in males than in females, and the incidences and severities in males were greater than those in females. By 15 months and after 2 years, in addition to papillary degeneration, there were increases in the incidences of hyperplasia of the renal papilla epithelium, hyperplasia of the transitional epithelium lining of the renal pelvis, and focal renal tubule atrophy in male rats (Tables 13 and A5). Necrosis, mineralization, and hemorrhage were components of the more severe examples of papillary degeneration that occurred in the 2-year study (Plate 6), but not in the 13 -week studies. There were also treatmentrelated cortical lesions consisting of focal linear or wedge-shaped areas of atrophy or collapse of renal tubules with fibrosis and inflammation. Hyperplasia of the epithelium lining the papilla and pelvis was not always associated with the degree of severity of the papillary degeneration. The incidence and severity of nephropathy were similar in exposed and control groups of male and female rats, although the average severity was slightly decreased in exposed males (Tables 13 and A5). In the urinary bladder of male rats, transitional cell hyperplasia was present, primarily in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group (Tables 14 and A5).

Table 13
Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Kidney in Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm $^{\text {a }}$ <br> (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 9 | 7 | 9 | 5 | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Atrophy, Focal ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1(3.0)^{\text {e }}$ |  |
| Papillary Degeneration | 0 | 0 | 2 (2.5) | 4** (1.5) |  |
| Papillary Epithelial Hyperplasia | 1 (1.0) | 0 | 1 (2.0) | 5** (2.0) |  |
| Transitional Cell Carcinoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | 51 | 53 | 51 | 55 | 59 |
| Atrophy, Focal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5* (3.0) | 0 |
| Papillary Degeneration | 0 | 5 (1.4) | 30** (1.5) | 29** (2.1) | 16** (1.2) |
| Papillary Epithelial Hyperplasia | 10 (1.0) | 20** (1.3) | 25** (1.3) | 47** (1.9) | 21* (1.1) |
| Pelvis, Transitional Epithelium, Hyperplasia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Nephropathy | 51 (2.2) | 53 (2.2) | 51 (1.8) | 53 (1.7) | 58 (1.6) |
| Renal Tubule, Epithelium, Hyperplasia, Focal | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Renal Tubule Adenoma ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3** | 1 |
| Transitional Cell Carcinoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | 50 | 51 | 53 | 52 |  |
| Atrophy, Focal | 0 | 2 (1.5) | 1 (2.0) | 7* (2.9) |  |
| Papillary Degeneration | 0 | 1 (1.0) | 3 (1.7) | 17** (2.1) |  |
| Papillary Epithelial Hyperplasia | 0 | 1 (1.0) | 1 (1.0) | 7** (1.4) |  |
| Pelvis, Transitional Epithelium, Hyperplasia | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Nephropathy | 48 (1.4) | 50 (1.2) | 50 (1.3) | 50 (1.3) |  |
| Renal Tubule Adenoma ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

[^10]Table 14
Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Urinary Bladder in Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm $^{\text {a }}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urinary Bladder ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 9 | 7 | 9 | 5 |  |
| Transitional Cell Papilloma ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urinary Bladder | 51 | 53 | 51 | 55 | 59 |
| Transitional Cell Hyperplasia | 0 | 0 | $1(1.0)^{\text {e }}$ | 3 (1.3) | 10 (1.1) |
| Transitional Cell Papilloma | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Transitional Cell Carcinoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Transitional Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urinary Bladder | 50 | 51 | 53 | 52 |  |
| Transitional Cell Hyperplasia | 0 | 0 | 1 (2.0) | 0 |  |
| Transitional Cell Papilloma | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2-year study.
b Number of animals with urinary bladder examined microscopically
c Number of animals with lesion
d No animals in the stop-exposure group were examined at the 15 -month interim evaluation.
e Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
f Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): 3/1,329 ( $0.23 \% \pm 0.64 \%$ ); range $0 \%-2 \%$

Lung: The incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma or carcinoma (combined) in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuous-exposure males and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stopexposure males were significantly greater than that in the control group (Tables 15 and A3) and approached or exceeded the upper limit of the NTP historical control range (Tables 15 and A4m). Multiple carcinomas were present in one $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male and one $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure male (Table A1). The adenomas consisted of papillary and solid areas of well-differentiated, cuboidal to columnar epithelium. Carcinomas had increased cellular and nuclear atypia with local invasion and areas of mesenchymal cell proliferation or fibrosis. Metastatic neoplasms were not present. Squamous cell carcinoma was present in the lung of three male rats from the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group (Table 15). This neoplasm is very rare in control
rats, and none appear in the NTP historical database of 1,350 control male rats from dosed feed studies. Squamous cell carcinoma of the lung is morphologically similar to malignant squamous cell neoplasms that occur at other sites. In this study, these were locally invasive neoplasms composed of squamous cells, abundant keratin production, and local scirrhous response. One squamous cell carcinoma was metastatic to the brain, heart, adrenal gland, pancreas, and other abdominal viscera. In male rats there was also a significant increase in the incidence of alveolar/bronchiolar hyperplasia in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group. In female rats, a few alveolar/bronchiolar neoplasms occurred only in exposed groups ( $0 / 50,1 / 51,0 / 53,2 / 52$; Table B1) but the incidences were not significantly different from those in the control group and were within the NTP historical control range ( $0 \%-10 \%$; Table B4j).

Table 15
Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Lung in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 20,000 ppm ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lung ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 51 | 53 | 51 | 55 | 60 |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $3(2.3)^{\text {d }}$ | 4 (1.8) | 5 (1.4) | 7 (1.7) | 14** (1.6) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 1/51 (2\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 1/55 (2\%) | 4/60 (7\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 18.6\% | 10.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 2/13 (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | 696 | $\sim^{\text {- }}$ | 684 | 682 | 513 |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.182$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.515 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.211$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.644$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.086$ |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 3/55 (5\%) | 3/60 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.3\% | 21.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/20 (5\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | - | 736 (T) | (13 (0\%) | 620 | 522 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.448$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.118$ |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma, Multiple |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | $0 / 53$ (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/55 (2\%) | 1/60 (2\%) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 4/55 (7\%) | 7/60 (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.9\% | 5.0\% | 18.6\% | 63.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/20 (5\%) | $2 / 13$ (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | 696 | 736 (T) | 684 | 620 | 513 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.726$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.211$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.029$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ |
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | $0 / 53$ (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 0/55 (0\%) | 3/60 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 13.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | - | - | 365 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.028$ | - | - | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.330$ |

[^11]Thyroid Gland: In males, the incidence of follicular cell adenoma in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group and the incidence of follicular cell carcinoma in the $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group were significantly greater than the incidences in the control group. The combined incidences of follicular cell adenoma or carcinoma in $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuous-exposure males, $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ females were significantly greater than those in the control groups and exceeded the NTP historical control range for males and females (Tables 16, A3, A4n, B3, and B4k). In males, the highest incidence occurred in the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group; 12 of the 20 adenomas or carcinomas that occurred in exposed rats
were observed grossly. In exposed females, none of the follicular cell neoplasms were observed at necropsy. Follicular cell neoplasms in exposed rats were morphologically similar to those in control rats. Adenomas were well-demarcated masses that were generally not encapsulated and were composed of cuboidal follicular epithelium forming papillary, solid areas or an atypical follicular pattern. Follicular cell carcinomas were locally invasive neoplasms that often were associated with a scirrhous response; two of the carcinomas in exposed males metastasized to the lung or lymph nodes. Follicular cell hyperplasia was also significantly increased in male rats from the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group (Tables 16 and A5).

Table 16
Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Thyroid Gland in Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 $\mathrm{ppm}^{\mathrm{a}}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thyroid Gland ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 51 | 53 | 51 | 55 | 59 |
| Follicular Cell Hyperplasia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1(3.0)^{\text {d }}$ | 0 | 2 (1.5) | 5 (2.2) | 6* (1.8) |
| Follicular Cell Adenoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 2/55 (4\%) | $7 / 59$ (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 8.4\% | 8.8\% | 39.9\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/20 (5\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | -i | 736 (T) | 666 | 608 | 432 |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.113$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.448$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.233$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.274$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.021$ |
| Follicular Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 1/55 (2\%) | 2/59 (3\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 20.8\% | 5.9\% | 26.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 2/13 (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | - | 610 | 633 | 647 | 388 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.235$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.549$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.047$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.492$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.399$ |
| Follicular Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 2/53 (4\%) | 6/51 (12\%) | 3/55 (5\%) | 9/59 (15\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 27.5\% | 14.2\% | 55.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/20 (5\%) | 2/13 (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | - | 610 | 633 | 608 | 388 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.055$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.239$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.124$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ |
| (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 16
Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Thyroid Gland in Rats
in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |
| Thyroid Gland |  |  |  |  |
| Follicular Cell Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/10 (0\%) | 0/9 (0\%) | 0/7 (0\%) | 1/8 (13\%) |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |
| Follicular Cell Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/50 (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 2/53 (4\%) | 3/52 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 35.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/36 (0\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 1/5 (20\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 508 | 689 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.021$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.320$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.012$ |
| Follicular Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/50 (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | $0 / 53$ (0\%) | 1/52 (2\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 20.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/36 (0\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | 1/5 (20\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | - | 738 (T) |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.032$ | - | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.124$ |
| Follicular Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 50$ (0\%) | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 2/53 (4\%) | 4/52 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 51.5\% |
| Terminal rate | $0 / 36$ (0\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | $2 / 5$ (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 508 | 689 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.320$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |

[^12]Accessory Sex Glands: There was one adenoma and one carcinoma of the seminal vesicle in male rats from the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group (Tables 17 and A1). Neoplasms of the seminal vesicle are rare and none have occurred in rats from the current NTP historical database. The adenoma of the seminal vesicle consisted of a focally expansile mass that filled the lumen, with glandular and solid areas formed by a generally well-differentiated, closely packed, tall, columnar epithelium. The carcinoma was a highly invasive neoplasm with marked cellular atypia. Metastatic foci were present in the lung, spleen, and other abdominal viscera. At 15 months, hyperplasia of the seminal vesicle was present in a few rats from exposed groups; at 2 years the incidences of hyperplasia in males from the $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuous-exposure group and the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stopexposure group were greater than the incidence in the
control group (Tables 17 and A5). Hyperplasia consisted of one or more focal areas with increased cellularity of the mucosal lining of the seminal vesicle. The hyperplastic epithelium was increased in height and more closely packed compared to the cells forming normal adjacent mucosal lining; the fibrovascular stroma in the foci of hyperplasia was often more prominent than in the normal areas of the mucosa (Plate 7). Although the cellular morphology was similar to adenoma, in the focal hyperplasia, there was a lack of compression or distortion of adjacent tissue in the seminal vesicle. In the coagulating gland, which is attached to the seminal vesicle, there was a slight increase in the incidence of hyperplasia in exposed male rats. The focal areas of hyperplasia of the coagulating gland in exposed male rats were morphologically similar to those seen in the seminal vesicle.

Table 17
Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Seminal Vesicle in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm $^{\text {a }}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seminal Vesicle ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 9 | 7 | 9 | 5 | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Hyperplasia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | $2(1.0)^{e}$ | 5* (1.2) | 1 (2.0) |  |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seminal Vesicle | 51 | 53 | 51 | 55 | 60 |
| Hyperplasia | 1 (1.0) | 6 (1.0) | 4 (1.0) | 16** (1.4) | 33** (1.3) |
| Adenoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Carcinoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Coagulating gland ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia | 0 | 1 (1.0) | 0 | 2 (1.0) | 3 (1.0) |

[^13]Hematopoietic System: The incidences of mononuclear cell leukemia in male rats from the 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuous-exposure groups and the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group were significantly greater than that in the control group (Tables 18 and A3). The incidence of mononuclear cell leukemia in the $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group of males exceeded the NTP historical control range (Tables 18 and A40). Infiltration of leukemic cells generally involved numerous organs and this neoplasm was frequently considered to be the cause of death for exposed and control rats. The incidences of fibrosis of the spleen were slightly increased in 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males, and the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stopexposure males (Tables 18 and A5). This lesion is
often present in the spleen of rats with mononuclear cell leukemia which was also increased in these three groups. Lymphoid hyperplasia of the mandibular lymph node in $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males and hematopoiesis of the spleen in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure males, were also considered secondary changes that were slightly increased over the background incidence typically seen in control rats (Tables 18, A5, and B5). In male rats the increased incidence of lymphoid hyperplasia was seen primarily in the regional mandibular lymph node of rats with Zymbal's gland carcinoma. Splenic hematopoiesis was often present in rats with multiple neoplasms, including carcinoma of the Zymbal's, mammary, or clitoral gland.

TABLE 18
Incidences of Mononuclear Cell Leukemia and Nonneoplastic Lesions in the Hematopoietic System in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm |  | 2,500 ppm |  | 5,000 ppm |  | 10,000 ppm |  | 20,000 ppm $^{\text {a }}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lymph node, mandibular ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 49 |  | 52 |  | 49 |  | 55 |  | 59 |
| Hyperplasia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | (2.3) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2 | (2.0) |  | (3.5) | 3 | (2.7) | 10** (2.8) |
| Spleen | 51 |  | 53 |  | 51 |  | 54 |  | 60 |
| Fibrosis, focal |  | (2.0) | 10 | (2.1) |  | (2.2) | 24* | (2.3) | 28** (2.4) |
| Hematopoiesis |  | (3.0) | 3 | (3.0) |  | (2.7) |  | (2.8) | 17** (2.9) |
| Mononuclear Cell Leukemia ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 27/5 | 1 (53\%) |  | 3 (55\%) | 40/5 | 1** (78\%) | 34/ | ** (62\%) | 25/60** (42\%) |

* Significantly different $(\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05)$ from the control group by the logistic regression test.
** Significantly different ( $\mathbf{P} \leq 0.01$ ) from the control group by the logistic regression test or the life table test (mononuclear cell leukemia).
a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2 -year study.
b Number of animals with organ examined microscopically
c Number of animals with lesion
d Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
e Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): 661/1,353 ( $48.9 \% \pm 8.8 \%$ ); range $32 \%-62 \%$
f Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals necropsied

Pancreas: The incidences of pancreatic acinar adenoma in all groups of exposed males were slightly greater than the incidence in controls, and the increase was significant in the $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group (Tables 19 and A3). The incidence in each group of exposed males was within the historical control range ( $0 \%-10 \%$; Tables 19 and A4p). Acinar adenomas were discrete, nodular masses that slightly compressed or displaced surrounding pancreatic tissue. Adenomas were composed of glands or irregularly formed acini of generally welldifferentiated pancreatic acinar cells, some of which
varied slightly in size and shape compared to normal acinar cells.

The incidences of hyperplasia in all exposed groups of male rats were significantly greater than the incidence in the control group (Tables 19 and A5). These minimal to mild focal lesions were morphologically similar to the adenomas but were smaller and did not compress or distort surrounding tissue. There was minimal alteration of the acinar structure and minimal variation in cell size compared to the normal acinar cells.

Table 19
Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Pancreas in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm $^{\text {a }}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pancreas, Acinar Cell ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 51 | 53 | 51 | 53 | 59 |
| Focal Hyperplasia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $3(1.7)^{\text {d }}$ | 9* (1.9) | 12* (1.3) | 14** (1.8) | 27** (2.0) |
| Acinar Cell Adenoma ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1/51 (2\%) | 2/53 (4\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 3/53 (6\%) | $3 / 59$ (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 3.8\% | 10.0\% | 30.8\% | 34.1\% | 8.5\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 1/26 (4\%) | 2/20 (10\%) | 4/13 (31\%) | 0/1 (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 736 (T) | 736 (T) | 604 | 507 |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.408$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.033$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.089$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.447$ |

[^14]
## Mice

## 13-Week Study

Five male and four female mice, dispersed among control and exposed groups, died during the study (Table 20). The final mean body weights and body weight gains of $1,250,2,500,5,000$, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females and of 625 ppm females were significantly lower than those of the controls. Feed consumption by exposed mice was generally higher than that by controls throughout the study (Table 20). Dietary levels of $625,1,250,2,500,5,000$, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ delivered average daily doses of 100 , $200,500,1,300$, and $3,000 \mathrm{mg} 2,2$-bis(bromo-methyl)-1,3-propanediol $/ \mathrm{kg}$ body weight to males and $140,300,600,1,200$, and $2,900 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ to females. Clinical findings included abnormal posture and hypoactivity in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male and female mice.

At the end of the study, serum blood urea nitrogen concentrations were increased in $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ females and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females (Table G2).

Additionally, decreased urine specific gravity occurred in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ females. Renal papillary necrosis with tubular regeneration and fibrosis occurred in males exposed to $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ or greater and in females exposed to $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. This would be consistent with the blood urea nitrogen concentration increases (azotemia) and the isosthenuric specific gravity.

The absolute and relative weights of several organs in 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ animals were lower than those in the control group (Table F4). These findings were attributed to the low body weights in these groups.

In males exposed to 5,000 or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$, weights of the right cauda and right epididymis were significantly lower than those of control males and decreased with increasing exposure level (Table H2). In females, estrous cycle length increased with increasing exposure level, but the differences from the controls were not statistically significant.

Table 20
Survival, Mean Body Weights, and Feed Consumption of Mice in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Dose (ppm) | Survival ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean Body Weight ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (g) |  |  | Final Weight Relative to Controls (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { Consumption } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Initial | Final | Change |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week 1 | Week 13 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10/10 | $22.7 \pm 0.4$ | $33.3 \pm 0.8$ | $10.6 \pm 0.7$ |  | 4.1 | 4.6 |
| 625 | $8 / 10^{\text {d }}$ | $22.3 \pm 0.3$ | $31.7 \pm 0.9$ | $9.5 \pm 0.6$ | 95 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| 1,250 | 10/10 | $22.7 \pm 0.4$ | $30.9 \pm 0.8 *$ | $8.2 \pm 0.6 * *$ | 93 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| 2,500 | 10/10 | $22.8 \pm 0.3$ | $29.4 \pm 0.4 * *$ | $6.6 \pm 0.3 * *$ | 88 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 5,000 | 10/10 | $22.2 \pm 0.3$ | $26.1 \pm 0.3 * *$ | $3.9 \pm 0.4^{* *}$ | 78 | 5.7 | 6.7 |
| 10,000 | $7 / 10^{\text {e }}$ | $22.7 \pm 0.4$ | $21.7 \pm 0.5 * *$ | $-0.8 \pm 0.6 * *$ | 65 | 5.5 | 7.5 |

## Female

| 0 | $9 / 10^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $17.9 \pm 0.3$ | $30.2 \pm 0.9$ | $12.3 \pm 0.8$ |  | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 625 | $9 / 10^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $17.6 \pm 0.3$ | $28.4 \pm 0.8^{*}$ | $10.7 \pm 0.6^{*}$ | 94 | 4.8 | 5.6 |
| 1,250 | $9 / 10^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $18.0 \pm 0.3$ | $27.8 \pm 0.8^{* *}$ | $9.7 \pm 0.7^{* *}$ | 92 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| 2,500 | $9 / 10^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $17.6 \pm 0.2$ | $25.5 \pm 0.2^{* *}$ | $7.9 \pm 0.2^{* *}$ | 85 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| 5,000 | $9 / 10^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $17.5 \pm 0.3$ | $22.3 \pm 0.3^{* *}$ | $4.9 \pm 0.3^{* *}$ | 74 | 5.0 | 4.4 |
| 10,000 | $10 / 10$ | $17.8 \pm 0.3$ | $17.9 \pm 0.4^{* *}$ | $0.1 \pm 0.4^{* *}$ | 59 | 5.0 | 5.4 |

[^15]There were no treatment-related gross lesions in exposed mice from the 13 -week study. Treatmentrelated microscopic lesions were present in the kidney and urinary bladder of male and female mice (Table 21). In the kidney, there was an exposureand treatment-related increase in the incidence of papillary necrosis. In the cortex of the kidney, there were foci of renal tubule regeneration and fibrosis. These lesions were present in $2,500,5,000$, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male mice and in the $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ females. Papillary necrosis involved both the interstitial and renal tubule epithelium at the tip of the
renal papilla. Renal tubule regeneration consisted of multiple, focal lesions in the cortex characterized by degeneration and regeneration of tubule epithelium; minimal to mild fibrosis was frequently present in the areas of regeneration. In the urinary bladder of mice from the 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ groups there was mild hyperplasia of the transitional epithelium. In seven of nine female mice from the $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group, there was also a minimal inflammatory cell infiltration in the urinary bladder mucosa and focal necrosis of the transitional cell epithelium.

Table 21
Incidences of Selected Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Necrosis, Papillary ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5* (1.2) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4* (1.5) | 9** (2.2) |
| Regeneration, Renal Tubule | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4* (1.3) | 4* (1.5) | 7** (2.3) |
| Fibrosis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4* (1.3) | 2 (1.5) | 7** (2.1) |
| Urinary Bladder | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 |
| Hyperplasia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4* (1.0) | 7** (2.0) |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Necrosis, Papillary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (1.0) |
| Regeneration, Renal Tubule | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4* (1.8) |
| Fibrosis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (1.5) |
| Urinary Bladder | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Hyperplasia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10** (2.0) | 9** (1.6) |

* Significantly different $(\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05)$ from the control group by the Fisher exact test
** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of animals with organ examined microscopically
b Number of animals with lesion
c Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked

Dose Selection Rationale: Based on lower final mean body weights and organ weights in 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females, and the presence of kidney (papillary necrosis) and urinary bladder
lesions in the $2,500,5,000$, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females in the 13 -week feed study, the high dose selected for the 2-year feed study in male and female mice was $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 2-Year Study

## Survival

Estimates of 2-year survival probabilities for male and female mice are shown in Table 22 and in the Kaplan-Meier survival curves in Figure 3. Survival of $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females was significantly lower than that of the respective controls.

## Body Weights, Feed and Compound Consumption, and Clinical Findings

Mean body weights of exposed male and female mice were similar to controls throughout the study
(Figure 4 and Tables 23 and 24). Final mean body weights were also generally similar to those of controls. Feed consumption by exposed male and female mice was similar to that by controls (Tables J3 and J4). Dietary levels of 312,625 , and $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ delivered average daily doses of 35 , 70, and 140 mg 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol $/ \mathrm{kg}$ body weight to males and 40,80 , and $170 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ to females. Clinical findings included swelling, discharge, and tissue masses involving the eye in exposed mice.

Table 22
Survival of Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 15-Month interim evaluation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Accidental deaths ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Missing ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Moribund | 3 | 12 | 11 | 13 |
| Natural deaths | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Animals surviving to study termination | 42 | 36 | 35 | 30 |
| Percent probability of survival at the end of study ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 84 | 71 | 70 | 63 |
| Mean survival (days) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 710 | 675 | 698 | 684 |
| Survival analysis ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.054$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.169$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.174$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.038$ |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 15-Month interim evaluation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Moribund | 9 | 14 | 14 | 29 |
| Natural deaths | 6 | 6 | 11 | 10 |
| Animals surviving to study termination | 37 | 30 | 26 | 11 |
| Percent probability of survival at the end of study | 71 | 60 | 51 | 22 |
| Mean survival (days) | 690 | 685 | 691 | 625 |
| Survival analysis | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.422$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.117$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

[^16]

Figure 3
Kaplan-Meier Survival Curves for Male and Female Mice Administered 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in Feed for 2 Years


Figure 4
Growth Curves for Male and Female Mice Administered 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in Feed for 2 Years

Table 23
Mean Body Weights and Survival of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol


[^17]Table 24
Mean Body Weights and Survival of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Weeks <br> on Study | 0 ppm |  | 312 ppm |  |  | 625 ppm |  |  | 1,250 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Av. Wt. (g) | No. of Survivors | Av. W (g) | Wt. (\% controls | No. of Survivors | Av. W (g) | Wt. (\% controls) | No. of Survivors | Av. Wt (g) | controls) | No. of Survivors |
| 1 | 18.0 | 60 | 18.5 | 103 | 60 | 18.3 | 102 | 60 | 18.1 | 101 | 60 |
| 2 | 20.5 | 60 | 20.7 | 101 | 60 | 20.3 | 99 | 60 | 20.2 | 99 | 60 |
| 3 | 20.9 | 60 | 21.2 | 101 | 60 | 20.9 | 100 | 60 | 20.7 | 99 | 60 |
| 4 | 22.1 | 60 | 22.4 | 101 | 60 | 22.2 | 101 | 60 | 21.6 | 98 | 60 |
| 5 | 23.4 | 60 | 23.5 | 100 | 60 | 23.1 | 99 | 60 | 23.0 | 98 | 60 |
| 6 | 24.3 | 60 | 24.1 | 99 | 60 | 23.7 | 98 | 60 | 23.5 | 97 | 60 |
| 7 | 25.2 | 60 | 25.2 | 100 | 60 | 24.9 | 99 | 60 | 24.5 | 97 | 60 |
| 8 | 25.4 | 60 | 25.7 | 101 | 60 | 25.4 | 100 | 60 | 24.9 | 98 | 60 |
| 9 | 25.7 | 60 | 26.5 | 103 | 60 | 25.9 | 101 | 60 | 25.5 | 99 | 60 |
| 10 | 26.5 | 60 | 26.9 | 102 | 60 | 26.5 | 100 | 60 | 26.0 | 98 | 60 |
| 11 | 26.8 | 60 | 27.5 | 103 | 60 | 26.9 | 100 | 60 | 26.2 | 98 | 60 |
| 12 | 27.6 | 60 | 28.3 | 103 | 60 | 27.6 | 100 | 60 | 26.8 | 97 | 60 |
| 13 | 28.0 | 60 | 28.8 | 103 | 60 | 28.0 | 100 | 60 | 27.5 | 98 | 60 |
| 17 | 30.1 | 60 | 30.7 | 102 | 60 | 29.9 | 99 | 60 | 29.1 | 97 | 60 |
| 21 | 31.6 | 60 | 32.6 | 103 | 60 | 32.5 | 103 | 60 | 30.9 | 98 | 60 |
| 25 | 34.5 | 60 | 35.8 | 104 | 60 | 35.6 | 103 | 60 | 33.7 | 98 | 60 |
| 29 | 36.2 | 60 | 37.8 | 104 | 60 | 37.5 | 104 | 60 | 35.5 | 98 | 60 |
| 33 | 37.4 | 60 | 39.4 | 105 | 60 | 39.0 | 104 | 60 | 37.0 | 99 | 60 |
| 37 | 39.3 | 60 | 41.5 | 106 | 60 | 41.1 | 105 | 60 | 38.9 | 99 | 60 |
| 41 | 40.7 | 60 | 43.2 | 106 | 60 | 43.0 | 106 | 60 | 40.7 | 100 | 60 |
| 45 | 42.8 | 59 | 44.8 | 105 | 60 | 44.5 | 104 | 60 | 43.0 | 101 | 60 |
| 49 | 44.8 | 59 | 46.3 | 103 | 60 | 45.4 | 101 | 60 | 44.0 | 98 | 60 |
| 53 | 46.0 | 59 | 48.1 | 105 | 60 | 47.2 | 103 | 60 | 45.8 | 100 | 60 |
| 57 | 48.0 | 59 | 50.3 | 105 | 59 | 48.6 | 101 | 60 | 47.9 | 100 | 60 |
| 61 | 49.6 | 58 | 51.4 | 104 | 59 | 50.4 | 102 | 59 | 49.6 | 100 | 59 |
| 65 | 50.2 | 57 | 52.1 | 104 | 59 | 51.7 | 103 | 58 | 49.5 | 99 | 58 |
| $69^{\text {a }}$ | 50.0 | 49 | 51.6 | 103 | 49 | 50.9 | 102 | 49 | 49.0 | 98 | 46 |
| 73 | 50.8 | 47 | 51.1 | 101 | 49 | 51.2 | 101 | 49 | 49.3 | 97 | 43 |
| 77 | 50.9 | 47 | 51.1 | 100 | 48 | 50.5 | 99 | 48 | 49.1 | 97 | 39 |
| 81 | 50.2 | 47 | 50.0 | 100 | 48 | 50.2 | 100 | 46 | 49.1 | 98 | 34 |
| 85 | 52.4 | 45 | 51.1 | 98 | 47 | 51.2 | 98 | 45 | 50.3 | 96 | 31 |
| 89 | 53.6 | 44 | 52.4 | 98 | 44 | 52.0 | 97 | 44 | 50.7 | 95 | 28 |
| 93 | 53.9 | 38 | 52.6 | 98 | 40 | 51.9 | 96 | 40 | 49.7 | 92 | 27 |
| 97 | 54.5 | 38 | 53.7 | 99 | 36 | 51.9 | 95 | 36 | 49.4 | 91 | 14 |
| 101 | 52.4 | 37 | 52.3 | 100 | 32 | 50.8 | 97 | 33 | 47.6 | 91 | 13 |
| 105 | 51.6 | 37 | 50.3 | 98 | 31 | 51.5 | 100 | 26 | 44.7 | 87 | 12 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 24.2 |  | 24.6 | 102 |  | 24.1 | 100 |  | 23.7 | 98 |  |
| 14-52 | 37.5 |  | 39.1 | 104 |  | 38.7 | 103 |  | 37.0 | 99 |  |
| 53-105 | 51.0 |  | 51.3 | 101 |  | 50.7 | 99 |  | 48.7 | 96 |  |

[^18]
## Pathology and Statistical Analysis

This section describes statistically significant and biologically noteworthy changes in the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions of the harderian gland, lung, skin, kidney, forestomach, and mammary gland. Summaries of the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions, individual animal tumor diagnoses, statistical analyses of primary neoplasms that occurred with an incidence of $5 \%$ in at least one exposure group, and historical incidences for the neoplasms mentioned in this section are presented in Appendix $C$ for male mice and Appendix D for female mice.

Harderian Gland: The incidences of harderian gland adenoma in male and female mice exposed to 625 and $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ were significantly greater than those in the control groups (Tables 25, C3, and D3). The incidence of harderian gland carcinoma in $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ females was significantly greater than that in the control group (Tables 25 and D3). In 625 and $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ males, and in all female exposure groups, the incidences of adenoma or carcinoma (combined) were significantly greater than those in the control groups (Tables $25, \mathrm{C} 3$, and D3). In males exposed
to $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$, many of these neoplasms were bilateral (Tables 25 and C1). The incidences of adenoma and carcinoma in 625 and $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and exposed females exceeded the NTP historical control range (Tables 25, C4a, and D4a). The majority of the harderian gland neoplasms were observed grossly at necropsy; in some instances these neoplasms were the primary reason for the moribund condition of the animals. Adenomas were expansile masses that had a variable growth pattern consisting of acini and cystic glands with papillary and solid areas. Carcinomas were more invasive, often with focal fibrosis. There was cellular pleomorphism, and, in some carcinomas, neoplastic cells had large cytoplasmic vacuoles. Metastases of carcinomas to the lung and other sites occurred in exposed and control groups of male and female mice (Plate 8). At the 15 -month interim evaluation, the incidences of adenoma of the harderian gland in $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females were slightly greater than those in the control groups, but these differences were not statistically significant (Tables 25, C3, and D3). At 2 years, the incidences of hyperplasia in exposed male and females did not differ significantly from those in the control groups (Tables 25, C5, and D5).

Table 25
Incidence of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Harderian Gland in Mice
in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |
| Harderian Gland ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| Hyperplasia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0 | 0 | $1(2.0)^{\text {c }}$ | 1 (3.0) |
| Adenoma | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |
| Harderian Gland | 22 | 25 | 28 | 32 |
| Hyperplasia | 0 | 1 (3.0) | 1 (2.0) | 2 (2.0) |
| Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $3 / 50$ (6\%) | $6 / 51(12 \%)$ | 12/50 (24\%) | 18/49 (37\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $7.1 \%$ | 15.6\% | 31.0\% | 47.5\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{f}$ | 3/42 (7\%) | 4/36 (11\%) | 9/35 (26\%) | 11/30 (37\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 656 | 669 | 565 |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.213$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ | P $<0.001$ |
| Adenoma, Bilateral |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/50 (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 8/49**(16\%) |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/50 (2\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 4/50 (8\%) | 4/49 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.3\% | 2.8\% | 10.1\% | 10.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/42 (0\%) | 1/36 (3\%) | 2/35 (6\%) | 1/30 (3\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 674 | 736 (T) | 666 | 589 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.071$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.762$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.182$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.187$ |
| Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/50 (8\%) | 7/51 (14\%) | 16/50 (32\%) | 22/49 (45\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 9.3\% | 18.2\% | 39.4\% | 54.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/42 (7\%) | 5/36 (14\%) | 11/35 (31\%) | 12/30 (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 674 | 656 | 666 | 565 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.233$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | P $<0.001$ |
| (continued) |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 25
Incidence of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Harderian Gland in Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |
| Harderian Gland | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 |
| Hyperplasia | 0 | 0 | 1 (1.0) | 1 (3.0) |
| Adenoma | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |
| Harderian Gland | 18 | 27 | 27 | 33 |
| Hyperplasia | 1 (3.0) | 1 (1.0) | 2 (1.5) | 0 |
| Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/52 (4\%) | 6/50 (12\%) | $8 / 51$ (16\%) | 15/50 (30\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 4.3\% | 17.7\% | 23.7\% | 55.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37(0\%) | 3/30 (10\%) | 4/26 (15\%) | 3/11 (27\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 447 | 669 | 557 | 551 |
| Logistic regression test | P<0.001 | $\mathrm{P}=0.125$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.040$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/52 (2\%) | 6/50 (12\%) | $5 / 51$ (10\%) | $7 / 50$ (14\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.5\% | 17.6\% | 16.1\% | 25.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 4/30 (13\%) | 3/26 (12\%) | 0/11 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 646 | 627 | 669 | 575 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.095$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.052$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.098$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.033$ |
| Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/52 (6\%) | 12/50 (24\%) | 13/51 (25\%) | 19/50 (38\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 6.7\% | 33.3\% | 37.5\% | 64.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 7/30 (23\%) | 7/26 (27\%) | 3/11 (27\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 447 | 627 | 557 | 551 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |

[^19]Lung: The incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma and of alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma or carcinoma (combined) in $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females and 625 ppm females were significantly greater than those in the control groups (Tables 26, C3, and D3). In males exposed to $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$, the incidences of multiple adenoma and of alveolar/ bronchiolar carcinoma were significantly greater than those in the control group (Tables 26 and C1). The incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma or carcinoma (combined) in 625 and $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females exceeded the NTP historical control range (Tables $26, \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{~b}$, and D 4 b ).

The majority of these neoplasms were visible grossly as white or gray nodules in the lung. The morphology of the lung neoplasms was similar in control and
exposed groups. Carcinomas had variable growth patterns, increased cellular pleomorphism, increased numbers of mitoses and evidence of local invasion (Plate 9). In one male and one female in the 625 ppm groups and in one male in the $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ group, carcinomas had foci of metastases in lymph nodes, liver, and other sites.

At 15 months, the incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar neoplasms and alveolar epithelial hyperplasia in exposed mice were not significantly different from those in the control groups (Tables $26, \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 5, \mathrm{D} 1$, and D5). At 2 years, the incidences of alveolar epithelial hyperplasia in 625 and $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ females were significantly greater than that in the control group (Tables 26, C5, and D5).

TABLE 26
Incidence of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Lung in Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |
| Lung ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Alveolar Epithelium, Hyperplasia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1(1.0)^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | $1(1.0)$ | 3 (1.3) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | 50 | 51 | 50 | 49 |
| Alveolar Epithelium, Hyperplasia | 6 (1.5) | 7 (2.1) | 5 (2.0) | 8 (2.0) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma (Single and Multiple) |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $12 / 50(24 \%)$ | 4/51 (8\%) | 12/50 (24\%) | 21/49 (43\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 27.1\% | 10.3\% | 30.6\% | $57.6 \%$ |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 10/42 (24\%) | 3/36 (8\%) | 9/35 (26\%) | 15/30 (50\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 478 | 586 | 536 | 593 |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.030 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.589$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.020$ |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma, Multiple |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/50 (0\%) | 0/50 (0\%) | 4/50 (8\%) | 10/49** (20\%) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma (Single and Multiple) |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $3 / 50$ (6\%) | $7 / 51$ (14\%) | 8/50 (16\%) | 11/49 (22\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 7.1\% | 18.7\% | 19.9\% | 33.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/42 (7\%) | $6 / 36$ (17\%) | 5/35 (14\%) | 9/30 (30\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | $646$ | $572$ | $641$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.130$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.098$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma, Multiple |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 50$ (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 0/50 (0\%) | 3/49 (6\%) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 15/50 (30\%) | 11/51 (22\%) | 16/50 (32\%) | 25/49 (51\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 33.9\% | 28.4\% | 38.9\% | 66.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 13/42 (31\%) | 9/36 (25\%) | 11/35 (31\%) | 18/30 (60\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 478 | 586 | 536 | 593 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.280 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.491$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ |
| (continued) |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 26
Incidence of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Lung in Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | 8 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Alveolar Epithelium, Hyperplasia | 1 (1.0) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | 52 | 50 | 51 | 50 |
| Alveolar Epithelium, Hyperplasia | 1 (1.0) | 3 (1.3) | 8** (1.5) | 15** (1.9) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma (Single and Multiple) |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/52 (6\%) | 3/50 (6\%) | $9 / 51$ (18\%) | $17 / 50$ (34\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 7.7\% | 8.8\% | 29.1\% | 64.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/37 (5\%) | 2/30 (7\%) | $5 / 26$ (19\%) | 4/11 (36\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 640 | 619 | 669 | 534 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.642$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.048$ | P $<0.001$ |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma, Multiple |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/52 (2\%) | 0/50 (0\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 2/50 (4\%) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma (Single and Muitiple) |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/52 (4\%) | 2/50 (4\%) | 6/51 (12\%) | $5 / 50$ (10\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 5.3\% | 6.7\% | 17.6\% | 33.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/37 (3\%) | 2/30 (7\%) | 3/26 (12\%) | 2/11 (18\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 705 | 743 (T) | 428 | 669 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.048$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.659$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.125$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.094$ |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma, Multiple |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/52 (0\%) | 0/50 (0\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 1/50 (2\%) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $5 / 52$ (10\%) | 5/50 (10\%) | 15/51 (29\%) | 19/50 (38\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 12.7\% | 15.3\% | 43.4\% | 71.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/37 (8\%) | 4/30 (13\%) | $8 / 26$ (31\%) | 5/11 (45\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 640 | 619 | 428 | 534 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.597$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

[^20]Skin: The incidence of subcutaneous tissue sarcoma and the combined incidences of fibrosarcoma or sarcoma in $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ female mice were significantly greater than those in the controls (Tables 27 and D3). Malignant mesenchymal neoplasms of the skin occurred in $24 \%$ of the females exposed to $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$. The incidences of fibrosarcoma or
sarcoma (combined) in 1,250 ppm females exceeded the NTP historical control range (Tables 27 and D4c). There was variation in morphology within and between these neoplasms (Plates 10 and 11). Most consisted of spindle-shaped or pleomorphic or vacuolated cells forming irregular, interwoven patterns or areas with intercellular edema.

TABLE 27
Incidence of Neoplasms of the Subcutaneous Tissue of the Skin in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | $0 \mathbf{p p m}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 2} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 5} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skin $^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> Subcutaneous Tissue, <br> Schwannoma, Malignant <br> b <br> Subcutaneous Tissue, Fibrosarcoma | 0 | 50 | 51 | 50 |
| Subcutaneous Tissue, Sarcoma <br> Subcutaneous Tissue, Fibrosarcoma or <br> Sarcoma | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

[^21]Kidney: Marginally increased in incidences of renal tubule adenoma were observed in 625 and $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ male mice ( $0 / 49,0 / 51,3 / 50,2 / 49$; Table C1). These incidences exceeded the NTP historical control range for this neoplasm (Table C4c). In three of the five male mice with adenomas, the adenomas were observed grossly at necropsy. Adenomas were all expansile, well-differentiated tumors with tubular and glandular patterns (Plate 12). Focal renal tubule hyperplasia was present in two males from the $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ group (Table C5).

Forestomach: The incidences of squamous cell papilloma of the forestomach in 625 and $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ female mice were significantly greater than that in the control group (Tables 28 and D3). In 1,250 ppm males, the incidence of squamous cell papilloma or
squamous cell carcinoma (combined) was significantly greater than that in the control group (Tables 28 and C3). In addition, papillomas also occurred in one male and one female in the $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ groups at the 15 -month interim evaluation. The incidences of squamous cell adenoma or squamous cell carcinoma (combined) in exposed male mice were at or slightly greater than the upper limit of the NTP historical control range ( $0 \%-6 \%$; Table C4d). The papillomas were welldifferentiated, benign neoplasms consisting of multiple fronds of a squamous epithelium supported by delicate fibrovascular stroma. Squamous cell carcinomas, which were present in two $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ males, were invasive malignant neoplasms; one carcinoma metastasized to the lung as well as other abdominal organs (Table C1).

Table 28
Incidence of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Forestomach in Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |
| Forestomach ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ | 10 | 9 | $10$ | 10 |
| Mucosa, Hyperplasia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0$ | $0$ | $1(3.0)^{\text {c }}$ | $0$ |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |
| Forestomach | 49 | 51 | 50 | 48 |
| Mucosa, Hyperplasia | 4 (1.5) | 1 (1.0) | 3 (2.0) | 4 (2.0) |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0/50 (0\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 2/50 (4\%) | 2/49 (4\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 5.7\% | 6.7\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0/42 (0\%) | $2 / 36$ (6\%) | $2 / 35$ (6\%) | 2/30 (7\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 683 | 736 (T) | 736 (T) |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.262$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.112$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.199$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.168$ |
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/50 (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/50 ( $2 \%$ ) | 2/49 (4\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 5.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/42 (0\%) | 0/36 (0\%) | 1/35 (3\%) | 1/30 (3\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 736 (T) | 669 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.061$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.464$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.226$ |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma or Squamous Cell Carcinoma ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 50(0 \%)$ | $3 / 51$ (6\%) | 3/50 (6\%) | 4/49 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 8.6\% | 12.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/42 (0\%) | 2/36 (6\%) | 3/35 (9\%) | $3 / 30$ (10\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 683 | 736 (T) | 669 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.053$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.112$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.091$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.047$ |
| (continued) |  |  |  |  |

Table 28
Incidence of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Forestomach in Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |
| Forestomach | 8 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Mucosa, Hyperplasia | 1 (1.0) | 0 | 3 (2.0) | 3 (2.3) |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |
| Forestomach | 51 | 50 | 51 | 49 |
| Mucosa, Hyperplasia | 9 (2.0) | 5 (2.2) | 13 (1.8) | 6 (2.0) |
| Squamous Cell Papilloma ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 52$ (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | $5 / 51(10 \%)$ | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 16.6\% | 24.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 3/26 (12\%) | 2/11 (18\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 625 | 639 | 677 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.022$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.504$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.029$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.028$ |

(T)Terminal sacrifice
a Number of animals with forestomach examined microscopically
b Number of animals with lesion
c Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
d Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals necropsied
e Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality
$f$ Observed incidence in animals surviving until the end of the study
g In the control column are the P values associated with the trend test. In the exposure group columns are the P values corresponding to the pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposure group. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal.
h Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group
I Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): 22/1,474 (1.5\% $\pm 2.0 \%$ ); range 0\%-6\%
j Historical incidence: $31 / 1,470(2.1 \% \pm 2.9 \%)$; range $0 \%-14 \%$

Mammary Gland: The incidences of carcinoma of the mammary gland were slightly increased in 625 and $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ female mice ( $0 / 50,0 / 50,1 / 50,3 / 49$; Table D1). An adenoacanthoma was also present in one $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ female (Table D1). One of the female mice from the $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ group had multiple carcinomas; however, the incidence of these mammary gland neoplasms was within the NTP historical control range (Table D4e).

Other: The incidence of hemangioma or hemangiosarcoma (combined) was significantly increased in
$1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ female mice ( $1 / 52,2 / 50,0 / 51,5 / 50$; Tables D1 and D3) and was slightly increased in 312 and $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ males ( $2 / 50,6 / 51,0 / 50,5 / 49$; Tables C 1 and C 3 ). In male mice there was no dose response and the highest incidence was well within the historical control range. In females, the highest incidence slightly exceeded the historical control range (Table D4f). The neoplasms occurred at various sites (bone marrow, colon, kidney, liver, mesentery, spleen, subcutis, testes, urinary bladder, and uterus) in both exposed and control mice. The morphology of benign and malignant vascular tumors was similar between exposed and control groups.

## Genetic Toxicology

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was shown to be mutagenic in vitro and in vivo, but the conditions required to observe the positive responses were highly specific, and 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol was not active in all assays. In the two Salmonella assays reported here (Table E1), 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol gave a positive response only in the second assay (Zeiger et al., 1992), which used a different concentration of S9 from the first assay (Mortelmans et al., 1986). Metabolic activation, specifically in the form of $30 \%$ Aroclor 1254 -induced male Syrian hamster liver S9, was required to obtain the mutagenic response; $10 \%$ hamster S 9 was ineffective, as was $10 \%$ or $30 \% \mathrm{~S} 9$ derived from livers of pretreated rats. No other Salmonella strain/activation combination was responsive to the effects of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol.

In cytogenetic tests with cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells (Galloway et al., 1987), 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol did not induce sister chromatid exchanges, with or without S9 (Table E2), but a dose-related increase in chromosomal aberrations was observed in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells treated in the presence of induced rat liver S9 (Table E3). Both tests were conducted up to doses which induced marked cytotoxicity; cell confluence in the sister chromatid exchange test was reduced $75 \%$ at the top dose tested with S9 $(1,200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$. A majority of the breaks which were observed in the aberration assay were located in the heterochromatic region of the long arm of the X chromosome. The reason for this preferential breakage site is not known. Also, the type of damage pattern seen with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol (induction of chromosomal aberrations but not sister chromatid exchanges) is unusual. Most chemicals which induce chromosomal aberrations also induce sister chromatid exchanges (Galloway et al., 1987).

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was also shown to be genotoxic in vivo. Significant increases in micronucleated normochromatic erythrocytes were observed in peripheral blood samples obtained from male and female mice exposed for 13 weeks to 2,2-
bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed (Table E6). These increases were observed in the two highest dose groups of male mice ( 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and the three highest dose groups of female mice (2,500, 5,000, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm})$.

In the first of two mouse bone marrow micronucleus tests performed to confirm the positive results seen in the 13 -week feed study, inconsistent results were obtained between two trials which used the same dose range of 100 to $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, administered by gavage three times at 24 -hour intervals (Table E4). Results of the first trial were negative; however, in the second trial, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol produced a clear, dose-related increase in micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes. Because the positive response was not reproduced, the results were concluded to be equivocal.

In an attempt to clarify the results obtained in the first bone marrow micronucleus test, a second investigation was performed using both male and female mice. 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was administered as a single intraperitoneal injection ( 150 to $600 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and bone marrow samples were taken 48 hours after dosing. The results of this experiment, shown in Table E5, provide evidence of the ability of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol to induce micronuclei in bone marrow cells of female mice. Although male mice in all three dose groups showed a two-fold increase in the frequency of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes, the trend test was not significant due to the similarity in the responses, and pairwise analyses were also insignificant. The response in female mice was somewhat stronger ( 2.5 -fold increase over background, at the highest dose) and was directly related to increasing doses of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol. These results were consistent with the stronger response observed in female mice in the 13 -week feed study (Table E4).

In conclusion, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was genotoxic in vitro and in vivo, inducing gene mutations in Salmonella strain TA100, chromosomal aberrations in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells, and micronuclei in erythrocytes of male and female mice. The in vitro responses required S9.


Plate 1
Fibroma in skin of male $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rat administered $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 13 weeks in a stop-exposure group and necropsied at week 95 . Well-differentiated fibroma ( F ) comprised of densely packed spindle cells is clearly demarcated (arrows) from the adjacent normal dermis; skin surface is at far left. H\&E $65 \times$


## Plate 2

Squamous cell papilloma in the dorsal posterior portion of the pharynx (hard palate) of a male F344/N rat administered $2,500 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 2 years. This exophytic mass arising from the oral cavity mucosa (M) consists of prominent papillary fronds ( F ) of welldifferentiated squamous epithelium covered by a layer of keratin (arrows). H\&E $25 \times$


Plate 4
Adenoma of the colon in a male $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rat administered $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 2 years. Large tumor mass (right) fills lumen of colon and is comprised of dilated glands lined by a closely packed tall columnar epithelium that lacks the goblet cell differentiation which is present in normal colonic mucosa (arrows). H\&E $65 \times$


## Plate 5

Mesothelioma attached to the capsular surface of the testis (arrows) in a male F344/N rat administered $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 13 weeks in a stop-exposure study and necropsied at week 75. Tumor arising from tunica vaginalis consists of densely cellular solid areas with formation of papillary structures. H\&E $30 \times$


Plate 6
Papillary degeneration and necrosis of the tip of the renal papilla ( P ) in a female $5344 / \mathrm{N}$ rat administered $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)1,3 -propanediol in feed for 2 years. There is necrosis of the urothelium and stroma in the distal portion of the papilla. H\&E $40 \times$


Plate 7
Focal hyperplasia in seminal vesicle of male $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rat administered $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 13 weeks in a stop-exposure study and necropsied at week 75. Note increased height and crowding of hyperplastic epithelium compared to the cells in the normal adjacent mucosa (arrows). H\&E $160 \times$


Plate 8
Harderian gland carcinoma in a female $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mouse administered 312 ppm 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 2 years. Neoplastic cells with foamy to vacuolated cytoplasm form a glandular or acinar pattern in this tumor which has metastasized to the lung (L). H\&E $160 \times$


## Plate 9

Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma in lung of male $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mouse administered $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 2 years. Neoplastic cuboidal epithelium forms a densely packed glandular pattern that is compressing alveoli of adjacent lung (L). H\&E $160 \times$


## Plate 11

Detail of another area of sarcoma shown in Plate 10 demonstrates pattern of interlacing bundles of neoplastic spindle cells. H\&E $160 \times$


Plate 10
Sarcoma in dermis of female $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{CHF}_{1}$ mouse administered $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed for 2 years. A few remaining hair follicles ( F ) and sebaceous glands are present in dermis which has been replaced by neoplastic mesenchymal cells. H\&E $160 \times$


## Plate 12

Adenoma of renal tubule in male $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mouse consists of an expansile mass of well-differentiated neoplastic renal tubule epithelial cells compressing the normal cortical tubules (T) and glomerulus (arrow). H\&E $160 \times$

# DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS 

These studies of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol [technical grade FR-1138* $78.6 \%$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, $6.6 \%$ 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane, $6.9 \%$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane, $0.2 \%$ pentaerythritol, and $7.7 \%$ dimers and structural isomers] show that this flame retardant is a multi-site, multispecies carcinogen (Table 29).

In the 13-week feed studies, the high dose for rats was $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (estimated to deliver about 900 to $1,340 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and for mice was $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (estimated to deliver $2,900 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). There were no treatment-related deaths, but mean body weights of male and female rats exposed to $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ and above, of male mice exposed to $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ and above, and of female mice exposed to 625 ppm and above were lower than those of the control groups.

Based on the results of clinical chemistry and histopathology, chemical related toxicity was evident in the kidney and urinary bladder of rats and mice. Urinalysis demonstrated the development of an isosthenuric polyuria in rats primarily in the 10,000 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ exposure groups, indicating the kidneys had not altered the concentration of the glomerular filtrate. This change was less evident in females. The primary control of urine volume and tonicity occurs by antidiuretic hormone-influenced water resorption in the distal renal tubules and collecting ducts. There are renal and nonrenal causes of polyuria, including renal injury or disease, drugs (e.g., diuretics or aminoglycosides), increased water intake, decreased response to antidiuretic hormone, osmotic diuresis, and hyperadrenocorticism. In this study, minimal to mild renal papillary injury in rats may have contributed to altered distal tubular function resulting in the isosthenuric polyuria. However, water deprivation tests demonstrated that male and female rats were able to concentrate their urine in response to reduced water intake throughout the study. This indicates that the antidiuretic hormonedependent pituitary-renal axis was still intact. In the mice, the clinical chemistry and urinalysis findings
were slightly different from those in rats. There was no evidence of polyuria in the mice, but blood urea nitrogen was significantly increased in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ males and females. Blood urea nitrogen concentration is considered an insensitive biomarker of renal damage and requires approximately $75 \%$ of the nephrons to be nonfunctional before increased serum blood urea nitrogen concentration occurs (Finco, 1989). In this study, there were mild to moderate tubule and papillary changes, but the increased blood urea nitrogen may have also been secondary to increased protein catabolism related to the decreased body weight gain or body weight loss in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ mice.

Chemical-related lesions were observed only in the urinary bladder and kidney of rats and mice. Kidney lesions in mice (papillary necrosis and renal tubule regeneration and fibrosis) were more severe than those observed in rats (papillary degeneration). Urinary bladder lesions in the mice were also more severe than in rats. The presence of kidney and urinary bladder lesion in mice at exposure concentrations that were lower than those which caused similar but less severe lesions in rats would indicate that mice are more sensitive to the renal effects of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in 13-week toxicity studies. Based on an equivalent dose per body weight, the toxic effects of 2,2-bis(bromo-methyl)-1,3-propanediol on the urinary bladder and kidney of rats and mice were similar whether administered by gavage or in feed (Elwell et al., 1989).

In rats and mice, no abnormalities were observed in sperm morphology, count, or motility or in the estrous cycle length. While body weights in exposed groups were lower than in the controls, diet restriction studies have shown that body weight effects alone ( $20 \%$ lower body weight) do not cause reproductive system toxicity in rats or mice (Chapin et al., 1993).

In continuous breeding studies, 2,2-bis(bromo-methyl)-1,3-propanediol has been shown to impair
fertility in female mice in the absence of an effect on reproductive organ weights or estrual cyclicity (Treinen et al., 1989). The ovary may be a target for 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol since $0.4 \% 2,2$-bis (bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol significantly decreased the number of primary and growing ovarian follicles in female mice (Heindel et al., 1989). It should be noted that in these studies where ovarian toxicity occurred, 2,2-bis(bromo-methyl)-1,3-propanediol exposure began in utero. In contrast, in the present 13 -week and 2 -year studies reported here, exposure did not begin until animals were 6 to 7 weeks of age, and ovarian toxicity was not observed.

The 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol 2-year studies consisted of continuous-exposure studies in which the chemical was administered continuously to rats and mice in feed. In addition there was a stopexposure study in male rats in which the animals received $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol in feed for 3 months, and then received undosed feed for the remainder of the 2 -year study.

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol administered in the feed caused early deaths in $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ male and female rats, $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ female mice, and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure male rats. These early deaths were attributed primarily to the carcinogenic effects of the chemical.

The incidences of skin tumors in male rats from the 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ continuous-exposure groups and the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group were significantly greater than those in the control group, and included increased incidences of squamous cell papilloma, keratoacanthoma, basal cell adenoma, sebaceous gland adenoma, and trichoepithelioma. The incidences of skin tumors in exposed female rats did not differ significantly from those in the control group. Other studies [e.g., benzidine congener dyes (NTP, 1990a, 1991a,b, 1992, and 1994a); 2,3-dibromo-1-propanol (NTP, 1994b)] have shown that genotoxic chemicals administered orally can cause skin tumors in rats, and the incidence for these tumors is generally greater in male rats than in female rats. The mechanism for this sex difference could not be determined from this study but may be due, in part, to metabolic differences between the sexes.

In the Zymbal's gland, a modified sebaceous gland, there was an increased incidence of neoplasms in male rats. The Zymbal's gland and skin are related epithelial tissues. In a review of NTP findings, 17 chemicals induced Zymbal's gland neoplasms, 14 induced skin neoplasms, and 11 induced neoplasms at both sites in rats. Most of the chemicals inducing Zymbal's gland and skin neoplasms also caused neoplasms at other sites. These chemicals are generally genotoxic in the Salmonella assay system, and chemically induced genetic damage is thought to be the underlying mechanism for development of skin and Zymbal's gland neoplasms (NTP, 1991a).

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol exposure increased the incidence of mammary gland neoplasms in rats. The treatment-related increase in mammary gland fibroadenoma was greater in female than in male rats. However, there was a significant increase in subcutaneous fibroma in exposed groups of male rats. Other chemicals which have caused an increase in the incidences of mammary gland neoplasms in female rats have also been associated with an increased incidences in fibroma (cytembena; NTP, 1981), fibroadenoma (glycidol; NTP, 1990b), or a combination of fibroma and fibroadenoma (methylene chloride; NTP, 1986c) in male rats. The chemicals that cause mammary gland neoplasms in rats are frequently genotoxic chemicals suggesting that genetic damage may contribute to this neoplastic response. Recent epidemiology studies have found an association between exposure to halogenated hydrocarbons and breast cancer in certain subsets of populations examined (Wolff et al., 1993; Kreiger et al., 1994).

There were treatment-related increased incidences of squamous cell neoplasms in the oral cavity (tongue and pharynx) and esophagus in male and female rats. In addition, there were treatment-related squamous cell neoplasms of the forestomach and adenoma and carcinoma of the small and large intestine in male rats. There was no evidence for toxicity at these sites in the 13 -week studies or at the 15 -month interim evaluation of the 2 -year study. The presence of neoplasms in the gastrointestinal tract of exposed rats suggests that the chemical may interact directly with the mucosal epithelium. Although the increased incidence in intestinal neoplasms was limited to male rats, this effect was seen primarily in the stopexposure group, which did not include females.

Other chemicals which have been found to cause oral cavity neoplasms in rats [including benzene (NTP, 1986a), benzidene-congener chemicals or dyes (NTP, 1990a, 1991a,b, 1992, and 1994a), glycidol (NTP, 1990b), trichloropropane (NTP, 1994c), 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane (NTP, 1982a), 2,3-dibromo-1-propanol (NTP, 1994b), and dimethylvinyl chloride (NTP, 1986b)] are also genotoxic chemicals. Rats are more susceptible than mice to the formation of oral cavity neoplasms, and oral cavity neoplasms have previously been reported only in the 1,2,3trichloropropane mouse study (NTP, 1994c). Chemical-related esophageal neoplasms have previously been observed in rats in only two other studies [2,3-dibromo-1-propanol (NTP, 1994b) and dimethylvinyl chloride (NTP, 1986b)].

An increased incidence in benign and malignant neoplasms of the small and large intestine was seen in male rats. There was no increase in the incidence of intestinal neoplasms in female rats, but most of the neoplasms observed in males occurred in the stopexposure group above the highest exposure level for females. In previous NTP studies where intestinal neoplasms have resulted from chemical administration, the number of neoplasms has been slightly greater in males than in females (bromoform; NTP, 1989a, bromodichloroethane; NTP, 1988a; 3,3dimethylbenzidine; NTP, 1991b). Although the number of neoplasms in the small intestine were increased in the stop-exposure group, the response was much less than that observed for the large intestine. In previous NTP studies, a smaller number of neoplasms have been observed in the small intestine compared to the large intestine (3,3dimethoxybenzidine; NCI, 1979a; dimethylhydrazine; Ward, 1974). In several instances there has been a marked increase in the incidence of neoplasms of the large intestine with no effect on the small intestine (bromoform, bromodichloromethane). In this study, most of the neoplasms of the large intestine were benign and were morphologically similar to the adenomas of the colon that rarely occur in controls. Six of the seven neoplasms of the small intestine were malignant and contained cystic areas as well as foci of osseous metaplasia. Morphologic features were similar to those that have been described for spontaneous and chemically induced neoplasms of the small intestine (Ward, 1974). Two gross lesions diagnosed as cystic hyperplasia and focal hyperplasia
with osseous metaplasia in two other dosed rats are rare spontaneous lesions of the small intestine which may be preneoplastic. Other brominated chemicals also cause intestinal neoplasms in rats [bromodichloromethane (NTP, 1988a), tribromomethane (NTP, 1989a), 2,3-dibromo-1-propanol (NTP, 1994b), 1-amino-2,4-dibromoanthraquinone (NTP, 1996)], suggesting that these brominated chemicals may be acting by a similar mechanism.

There were increased incidences of urinary bladder transitional cell neoplasms in male rats at 15 months and 2 years. While these incidences were low, these neoplasms rarely occur in untreated animals (mean: $0.2 \%$ ), and these neoplasms were considered to be related to treatment. Only 10 chemicals studied by the NTP have caused treatment-related urinary bladder neoplasms in male rats. It has been suggested that some of these chemicals caused the urinary bladder neoplasms by formation of calculi, subsequent irritation, and tumor formation (e.g., melamine; NTP, 1983), but this does not appear to be the mechanism for the development of urinary bladder neoplasms observed in the present study. The early occurrence of transitional cell hyperplasia suggests that 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol or its metabolites have a direct toxic effect on the urinary bladder in male rats.

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol caused renal tubule degeneration and hyperplasia in male and female rats at 15 months and 2 years. Four renal tubule adenomas (one in the $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group and three in the $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ group) occurred in male rats. These neoplasms are uncommon in males (mean: 2\%) and may have been related to chemical administration. There was no evidence for a carcinogenic response in the kidney of the female rat.

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol exposure caused neoplasms of the thyroid gland in male and female rats. The occurrence of these neoplasms in the absence of diffuse thyroid gland hyperplasia supports the hypothesis that 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol causes a direct thyroid response that is not likely secondary to sustained high concentrations of thyroid stimulating hormone.

There was a treatment-related increased incidence of mesothelioma in male rats. Mesothelioma typically
arises in the abdominal peritoneal cavity of F344 rats and is seen almost exclusively in males. Treatmentrelated increases of mesothelioma observed in previous NTP studies have also been in male rats. Other chemicals which have caused a marked increase in the incidence of mesotheliomas in male rats have also caused increases in mammary gland neoplasms in females (cytembena; NTP, 1981; glycidol; NTP, 1990b; o-toluidine; NCI, 1979b).

A marginal increase in the incidence of acinar cell adenoma of the pancreas was observed in exposed groups of male rats. Focal acinar cell hyperplasia was significantly increased in all exposure groups. Because there was no dose-related increase in the incidence of adenomas, and all incidences were within the NTP historical control range, it was uncertain if these neoplasms were related to treatment.

The stop-exposure study in male rats showed that 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol administered for only 3 months was carcinogenic at all the sites where carcinogenic activity was observed in the 2 -year continuous-exposure male rat study. The incidences of neoplasms were greater in the stopexposure study male rats than in continuous-exposure male rats at the following sites: oral cavity, forestomach, small intestine, large intestine, lung, Zymbal's gland, thyroid gland, and mesothelium.

In the male stop-exposure group, there was an adenoma and a carcinoma of the seminal vesicle. The spontaneous development of these neoplasms is extremely rare in control rats, but treatment-related increases in hyperplasia and neoplasms have been reported in other strains of rats administered N-nitroso-N-methylurea (Slayter et al., 1994) or 3,2'-dimethy-4-aminobiphenyl (Bosland et al., 1990) followed by treatment with testosterone propionate or cyproterone acetate, respectively. Because of the rarity of these neoplasms in control rats and the presence of a dose-related increase in hyperplasia, the neoplasms in the stop-exposure group were considered to be related to treatment.

Based on the findings from this stop-exposure study, genetic damage appears to occur within the first few months of exposure. This genetic damage is irreversible, and neoplasms develop in the absence of a toxic response.

In a previous study of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol [(FR-1138 ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) containing approximately the same components of parent compound and impurities as used in these NTP studies], there were no clear carcinogenic effects in male or female SpragueDawley rats administered doses in the feed that were reported to deliver 5 or $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ per day for 2 years (Keyes et al., 1979).

In the NTP F344/N rat study, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)1,3 -propanediol was administered at $2,500,5,000$, or $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$, delivering approximately 100,200 , or $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of the chemical per day throughout most of the study. The low dose delivered in the present study was approximately the same as the higher dose in the Keyes et al. (1979) study, and at this dose, treatment-related neoplasms occurred in the subcutaneous tissues and oral cavity of male rats and mammary gland of female rats. As the dose was increased, a wider spectrum of carcinogenic responses occurred. The variance in the results for the two studies in rats may have been related to metabolic differences in the strains or differences in the incidence of spontaneous neoplasms in control animals. The Sprague-Dawley rat has a very high background incidence and multiplicity of mammary gland neoplasms, which could have masked this neoplastic effect of the chemical. In the male F344 rat, the small increase in the incidence of oral cavity neoplasms at the lowest dose ( $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) was significantly greater than the incidence in the control group, but the treatment-related increased incidences were more apparent at higher exposure levels than those used in the Keyes et al. (1979) study.

The incidences of harderian gland neoplasms were increased in exposed male and female mice. Other chemicals causing these neoplasms are usually multispecies/site carcinogens [benzene (NTP, 1986a), cupferron (NCI, 1978a), ethylene cxide (NTP, 1988b), glycidol (NTP, 1990b), $n$-methylolacryamide (NTP, 1989b), 4,4'oxydianiline (NCI, 1980), 1,2,3trichloropropane (NTP, 1994c), and, 1,3-butadiene (NTP, 1993)].

The incidences of lung neoplasms were increased in exposed male and female mice. Lung neoplasms have been observed in mice (but not in rats) in studies of ozone (NTP, 1994d), benzene (NTP, 1986a), benzofuran (NTP, 1989c) as well as other
halogenated hydrocarbons [1,2-dibromo-3chloropropane (NTP, 1982a), 1,2-dibromoethane (NCI, 1978b; NTP, 1982b), 2,3-dibromo-1-propanol (NTP, 1994b), 1,2-dichloroethane (NCI, 1978c), and tris(2,3-dibromopropyl)phosphate (NCI, 1978d)]. It is not known why the mouse lung is particularly responsive to the effects from these halogenated hydrocarbons, but this response could be due to differences in metabolism between species.

The toxicity observed in the urinary bladder and kidney of mice in the 13 -week study was not seen in the 2 -year study, but the highest dose ( $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) was below the level at which these lesions were seen in the 13 -week study where there was renal toxicity characterized by papillary necrosis and increased tubule regeneration. Although the highest dose in the 2 -year study was half the dose causing these lesions in the 13 -week study, there was a small increase in the incidence of renal tubule adenoma in male mice. In NTP studies of approximately 450 chemicals, only seven other chemicals have been identified as causing kidney neoplasms in the male mouse. Two of these were brominated chemicals [bromodichloromethane (NTP, 1988a) and tris(2,3-dibromopropyl)phosphate (NCI, 1978d)].

Other neoplastic responses occurred in the forestomach of exposed male and female mice and the mammary gland and circulatory system in exposed female mice. Minimal increases in the incidences of neoplasms of the forestomach were seen in male and female mice. There was no treatment-related increase in the incidence of hyperplasia of the forestomach squamous epithelium. Because the number of forestomach neoplasms was within or just above the historical control range, it was uncertain if this increase was related to treatment.

In female mice, there was a significant increase in hemangiosarcoma and hemangioma (combined) in the $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ group. Two of the hemangiosarcomas were in the subcutis, which was also a site for treatment-related sarcomas in female mice. Since the combined total number of neoplasms marginally exceeded the historical control range, it is uncertain if the increase in the incidence of these neoplasms was related to treatment.

Although 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol caused mammary gland neoplasms in male and female rats, in exposed groups of female mice there were only four mammary gland carcinomas (one in the 625 ppm group and three in the $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ group). Because the incidences for these neoplasms were within the historical range, it was uncertain if the increase was related to chemical administration.

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol and other brominated chemicals have been shown to be genotoxic in a spectrum of tests. It is hypothesized that the carcinogenic activity of brominated chemicals is due to genotoxic mechanisms, although at this time we have not identified the genotoxic metabolite or characterized the spectrum of genetic changes on a molecular level.

Of the 11 aliphatic and three aromatic brominated chemicals studied by the NTP in 2-year rodent studies, 13 of 14 chemicals were carcinogenic (Table 30). It would be expected that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bonds in 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol would be cleaved more readily than $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds in halogenated compounds because of a lower bond energy (bond strengths: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}, 95 \mathrm{kCaI} ; \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}, 67 \mathrm{kCal}$; Weast and Astle, 1978). Once the C-Br bond is broken, a free radical is available that can participate in various chemical reactions. Weiss et al. (1986) showed that eosinophils contain a lysosomal peroxidase that oxidizes halides to highly reactive and toxic hypohalous acids. Even though chloride is found at 1,000 times the concentration of bromide, the eosinophils used bromide preferentially to form the hypobromous acid. Bromide was shown to bind more readily to cellular proteins and macromolecules than other halide ions.

Two hypotheses for the carcinogenic activity of brominated chemicals are: 1) bromine causes oxidative damage to DNA and other cellular constituents and 2) the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond is broken and the remaining carbon-containing electrophilic group forms DNA adducts with subsequent DNA damage.

Studies with potassium bromate (Kurokawa et al., 1983) have shown that this chemical administered in drinking water at 250 or 500 ppm to F344 rats caused renal and intestinal neoplasms in male and female rats and mesotheliomas of the peritoneum in
male rats. Following oral administration of $\mathrm{KBrO}_{3}$ a significant increase of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine was observed in DNA. 8-Hydroxydeoxyguanosine is one of the DNA-damage products formed by oxygen radicals, and this is thought to be one of the DNA lesions involved in $\mathrm{KBrO}_{3}$ carcinogenesis (Kasai et al. 1987; Sai et al., 1992).

These NTP studies found that the flame retardant 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (FR-1138 ${ }^{\text {® }}$ ) was carcinogenic in rodents causing a wide spectrum of organ carcinogenic responses. Other brominated flame retardants have also been shown to be carcinogenic in rodents [2,3-dibromo-1-propanol, polybrominated biphenyl, tris ( 2,3 -dibromopropyl)phosphate, and bis(2,3-dibromopropyl)-phosphate (Takada et al., 1991; IARC, 1990)]. Of the 10 aliphatic and three aromatic brominated chemicals studied by the NTP in 2-year rodent studies, 12 were carcinogenic (Table 30).

Common sites for carcinogenic activity from the brominated chemicals studied by the NTP (Table 31) include oral cavity, forestomach, intestine, lung, and kidney. Treatment-related lesions are generally not seen at these sites early in the study, but develop with time. In the 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol stop-exposure study, neoplasm development in the male rat requires only 3 months of exposure, and while lesions were not seen in the target organ at the end of this 3-month exposure period, the essential damage to the cell had been done, and carcinogenic lesions developed with time. Nonneoplastic lesions were observed in the pancreas, seminal vesicles, thyroid gland, lung, kidney, and urinary bladder in male rats; in the kidney of female rats; and in the lung of female mice. A carcinogenic response was observed in some of these organs; however, there were many sites where a carcinogenic response was observed in the absence of nonneoplastic lesions.

## Conclusions

Under the conditions of these 2 -year feed studies, there was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity* of

2,2-bis-(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (FR-1138 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) in male $\mathrm{F} 344 / \mathrm{N}$ rats based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the skin, subcutaneous tissue, mammary gland, Zymbal's gland, oral cavity, esophagus, forestomach, small and large intestines, mesothelium, urinary bladder, lung, thyroid gland, and seminal vesicle, and the increased incidence of mononuclear cell leukemia.

There was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in female F344/N rats based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the oral cavity, esophagus, mammary gland, and thyroid gland.

There was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in male $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C3F}_{\text {: }}$ mice based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the harderian gland, lung, and kidney.

There was clear evidence of carcinogenic activity of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in female $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the harderian gland, lung, and subcutaneous tissue.

Slight increases in the incidences of neoplasms of the pancreas and kidney in male rats; forestomach in male mice; and forestomach, mammary gland, and circulatory system in female mice may have also been related to treatment.

Exposure of male and female rats to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was associated with alveolar/bronchiolar hyperplasia in the lung (males only); focal atrophy, papillary degeneration, transitional epithelial hyperplasia (pelvis), and papillary epithelial hyperplasia in the kidney; follicular cell hyperplasia in the thyroid gland (males only); hyperplasia in the seminal vesicle and pancreas (males only); mucosal hyperplasia in the forestomach (males only); and urinary bladder hyperplasia (males only). Exposure of mice to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was associated with hyperplasia of the alveolar epithelium in females.

[^22]TABLE 29
Incidences of Selected Treatment-related Neoplasms in F344/N Rats and B6C3F ${ }_{1}$ Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 51 | 53 | 51 | 55 | 60 |
| Skin Tumors (all types) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4 | 6 | 14 ** | 24 ** | 21 ** |
| Subcutaneous Tissue | 2 | 9* | $13^{* *}$ | $16^{* *}$ | 10 ** |
| Mammary Gland (fibroadenoma) | 0 | 4* | $6^{* *}$ | 6 ** | 5 ** |
| Zymbal's Gland | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 15** |
| Oral Cavity | 0 | 4 * | 9** | 10 ** | 13 ** |
| Esophagus | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 * | 0 |
| Forestomach | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 * |
| Small Intestine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 * |
| Large Intestine | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 10 ** |
| Mesothelioma | 0 | 3 | 8 ** | $9 * *$ | 26 ** |
| Kidney (renal tubule adenoma) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 ** | 1 |
| Urinary Bladder | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Lung | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 * | 7 * |
| Thyroid Gland, Follicular Cell | 0 | 2 | $6^{*}$ | 3 | 9** |
| Seminal Vesicle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| All Organs, Mononuclear Cell Leukemia | 27 | 29 | 40 ** | 34 ** | 25 ** |
| Pancreas | 1 | 2 | 4* | 3 | 3 |
| Female Rats | 50 | 51 | 53 | 52 |  |
| Oral Cavity | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Esophagus | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 ** |  |
| Mammary Gland (fibroadenoma) | 25 | 45 ** | 46 ** | 45 ** |  |
| Thyroid Gland, Follicular Cell | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 ** |  |
|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |  |
| Male Mice | 50 | 51 | 50 | 49 |  |
| Harderian Gland | 4 | 7 | $16^{* *}$ | 22 ** |  |
| Lung | 15 | 11 | 16 | 25 * |  |
| Kidney | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |  |
| Forestomach | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 * |  |
| Female Mice | 52 | 50 | 51 | 50 |  |
| Harderian Gland | 3 | 12* | 13 ** | 19 ** |  |
| Lung | 5 | 5 | 15 * | 19 ** |  |
| Subcutaneous Tissue | 0 | 1 | 4 | 12 ** |  |
| Forestomach | 0 | 1 | 5 * | 3 * |  |
| Mammary Gland | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |
| Circulatory System | 1 | 2 | 0 | $5 *$ |  |

[^23]Table 30
Results of Carcinogenicity and Mutagenicity Tests of Selected Brominated Chemicals in Male and Female F344/N Rats and Male and Female B6C3F1 Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | $\text { Carcinogenicity }{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | Salmonella <br> Test <br> Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical and Route | Male Rat | Female Rat | Male <br> Mouse | Female Mouse |  |
| 1-Amino-2,4-dibromoanthraquinone (feed) TR 383 (in press) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{Ub}}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{Ub}}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{Lu}}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{Lu}}$ | $+^{c}$ |
| 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (feed) TR 452 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sk, S, Oc, E, F, <br> I, Ma, Lu, P, K, $\mathrm{Ub}, \mathrm{Sv}, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{Ty}, \mathrm{Me}$ | $\begin{gathered} + \\ \text { Oc, E, Ma, } \\ \mathrm{Lu}, \mathrm{Ty}, \mathrm{Z} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Lu}, \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{Ha}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +\quad+ \\ & \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{Ma} \\ & \mathrm{Lu}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ci} \end{aligned}$ | $+^{\text {d }}$ |
| Bromodichloromethane (gavage) TR 321 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{I}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \mathbf{K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \mathbf{K} \end{aligned}$ | $+$ | $+^{e}$ |
| Bromoethane (inhalation) TR 363 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Lu}}$ | $\begin{gathered} +/- \\ \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Lu} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} +/- \\ \mathrm{Lu} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \mathrm{U} \end{aligned}$ | $+{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 30
Results of Carcinogenicity and Mutagenicity Tests of Selected Brominated Chemicals in Male and Female F344/N Rats and Male and Female B6C3F ${ }_{1}$ Mice (continued)

|  | Carcinogenicity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Salmonella <br> Test <br> Chemical and Route |
|  | Rat | Rat | Mouse | Mouse |  |

Bromoform (tribromomethane; gavage)

$+\quad+\quad-\quad-\quad+\mathrm{B}$

Chlorodibromomethane (gavage)
TR 282

-



$+$
$+{ }^{e}$

Decabromodiphenyl Oxide (feed) TR 309
 $\begin{array}{lllll}+ & + & +/- & - & -c \\ \text { L } & \text { L } & \text { L,Ty } & & \end{array}$

1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (gavage)

$+\quad+\quad+\quad+{ }^{+}$
(continued)

Table 30
Results of Carcinogenicity and Mutagenicity Tests of Selected Brominated Chemicals in Male and Female F344/N Rats and Male and Female B6C3F $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ Mice (continued)


Table 30
Results of Carcinogenicity and Mutagenicity Tests of Selected Brominated Chemicals in Male and Female F344/N Rats and Male and Female B6C3F $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ Mice (continued)


Tris (2,3-Dibromopropyl) Phosphate (feed) TR 76

$+$
$\stackrel{+}{+}$
$\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Lu}}$
$+1$

Table 30
Results of Carcinogenicity and Mutagenicity Tests of Selected Brominated Chemicals
in Male and Female F344/N Rats and Male and Female B6C3F ${ }_{1}$ Mice (continued)
a Carcinogenic response: $+=$ some or clear evidence of carcinogenic activity; $-=$ no evidence of carcinogenic activity; $+/-=$ equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity; $\mathrm{NT}=$ not tested
b Site of carcinogenic activity; $\mathrm{A}=$ adrenal gland; $\mathrm{Br}=$ brain; $\mathrm{Ci}=$ circulatory system; $\mathrm{Cl}=$ clitoral gland; $\mathrm{E}=$ esophagus; $F=$ forestomach; $\mathrm{H}=$ harderian gland; $\mathrm{He}=$ hemangiosarcoma; $\mathrm{I}=$ intestine; $\mathrm{K}=$ kidney; $\mathrm{L}=$ liver; $\mathrm{Lu}=$ lung; $\mathrm{Ma}=$ mammary gland; $\mathrm{Me}=$ mesothelium; $\mathrm{N}=$ nasal cavity; $\mathrm{Oc}=$ oral cavity; $\mathrm{P}=$ pancreas; $\mathrm{S}=$ subcutaneous tissue; $\mathrm{Sk}=\mathrm{skin} ; \mathrm{Sp}=\mathrm{spleen}$; $\mathrm{Sv}=$ seminal vesicle; $\mathrm{Ty}=$ thyroid gland; $\mathrm{U}=$ uterus; $\mathrm{Ub}=$ urinary bladder; and $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Zymbal}$ 's gland
c Haworth et al., 1983
d Mortelmans et al., 1986; Zeiger et al., 1992
e Simmon et al., 1977; Simmon, 1978; Simmon and Kauhanen, 1978; Simmon and Tardiff, 1978
f Haworth et al., 1983; Zeiger et al., 1992
$g$ Haworth et al., 1983; Zeiger, 1990
h Zeiger et al., 1988
i Zeiger et al., 1992
j Unpublished
$k$ Sum of $m$ and $n$ ranges from 2 to 7
1 Dunkel et al., 1985

Table 31
Summary of Selected Neoplasms in NTP Studies of Brominated Chemicals

|  | 1-Amino-2,4dibromoanthraquinone (feed) | 2,2-Bis-(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (feed) | Bromodichloromethane (gavage) | Bromoform (gavage) | Bromoethane (inhalation) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alimentary System ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forestomach | MM, FM | MR | -- | - | - |
| Intestine | MR, FR | MR | MR | MR, FR | - |
| Liver | MR, FR, MM, FM | - | FM | - | - |
| Oral Cavity | - | MR, FR | - | - | - |
| Circulatory System | - | - | - | - | - |
| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adrenal Gland | - | - | - | - | MR |
| Thyroid Gland | - | MR,FR | - | - | - |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spleen | - | - | - | - | - |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | - | MR | - | - | - |
| Mammary Gland | - | MR, FR | - | - | - |
| Mesothelium | - | MR | - | - | - |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brain | - | - | - | - | MR, FR |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | MM, FM | MR | MR, FR, MM | - | MM |
| Nasal Cavity | - | - | - | - | - |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | MR, FR | MR | MR, FR, MM | - | - |
| Urinary Bladder | MR, FR | - | - | - | - |
| Other | - | MR, FR, MM, FM | - | - | FM |
| (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 31
Summary of Selected Neoplasms in NTP Studies of Brominated Chemicals (continued)

|  | Chlorodibromomethane (gavage) | Decabromodiphenyl Oxide (feed) | 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro-propane (gavage) | 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloro-propane (inhalation) | 1,2-Dibromoethane (gavage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alimentary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forestomach | - | - | MR, FR, MM, FM | - | MR, FR, MM, FM |
| Intestine | - | - | - | - |  |
| Liver | MM, FM | MR, FR | - | - | FR |
| Oral Cavity | - | - | - | MR, FR | - |
| Circulatory System | - | - | - | - | MR |
| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adrenal Gland | - | - | - | - | - |
| Thyroid Gland | - | FM | - | - | - |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spleen |  | - | - | - | - |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mammary Gland | - | - | FR | - | - |
| Mesothelium | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brain | - | - | - | - | - |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | - | - | - | MM, FM | MM, FM |
| Nasal Cavity | - | - | - | MR, FR, MM, FM | , |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | - | - | - | - | - |
| Urinary Bladder | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - | - | - |
| (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 31
Summary of Selected Neoplasms in NTP Studies of Brominated Chemicals (continued)

|  | 1,2-Dibromoethane (inhalation) | 2,3-Dibromo-1-propanol (dermal) | Methyl Bromide ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (inhalation) | Polybrominated Biphenyls (gavage/feed) | tris(2,3-Dibromopropyl) Phosphate (feed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alimentary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forestomach | - | MR, FR, MM, FM | - | - | FR, MM, FM |
| Intestine | - | MR, FR | - | - | - |
| Liver | - | MR, FR, MM | - | MR, FR, MM, FM | FM |
| Oral Cavity | - | MR | - | - | - |
| Circulatory System | MR, FR, FM | - | - | - | - |
| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adrenal Gland | FR | - | - | - | - |
| Thyroid Gland | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spleen | MM | - | - | - | - |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | - | MR, MM, FM | - | - | - |
| Mammary Gland | FR, FM | FR | - | - | - |
| Mesothelium | MR | MR | - | - | - |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brain | - | - | - | - | - |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | FR, MM, FM | MM | - | - | FR, MM, FM |
| Nasal Cavity | MR, FR, FM | MR, FR | - | - | - |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | - | MR, FR | - | - | MR, FR, MM |
| Urinary Bladder | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other | FM | MR, FR | - | - | - |
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TABLE A1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disposition Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 70 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 70 |
| 3-Month interim evaluation ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 9 | 7 | 9 | 5 |  |
| Early deaths |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moribund | 24 | 30 | 36 | 43 | 55 |
| Natural deaths | 1 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 5 |
| Survivors |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal sacrifice | 26 | 20 | 13 | 1 |  |
| Animals examined microscopically | 70 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 70 |

Systems Examined at 3 Months With No Neoplasms Observed
Alimentary System
Cardiovascular System
Endocrine System
General Body System
Genital System
Hematopoetic System
Integumentary System
Musculoskeletal System
Nervous System
Respiratory System
Special Senses System
Urinary System

## 15-Month Interim Evaluation

Alimentary System


Table A1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Testes | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma | 8 (89\%) | 6 (86\%) | 9 (100\%) | 4 (80\%) |  |
| Interstitial cell, adenoma |  |  |  | 1 (20\%) |  |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Squamous cell papilloma |  | 1 (14\%) |  | 1 (20\%) |  |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eye |  |  | (1) |  |  |
| Lids, melanoma NOS |  |  | 1 (100\%) |  |  |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Pelvis, transitional epithelium, carc |  |  |  | 1 (20\%) |  |
| Urinary bladder | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Transitional epithelium, papilloma |  |  |  | 1 (20\%) |  |
| Systemic Lesions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple organs ${ }^{\mathbf{c}}$ | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Leukemia mononuclear | 1 (11\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Mesothelioma malignant |  | 1 (14\%) | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Systems Examined With No Neoplasms Observed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cardiovascular System |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Body System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alimentary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Esophagus | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Squamous cell carcinoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Squamous cell papilloma |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 5 (9\%) |  |
| Intestine large, colon | (51) | (52) | (51) | (54) | (59) |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Carcinoma, multiple |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemangioma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Leiomyoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Leiomyosarcoma |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |

Table A1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alimentary System (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intestine large, colon (continued) | (51) | (52) | (51) | (54) | (59) |
| Polyp adenomatous |  |  | 3 (6\%) | 4 (7\%) | 8 (14\%) |
| Polyp adenomatous, multiple |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine large, rectum | (51) | (52) | (50) | (53) | (59) |
| Polyp adenomatous |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine large, cecum | (51) | (52) | (51) | (54) | (59) |
| Intestine small, duodenum | (51) | (53) | (51) | (52) | (59) |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine small, jejunum | (51) | (52) | (51) | (53) | (59) |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  | 2 (4\%) | 2 (3\%) |
| Polyp adenomatous |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine small, ileum | (51) | (52) | (51) | (53) | (59) |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Mucosa, carcinoma |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Liver | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hepatocellular adenoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hepatocellular adenoma, multiple |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Sarcoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Sarcoma, metastatic, spleen |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Mesentery | (15) | (16) | (19) | (22) | (30) |
| Carcinoma, metastatic, seminal vesicle |  |  |  |  | 1 (3\%) |
| Fibroma |  | 1 (6\%) |  |  |  |
| Hemangiosarcoma |  |  | 1 (5\%) |  |  |
| Sarcoma |  |  |  | 2 (9\%) |  |
| Pancreas | (51) | (53) | (51) | (53) | (59) |
| Sarcoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Acinar cell, adenoma | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 4 (8\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (3\%) |
| Acinar cell, adenoma, multiple |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Pharynx |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (10) |
| Palate, squamous cell carcinoma |  |  |  |  | 2 (20\%) |
| Palate, squamous cell papilloma |  | 2 (67\%) | 3 (75\%) | 2 (40\%) | 7 (70\%) |
| Salivary glands | (51) | (52) | (49) | (55) | (60) |
| Stomach, forestomach | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (59) |
| Sarcoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Squamous cell papilloma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) | $4 \text { (7\%) }$ |
| Mucosa, squamous cell papilloma |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Stomach, glandular | (51) | (53) | (51) | (53) | (60) |
| Sarcoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Tongue |  | (2) | (5) | (13) | (9) |
| Squamous cell papilloma |  | 2 (100\%) | 5 (100\%) | 8 (62\%) | 5 (56\%) |
| Squamous cell papilloma, multiple |  |  |  |  | 1 (11\%) |
| Tooth | (1) |  | (1) |  | (2) |
| Gingiva, squamous cell carcinoma |  |  | 1 (100\%) |  |  |

Table A1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cardiovascular System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heart | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Carcinoma, metastatic, seminal vesicle |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Schwannoma NOS | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adrenal cortex | (51) | (53) | (51) | (54) | (60) |
| Adenoma | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Adrenal medulla | (51) | (53) | (51) | (54) | (60) |
| Pheochromocytoma malignant | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Pheochromocytoma complex |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Pheochromocytoma benign | 5 (10\%) | 9 (17\%) | 4 (8\%) | 4 (7\%) |  |
| Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |  |  |  |
| Islets, pancreatic | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (59) |
| Adenoma | 1 (2\%) | 6 (11\%) |  |  |  |
| Carcinoma |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Parathyroid gland | (50) | (51) | (49) | (53) | (58) |
| Pituitary gland | (50) | (51) | (50) | (53) | (57) |
| Pars distalis, adenoma | 7 (14\%) | 9 (18\%) | 6 (12\%) | 6 (11\%) | 5 (9\%) |
| Pars distalis, carcinoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Pars intermedia, adenoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Thyroid gland | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (59) |
| Bilateral, C-cell, carcinoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| C-cell, adenoma | 7 (14\%) | 4 (8\%) | 4 (8\%) | 3 (5\%) | 4 (7\%) |
| C-cell, carcinoma | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Follicular cell, adenoma |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 7 (12\%) |
| Follicular cell, carcinoma |  | 1 (2\%) | 4 (8\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (3\%) |
| General Body System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tissue NOS | (1) | (2) | (3) | (6) | (8) |
| Pelvic, chordoma |  |  |  | 1 (17\%) |  |
| Genital System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Epididymis | (51) | (53) | (51) | (54) | (60) |
| Penis |  | (1) |  |  |  |
| Sarcoma |  | 1 (100\%) |  |  |  |
| Preputial gland | (51) | (52) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Adenoma | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) | 4 (7\%) | 4 (7\%) |
| Carcinoma | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Duct, squamous cell papilloma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Prostate | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Carcinoma, metastatic, seminal vesicle |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |

Table A1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seminal vesicle | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Adenoma |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Testes | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma | 44 (86\%) | 44 (83\%) | 47 (92\%) | 48 (87\%) | 52 (87\%) |
| Interstitial cell, adenoma | 5 (10\%) | 4 (8\%) | 4 (8\%) | 3 (5\%) | 7 (12\%) |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone marrow | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Lymph node | (25) | (23) | (36) | (27) | (30) |
| Deep cervical, carcinoma, metastatic, thyroid gland |  |  | 1 (3\%) |  |  |
| Lymph node, mandibular | (49) | (52) | (49) | (55) | (59) |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | (50) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Spleen | (51) | (53) | (51) | (54) | (60) |
| Carcinoma, metastatic, seminal vesicle |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Fibroma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Thymus | (49) | (53) | (49) | (53) | (57) |
| Epithelial cell, thymoma malignant |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Epithelial cell, thymoma NOS |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mammary gland | (48) | (51) | (49) | (48) | (50) |
| Adenoma |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Fibroadenoma |  | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) | 6 (13\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Fibroadenoma, multiple |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Skin | (51) | (53) | (51) | (54) | (59) |
| Basal cell adenoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 3 (6\%) | 6 (10\%) |
| Basal cell carcinoma |  |  | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal's gland |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Keratoacanthoma | 2 (4\%) | $5(9 \%)$ | 9 (18\%) | 16 (30\%) | 9 (15\%) |
| Keratoacanthoma, multiple | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Squamous cell carcinoma |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Squamous cell papilloma | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 4 (7\%) | 10 (17\%) |
| Squamous cell papilloma, multiple |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Trichoepithelioma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Pinna, melanoma malignant |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Sebaceous gland, adenoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 2 (3\%) |
| Subcutaneous tissue, carcinoma, metastatic, thyroid gland |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma | 2 (4\%) | 8 (15\%) | 7 (14\%) | 9 (17\%) | 7 (12\%) |
| Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma, multiple |  |  | 4 (8\%) | 6 (11\%) |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, fibrosarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Subcutaneous tissue, hemangiosarcoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, lipoma |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |

Table A1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of $\mathbf{2 , 2 - B i s}$ (bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table A1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eye | (2) | (1) | (2) |  | (1) |
| Lids, melanoma NOS | $1(50 \%)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Zymbal's gland | (2) | (1) | (5) | (5) | (15) |
| Adenoma |  |  | 1 (20\%) | 3 (60\%) | 2 (13\%) |
| Carcinoma | 2 (100\%) | 1 (100\%) | 3 (60\%) | 2 (40\%) | 13 (87\%) |
| Bilateral, carcinoma |  |  |  |  | 2 (13\%) |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (59) |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pelvis, transitional epithelium, carcinoma |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Renal tubule, adenoma |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 3 (5\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Urinary bladder | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (59) |
| Transitional epithelium, carcinoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Transitional epithelium, papilloma |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Systemic Lesions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple organs | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Leukemia mononuclear | 27 (53\%) | 29 (55\%) | 40 (78\%) | 34 (62\%) | 25 (42\%) |
| Mesothelioma malignant |  | 3 (6\%) | 8 (16\%) | 9 (16\%) | 26 (43\%) |
| Neoplasm Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total animals with primary neoplasms ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 9 | 7 | 9 | 5 |  |
| 2-Year study | 51 | 52 | 51 | 54 | 60 |
| Total primary neoplasms |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 12 | 11 | 12 | 9 |  |
| 2-Year study | 123 | 162 | 195 | 228 | 255 |
| Total animals with benign neoplasms |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 9 | 7 | 9 | 5 |  |
| 2-Year study | 50 | 52 | 51 | 54 | 59 |
| Total benign neoplasms |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 11 | 10 | 10 | 8 |  |
| 2-Year study | 84 | 112 | 127 | 153 | 163 |
| Total animals with malignant neoplasms |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2-Year study | 32 | 35 | 46 | 49 | 55 |
| Total malignant neoplasms |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 2-Year study | 37 | 48 | 66 | 75 | 91 |
| Total animals with metastatic neoplasms |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation |  |  | . |  |  |
| 2-Year study | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 |
| Total metastatic neoplasms |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Year study | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 16 |

Table A1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Neoplasm Summary (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total animals with uncertain neoplasms benign or malignant |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 2-Year study | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Total uncertain neoplasms |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 2-Year study | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |

a Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with neoplasm
b Ten control and ten $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (stop-exposure) rats were evaluated at 3 months.
c Number of animals with any tissue examined microscopically
d Primary neoplasms: all neoplasms except metastatic neoplasms

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm

| Number of Days on Study | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| Carcass ID Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 0 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |


| Alimentary System |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Esophagus | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine large, colon | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine large, rectum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine large, cecum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine small, duodenum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine small, jejunum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine small, ileum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Liver | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Mesentery | + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Pancreas | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Acinar cell, adenoma |  |
| Salivary glands | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Stomach, forestomach | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Stomach, glandular | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |


| Cardiovascular System | ++++ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Blood vessel | ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ |
| Heart |  |
| $\quad$ Schwannoma NOS |  |


| Endocrine System |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adrenal cortex | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Adenoma |  |
| Adrenal medulla + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |  |
| Pheochromocytoma malignant |  |
| Pheochromocytoma benign | X X |
| Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign X |  |
| Islets, pancreatic | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Adenoma |  |
| Parathyroid gland | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Pituitary gland | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Pars distalis, adenoma X X X X |  |
| Pars intermedia, adenoma |  |
| Thyroid gland | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| C-cell, adenoma | X X |
| C-cell, carcinoma | X |

## General Body System

Tissue NOS
Genital System
Epididymis

+: Tissue examined microscopically
A: Autolysis precludes examination

M: Missing tissue
I: Insufficient tissue

X: Lesion present Blank: Not examined

TABLE A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)


Cardiovascular System

Schwannoma NOS 1


## General Body System

Tissue NOS
$+$
1
Genital System
Epididymis


Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)


Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)


Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 3 | 4 6 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 8 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 2 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 5 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 6 6 0 | 6 6 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 6 7 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 9 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 9 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 7 2 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcass ID Number | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $2$ | $5$ | 2 | $2$ | 4 | $3$ | $6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Urinary System Kidney <br> Urinary bladder | + | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | + |  | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | + | $+$ | + | + | + | + |  |  |  |  | + + |
| Systemic Lesions <br> Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear |  | $\mathrm{X}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{x}}$ |  | + | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \mathrm{X} \end{aligned}$ | X | $+$ | X | X | X | X | + | $\stackrel{+}{\mathbf{X}}$ |  | X |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{X}}$ |

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 7 3 6 | 7 3 6 | 7 3 6 | 7 3 6 | 7 3 6 | 7 3 6 | 7 3 6 | 7 3 6 | 7 3 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcass ID Number | 0 3 8 | 0 3 9 | 4 | 0 4 1 | 4 | 0 4 6 | 0 4 7 | 0 4 8 | 0 4 9 | 0 5 0 | 0 5 1 | 0 | 0 0 8 |  |  |  |  | 0 1 4 | 0 1 8 | 0 1 9 | 0 7 | 0 2 8 | 0 2 9 | 0 3 1 | 0 3 2 | 4 |  |
| Urinary System Kidney Urinary bladder | + | $+$ | + | $+$ | $+$ | + | $+$ | + |  | + | + | + | + |  |  |  |  | + | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | + | 51 51 |
| Systemic Lesions <br> Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear | $+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}++++++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ |

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 2,500 ppm


Squamous cell papilloma
Cardiovascular System


## Endocrine System



C-cell, adenoma
C-cell, carcinoma
Follicular cell, adenoma
Follicular cell, carcinoma

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 2,500 ppm (continued)


Cardiovascular System
Blood vessel $\quad 1$

Schwannoma NOS

## Endocrine System



General Body System

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 2,500 ppm (continued)


## Genital System

Coagulating gland
Epididymis
Penis
Sarcoma
Preputial gland Adenoma
$++++++++++++++++++\underset{X}{+}+++++++$
Carcinoma
Prostate
Seminal vesicle


Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma
Interstitial cell, adenoma


## Hematopoietic System

 Lymph node

X
Mediastinal, mesothelioma malignant, metastatic, mesentery
Lymph node, bronchial


Lymph node, mediastinal
Spleen


Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic, mesentery

X

Integumentary System
Mammary gland
$\mathrm{M}++++++++++++++++++++++++$
Fibroadenoma
Fibroadenoma, multiple
Skin

Basal cell adenoma
Keratoacanthoma
Pinna, melanoma malignant X
Sebaceous gland, adenoma
Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma $\quad \mathrm{XX} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}$
Subcutaneous tissue, fibrosarcoma
Subcutaneous tissue, schwannoma malignant X

## Musculoskeletal System

Bone

Skeletal muscle
$+$

## Nervous System


Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland
Oligodendroglioma NOS
X
Spinal cord

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 2,500 ppm (continued)


Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 2,500 ppm (continued)


Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 2,500 ppm (continued)


TABLE A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$

|  | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Days on Study | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 3 |  |


|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carcass ID Number | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 |

## Alimentary System



## Acinar cell, adenoma

Pharynx
Palate, squamous cell papilloma
Salivary glands
Stomach, forestomach
Stomach, glandular

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\quad+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X }
\end{aligned}
$$

Squamous cell papilloma
Tooth
Gingiva, squamous cell carcinoma
Cardiovascular System
Heart


Schwannoma NOS

## Endocrine System



## General Body System

Tissue NOS

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


Cardiovascular System



## General Body System

Tissue NOS $\qquad$

TABLE A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


## Hematopoietic System

| Bone marrow Lymph node |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Deep cervical, carcinoma, metastatic, thyroid gland | X |
| Lymph node, mandibular | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Lymph node, mediastinal | $+\quad+\quad+\quad+\quad++$ |
| Spleen | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Thymus | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + $\mathbf{1}+++_{++}^{+}$ |



## Musculoskeletal System

Bone
$+++++++++++++++++++++++++$
Skeletal muscle

## Nervous System

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)




Musculoskeletal System

Nervous System
Brain
$\quad$ Astrocytoma NOS
Spinal cord

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


## Urinary System

## Kidney

Renal tubule, adenoma
Urethra
Urinary bladde Transitional epithelium, papilloma

## Systemic Lesions

 Multiple organsLeukemia mononuclear

$$
+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+++}+\mathrm{X}^{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}
$$

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$

| Number of Days on Study | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | 9 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| Carcass ID Number | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  | 7 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 7 |



## Cardiovascular System



## Endocrine System

Adrenal cortex $\quad+++++++++++++++++++++++++$

Pheochromocytoma malignant
Pheochromocytoma complex
Pheochromocytoma benign



Pars distalis, adenoma

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 5 7 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 8 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 8 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 9 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 6 0 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 1 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 6 1 9 | 6 1 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 6 2 0 | 6 4 3 | 6 4 7 | 6 5 4 | 6 5 8 | 6 6 2 | 6 6 2 | 6 7 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 8 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 6 8 2 | 6 8 7 | 6 9 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 9 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 9 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 0 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 3 | 7 3 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcass ID Number | 2 2 9 | 2 3 4 | 2 4 1 | 2 2 1 | 2 3 2 | 2 4 3 | 2 3 1 | 2 0 7 | 2 1 7 | 2 2 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 2 4 4 | 1 9 1 | 2 3 0 | 2 1 0 | 1 9 7 | 2 0 2 | 2 2 7 | 2 0 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 9 8 | 1 9 6 | 1 9 9 | 2 1 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 2 2 5 | 1 9 3 | 2 1 9 | 3 | 2 3 9 | Total Tissues/ Tumors |



## Cardiovascular System

Blood vessel
Heart


## Endocrine System



Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)


## Hematopoietic System




Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


## Hematopoietic System




Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


| Special Senses System |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zymbal's gland | + | + | 5 |
| Adenoma |  | X | 3 |
| Carcinoma | X |  | 2 |




Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (Stop-Exposure)

| Number of Days on Study | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 6 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $3$ $6$ $6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 9 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $4$ <br> 1 0 | 4 2 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 4 3 2 | 4 3 9 |  | 4 5 1 | 4 7 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 7 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 4 9 0 | 5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 5 1 1 | 5 1 3 | 5 |  | $5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ $6$ | 5 1 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcass ID Number | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 7 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 7 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 7 |  |  | 5 | 5 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 2 9 1 | 2 6 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 2 6 6 | 2 9 4 | 2 |  | 2 5 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 7 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 3 0 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |

## Alimentary System

Esophagus
Intestine large, colon


## Carcinoma

Carcinoma, multiple
Polyp adenomatous
Polyp adenomatous, multiple
Intestine large, rectum
Polyp adenomatous
X
X X X X X

Intestine large, cecum
Intestine small, duodenum
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung
Intestine small, jejunum
Carcinoma
Polyp adenomatous
Intestine small, ileum
Carcinoma
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung
Mucosa, carcinoma
Liver
Hepatocellular carcinoma
Mesentery
$\quad$ Carcinoma, metastatic, seminal vesicle
Pancreas
$\quad$ Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung
Acinar cell, adenoma
Acinar cell, adenoma, multiple

## Pharynx

Palate, squamous cell carcinoma
Palate, squamous cell papilloma
Salivary glands
Stomach, forestomach
Squamous cell papilloma
Mucosa, squamous cell papilloma
Stomach, glandular
Tongue
Squamous cell papilloma
Squamous cell papilloma, multiple
Tooth

## Cardiovascular System

Blood vessel

Heart
Carcinoma, metastatic, seminal vesicle


Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m ~ ( S t o p - E x p o s u r e ) ~ ( c o n t i n u e d ) ~}$

| Number of Days on Study | 5 | 55 |  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 66 |  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 66 |  | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | 7 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Total |
| Carcass ID Number | 8 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 7 | Tissues/ |
|  | 6 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 5 | Tumors |


| Alimentary System |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Esophagus | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 60 |
| Intestine large, colon | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 59 |
| Carcinoma | X | 1 |
| Carcinoma, multiple |  | 1 |
| Polyp adenomatous | X X X | 8 |
| Polyp adenomatous, multiple |  | 1 |
| Intestine large, rectum Polyp adenomatous | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 59 1 |
| Intestine large, cecum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 59 |
| Intestine small, duodenum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 59 |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  | 1 |
| Intestine small, jejunum Carcinoma | $++++++++++++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+++++++++++++++++$ | 59 2 |
| Polyp adenomatous | X | 1 |
| Intestine small, ileum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 59 |
| Carcinoma | X | 1 |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  | 1 |
| Mucosa, carcinoma | x | 1 |
| Liver <br> Hepatocellular carcinoma |  | 60 1 |
| Mesentery | $++++\quad++$ | 30 |
| Carcinoma, metastatic, seminal vesicle |  | 1 |
| Pancreas | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 59 |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  | 1 |
| Acinar cell, adenoma |  | 2 |
| Acinar cell, adenoma, multiple | X | 1 |
| Pharynx | + + + + + + | 10 |
| Palate, squamous cell carcinoma | X | 2 |
| Palate, squamous cell papilloma | X X X X | 7 |
| Salivary glands | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 60 |
| Stomach, forestomach | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 59 |
| Squamous cell papilloma | $\mathbf{x}$ X $\mathbf{x}$ | 4 |
| Mucosa, squamous cell papilloma | X | 1 |
| Stomach, glandular | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 60 |
| Tongue | + + + + + | 9 |
| Squamous cell papilloma | X X | 5 |
| Squamous cell papilloma, multiple | X | 1 |
| Tooth | + | 2 |

## Cardiovascular System

Blood vessel
Heart $\quad++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++\quad 60$

Carcinoma, metastatic, seminal vesicle
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic,
lung

## Table A2

Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (Stop-Exposure) (continued)


## General Body System

Tissue NOS

## Genital System

Coagulating gland
Epididymis

Adenoma
Prostate +
Carcinoma, metastatic, seminal vesicle
Seminal vesicle
Adenoma
Carcinoma
Testes
Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma Interstitial cell, adenoma

## Hematopoietic System



Epithelial cell, thymoma NOS

Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{ppm}$ (Stop-Exposure) (continued)


Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (Stop-Exposure) (continued)


TABLE A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 20,000 ppm (Stop-Exposure) (continued)


Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (Stop-Exposure) (continued)


Table A2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m ~ ( S t o p - E x p o s u r e ) ~ ( c o n t i n u e d ) ~}$


Table A3a
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adrenal Medulla: Benign Pheochromocytoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6/51 (12\%) | 12/53 (23\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 4/54 (7\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $19.6 \%$ | 42.2\% | 18.5\% | $18.3 \%$ |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $3 / 26$ (12\%) | 6/20 (30\%) | 1/13 (8\%) | $0 / 1$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 628 | 543 | 656 | 576 |
| Life table test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.040$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.562$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.090$ |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.353 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.059$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.488 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.631 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.099 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.113$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.370 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.335 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Adrenal Medulla: Malignant Pheochromocytoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/51 (6\%) | $3 / 53$ (6\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 1/54 (2\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 11.0\% | 14.1\% | 2.7\% | 3.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/26 (8\%) | 2/20 (10\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 725 | 725 | 641 | 577 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.507$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.540$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.504 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.520$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.389 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.549$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.381 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.598 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.154 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.642 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.309 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.288 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Adrenal Medulla: Benign, Complex, or Malignant Pheochromocytoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $9 / 51$ (18\%) | 14/53 (26\%) | $5 / 51$ (10\%) | 5/54 (9\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 29.2\% | 48.8\% | 20.7\% | 21.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 5/26 (19\%) | 7/20 (35\%) | 1/13 (8\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 628 | 543 | 641 | 576 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.116$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.067$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.563 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.058$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.263 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.089$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.307 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.528 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.042 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.201$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.194 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.165 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Esophagus: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 5/55 (9\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 62.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 662 | 549 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.454$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.507$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.021$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.034$ |
| Intestine (Large): Adenomatous Polyp |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | $0 / 53$ (0\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 4/55 (7\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.3\% | 46.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 1/13 (8\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 684 | 495 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.055$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.086$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.063$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.014$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.121$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.069$ |

Table A3a
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kidney (Renal Tubule): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 3/55 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.5\% | 41.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 695 | 690 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.413$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.482$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.136$ |
| Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 1/55 (2\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 18.6\% | 10.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 2/13 (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 696 | - | 684 | 682 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.053$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.554 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.143$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.408$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.182$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.515 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.211$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.644$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.491$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.490 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.309$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.733 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 3/55 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/20 (5\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 736 (T) | - | 620 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.448$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.448$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.050$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.510$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.136$ |
| Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 4/55 (7\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.9\% | 5.0\% | 18.6\% | 63.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/20 (5\%) | 2/13 (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 696 | 736 (T) | 684 | 620 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.700$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.143$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.726$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.211$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.029$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.088$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.743 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.309$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.206$ |
| Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 4/53 (8\%) | 6/51 (12\%) | $6 / 55$ (11\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 18.9\% | 42.6\% | 51.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 3/20 (15\%) | $5 / 13$ (38\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 725 | 726 | 576 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.036$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.034$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.035$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.064$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.017$ |

TABLE A3a
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)


TABle A3a
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pancreatic Islets: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | $8 / 53$ (15\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 0/55 (0\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 3.8\% | 30.6\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/26 (4\%) | 4/20 (20\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 543 | 536 | - |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.530 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.694$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.993 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.139 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.756 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.993 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.068 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.018$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.752 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.481 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Pharynx: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 2/53 (4\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 2/55 (4\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 13.5\% | 18.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 2/20 (10\%) | 1/13 (8\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (day ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | - | 736 (T) | 641 | 509 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.182$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.069$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.085$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.132$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.182$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.112$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.295$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.260$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.257$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.267$ |
| Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $7 / 50$ (14\%) | $9 / 51$ (18\%) | 6/50 (12\%) | $6 / 53$ (11\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 22.4\% | 34.2\% | 31.1\% | 70.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/25 (12\%) | 5/20 (25\%) | 3/13 (23\%) | $0 / 1$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 675 | 512 | 576 | 604 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.240$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.362$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.278$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.290$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.619$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.154$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.301 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.410$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.455 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $7 / 50$ (14\%) | $10 / 51$ (20\%) | 6/50 (12\%) | $6 / 53$ (11\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 22.4\% | 38.6\% | 31.1\% | 70.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/25 (12\%) | 6/20 (30\%) | 3/13 (23\%) | $0 / 1$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 675 | 512 | 576 | 604 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.165$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.362$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.284$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.197$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.619$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.154$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.268 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.314$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.455 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Preputial Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/51 (6\%) | 3/52 (6\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 4/55 (7\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 11.5\% | 11.6\% | 19.5\% | 31.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/26 (12\%) | 1/20 (5\%) | 2/13 (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 648 | 620 | 542 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.007$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.546$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.237$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.017$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.233$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.565$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.412$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.366$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.430$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.652 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.542$ |

TABLE A3a
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preputial Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $5 / 51$ (10\%) | 4/52 (8\%) | 5/51 (10\%) | 5/55 (9\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 16.2\% | 16.2\% | 23.2\% | 35.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/26 (12\%) | 2/20 (10\%) | 2/13 (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 628 | 648 | 620 | 542 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.622$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.330$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.029$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.317$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.598 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.580$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.507$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.564$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.488 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.630 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.580 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 5/55 (9\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/26 (4\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 1/13 (8\%) | 1/1 (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | - | 654 | 393 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.552 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.319$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.552 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.426$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.172$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.018$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.490 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121$ |
| Skin: Keratoacanthoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/51 (6\%) | 5/53 (9\%) | 11/51 (22\%) | 16/55 (29\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 9.7\% | 23.6\% | 41.0\% | 83.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/26 (8\%) | 4/20 (20\%) | $2 / 13$ (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 619 | 725 | 633 | 495 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.227$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.256$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.017$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.380$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.021$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |
| Skin: Basal Cell Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 3/55 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 62.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 659 | - | 690 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.463$ | - | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.516$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.050$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.510$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.136$ |
| Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 5/55 (9\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 10.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/26 (4\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 1/13 (8\%) | 1/1 (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | - | 654 | 393 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.552 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.319$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.552 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.426$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.172$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.018$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.490 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121$ |

Table A3a
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skin: Trichoepithelioma, Basal Cell Adenoma, or Basal Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 6/55 (11\%) |  |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 8.7\% | 78.0\% |  |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |  |
| First incidence (days) | - | 659 | 694 | 516 |  |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.463$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.155$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.516$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.215$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.510$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.248$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.017$ |  |
| Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma, Keratoacanthoma, Trichoepithelioma, Basal Cell Adenoma, Basal Cell Carcinoma, or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/51 (8\%) | 6/53 (11\%) | 14/51 (27\%) | 24/55 (44\%) |  |
| Adjusted rate | 13.5\% | 25.9\% | 50.4\% | 100.0\% |  |
| Terminal | 3/26 (12\%) | 4/20 (20\%) | 3/13 ( $23 \%$ ) | 1/1 (100\%) |  |
| First incidence (days) | 619 | 659 | 633 | 393 |  |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.227$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.267$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |
| Cochran-Armitage | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.395$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibroma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/51 (4\%) | $8 / 53$ (15\%) | 11/51 (22\%) | 15/55 (27\%) |  |
| Adjusted rate | 6.3\% | 26.5\% | 35.2\% | 100.0\% |  |
| Terminal rate | 1/26 (4\%) | 3/20 (15\%) | 1/13 (8\%) | 1/1 (100\%) |  |
| First incidence (days) | 660 | 576 | 536 | 381 |  |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.026$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.047$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |  |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.053$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.007$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | $0 / 53$ (0\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 3/55 (5\%) |  |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% | 56.5\% |  |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |  |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 621 | 577 |  |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.203$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ |  |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.017$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.247$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.052$ |  |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.033$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.248$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.136$ |  |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibrosarcoma or Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | $2 / 51$ (4\%) | 3/55 (5\%) |  |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 6.2\% | 56.5\% |  |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/20 (5\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |  |
| First incidence (days) | - | 736 (T) | 621 | 577 |  |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.448$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.203$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ |  |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.026$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.448$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.247$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.052$ |  |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.071$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.510$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.248$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.136$ |  |

TAble A3a
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)


Table A3a
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thyroid Gland (Follicular Cell): Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 1/55 (2\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 20.8\% | 5.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 2/13 (15\%) | $0 / 1$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 610 | 633 | 647 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.041$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.462$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.023$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.325$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.235$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.549$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.047$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.492$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.361$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.510$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.059$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.519$ |
| Thyroid Gland (Follicular Cell): Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 2/53 (4\%) | $6 / 51$ (12\%) | 3/55 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 27.5\% | 14.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/20 (5\%) | $2 / 13$ (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 610 | 633 | 608 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.191$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.027$ |
| Logistic regression test. | $\mathrm{P}=0.055$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.239$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.124$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathbf{P}=0.133$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.257$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.136$ |
| Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 2/53 (4\%) | $5 / 51$ (10\%) | 8/55 (15\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 24.5\% | 31.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 2/20 (10\%) | 2/13 (15\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 736 (T) | 536 | 381 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.182$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.182$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.032$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.016$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.257$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.028$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ |
| Urinary Bladder: Transitional Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 3/55 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 8.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 1/13 (8\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 736 (T) | 568 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.362$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.069$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.034$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.362$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.206$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.136$ |
| Zymbal's Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 3/55 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 52.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 694 | 556 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.422$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.020$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.022$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.484$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.133$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.136$ |

Table A3a
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zymbal's Gland: Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/51 (4\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 2/55 (4\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 4.1\% | 3.8\% | 7.2\% | 5.8\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 334 | 696 | 592 | 516 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.286$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.554 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.467$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.582$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.443 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.351 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.451$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.379 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.528$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.485 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.662 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Zymbal's Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/51 (4\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 5/55 (9\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 4.1\% | 3.8\% | 11.2\% | 55.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 0/13 (0\%) | 0/1 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 334 | 696 | 592 | 516 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.554 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.286$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.067$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.212$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.351 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.312$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.503$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.095$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.485 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.339$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.251$ |
| All Organs: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 27/51 (53\%) | 29/53 (55\%) | 40/51 (78\%) | 34/55 (62\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 59.0\% | 76.3\% | 97.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 8/26 (31\%) | 12/20 (60\%) | 12/13 (92\%) | 1/1 (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 467 | 480 | 536 | 432 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.164$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.087$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.476$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.549$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.129$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.506$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.234$ |
| All Organs: Malignant Mesothelioma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 3/53 (6\%) | 8/51 (16\%) | 9/55 (16\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 43.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/20 (0\%) | 4/13 (31\%) | 1/1 (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 586 | 681 | 495 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.097$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.157$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.129$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |
| All Organs: Benign Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 50/51 (98\%) | 52/53 (98\%) | 51/51 (100\%) | 54/55 (98\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 26/26 (100\%) | 20/20 (100\%) | 13/13 (100\%) | $1 / 1(100 \%)$ |
| First incidence (days) | 467 | 450 | 451 | 381 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.059$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | - ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | - | - | - |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.599$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.743$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.733$ |

TABLE A3a
Statistical Analysis of Primary Néoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Organs: Malignant Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 32/51 (63\%) | 36/53 (68\%) | 46/51 (90\%) | 49/55 (89\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 64.8\% | 82.8\% | 97.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 9/26 (35\%) | 13/20 (65\%) | 12/13 (92\%) | 1/1 (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 334 | 450 | 451 | 432 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.099$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.489$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.361$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $P=0.364$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| All Organs: Benign or Malignant Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 51/51 (100\%) | 52/53 (98\%) | 51/51 (100\%) | 54/55 (98\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 26/26 (100\%) | 20/20 (100\%) | 13/13 (100\%) | $1 / 1$ (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 334 | 450 | 451 | 381 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.079$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.347 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.419 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.510 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=1.000 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.519 \mathrm{~N}$ |

## (T)Terminal sacrifice

a Number of neoplasm-bearing animals/number of animals examined. Denominator is number of animals examined microscopically for adrenal gland, kidney, lung, pancreas, pancreatic islets, pituitary gland, preputial gland, testes, thyroid gland, and urinary bladder; for other tissues, denominator is number of animals necropsied.
b Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality
c Observed incidence at terminal kill
d Beneath the control incidence are the $P$ values associated with the trend test. Beneath the exposed group incidence are the $P$ values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposed group. The life table test regards neoplasms in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. For all tests, a negative trend or a lower incidence in an exposure group is indicated by $\mathbf{N}$.
e Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group
f Value of statistic cannot be computed.

Table A3b
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol


TABLE A3b
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 4/60 (7\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 696 | 513 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.086$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.237$ |
| Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 3/60 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 21.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | $0 / 0$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 522 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.015$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.118$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.154$ |
| Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 7/60 (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 696 | 513 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.050$ |
| Lung: Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 3/60 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 13.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 365 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.052$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.330$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.154$ |
| Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 5/60 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 57.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 592 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.043$ |

Table A3b
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oral Cavity (Pharynx or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 12/60 (20\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | $0 / 26$ (0\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | - | 511 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Oral Cavity (Pharynx or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 13/60 (22\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | $0 / 0$ |
| First incidence (days) | - | 511 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Pancreas: Adenoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 3/59 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 3.8\% | 8.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/26 (4\%) | $0 / 0$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 507 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.077$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.447$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.366$ |
| Pharynx: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 7/60 (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 46.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) |  | 516 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ |
| Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | $7 / 50$ (14\%) | 5/57 (9\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 22.4\% | 43.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/25 (12\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 675 | 507 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.551$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.291 \mathrm{~N}$ |

TABLE A3b
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preputial Gland: Adenoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/51 (6\%) | 4/60 (7\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 11.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/26 (12\%) | $0 / 0$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 659 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.591$ |
| Preputial Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | $5 / 51$ (10\%) | 4/60 (7\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 16.2\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/26 (12\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 628 | 659 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.051$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.397 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 11/60 (18\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 3.8\% | 60.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/26 (4\%) | $0 / 0$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 425 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathbf{P}=0.005$ |
| Skin: Keratoacanthoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/51 (6\%) | 10/60 (17\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 9.7\% | 69.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/26 (8\%) | $0 / 0$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 619 | 507 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.069$ |
| Skin: Basal Cell Adenoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | $6 / 60$ (10\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 56.1\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 519 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.022$ |

Table A3b
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skin: Trichoepithelioma or Basal Cell Adenoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 7/60 (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 64.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 519 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ |
| Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 12/60 (20\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 3.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/26 (4\%) | $0 / 0$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 425 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma, Keratoacanthoma, Trichoepithelioma, Basal Cell Adenoma, or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/51 (8\%) | 21/60 (35\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 13.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/26 (12\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 619 | 425 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | P<0.001 |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibroma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/51 (4\%) | $7 / 60$ (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 6.3\% | 30.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/26 (4\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | 660 | 388 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.200$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.126$ |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibrosarcoma or Sarcoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 3/60 (5\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 9.8\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 439 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.058$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.277$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.154$ |

TABLE A3b
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibroma, Fibrosarcoma, or Sarcoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/51 (4\%) | 10/60 (17\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 6.3\% | 37.1\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/26 (4\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 660 | 388 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.079$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.029$ |
| Stomach (Forestomach): Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 5/60 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 26.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 511 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.028$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.043$ |
| Testes: Adenoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 49/51 (96\%) | 59/60 (98\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 26/26 (100\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 467 | 365 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.039$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.439$ |
| Thyroid Gland (C-cell): Adenoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 7/51 (14\%) | 4/59 (7\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 23.1\% | 17.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 5/26 (19\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 619 | 471 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.062$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.518 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.186 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Thyroid Gland (C-cell): Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | $8 / 51$ (16\%) | 4/59 (7\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 25.7\% | 17.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 5/26 (19\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 619 | 471 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.068$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.456 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.118 \mathrm{~N}$ |

TABLE A3b
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thyroid Gland (Follicular Cell): Adenoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | $7 / 59$ (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 39.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 432 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.021$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ |
| Thyroid Gland (Follicular Cell): Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | $9 / 59$ (15\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 55.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 388 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 6/60 (10\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 511 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.028$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.022$ |
| Zymbal's Gland: Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/51 (4\%) | 15/60 (25\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 4.1\% | 44.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | $0 / 0$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 334 | 222 |
| Life table test |  | $P<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.166$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |
| Zymbal's Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/51 (4\%) | 15/60 (25\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 4.1\% | 44.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 334 | 222 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.166$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |

Table A3b
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Organs: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia |  |  |
| Overall rate | 27/51 (53\%) | 25/60 (42\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 59.0\% | 92.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 8/26 (31\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 467 | 366 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.164 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.160 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| All Organs: Malignant Mesothelioma |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/51 (0\%) | 26/60 (43\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 91.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 365 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| All Organs: Benign Neoplasms |  |  |
| Overall rate | 50/51 (98\%) | 59/60 (98\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 26/26 (100\%) | 0/0 |
| First incidence (days) | 467 | 365 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.638$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.710$ |
| All Organs: Malignant Neoplasms |  |  |
| Overall rate | 32/51 (63\%) | 55/60 (92\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 64.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 9/26 (35\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 334 | 222 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.080$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Table A3b
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Organs: Benign or Malignant Neoplasms |  |  |
| Overall rate | 51/51 (100\%) | 60/60 (100\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 26/26 (100\%) | 0/0 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 334 | 222 |
| Life table test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test |  | - ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=1.000 \mathrm{~N}$ |

(T)Terminal sacrifice
a Number of neoplasm-bearing animals/number of animals examined. Denominator is number of animals examined microscopically for adrenal gland, lung, pancreas, pituitary gland, preputial gland, testes, and thyroid gland; for other tissues, denominator is number of animals necropsied.
b Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality
c Observed incidence at terminal kill
d Beneath the exposed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the control group and the exposed group. The life table test regards neoplasms in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Fisher exact test compares directly the overall incidence rates. For all tests, a lower incidence in the exposure group is indicated by $\mathbf{N}$.
e Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group
f Value of statistic cannot be computed.

Table A4a
Historical Incidence of Epithelial Skin Neoplasms in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Basal Cell Adenoma | Basal Cell Carcinoma | Keratoacanthoma | Trichoepithelioma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | 5/50 | 0/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | 1/50 | 0/50 | 1/50 | 0/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | 2/50 | 1/50 |
| Nitrofurantoin | 0/50 | 3/50 | 4/50 | 0/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | 3/50 | 0/50 |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | 3/50 | 0/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| Rhodamine 6G | 0/50 | 0/50 | $1 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | 0/50 | 0/50 | 4/50 | 0/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7/1,353 (0.5\%) | 8/1,353 (0.6\%) | ) 48/1,353 (3.6\%) | 2/1,353 (0.2\%) |
| Standard deviation | 1.1\% | 1.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.5\% |
| Range | 0\%-4\% | 0\%-6\% | 0\%-10\% | 0\%-2\% |
|  | Incidence in Controls (continued) |  |  |  |
|  | Squamous Cell Papilloma |  | Squamous Cell Carcinoma | Cell Adenoma, Bas noma, Keratoacant pithelioma, Squam or Squamous Cell |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | 0/50 |  | $0 / 50$ | 5/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | 1/50 |  | $1 / 50$ | $4 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | 0/50 |  | $0 / 50$ | 4/50 |
| Nitrofurantoin | 1/50 |  | $0 / 50$ | $8 / 50$ |
| o-Nitroanisole | 1/50 |  | 0/50 | 6/50 |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 0/50 |  | $0 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | $2 / 50$ |  | $0 / 50$ | $4 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | 2/50 |  | $0 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| Roxarsone | 0/50 |  | 1/50 | 5/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 27/1,353 (2.0\%) |  | 9/1,353 (0.7\%) | 101/1,353 (7.5\%) |
| Standard deviation | 1.9\% |  | 1.1\% | 3.1\% |
| Range | 0\%-8\% |  | 0\%-4\% | 2\%-16\% |

[^25]TABLE A4b
Historical Incidence of Subcutaneous Tissue Skin Neoplasms in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fibroma | Fibrosarcoma | Sarcoma | Fibroma, Neurofibroma, Neurofibrosarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Sarcoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $4 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | 5/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | 4/50 | $2 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | 6/50 |
| Nitrofurantoin | 0/50 | $1 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | - $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $4 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | 1/50 | 6/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | 4/50 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 4/50 |
| Roxarsone | 1/50 | $2 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 60/1,353 (4.4\%) | 18/1,353 (1.3\%) | 7/1,353 (0.5\%) | 89/1,353 (6.6\%) |
| Standard deviation | 4.1\% | 1.5\% | 0.9\% | 4.3\% |
| Range | 0\%-12\% | 0\%-4\% | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-16\% |

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table A4c
Historical Incidence of Mammary Gland Neoplasms in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table A4d
Historical Incidence of Zymbal's Gland Neoplasms in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 | 1/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 | 1/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ | 2/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 1/50 | 1/50 | 2/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | 0/50 | 0/50 | 0/50 |
| Roxarsone | 1/50 | 1/50 | 2/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 2/1,353 (0.2\%) | 14/1,353 (1.0\%) | 16/1,353 (1.2\%) |
| Standard deviation | 0.5\% | $1.2 \%$ | 1.4\% |
| Range | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-4\% | 0\%-4\% |

[^26]Table A4e
Historical Incidence of Pharynx Neoplasms in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$

\left.| Study |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Incidence in Controls |$\right]$

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table A4f
Historical Incidence of Tongue Squamous Cell Papilloma in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |
|  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $1 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ |
| o-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $1 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ |
|  |  |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |
| Total |  |
| Standard deviation | $6 / 1,353(0.4 \%)$ |
| Range | $1.0 \%$ |
|  | $0 \%-4 \%$ |

[^27]Table A4g
Historical Incidence of Oral Cavity Neoplasms in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Papilloma or Squamous Cell Papilloma | Squamous Cell Carcinoma | Papilloma, Squamous Cell Papilloma, or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | 0150 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $1 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | 2/50 | $0 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 11/1,353 (0.8\%) | 0/1,353 (0.0\%) | 11/1,353 (0.8\%) |
| Standard deviation | $1.4 \%$ |  | 1.4\% |
| Range | $0 \%-4 \%$ |  | 0\%-4\% |

a Data as of 31 March 1993 for oral mucosa, tongue, pharynx, tooth, and lip
Table A4h
Historical Incidence of Forestomach Squamous Cell Papilloma in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |
|  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ |
|  |  |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |
| Total |  |
| Standard deviation | $3 / 1,353(0.2 \%)$ |
| Range | $0.6 \%$ |
|  | $0 \%-2 \%$ |

[^28]Table A4i
Historical Incidence of Large Intestine Neoplasms in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | 0/50 | 0/50 | 0/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 0/1,353 (0.0\%) | 1/1,353 (0.1\%) | 1/1,353 (0.1\%) |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
| Range |  | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-2\% |

a Data as of 31 March 1993 for cecum, colon, and rectum

Table A4j
Historical Incidence of Small Intestine Neoplasms in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 | $1 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 | 1/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 0/50 | $2 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | 0/50 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 1/1,353 (0.1\%) | 6/1,353 (0.4\%) | 7/1,353 (0.5\%) |
| Standard deviation | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% |
| Range | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-4\% | 0\%-4\% |

[^29]TABLE A4k
Historical Incidence of Mesothelioma in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |
| Benzyl Acetate |  |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $1 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $4 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $3 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $3 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $1 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $1 / 50$ |
|  | $1 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |
| Total |  |
| Standard deviation | $40 / 1,353(3.0 \%)$ |
| Range | $2.4 \%$ |
|  | $0 \%-8 \%$ |

[^30]
## Table A41

Historical Incidence of Renal Tubule Adenoma in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Study $\quad$ Incidence in Controls

## Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute

Benzyl Acetate 0/50
C.I. Pigment Red 23 0/50
C.I. Pigment Red 3 0/50

Nitrofurantoin 0/50
$\begin{array}{ll}o \text {-Nitroanisole } & 0 / 49\end{array}$
$p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid $0 / 50$
Polysorbate 80 0/50
Rhodamine 6G $0 / 50$
Roxarsone $\quad 1 / 50$

## Overall Historical Incidence

| Total | $9 / 1,350(0.7 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Standard deviation | $1.5 \%$ |
| Range | $0 \%-6 \%$ |

[^31]Table A4m
Historical Incidence of Lung Neoplasms in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

\left.| Study |  | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\right]$

[^32]TABLE A4n
Historical Incidence of Thyroid Gland Follicular Cell Neoplasms in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $2 / 50$ | 1/50 | $3 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | 1/50 | 1/50 | $2 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | 1/49 | 1/49 | 2/49 |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 0/49 | 0/49 | 0/49 |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $1 / 50$ | 1/50 | $2 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 12/1,343 (0.9\%) | 11/1,343 (0.8\%) | 23/1,343 (1.7\%) |
| Standard deviation | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 1.6\% |
| Range | 0\%-4\% | 0\%-4\% | 0\%-6\% |

[^33]TABLE A4o
Historical Incidence of Mononuclear Cell Leukemia in Untreated Male F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | ---: |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |
| Benzyl Acetate |  |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $16 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $28 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $22 / 50$ |
| o-Nitroanisole | $23 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $26 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $29 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $23 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $27 / 50$ |
|  | $27 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |
| Total |  |
| Standard deviation | $661 / 1,353(48.9 \%)$ |
| Range | $8.8 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Data as of 31 March 1993 |  |

## Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute

Benzyl Acetate $1 / 50$
C.I. Pigment Red $23 \quad 2 / 50$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { C.I. Pigment Red } 3 & 1 / 50\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Nitrofurantoin } & 2 / 50\end{array}$
0 -Nitroanisole . 5/49
$p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid 2/49
Polysorbate 80 1/50
Rhodamine 6G 2/50
Roxarsone 1/50

Overall Historical Incidence

| Total | $24 / 1,340(1.8 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Standard deviation | $2.3 \%$ |
| Range | $0 \%-10 \%$ |

[^34]Table A5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^35]Table A5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{ppm}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-Month Interim Evaluation (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Systems Examined With No Lesions Observed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cardiovascular System |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Body System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alimentary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intestine large, colon | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Parasite metazoan | 1 (11\%) |  | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Intestine large, rectum | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Parasite metazoan | $1(11 \%)$ | 3 (43\%) | 2 (22\%) | 1 (20\%) |  |
| Liver | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Basophilic focus | 5 (56\%) |  | 3 (33\%) |  |  |
| Degeneration, cystic |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Eosinophilic focus |  |  |  | 1 (20\%) |  |
| Fatty change | 8 (89\%) | 6 (86\%) | 8 (89\%) | 3 (60\%) |  |
| Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule | 1 (11\%) |  | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule, multiple |  | 1 (14\%) |  |  |  |
| Infiltration cellular, mixed cell |  |  | 2 (22\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, focal | 6 (67\%) | 3 (43\%) | 3 (33\%) | 2 (40\%) |  |
| Necrosis, focal | 1 (11\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Bile duct, hyperplasia | 8 (89\%) | $4 \text { (57\%) }$ | $6(67 \%)$ | 2 (40\%) |  |
| Mesentery |  | (4) | (2) | (1) |  |
| Accessory spleen |  |  | 1 (50\%) |  |  |
| Fat, necrosis |  | 3 (75\%) |  | 1 (100\%) |  |
| Pancreas | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Accessory spleen | 1 (11\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Atrophy, focal | 6 (67\%) | 4 (57\%) | 2 (22\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, focal | 1 (11\%) | 1 (14\%) | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Pharynx | (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Palate, epithelium, hyperplasia, focal | 1 (100\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Stomach, forestomach | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Hyperplasia |  | 1 (14\%) |  |  |  |


| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adrenal medulla | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |
| Hyperplasia |  |  | 2 (22\%) |  |
| Pituitary gland | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |
| Angiectasis |  |  |  | 1 (20\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (11\%) |  |  |  |
| Hemorrhage |  |  |  | 1 (20\%) |
| Pars distalis, focal cellular change | 2 (22\%) |  |  | 1 (20\%) |
| Pars distalis, hyperplasia, focal | 1 (11\%) | 1 (14\%) | 1 (11\%) |  |

Table A5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endocrine System (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thyroid gland | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Ultimobranchial cyst | 1 (11\%) |  |  |  |  |
| C-cell, hyperplasia |  |  |  | 1 (20\%) |  |
| Follicular cell, hyperplasia | 2 (22\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Epididymis | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Preputial gland | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Degeneration, cystic | 9 (100\%) | 7 (100\%) | 9 (100\%) | 5 (100\%) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (11\%) |  | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Prostate | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 7 (78\%) | 6 (86\%) | 6 (67\%) | 3 (60\%) |  |
| Seminal vesicle | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Hyperplasia |  | 2 (29\%) | 5 (56\%) | $1(20 \%)$ |  |
| Testes | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Interstitial cell, hyperplasia | 1 (11\%) | 1 (14\%) |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone marrow | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Hypercellularity |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Hyperplasia |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Spleen | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Developmental malformation | 1 (11\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Fibrosis, focal | 1 (11\%) | 1 (14\%) |  |  |  |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Hyperostosis |  |  | 1 (11\%) | 1 (20\%) |  |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nose | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Fungus |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 2 (22\%) |  | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (9) | (7) | (9) | (5) |  |
| Atrophy, focal |  |  |  | 1 (20\%) |  |
| Nephropathy | 9 (100\%) | 7 (100\%) | 9 (100\%) | 5 (100\%) |  |
| Papilla, degeneration |  |  | 2 (22\%) | 4 (80\%) |  |
| Papilla, epithelium, hyperplasia | 1 (11\%) |  | 1 (11\%) | 5 (100\%) |  |
| Pelvis, dilatation |  | 1 (14\%) |  |  |  |

Table A5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| 0 ppm | $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 20,000 ppm <br> (Stop-Exposure) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued)
Urinary System (continued)
Urethra
(1)

Bulbourethral gland, dilatation
1 (100\%)

Systems Examined With No Lesions Observed
Cardiovascular System
General Body System
Integumentary System
Nervous System
Special Senses System

## 2-Year Study

Alimentary System

| Esophagus Epithelium, hyperplasia, focal | (51) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Intestine large, colon | (51) |
| Parasite metazoan | 1 (2\%) |
| Thrombosis |  |
| Intestine large, rectum | (51) |
| Parasite metazoan | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine large, cecum | (51) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (2\%) |
| Parasite metazoan |  |
| Ulcer | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine small, jejunum | (51) |
| Diverticulum |  |
| Inflammation, chronic, focal |  |
| Metaplasia, focal, osseous |  |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia |  |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia, cystic |  |
| Intestine small, ileum | (51) |
| Inflammation, chronic, focal | 1 (2\%) |
| Ulcer |  |
| Liver | (51) |
| Angiectasis | 1 (2\%) |
| Basophilic focus | 27 (53\%) |
| Clear cell focus | 3 (6\%) |
| Congestion, focal |  |
| Cyst |  |
| Degeneration, cystic | 9 (18\%) |
| Developmental malformation |  |
| Eosinophilic focus | 3 (6\%) |
| Fatty change | 15 (29\%) |
| Fibrosis, focal | 2 (4\%) |
| Focal cellular change |  |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 1 (2\%) |
| Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule | 4 (8\%) |
| Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule, multiple | 1 (2\%) |

(53)
$(52)$

$(52)$
$1(2 \%)$
$(52)$

$(52)$
$(51)$

$(51)$
$2(4 \%)$
$(50)$
$3(6 \%)$
$(51)$

$(51)$

| $(55)$ | $(60)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1(2 \%)$ | $(59)$ |
| $(54)$ | $2(3 \%)$ |
| $1(2 \%)$ | $(59)$ |
| $(53)$ | $2(3 \%)$ |
| $7(13 \%)$ | $(59)$ |
| $(54)$ | $1(2 \%)$ |
| $1(2 \%)$ | $(59)$ |
| $(53)$ |  |

2 (3\%)
1 (2\%)
1 (2\%)
$1(2 \%) \quad 1(2 \%)$
(51) (53) (59)
59)

2 (3\%)
1 (2\%)
(60)

3 (5\%)
12 (20\%)
$1(2 \%)$
$13(25 \%)$
(55)

3 (5\%)
1 (2\%)
$1(2 \%) \quad 1(2 \%)$

2 (4\%)
$\begin{array}{ll}5(9 \%) & 7(12 \%) \\ & 1(2 \%)\end{array}$

| $10(20 \%)$ | $5(9 \%)$ | $1(2 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| $2(4 \%)$ | $1(2 \%)$ | $5(8 \%)$ |

8 (16\%)
12 (22\%)
17 (28\%)
$\begin{array}{lll}1(2 \%) & & 2(3 \%) \\ 1(2 \%) & 3(5 \%) & 4(7 \%)\end{array}$
$3(6 \%) \quad 4(7 \%) \quad 2(3 \%)$

Table A5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{ppm}$ (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alimentary System (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liver (continued) | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Hyperplasia, focal, histiocytic, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Infarct |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Infiltration cellular, mixed cell | 10 (20\%) | 5 (9\%) | 5 (10\%) | 8 (15\%) | 13 (22\%) |
| Inflammation, focal | 4 (8\%) | 5 (9\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 9 (15\%) |
| Mixed cell focus | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Necrosis, focal | 6 (12\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 4 (7\%) | 2 (3\%) |
| Pigmentation |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Thrombosis | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Bile duct, dilatation, focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Bile duct, hyperplasia | 47 (92\%) | 46 (87\%) | 45 (88\%) | 41 (75\%) | 44 (73\%) |
| Biliary tract, cyst | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Centrilobular, atrophy | 20 (39\%) | 23 (43\%) | 31 (61\%) | 26 (47\%) | 28 (47\%) |
| Centrilobular, congestion |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Centrilobular, hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Centrilobular, necrosis |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 4 (7\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hepatocyte, hyperplasia, multifocal | 3 (6\%) | 9 (17\%) | 10 (20\%) | 8 (15\%) | 4 (7\%) |
| Mesentery | (15) | (16) | (19) | (22) | (30) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (7\%) | 1 (6\%) | 1 (5\%) | 2 (9\%) | 2 (7\%) |
| Fat, necrosis | 8 (53\%) | 6 (38\%) | 6 (32\%) | 4 (18\%) | 7 (23\%) |
| Pancreas | (51) | (53) | (51) | (53) | (59) |
| Atrophy, diffuse |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Atrophy, focal | 27 (53\%) | 19 (36\%) | 25 (49\%) | 26 (49\%) | 22 (37\%) |
| Autolysis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Acinar cell, focal cellular change |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Acinar cell, hyperplasia, focal | 3 (6\%) | 9 (17\%) | 12 (24\%) | 14 (26\%) | 27 (46\%) |
| Duct, dilatation | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  | 2 (3\%) |
| Pharynx |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (10) |
| Palate, epithelium, hyperplasia, focal |  | $1(33 \%)$ | $1(25 \%)$ | $3(60 \%)$ | 2 (20\%) |
| Salivary glands | (51) | (52) | (49) | (55) | (60) |
| Cyst | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Stomach, forestomach | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (59) |
| Edema | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Erosion |  | 3 (6\%) |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 3 (6\%) | 9 (17\%) | 4 (8\%) | 3 (5\%) | 3 (5\%) |
| Pigmentation |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Ulcer | 1 (2\%) | 5 (9\%) | 4 (8\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (3\%) |
| Mucosa, cyst |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia | 4 (8\%) | 12 (23\%) | 6 (12\%) | 6 (11\%) | 6 (10\%) |
| Stomach, glandular | (51) | (53) | (51) | (53) | (60) |
| Edema | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Erosion | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  | 4 (8\%) | 4 (7\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  | 2 (4\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Pigmentation, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (3\%) |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  | 2 (3\%) |
| Tongue |  | (2) | (5) | (13) | (9) |
| Epithelium, hyperplasia, focal |  |  |  | 4 (31\%) | 3 (33\%) |
| Tooth | (1) |  | (1) |  | (2) |
| Incisor, dysplasia | 1 (100\%) |  |  |  | 1 (50\%) |

Table A5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cardiovascular System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blood vessel <br> Mesenteric artery, inflammation, | (3) | (1) |  | (2) 2 (100\%) | (2) |
| Heart | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Inflammation, chronic, focal |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Mineralization, focal |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Thrombosis | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (3\%) |
| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adrenal cortex | (51) | (53) | (51) | (54) | (60) |
| Accessory adrenal cortical nodule | 7 (14\%) | 4 (8\%) | 4 (8\%) | 4 (7\%) | 9 (15\%) |
| Angiectasis |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Atrophy |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Congestion | 3 (6\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Focal cellular change | 7 (14\%) | 6 (11\%) | 6 (12\%) | 14 (26\%) | 6 (10\%) |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation |  |  |  | $1(2 \%)$ | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemorrhage |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Necrosis, focal | 2 (4\%) |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Vacuolization cytoplasmic |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Adrenal medulla | (51) | (53) | (51) | (54) | (60) |
| Angiectasis | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia | 10 (20\%) | 15 (28\%) | 11 (22\%) | 5 (9\%) | 9 (15\%) |
| Islets, pancreatic | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (59) |
| Hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Parathyroid gland | (50) | (51) | (49) | (53) | (58) |
| Hyperplasia | 4 (8\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | $1(2 \%)$ | 1 (2\%) |
| Pituitary gland | (50) | (51) | (50) | (53) | (57) |
| Angiectasis |  | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Cyst | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 6 (11\%) |
| Pars distalis, focal cellular change | 5 (10\%) | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) | 4 (8\%) | 6 (11\%) |
| Pars distalis, hyperplasia, focal | 4 (8\%) | 5 (10\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 5 (9\%) |
| Thyroid gland | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (59) |
| Ultimobranchial cyst |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 3 (5\%) |  |
| C-cell, hyperplasia | 8 (16\%) | 11 (21\%) | 5 (10\%) | 4 (7\%) | 15 (25\%) |
| Follicle, dilatation |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 3 (5\%) | 9 (15\%) |
| Follicular cell, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 5 (9\%) | 6 (10\%) |
| General Body System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tissue NOS | (1) | (2) | (3) | (6) | (8) |
| Scrotal, inflammation, chronic |  |  |  | 1 (17\%) |  |
| Genital System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coagulating gland |  | (1) |  | (2) | (3) |
| Hyperplasia |  | 1 (100\%) |  | 2 (100\%) | 3 (100\%) |

TABLE A5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Epididymis | (51) | (53) | (51) | (54) | (60) |
| Granuloma sperm |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Preputial gland | (51) | (52) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Degeneration, cystic | 49 (96\%) | 52 (100\%) | 48 (94\%) | 55 (100\%) | 57 (95\%) |
| Hyperplasia | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (5\%) | 6 (10\%) |
| Prostate | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 26 (51\%) | 35 (66\%) | 28 (55\%) | 37 (67\%) | 34 (57\%) |
| Epithelium, hyperplasia, focal | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Seminal vesicle | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Dilatation |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Edema | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) | 6 (11\%) | 4 (8\%) | 16 (29\%) | 33 (55\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 2 (4\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Testes | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Mineralization, focal |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Germinal epithelium, degeneration | 7 (14\%) | 7 (13\%) | 7 (14\%) | 6 (11\%) | 4 (7\%) |
| Interstitial cell, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone marrow | (51) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Hypercellularity | 7 (14\%) | 7 (13\%) | 5 (10\%) | 16 (29\%) | 18 (30\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal, histiocytic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Metaplasia, osseous |  |  |  | 4 (7\%) |  |
| Myelofibrosis | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 3 (5\%) | 2 (3\%) |
| Necrosis, focal |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Lymph node | (25) | (23) | (36) | (27) | (30) |
| Deep cervical, hyperplasia |  |  |  |  | 1 (3\%) |
| Iliac, hyperplasia |  | 1 (4\%) |  |  |  |
| Inguinal, hyperplasia | 2 (8\%) |  |  | 1 (4\%) |  |
| Inguinal, hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 1 (4\%) |  |  |  |
| Mediastinal, edema |  |  |  |  | 2 (7\%) |
| Mediastinal, hemorrhage | 2 (8\%) | 3 (13\%) | 1 (3\%) | 3 (11\%) | 3 (10\%) |
| Mediastinal, hyperplasia | 1 (4\%) |  |  | 2 (7\%) | 2 (7\%) |
| Mediastinal, hyperplasia, lymphoid |  |  | 1 (3\%) |  | 1 (3\%) |
| Mediastinal, pigmentation |  |  |  | 1 (4\%) | 3 (10\%) |
| Mediastinal, thrombosis |  |  | 1 (3\%) |  |  |
| Pancreatic, edema |  |  |  | 1 (4\%) |  |
| Pancreatic, hemorrhage | $1(4 \%)$ | 1 (4\%) |  |  |  |
| Pancreatic, hyperplasia, macrophage |  | 1 (4\%) |  |  |  |
| Lymph node, mandibular | (49) | (52) | (49) | (55) | (59) |
| Congestion |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Edema | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia | 4 (8\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (5\%) | 10 (17\%) |
| Pigmentation |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |

Table A5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm (Stop-Exposure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic System (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | (50) | (53) | (51) | (55) | (60) |
| Edema |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 4 (7\%) | $3(5 \%)$ |
| Hemorrhage |  | 2 (4\%) |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 4 (7\%) | 2 (3\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Spleen | (51) | (53) | (51) | (54) | (60) |
| Autolysis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Congestion |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Developmental malformation |  |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Fibrosis, focal | 15 (29\%) | 10 (19\%) | 22 (43\%) | 24 (44\%) | 28 (47\%) |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 8 (15\%) | 17 (28\%) |
| Necrosis, focal | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Pigmentation |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Thymus | (49) | (53) | (49) | (53) | (57) |
| Congestion |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Cyst |  |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemorrhage |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |

Integumentary System

| Mammary gland | (48) | (51) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angiectasis |  |  |
| Dilatation | 11 (23\%) | 9 (18\%) |
| Hemorrhage |  |  |
| Hyperplasia | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  |
| Skin | (51) | (53) |
| Cyst epithelial inclusion |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemorrhage, focal |  |  |
| Hyperkeratosis, focal | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic, focal |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Ulcer |  |  |
| Epidermis, hyperplasia, focal | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Hair follicle, cyst |  |  |
| Prepuce, inflammation, chronic |  |  |
| Sebaceous gland, cyst |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Sebaceous gland, hyperplasia, focal |  |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, fibrosis | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, inflammation, chronic, focal |  |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, fat, necrosis |  |  |


| $(49)$ | $(48)$ | (50) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | $1(2 \%)$ | $4(8 \%)$ |
| $11(22 \%)$ | $4(8 \%)$ |  |
|  | $1(2 \%)$ | $2(4 \%)$ |
| $3(6 \%)$ | $1(2 \%)$ | $(59)$ |
| $(51)$ | $1(2 \%)$ | $2(3 \%)$ |
|  | $(54)$ | $2(3 \%)$ |
| $1(2 \%)$ | $1(2 \%)$ | $3(5 \%)$ |
| $3(6 \%)$ | $2(4 \%)$ | $3(5 \%)$ |
| $1(2 \%)$ | $3(6 \%)$ | $1(2 \%)$ |
|  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| $2(4 \%)$ | $2(4 \%)$ | $1(2 \%)$ |
| $1(2 \%)$ |  | $1(2 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |
| $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |
| $1(2 \%)$ |  |  |

Musculoskeletal System Bone
(51)
6 (12\%)
(53)

7 (13\%)
(51)

3 (6\%)
(55)

4 (7\%)
(60)

11 (18\%)

1 (2\%)

Table A5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of $\mathbf{2 , 2}$-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table A5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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Table B1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disposition Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 10 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| Early deaths |  |  |  |  |
| Moribund | 14 | 22 | 27 | 41 |
| Natural deaths |  | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Survivors |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal sacrifice | 36 | 27 | 23 | 5 |
| Animals examined microscopically | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

## 15-Month Interim Evaluation

Endocrine System

| Pituitary gland | (9) | (9) | (7) | (8) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pars distalis, adenoma |  |  |  |  | (13\%) |
| Thyroid gland | (10) | (9) | (7) | (8) |  |
| C-cell, adenoma |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Follicular cell, adenoma |  |  |  |  | (13\%) |

Genital System

| Clitoral gland | $(10)$ | $(9)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\quad$ Adenoma |  |  | $(14 \%)$ |  |
| Uterus | $(10)$ | $(9)$ | $(7)$ | $(14 \%)$ |
| $\quad$ Endometrium, polyp stromal |  |  | $1(13 \%)$ |  |

Integumentary System

Mammary gland
Fibroadenoma
(10)

Fibroadenoma, multiple

Systems Examined With No Neoplasms Observed
Alimentary System
Cardiovascular System
General Body System
Hematopoietic System
Musculoskeletal System
Nervous System
Respiratory System
Special Senses System
Urinary System

Table B1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |
| Alimentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Esophagus | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Squamous cell papilloma |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 10 (19\%) |
| Intestine large, colon | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Polyp adenomatous |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Intestine large, cecum | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Intestine small, jejunum | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Carcinoma |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Intestine small, ileum | (50) | (50) | (53) | (52) |
| Liver | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Carcinoma, multiple, metastatic, islets, pancreatic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Mesentery | (6) | (12) | (7) | (6) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (17\%) |  |  |  |
| Pancreas | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Pharynx | (1) | (1) | (1) | (2) |
| Palate, squamous cell carcinoma |  | 1 (100\%) | 1 (100\%) |  |
| Palate, squamous cell papilloma | 1 (100\%) |  |  | 1 (50\%) |
| Salivary glands | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Stomach, forestomach | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Squamous cell papilloma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Stomach, glandular | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Tongue | (1) | (3) | (6) | (6) |
| Squamous cell carcinoma |  |  |  | 1 (17\%) |
| Squamous cell papilloma | 1 (100\%) | 2 (67\%) | 4 (67\%) | 4 (67\%) |
| Cardiovascular System |  |  |  |  |
| Heart | (49) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Pericardium, histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |
| Adrenal cortex | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Adenoma |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Adrenal medulla | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Pheochromocytoma complex | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Pheochromocytoma benign | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Islets, pancreatic | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Adenoma |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Carcinoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Pituitary gland | (49) | (51) | (52) | (51) |
| Pars distalis, adenoma | 16 (33\%) | 24 (47\%) | 24 (46\%) | 15 (29\%) |

Table B1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Endocrine System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Thyroid gland | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| C-cell, adenoma | 6 (12\%) | 5 (10\%) | 5 (9\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| C-cell, carcinoma | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) |  |  |
| Follicular cell, adenoma |  |  | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Follicular cell, carcinoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |

## General Body System

None

| Genital System |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clitoral gland | (48) | (49) | (49) | (52) |
| Adenoma | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 6 (12\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Carcinoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Bilateral, adenoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Ovary | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Granulosa cell tumor benign | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Uterus | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Endometrium, adenoma |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Endometrium, carcinoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Endometrium, polyp stromal | 4 (8\%) | 8 (16\%) | 6 (11\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Endometrium, polyp stromal, multiple | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Endometrium, sarcoma stromal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |

## Hematopoietic System

| Bone marrow | $(50)$ | $(51)$ | $(53)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Lymph node | $(16)$ | $(11)$ | $(15)$ |
| $\quad$ Histiocytic sarcoma | $1(6 \%)$ |  |  |
| $\quad$ Inguinal, histiocytic sarcoma | $1(6 \%)$ | $(48)$ | $(53)$ |
| Lymph node, mandibular | $(49)$ |  |  |
| $\quad$ Histiocytic sarcoma | $1(2 \%)$ | $(51)$ | $(53)$ |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | $(50)$ | $(51)$ | $(53)$ |
| Spleen | $(50)$ |  | $1(5 \%)$ |
| $\quad$ Hemangiosarcoma | $(49)$ | $(50)$ | $(51)$ |
| Thymus |  |  | $(52)$ |


| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mammary gland | (50) | $(51)$ | (53) | (52) |
| Adenoma | $2(4 \%)$ | $3(6 \%)$ | $4(8 \%)$ |  |
| Carcinoma | $3(6 \%)$ | $4(8 \%)$ |  |  |
| Carcinoma, multiple | $1(2 \%)$ | $8(16 \%)$ | $6(11 \%)$ | $8(15 \%)$ |
| Fibroadenoma | $19(38 \%)$ | $37(73 \%)$ | $40(75 \%)$ | $37(71 \%)$ |
| Fibroadenoma, multiple | $6(12 \%)$ |  |  |  |

Table B1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Integumentary System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Keratoacanthoma |  |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Squamous cell papilloma | 3 (6\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Trichoepithelioma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma | 1 (2\%) | 4 (8\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Subcutaneous tissue, fibrosarcoma |  |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Subcutaneous tissue, histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, lipoma |  | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |
| Skeletal muscle | (1) | (1) |  | (1) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | $1(100 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |
| Brain | (49) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Astrocytoma NOS |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Oligodendroglioma NOS | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Carcinoma, multiple, metastatic, islets, pancreatic | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Mediastinum, histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |
| Zymbal's gland |  | (2) | (2) | (1) |
| Adenoma |  | 1 (50\%) |  |  |
| Carcinoma |  |  | 2 (100\%) | $1(100 \%)$ |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Stromal nephroma |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Renal tubule, adenoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Urinary bladder | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Transitional epithelium, papilloma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |

Table B1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^36]Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm

| Number of Days on Study | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 6 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Carcass ID Number | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 3 |

## Alimentary System

Esophagus
Intestine large, colon

Intestine large, rectum
Intestine large, cecum
Histiocytic sarcoma
Intestine small, duodenum
Intestine small, jejunum
Intestine small, ileum
Liver
$\quad$ Carcinoma, multiple, metastatic, islets, pancreatic
Mesentery
Histiocytic sarcoma
Pancreas
Histiocytic sarcoma


Pharynx
Palate, squamous cell papilloma
Salivary glands
Histiocytic sarcoma
Stomach, forestomach
Stomach, glandular
Histiocytic sarcoma


Tongue
Squamous cell papilloma

## Cardiovascular System



## Endocrine System



## General Body System

None

+ : Tissue examined microscopically
A: Autolysis precludes examination

M: Missing tissue
I: Insufficient tissue

X: Lesion present Blank: Not examined

Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
|  | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | Total |
| Carcass ID Number | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | Tissues/ |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 0 | Tumors |



Pericardium, histiocytic sarcoma 1

## Endocrine System



## General Body System

None

TABLE B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol:
0 ppm (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 6 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |

## Genital System

Clitoral gland


Carcinoma
Histiocytic sarcoma
Bilateral, adenoma
Ovary
Granulosa cell tumor benign
Uterus
Endometrium, polyp stromal
Endometrium, polyp stromal, multiple

## Hematopoietic System



Integumentary System
Mammary gland
Carcinoma
Carcinoma, multiple
Fibroadenoma
Fibroadenoma, multiple Skin

Squamous cell papilloma
Trichoepithelioma
Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma
Subcutaneous tissue, histiocytic sarcoma X
Subcutaneous tissue, sarcoma


X

Musculoskeletal System
Bone
Skeletal muscle

| + |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| + |  |
| + |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Nervous System
Brain

Oligodendroglioma NOS

Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)


## Hematopoietic System




Musculoskeletal System


Skeletal muscle 1 Histiocytic sarcoma 1

## Nervous System

Brain

Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)


Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)


Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 2,500 ppm


## Cardiovascular System



## Endocrine System



## General Body System

None


Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 2,500 ppm (continued)


General Body System
None


Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 2,500 ppm (continued)

Nervous System
Brain
Spinal cord

| Respiratory System |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Nose | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Trachea | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |

## Special Senses System

Eye
Lacrimal gland
Zymbal's gland M Adenoma



Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 2,500 ppm (continued)


Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$

|  | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Days on Study | 8 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 8 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Carcass ID Number | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 0 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 0 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 8 |



Cardiovascular System
Heart $\quad++++++++++++++++++++++++++$

## Endocrine System



## General Body System

Tissue NOS

## Genital System

Clitoral gland
Adenoma

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ++++++++\mathrm{M}+++++++++++++++++ \\
& \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 5,000 ppm (continued)


## Cardiovascular System

Heart

$$
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++
$$

Endocrine System


Adenoma
X 1

Pheochromocytoma benign $\quad \mathrm{X} \quad 1$

Adenoma
$++++++++++++++++++++++++++\quad 1 \quad 53$


Thyroid gland
C-cell, adenoma
$++++++++\underset{X}{+}+\underset{X}{+}+\underset{X}{+}++++++++++++++\quad 53$
Follicular cell, adenoma

$$
\mathbf{X}
$$

2

## General Body System

Tissue NOS
1


Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 5,000 ppm (continued)



Musculoskeletal System
Bone

Nervous System
Brain
$\quad$ Astrocytoma NOS
Spinal cord

## Respiratory System



| Special Senses System |  | + |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eye |  | + |
| Harderian gland | + |  |
| Zymbal's gland  <br> $\quad$ Carcinoma $X$ |  |  |


| Urinary System | +++++++++++++++++++++++++++ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kidney | ++++++++++++++++++++++++++ |


| Systemic Lesions |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Multiple organs |  |
| Leukemia mononuclear | X X X X X X X X X X X |

Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 5,000 ppm (continued)


TABLE B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$

| Number of Days on Study | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 6 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 9 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Carcass ID Number | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 2 |


| Alimentary System |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Esophagus Squamous cell papilloma | $+++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}++++++++++++++++++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+\underset{+}{+}+$ |
| Intestine large, colon | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine large, rectum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine large, cecum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine small, duodenum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine small, jejunum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Intestine small, ileum | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Liver | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Mesentery | $+{ }_{+}+\quad+$ |
| Pancreas | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Pharynx |  |
| Palate, squamous cell papilloma |  |
| Salivary glands | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Stomach, forestomach Squamous cell papilloma | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Stomach, glandular | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Tongue | $+{ }_{+}+$ |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | X |
| Squamous cell papilloma | X |

## Cardiovascular System

Blood vessel

## Endocrine System



C-cell, adenoma
Follicular cell, adenoma
Follicular cell, carcinoma

## General Body System

None

| Genital System |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Clitoral gland | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Adenoma | x |
| Carcinoma | x |
| $\underset{\text { Oranulosa cell tumor benign }}{\text { Ova }}$ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |  |
| Granulosa cell tumor benign |  |
| Uters | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Endometrium, carcinoma |  |
| Endometrium, polyp stromal | x x |

Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | 1 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Carcass ID Number | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | Total |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | Tissues/ |
|  | 6 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | Tumors |



## General Body System

None


Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)



Skeletal muscle


Table B2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


Table B3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clitoral Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4/48 (8\%) | $3 / 49$ (6\%) | 6/49 (12\%) | 3/52 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 10.6\% | $11.1 \%$ | 23.1\% | 15.6\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3/34 (9\%) | 3/27 (11\%) | 4/21 (19\%) | 0/5 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 460 | 738 (T) | 565 | 610 |
| Life table test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.068$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.607 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.176$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.272$ |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.575 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.482 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.393$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.433 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.452 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.488 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.383$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.455 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Clitoral Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 5/48 (10\%) | 3/49 (6\%) | $6 / 49$ (12\%) | 4/52 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 13.5\% | 11.1\% | 23.1\% | 17.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 4/34 (12\%) | $3 / 27$ (11\%) | 4/21 (19\%) | 0/5 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 460 | 738 (T) | 565 | 474 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.050$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.471 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.258$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.202$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.524 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.342 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.512$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.333 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.482 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.346 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.515$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.449 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Esophagus: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 50$ (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 10/52 (19\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 42.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/36 (0\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 0/5 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 738 (T) | 474 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.411$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.411$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.515$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 25/50 (50\%) | 45/51 (88\%) | $46 / 53$ (87\%) | 45/52 (87\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 60.7\% | 95.7\% | 97.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 20/36 (56\%) | 25/27 (93\%) | 22/23 (96\%) | 5/5 (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 516 | 460 | 565 | 460 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Mammary Gland: Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/50 (8\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 3/53 (6\%) | 4/52 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 9.7\% | 12.9\% | 7.2\% | 10.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/36 (3\%) | 3/27 (11\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | 0/5 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 624 | 404 | 388 | 432 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.196$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.541$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.617 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.277$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.211 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.603 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.341 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.313 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.531 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.631 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.467 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.620 \mathrm{~N}$ |

Table B3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma or Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 25/50 (50\%) | 45/51 (88\%). | 46/53 (87\%) | 45/52 (87\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 60.7\% | 95.7\% | 97.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 20/36 (56\%) | 25/27 (93\%) | 22/23 (96\%) | $5 / 5$ (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 516 | 460 | 565 | 460 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Mammary Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/50 (8\%) | $6 / 51$ (12\%) | 3/53 (6\%) | 4/52 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 9.7\% | 20.1\% | 7.2\% | 10.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/36 (3\%) | 5/27 (19\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | 0/5 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 624 | 404 | 388 | 432 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.208$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.257$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.617 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.277$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.168 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.403$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.341 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.313 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.424 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.383$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.467 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.620 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma, Adenoma, or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 27/50 (54\%) | 47/51 (92\%) | 47/53 (89\%) | 47/52 (90\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 62.5\% | 97.9\% | 97.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 20/36 (56\%) | 26/27 (96\%) | 22/23 (96\%) | $5 / 5$ (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 516 | 404 | 388 | 432 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Oral Cavity (Pharynx or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/50 (4\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 4/53 (8\%) | 5/52 (10\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 5.6\% | 7.4\% | 11.5\% | 47.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/36 (6\%) | 2/27 (7\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | $2 / 5$ (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 738 (T) | 738 (T) | 627 | 577 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.588$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.219$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.054$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.588$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.348$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.094$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.123$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.684 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.367$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.235$ |
| Oral Cavity (Pharynx or Tongue): Squamous Cell Papilloma or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/50 (4\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 5/53 (9\%) | $6 / 52$ (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 5.6\% | $10.4 \%$ | 13.8\% | 48.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/36 (6\%) | 2/27 (7\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | $2 / 5$ (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 738 (T) | 723 | 627 | 577 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.383$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.131$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.042$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.424$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.236$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.064$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.089$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.509$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.243$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.148$ |

Table B3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 16/49 (33\%) | 24/51 (47\%) | $24 / 52$ (46\%) | 15/51 (29\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 38.8\% | 65.0\% | 69.8\% | 60.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 11/35 (31\%) | 15/27 (56\%) | 13/22 (59\%) | 1/5 (20\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 460 | 619 | 481 | 460 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.023$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.511 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.094$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.090$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.458 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.256 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.103$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.118$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.447 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/50 (6\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 0/52 (0\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 7.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/36 (6\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | 0/5 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 690 | - | 683 | - |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.250 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.165 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.439 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.525 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.118 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.124 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.325 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.289 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.081 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.118 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.287 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.114 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/50 (6\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 0/52 (0\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 7.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/36 (6\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | 0/5 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 690 | 704 | 683 | - |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.253 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.379 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.439 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.525 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.103 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.308 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.325 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.289 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.068 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.301 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.287 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.114 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma, Keratoacanthoma, Trichoepithelioma, or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/50 (8\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 2/53 (4\%) | 0/52 (0\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 10.5\% | 2.9\% | 7.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/36 (8\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 0/5 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 690 | 704 | 683 | - |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.277 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.252 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.524 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.452 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.112 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.186 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.401 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.232 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.048 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.175 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.312 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.054 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibroma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/50 (2\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 2/52 (4\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.8\% | 12.7\% | 4.3\% | 21.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/36 (3\%) | 2/27 (7\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 1/5 (20\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 738 (T) | 683 | 738 (T) | 577 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.122$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.122$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.659$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.123$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.406$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.167$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.659$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.443$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.580 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.187$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.738 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.515$ |

Table B3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibrosarcoma or Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/50 (2\%) | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 4/52 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 19.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/36 (3\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | $0 / 5$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 738 (T) | - | - | 516 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.557 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.589 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.030$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.053$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.557 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.589 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.274$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.030$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.495 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.485 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.194$ |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibroma, Fibrosarcoma, or Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/50 (4\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 1/53 (2\%) | 6/52 (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 5.6\% | 12.7\% | 4.3\% | 37.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/36 (6\%) | 2/27 (7\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 1/5 (20\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 738 (T) | 683 | 738 (T) | 516 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.238$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.655 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.093$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.311$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.655 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.171$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.123$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.348$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.478 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.148$ |
| Thyroid Gland (C-cell): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 6/50 (12\%) | $5 / 51$ (10\%) | 5/53 (9\%) | 4/52 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 15.0\% | 15.2\% | 16.6\% | 44.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 4/36 (11\%) | 3/27 (11\%) | 3/23 (13\%) | $2 / 5$ (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 633 | 645 | 481 | 594 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.123$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.613$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.532$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.117$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.394 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.493 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.435 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.646$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.292 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.486 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.459 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.346 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Thyroid Gland (C-cell): Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/50 (4\%) | $3 / 51$ (6\%) | 0/53 (0\%) | 0/52 (0\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 5.6\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/36 (6\%) | 2/27 (7\%) | 0/23 (0\%) | $0 / 5$ (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 738 (T) | 722 | - | - |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.304 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.384$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.341 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.712 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.252 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.426$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.341 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.712 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.068 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.509$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.233 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.238 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Thyroid Gland (C-cell): Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 8/50 (16\%) | 8/51 (16\%) | 5/53 (9\%) | 4/52 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 20.3\% | 24.7\% | 16.6\% | 44.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 6/36 (17\%) | 5/27 (19\%) | 3/23 (13\%) | $2 / 5$ (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 633 | 645 | 481 | 594 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.252$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.423$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.522 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.173$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.200 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.599$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.241 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.517 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.089 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.590 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.240 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.160 \mathrm{~N}$ |

Table B3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thyroid Gland (Follicular Cell): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/50 (0\%) | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | $2 / 53$ (4\%) | $3 / 52$ (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 35.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/36 (0\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 1/5 (20\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 508 | 689 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.193$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.021$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.320$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.012$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.030$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.262$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.129$ |
| Thyroid Gland (Follicular Cell): Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/50 (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 2/53 (4\%) | 4/52 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 51.5\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/36 (0\%) | 0/27 (0\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 2/5 (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | 508 | 689 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.193$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.320$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.262$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.064$ |
| Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/50 (2\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 4/53 (8\%) | 4/52 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.8\% | 7.4\% | 11.5\% | 29.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/36 (3\%) | 2/27 (7\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 1/5 (20\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 738 (T) | 738 (T) | 627 | 577 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.400$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.116$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.012$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.081$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.400$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.198$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.144$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.124$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.508$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.200$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.194$ |
| Tongue: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/50 (2\%) | 2/51 (4\%) | 4/53 (8\%) | $5 / 52$ (10\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.8\% | 7.4\% | 11.5\% | 31.8\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/36 (3\%) | 2/27 (7\%) | 1/23 (4\%) | 1/5 (20\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 738 (T) | 738 (T) | 627 | 577 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.400$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.116$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.041$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.400$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.198$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.091$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.059$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.508$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.200$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.112$ |
| Uterus: Stromal Polyp |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 5/50 (10\%) | $8 / 51$ (16\%) | 6/53 (11\%) | 6/52 (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 13.2\% | 25.0\% | 20.7\% | 38.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 4/36 (11\%) | 5/27 (19\%) | $3 / 23$ (13\%) | 1/5 (20\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 690 | 684 | 670 | 369 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.155$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.260$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.016$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.372$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.246$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.415$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.528$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.541 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathbf{P}=0.290$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.541$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.528$ |

TABLE B3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uterus: Stromal Polyp or Stromal Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 5/50 (10\%) | $9 / 51$ (18\%) | $6 / 53$ (11\%) | $6 / 52$ (12\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 13.2\% | 28.4\% | 20.7\% | 38.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 4/36 (11\%) | 6/27 (22\%) | 3/23 (13\%) | 1/5 (20\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 690 | 684 | 670 | 369 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.095$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.260$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.016$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.392$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.164$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.415$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.528$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.496 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.206$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.541$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.528$ |
| All Organs: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 15/50 (30\%) | 13/51 (25\%) | 19/53 (36\%) | 19/52 (37\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 35.6\% | 32.5\% | 47.4\% | 75.2\% |
| Terminal rate | $9 / 36$ (25\%) | 4/27 (15\%) | 5/23 (22\%) | 2/5 (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 654 | 609 | 409 | 540 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.546$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.067$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.439$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.403 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.391$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.091$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.180$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.388 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.337$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.312$ |
| All Organs: Benign Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 40/50 (80\%) | 49/51 (96\%) | $49 / 53$ (92\%) | 48/52 (92\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 85.0\% | 98.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 29/36 (81\%) | 26/27 (96\%) | 23/23 (100\%) | $5 / 5$ (100\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 460 | 460 | 481 | 369 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | P<0.001 |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.079$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.028$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.064$ |
| All Organs: Malignant Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 21/50 (42\%) | 22/51 (43\%) | 27/53 (51\%) | 31/52 (60\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 46.4\% | 54.1\% | 59.8\% | 89.8\% |
| Terminal rate | 12/36 (33\%) | 10/27 (37\%) | $7 / 23$ (30\%) | $3 / 5$ (60\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 481 | 404 | 388 | 432 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.256$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.033$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.128$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.549$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.421$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.104$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.029$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.534$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.238$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.057$ |

Table B3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{p p m}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{p p m}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Organs: Benign or Malignant Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate $45 / 50(90 \%)$ $51 / 51(100 \%)$ $53 / 53(100 \%)$ $52 / 52(100 \%)$ <br> Adjusted rate $90.0 \%$ $100.0 \%$ $100.0 \%$ $100.0 \%$ <br> Terminal rate $31 / 36(86 \%)$ $27 / 27(100 \%)$ $23 / 23(100 \%)$ $5 / 5(100 \%)$ <br> First incidence (days) 460 404 388 369 <br> Life table test $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ <br> Logistic regression test $\mathrm{P}=0.039$ $\mathrm{P}=0.062$ $\mathrm{P}=0.084$ $\mathrm{P}=0.437$ <br> Cochran-Armitage test $\mathrm{P}=0.008$  $\mathrm{P}=0.027$ $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ <br> Fisher exact test   $\mathrm{P}=0.025$  |  |  |  |  |

## (T)Terminal sacrifice

a Number of neoplasm-bearing animals/number of animals examined. Denominator is number of animals examined microscopically for clitoral gland, esophagus, pituitary gland, thyroid gland, and uterus; for other tissues, denominator is number of animals necropsied.
b Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality
c Observed incidence at terminal kill
$d$ Beneath the control incidence are the $P$ values associated with the trend test. Beneath the exposed group incidence are the $P$ values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposed group. The life table test regards neoplasms in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. For all tests, a negative trend or a lower incidence in an exposure group is indicated by $\mathbf{N}$.
e Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

Table B4a
Historical Incidence of Mammary Gland Neoplasms in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fibroadenoma | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Fibroadenoma, |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute

| Benzyl Acetate | $12 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $12 / 50$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $23 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $23 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $23 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $4 / 50$ | $26 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $28 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $6 / 50$ | $30 / 50$ |
| $o-$ Nitroanisole | $17 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ | $18 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $22 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ | $25 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $28 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $29 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $19 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $3 / 50$ | $23 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $21 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $4 / 50$ | $24 / 50$ |

Overall Historical Incidence

| Total | $521 / 1,351(38.6 \%)$ | $23 / 1,351(1.7 \%)$ | $41 / 1,351(3.0 \%)$ | $568 / 1,351(42.0 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard deviation | $13.1 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| Range | $8 \%-58 \%$ | $0 \%-8 \%$ | $0 \%-12 \%$ | $8 \%-64 \%$ |

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table B4b
Historical Incidence of Zymbal's Gland Neoplasms in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carcinoma |

Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute

| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $1 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |

Overall Historical Incidence

| Total | $1 / 1,351(0.1 \%)$ | $8 / 1,351(0.6 \%)$ | $9 / 1,351(0.7 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard deviation | $0.4 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Range | $0 \%-2 \%$ | $0 \%-4 \%$ | $0 \%-4 \%$ |

[^37]TABLE B4c
Historical Incidence of Pharynx Neoplasms in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Squamous Cell Papilloma | Squamous Cell Carcinoma | Squamous Cell Papilloma or Squamous Cell Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | 0/50 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | 0/50 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | 0/50 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | 1/50 | 0/50 | 1/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 3/1,351 (0.2\%) | 2/1,351 (0.2\%) | 5/1,351 (0.4\%) |
| Standard deviation | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% |
| Range | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-2\% |

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table B4d
Historical Incidence of Tongue Squamous Cell Papilloma in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |
| Benzyl Acetate |  |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $1 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $1 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ |
|  | $0 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |
| Total |  |
| Standard deviation | $5 / 1,351(0.4 \%)$ |
| Range | $0.8 \%$ |
|  | $0 \%-2 \%$ |

[^38]TAble B4e
Historical Incidence of Oral Cavity Neoplasms in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

\left.| Study |  | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\right]$

a Data as of 31 March 1993 for oral mucosa, tongue, pharynx, tooth, and lip

Table B4f
Historical Incidence of Forestomach Squamous Cell Papilloma in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |
|  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $1 / 50$ |
|  |  |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |
| Total |  |
| Standard deviation | $2 / 1,351(0.2 \%)$ |
| Range | $0.5 \%$ |
|  | $0 \%-2 \%$ |

[^39]TABLE B4g
Historical Incidence of Small Intestine Neoplasms in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | 0/50 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | 0/50 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | 0/50 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 0/1,351 (0.0\%) | 0/1,351 (0.0\%) | 0/1,351 (0.0\%) |

a Data as of 31 March 1993 for duodenum, ileum, and jejunum

TAble B4h
Historical Incidence of Large Intestine Neoplasms in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 0/1,351 (0.0\%) | 0/1,351 (0.0\%) | 0/1,351 (0.0\%) |

[^40]Table B4i
Historical Incidence of Renal Tubule Adenoma in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |
| Benzyl Acetate |  |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ |
| o-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ |
|  | $0 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |
| Total |  |
| Standard deviation |  |
| Range | $1 / 1,348(0.1 \%)$ |

a Data as of 31 March 1993

TABLE B4j
Historical Incidence of Lung Neoplasms in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma | Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma | Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma | Squamous Cell Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | 0/50 | 1/50 | 1/50 | 0/50 |
| C.1. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| Nitrofurantoin | $2 / 50$ | 1/50 | 3/50 | 0/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 0/50 | 1/50 | 1/50 | 0/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | 1/50 | 0/50 | 1/50 | 0/50 |
| Rhodamine 6G | 0/50 | 0/50 | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 | 0/50 | 0/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 21/1,351 (1.6\%) | 5/1,351 (0.4\%) | 26/1,351 (1.9\%) | 0/1,350 (0.0\%) |
| Standard deviation | 2.2\% | 0.8\% | 2.3\% |  |
| Range | 0\%-10\% | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-10\% |  |

[^41]TABLE B4k
Historical Incidence of Thyroid Gland Follicular Cell Neoplasms in Untreated Female F344/N Rats ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carcinoma |

Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute

| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $1 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $1 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6 G | $1 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $1 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |

Overall Historical Incidence

| Total | $5 / 1,346(0.4 \%)$ | $7 / 1,346(0.5 \%)$ | $12 / 1,346(0.9 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard deviation | $0.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Range | $0 \%-2 \%$ | $0 \%-4 \%$ | $0 \%-6 \%$ |

[^42]Table B5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disposition Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 10 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| Early deaths |  |  |  |  |
| Moribund | 14 | 22 | 27 | 41 |
| Natural deaths |  | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Survivors |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal sacrifice | 36 | 27 | 23 | 5 |
| Animals examined microscopically | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

## 15-Month Interim Evaluation

Alimentary System

| Liver |
| :--- |
| Angiectasis |
| Eosinophilic focus |
| Focal cellular chang |
| Hepatodiaphragmatic |
| Hepatodiaphragmatic |
| Inflammation, focal |
| Bile duct, hyperplas |
| Mesentery |
| Fat, necrosis |
| Pancreas |
| Atrophy, focal |
|  |
| Endocrine System |

Endocrine System
Adrenal cortex
Accessory adrenal cortical nodule
Focal cellular change
Pituitary gland
(10)
(9)
(7)
(8)

1 (13\%)
1 ( $10 \%$ )
3 (30\%)
1 ( $10 \%$ )
7 (78\%)
2 (22\%)
$1(11 \%)$
6 (60\%)
2 (20\%)
(10)

4 (40\%)
(10)
(9)
(7)
(8)
$1(10 \%)$
(9)

6 (67\%)
(9)

1 (11\%)
1 (11\%)
Pars distalis, angiectasis
Pars distalis, cyst
Pars distalis, focal cellular change
Pars distalis, hyperplasia
Pars distalis, hyperplasia, focal
Thyroid gland
Ultimobranchial cyst

Genital System
Clitoral gland
(10)
8 (80\%)
2 ( $20 \%$ )
(10)
1 (10\%)

Degeneration, cystic

Cyst
$1(11 \%)$
2 (22\%)
(10)

Hyperplasia
Inflammation, chronic
vary

Bilateral, cyst
a Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with lesion

Table B5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Uterus | (10) | (9) | (7) | (8) |
| Hydrometra | 1 (10\%) | 2 (22\%) |  |  |
| Endometrium, hyperplasia, cystic | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) |  | 2 (25\%) |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Mammary gland | (10) | (9) | (7) | (8) |
| Dilatation | 2 (20\%) | 4 (44\%) | 2 (29\%) | 4 (50\%) |
| Hyperplasia |  |  |  | 1 (13\%) |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone | (10) | (9) | (7) | (8) |
| Hyperostosis | 2 (20\%) | 2 (22\%) | 1 (14\%) |  |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | (10) | (9) | (7) | (8) |
| Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia |  |  | 1 (14\%) |  |
| Nose | (10) | (9) | (7) | (8) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 1 (10\%) |  |  |  |
| Nasolacrimal duct, cyst |  |  |  | 1 (13\%) |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (10) | (9) | (7) | (8) |
| Nephropathy | 8 (80\%) | 7 (78\%) | 6 (86\%) | 7 (88\%) |

Systems Examined With No Lesions Observed
Cardiovascular System
General Body System
Hematopoietic System
Nervous System
Special Senses System

## 2-Year Study

| Alimentary System |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Esophagus | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Epithelium, hyperplasia, focal |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine large, colon | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Intussusception |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Parasite metazoan | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine large, rectum | (49) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Parasite metazoan | 2 (4\%) | 4 (8\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |

Table B5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 ppm |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table B5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## General Body System

None

| Genital System |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clitoral gland $(48)$ $(49)$ <br> Degeneration, cystic $40(83 \%)$ $45(92 \%)$ <br> Dilatation  $41(84 \%)$ <br> Hyperplasia $2(4 \%)$ $4(8 \%)$ <br> Inflammation, chronic $2(4 \%)$ $2(4 \%)$ | $4(8 \%)$ | $50(96 \%)$ |  |

TABLE B5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Ovary | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Angiectasis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Cyst | 6 (12\%) | 3 (6\%) | 5 (9\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Corpus luteum, hyperplasia, lymphoid | 2 (4\%) |  | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Corpus luteum, thecal cell, hyperplasia | 2 (4\%) |  | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Uterus | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Hydrometra | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 4 (8\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Cervix, hyperplasia |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Endometrium, cyst | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 4 (8\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Endometrium, hyperplasia, cystic | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Endometrium, inflammation | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |



Table B5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Mammary gland | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Dilatation | 42 (84\%) | 41 (80\%) | 35 (66\%) | 30 (58\%) |
| Hyperplasia | 7 (14\%) | 12 (24\%) | 8 (15\%) | 9 (17\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Skin | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Alopecia |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperkeratosis, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Epidermis, hyperplasia, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, inflammation, focal |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Hyperostosis | $8(16 \%)$ | 8 (16\%) | 9 (17\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |
| Brain | (49) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Compression | 9 (18\%) | 10 (20\%) | 9 (17\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Congestion |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Fibrosis, focal | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hemorrhage, diffuse |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal, macrophage | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic, focal |  | 1 (2\%) | $2(4 \%)$ | 1 (2\%) |
| Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia | 2 (4\%) |  | 3 (6\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Pleura, inflammation, chronic, focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Nose | (49) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Fungus |  | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  | 2 (4\%) | 4 (8\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia, focal |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Nasolacrimal duct, cyst |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation, ch active |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |
| Eye | (3) | (1) | (3) |  |
| Atrophy | 2 (67\%) |  | 1 (33\%) |  |
| Cataract | 3 (100\%) | 1 (100\%) | 1 (33\%) |  |
| Fibrosis | 1 (33\%) |  |  |  |
| Hemorrhage |  |  | 1 (33\%) |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 (33\%) |  |
| Necrosis, focal |  |  | 1 (33\%) |  |
| Synechia | 1 (33\%) |  |  |  |
| Retina, degeneration | 2 (67\%) | $1(100 \%)$ | 1 (33\%) |  |

TABLE B5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Atrophy, focal |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 7 (13\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic, suppurative | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Nephropathy | 48 (96\%) | 50 (98\%) | 50 (94\%) | 50 (96\%) |
| Papilla, degeneration |  | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 17 (33\%) |
| Papilla, epithelium, hyperplasia |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 7 (13\%) |
| Pelvis, dilatation |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Pelvis, transitional epithelium, hyperplasia |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Renal tubule, epithelium, hyperplasia, focal |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Urinary bladder | (50) | (51) | (53) | (52) |
| Dilatation |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Transitional epithelium, hyperplasia |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
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TABLE C1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disposition Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in sudy | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Early deaths |  |  |  |  |
| Accidental death |  |  |  | 1 |
| Moribund | 3 | 12 | 11 | 13 |
| Natural deaths | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Survivors |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal sacrifice | 42 | 36 | 35 | 30 |
| Missing |  |  |  | 1 |
| Animals examined microscopically | 60 | 60 | 60 | 59 |

## 15-Month Interim Evaluation

Alimentary System

Intestine small, ileum
Carcinoma
Liver
Hepatocellular carcinoma
Hepatocellular adenoma
Stomach, forestomach
Squamous cell papilloma
(10)
(10)
(9)
$1(11 \%)$
(9)
$4(40 \%)$
(10)
4 (44\%)

4
$(9)$

Respiratory System
Lung
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma

Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma
(10)
2 (20\%)

Special Senses System
Harderian gland
(4)

Adenoma
Bilateral, adenoma
(9)
1 (11\%)
(10)
(10)
(10)
(10\%)
$1(10 \%)$
(10)
(10)

2 (20\%)
2 (20\%)
(10)

1 ( $10 \%$ )
(6)
(5)
$1(20 \%$
(4)

2 (50\%)
1 (25\%)

## Systems Examined With No Neoplasms Observed

Cardiovascular System
Endocrine System
General Body System
Genital System
Hematopoietic System
Integumentary System
Musculoskeletal System
Nervous System
Urinary System

Table C1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study |  |  |  |  |
| Alimentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Gallbladder | (48) | (44) | (49) | (44) |
| Fibrous histiocytoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hepatoblastoma, metastatic, liver |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Intestine large, cecum | (49) | (49) | (48) | (48) |
| Intestine small, duodenum | (49) | (50) | (49) | (46) |
| Intestine small, jejunum | (49) | (49) | (49) | (48) |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Intestine small, ileum | (48) | (48) | (47) | (48) |
| Liver | (49) | (51) | (49) | (49) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  |  |
| Cholangiocarcinoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hemangioma |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemangiosarcoma | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Hepatoblastoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma | 11 (22\%) | 15 (29\%) | 14 (29\%) | 7 (14\%) |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hepatocellular adenoma | 12 (24\%) | 15 (29\%) | 11 (22\%) | 10 (20\%) |
| Hepatocellular adenoma, multiple | 6 (12\%) | 5 (10\%) | 8 (16\%) | 9 (18\%) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, stomach, |  |  |  |  |
| Mesentery | (3) | (2) | (1) | (3) |
| Hemangiosarcoma |  |  |  | $1(33 \%)$ |
| Hepatoblastoma, metastatic, liver |  | 1 (50\%) |  |  |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 1 (50\%) |  |  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, stomach, forestomach |  |  |  | 1 (33\%) |
| Pancreas | (49) | (51) | (49) | (49) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, stomach, forestomach |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Pharynx |  |  | (1) |  |
| Squamous cell papilloma |  |  | 1 ( $100 \%$ ) |  |
| Stomach, forestomach | (49) | (51) | (50) | (48) |
| Squamous cell carcinoma |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Squamous cell papilloma |  | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Stomach, glandular | (49) | (51) | (49) | (48) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Cardiovascular System |  |  |  |  |
| Heart | (50) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Carcinoma, metastatic, harderian gland | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |

Table C1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |
| Adrenal cortex | (48) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Adenoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma lung |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Capsule, adenoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Adrenal medulla | (49) | (50) | (50) | (49) |
| Pheochromocytoma malignant |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Pheochromocytoma benign |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Islets, pancreatic | (49) | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Adenoma | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Pituitary gland | (48) | (46) | (50) | (46) |
| Pars distalis, carcinoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Thyroid gland | (49) | (51) | (49) | (49) |
| Follicular cell, adenoma |  | 3 (6\%) |  | 4 (8\%) |
| Follicular cell, carcinoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| General Body System |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System |  |  |  |  |
| Epididymis | (49) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Preputial gland | (48) | (49) | (49) | (48) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma lung |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Seminal vesicle | (49) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma lung |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Testes | (49) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Hemangioma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Interstitial cell, adenoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone marrow | (49) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Hemangiosarcoma |  | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Lymph node | (3) | (3) | (5) | (6) |
| Mediastinal, alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  |  |
| Lymph node, mandibular | (46) | (49) | (49) | (46) |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | (48) | (49) | (49) | (48) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Spleen | (49) | (51) | (49) | (49) |
| Hemangiosarcoma |  | 3 (6\%) |  |  |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Thymus | (44) | (48) | (40) | (42) |

Table C1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | (50) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Mast cell tumor benign |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Squamous cell papilloma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Sebaceous gland, adenoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, hemangiosarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Subcutaneous tissue, sarcoma |  |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |
| Skeletal muscle |  | (1) |  | (1) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  | 1 (100\%) |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver |  | 1 (100\%) |  |  |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |
| Brain | (50) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | (50) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma | 12 (24\%) | 4 (8\%) | 8 (16\%) | 11 (22\%) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma, multiple |  |  | 4 (8\%) | 10 (20\%) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma | 3 (6\%) | 6 (12\%) | 8 (16\%) | 8 (16\%) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, multiple |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 3 (6\%) |
| Carcinoma, metastatic, harderian gland | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hepatoblastoma, metastatic, liver |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver | 2 (4\%) | $5(10 \%)$ | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, stomach, forestomach |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Nose | (49) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |
| Harderian gland | (22) | (25) | (28) | (32) |
| Adenoma | 3 (14\%) | 6 (24\%) | 11 (39\%) | 10 (31\%) |
| Carcinoma | 1 (5\%) | 1 (4\%) | 4 (14\%) | 3 (9\%) |
| Bilateral, adenoma |  |  | 1 (4\%) | 8 (25\%) |
| Bilateral, carcinoma |  |  |  | 1 (3\%) |
| Zymbal's gland |  |  |  | (1) |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  | 1 (100\%) |

Table C1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (49) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Carcinoma, metastatic, harderian gland | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hemangiosarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, stomach, forestomach |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Renal tubule, adenoma |  |  | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Urinary bladder | (49) | (51) | (49) | (49) |
| Hemangiosarcoma | $1(2 \%)$ |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Systemic Lesions |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple organs ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | (50) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Lymphoma malignant lymphocytic |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Lymphoma malignant mixed | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 6 (12\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Neoplasm Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Total animals with primary neoplasms ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 6 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| 2-Year study | 37 | 42 | 45 | 45 |
| Total primary neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| 2-Year study | 57 | 84 | 86 | 107 |
| Total animals with benign neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 6 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| 2-Year study | 30 | 29 | 38 | 43 |
| Total benign neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 2-Year study | 36 | 42 | 50 | 71 |
| Total animals with malignant neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 2-Year study | 17 | 31 | 24 | 30 |
| Total malignant neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 2-Year study | 21 | 42 | 36 | 36 |
| Total animals with metastatic neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Year study | 3 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| Total metastatic neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Year study | 5 | 11 | 4 | 19 |

[^43]Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm



Cardiovascular System


Carcinoma, metastatic, harderian gland X

## Endocrine System

Adrenal cortex
Capsule, adenoma
Adrenal medulla
Islets, pancreatic
Adenoma



Follicular cell, carcinoma


## General Body System

Tissue NOS

## Genital System

Coagulating gland
Epididymis
Preputial gland
Prostate
Seminal vesicle
Testes
Interstitial cell, adenoma


X: Lesion present Blank: Not examined

Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
|  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Total |
| Carcass ID Number | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | Tissues/ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | Tumors |



Cardiovascular System


Carcinoma, metastatic, harderian gland


General Body System
Tissue NOS

$$
+
$$

## Genital System



Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)


Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)


## Table C2 <br> Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm

|  |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |

 Tooth

Cardiovascular System
$+++++++++++++++++++++++++$

Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver
Endocrine System


Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Total |
| Carcass ID Number | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Tissues/ |
|  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 0 | Tumors |




## Table C2

Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 3 6 2 | 4 6 1 | 5 4 3 | 5 8 6 | 5 9 2 | 6 2 5 | 6 2 5 | 6 4 6 | 6 5 6 | 6 | 6 7 8 | 6 8 3 | 7 0 5 | 7 3 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcass ID Number | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 7 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 9 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 9 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 0 7 4 | 0 7 6 | 1 0 5 | 1 0 1 | 6 |  | 0 | 0 9 3 | 1 0 4 | 0 7 7 | 0 6 1 | 6 | 0 6 2 | 0 6 3 | 0 6 4 | 0 6 5 | 0 6 6 | 0 6 7 | 0 6 8 | 0 6 9 | 0 7 1 |

## General Body System <br> None



## Hematopoietic System

Bone marrow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +++t++t+t+++t+t+t+t+t+t+t \\
& \text { X } \\
& \text { X X } \\
& \text { X } \\
& \text { X }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hemangiosarcoma
Histiocytic sarcoma
Lymph node
Lymph node, mandibular
Histiocytic sarcoma
Spleen
Hemangiosarcoma
Histiocytic sarcoma
Thymus
Integumentary System


Sebaceous gland, adenoma
X
Subcutaneous tissue, hemangiosarcoma

## Musculoskeletal System

Bone $\quad++++++++$

Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver
 +
$\mathbf{X}$

## Nervous System

Brain
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver


Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |
| Carcass ID Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Total |
|  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Tissues/ |
|  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 0 | Tumors |
| General Body System None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coagulating gland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $+$ |  | 2 |
| Epididymis | + |  | $+$ | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | + | + | $+$ | + | + | $+$ | + | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | + | 51 |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Preputial gland | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | + | $+$ | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | $+$ | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | + | M | 49 |
| Prostate | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | + | + | $+$ | $+$ | + | $+$ | $+$ | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | + | + | + | $+$ | 51 |
| Seminal vesicle | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | $+$ | + | + | $t$ | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 51 |
| Testes | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | $+$ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 51 |

## Hematopoietic System



## Integumentary System



Squamous cell papilloma
Sebaceous gland, adenoma
Subcutaneous tissue, hemangiosarcoma
X
Musculoskeletal System
Bone
Skeletal muscle

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

eletal muscle
$\qquad$
Nervous System

Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver

Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm (continued)


## Special Senses System


Carcinoma

| Urinary System |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Kidney | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Hemangiosarcoma | X |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | X |
| Urinary bladder | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |

## Systemic Lesions

Multiple organs
Histiocytic sarcoma
Lymphoma malignant mixed
Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated
cell type

Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm (continued)


Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 625 ppm

| Number of Days on Study | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 4 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Carcass ID Number | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |

Alimentary System
Esophagus
Gallbadder
Intestine large, colon
Intestine large, rectum
Intestine large, cecum
Intestine small, duodenum
Intestine small, jejunum
Intestine small, ileum
Liver
$\quad$ Hepatocellular carcinoma
Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple
$\quad$ Hepatocellular adenoma
Hepatocellular adenoma, multiple
Mesentery
Pancreas
Pharynx
$\quad$ Squamous cell papilloma
Salivary glands
Stomach, forestomach
$\quad$ Squamous cell carcinoma
Squamous cell papilloma
Stomach, glandular
Tooth

Cardiovascular System
Heart
$+++++++++++++++++++++++++$

## Endocrine System

Adrenal cortex
Adrenal medulla

$\mathrm{A}++++++++++++++++++++++++$

Parathyroid gland
Islets, pancreatic Adenoma
nitary gland
Thyroid gland

## General Body System

None

## Genital System

Epididymis
Preputial gland
Prostate
Seminal vesicle
Testes


Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 625 ppm (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 7 3 7 | 7 3 | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | 7 3 7 | $7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcass ID Number | 1 3 3 | 1 3 4 | 5 | 1 3 6 | 1 3 7 | 1 3 9 | 1 4 0 | 1 4 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 4 7 | 1 5 0 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1 5 7 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 1 6 8 | Total <br> Tissues/ <br> Tumors |

Alimentary System


| Cardiovascular System |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Heart | ++++++++++++++++++++++++++ |
| 50 |  |

## Endocrine System

Adrenal cortex $\quad+++++++++++++++++++++++++\quad 50$


Adenoma
X
49
1
Parathyroid gland
$+++++++++++++++++++\mathrm{M}+++++$
Pituitary gland
$+++++++++++++++++++++++++$
50
Thyroid gland

49
General Body System
None

## Genital System

Epididymis
Preputial gland


Prostate
Seminal vesicle
Testes

Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 625 ppm (continued)


Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 625 ppm (continued)

Musculoskeletal System


## Nervous System




## Systemic Lesions

Multiple organs


Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 1,250 ppm

| Number of Days on Study | 2 4 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 4 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 8 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 9 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 9 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 9 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 4 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 |  | 5 | 7 3 8 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcass ID Number | 5 | 1 9 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 8 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 2 2 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 2 1 | 4 |  | 8 | 1 8 2 | 8 | 8 | 1 8 8 | 1 9 1 |




## Endocrine System


Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung
Adrenal medulla


Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)


## Table C2

Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 2 | 4 4 5 | 4 4 7 | 5 1 5 | 5 6 5 | 5 8 9 | 5 9 2 | 5 9 2 | 5 9 3 | 6 4 1 | 6 5 6 | 6 | 6 6 9 | 6 6 9 | 6 6 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 2 5 |  | 7 | 7 3 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcass ID Number | 5 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 8 4 | 7 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 2 2 2 | 1 | 9 | 9 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 1 9 1 |
| Endocrine System (continued) <br> Parathyroid gland <br> Pituitary gland <br> Pars distalis, carcinoma <br> Thyroid gland <br> Follicular cell, adenoma | + + + | $+$ | X | + | + + | $+$ | + | + | M + | + + | $+$ |  |  | $M$ + + |  |  |  |  | + | $+$ | + | + + + X | + + | + + + |  |

## General Body System

None

| Genital System |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Preputial gland$\begin{aligned} & \text { Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, } \\ & \text { metastaic, lung }\end{aligned}$X |  |
|  |  |
| Prostate + + + + + + + + + + + + + M + + + + + + + + + + |  |
| Seminal vesicle $\quad+$ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |  |
| Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung |  |
| Testes | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
|  |  |


| Hematopoietic System |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bone marrow | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Lymph node | $++{ }_{+}+$ |
| Lymph node, mandibular | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Lymph node, mesenteric |  |
| Lymph node, mediastinal |  |
| Spleen | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Thymus |  |

Integumentary System

| Mammary gland | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| :---: | :---: |
| Skin | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |

Mast cell tumor benign

## Musculoskeletal System

Bone $\quad+++++++++++++++++++++++t$

Skeletal muscle
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung

$+$

X

Nervous System


Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma,
metastatic, lung

TABLE C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)

| Number of Days on Study | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 8 | 7 3 8 | 7 3 8 | 7 3 8 | 7 3 8 | 7 3 8 | 7 3 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 8 | 7 3 8 | 7 3 8 | 7 3 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 7 3 8 | 7 3 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcass ID Number | 1 9 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 2 0 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 2 1 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 2 1 9 | 2 2 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 2 2 8 | 2 2 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Total Tissues/ Tumors |
| Endocrine System (continued) <br> Parathyroid gland <br> Pituitary gland <br> Pars distalis, carcinoma <br> Thyroid gland <br> Follicular cell, adenoma | $+$ | + | + + + | + + + | + + + | + + | $+$ | + + + | + | + + + | + + + | + + | + + + | + + + | + + + | + + | + + + | + + + | + + + | + + + | + | + + + | + + + | + + | + + | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 46 \\ 1 \\ 49 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |

## General Body System

None


## Hematopoietic System



Integumentary System


$$
\text { Mast cell tumor benign } \quad \mathrm{X}
$$49

Musculoskeletal System

Bone $\quad+$ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + ..... 49Skeletal muscleAlveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma,metastatic, lung1
Nervous System

Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol:
1,250 ppm (continued)


Table C2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol:
1,250 ppm (continued)


Systemic Lesions
Multiple organs $\quad+++++++++++++++++++++++++\quad 49$
Lymphoma malignant lymphocytic 1
Lymphoma malignant mixed $\quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad 3$

Table C3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harderian Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3/50 (6\%) | $6 / 51$ (12\%) | 12/50 (24\%) | 18/49 (37\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $7.1 \%$ | 15.6\% | 31.0\% | 47.5\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3/42 (7\%) | 4/36 (11\%) | 9/35 (26\%) | 11/30 (37\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 656 | 669 | 565 |
| Life table test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.182$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.213$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | P 0.213 | $P=0.010$ | P<0.001 |
| Fisher exact test ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.254$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Harderian Gland: Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/50 (2\%) | 1/51 (2\%) | 4/50 (8\%) | $4 / 49$ (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.3\% | 2.8\% | $10.1 \%$ | 10.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/42 (0\%) | 1/36 (3\%) | 2/35 (6\%) | 1/30 (3\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 674 | 736 (T) | 666 | 589 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.047$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.732$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.153$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.127$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.071$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.762$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.182$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.187$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.071$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.748 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.181$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.175$ |
| Harderian Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/50 (8\%) | $7 / 51$ (14\%) | 16/50 (32\%) | 22/49 (45\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 9.3\% | 18.2\% | 39.4\% | 54.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/42 (7\%) | 5/36 (14\%) | 11/35 (31\%) | 12/30 (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 674 | 656 | 666 | 565 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.194$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.233$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $P=0.274$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Kidney (Renal Tubule): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/49 (0\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 3/50 (6\%) | 2/49 (4\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 6.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/42 (0\%) | 0/36 (0\%) | 2/35 (6\%) | 2/30 (7\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 669 | 736 (T) |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.060$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.100$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.168$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.076$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.120$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.168$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.093$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.125$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.247$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 18/49 (37\%) | 20/51 (39\%) | 19/49 (39\%) | 19/49 (39\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 40.8\% | 50.8\% | 48.1\% | 49.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 16/42 (38\%) | 17/36 (47\%) | 15/35 (43\%) | 12/30 (40\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 606 | 461 | 536 | 447 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.137$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.224$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.271$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.147$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.414$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.357$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.464$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.454$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.477$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.480$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500$ |

Table C3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 11/49 (22\%) | 17/51 (33\%) | 15/49 (31\%) | 7/49 (14\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 22.7\% | 39.7\% | 34.3\% | 19.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 5/42 (12\%) | 11/36 (31\%) | 7/35 (20\%) | 3/30 (10\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 478 | 543 | 579 | 515 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.298 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.094$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.183$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.414 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.032 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.208$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.345$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.103 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.108 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.161$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.246$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.217 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 27/49 (55\%) | 32/51 (63\%) | 27/49 (55\%) | 23/49 (47\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 55.1\% | 72.4\% | 60.9\% | 56.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 20/42 (48\%) | 24/36 (67\%) | 18/35 (51\%) | 13/30 (43\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 478 | 461 | 536 | 447 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.448$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.090$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.314$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.381$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.157 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.225$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.506 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.212 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.145 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.283$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.580 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.272 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma or Hepatoblastoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 11/49 (22\%) | 17/51 (33\%) | 15/49 (31\%) | $7 / 49$ (14\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 22.7\% | 39.7\% | 34.3\% | 19.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 5/42 (12\%) | 11/36 (31\%) | 7/35 (20\%) | 3/30 (10\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 478 | 543 | 579 | 515 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.298 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.094$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.183$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.414 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.032 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.208$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.345$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.103 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.108 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.161$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.246$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.217 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma, Hepatocellular Carcinoma, or Hepatoblastoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 27/49 (55\%) | 32/51 (63\%) | 27/49 (55\%) | 23/49 (47\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 55.1\% | 72.4\% | 60.9\% | 56.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 20/42 (48\%) | 24/36 (67\%) | 18/35 (51\%) | 13/30 (43\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 478 | 461 | 536 | 447 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.448$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.090$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.314$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.381$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.157 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.225$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.506 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.212 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.145 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.283$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.580 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.272 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 12/50 (24\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 12/50 (24\%) | 21/49 (43\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 27.1\% | 10.3\% | 30.6\% | 57.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 10/42 (24\%) | 3/36 (8\%) | 9/35 (26\%) | 15/30 (50\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 478 | 586 | 536 | 593 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.057 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.422$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.030 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.589$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.020$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.024 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.592 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.037$ |

Table C3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table C3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thyroid Gland (Follicular Cell): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/49 (0\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 0/49 (0\%) | 4/49 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/42 (0\%) | 3/36 (8\%) | 0/35 (0\%) | 3/30 (10\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 736 (T) | - | 669 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.035$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.095$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.034$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.046$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.095$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.047$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.065$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.129$ | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.059$ |
| Thyroid Gland (Follicular Cell): Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/49 (2\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 0/49 (0\%) | 4/49 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.4\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 12.4\% |
| Terminal rate | 1/42 (2\%) | 3/36 (8\%) | 0/35 (0\%) | 3/30 (10\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 736 (T) | - | 669 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.096$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.252$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.536 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.104$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.120$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.252$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.536 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.138$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.160$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.324$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.500 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.181$ |
| All Organs: Hemangiosarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/50 (4\%) | 5/51 (10\%) | 0/50 (0\%) | 4/49 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 4.8\% | 13.3\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/42 (5\%) | 4/36 (11\%) | 0/35 (0\%) | 4/30 (13\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 656 | - | 736 (T) |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.296$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.165$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.279 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.195$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.351$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.191$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.279 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.195$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.424$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.226$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.247 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.329$ |
| All Organs: Hemangioma or Hemangiosarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/50 (4\%) | 6/51 (12\%) | 0/50 (0\%) | 5/49 (10\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 4.8\% | 16.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/42 (5\%) | 5/36 (14\%) | 0/35 (0\%) | $5 / 30$ (17\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 656 | - | 736 (T) |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.194$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.095$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.279 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.102$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.240$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.113$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.279 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.102$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.317$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.141$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.247 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.210$ |
| All Organs: Malignant Lymphoma (Lymphocytic, Mixed, or Undifferentiated Cell Type) |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 2/50 (4\%) | $3 / 51$ (6\%) | $6 / 50$ (12\%) | 4/49 (8\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 4.8\% | 8.3\% | 15.0\% | 12.1\% |
| Terminal rate | 2/42 (5\%) | 3/36 (8\%) | 3/35 (9\%) | 3/30 (10\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 736 (T) | 736 (T) | 662 | 592 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.140$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.430$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.102$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.213$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.210$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.430$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.130$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.299$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.234$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.509$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.134$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.329$ |

Table C3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Organs: Benign Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 30/50 (60\%) | $30 / 51$ (59\%) | 38/50 (76\%) | 43/49 (88\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 66.6\% | 69.3\% | 84.3\% | 97.7\% |
| Terminal rate | 27/42 (64\%) | 23/36 (64\%) | 28/35 (80\%) | 29/30 (97\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 478 | 362 | 536 | 447 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.276$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.527$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.050$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.533 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.066$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |
| All Organs: Malignant Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 17/50 (34\%) | 32/51 (63\%) | 24/50 (48\%) | 30/49 (61\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 35.2\% | 67.9\% | 52.9\% | 70.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 11/42 (26\%) | 21/36 (58\%) | 14/35 (40\%) | 18/30 (60\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 478 | 362 | 572 | 447 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | $P=0.002$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.066$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.067$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.119$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.007$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.026$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.111$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ |
| All Organs: Benign or Malignant Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 37/50 (74\%) | 43/51 (84\%) | 45/50 (90\%) | 45/49 (92\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 75.5\% | 87.8\% | 91.8\% | 97.8\% |
| Terminal rate | 30/42 (71\%) | 30/36 (83\%) | 31/35 (89\%) | 29/30 (97\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 478 | 362 | 536 | 447 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.040$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.012$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.016$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.089$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.026$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.007$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.151$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.033$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.017$ |

## (T) Terminal sacrifice

a Number of neoplasm-bearing animals/number of animals examined. Denominator is number of animals examined microscopically for kidney, liver, lung, spleen, and thyroid gland; for other tissues, denominator is number of animals necropsied.
b Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality
c Observed incidence at terminal kill
d Beneath the control incidence are the $P$ values associated with the trend test. Beneath the exposed group incidence are the $P$ values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposed group. The life table test regards neoplasms in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. For all tests, a negative trend or a lower incidence in an exposure group is indicated by $\mathbf{N}$.
e Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

Table C4a
Historical Incidence of Harderian Gland Neoplasms in Untreated Male B6C3F ${ }_{1}$ Mice $^{\mathbf{a}}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | 4/50 | 0/50 | 4/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $2 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 2/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | 2/50 | 0/50 | 2/50 |
| Ethylene Glycol | $0 / 54$ | $0 / 54$ | 0/54 |
| Nitrofurantoin | 2/50 | $0 / 50$ | 2/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $9 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | 10/50 |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | 0/49 | 0/49 | 0/49 |
| Rhodamine 6G | $7 / 50$ | 0/50 | 7/50 |
| Roxarsone | 1/50 | 0/50 | 1/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 71/1,474 (4.8\%) | 9/1,474 (0.6\%) | 80/1,474 (5.4\%) |
| Standard deviation | 4.2\% | 1.1\% | 4.5\% |
| Range | 0\%-18\% | 0\%-4\% | 0\%-20\% |

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table C4b
Historical Incidence of Alveolar/bronchiolar Neoplasms in Untreated Male B6C3F1 Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | 9/50 | 5/50 | 14/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | 4/49 | 2/49 | 5/49 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | 2/50 | 0/50 | $2 / 50$ |
| Ethylene Glycol | $7 / 54$ | 1/54 | $7 / 54$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $5 / 50$ | 1/50 | 6/50 |
| o-Nitroanisole | 5/50 | 1/50 | 6/50 |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $6 / 50$ | 1/50 | $7 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | 5/49 | 1/49 | 6/49 |
| Rhodamine 6G | 6/50 | $3 / 50$ | $9 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | 5/50 | $6 / 50$ | 11/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 201/1,469 (13.7\%) | 73/1,469 (5.0\%) | 265/1,469 (18.0\%) |
| Standard deviation | 6.2\% | 4.0\% | $7.6 \%$ |
| Range | 4\%-28\% | 0\%-14\% | 4\%-32\% |

[^44]Table C4c
Historical Incidence of Renal Tubule Adenoma in Untreated Male B6C3F $\mathbf{M i c e}^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |
| :--- | :---: |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |
|  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 49$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ |
| Ehylene Glycol | $1 / 54$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ |
| $o$ o-Nitroanisole | $1 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 49$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $1 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ |
|  |  |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |
| Total |  |
| Standard deviation | $3 / 1,466(0.2 \%)$ |
| Range | $0.6 \%$ |
|  | $0 \%-2 \%$ |

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table C4d
Historical Incidence of Forestomach Neoplasms in Untreated Male B6C3F $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ Mice $^{\text {a }}$

\left.| Study |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Incidence in Controls |  |$\right]$

[^45]Table C4e
Historical Incidence of Hemangioma and Hemangiosarcoma in Untreated Male B6C3F Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hemangioma | Hemangiosarcoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | 2150 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Ethylene Glycol | $0 / 54$ | 3/54 |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $1 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ | $6 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 49$ | 0/49 |
| Rhodamine 6G | $1 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |
| Total | 8/1474 (0.54\%) | 75/1,474 (5.1\%) |
| Standard deviation | 1.04 | 3.9\% |
| Range | 0\%-3\% | 0\%-16\% |

[^46]Table C5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disposition Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Early deaths |  |  |  |  |
| Accidental death |  |  |  | 1 |
| Moribund | 3 | 12 | 11 | 13 |
| Natural deaths | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Survivors |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal sacrifice | 42 | 36 | 35 | 30 |
| Missing |  |  |  | 1 |
| Animals examined microscopically | 60 | 60 | 60 | 59 |

## 15-Month Interim Evaluation

Alimentary System

| Intestine small, ileum |
| :--- |
| Peyer's patch, hyperplasia |
| Liver |
| Basophilic focus |
| Clear cell focus |
| Inflammation, subacute |
| Mesentery |
| Fat, necrosis |
| Pancreas |
| Atrophy |
| Salivary glands |
| Inflammation, chronic |
| Stomach, forestomach |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia |
| Cardiovascular System |
| Heart |


| (10) <br> 1 <br> $(10)$ | $(9)$ | $(10)$ | $(10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $(9)$ | $(10)$ | $(10)$ |
|  |  | $1(10 \%)$ | $1(10 \%)$ |
|  |  | $1(10 \%)$ | $(1)$ |
| $(10)$ | $(9)$ | $(10)$ | $(10)$ |
| $(10)$ | $(9)$ | $1(10 \%)$ | $(10)$ |
| $(10)$ | $(9)$ | 1 | $(10 \%)$ |
|  |  | $1(10 \%)$ | $(10)$ |

Heart
(10)
(9)
(10)
(10)

Inflammation, chronic

## Endocrine System

Adrenal cortex
Accessory adrenal cortical nodule
Cyst
Hyperplasia, focal
Hypertrophy, focal
Islets, pancreatic
Hyperplasia
Parathyroid gland
Cyst
Pituitary gland
Pars distalis, cyst
Thyroid gland
Degeneration, cystic
Follicle, cyst
(10)
(9)
$1(10 \%)$
$1(10 \%) \quad 1(11 \%)$
(10)
3 (30\%)
(9)
4 (44\%)
(10)
(8) $(13 \%)$
(9)
$2(22 \%)$
(10)
2 (20\%)
(9)
(10)
(10)
$1(10 \%)$
$3(33 \%) \quad 1(10 \%)$

- 1 ( $10 \%$ )
rophy, foca
Hyperplasia
(10)
(10)

2 (20\%)
arathyroid gland
(9)
(10)
$1(13 \%) \quad 2(22 \%)$

Thyroid gland
(9)
(8)
(10)
(10)

[^47]
## Table C5

Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System |  |  |  |  |
| Epididymis | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Atypia cellular |  |  | 1 (10\%) |  |
| Hypospermia |  | 1 (11\%) | 1 (10\%) |  |
| Preputial gland | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Ectasia | 4 (40\%) | 5 (56\%) | 6 (60\%) | 7 (70\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 3 (30\%) | 3 (33\%) | 2 (20\%) | 2 (20\%) |
| Testes | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Granuloma sperm |  | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Interstitial cell, hyperplasia |  |  | 1 (10\%) |  |
| Seminiferous tubule, atrophy |  | 1 (11\%) | 1 (10\%) |  |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone marrow | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Hypercellularity | 1 (10\%) |  | 1 (10\%) |  |
| Lymph node |  |  |  | (1) |
| Bronchial, hyperplasia, lymphoid |  |  |  | 1 (100\%) |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Hemorrhage | 2 (20\%) |  | 2 (20\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  |  | 1 (10\%) |  |
| Spleen | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 2 (20\%) | 2 (22\%) | 1 (10\%) | 2 (20\%) |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Acanthosis |  | $1(11 \%)$ |  |  |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |
| Brain | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Cyst | 1 (10\%) |  |  |  |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (10\%) |  |  |  |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Hemorrhage |  |  | 1 (10\%) |  |
| Infiltration cellular, histiocyte |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia | 1 (10\%) |  | 1 (10\%) | 3 (30\%) |
| Nose | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |
| Harderian gland | (4) | (6) | (5) | (4) |
| Hyperplasia |  |  | 1 (20\%) | 1 (25\%) |

Table C5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Casts protein | 1 (10\%) | 3 (33\%) |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (10\%) |  | 2 (20\%) | 1 (10\%) |
| Hydronephrosis | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) |  |  |
| Mineralization | 6 (60\%) | 6 (67\%) | 4 (40\%) | 3 (30\%) |
| Renal tubule, regeneration | 7 (70\%) | 6 (67\%) | 8 (80\%) | 8 (80\%) |

## Systems Examined With No Lesions Observed <br> General Body System <br> Musculoskeletal System

## 2-Year Study

Alimentary System

Intestine large, colon
(48)
$(48)$

$(49)$
$3(6 \%)$
$(49)$
1
$(48)$
(50)
(50)

Intestine large, rectum Edema
Inflammation, chronic
Intestine large, cecum
Edema
Parasite metazoan
Intestine small, jejunum
Peyer's patch, hyperplasia
Intestine small, ileum
Amyloid deposition
Hyperplasia, lymphoid
Peyer's patch, hyperplasia
Liver
Basophilic focus
Clear cell focus
Congestion
Cyst
Developmental malformation
Eosinophilic focus
Hematopoietic cell proliferation
Hemorrhage
Hyperplasia, lymphoid
Inflammation, subacute
Mineralization
Mixed cell focus
Necrosis
Thrombosis
Hepatocyte, nuclear alteration
Hepatocyte, vacuolization cytoplasmic
Kupffer cell, hyperplasia
Kupffer cell, pigmentation
Lobules, necrosis
(10)

1 (10\%)
1 (10\%)
7 (70\%)
(9)

1 (11\%)
6 (67\%)
6 (67\%)
(10)

2 (20\%)
4 (40\%)
3 (30\%)
8 ( $80 \%$ )

Table C5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table C5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| General Body System |  |  |  |  |
| Tissue NOS |  |  |  |  |
| Cyst | $1(100 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| Genital System |  |  |  |  |
| Coagulating gland | (2) | (2) |  |  |
| Dilatation | 2 (100\%) | $2(100 \%)$ |  |  |
| Epididymis | (49) | (51) | $(50)$ | (49) |
| Granuloma sperm |  |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| Hypospermia | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Spermatocele | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Preputial gland | (48) | (49) | (49) | (48) |
| Angiectasis |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Atrophy | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Ectasia | 24 (50\%) | 18 (37\%) | 24 (49\%) | 21 (44\%) |
| Hyperplasia |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 22 (46\%) | 16 (33\%) | 20 (41\%) | 12 (25\%) |
| Inflammation, granulomatous |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 8 (17\%) | 13 (27\%) | 15 (31\%) | 11 (23\%) |
| Prostate | (49) | (51) | (50) | (47) |
| Hemorrhage |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Seminal vesicle | (49) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Dilatation | 15 (31\%) | 10 (20\%) | 11 (22\%) | $8(16 \%)$ |
| Fibrosis |  |  |  | $1 \text { (2\%) }$ |
| Hemorrhage | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Inflammation, chronic | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Testes | (49) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Seminiferous tubule, atrophy | 3 (6\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone marrow | (49) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Hypercellularity | 4 (8\%) | 12 (24\%) | 10 (20\%) | 13 (27\%) |
| Necrosis |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Lymph node | (3) | (3) | (5) | (6) |
| Iliac, hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 1 (33\%) |  |  |
| Inguinal, hematopoietic cell proliferation |  |  |  | 1 (17\%) |
| Inguinal, hyperplasia, lymphoid | 2 (67\%) | 2 (67\%) | 1 (20\%) | 3 (50\%) |
| Inguinal, pigmentation | 1 (33\%) |  |  |  |
| Mediastinal, hematopoietic cell proliferation |  |  |  | $1(17 \%)$ |
| Mediastinal, hyperplasia, lymphoid |  |  |  | 1 (17\%) |
| Renal, hemorrhage | 1 (33\%) |  |  |  |
| Renal, hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (33\%) |  |  |  |
| Lymph node, mandibular | (46) | (49) | (49) | (46) |
| Atrophy |  | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 5 (11\%) |
| Pigmentation | 6 (13\%) | 3 (6\%) | 6 (12\%) | 3 (7\%) |

Table C5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | (48) | (49) | (49) | (48) |
| Angiectasis |  |  | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Atrophy |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation |  | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Hemorrhage | 17 (35\%) | 15 (31\%) | 16 (33\%) | 22 (46\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 4 (8\%) | 12 (24\%) | 7 (14\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Pigmentation | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Spleen | (49) | (51) | (49) | (49) |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 8 (16\%) | 20 (39\%) | 15 (31\%) | 17 (35\%) |
| Infiltration cellular, mast cell |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Lymphoid follicle, atrophy | 1 (2\%) | 4 (8\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Lymphoid follicle, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Red pulp, atrophy |  | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Thymus | (44) | (48) | (40) | (42) |
| Atrophy |  | 4 (8\%) |  | 4 (10\%) |
| Congestion |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | (50) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Acanthosis |  |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Cyst epithelial inclusion |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, subacute |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Ulcer |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone | (50) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Hyperostosis |  | 2 (4\%) |  | $3(6 \%)$ |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |
| Brain | (50) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Compression |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Cyst |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemorrhage |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Necrosis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | (50) | (51) | (50) | (49) |
| Congestion |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 3 (6\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Infiltration cellular, histiocyte | 6 (12\%) | 8 (16\%) | 5 (10\%) | 9 (18\%) |
| Inflammation, subacute |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Thrombosis | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia | 6 (12\%) | 7 (14\%) | 5 (10\%) | 8 (16\%) |

Table C5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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Table D1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2 -Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| \begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline
\end{tabular} |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table D1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued)
Systems Examined With No Neoplasms Observed
Cardiovascular System
General Body System
Hematopoetic System
Integumentary System
Musculoskeletal System
Nervous System
Urinary System

## 2-Year Study

| Alimentary System |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gallbladder | (49) | (47) | (47) | (45) |
| Sarcoma, metastatic, mesentery |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine large, colon | (52) | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Hemangioma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine large, rectum | (50) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Intestine large, cecum | (52) | (50) | (49) | (50) |
| Intestine small, jejunum | (49) | (49) | (49) | (47) |
| Liver | (51) | (50) | (50) | (49) |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma | 4 (8\%) | 8 (16\%) | 5 (10\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple | 1 (2\%) |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Hepatocellular adenoma | 13 (25\%) | 9 (18\%) | 4 (8\%) | 13 (27\%) |
| Hepatocellular adenoma, multiple | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Sarcoma, metastatic, mesentery |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Mesentery | (4) | (6) | (7) | (6) |
| Sarcoma |  |  |  | 1 (17\%) |
| Yolk sac carcinoma, metastatic, ovary |  |  | 1 (14\%) |  |
| Pancreas | (51) | (50) | (49) | (48) |
| Sarcoma, metastatic, mesentery |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Sarcoma stromal, metastatic, uterus |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Salivary glands | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Stomach, forestomach | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Squamous cell papilloma |  | 1 (2\%) | 5 (10\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Stomach, glandular | (51) | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Sarcoma stromal, metastatic, uterus |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Tongue |  | (1) |  |  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma |  | 1 (100\%) |  |  |

Cardiovascular System
Heart (52)
(50)
(51)
(50)

Table D1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |
| Adrenal cortex | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Sarcoma, metastatic, skin |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Capsule, carcinoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Adrenal medulla | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Pheochromocytoma benign |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Islets, pancreatic | (51) | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Adenoma | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Pituitary gland | (50) | (48) | (48) | (46) |
| Pars distalis, adenoma | 4 (8\%) | 8 (17\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (11\%) |
| Pars distalis, carcinoma |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Pars intermedia, adenoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Thyroid gland | (51) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Follicular cell, adenoma | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |  |

## General Body System

Tissue NOS
(2)

| Genital System |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ovary | (51) | (49) | (51) | (48) |
| Adenoma | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Carcinoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Granulosa cell tumor benign |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Luteoma |  |  | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Yolk sac carcinoma |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Bilateral, adenoma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Uterus | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Carcinoma |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Deciduoma benign |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hemangioma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hemangiosarcoma |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Polyp adenomatous |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Polyp stromal | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Sarcoma stromal |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |


| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bone marrow | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Hemangioma | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hemangiosarcoma |  |  |  | (2\%) |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Lymph node | (9) | (12) | (6) | (9) |
| Mediastinal, sarcoma, metastatic, skin |  |  |  |  |
| Renal, histiocytic sarcoma |  | 1 (8\%) |  |  |
| Renal, sarcoma, metastatic, skin |  |  |  | 1 (11\%) |
| Lymph node, mandibular | (48) | (46) | (50) | (46) |
| Carcinoma, metastatic, harderian gland | 1 (2\%) 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |  |  |  |  |

Table D1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 ppm |  |  |

Table D1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Neoplasm Summary

Total animals with primary neoplasms ${ }^{c}$

| 15-Month interim evaluation | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year study | 35 | 42 | 43 | 42 |
| Total primary neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 3 | 1 | 3 | 9 |
| 2-Year study | 51 | 79 | 75 | 111 |
| Total animals with benign neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 2-Year study | 26 | 29 | 28 | 34 |
| Total benign neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 2 | 1 | 3 | 9 |

2-Year study 35

| Total animals with malignant neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2-Year study | 16 | 32 | 31 | 36 |
| Total malignant neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2-Year study | 16 | 37 | 37 | 48 |
| Total animals with metastatic neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Year study | 3 | 6 | 7 | 4 |
| Total metastatic neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Year study | 4 | 8 | 8 | 9 |

[^48]TAble D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm


## Cardiovascular System

Blood vessel


## Endocrine System




Parathyroid gland

Pituitary gland $\quad+\mathrm{A}++\mathrm{M}++++++++++++++++++++++$
Pars distalis, adenoma
Thyroid gland

> X X
$+\mathrm{A}+++++++++++++++++++++++t$
Follicular cell, adenoma

## General Body System

## None

Genital System
Clitoral gland
Ovary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X }
\end{aligned}
$$

Adenoma
Bilateral, adenoma
Uterus
Histiocytic sarcoma
Polyp stromal
+: Tissue examined microscopically
A: Autolysis precludes examination

M: Missing tissue
I: Insufficient tissue

X: Lesion present Blank: Not examined

Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)


Cardiovascular System
Blood vessel +1


## Endocrine System



## General Body System

None


Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)

|  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 8 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 5 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

## Hematopoietic System



Integumentary System
Mammary gland $\quad++++++++++++++++++++++++++$

Sebaceous gland, carcinoma

| Musculoskeletal System Bone Osteosarcoma | $+++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+++++++++++++++++++++$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nervous System <br> Brain <br> Peripheral nerve <br> Spinal cord | $\begin{aligned} &++++++++++++++++++++++++++ \\ & \\ &+ \end{aligned}$ |
| Respiratory System <br> Lung <br> Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma <br> Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma, multiple <br> Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma <br> Carcinoma, metastatic, harderian gland <br> Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver <br> Nose <br> Trachea |  |
| Special Senses System Harderian gland Adenoma Carcinoma | $\begin{array}{rlllll} ++ & + & + & + & + & + \\ \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & & & \end{array}$ |
| Urinary System Kidney Urinary bladder |  |
| Systemic Lesions <br> Multiple organs Histiocytic sarcoma Lymphoma malignant lymphocytic Lymphoma malignant mixed | $\begin{array}{r} ++++++++++++++++++++++\underset{\mathrm{x}}{+}+++ \\ \mathbf{x} \quad \end{array}$ |

Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 0 ppm (continued)


Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm


Cardiovascular System
Heart $\quad+++++++++++++++++++++++++$

## Endocrine System

Adrenal cortex
Capsule, carcinoma
Adrenal medulla
Pheochromocytoma benign

Adenoma


Pars intermedia, adenoma
Thyroid gland


Follicular cell, adenoma

## General Body System

Tissue NOS

## Genital System



Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm (continued)


## Cardiovascular System

Heart $\quad+++++++++++++++++++++++++5$

| Endocrine System |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adrenal cortex | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 50 |
| Capsule, carcinoma |  | 1 |
| Adrenal medulla | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 50 |
| Pheochromocytoma benign | X | 1 |
| Islets, pancreatic |  | 50 |
| Adenoma | $\mathbf{X}$ X X | 3 |
| Parathyroid gland | + + + + + + + + M + + + + + M + + + + + + + + + M | 45 |
| Pituitary gland | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + $\mathrm{M}_{+}^{+}+$ | 48 |
| Pars distalis, adenoma | X X X X | 8 |
| Pars intermedia, adenoma | X | 1 |
| Thyroid gland Follicular cell, adenoma | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | 50 |

General Body System
Tissue NOS

| Genital System | ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Clitoral gland | ++++++++++++++++++++++++++ |$\quad 48$

Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm (continued)


## Integumentary System



Squamous cell papilloma
Subcutaneous tissue, fibrous
histiocytoma


Subcutaneous tissue, hemangioma x

Subcutaneous tissue, sarcoma, multiple
$x^{x}$
Subcutaneous tissue, schwannoma malignant
$\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}}$

## Musculoskeletal System

Bone


## Nervous System

Brain
Peripheral nerve
Spinal cord

```
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
    +
```

Spinal cord

Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm (continued)


TABLE D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm (continued)


Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 312 ppm (continued)


## Table D2

Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 625 ppm


## General Body System

None

## Genital System



Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 625 ppm (continued)



## General Body System

None

| Genital System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clitoral gland + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ovary + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adenoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Granulosa cell tumor benign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luteoma X X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yolk sac carcinoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uterus Carcinoma Histiocytic sarcoma | $++++++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+++++++++++++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}++\underset{2}{+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table D2

## Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{6 2 5} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)

$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\hline & 3 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ \text { Number of Days on Study } & & 9 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right]$

| Integumentary System |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mammary gland Carcinoma | + + + + + + + + + + + M + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Skin <br> Subcutaneous tissue, sarcoma | $+\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}++++++++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+++++\underset{\mathrm{X}}{+}+++++$ |

## Musculoskeletal System


Osteosarcoma
Skeletal muscle

| Nervous System |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Brain | + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + |
| Peripheral nerve | + $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ |
| Spinal cord | + + |




Lesions in Female Mice

Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{6 2 5} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)


Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 625 ppm (continued)


Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 625 ppm (continued)


TABLE D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: 1,250 ppm


| Alimentary System |
| :--- |
| Esophagus |
| Gallbladder |
| $\quad$ Sarcoma, metastatic, mesentery |
| Intestine large, colon |
| $\quad$ Hemangioma |
| Intestine large, rectum |
| $\quad$ Histiocytic sarcoma |
| Intestine large, cecum |
| Intestine small, duodenum |
| Intestine small, jejunum |
| Intestine small, ileum |
| Liver |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple |
| Hepatocellular adenoma |
| Hepatocellular adenoma, multiple |
| Histiocytic sarcoma |
| Sarcoma, metastatic, mesentery |
| Mesentery |
| Sarcoma |
| Pancreas |
| Sarcoma, metastatic, mesentery |
| Salivary glands |
| Stomach, forestomach |
| Squamous cell papilloma |
| Stomach, glandular |




## General Body System <br> None

TABLE D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)


## General Body System

None

Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


Lesions in Female Mice

Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)


Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)

|  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Days on Study | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
|  | 1 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 9 |  |



| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Urinary System


## Systemic Lesions

Multiple organs $\quad+++++++++++++++++++++++++$
Histiocytic sarcoma
X
Lymphoma malignant Iymphocytic
Lymphoma malignant mixed

## Table D2

Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harderian Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2/52 (4\%) | 6/50 (12\%) | 8/51 (16\%) | 15/50 (30\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.3\% | 17.7\% | 23.7\% | 55.7\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0/37 (0\%) | 3/30 (10\%) | 4/26 (15\%) | 3/11 (27\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 447 | 669 | 557 | 551 |
| Life table test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.105$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.030$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.125$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.040$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $P=0.122$ | $P=0.043$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Harderian Gland: Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/52 (2\%) | $6 / 50$ (12\%) | $5 / 51$ (10\%) | $7 / 50$ (14\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.5\% | 17.6\% | $16.1 \%$ | 25.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 4/30 (13\%) | 3/26 (12\%) | 0/11 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 646 | 627 | 669 | 575 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.043$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.073$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.007$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.095$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.052$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.098$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.033$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.051$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.050$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.098$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.026$ |
| Harderian Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/52 (6\%) | 12/50 (24\%) | 13/51 (25\%) | 19/50 (38\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 6.7\% | 33.3\% | 37.5\% | 64.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 7/30 ( $23 \%$ ) | $7 / 26$ (27\%) | 3/11 (27\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 447 | 627 | 557 | 551 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 16/51 (31\%) | 12/50 (24\%) | $5 / 50$ (10\%) | 16/49 (33\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 39.4\% | 36.8\% | 17.9\% | 74.1 \% |
| Terminal rate | 13/37 (35\%) | 10/30 (33\%) | 4/26 (15\%) | $7 / 11$ (64\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 569 | 627 | 707 | 480 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.458 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.042 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.181$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.305 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.197$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $P=0.490$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.273 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.007 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.531$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 5/51 (10\%) | $8 / 50$ (16\%) | 5/50 (10\%) | 3/49 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 13.5\% | 20.2\% | 17.2\% | 19.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 5/37 (14\%) | 2/30 (7\%) | 4/26 (15\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 579 | 557 | 642 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.411$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.211$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.423$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.345$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.314 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.258$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.588$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.522$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.202 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.264$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.617$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.380 \mathrm{~N}$ |

Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)


Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pancreatic Islets: Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 3/50 (6\%) | 2/49 (4\%) | 2/49 (4\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.1\% | 10.0\% | 6.4\% | 13.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 3/30 (10\%) | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 569 | 743 (T) | 707 | 669 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.164$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.252$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.456$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.274$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.370$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.295$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.477$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.490$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.484$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.301$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.485$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.485$ |
| Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/50 (8\%) | 8/48 (17\%) | 2/48 (4\%) | 5/46 (11\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 10.8\% | 24.0\% | 8.0\% | 21.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 4/37 (11\%) | $5 / 29$ (17\%) | 2/25 (8\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 619 | 743 (T) | 537 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.126$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.098$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.528 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.093$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.493$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.133$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.528 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.421$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.535 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.159$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.359 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.447$ |
| Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/50 (8\%) | $8 / 48$ (17\%) | 3/48 (6\%) | 5/46 (11\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 10.8\% | 24.0\% | 12.0\% | 21.9\% |
| Terminal rate | $4 / 37$ (11\%) | 5/29 (17\%) | 3/25 (12\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 619 | 743 (T) | 537 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.103$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.098$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.603$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.093$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.452$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.133$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.603$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.421$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.553 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.159$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.523 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.447$ |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/52 (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 11/50 (22\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 11.1\% | 38.1\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 1/26 (4\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 696 | 536 | 480 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.466$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.053$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.491$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.058$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.490$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.057$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibrosarcoma or Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/52 (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 12/50 (24\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 11.1\% | 42.8\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 1/26 (4\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 696 | 536 | 480 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.466$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.053$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.491$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.058$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0: 001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.490$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.057$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stomach (Forestomach): Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 52$ (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | $5 / 51$ (10\%) | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 16.6\% | 24.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 3/26 (12\%) | 2/11 (18\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 625 | 639 | 677 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.495$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.017$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.022$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.504$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.029$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.028$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.070$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.490$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.027$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.114$ |
| Thyroid Gland (Follicular Cell): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/51 (6\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 8.1\% | 3.3\% | 10.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/37 (8\%) | 1/30 (3\%) | 2/26 (8\%) | 0/11 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 743 (T) | 709 | - |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.429 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.382 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.509$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.396 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.355 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.382 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.589$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.396 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.137 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.316 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.661 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.125 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Uterus: Stromal Polyp |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $3 / 52$ (6\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 8.1\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/37 (8\%) | 1/30 (3\%) | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/11 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 743 (T) | - | 733 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.487 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.382 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.698$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.448 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.382 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.711 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.207 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.342 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.125 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.324 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Uterus: Stromal Polyp or Stromal Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/52 (6\%) | 2/50 (4\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 8.1\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 18.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/37 (8\%) | 2/30 (7\%) | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/11 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 743 (T) | - | 615 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.206$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.596 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.194$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.361$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.596 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.388$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.584$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.519 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.125 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.642$ |
| All Organs: Hemangiosarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 52$ (0\%) | 0150 (0\%) | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | - | 672 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | - | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.055$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.114$ |

Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## All Organs: Hemangioma or Hemangiosarcoma

Overall rate
Adjusted rate
Terminal rate
First incidence (days)
Life table test
Logistic regression test
Cochran-Armitage test
Fisher exact test

| $1 / 52(2 \%)$ | $2 / 50(4 \%)$ | $0 / 51(0 \%)$ | $5 / 50(10 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2.7 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ |
| $1 / 37(3 \%)$ | $0 / 30(0 \%)$ | $0 / 26(0 \%)$ | $1 / 11(9 \%)$ |
| $743(\mathrm{~T})$ | 635 | - | 649 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.453$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.570 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.484$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.570 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.039$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.041$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.485$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.505 \mathrm{~N}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=0.094$ |  |  |

All Organs: Malignant Lymphoma (Lymphocytic, Mixed, or Undifferentiated Cell Type)

Overall rate
Adjusted rate
Terminal rate
First incidence (days)
Life table test
Logistic regression test
Cochran-Armitage test
Fisher exact test

## All Organs: Histiocytic Sarcoma

## Overall rate

Adjusted rate
Terminal rate
First incidence (days)
Life table test
Logistic regression test
Cochran-Armitage test
Fisher exact test
All Organs: Benign Neoplasms

## Overall rate

Adjusted rate
Terminal rate
First incidence (days)
Life table test
Logistic regression test
Cochran-Armitage test
Fisher exact test
All Organs: Malignant Neoplasms
Overall rate
Adjusted rate
Terminal rate
First incidence (days)
Life table test
Logistic regression test
Cochran-Armitage test
Fisher exact test

| $5 / 52(10 \%)$ | $10 / 50(20 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12.5 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| $4 / 37(11 \%)$ | $5 / 30(17 \%)$ |
| 285 | 386 |
| $P=0.193$ | $P=0.089$ |
| $P=0.334 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.312 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |
|  | $P=0.115$ |


| $9 / 51(18 \%)$ | $4 / 50(8 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $27.6 \%$ | $36.4 \%$ |
| $5 / 26(19 \%)$ | $4 / 11(36 \%)$ |
| 543 | $743(\mathrm{~T})$ |
| $P=0.092$ | $P=0.165$ |
| $P=0.183$ | $P=0.607$ |
| $P=0.184$ | $P=0.525 \mathrm{~N}$ |


| $1 / 52(2 \%)$ | $4 / 50(8 \%)$ | $2 / 51(4 \%)$ | $1 / 50(2 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2.7 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| $1 / 37(3 \%)$ | $2 / 30(7 \%)$ | $1 / 26(4 \%)$ | $0 / 11(0 \%)$ |
| $743(\mathrm{~T})$ | 579 | 726 | 610 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.440$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.140$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.387$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.579$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.517 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.165$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.442$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.739$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.415 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=0.169$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.493$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.743$ |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $29 / 52(56 \%)$ | $29 / 50(58 \%)$ | $29 / 51(57 \%)$ | $34 / 50(68 \%)$ |
| $63.7 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ | $93.9 \%$ |
| $21 / 37(57 \%)$ | $21 / 30(70 \%)$ | $17 / 26(65 \%)$ | $9 / 11(82 \%)$ |
| 285 | 619 | 557 | 480 |
| $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.246$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.146$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.020$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.478$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.536$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.058$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.119$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=0.489$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.535$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.143$ |


| $17 / 52(33 \%)$ | $33 / 50(66 \%)$ | $31 / 51(61 \%)$ | $36 / 50(72 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $40.9 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| $13 / 37(35 \%)$ | $15 / 30(50 \%)$ | $16 / 26(62 \%)$ | $8811(73 \%)$ |
| 285 | 386 | 397 | 456 |
| $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{p p m}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 2} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 5} \mathbf{p p m}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0} \mathbf{p p m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Organs: Benign or Malignant Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $37 / 52(71 \%)$ | $43 / 50(86 \%)$ | $43 / 51(84 \%)$ | $42 / 50(84 \%)$ |
| Adjusted rate | $76.8 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ | $97.6 \%$ |
| Terminal rate | $26 / 37(70 \%)$ | $25 / 30(83 \%)$ | $23 / 26(88 \%)$ | $10 / 11(91 \%)$ |
| First incidence (days) | 285 | 386 | 397 | 456 |
| Life table test | $\mathbf{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.043$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.059$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.055$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.086$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.042$ |
| Cochran-Armitane test | $\mathbf{P}=0.114$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.056$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.085$ |
| Fisher exact test |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.094$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## (T)Terminal sacrifice

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of neoplasm-bearing animals/number of animals examined. Denominator is number of animals examined microscopically for liver, lung, pancreatic islets, thyroid gland, and uterus; for other tissues, denominator is number of animals necropsied.
b Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality
c Observed incidence at terminal kill
d Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the exposed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposed group. The life table test regards neoplasms in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. For all tests, a negative trend or a lower incidence in an exposure group is indicated by $\mathbf{N}$.
e Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

Table D4a
Historical Incidence of Harderian Gland Neoplasms in Untreated Female B6C3F1 Mice ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carconoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $3 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $3 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $3 / 50$ | 0/50 | 3/50 |
| Ethylene Glycol | $2 / 50$ | 0/50 | $2 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | 1/50 | 1/50 | $2 / 50$ |
| o-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 | 1/50 |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 3/50 | 0/50 | 3/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| Roxatsone | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 5/1,470 (3.5\%) | 8/1,470 (0.5\%) | 59/1,470 (4.0\%) |
| Standard deviation | 3.1\% | 0.9\% | 3.1\% |
| Range | 0\%-10\% | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-10\% |

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table D4b
Historical Incidence of Alveolar/bronchiolar Neoplasms in Untreated Female B6C3F $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carconoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $1 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | 3/50 | $1 / 50$ | 4/50 |
| Ethylene Glycol | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| Nitrofurantoin | $2 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $3 / 50$ |
| o-Nitroanisole | $4 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ | $6 / 50$ |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $3 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $3 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $3 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| Rhodamine 6G | 3/50 | 1/50 | $4 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $1 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 89/1,469 (5.6\%) | 30/1,469 (2.0\%) | 110/1,469 (7.5\%) |
| Standard deviation | 4.8\% | 2.2\% | 5.0\% |
| Range | 0\%-24\% | 0\%-8\% | 2\%-26\% |

[^49]Table D4c
Historical Incidence of Subcutaneous Tissue Skin Neoplasms in Untreated Female B6C3F ${ }_{1}$ Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sarcoma | Fibrosarcoma or Sarcoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $1 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| Ethylene Glycol | 1/50 | 1/50 |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| o-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 1/50 | $1 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | $4 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |
| Total | 3/1,470 (0.2\%) | 21/1,470 (1.4\%) |
| Standard deviation | 0.6\% | 2.2\% |
| Range | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-8\% |

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table D4d
Historical Incidence of Forestomach Squamous Cell Papilloma in Untreated Female B6C3F $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Study Incidence in Controls

## Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute

Benzyl Acetate $0 / 50$
C.I. Pigment Red $2300 / 50$
C.I. Pigment Red 3 0/50

Ethylene Glycol 0/50
Nitrofurantoin $\quad 1 / 50$
$\begin{array}{ll}o \text {-Nitroanisole } & 3 / 50\end{array}$
$p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid $\quad 1 / 50$
Polysorbate $80 \quad 0 / 50$
Rhodamine 6G 1/50
Roxarsone 0/50

## Overall Historical Incidence

| Total | $31 / 1,470(2.1 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Standard deviation | $2.9 \%$ |
| Range | $0 \%-14 \%$ |

[^50]Table D4e
Historical Incidence of Mammary Gland Adenoacanthoma and Carcinoma in Untreated Female B6C3F Mice $^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoacanthoma | Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | 0150 | $1 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Ethylene Glycol | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | 5/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | 2/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |
| Total | 0/1,470 (0.0\%) | 22/1,470 (1.5\%) |
| Standard deviation |  | 2.8\% |
| Range |  | 0\%-10\% |

[^51]Table D4f
Historical Incidence of Hemangioma and Hemangiosarcoma in Untreated Female B6C3F Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hemangioma | Hemangiosarcoma | Hemangioma or Heamngiosarcoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $2 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $2 / 50$ | 2/50 | 3/50 |
| Ethylene Glycol | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $1 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ | $3 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $2 / 50$ | 1/50 | 3/50 |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ | $4 / 50$ | 4/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| Rhodamine 6G | 1/50 | $2 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 21/1,470 (1.4\%) | 42/1,470 (2.9\%) | 60/1470 (4.1\%) |
| Standard deviation | 2.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% |
| Range | 0\%-8\% | 0\%-8\% | 0\%-8\% |

[^52]Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disposition Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 8 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Early deaths |  |  |  |  |
| Moribund | 9 | 14 | 14 | 29 |
| Natural deaths | 6 | 6 | 11 | 10 |
| Survivors |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal sacrifice | 37 | 30 | 26 | 11 |
| Animals examined microscopically | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

15-Month Interim Evaluation

## Alimentary System

Esophagus
Mucosa, hyperplasia

| (8) | (10) | (9). | (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
|  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
|  | 1 (10\%) |  | 1 (10\%) |
| 2 (25\%) | 1 (10\%) |  | 1 (10\%) |
|  | (1) | (1) | (2) |
|  |  | 1 (100\%) |  |
|  | $1(100 \%)$ |  | 2 (100\%) |
| (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
|  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
|  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
|  | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) | 1 (10\%) |
| (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
|  |  | 2 (22\%) | 3 (30\%) |
| 1 (13\%) |  | 3 (33\%) | 3 (30\%) |

Basophilic focus
Eosinophilic focus
Inflammation, subacute
Mesentery
Inflammation, chronic
Fat, necrosis
Pancreas
Atrophy
Focal cellular change
Salivary glands
Hyperplasia, lymphoid
Stomach, forestomach
Ulcer
Mucosa, hyperplasia
(8)
(10)
(9)
(10)

2 (20\%)
Endocrine System

| Adrenal cortex | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accessory adrenal cortical nodule |  |  |  | 2 (20\%) |
| Islets, pancreatic | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hyperplasia |  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| Parathyroid gland | (8) | (9) | (9) | (9) |
| Cyst |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Ectopic tissue | 1 (13\%) |  |  | 1 (11\%) |
| Pituitary gland | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Pars distalis, hyperplasia, focal | 1 (13\%) |  |  |  |
| Thyroid gland | (8) | (10) | (9) | (9) |
| Degeneration, cystic |  | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Follicle, cyst |  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| Follicular cell, hyperplasia |  |  |  | 1 (11\%) |

[^53]Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System |  |  |  |  |
| Clitoral gland | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Ectasia | 7 (88\%) | 9 (90\%) | 8 (89\%) | 10 (100\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (13\%) |  |  |  |
| Pigmentation |  |  | 2 (22\%) |  |
| Ovary | (8) | (10) | (9) | (9) |
| Angiectasis |  |  | 1 (11\%) | 1 (11\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (13\%) | 1 (10\%) |  | 1 (11\%) |
| Uterus | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hydrometra |  | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) | 1 (10\%) |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 7 (88\%) | 10 (100\%) | 9 (100\%) | 10 (100\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 2 (25\%) | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) | 1 (10\%) |
| Metaplasia, squamous |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone marrow | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hypercellularity |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Lymph node, mandibular | (8) | (10) |  | (10) |
| Hemorriage |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (13\%) | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| Spleen | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hematopoietic cell proiliferation |  |  | 1 (11\%) | 2 (20\%) |
| Pigmentation, hemosiderin |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Lymphoid follicle, hyperplasia |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | (7) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Inflammation, subacute |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hyperostosis | 1 (13\%) |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Infiltration celluar, histiocyte | 1 (13\%) |  |  |  |
| Thrombosis |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia | 1 (13\%) |  |  |  |
| Nose |  | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Exudate | 1 (13\%) |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |
| Harderian gland | (4) | (5) | (4) | (7) |
| Hyperplasia |  |  | 1 (25\%) | 1 (14\%) |

Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Casts protein | 2 (25\%) | 5 (50\%) | 3 (33\%) | 4 (40\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (13\%) |  | 1 (11\%) | 1 (10\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 2 (20\%) | 2 (22\%) |  |
| Renal tubule, regeneration |  | 1 (10\%) |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Transitional epithelium, hyperplasia |  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| Urinary bladder | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) |  |

Systems Examined With No Lesions Observed

## Cardiovascular System

General Body System
Nervous System

## 2-Year Study

Alimentary System

| Gallbladder | (49) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\quad$ Dilatation |  |
| Intestine large, cecum | $(52)$ |
| $\quad$ Edema | $(6 \%)$ |
| Intestine small, duodenum | $(51)$ |
| $\quad$ Ulcer | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Intestine small, jejunum | $(49)$ |
| $\quad$ Peyer's patch, hyperplasia | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Intestine small, ileum | $(51)$ |
| $\quad$ Amyloid deposition | $(51)$ |
| Liver | $1(2 \%)$ |
| $\quad$ Basophilic focus |  |
| Clear cell focus | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Cyst | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Degeneration, fatty | $4(8 \%)$ |
| Developmental malformation | $9(18 \%)$ |
| Eosinophilic focus | $3(6 \%)$ |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | $5(10 \%)$ |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Inflammation, subacute | $6(12 \%)$ |
| Mixed cell focus | $2(4 \%)$ |
| Centrilobular, necrosis | $4(8 \%)$ |
| Kupffer cell, hyperplasia | $(4)$ |
| Kupffer cell, pigmentation | $4(100 \%)$ |
| Lobules, necrosis |  |
| Mesentery |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |
| Fat, necrosis |  |

(47)
(50)
$1 \quad(2 \%)$
$(50)$
(49)

$(50)$
$1(2 \%)$
$(50)$
$3(6 \%)$
$1(2 \%)$

$1(2 \%)$
$6(12 \%)$
$1(2 \%)$
$2(4 \%)$
$2(4 \%)$
$1(2 \%)$
$4(8 \%)$
$7(14 \%)$
$(6)$
$5(83 \%)$

| (47) | (45) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| (49) | (50) |
| 4 (8\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| (47) | (49) |
| (49) | (47) |
| (47) | (47) |
| (50) | (49) |
| 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| 1 (2\%) |  |
| 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |
|  | 1 (2\%) |
| 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| 4 (8\%) | 15 (31\%) |
| 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| 7 (14\%) | 12 (24\%) |
| 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| 6 (12\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| (7) | (6) |
| 1 (14\%) |  |
| 4 (57\%) | 5 (83\%) |

Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Alimentary System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Pancreas | (51) | (50) | (49) | (48) |
| Atrophy | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Focal cellular change |  |  |  | 4 (8\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 2 (4\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Acinar cell, cytoplasmic alteration | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Salivary glands | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Stomach, forestomach | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Diverticulum |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Ulcer | 5 (10\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Mucosa, hyperkeratosis |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia | 9 (18\%) | $5(10 \%)$ | 13 (25\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Stomach, glandular | (51) | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Ectopic tissue | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Edema | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Erosion | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, subacute | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Mineralization |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Ulcer |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Cardiovascular System |  |  |  |  |
| Blood vessel | (1) |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, subacute | $1(100 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| Heart | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Mineralization |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Thrombosis |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Myocardium, necrosis |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |
| Adrenal cortex | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Accessory adrenal cortical nodule | 5 (10\%) | 6 (12\%) | 6 (12\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Angiectasis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Cyst |  | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Degeneration, fatty |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hypertrophy, focal | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Capsule, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Adrenal medulla | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Islets, pancreatic | (51) | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Hyperplasia | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  | 3 (6\%) |

Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Endocrine System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Parathyroid gland | (44) | (45) | (49) | (47) |
| Cyst | 2 (5\%) | 4 (9\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Pituitary gland | (50) | (48) | (48) | (46) |
| Pars distalis, angiectasis | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Pars distalis, cyst | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Pars distalis, hyperplasia, focal | 8 (16\%) | 4 (8\%) | 5 (10\%) | 8 (17\%) |
| Thyroid gland | (51) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Degeneration, cystic | 12 (24\%) | 6 (12\%) | 13 (25\%) | 14 (28\%) |
| Ectopic thymus |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Follicle, cyst | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Follicular cell, hyperplasia | 13 (25\%) | 15 (30\%) | 9 (18\%) | 2 (4\%) |

## General Body System

None

| Genital System |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clitoral gland | (52) | (48) | (51) | (50) |
| Ectasia | 2 (4\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Ovary | (51) | (49) | (51) | (48) |
| Angiectasis | 9 (18\%) | 5 (10\%) | 17 (33\%) | 11 (23\%) |
| Cyst | 10 (20\%) | 12 (24\%) | 11 (22\%) | 16 (33\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 8 (16\%) | 2 (4\%) | 4 (8\%) | 6 (13\%) |
| Uterus | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Angiectasis | 2 (4\%) | 6 (12\%) | 16 (31\%) | 17 (34\%) |
| Hydrometra | 10 (19\%) | 5 (10\%) | 7 (14\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 46 (88\%) | 41 (82\%) | 43 (84\%) | 45 (90\%) |
| Inflammation, granulomatous |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 9 (17\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Metaplasia, squamous | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) |  |  |

## Hematopoietic System

Bone marrow
Hypercellularity
Myelofibrosis
Necrosis
Lymph node

Bronchial, hyperplasia, lymphoid
Iliac, hematopoietic cell proliferation
Iliac, hyperplasia, lymphoid
Inguinal, hyperplasia, lymphoid
Mediastinal, hyperplasia, lymphoid
Mediastinal, inflammation, suppurative
Pancreatic, hematopoietic cell proliferation
(52)

13 (25\%)
7 ( $13 \%$ )
1 (2\%)
(9)
$1(11 \%)$
1 (11\%)
6 (67\%) 1 (8\%)

2 (22\%)

Pancreatic, hyperplasia, lymphoid
Pancreatic, necrosis
Renal, hyperplasia, lymphoid

1 (8\%)
$(50)$
$15(30 \%)$
$5(10 \%)$
$(12)$

$1(8 \%)$
$1(8 \%)$
(51)
(50)
$15(29 \%$
$6(12 \%$
(6)
(9)

7 (14\%)

1 (11\%)
3 (33\%)

3 (33\%)
1 (11\%)
1 (11\%)
1 (11\%)
1 (8\%)
2 (17\%)
5 (56\%)

Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Lymph node, mandibular | (48) | (46) | (50) | (46) |
| Atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%). |
| Hemorrhage |  |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 5 (10\%) | 6 (13\%) | 8 (16\%) | 11 (24\%) |
| Pigmentation | 6 (13\%) | 5 (11\%) |  | 7 (15\%) |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | (49) | (48) | (46) | (48) |
| Angiectasis | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Hemorthage | 4 (8\%) | 4 (8\%) | 1 (2\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 5 (10\%) | 3 (6\%) | 4 (9\%) | 9 (19\%) |
| Pigmentation |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Spleen | (51) | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 20 (39\%) | 25 (50\%) | 25 (50\%) | 39 (78\%) |
| Hemorrhage |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Pigmentation, hemosiderin | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Lymphoid follicle, atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Lymphoid follicle, hyperplasia | 8 (16\%) | 3 (6\%) | 6 (12\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Red pulp, atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Thymus | (46) | (47) | (46) | (42) |
| Atrophy | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) | 4 (9\%) | 4 (10\%) |
| Ectopic parathyroid gland | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Mammary gland | (52) | (50) | (50) | (49) |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lobular | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Skin | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Acanthosis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Edema |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, subacute |  | $1(2 \%)$ | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Hyperostosis | 1 (2\%) |  | $3(6 \%)$ | 2 (4\%) |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |
| Brain | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Compression |  | 4 (8\%) | $2(4 \%)$ |  |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | $2(4 \%)$ |  |
| Necrosis |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Peripheral nerve | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Atrophy | 1 (100\%) |  |  |  |

Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Congestion |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Foreign body |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemorrhage | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) | 6 (12\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Infiltration cellular, histiocyte | 1 (2\%) | 5 (10\%) | 5 (10\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Inflammation, subacute | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Mineralization |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Thrombosis | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 8 (16\%) | 15 (30\%) |
| Nose | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Exudate | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |
| Eye |  | (2) | (3) | (7) |
| Cataract |  | 2 (100\%) | 1 (33\%) | 2 (29\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  | 1 (50\%) |  | 5 (71\%) |
| Phthisis bulbi |  |  | 2 (67\%) | 1 (14\%) |
| Harderian gland | (18) | (27) | (27) | (33) |
| Hyperplasia | 1 (6\%) | 1 (4\%) | 2 (7\%) |  |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Casts protein | 17 (33\%) | 17 (34\%) | 13 (25\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Glomerulosclerosis | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hydronephrosis |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 9 (18\%) | 9 (18\%) | 5 (10\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Metaplasia, osseous | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Mineralization | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Renal tubule, atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Renal tubule, cytoplasmic alteration | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Renal tubule, dilatation | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Renal tubule, necrosis |  |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Renal tubule, pigmentation | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Renal tubule, regeneration | 7 (14\%) | 10 (20\%) | 11 (22\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Urinary bladder | (51) | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Edema | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Inflammation, subacute |  |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
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## GENETIC TOXICOLOGY

## Salmonella Mutagenicity Test Protocol

Testing was performed as reported by Mortelmans et al. (1986) and Zeiger et al. (1992). 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was sent to the laboratory as a coded aliquot from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX). It was incubated with the Salmonella typhimurium tester strains (TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537) either in buffer or S9 mix (metabolic activation enzymes and cofactors from Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver) for 20 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Top agar supplemented with $l$-histidine and $d$-biotin was added, and the contents of the tubes were mixed and poured onto the surfaces of minimal glucose agar plates. Histidine-independent mutant colonies arising on these plates were counted following incubation for 2 days at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Each trial consisted of triplicate plates of concurrent positive and negative controls and at least five doses of 2,2 -bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol. The high dose was limited by toxicity in the second study. Because toxicity was not a limiting factor in the first study, $10,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ plate was selected as the high dose. All positive assays were repeated under the conditions which elicited the positive response.

In this assay, a positive response is defined as a reproducible, dose-related increase in histidineindependent (revertant) colonies in any one strain/activation combination. An equivocal response is defined as an increase in revertants that is not dose related, not reproducible, or is of insufficient magnitude to support a determination of mutagenicity. A negative response is obtained when no increase in revertant colonies is observed following chemical treatment. There was no minimum percentage or fold increase required for a chemical to be judged positive or weakly positive.

## Chinese Hamster Ovary Cell Cytogenetics Protocols

Testing was performed as reported by Galloway et al. (1987). 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was sent to the laboratory as a coded aliquot by Radian Corporation. It was tested in cultured Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells for induction of sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) and chromosomal aberrations (Abs), both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat liver S9 and cofactor mix. Cultures were handled under gold lights to prevent photolysis of bromodeoxyuridine-substituted DNA. Each test consisted of concurrent solvent and positive controls and of at least three doses of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol; the high dose was limited by toxicity. A single flask per dose was used, and tests yielding equivocal or positive results were repeated.

Sister Chromatid Exchange Test: In the SCE test without S9, CHO cells were incubated for 26.3 hours with 2,2-bis(bromométhyl)-1,3-propanediol in McCoy's 5A medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum, $l$-glutamine, and antibiotics. Bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) was added 2 hours after culture initiation. After 26.3 hours, the medium containing 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was removed and replaced with fresh medium plus BrdU and Colcemid, and incubation was continued for 2 hours. Cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Hoechst 33258 and Giemsa. In the SCE test with S9, cells were incubated with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, serum-free medium, and S9 for 2 hours. The medium was then removed and replaced with medium containing serum and BrdU and no 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, and incubation proceeded for an additional 25.5 hours, with Colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Harvesting and staining were the same as for cells treated without S9. All slides were scored blind and those from a single test were read by the same person. Generally, fifty second-division metaphase cells were scored for frequency of SCEs/cell from each dose level. Because significant chemical-induced cell cycle delay was seen in the test without S9, incubation time was
lengthened at the 167 and $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose levels to ensure a sufficient number of scorable (second-division metaphase) cells.

Statistical analyses were conducted on the slopes of the dose-response curves and the individual dose points (Galloway et al., 1987). An SCE frequency $20 \%$ above the concurrent solvent control value was chosen as a statistically conservative positive response. The probability of this level of difference occurring by chance at one dose point is less than 0.01 ; the probability for such a chance occurrence at two dose points is less than 0.001 . An increase of $20 \%$ or greater at any single dose was considered weak evidence of activity; increases at two or more doses resulted in a determination that the trial was positive. A statistically significant trend $(P<0.05)$ in the absence of any responses reaching $20 \%$ above background led to a call of equivocal.

Chromosomal Aberrations Test: In the Abs test without S9, cells were incubated in McCoy's 5A medium with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol for 18.5 hours; Colcemid was added and incubation continued for 2 hours. The cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Giemsa. For the Abs test with S9, cells were treated with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol and S9 for 2 hours, after which the treatment medium was removed and the cells were incubated for 8.5 hours in fresh medium, with Colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Cells were harvested in the same manner as for the treatment without S9. The harvest time for the Abs test was based on the cell cycle information obtained in the SCE test. Because cell cycle delay was anticipated in the test conducted without $S 9$, the incubation period was extended approximately 10 to 12 hours.

Cells were selected for scoring on the basis of good morphology and completeness of karyotype ( $21 \pm 2$ chromosomes). All slides were scored blind and those from a single test were read by the same person. Generally, 100 first-division metaphase cells were scored at each dose level. Classes of aberrations included simple (breaks and terminal deletions), complex (rearrangements and translocations), and other (pulverized cells, despiralized chromosomes, and cells containing 10 or more aberrations).

Chromosomal aberration data are presented as percentage of cells with aberrations. To arrive at a statistical call for a trial, analyses were conducted on both the dose response curve and individual dose points. For a single trial, a statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) difference for one dose point and a significant trend ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.015$ ) were considered weak evidence for a positive response; significant differences for two or more doses indicated the trial was positive. A positive trend test in the absence of a statistically significant increase at any one dose led to an equivocal call (Galloway et al., 1987). Ultimately, the trial calls were based on a consideration of the statistical analyses as well as the biological information available to the reviewers.

## Mouse Bone Marrow Micronucleus Test Protocols

Two bone marrow studies were performed. The first employed a 3-dose gavage protocol, with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol administered at 24-hour intervals followed by bone marrow sampling 24 hours after the third dosing. The second study used a single intraperitoneal injection followed by bone marrow sampling 48 hours after dosing. In the first study, male $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice were administered 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in corn oil by gavage three times at 24 -hour intervals. Solvent control animals were administered corn oil alone, and the positive control mice received injections of 12.5 mg dimethylbenzanthracene per kg body weight. In the second study, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was administered to male and female $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice by a single intraperitoneal injection. The solvent control mice were again administered corn oil and the positive control mice were administered urethane ( $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). In both studies, smears of the bone marrow cells obtained from the femurs were prepared, air-dried, fixed, and stained. In the gavage study, 2,000 polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs)
were scored for frequency of micronucleated cells in each of 5 animals per dose group. In the injection study, 3 or 4 animals were available for micronucleus analysis in each dose group, and 1,000 PCEs were scored per animal. The results were tabulated as the mean of the pooled results from all animals within a treatment group, plus or minus the standard error of the mean. For the three-treatment gavage study, the frequency of micronucleated cells among PCEs was analyzed by a statistical software package (ILS, 1990) which employed a one-tailed trend test across dose groups and a $t$-test for pairwise comparisons of each dose group to the concurrent control. Data from the single injection micronucleus test were analyzed by the Cochran-Armitage trend test and pairwise comparisons of dose groups to the corresponding negative controls were made using a $t$-test.

## Mouse Peripheral Blood Micronucleus Test Protocol

A detailed discussion of this assay is presented in MacGregor et al. (1990). Peripheral blood samples were obtained from male and female $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice at the end of the 13 -week toxicity study. Smears were immediately prepared and fixed in absolute methanol. The methanol-fixed slides were sent to the USDA Western Regional Research Center in Albany, CA, where they were stained with a chromatin-specific fluorescent dye mixture of Hoechst $33258 /$ pyronin Y (MacGregor et al., 1983), and coded. Slides were scanned at 630 or $1,000 \times$ magnification using a semi-automated image analysis system to determine the frequency of micronuclei in 10,000 normochromatic erythrocytes (NCEs) in as many as 10 animals per dose group. The criteria of Schmid (1976) were used to define micronuclei, with the additional requirement that the micronuclei exhibit the characteristic fluorescent emissions of DNA (blue with 360 nm and orange with 540 nm UV illumination); the minimum size limit was approximately onetwentieth the diameter of the NCE cell.

Log transformation of the NCE data, testing for normality by the Shapiro-Wilk test, and testing for heterogeneity of variance by Cochran's test were performed before statistical analyses. The frequency of micronucleated cells among NCEs was analyzed by analysis of variance using the SAS GLM procedure. The NCE data for each dose group were compared with the concurrent solvent control using a Student's $t$-test.

## Results

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was shown to be mutagenic in vitro and in vivo, but the conditions required to observe the positive responses were highly specific, and 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was not active in all assays. In the two Salmonella assays reported here (Table E1), 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol gave a positive response only in the second assay (Zeiger et al., 1992), which used a different concentration of S9 than the first assay (Mortelmans et al., 1986). Metabolic activation, specifically in the form of $30 \%$ Aroclor 1254 -induced male Syrian hamster liver S 9 , was required to obtain the mutagenic response; $10 \%$ hamster S 9 was ineffective, as was $10 \%$ or $30 \% \mathrm{~S} 9$ derived from livers of pretreated rats. No other Salmonella strain/activation combination was responsive to the effects of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol.

In cytogenetic tests with CHO cells (Galloway et al., 1987), 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol did not induce SCEs, with or without S9 (Table E2), but a dose-related increase in Abs was observed in CHO cells treated in the presence of induced rat liver S 9 (Table E3). Both tests were conducted up to doses which induced marked cytotoxicity; cell confluence in the SCE test was reduced $75 \%$ at the top dose tested with $\mathrm{S} 9(1,200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$. A majority of the breaks which were observed in the aberration assay were located in the heterochromatic region of the long arm of the $X$ chromosome. The reason for this preferential breakage site is not known. Also, the type of damage pattern seen with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-

1,3-propanediol (induction of chromosomal aberrations but not sister chromatid exchanges) is unusual. Most chemicals which induce Abs also induce SCEs (Galloway et al., 1987).

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was also shown to be genotoxic in vivo. Significant increases in micronucleated normochromatic erythrocytes were observed in peripheral blood samples obtained from male and female mice exposed for 13 weeks to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed (Table E6). These increases were observed in the two highest dose groups of male mice ( 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and the three highest dose groups of female mice ( 2,500 to $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).

In the first of two mouse bone marrow micronucleus tests performed to confirm the positive results seen in the 13 -week feed study, inconsistent results were obtained between two trials which used the same dose range of 100 to $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, administered by gavage three times at 24-hour intervals (Table E4). Results of the first trial were negative; however, in the second trial, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol produced a clear, dose-related increase in micronucleated PCEs. Because the positive response was not reproduced, the results were concluded to be equivocal.

In an attempt to clarify the results obtained in the first bone marrow micronucleus test, a second investigation was performed using both male and female mice. 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was administered as a single intraperitoneal injection ( 150 to $600 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and bone marrow samples were taken 48 hours after dosing. The results of this experiment, shown in Table E5, provide evidence of the ability of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol to induce micronuclei in bone marrow cells of female mice. Although male mice in all three dose groups showed a two-fold increase in the frequency of micronucleated PCEs, the trend test was not significant due to the similarity in the responses, and pairwise analyses were also insignificant. The response in female mice was somewhat stronger ( 2.5 -fold increase over background, at the highest dose) and was directly related to increasing doses of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol. These results were consistent with the stronger response observed in female mice in the 13 -week feed study (Table E4).

In conclusion, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was genotoxic in vitro and in vivo, inducing gene mutations in Salmonella strain TA100, chromosomal aberrations in Chinese hamster ovary cells, and micronuclei in erythrocytes of male and female mice. The in vitro responses required S 9.

Table E1
Mutagenicity of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in Salmonella typhimurium ${ }^{\text {a }}$


Table E1
Mutagenicity of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in Salmonella typhimurium (continued)

| Strain | Dose ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{plate}$ ) | Revertants/plate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -S9 |  | $+30 \%$ hamster S 9 |  | +30\% rat S9 |
|  |  | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 1 | Trial 2 |  |
| Study performed at SRI, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TA100 | 0 | $159 \pm 3.5$ | $161 \pm 11.1$ | $151 \pm 4.7$ | $160 \pm 10.2$ | $170 \pm 9.0$ |
|  | 10 |  | $149 \pm 11.8$ |  |  |  |
|  | 33 |  | $164 \pm 13.5$ |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | $152 \pm 7.0$ | $150 \pm 10.4$ | $156 \pm 8.1$ | $172 \pm 11.5$ | $154 \pm 10.1$ |
|  | 333 | $161 \pm 12.7$ | $154 \pm 5.4$ | $233 \pm 15.6$ | $225 \pm 17.5$ | $154 \pm 3.5$ |
|  | 1,000 | $154 \pm 5.8$ | $188 \pm 4.2$ | $335 \pm 11.9$ | $364 \pm 21.4$ | $157 \pm 5.8$ |
|  | 1,666 |  |  |  | $414 \pm 32.8$ |  |
|  | 3,333 | $0 \pm 0.0^{\text {d }}$ |  | $533 \pm 14.9$ | $502 \pm 32.4$ | $171 \pm 5.5$ |
|  | 6,666 |  |  | $477 \pm 39.8$ |  | $173 \pm 8.1$ |
| Trial summary Positive control |  | Negative | Negative | Positive | Positive | Negative |
|  |  | $503 \pm 5.2$ | $1,132 \pm 62.5$ | $812 \pm 50.9$ | $845 \pm 18.8$ | $529 \pm 7.9$ |
| Revertants/plate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strain | Dose ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{plate}$ ) | -S9 |  | + 30\% S9 |  |  |
|  |  | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | hamster | rat |  |
| TA98 | 0 | $28 \pm 2.2$ | $32 \pm 6.1$ | $35 \pm 2.7$ | $43 \pm 3.5$ |  |
|  | 10 |  | $32 \pm 4.7$ |  |  |  |
|  | 33 |  | $41 \pm 5.5$ |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | $30 \pm 3.5$ | $32 \pm 0.3$ | $36 \pm 3.5$ | $46 \pm 4.5$ |  |
|  | 333 | $35 \pm 2.9$ | $29 \pm 0.6$ | $34 \pm 2.9$ | $44 \pm 6.1$ |  |
|  | 1,000 | $27 \pm 3.3$ | $44 \pm 4.7$ | $30 \pm 1.8$ | $50 \pm 5.8$ |  |
|  | 3,333 | $23 \pm 3.4^{\text {d }}$ |  | $39 \pm 3.8$ | $31 \pm 3.8$ |  |
|  | 6,666 | toxic |  | $29 \pm 3.5$ | $40 \pm 1.5$ |  |
| Trial summary Positive control |  | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |  |
|  |  | $677 \pm 20.6$ | $464 \pm 26.2$ | $770 \pm 11.3$ | $168 \pm 3.5$ |  |

[^54]Table E2
Induction of Sister Chromatid Exchanges in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells by 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Compound | Dose ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Total Cells | No. of Chromosomes | No. of SCEs | SCEs/ <br> Chromosome | $\begin{gathered} \text { SCEs/ } \\ \text { Cell } \end{gathered}$ | Hrs <br> in BrdU | Relative Change of SCEs/ Chromosome ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -S9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethylsulfoxide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50 | 1,038 | 496 | 0.47 | 9.9 | 26.3 |  |
| Mitomycin-C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.005 | 25 | 519 | 692 | 1.33 | 27.7 | 26.3 | 179.03 |
| 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.7 | 50 | 1,041 | 485 | 0.46 | 9.7 | 26.3 | -2.50 |
|  | 50 | 50 | 1,042 | 498 | 0.47 | 10.0 | 26.3 | 0.02 |
|  | 167 | 50 | 1,050 | 545 | 0.51 | 10.9 | $33.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8.62 |
|  | 500 | 0 |  |  |  |  | $33.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.077^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
| +S9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary: Equivocal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethylsulfoxide 50  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.5 | 25 | 523 | 840 | 1.60 | 33.6 | 25.5 | 240.00 |
| 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 800 | 50 | 1,048 | 556 | 0.53 | 11.1 | 25.5 | 12.31 |
|  | 1,000 | 50 | 1,047 | 590 | 0.56 | 11.8 | 25.5 | 19.29 |
|  | 1,200 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 50 | 1,046 | 574 | 0.54 | 11.5 | 25.5 | 16.17 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^55]TABLE E3
Induction of Chromosomal Aberrations in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells by 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  | -S9 |  |  |  |  | + S9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Dose } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}) \end{gathered}$ | Total Cells | No. of Abs | Abs/ Cell | Cells with Abs (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dose } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}) \end{gathered}$ | Total Cells | No. of Abs | Abs/ Cell | Cells with Abs (\%) |
| Harvest time: 20.5 hours ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  | Harvest time: 10.5 hours |  |  |  |  |
| Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  | Summary: Positive |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethylsulfoxide |  |  |  |  | Dimethylsulfoxide |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 2 | 0.02 | 2.0 |  | 100 | 5 | 0.05 | 5.0 |
| Mitomycin-C |  |  |  |  | Cyclophosphamide |  |  |  |  |
| 0.062 | 50 | 10 | 0.20 | 16.0 | 50 | 50 | 19 | 0.38 | 28.0 |
| 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol |  |  |  |  | 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol |  |  |  |  |
| 400 | 100 | 1 | 0.01 | 1.0 | 600 | 100 | 8 | 0.08 | 4.0 |
| 500 | 100 | 2 | 0.02 | 2.0 | 800 | 100 | 24 | 0.24 | 22.0* |
| 600 | 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1,000 | 100 | 17 | 0.17 | 16.0* |
| 700 | 0 |  |  |  | 1,200 | 0 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.833^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{P} \leq 0.001$ |

[^56]Table E4
Frequency of Micronuclei in Bone Marrow Cells of Male Mice
Treated with 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol by Gavage ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Dose $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})^{\mathrm{b}} \quad$ Micronucleated Cells/1,000 PCEs ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$

Trial 1 - Negative
Dimethylbenzanthracene ${ }^{\text {d }}$
12.5

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| 0 | $1.4 \pm 0.6$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 100 | $0.7 \pm 0.4$ |
| 200 | $2.5 \pm 0.5$ |
| 300 | $2.0 \pm 0.7$ |
| $400^{e}$ | $1.2 \pm 1.2$ |
|  | $P=0.220^{f}$ |

Trial 2 - Positive
Dimethylbenzanthracene

$$
12.5
$$

$$
7.8 \pm 1.3
$$

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| 0 | $1.5 \pm 0.5$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 100 | $2.3 \pm 0.3$ |
| 200 | $2.6 \pm 0.7$ |
| 400 | $4.8 \pm 1.2^{*}$ |
|  | $P=0.000$ |

[^57]Table E5
Frequency of Micronuclei in Bone Marrow Cells of Mice
Treated with 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol by Intraperitoneal Injection ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Dose $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Number of Mice |
| :--- | :--- |

Male
Urethane ${ }^{\text {d }}$
200
3
$16.4 \pm 2.2$
2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| 0 | 4 | $1.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 150 | 4 | $3.2 \pm 0.8^{*}$ |
| 300 | 4 | $3.0 \pm 0.7^{*}$ |
| 600 | 3 | $3.0 \pm 1.0^{*}$ |
|  |  | $P=0.150^{e}$ |

Female
Urethane

200
4
$12.1 \pm 0.9$

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| 0 | 4 | $2.0 \pm 0.4$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 150 | 4 | $2.7 \pm 1.1$ |
| 300 | 3 | $3.6 \pm 0.9^{*}$ |
| 600 | 4 | $5.2 \pm 0.5^{*}$ |
|  |  | $P=0.003$ |

* Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.008$ ) from control
a One thousand PCEs scored per animal. 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was administered by intraperitoneal injection, and bone marrow was sampled 48 hours later.
b $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose is corn oil control.
c Data presented as mean $\pm$ standard error; PCE $=$ polychromatic erythrocyte
d Positive control
e Trend test

Table E6
Frequency of Micronucleated Normochromatic Erythrocytes in Mouse Peripheral Blood Following Treatment with 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in Feed for 13 Weeks ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Dose (ppm) | Micronucleated NCEs/1,000 Cells ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Number of Mice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |
| 0 | $2.36 \pm 0.17$ | 10 |
| 625 | $2.28 \pm 0.29$ | 8 |
| 1,250 | $2.55 \pm 0.18$ | 10 |
| 2,500 | $2.98 \pm 0.21$ | 10 |
| 5,000 | $3.80 \pm 0.19^{\text {c }}$ | 10 |
| 10,000 | $9.30 \pm 1.26^{\text {c }}$ | 7 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Female |  |  |
| 0 | $1.46 \pm 0.26$ | 9 |
| 625 | $1.86 \pm 0.30$ | 9 |
| 1,250 | $1.86 \pm 0.22$ | 9 |
| 2,500 | $2.72 \pm 0.32^{\text {c }}$ | 9 |
| 5,000 | $4.26 \pm 0.47^{\text {c }}$ | 9 |
| 10,000 | $11.81 \pm 0.54^{\text {c }}$ | 9 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |

[^58]
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Table F1
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Necropsy body wt | $334 \pm 6$ | $336 \pm 5$ | $317 \pm 4$ | $308 \pm 10^{*}$ | $299 \pm 8^{* *}$ | $255 \pm 7^{* *}$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $2.037 \pm 0.019$ | $2.010 \pm 0.023$ | $2.028 \pm 0.022$ | $2.018 \pm 0.023$ | $1.981 \pm 0.012$ | $1.948 \pm 0.016 * *$ |
| Relative | $6.13 \pm 0.12$ | $6.00 \pm 0.11$ | $6.41 \pm 0.06$ | $6.60 \pm 0.16^{*}$ | $6.66 \pm 0.15^{* *}$ | $7.69 \pm 0.16^{* *}$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.214 \pm 0.035$ | $1.225 \pm 0.043$ | $1.196 \pm 0.025$ | $1.202 \pm 0.037$ | $1.182 \pm 0.053$ | $1.152 \pm 0.048$ |
| Relative | $3.65 \pm 0.12$ | $3.65 \pm 0.13$ | $3.78 \pm 0.06$ | $3.93 \pm 0.17$ | $3.95 \pm 0.13$ | $4.50 \pm 0.21^{*}$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.224 \pm 0.027$ | $1.251 \pm 0.029$ | $1.234 \pm 0.014$ | $1.227 \pm 0.038$ | $1.240 \pm 0.024$ | $1.173 \pm 0.028$ |
| Relative | $3.68 \pm 0.09$ | $3.73 \pm 0.06$ | $3.90 \pm 0.06$ | $3.99 \pm 0.09 *$ | $4.16 \pm 0.11^{* *}$ | $4.62 \pm 0.12^{* *}$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $12.534 \pm 0.167$ | $12.106 \pm 0.375$ | $12.071 \pm 0.258$ | $12.206 \pm 0.524$ | $13.200 \pm 0.231$ | $12.322 \pm 0.300$ |
| Relative | $37.64 \pm 0.48$ | $36.03 \pm 0.69$ | $38.18 \pm 0.90$ | $39.61 \pm 0.98$ | $44.32 \pm 0.99 * *$ | $48.49 \pm 1.08^{* *}$ |
| Lungs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.660 \pm 0.082$ | $1.856 \pm 0.059$ | $1.663 \pm 0.088$ | $1.523 \pm 0.038$ | $1.630 \pm 0.042$ | $1.376 \pm 0.048 * *$ |
| Relative | $4.97 \pm 0.21$ | $5.55 \pm 0.22$ | $5.25 \pm 0.26$ | $4.98 \pm 0.16$ | $5.49 \pm 0.22$ | $5.45 \pm 0.26$ |
| Spleen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.736 \pm 0.015$ | $0.745 \pm 0.015$ | $0.701 \pm 0.014$ | $0.689 \pm 0.019$ | $0.718 \pm 0.013$ | $0.620 \pm 0.012 * *$ |
| Relative | $2.21 \pm 0.04$ | $2.22 \pm 0.04$ | $2.21 \pm 0.03$ | $2.25 \pm 0.05$ | $2.41 \pm 0.06$ ** | $2.43 \pm 0.06$ ** |
| R. Testis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.492 \pm 0.027$ | $1.458 \pm 0.038^{\text {b }}$ | $1.503 \pm 0.019^{\text {b }}$ | $1.443 \pm 0.035$ | $1.411 \pm 0.038$ | $1.360 \pm 0.036^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $4.49 \pm 0.12$ | $4.36 \pm 0.09^{\text {b }}$ | $4.76 \pm 0.07^{6}$ | $4.71 \pm 0.14$ | $4.74 \pm 0.13$ | $5.31 \pm 0.15^{* *}$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.319 \pm 0.010$ | $0.335 \pm 0.013$ | $0.317 \pm 0.024$ | $0.286 \pm 0.014$ | $0.270 \pm 0.019$ | $0.251 \pm 0.019 * *$ |
| Relative | $0.96 \pm 0.02$ | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $0.94 \pm 0.05$ | $0.90 \pm 0.06$ | $0.96 \pm 0.08$ |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Necropsy body wt | $200 \pm 6$ | $192 \pm 3$ | $189 \pm 2$ | $184 \pm 3^{* *}$ | $174 \pm$ 6** | $163 \pm 2^{* *}$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.912 \pm 0.022$ | $1.899 \pm 0.013$ | $1.838 \pm 0.018^{*}$ | $1.856 \pm 0.018$ | $1.888 \pm 0.017$ | $1.861 \pm 0.015$ |
| Relative | $9.65 \pm 0.29$ | $9.90 \pm 0.12$ | $9.73 \pm 0.10$ | $10.09 \pm 0.12$ | $11.01 \pm 0.46$ ** | $11.43 \pm 0.19^{* *}$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.836 \pm 0.018$ | $0.796 \pm 0.029$ | $0.781 \pm 0.018$ | $0.788 \pm 0.023$ | $0.793 \pm 0.023$ | $0.748 \pm 0.029$ |
| Relative | $4.20 \pm 0.10$ | $4.14 \pm 0.14$ | $4.13 \pm 0.10$ | $4.29 \pm 0.14$ | $4.62 \pm 0.22$ | $4.59 \pm 0.17$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.772 \pm 0.022$ | $0.757 \pm 0.017$ | $0.728 \pm 0.019$ | $0.749 \pm 0.022$ | $0.728 \pm 0.014$ | $0.710 \pm 0.017$ |
| Relative | $3.88 \pm 0.10$ | $3.94 \pm 0.07$ | $3.85 \pm 0.09$ | $4.06 \pm 0.09$ | $4.25 \pm 0.20^{*}$ | $4.35 \pm 0.07$ ** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $6.891 \pm 0.209$ | $6.567 \pm 0.147$ | $6.470 \pm 0.204$ | $6.679 \pm 0.135$ | $6.253 \pm 0.120^{* *}$ | $6.317 \pm 0.044^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $34.58 \pm 0.80$ | $34.21 \pm 0.66$ | $34.20 \pm 0.92$ | $36.25 \pm 0.40$ | $36.39 \pm 1.41$ | $38.81 \pm 0.66^{* *}$ |
| Lungs $\quad 1.159 \pm 0.050 \quad 1.027 \pm 0.018 \quad 1.213 \pm 0.037 \quad 1.060+0.022-075+0.037$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.142 \pm 0.039$ | $1.159 \pm 0.050$ | $1.027 \pm 0.018$ | $1.213 \pm 0.037$ | $1.060 \pm 0.022$ | $1.075 \pm 0.037$ |
| Relative | $5.75 \pm 0.21$ | $6.03 \pm 0.22$ | $5.44 \pm 0.10$ | $6.60 \pm 0.23$ | $6.18 \pm 0.29$ | $6.60 \pm 0.24^{*}$ |
| Spleen $0.516 \pm 0.013$ - $0.520 \pm 0.0060 .524 \pm 0.010-517 \pm 0.007$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.519 \pm 0.013$ | $0.516 \pm 0.013$ | $0.520 \pm 0.006$ | $0.524 \pm 0.010$ | $0.517 \pm 0.007$ | $0.509 \pm 0.007$ |
| Relative | $2.62 \pm 0.10$ | $2.69 \pm 0.06$ | $2.75 \pm 0.03$ | $2.85 \pm 0.06 *$ | $3.01 \pm 0.12 * *$ | $3.13 \pm 0.07^{* *}$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.279 \pm 0.009$ | $0.275 \pm 0.018$ | $0.267 \pm 0.016$ | $0.259 \pm 0.019$ | $0.248 \pm 0.012$ | $0.239 \pm 0.009$ |
| Relative | $1.40 \pm 0.06$ | $1.43 \pm 0.09$ | $1.41 \pm 0.09$ | $1.40 \pm 0.08$ | $1.45 \pm 0.10$ | $1.47 \pm 0.06$ |

Table F1
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 9 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 10 |
| Necropsy body wt | $323 \pm 5$ | $327 \pm 5$ | $320 \pm 3$ | $326 \pm 4$ | $302 \pm 8 * *$ | $249 \pm 3^{* *}$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.976 \pm 0.023$ | $1.994 \pm 0.021$ | $1.978 \pm 0.036$ | $2.011 \pm 0.037$ | $1.976 \pm 0.024$ | $1.941 \pm 0.024$ |
| Relative | $6.13 \pm 0.05$ | $6.11 \pm 0.13$ | $6.18 \pm 0.11$ | $6.17 \pm 0.09$ | $6.59 \pm 0.22 *$ | $7.80 \pm 0.12 * *$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.113 \pm 0.034$ | $1.201 \pm 0.059$ | $1.171 \pm 0.033$ | $1.139 \pm 0.039$ | $1.068 \pm 0.029$ | $0.985 \pm 0.024 *$ |
| Relative | $3.45 \pm 0.09$ | $3.69 \pm 0.22$ | $3.66 \pm 0.09$ | $3.49 \pm 0.09$ | $3.56 \pm 0.13$ | $3.96 \pm 0.1{ }^{*}$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.353 \pm 0.114$ | $1.359 \pm 0.032$ | $1.360 \pm 0.108$ | $1.355 \pm 0.046$ | $1.291 \pm 0.034$ | $1.247 \pm 0.017$ |
| Relative | $4.20 \pm 0.36$ | $4.16 \pm 0.08$ | $4.26 \pm 0.36$ | $4.15 \pm 0.10$ | $4.29 \pm 0.13$ | $5.01 \pm 0.07 *$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $13.691 \pm 0.625$ | $15.016 \pm 0.646$ | $14.283 \pm 0.667$ | $15.543 \pm 0.634$ | $15.860 \pm 0.638$ | $13.315 \pm 0.459$ |
| Relative | $42.30 \pm 1.37$ | $45.85 \pm 1.60$ | $44.58 \pm 1.98$ | $47.68 \pm 1.70$ | $52.81 \pm 2.39 * *$ | $53.46 \pm 1.69 * *$ |
| Lung |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $2.201 \pm 0.300$ | $1.959 \pm 0.169^{\text {c }}$ | $1.681 \pm 0.083^{*}$ | $1.755 \pm 0.070^{*}$ | $1.581 \pm 0.060 * *$ | $1.442 \pm 0.034 * *$ |
| Relative | $6.83 \pm 0.94$ | $6.00 \pm 0.50^{\text {c }}$ | $5.25 \pm 0.26$ | $5.39 \pm 0.21$ | $5.26 \pm 0.20$ | $5.79 \pm 0.08$ |
| Spleen 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.668 \pm 0.024$ | $0.693 \pm 0.017$ | $0.699 \pm 0.011$ | $0.738 \pm 0.016^{*}$ | $0.687 \pm 0.014$ | $0.590 \pm 0.014^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $2.07 \pm 0.06$ | $2.12 \pm 0.04$ | $2.18 \pm 0.03$ | $2.27 \pm 0.05^{*}$ | $2.29 \pm 0.09 * *$ | $2.37 \pm 0.03^{* *}$ |
| R. Testis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.471 \pm 0.020$ | $1.449 \pm 0.018$ | $1.484 \pm 0.017$ | $1.470 \pm 0.026$ | $1.429 \pm 0.018$ | $1.405 \pm 0.035$ |
| Relative | $4.57 \pm 0.09$ | $4.44 \pm 0.07$ | $4.64 \pm 0.06$ | $4.51 \pm 0.07$ | $4.76 \pm 0.12$ | $5.64 \pm 0.14^{* *}$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.304 \pm 0.023$ | $0.328 \pm 0.025$ | $0.291 \pm 0.032$ | $0.280 \pm 0.017$ | $0.313 \pm 0.023$ | $0.261 \pm 0.017$ |
| Relative | $0.95 \pm 0.07$ | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $0.91 \pm 0.10$ | $0.86 \pm 0.05$ | $1.04 \pm 0.07$ | $1.05 \pm 0.06$ |
| Fernale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Necropsy body wt | $205 \pm 2$ | $204 \pm 3$ | $199 \pm 2$ | $194 \pm 3^{* *}$ | $193 \pm 3 * *$ | $170 \pm 2^{* *}$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.852 \pm 0.023$ | $1.858 \pm 0.020$ | $1.876 \pm 0.010$ | $1.845 \pm 0.024$ | $1.876 \pm 0.028$ | $1.808 \pm 0.025$ |
| Relative | $9.05 \pm 0.08$ | $9.14 \pm 0.14$ | $9.44 \pm 0.09$ | $9.50 \pm 0.1{ }^{*}$ | $9.73 \pm 0.13^{* *}$ | $10.64 \pm 0.20^{* *}$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.788 \pm 0.022$ | $0.745 \pm 0.021$ | $0.786 \pm 0.024$ | $0.783 \pm 0.029$ | $0.766 \pm 0.030$ | $0.692 \pm 0.021 *$ |
| Relative | $3.85 \pm 0.10$ | $3.67 \pm 0.11$ | $3.95 \pm 0.13$ | $4.04 \pm 0.15$ | $3.97 \pm 0.14$ | $4.07 \pm 0.14$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.874 \pm 0.019$ | $0.850 \pm 0.013$ | 0.867. $\pm 0.016$ | $0.813 \pm 0.013^{*}$ | $0.850 \pm 0.015$ | $0.816 \pm 0.014 *$ |
| Relative | $4.27 \pm 0.08$ | $4.18 \pm 0.08$ | $4.36 \pm 0.06$ | $4.18 \pm 0.05$ | $4.41 \pm 0.04$ | $4.79 \pm 0.06 * *$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $8.255 \pm 0.328$ | $8.357 \pm 0.329$ | $8.053 \pm 0.223$ | $7.813 \pm 0.160$ | $8.054 \pm 0.225$ | $7.506 \pm 0.201$ |
| Relative | $40.31 \pm 1.40$ | $41.09 \pm 1.61$ | $40.49 \pm 1.14$ | $40.29 \pm 0.99$ | $41.79 \pm 1.24$ | $44.18 \pm 1.39$ |
| Lung |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.348 \pm 0.023$ | $1.335 \pm 0.043$ | $1.367 \pm 0.055$ | $1.250 \pm 0.068$ | $1.165 \pm 0.035 *$ | $1.211 \pm 0.036^{*}$ |
| Relative | $6.59 \pm 0.14$ | $6.55 \pm 0.14$ | $6.87 \pm 0.27$ | $6.44 \pm 0.37$ | $6.04 \pm 0.15$ | $7.12 \pm 0.19$ |
| Spleen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.534 \pm 0.011$ | $0.534 \pm 0.014$ | $0.545 \pm 0.010$ | $0.541 \pm 0.011$ | $0.527 \pm 0.011$ | $0.486 \pm 0.012 * *$ |
| Relative | $2.61 \pm 0.03$ | $2.62 \pm 0.05$ | $2.74 \pm 0.04$ | $2.79 \pm 0.06$ | $2.74 \pm 0.06$ | $2.86 \pm 0.07 * *$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.250 \pm 0.008$ | $0.291 \pm 0.016$ | $0.246 \pm 0.012$ | $0.280 \pm 0.022$ | $0.290 \pm 0.022$ | $0.236 \pm 0.015$ |
| Relative | $1.22 \pm 0.04$ | $1.43 \pm 0.08$ | $1.24 \pm 0.06$ | $1.43 \pm 0.11$ | $1.50 \pm 0.10$ | $1.39 \pm 0.10$ |

## Table F1

Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

* Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
a Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean $\pm$ standard error).
b $\mathrm{n}=9$
c $\mathrm{n}=7$

Table F2
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Male Rats at the 3-Month Interim Evaluation in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | 10 | 10 |
| Necropsy body wt | $344 \pm 5$ | $248 \pm$ 6** $^{*}$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.231 \pm 0.018$ | $1.125 \pm 0.026^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $3.59 \pm 0.05$ | $4.55 \pm 0.07^{* *}$ |
| Liver |  |  |
| Absolute | $13.762 \pm 0.251$ | $11.777 \pm 0.287^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $40.08 \pm 0.70$ | $47.56 \pm 0.27 * *$ |

[^59]Table F3
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Rats at the $\mathbf{1 5}$-Month Interim Evaluation in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| n | 9 | 7 | 9 | 5 |
| Necropsy body wt | $456 \pm 7$ | $453 \pm 14$ | $434 \pm 9$ | $407 \pm 14^{* *}$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.630 \pm 0.032$ | $1.603 \pm 0.073$ | $1.599 \pm 0.041$ | $1.808 \pm 0.154$ |
| Relative | $3.58 \pm 0.08$ | $3.54 \pm 0.12$ | $3.69 \pm 0.09$ | $4.49 \pm 0.50$ ** |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $15.861 \pm 0.165$ | $16.123 \pm 0.590$ | $16.604 \pm 0.668$ | $15.248 \pm 0.603$ |
| Relative | $34.86 \pm 0.59$ | $35.62 \pm 1.06$ | $38.24 \pm 1.09 *$ | 37:42 $\pm 0.63^{*}$ |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| Necropsy body wt | $297 \pm 5$ | $276 \pm 6$ | $279 \pm 7$ | $281 \pm 8$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.949 \pm 0.020$ | $0.924 \pm 0.032$ | $0.931 \pm 0.025$ | $0.980 \pm 0.031$ |
| Relative | $3.20 \pm 0.04$ | $3.35 \pm 0.10$ | $3.34 \pm 0.05$ | $3.49 \pm 0.05^{* *}$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $8.535 \pm 0.190$ | $8.446 \pm 0.173$ | $8.624 \pm 0.082$ | $9.213 \pm 0.366$ |
| Relative | $28.76 \pm 0.33$ | $30.63 \pm 0.43 * *$ | $30.97 \pm 0.71 * *$ | $32.77 \pm 0.59 * *$ |

* Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
a Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean $\pm$ standard error).

Table F4
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Mice in the 13-Week Feed Study of $\mathbf{2 , 2}$-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{2}$

|  | 0 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 |
| Necropsy body wt | $27.8 \pm 1.6$ | $28.0 \pm 1.2$ | $27.5 \pm 1.0$ | $25.4 \pm 0.4$ | $21.6 \pm 0.4 * *$ | $17.4 \pm 0.4 * *$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.493 \pm 0.007$ | $0.465 \pm 0.009^{*}$ | $0.465 \pm 0.009^{*}$ | $0.486 \pm 0.005$ | $0.467 \pm 0.004^{*}$ | $0.467 \pm 0.008$ |
| Relative | $18.47 \pm 1.50$ | $16.82 \pm 0.80$ | $17.14 \pm 0.72$ | $19.20 \pm 0.36$ | $21.63 \pm 0.43 * *$ | $26.82 \pm 0.36^{* *}$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.171 \pm 0.005$ | $0.163 \pm 0.010$ | $0.170 \pm 0.008$ | $0.172 \pm 0.009$ | $0.146 \pm 0.007 *$ | $0.132 \pm 0.006^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $6.51 \pm 0.75$ | $5.87 \pm 0.42$ | $6.23 \pm 0.26$ | $6.78 \pm 0.35$ | $6.76 \pm 0.28$ | $7.58 \pm 0.32$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.284 \pm 0.005$ | $0.251 \pm 0.004^{*}$ | $0.261 \pm 0.006^{*}$ | $0.257 \pm 0.007{ }^{*}$ | $0.227 \pm 0.007 * *$ | $0.199 \pm 0.016^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $10.63 \pm 0.82$ | $9.07 \pm 0.35$ | $9.60 \pm 0.42$ | $10.15 \pm 0.26$ | $10.47 \pm 0.23$ | $11.43 \pm 0.88$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.410 \pm 0.035$ | $1.405 \pm 0.051$ | $1.374 \pm 0.037$ | $1.397 \pm 0.032$ | $1.114 \pm 0.052^{* *}$ | $0.948 \pm 0.049^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $52.87 \pm 4.54$ | $50.36 \pm 0.97$ | $50.56 \pm 2.26$ | $55.05 \pm 1.09$ | $51.27 \pm 1.71$ | $54.34 \pm 2.60$ |
| Lungs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.179 \pm 0.007$ | $0.176 \pm 0.005$ | $0.175 \pm 0.005$ | $0.194 \pm 0.013$ | $0.163 \pm 0.005$ | $0.163 \pm 0.011$ |
| Relative | $6.84 \pm 0.88$ | $6.35 \pm 0.29$ | $6.40 \pm 0.19$ | $7.62 \pm 0.46$ | $7.56 \pm 0.20$ | $9.38 \pm 0.65 * *$ |
| Spleen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.063 \pm 0.001$ | $0.059 \pm 0.003$ | $0.060 \pm 0.003$ | $0.058 \pm 0.003$ | $0.041 \pm 0.002^{* *}$ | $0.040 \pm 0.007^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $2.35 \pm 0.16$ | $2.11 \pm 0.09$ | $2.20 \pm 0.14$ | $2.26 \pm 0.08$ | $1.88 \pm 0.07$ | $2.27 \pm 0.38$ |
| R. Testis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.122 \pm 0.003$ |  | $0.129 \pm 0.004^{\text {b }}$ | $0.122 \pm 0.004$ |  | $0.102 \pm 0.005^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $4.59 \pm 0.42$ | $4.32 \pm 0.16$ | $4.97 \pm 0.31{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.82 \pm 0.17$ | $5.31 \pm 0.15$ | $5.84 \pm 0.19 * *$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.039 \pm 0.003$ | $0.036 \pm 0.003$ | $0.050 \pm 0.004$ | $0.039 \pm 0.003$ | $0.026 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ | $0.020 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $1.49 \pm 0.21$ | $1.32 \pm 0.15$ | $1.83 \pm 0.17$ | $1.51 \pm 0.11$ | $1.17 \pm 0.13$ | $1.16 \pm 0.25$ |

Table F4
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Mice in the $\mathbf{1 3}$-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| 0 ppm | 625 ppm | $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Female

| n | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Necropsy body wt | $25.8 \pm 1.1$ | $25.2 \pm 0.9$ | $23.7 \pm 1.0$ | $23.9 \pm 0.7$ | $18.5 \pm 0.3^{* *}$ | $16.0 \pm 0.6^{* *}$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.488 \pm 0.004$ | $0.494 \pm 0.002$ | $0.493 \pm 0.007$ | $0.496 \pm 0.007$ | $0.478 \pm 0.004$ | $0.457 \pm 0.006^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $19.17 \pm 0.83$ | $19.82 \pm 0.67$ | $21.04 \pm 0.78$ | $20.88 \pm 0.64$ | $25.88 \pm 0.32^{* *}$ | $28.85 \pm 1.15 * *$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.143 \pm 0.005$ | $0.154 \pm 0.005$ | $0.149 \pm 0.006$ | $0.147 \pm 0.005$ | $0.119 \pm 0.001 * *$ | $0.106 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $5.62 \pm 0.29$ | $6.20 \pm 0.35$ | $6.31 \pm 0.22$ | $6.18 \pm 0.24$ | $6.45 \pm 0.10^{*}$ | $6.70 \pm 0.30 * *$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.190 \pm 0.006$ | $0.193 \pm 0.004$ | $0.191 \pm 0.004$ | $0.180 \pm 0.004$ | $0.171 \pm 0.002 * *$ | $0.154 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $7.40 \pm 0.14$ | $7.73 \pm 0.31$ | $8.13 \pm 0.23$ | $7.59 \pm 0.29$ | $9.24 \pm 0.10^{* *}$ | $9.68 \pm 0.39 * *$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.241 \pm 0.045$ | $1.316 \pm 0.027$ | $1.212 \pm 0.050$ | $1.194 \pm 0.032$ | $0.989 \pm 0.018^{* *}$ | $0.863 \pm 0.059 * *$ |
| Relative | $48.30 \pm 1.19$ | $52.68 \pm 1.59$ | $51.45 \pm 1.98$ | $50.15 \pm 1.53$ | $53.57 \pm 1.30$ | $54.12 \pm 3.44$ |
| Lungs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.186 \pm 0.016$ | $0.186 \pm 0.013$ | $0.185 \pm 0.003$ | $0.178 \pm 0.007$ | $0.153 \pm 0.005$ | $0.168 \pm 0.016$ |
| Relative | $7.17 \pm 0.41$ | $7.54 \pm 0.74$ | $7.95 \pm 0.42$ | $7.44 \pm 0.24$ | $8.29 \pm 0.24$ | $10.72 \pm 1.23 * *$ |
| Spleen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.076 \pm 0.003$ | $0.075 \pm 0.002$ | $0.073 \pm 0.005$ | $0.070 \pm 0.005$ | $0.052 \pm 0.002^{* *}$ | $0.037 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $2.96 \pm 0.10$ | $3.01 \pm 0.12$ | $3.10 \pm 0.17$ | $2.94 \pm 0.19$ | $2.78 \pm 0.12$ | $2.31 \pm 0.26 *$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.052 \pm 0.005$ | $0.052 \pm 0.004$ | $0.044 \pm 0.004$ | $0.046 \pm 0.004$ | $0.036 \pm 0.004 *$ | $0.025 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $2.04 \pm 0.20$ | $2.07 \pm 0.17$ | $1.82 \pm 0.13$ | $1.91 \pm 0.16$ | $1.94 \pm 0.23$ | $1.54 \pm 0.24$ |

* Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
a Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean $\pm$ standard error).
b $\mathrm{n}=7$

Table F5
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Mice at the 15-Month Interim Evaluation in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Necropsy body wt | $48.6 \pm 1.2$ | $49.3 \pm 1.9$ | $47.6 \pm 1.3$ | $46.6 \pm 1.5$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.442 \pm 0.012$ | $0.434 \pm 0.025$ | $0.414 \pm 0.013$ | $0.423 \pm 0.015$ |
| Relative | $9.09 \pm 0.12$ | $8.82 \pm 0.38$ | $8.77 \pm 0.38$ | $9.13 \pm 0.37$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $2.355 \pm 0.192$ | $2.271 \pm 0.187$ | $2.123 \pm 0.155$ | $2.313 \pm 0.331$ |
| Relative | $48.48 \pm 3.72$ | $45.71 \pm 2.73$ | $45.02 \pm 3.92$ | $50.75 \pm 8.67$ |

## Female

| $n$ | 8 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Necropsy body wt | $50.4 \pm 2.9$ | $54.8 \pm 1.7$ | $52.7 \pm 2.1$ | $49.3 \pm 2.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Absolute | $0.264 \pm 0.009$ | $0.253 \pm 0.007$ | $0.261 \pm 0.005$ | $0.263 \pm 0.009$ |
| $\quad$ Relative | $5.30 \pm 0.20$ | $4.65 \pm 0.18$ | $5.00 \pm 0.18$ | $5.38 \pm 0.20$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Absolute | $1.616 \pm 0.074$ | $1.678 \pm 0.045$ | $1.949 \pm 0.211$ | $1.741 \pm 0.072$ |
| $\quad$ Relative | $32.28 \pm 0.73$ | $30.80 \pm 0.90$ | $36.88 \pm 3.25$ | $35.70 \pm 1.77$ |
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Table G1
Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Data for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 0 ppm | $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Core Study

Male
Clinical Chemistry

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Urea nitrogen $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $21.4 \pm 0.6$ | $22.8 \pm 0.6$ | $22.3 \pm 1.4$ | $21.7 \pm 0.9$ | $21.2 \pm 0.7$ | $21.2 \pm 0.9$ |
| Creatinine $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $0.80 \pm 0.13$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $0.89 \pm 0.11$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ |
| Glucose $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $98 \pm 6$ | $123 \pm 8$ | $132 \pm 17$ | $100 \pm 5$ | $108 \pm 7$ | $106 \pm 8$ |
| Total protein $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1$ | $6.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.6 \pm 0.3^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $6.9 \pm 0.1$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1$ | $7.1 \pm 0.1$ |
| Albumin $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $5.5 \pm 0.1$ | $5.4 \pm 0.1$ | $5.4 \pm 0.0^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $5.4 \pm 0.1$ | $5.4 \pm 0.1$ | $5.5 \pm 0.1$ |
| Globulin $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.6 \pm 0.1$ | $1.6 \pm 0.1$ |
| A/G ratio | $3.8 \pm 0.2$ | $3.5 \pm 0.2$ | $3.9 \pm 0.2^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $3.7 \pm 0.2$ | $3.4 \pm 0.1$ | $3.6 \pm 0.2$ |


| Urinalysis |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| n | ${ }^{\text {Glucose }(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr})}$ |
|  | Protein ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |
|  | Volume ( $\mathrm{mL} / 16 \mathrm{hr}$ ) |
|  | Specific gravity |


| 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.156 \pm 0.015$ | $0.150 \pm 0.016$ | $0.170 \pm 0.012$ |
| $0.658 \pm 0.058$ | $0.620 \pm 0.057$ | $0.728 \pm 0.037$ |
| $8.2 \pm 0.8$ | $9.6 \pm 1.5$ | $12.3 \pm 1.6$ |
| $1.029 \pm 0.003$ | $1.024 \pm 0.003$ | $1.023 \pm 0.003$ |


| 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.156 \pm 0.018^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $0.161 \pm 0.006$ | $0.148 \pm 0.012$ |
| $0.606 \pm 0.127^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $0.861 \pm 0.036^{*}$ | $0.839 \pm 0.044^{*}$ |
| $13.1 \pm 2.9$ | $19.5 \pm 1.9 * *$ | $17.7 \pm 1.5^{* *}$ |
| $1.015 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ | $1.016 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ | $1.015 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ |

Female
Clinical Chemistry

| n Clinical Chemistry | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urea nitrogen ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | $20.9 \pm 1.0$ | $21.6 \pm 0.4$ | $20.8 \pm 0.7$ | $20.8 \pm 0.9$ | $20.0 \pm 0.7$ | $21.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| Creatinine ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $0.63 \pm 0.18$ | $0.50 \pm 0.17$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | $90 \pm 5$ | $100 \pm 6$ | $104 \pm 11$ | $98 \pm 5$ | $90 \pm 3$ | $108 \pm 9$ |
| Total protein (g/dL) | $7.1 \pm 0.1$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1$ | $6.8 \pm 0.0$ | $6.6 \pm 0.1 *$ | $6.8 \pm 0.1 *$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1^{* *}$ |
| Albumin (g/dL) | $5.6 \pm 0.1$ | $5.6 \pm 0.1$ | $5.3 \pm 0.1^{* *}$ | $5.3 \pm 0.1^{* *}$ | $5.4 \pm 0.1 * *$ | $5.2 \pm 0.1 * *$ |
| Globulin (g/dL) | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.6 \pm 0.1$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| A/G ratio | $4.1 \pm 0.2$ | $3.9 \pm 0.1$ | $3.5 \pm 0.2$ | $4.0 \pm 0.3$ | $3.8 \pm 0.2$ | $4.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| Urinalysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $0.091 \pm 0.006$ | $0.073 \pm 0.009^{\text {d }}$ | $0.089 \pm 0.006$ | $0.089 \pm 0.009$ | $0.094 \pm 0.008$ | $0.100 \pm 0.012$ |
| Protein ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $0.037 \pm 0.003^{\text {d }}$ | $0.029 \pm 0.004^{\text {d }}$ | $0.035 \pm 0.004$ | $0.032 \pm 0.002$ | $0.043 \pm 0.003$ | $0.040 \pm 0.008$ |
| Volume (mL/16 hr) | $6.0 \pm 0.6$ | $10.2 \pm 2.1$ | $10.3 \pm 1.0^{*}$ | $8.8 \pm 0.8$ | $9.7 \pm 1.3$ | $9.9 \pm 2.1$ |
| Specific gravity | $1.031 \pm 0.005$ | $1.020 \pm 0.006$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002^{*}$ | $1.020 \pm 0.002$ | $1.020 \pm 0.003$ | $1.022 \pm 0.004$ |

## Special Study

## Male

| Clinical Chemistry <br> n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urea nitrogen (mg/dL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $30.4 \pm 1.0^{\text {c }}$ | $31.8 \pm 1.1^{\text {c }}$ | $29.6 \pm 1.2^{\text {c }}$ | $30.1 \pm 1.3$ | $32.0 \pm 1.5$ | $32.3 \pm 1.1$ |
| Day 15 | $26.2 \pm 1.0$ | $26.9 \pm 0.9$ | $25.4 \pm 0.8$ | $24.9 \pm 0.8$ | $28.8 \pm 1.2$ | $25.3 \pm 0.9$ |
| Day 30 | $26.5 \pm 0.4$ | $26.7 \pm 1.0$ | $22.4 \pm 0.7 *$ | $18.5 \pm 0.7 * *$ | $23.7 \pm 0.9$ | $27.4 \pm 0.7$ |
| Day 60 | $30.9 \pm 1.0^{\text {c }}$ | $23.1 \pm 0.8^{* *}$ | $25.1 \pm 0.8$ | $25.3 \pm 1.0^{\text {b }}$ | $22.3 \pm 1.7 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $28.6 \pm 3.7$ |
| Week 13 | $24.5 \pm 1.1^{\text {b }}$ | $22.3 \pm 0.8^{\text {b }}$ | $23.0 \pm 0.5^{\text {c }}$ | $22.4 \pm 1.4^{\text {c }}$ | $17.9 \pm 1.7 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $23.6 \pm 0.9$ |

Table G1
Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Data for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinical Chemistry (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Creatinine ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $0.60 \pm 0.16$ | $0.33 \pm 0.17^{\text {c }}$ | $0.33 \pm 0.17{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.20 \pm 0.13$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $0.60 \pm 0.16$ |
| Day 15 | $0.30 \pm 0.15$ | $0.10 \pm 0.10$ | $0.50 \pm 0.17$ | $0.80 \pm 0.13$ | $0.50 \pm 0.17$ | $0.40 \pm 0.16$ |
| Day 30 | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $0.60 \pm 0.16$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $0.30 \pm 0.15$ | $0.50 \pm 0.17$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ |
| Day 60 | $0.78 \pm 0.15^{\text {c }}$ | $0.89 \pm 0.11^{\text {c }}$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $0.75 \pm 0.16^{\text {b }}$ | $0.57 \pm 0.20^{\text {c }}$ | $0.50 \pm 0.17$ |
| Week 13 | $0.88 \pm 0.13^{\text {b }}$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {b }}$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {c }}$ | $0.86 \pm 0.14^{\text {c }}$ | $0.89 \pm 0.11^{\text {c }}$ | $0.89 \pm 0.11^{\text {c }}$ |
| Glucose (mg/dL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $170 \pm 7$ | $166 \pm 8^{\text {c }}$ | $180 \pm 7^{\text {c }}$ | $178 \pm 8$ | $201 \pm 8^{* *}$ | 186 土 $^{*}$ |
| Day 15 | $190 \pm 10$ | $184 \pm 9$ | $174 \pm 7$ | $175 \pm 7$ | $168 \pm 5$ | $149 \pm 6^{* *}$ |
| Day 30 | $170 \pm 12$ | $166 \pm 5$ | $160 \pm 5$ | $155 \pm 4$ | $174 \pm 9$ | $149 \pm 7$ |
| Day 60 | $203 \pm 21^{\text {c }}$ | $158 \pm 15$ | $219 \pm 19$ | $163 \pm 18^{\text {b }}$ | $187 \pm 14^{\text {b }}$ | $155 \pm 18$ |
| Week 13 | $150 \pm 20^{\text {b }}$ | $146 \pm 11^{\text {b }}$ | $160 \pm 8^{\text {c }}$ | $196 \pm 23^{\text {c }}$ | $175 \pm 16^{\text {c }}$ | $154 \pm 17$ |
| Total protein (g/dL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $5.2 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $5.1 \pm 0.1^{\text {b }}$ | $5.6 \pm 0.1{ }^{* *}$ c | $5.7 \pm 0.1^{* *}$ | $5.7 \pm 0.1{ }^{* *}$ | $5.7 \pm 0.1 * *$ |
| Day 15 | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.2 \pm 0.1$ | $6.3 \pm 0.0^{* *}$ | $6.3 \pm 0.1 * *$ |
| Day 30 | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ | $6.5 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| Day 60 | $6.5 \pm 0.1^{c}$ | $6.2 \pm 0.2^{\text {c }}$ | $6.6 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $6.9 \pm 0.1{ }^{*} \mathrm{~b}$ | $6.8 \pm 0.1^{e}$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1 * *$ |
| Week 13 | $7.0 \pm 0.1^{\text {b }}$ | $7.1 \pm 0.1^{\text {b }}$ | $6.8 \pm 0.1^{c}$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1^{\text {b }}$ | $6.9 \pm 0.1^{\text {b }}$ |
| Urinalysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $0.083 \pm 0.004$ | $0.075 \pm 0.004$ | $0.077 \pm 0.005$ | $0.078 \pm 0.003$ | $0.080 \pm 0.004$ | $0.065 \pm 0.006$ |
| Day 15 | $0.138 \pm 0.006^{\text {c }}$ | $0.136 \pm 0.007$ | $0.118 \pm 0.007$ | $0.146 \pm 0.010^{\text {c }}$ | $0.156 \pm 0.007$ | $0.120 \pm 0.009^{c}$ |
| Day 30 | $0.165 \pm 0.010$ | $0.118 \pm 0.006^{* *}$ | $0.156 \pm 0.005$ | $0.183 \pm 0.011$ | $0.148 \pm 0.007$ | $0.155 \pm 0.009$ |
| Day 60 | $0.196 \pm 0.013$ | $0.179 \pm 0.003$ | $0.156 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ | $0.156 \pm 0.009 *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.144 \pm 0.006^{* *}$ | $0.140 \pm 0.008 * *$ |
| Week 13 | $0.184 \pm 0.018^{\text {c }}$ | $0.177 \pm 0.009^{\text {c }}$ | $0.154 \pm 0.007^{\text {c }}$ | $0.162 \pm 0.011^{\text {b }}$ | $0.136 \pm 0.007 *$ | $0.129 \pm 0.012 *^{\text {b }}$ |
| Protein (mg/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $0.071 \pm 0.011$ | $0.059 \pm 0.009$ | $0.069 \pm 0.007$ | $0.064 \pm 0.007$ | $0.068 \pm 0.005$ | $0.050 \pm 0.006$ |
| Day 15 | $0.606 \pm 0.032^{\text {c }}$ | $0.410 \pm 0.054 *$ | $0.522 \pm 0.047$ | $0.544 \pm 0.045^{\text {c }}$ | $0.450 \pm 0.023^{* *}$ | $0.168 \pm 0.018 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Day 30 | $0.797 \pm 0.046$ | $0.627 \pm 0.039 *$ | $0.645 \pm 0.041^{*}$ | $0.655 \pm 0.029 *$ | $0.598 \pm 0.034^{* *}$ | $0.438 \pm 0.026 * *$ |
| Day 60 | $0.637 \pm 0.051$ | $0.727 \pm 0.036$ | $0.754 \pm 0.021$ | $0.679 \pm 0.047^{\text {c }}$ | $0.749 \pm 0.049$ | $0.820 \pm 0.039^{*}$ |
| Week 13 | $0.644 \pm 0.050^{\text {c }}$ | $0.766 \pm 0.058^{\text {c }}$ | $0.668 \pm 0.038^{\text {c }}$ | $0.743 \pm 0.059^{\text {b }}$ | $0.756 \pm 0.055^{\text {c }}$ | $0.670 \pm 0.073^{\text {b }}$ |
| Volume (mL/16 hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $10.3 \pm 1.1$ | $10.3 \pm 1.3$ | $9.0 \pm 1.4$ | $10.7 \pm 1.2$ | $8.9 \pm 0.9$ | $6.4 \pm 0.9 *$ |
| Day 15 | $17.7 \pm 1.9^{\text {c }}$ | $12.8 \pm 2.0$ | $10.9 \pm 1.4$ | $14.7 \pm 2.2$ | $16.3 \pm 1.1$ | $7.4 \pm 1.3 * *$ c |
| Day 30 | $14.4 \pm 1.5$ | $14.5 \pm 1.8$ | $9.1 \pm 0.9 *$ | $12.2 \pm 1.2$ | $17.5 \pm 1.9$ | $18.5 \pm 2.1$ |
| Day 60 | $14.2 \pm 2.1$ | $14.7 \pm 1.9$ | $14.0 \pm 1.8$ | $19.2 \pm 2.7^{\text {c }}$ | $25.9 \pm 1.9 * *$ | $28.7 \pm 3.1$ ** |
| Week 13 | $16.2 \pm 2.1^{\text {c }}$ | $13.3 \pm 1.7^{\text {c }}$ | $11.8 \pm 1.8^{\text {c }}$ | $16.9 \pm 2.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $24.5 \pm 2.3$ | $27.8 \pm 3.5{ }^{*}$ b |
| Specific gravity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $1.012 \pm 0.001$ | $1.011 \pm 0.001$ | $1.015 \pm 0.002$ | $1.012 \pm 0.001$ | $1.013 \pm 0.001$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 15 | $1.021 \pm 0.010^{c}$ | $1.018 \pm 0.003$ | $1.017 \pm 0.001$ | $1.015 \pm 0.003$ | $1.015 \pm 0.001$ | $1.026 \pm 0.003 * * \mathrm{c}$ |
| Day 30 | $1.018 \pm 0.002$ | $1.014 \pm 0.002$ | $1.025 \pm 0.002$ | $1.022 \pm 0.002$ | $1.014 \pm 0.001$ | $1.014 \pm 0.001$ |
| Day 60 | $1.023 \pm 0.002$ | $1.018 \pm 0.003$ | $1.017 \pm 0.002$ | $1.014 \pm 0.002 *^{\text {c }}$ | $1.009 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ | $1.010 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ |
| Week 13 | $1.017 \pm 0.003{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.021 \pm 0.003^{\text {c }}$ | $1.020 \pm 0.003^{\text {c }}$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002^{\text {b }}$ | $1.010 \pm 0.002^{*}$ | $1.009 \pm 0.001 *{ }^{\text {b }}$ |

Table G1
Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Data for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urine Concentration Study <br> n | 8 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| Volume ( $\mathrm{mL} / 4 \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 4 | $1.600 \pm 0.400^{\text {f }}$ | $1.567 \pm 0.343^{\text {e }}$ | $0.458 \pm 0.042^{* *}$ | $1.000 \pm 0.274^{*}$ | $0.563 \pm 0.157 *{ }^{\text {g }}$ | $0.417 \pm 0.083^{* * W}$ |
| Day 16 | $1.929 \pm 0.352^{\text {c }}$ | $2.313 \pm 0.499$ | $0.700 \pm 0.122^{*}{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $1.222 \pm 0.222^{\text {c }}$ | $1.150 \pm 0.107$ | $0.850 \pm 0.130^{*}$ |
| Day 31 | $0.600 \pm 0.158$ | $1.229 \pm 0.276^{\text {c }}$ | $0.875 \pm 0.183^{\text {b }}$ | $1.100 \pm 0.258^{\text {e }}$ | $0.786 \pm 0.101^{\text {c }}$ | $1.233 \pm 0.245^{\text {c }}$ |
| Day 61 | $1.188 \pm 0.188$ | $1.188 \pm 0.210$ | $1.667 \pm 0.511$ | $0.486 \pm 0.212^{\text {c }}$ | $1.150 \pm 0.130$ | $1.300 \pm 0.153$ |
| Week 13 | $0.650 \pm 0.218^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $0.814 \pm 0.314^{\text {c }}$ | $0.260 \pm 0.098^{f}$ | $0.800 \pm 0.200$ | $0.167 \pm 0.067^{\text {e }}$ | $0.789 \pm 0.201^{\text {c }}$ |
| Specific gravity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 4 | $1.023 \pm 0.008^{\text {g }}$ | $1.015 \pm 0.009^{9}$ |  | $1.035 \pm 0.029^{\text {i }}$ | $1.050 \pm 0.007^{8}$ | $1.071 \pm 0.009^{* h}$ |
| Day 16 | $1.039 \pm 0.010^{\text {c }}$ | $1.037 \pm 0.010$ | $1.065 \pm 0.008^{\text {f }}$ | $1.047 \pm 0.008^{\text {c }}$ | $1.057 \pm 0.002$ | $1.067 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ |
| Day 31 | $1.063 \pm 0.005$ | $1.026 \pm 0.006 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.060 \pm 0.005^{\text {b }}$ | $1.053 \pm 0.006^{\text {e }}$ | $1.056 \pm 0.006^{\text {b }}$ | $1.047 \pm 0.003^{\text {c }}$ |
| Day 61 | $1.067 \pm 0.006$ | $1.070 \pm 0.003$ | $1.058 \pm 0.012$ | $1.053 \pm 0.008^{\text {c }}$ | $1.059 \pm 0.003$ | $1.047 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ |
| Week 13 | $1.058 \pm 0.009^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $1.056 \pm 0.010^{\text {c }}$ | $1.056 \pm 0.010$ | $1.043 \pm 0.007$ | $1.063 \pm 0.003{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $1.036 \pm 0.005^{\text {c }}$ |

## Female

Clinical Chemistry
n
Urea nitrogen (mg/dL)

Day 3
Day 15
Day 30
Day 60
Week 13
Creatinine ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ )
Day 3
Day 15
Day 30
Day 60
Week 13
Glucose (mg/dL)
Day 3
Day 15
Day 30
Day 60
Week 13
Total protein (g/dL)
Day 3
Day 15
Day 30
Day 60
Week 13

| 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $31.2 \pm 1.7$ | $31.4 \pm 1.7$ | $33.1 \pm 1.9$ | $32.3 \pm 1.3$ | $32.0 \pm 0.7$ | $28.9 \pm 1.2$ |
| $33.2 \pm 0.9$ | $31.7 \pm 0.6$ | $33.9 \pm 1.2$ | $34.8 \pm 1.6$ | $28.7 \pm 0.6 * *$ | $27.7 \pm 0.7 * *$ |
| $25.8 \pm 0.9$ | $24.6 \pm 0.5$ | $28.7 \pm 0.7$ | $24.7 \pm 1.1$ | $24.3 \pm 0.9$ | $23.9 \pm 0.9$ |
| $26.7 \pm 1.0$ | $24.8 \pm 0.7$ | $29.9 \pm 1.0$ | $29.6 \pm 0.6$ | $27.4 \pm 1.3^{\text {c }}$ | $25.5 \pm 1.1$ |
| $28.1 \pm 0.7$ | $28.7 \pm 1.0$ | $28.9 \pm 1.2$ | $27.6 \pm 0.7$ | $25.6 \pm 0.8^{\text {c }}$ | $26.6 \pm 0.9$ |
| $0.44 \pm 0.18$ | $0.10 \pm 0.10$ | $0.44 \pm 0.18$ | $0.30 \pm 0.15$ | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ |
| $0.22 \pm 0.15$ | $0.20 \pm 0.13$ | $0.67 \pm 0.17$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $0.30 \pm 0.15$ | $0.30 \pm 0.15$ |
| $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $0.60 \pm 0.16^{*}$ | $0.56 \pm 0.18 *$ | $0.60 \pm 0.16$ | $0.40 \pm 0.16^{* *}$ | $0.10 \pm 0.10^{* *}$ |
| $0.78 \pm 0.15$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {c }}$ | $0.78 \pm 0.15{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ |
| $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {c }}$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ |
| $175 \pm 28$ | $216 \pm 33$ | $155 \pm 6$ | $159 \pm 5$ | $153 \pm 8$ | $167 \pm 6$ |
| $167 \pm 6$ | $159 \pm 7$ | $157 \pm 9$ | $157 \pm 10$ | $142 \pm 8 * *$ | $144 \pm{ }^{\text {7** }}$ |
| $146 \pm 4$ | $149 \pm 4$ | $135 \pm 8$ | $155 \pm 5$ | $145 \pm 10$ | $140 \pm 4$ |
| $140 \pm 8$ | $143 \pm 4$ | $187 \pm 20^{*}$ | $194 \pm 12^{* *}$ | $205 \pm 18 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $177 \pm 14^{* *}$ |
| $148 \pm 6$ | $153 \pm 4$ | $150 \pm 10$ | $169 \pm 11$ | $147 \pm 6^{\text {c }}$ | $166 \pm 13$ |
| $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.2 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $5.8 \pm 0.1$ | $5.7 \pm 0.1$ |
| $5.8 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.2$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ |
| $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.0 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.0 \pm 0.1$ | $5.8 \pm 0.1$ |
| $6.7 \pm 0.1$ | $6.7 \pm 0.0$ | $6.7 \pm 0.1$ | $6.5 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $6.8 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $6.6 \pm 0.1$ |
| $6.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.7 \pm 0.1$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1$ | $6.7 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1^{* *}$ |

Table G1
Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Data for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urinalysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $0.088 \pm 0.005$ | $0.088 \pm 0.006$ | $0.079 \pm 0.005^{\text {j }}$ | $0.093 \pm 0.010$ | $0.059 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ | $0.053 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ |
| Day 15 | $0.072 \pm 0.005$ | $0.074 \pm 0.007{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.065 \pm 0.006$ | $0.062 \pm 0.007{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.086 \pm 0.006$ | $0.081 \pm 0.005$ |
| Day 30 | $0.094 \pm 0.004$ | $0.085 \pm 0.004$ | $0.122 \pm 0.018^{\text {b }}$ | $0.107 \pm 0.012$ | $0.091 \pm 0.012$ | $0.105 \pm 0.005$ |
| Day 60 | $0.109 \pm 0.009$ | $0.097 \pm 0.010$ | $0.091 \pm 0.005^{\text {b }}$ | $0.078 \pm 0.005^{* *}$ | $0.076 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ | $0.091 \pm 0.00$ * $^{*}$ |
| Week 13 | $0.098 \pm 0.008$ | $0.096 \pm 0.005$ | -k | $0.077 \pm 0.004$ | $0.077 \pm 0.002^{\text {c }}$ | $0.088 \pm 0.005$ |
| Protein (mg/hr) ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $0.028 \pm 0.002$ | $0.027 \pm 0.002$ | $0.030 \pm 0.004^{j}$ | $0.030 \pm 0.002$ | $0.030 \pm 0.003$ | $0.025 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 15 | $0.033 \pm 0.004$ | $0.031 \pm 0.003{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.031 \pm 0.004$ | $0.038 \pm 0.005^{\text {c }}$ | $0.033 \pm 0.002$ | $0.033 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 30 | $0.030 \pm 0.002^{\text {b }}$ | $0.034 \pm 0.003$ | $0.033 \pm 0.007{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0.029 \pm 0.003$ | $0.034 \pm 0.004$ | $0.039 \pm 0.004^{\text {c }}$ |
| Day 60 | $0.033 \pm 0.002$ | $0.035 \pm 0.005^{\text {c }}$ | $0.044 \pm 0.004^{\text {b }}$ | $0.041 \pm 0.003$ | $0.035 \pm 0.005$ | $0.044 \pm 0.006$ |
| Week 13 | $0.055 \pm 0.006$ | $0.047 \pm 0.003$ | - | $0.039 \pm 0.004$ | $0.038 \pm 0.004^{\text {c }}$ | $0.041 \pm 0.003$ |
| Volume ( $\mathrm{mL} / 16 \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $13.2 \pm 2.1^{\text {j }}$ | $13.2 \pm 1.2$ | $13.5 \pm 1.5{ }^{\text {j }}$ | $15.7 \pm 2.4$ | $14.6 \pm 1.0$ | $6.7 \pm 1.0^{*}$ |
| Day 15 | $11.9 \pm 1.7$ | $10.2 \pm 1.7$ | $10.8 \pm 1.4$ | $13.7 \pm 2.9^{\text {c }}$ | $12.6 \pm 1.5$ | $11.8 \pm 1.1$ |
| Day 30 | $12.8 \pm 1.2$ | $12.0 \pm 1.6$ | $13.1 \pm 1.4$ | $10.0 \pm 2.2$ | $14.4 \pm 1.2$ | $12.7 \pm 1.6$ |
| Day 60 | $10.2 \pm 1.4$ | $10.4 \pm 1.6$ | $14.1 \pm 1.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $14.0 \pm 2.3$ | $11.8 \pm 1.4$ | $14.7 \pm 1.2$ |
| Week 13 | $8.9 \pm 1.0$ | $11.3 \pm 1.4$ | - | $13.4 \pm 1.5$ | $12.7 \pm 2.0^{\text {c }}$ | $11.0 \pm 1.2$ |
| Specific gravity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $1.011 \pm 0.002^{j}$ | $1.008 \pm 0.001$ | $1.017 \pm 0.010^{j}$ | $1.008 \pm 0.001$ | $1.008 \pm 0.000$ | $1.017 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 15 | $1.013 \pm 0.001$ | $1.016 \pm 0.003$ | $1.014 \pm 0.002$ | $1.015 \pm 0.003^{\text {c }}$ | $1.015 \pm 0.002$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 30 | $1.012 \pm 0.001$ | $1.013 \pm 0.001$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ | $1.026 \pm 0.006$ | $1.012 \pm 0.001$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 60 | $1.018 \pm 0.002$ | $1.017 \pm 0.002$ | $1.012 \pm 0.001 * * b$ | $1.016 \pm 0.004 *$ | $1.013 \pm 0.002 *$ | $1.012 \pm 0.001^{*}$ |
| Week 13 | $1.018 \pm 0.002$ | $1.015 \pm 0.001$ | - | $1.011 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ | $1.013 \pm 0.001^{\text {c }}$ | $1.015 \pm 0.001$ |
| Urine Concentration Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |
| Volume ( $\mathrm{mL} / 4 \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 4 | $0.900 \pm 0.100$ | $0.750 \pm 0.144$ | $0.600 \pm 0.100^{*}$ | $0.700 \pm 0.122^{\text {f }}$ | $0.643 \pm 0.092^{*}$ | $0.611 \pm 0.073^{*}$ |
| Day 16 | $0.371 \pm 0.123^{\text {c }}$ | $0.280 \pm 0.092{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $0.586 \pm 0.120^{\text {c }}$ | $0.588 \pm 0.134^{\text {b }}$ | $0.917 \pm 0.201 *^{\text {e }}$ | $0.789 \pm 0.140^{*}$ |
| Day 31 | $0.500 \pm 0.000^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $0.625 \pm 0.125$ | $0.833 \pm 0.167^{\text {h }}$ | $1.333 \pm 0.333^{* *}$ | $0.571 \pm 0.118$ | $0.944 \pm 0.227$ |
| Day 61 | $0.100 \pm 0.000^{h}$ | $0.400 \pm 0.125^{\text {c }}$ | $0.340 \pm 0.098$ | $0.467 \pm 0.088{ }^{*}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.167 \pm 0.067^{\text {e }}$ | $0.383 \pm 0.147^{\text {e }}$ f |
| Week 13 | $0.680 \pm 0.461$ | $0.100 \pm 0.000 * * f$ | $0.550 \pm 0.450^{\text {i }}$ | $0.183 \pm 0.065$ | $0.788 \pm 0.234^{\text {b }}$ | $1.100 \pm 0.187 * f$ |
| Specific gravity $\quad$ f |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 4 | $1.066 \pm 0.004$ | $1.076 \pm 0.004$ | $1.067 \pm 0.005$ | $1.064 \pm 0.011^{\text {f }}$ | $1.077 \pm 0.003$ | $1.064 \pm 0.006$ |
| Day 16 | $1.074 \pm 0.003^{\text {c }}$ | $1.075 \pm 0.003^{\text {f }}$ | $1.068 \pm 0.005^{\text {c }}$ | $1.055 \pm 0.010^{\text {b }}$ | $1.047 \pm 0.009^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $1.060 \pm 0.006$ |
| Day 31 | $1.061 \pm 0.013$ | $1.067 \pm 0.007$ | $1.060 \pm 0.013^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $1.053 \pm 0.009$ | $1.067 \pm 0.008$ | $1.054 \pm 0.008$ |
| Day 61 | $1.072 \pm 0.004^{\text {h }}$ | $1.033 \pm 0.009 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.062 \pm 0.006$ | $1.050 \pm 0.006^{c}$ | $1.046 \pm 0.011^{\text {e }}$ | $1.063 \pm 0.004^{e}$ |
| Week 13 | $1.048 \pm 0.014$ | $1.037 \pm 0.009^{e}$ | $1.035 \pm 0.010^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.048 \pm 0.008^{\text {c }}$ | $1.059 \pm 0.007^{\text {b }}$ | $1.061 \pm 0.008^{f}$ |

[^61]Table G2
Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Data for Mice in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 0 ppm | 625 ppm | $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Male

| Clinical Chemistry | 6 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urea nitrogen (mg/dL) | $32.0 \pm 3.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $24.6 \pm 1.5$ | $28.0 \pm 1.5$ | $35.8 \pm 2.9^{\text {c }}$ | $46.4 \pm 5.9$ | $72.3 \pm 15.1^{*}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Glucose (mg/dL) | $123 \pm 8^{\text {b }}$ | $146 \pm 14$ | $162 \pm 12$ | $162 \pm 14^{\text {c }}$ | $141 \pm 18$ | $146 \pm 18{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Total protein (g/dL) | $5.8 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $5.5 \pm 0.2$ | $5.8 \pm 0.1^{\text {e }}$ | $5.7 \pm 0.1$ | $5.8 \pm 0.2{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Albumin (g/dL) | $3.8 \pm 0.1$ | $4.0 \pm 0.1$ | $3.6 \pm 0.2$ | $3.8 \pm 0.1$ | $3.8 \pm 0.1$ | $4.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| Globulin (g/dL) | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ |
| A/G ratio | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $2.1 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| Urinalysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 4 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 4 |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $0.051 \pm 0.014$ | $0.024 \pm 0.011$ | $0.036 \pm 0.007$ | $0.025 \pm 0.004$ | $0.038 \pm 0.004^{\text {c }}$ | $0.034 \pm 0.004$ |
| Protein (mg/hr) | $0.364 \pm 0.062$ | $0.148 \pm 0.065$ | $0.264 \pm 0.051$ | $0.228 \pm 0.045$ | $0.166 \pm 0.028 *$ | $0.075 \pm 0.020^{* *}$ |
| Volume (mL/24 hr) | $3.63 \pm 0.80$ | $4.17 \pm 1.69$ | $3.06 \pm 0.55$ | $2.72 \pm 0.55^{\text {c }}$ | $2.00 \pm 0.17$ | $2.25 \pm 0.43$ |
| Specific gravity | $1.020 \pm 0.004$ | $1.005 \pm 0.003$ | $1.016 \pm 0.003$ | $1.017 \pm 0.004$ | $1.023 \pm 0.002$ | $1.018 \pm 0.001$ |

## Female

| Clinical Chemistry | 9 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urea nitrogen (mg/dL) | $21.9 \pm 0.9$ | $24.8 \pm 2.7^{\text {e }}$ | $22.6 \pm 1.4$ | $26.0 \pm 3.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $27.6 \pm 1.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $37.8 \pm 3.1^{* *}$ |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | $151 \pm 10$ | $182 \pm 13^{\text {e }}$ | $151 \pm 7$ | $148 \pm 8^{\text {e }}$ | $130 \pm 11^{\text {c }}$ | $118 \pm 23$ |
| Total protein (g/dL) | $6.0 \pm 0.1$ | $5.8 \pm 0.1$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $6.4 \pm 0.2$ |
| Albumin (g/dL) | $4.2 \pm 0.1$ | $4.0 \pm 0.1$ | $4.5 \pm 0.1$ | $4.3 \pm 0.1$ | $4.4 \pm 0.1$ | $4.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| Globulin (g/dL) | $1.8 \pm 0.1$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $1.8 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| A/G ratio | $2.3 \pm 0.1$ | $2.4 \pm 0.1$ | $2.4 \pm 0.1$ | $2.3 \pm 0.1$ | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ | $2.3 \pm 0.3$ |
| Urinalysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 9 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 6 |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $0.043 \pm 0.005$ | $0.039 \pm 0.005$ | $0.041 \pm 0.009$ | $0.044 \pm 0.009$ | $0.048 \pm 0.005$ | $0.022 \pm 0.005$ |
| Protein (mg/hr) | $0.147 \pm 0.027$ | $0.171 \pm 0.024$ | $0.154 \pm 0.037$ | $0.161 \pm 0.027$ | $0.112 \pm 0.011$ | $0.016 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ |
| Volume ( $\mathrm{mL} / 24 \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $3.7 \pm 0.5$ | $3.4 \pm 0.6$ | $3.5 \pm 0.8$ | $3.0 \pm 0.6$ | $3.5 \pm 0.3$ | $2.2 \pm 0.6$ |
| Specific gravity | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ | $1.020 \pm 0.003$ | $1.018 \pm 0.002$ | $1.008 \pm 0.002$ |
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Table H1
Summary of Reproductive Tissue Evaluations and Estrous Cycle Characterization for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Weights (g) |  |  |  |  |
| Necropsy body wt. | $334 \pm 6$ | $308 \pm 1{ }^{*}$ | $299 \pm 8^{* *}$ | $255 \pm 7^{* *}$ |
| R. cauda | $0.169 \pm 0.011$ | $0.166 \pm 0.007$ | $0.175 \pm 0.008$ | $0.162 \pm 0.008$ |
| R. epididymis | $0.509 \pm 0.016$ | $0.519 \pm 0.024$ | $0.508 \pm 0.020$ | $0.503 \pm 0.016$ |
| R. testis | $1.492 \pm 0.027$ | $1.443 \pm 0.035$ | $1.411 \pm 0.038$ | $1.360 \pm 0.036 * *$ |
| Epididymal spermatozoal parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Motility (\%) | $97.33 \pm 0.78$ | $97.03 \pm 0.71$ | $97.48 \pm 0.53$ | $96.96 \pm 1.05$ |
| Concentration <br> ( $10^{6} / \mathrm{g}$ cauda epididymal tissue) | $558.2 \pm 42.8$ | $524.6 \pm 27.3$ | $552.0 \pm 32.1$ | $646.8 \pm 50.7$ |
| Normal (per 500 sperm) | $496.3 \pm 0.5$ | $495.7 \pm 0.4$ | $493.9 \pm 1.4$ | $495.4 \pm 0.6$ |
| Abnormal (\%) | $0.740 \pm 0.099$ | $0.860 \pm 0.079$ | $1.220 \pm 0.284$ | $0.920 \pm 0.116$ |
| Amorphous (per 500 sperm) | $0.300 \pm 0.153$ | $0.500 \pm 0.224$ | $0.600 \pm 0.221$ | $0.600 \pm 0.221$ |
| Excessive hook (per 500 sperm) | $1.400 \pm 0.476$ | $0.900 \pm 0.379$ | $1.500 \pm 0.764$ | $1.500 \pm 0.269$ |
| No hook (per 500 sperm) | $1.20 \pm 0.25$ | $2.30 \pm 0.47$ | $3.10 \pm 0.82$ | $1.70 \pm 0.33$ |
| Pin-head (per 500 sperm) | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| Short-headed (per 500 sperm) | $0.800 \pm 0.249$ | $0.500 \pm 0.167$ | $0.900 \pm 0.277$ | $0.800 \pm 0.200$ |
| Two tails or heads (per 500 sperm) | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ |

## Female

| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Necropsy body wt. (g) | $200 \pm 6$ | $184 \pm 3^{* *}$ | $174 \pm 6^{* *}$ | $163 \pm 2^{* *}$ |
| Estrous cycle length (days) | $4.70 \pm 0.21$ | $4.70 \pm 0.15$ | $5.00 \pm 0.15$ | $5.56 \pm 0.47{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Estrous stages (\% of cycle) |  |  |  |  |
| Diestrus | 27.1 | 28.6 | 27.1 | 27.1 |
| Proestrus | 14.3 | 14.3 | 17.1 | 20.0 |
| Estrus | 27.1 | 27.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Metestrus | 31.4 | 30.0 | 34.3 | 31.4 |

[^63]Table H2
Summary of Reproductive Tissue Evaluations and Estrous Cycle Characterization for Mice in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 |
| Weights (g) |  |  |  |  |
| Necropsy body wt. (g) | $27.8 \pm 1.6$ | $25.4 \pm 0.4$ | $21.6 \pm 0.4^{* *}$ | $17.4 \pm 0.4 * *$ |
| R. cauda | $0.023 \pm 0.001$ | $0.020 \pm 0.002$ | $0.017 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ | $0.012 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ |
| R. epididymis | $0.086 \pm 0.005$ | $0.074 \pm 0.005$ | $0.055 \pm 0.002^{* *}$ | $0.048 \pm 0.003 * *$ |
| R. testis | $0.122 \pm 0.003$ | $0.122 \pm 0.004$ | $0.114 \pm 0.002$ | $0.102 \pm 0.005^{* *}$ |
| Epididymal spermatozoal parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Motility (\%) | $90.17 \pm 0.93$ | $92.96 \pm 2.12$ | $90.05 \pm 1.74$ | $85.79 \pm 9.36$ |
| Concentration |  |  |  |  |
| ( $10 \% / \mathrm{g}$ cauda epididymal tissue) | $988.1 \pm 64.0$ | $1,065.3 \pm 110$ | $1,163.2 \pm 116$ | 1,334.8 $\pm 157$ |
| Normal (per 500 sperm) | $494.7 \pm 0.7$ | $494.2 \pm 1.1$ | $494.4 \pm 0.8$ | $495.1 \pm 0.9$ |
| Abnormal (\%) | $1.060 \pm 0.140$ | $1.160 \pm 0.229$ | $0.940 \pm 0.133$ | $0.971 \pm 0.177$ |
| Amorphous (per 500 sperm) | $2.50 \pm 0.56$ | $2.40 \pm 1.01$ | $1.90 \pm 0.28$ | $1.71 \pm 0.52$ |
| Banana (per 500 sperm ) | $2.10 \pm 0.28$ | $2.30 \pm 0.42$ | $1.70 \pm 0.47$ | $2.43 \pm 0.30$ |
| Blunt hook (per 500 sperm) | $0.400 \pm 0.267$ | $0.500 \pm 0.224$ | $0.700 \pm 0.396$ | $0.143 \pm 0.143$ |
| Pin-head (per 500 sperm) | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.143 \pm 0.143$ |
| Short-headed (per 500 sperm) | $0.200 \pm 0.133$ | $0.200 \pm 0.133$ | $0.200 \pm 0.133$ | $0.143 \pm 0.143$ |
| Two tails or heads (per 500 sperm) | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.200 \pm 0.133$ | $0.100 \pm 0.100$ | $0.286 \pm 0.286$ |

## Female

| n | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Necropsy body wt. (g) | $25.8 \pm 1.1$ | $23.9 \pm 0.7$ | $18.5 \pm 0.3^{* *}$ | $16.0 \pm 0.6^{* *}$ |
| Estrous cycle length (days) | $4.00 \pm 0.00^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $4.00 \pm 0.00^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $4.11 \pm 0.11^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $5.43 \pm 0.48^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Estrous stages (\% of cycle) |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Diestrus | 32.9 | 22.9 | 20.0 | 25.7 |
| $\quad$ Prostrus | 18.6 | 21.4 | 12.9 | 12.9 |
| $\quad$ Estrus | 18.6 | 21.4 | 41.4 | 41.4 |
| $\quad$ Metestrus | 20.0 | 24.3 | 25.7 | 18.6 |
| $\quad$ Unclear diagnosis | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 1.4 |
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# CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND DOSE FORMULATION STUDIES 

Procurement and Characterization OF 2,2-BIS(BROMOMETHYY)-1,3-PROPANEDIOL

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was obtained from Dow Chemical Company (Rolling Meadows, IL) in one lot (840429-162), which was used during the 13 -week and 2 -year studies. Identity, purity, and stability analyses were conducted by the analytical chemistry laboratory, Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO). Reports on analyses performed in support of the 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol studies are on file at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS).

The chemical, a fine white powder, was identified as 2,2 -bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol by infrared, ultraviolet/visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. All spectra were consistent with the literature spectra (Sadtler Standard Spectra) of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (Figures I1 and I2).

The purity was determined by elemental analyses, Karl Fischer water analysis, thin-layer chromatography (TLC), and gas chromatography. TLC was performed on Silica Gel $60 \mathrm{~F}-254$ plates with two solvent systems: 1) toluene:methanol (80:20), and 2) chloroform:acetone (80:20) with 3-chloro-1,2-propanediol as a reference standard. Plates were examined under visible and ultraviolet light at 254 nm and 366 nm and with a spray of $0.5 \%$ potassium permanganate in 1 N sodium hydroxide. Gas chromatography was performed using a flame ionization detector and a nitrogen carrier gas. Two systems were used:
A) Tenax GC $60 / 80$ mesh column with a nitrogen flow rate of $17 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute and an oven temperature program of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, then $50^{\circ}$ to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per minute, and
B) $3 \%$ SP-2250 on $100 / 120$ Supelcoport column with a nitrogen flow rate of $70 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute and an isothermal oven temperature of $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Elemental analyses for carbon, hydrogen, and bromine were in agreement with the theoretical values for 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol. Karl Fischer water analysis indicated $0.3 \% \pm 0.1 \%$ water. TLC by each system indicated a major spot and one impurity. Gas chromatography by system A indicated one major peak and three impurities with areas greater than or equal to $0.1 \%$, and totaling $1.6 \%$ relative to the major peak. Gas chromatography using system B indicated a major peak and four impurities with areas greater than or equal to $0.1 \%$, and totaling $3.0 \%$ relative to the major peak.

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses were also conducted. HPLC was performed using a DuPont Zorbax ODS column with an isocratic solvent system of water:methanol (25:75) at a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute and indicated a major peak and nine impurities with areas greater than $0.1 \%$ and totaling $21.2 \%$. Samples were also analyzed with solvent systems containing $80 \%$ and $100 \%$ methanol as well as methanol:water ( $30: 70$ ). No additional impurities with relative areas greater than $1 \%$ were observed.

Five impurity peaks with areas of $1 \%$ or greater were detected in lot 840429-162. The impurities were further characterized by HPLC and direct inlet mass spectrometry (DIMS). The major peak and four of the impurities with peak areas greater than $1 \%$ were isolated by HPLC as described above, but with a water:methanol ( $38: 62$ ) solvent system. These impurities were then characterized by analysis with DIMS with electron impact, positive chemical ionization, and negative chemical ionization. Two impurities, 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane (6.6\%) and 2,2,-bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3hydroxypropane $(6.9 \%$ ), were identified. One impurity ( $1 \%$ ) was tentatively identified as a dimer of the
parent chemical. Another impurity peak ( $2.8 \%$ ) consisted of multiple components, including a structural isomer and a dimer of the parent compound (Figure 13).

A specific quantitation for an identified impurity was performed if a standard was available. The impurity identified as 1,1-bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane was quantitated against a standard obtained from Velsicol Chemical Company (Chicago, IL), by HPLC. HPLC as described previously, but with water:methanol (35:65) solvent system and velerophenone as an internal standard, indicated $7.5 \% \pm 0.1 \%$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane.

The impurity identified as pentaerythritol (reactant in the synthesis of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol) was quantitated against a pentaerythritol standard solution prepared by the analytical chemistry laboratory. HPLC as described with a water:methanol (25:75) solvent system detected a peak in the chromatographic profile of lot 840429-162 with a retention time that was consistent with that of the concomitantly analyzed pentaerythritol standard. Interference from the solvent was observed and the impurity peak could not be accurately quantitated. The amount of pentaerythritol observed was estimated at $0.2 \%$ by peak are comparison. The overall purity for lot $840429-162$ was determined to be approximately $78.6 \%$.

Stability studies of the bulk chemical were performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory. Stability studies were performed using gas chromatography system B as described previously for the purity analysis, except with a carrier gas flow rate of $60 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute and an isothermal oven temperature of $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These studies indicated that 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was stable as a bulk chemical for 2 weeks when stored protected from light at temperatures up to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To ensure stability, the bulk chemical was stored at room temperature in sealed containers, protected from light. Stability was monitored monthly during the 13 -week and 2 -year studies using gas chromatography. No degradation of the bulk chemical was detected.

## Preparation and analysis of Dose Formulations

The dose formulations for the 13 -week and 2 -year feed studies were prepared weekly by mixing the appropriate quantities of dry 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol with feed in a Udy ${ }^{\oplus}$ Cyclone Sample Mill to produce a premix. Premixes were then blended with more feed in a Patterson-Kelley Twin Shell ${ }^{\infty}$ blender for 15 minutes, with an intensifier bar used for the initial 5 minutes. The formulations were stored in sealed, double plastic bags for no longer than 21 days (13-week studies) or 15 days ( 2 -year studies) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Homogeneity and stability analyses of the dosed feed preparations were conducted by the analytical chemistry laboratory. For the homogeneity studies, samples of 630 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ formulations were analyzed. Samples ( 10 g ) of the dose formulations were extracted with 25 mL ( 630 ppm extract) or $100 \mathrm{~mL}(20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ extract) acetonitrile:water ( $90: 10$ ) and shaken for 30 minutes. The extracts were then centrifuged for 5 minutes. The $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ extract was then separated into 5 mL aliquots and diluted to 23 mL with the acetonitrile:water solution. To remove water from the extracts, 5 mL portions of the diluted $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ extract and the undiluted 630 ppm extract were combined with 3 g of anhydrous sodium sulfate and allowed to stand for 15 minutes with periodic shaking. Aliquots ( 3 mL ) of the anhydrous solution were added to 3 mL of derivatizing reagent (reagent-grade acetic anhydride in a solution of hexadecane diluted with pyridine) and then heated in a $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath for 15 minutes. Portions of the resulting solutions were then analyzed by gas chromatography using a flame ionization detector and $10 \%$ SP-2100 on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport and a nitrogen carrier gas at a flow rate of $30 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute and an oven temperature program of $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes, then $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ minute with a hold for 10 minutes at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the stability analyses, 630 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were
prepared, stored up to 21 days in the dark at $5^{\circ}$ or $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or under animal room conditions, then analyzed by the same gas chromatography method described for the homogeneity analysis. Homogeneity was confirmed; stability of the 630 ppm formulation was confirmed for at least 3 weeks when stored in sealed containers in the dark at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Based on these observations, the dose formulations were stored in the dark at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for no more than 3 weeks.

Periodic analyses of the dose formulations of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol were conducted at the study laboratory with gas chromatography using a flame ionization detector and $10 \%$ SP- 2100 on Supelcoport $100 / 120$ mesh and a nitrogen carrier gas at a flow rate of $30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{minute}$ and an isothermal oven temperature of $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, then $165^{\circ}$ to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per minute and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 minutes. For the 13 -week studies, dose formulations were analyzed at the beginning, in the middle, and at the end of the studies (Table I2). During the 2 -year studies, formulations were analyzed at least every 10 weeks (Table 13). All the dose formulations analyzed for rats and mice were within $10 \%$ of the target concentration during the 13 -week studies. During the 2 -year rat study, dose formulations were within $10 \%$ of the target concentrations $88 \%(75 / 85)$ of the time. The dose formulations found to be outside the acceptable limits were remixed and reanalyzed, and all formulations were within $10 \%$ of the target concentration except one $(-11 \%)$. The 2 -year mouse study dose formulations were within $10 \%$ of the target concentrations $98 \%(44 / 45)$ of the time. Results of periodic referee analyses performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory agreed with the results obtained by the study laboratory (Table I4).


Figure I1
Infrared Absorption Spectrum of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol


Figure 12
Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrum of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

78.6\% 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (Dibromoneopentyl Glycol)

6.9\% 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane (Tribromoneopentyl Alcohol)

6.6\% 2,2-Bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane (Monobromoneopentyltriol)

## Table Il

Preparation and Storage of Dose Formulations in the Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol
13-Week Studies 2-Year Studies

[^65]Table 12
Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 13-Week Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Determined } \\ & \text { Concentration }{ }^{\text {a }} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{aligned}$ | Difference from Target (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rats |  |  |  |  |
| 4 February 1986 | 5 February 1986 | 20 | $19.91{ }^{\text {b }}$ | -1 |
|  |  | 20 | $19.82^{\text {c }}$ | -1 |
|  |  | 20 | $19.55{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -2 |
| 8 April 1986 | 9 April 1986 | 1.25 |  | -4 |
|  |  | 1.25 | $1.186^{\text {e }}$ | -5 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.372 | -5 |
|  |  | 2.5 | $2.459^{\text {e }}$ | -2 |
|  |  |  | 4.705 | -6 |
|  |  | $5$ | $4.902^{\text {e }}$ | -2 |
| 9 April 1986 | 11 April 1986 | 10 | 9.74 | -3 |
|  |  | 20 | 20.26 | +1 |
| 20 May 1986 | 21 May 1986 | 1.25 | 1.274 | +2 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.242 | -1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.474 | -1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.513 | +1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.968 | -1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.917 | -2 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.91 | -1 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.76 | -2 |
|  |  | 20 | 19.57 | -2 |
|  |  | 20 | 19.59 | -2 |
| 28 July 1986 | 29 July 1986 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.414 | -3 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.018 | 0 |
| 28 July 1986 | 14 August 1986 | 10 | 9.81 | -2 |
|  |  | 20 | 19.66 | -2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 April 1986 | 9 April 1986 | 0.625 | 0.6652 | +6 |
|  |  | 0.652 | $0.6221^{\text {e }}$ | -1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.199 | -4 |
|  |  | 1.25 | $1.186^{\text {e }}$ | -5 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.372 | -5 |
|  |  | 2.5 | $2.459^{\text {e }}$ | -2 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.705 | -6 |
|  |  | 5 | $4.902{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -2 |
| 9 April 1986 | 11 April 1986 | 10 | 9.74 | -3 |

## Table 12

Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 13-Week Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed | Target Concentration (mg/g) | Determined Concentration $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g})$ | \% Difference from Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mice (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| $20 \text { May } 1986$ | 21 May 1986 | 0.625 | 0.6301 | +1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.274 | +2 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.474 | -1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.968 | -1 |
| 21 May 1986 | 22 May 1986 | 10 | 9.91 | -1 |
| 30 June 1986 | 1 July 1986 | 0.625 | 0.6072 | -3 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.212 | -3 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.476 | -1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.989 | 0 |
| 1 July 1986 | 2 July 1986 | 10 | 9.83 | -2 |

[^66]Table 13
Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed | Target Concentration (mg/g) | Determined Concentration ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (mg/g) | Difference from Target (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rats |  |  |  |  |
| 27 February 1989 | 28 February - 3 March 1989 | 20 | $20.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ | +2 |
|  |  | 20 | $19.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ | -2 |
|  |  | 20 | $20.1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | +1 |
| 17 March 1989 | 20-21 March 1989 | 2.5 | 2.66 | +6 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.70 | +8 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.50 | $+10$ |
|  |  | 5 | 4.26 | -15 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.4 | +4 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.1 | +1 |
|  |  | 20 | 19.6 | -2 |
|  |  | 20 | 21.2 | +6 |
| 23 March 1989 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 24 March 1989 | 5 | 5.07 | +1 |
| 18 May 1989 | 19, 20, and 22 May 1989 | 2.5 | 2.35 | -6 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.42 | -3 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.13 | +3 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.44 | +9 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
|  |  | 20 | 20.8 | +4 |
|  |  | 20 | 20.3 | +2 |
| 27 July 1989 | 27-29 July 1989 | 2.5 | 2.48 | -1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.52 | +1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.99 | 0 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.90 | -2 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.0 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.1 | +1 |
| 7 September 1989 | 8-9 September 1989 | 2.5 | 2.56 | +2 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.50 | 0 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.22 | +4 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.23 | +5 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.4 | +4 |
|  |  | 10 | 14.8 | +48 |
| 13 September $1989{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 14 September 1989 | 10 | 10.1 | $+1$ |
| 2 November 1989 | 2-4 November 1989 | 2.5 | 2.48 | -1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.68 | +7 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.25 | +5 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.18 | +4 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.5 | +5 |

Table 13
Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice
in the 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed Con | arget ntration g/g) | Determined Concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Difference from Target (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rats (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| 14 December 1989 | 14-16 December 1989 | 2.5 | 2.15 | -14 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.20 | -12 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.88 | -2 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.88 | -2 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.3 | +3 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.3 | +3 |
| 18 December $1989{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 19 December 1989 | 2.5 | 2.52 | +1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.55 | +2 |
| 8 February 1990 | 8-13 February 1990 | 2.5 | 2.47 | -1 |
|  |  | $2.5$ | 2.47 | -1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.92 | -2 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.02 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.4 | +4 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.92 | -1 |
| 5 April 1990 | 5-7 April 1990 | 2.5 | 2.57 | +3 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.90 | $+16$ |
|  |  | 5 | 5.62 | +12 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.42 | +8 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.98 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
| 10 April $1990^{\text {e }}$ | 10 April 1990 | $2.5$ | $2.40$ | -4 |
|  |  | $5$ | $3.80$ | -24 |
| 11 April $1990^{\text {e }}$ | 12-13 April 1990 | 5 | 4.47 | -11 |
| 21 June 1990 | 21-25 June 1990 | 2.5 | 2.62 | +5 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.64 | +6 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.74 | -5 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.09 | +2 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.0 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
| 16 August 1990 | 16-18 August 1990 | 2.5 | 2.46 | -2 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.65 | +6 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.11 | +2 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.03 | +1 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.0 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
| 25 October 1990 | 25, 26, and 29-31 October 1990 |  | 2.45 | -2 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.38 | -5 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.88 | -2 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.23 | -16 |
|  | - | 10 | 11.3 | $+13$ |
|  | - | 10 | 12.1 | $+21$ |

Table 13
Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed | Target Concentration $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathrm{g})$ | Determined Concentration (mg/g) | Difference from Target (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rats (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 November $1990^{\text {c }}$ | 1-2 November 1990 | 5 | 4.90 | -2 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.82 | -2 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.91 | -1 |
| 3 January 1991 | 3-6 January 1991 | 2.5 | 2.42 | -3 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.40 | -4 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.92 | -2 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.19 | +4 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.3 | +3 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.1 | +1 |
| 7 March 1991 | 7-9 March 1991 | 2.5 | 2.52 | +1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.42 | -3 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.16 | +3 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.40 | +8 |
|  |  | 10 | 11.1 | +11 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.4 | -6 |
| 12 March $1991{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 12-13 March 1991 | 10 | 10.4 | +4 |
| Mice |  |  |  |  |
| 27 February 1989 | 28 February - 3 March 1989 | 0.312 | $0.321^{\mathrm{b}}$ | +3 |
|  |  | $0.312$ | $0.313^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0 |
|  |  | 0.312 | $0.311^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0 |
| 7-8 March 1989 | 8-9 March 1989 | 0.312 | 0.336 | +8 |
|  |  | 0.312 | 0.332 | +6 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.663 | $+6$ |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.648 | +4 |
|  |  | $1.25$ | $1.32$ | +6 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.31 | +5 |
| 18 May 1989 | 19, 20, and 22 May 1989 |  | 0.324 | +4 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.610 | -2 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.20 | -4 |
| 27 July 1989 | 27-29 July 1989 | 0.312 | 0.321 | +3 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.599 | -4 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.24 | -1 |
| 7 September 1989 | 8-9 September 1989 | 0.312 | 0.332 | +6 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.626 | 0 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.24 | -1 |
| 2 November 1989 | 2-4 November 1989 |  |  | +3 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.618 | -1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.22 | -2 |

TABLE 13
Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed Co | Target Concentration (mg/g) | Determined Concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Difference from Target (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mice (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| 14 December 1989 | 14-16 December 1989 | 0.312 | 0.334 | +7 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.612 | -2 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.24 | -1 |
| 8 February 1990 | 8-13 February 1990 | 0.312 | 0.327 | +5 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.630 | +1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.22 | -2 |
| 5 April 1990 | 5-7 April 1990 | 0.312 | 0.311 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.617 | -1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.27 | +2 |
| 21 June 1990 | 21-25 June 1990 | 0.312 | 0.324 | $+4$ |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.586 | -6 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.16 | -7 |
| 16 August 1990 | 16-18 August 1990 | 0.312 | 0.319 | +2 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.659 | +6 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.22 | -2 |
| 25 October 1990 | 25,26, and 29-31 October 1990 |  | 0.322 | $+3$ |
|  |  | $0.625$ | $0.654$ | +5 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.24 | -1 |
| 3 January 1991 | 3-6 January 1991 | 0.312 | 0.312 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.619 | -1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.26 | +1 |
| 7 March 1991 | 7-9 March 1991 |  | 0.226 | -28 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.633 | $+1$ |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.26 | $+1$ |
| 12 March $1991{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 12-13 March 1991 | 0.312 | 0.285 | -9 |

[^67]Table 14
Results of Referee Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 13-Week and 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | Determined Concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date PreparedTarget Concentration <br> ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Study Laboratory ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Referee Laboratory ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 13-Week Studies (American Biogenics Corp.) |  |  |
| Rats |  |  |
| 8 April 1986 | 1.199 | $1.20 \pm 0.04$ |
| Mice |  |  |
| 1 July 198610 | 9.83 | $9.845 \pm 0.143$ |
| 2-Year Studies (Southern Research Institute) |  |  |
| Rats |  |  |
| 17 March 198910 | $10.3{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $10.9 \pm 1.06$ |
| 8 February 1990 2.5 | 2.47 | $2.51 \pm 0.13$ |
| Mice |  |  |
| 8 March 1989 - 0.625 | $0.656^{\text {c }}$ | $0.663 \pm 0.02$ |
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## APPENDIX J FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION IN THE 2-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF 2,2-BIS(BROMOMETHYL)-1,3-PROPANEDIOL
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Table J1
Feed and Compound Consumption by Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Week | 0 ppm |  | 2,500 ppm |  |  | 5,000 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) }{ }^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (mg/kg/day) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ /(\mathbf{g} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day (mg/kg/day) |
| 2 | 15.6 | 163 | 15.2 | 161 | 236 | 14.8 | 157 | 471 |
| 6 | 18.2 | 267 | 17.4 | 260 | 167 | 16.8 | 253 | 332 |
| 10 | 16.5 | 314 | 16.5 | 306 | 135 | 16.7 | 300 | 279 |
| 13 | 16.7 | 341 | 17.1 | 333 | 129 | 16.7 | 326 | 256 |
| 17 | 16.5 | 365 | 15.9 | 355 | 112 | 16.4 | 346 | 238 |
| 21 | 16.8 | 386 | 17.6 | 374 | 117 | 16.5 | 362 | 227 |
| 25 | 16.0 | 399 | 16.1 | 386 | 104 | 15.7 | 376 | 209 |
| 29 | 16.8 | 412 | 16.5 | 401 | 103 | 15.3 | 384 | 199 |
| 33 | 16.3 | 424 | 16.4 | 413 | 99 | 16.5 | 401 | 205 |
| 37 | 16.0 | 432 | 16.2 | 423 | 96 | 15.1 | 412 | 183 |
| 41 | 14.5 | 442 | 15.2 | 431 | 88 | 15.3 | 424 | 180 |
| 45 | 15.8 | 440 | 16.0 | 432 | 93 | 16.3 | 420 | 194 |
| 49 | 15.9 | 452 | 15.9 | 438 | 91 | 16.3 | 430 | 189 |
| 53 | 15.7 | 454 | 15.6 | 444 | 88 | 15.9 | 438 | 182 |
| 57 | 16.5 | -458 | 15.9 | 454 | 88 | 15.0 | 446 | 169 |
| 61 | 15.8 | 461 | 16.0 | 452 | 88 | 16.1 | 438 | 184 |
| 65 | 16.1 | 463 | 15.6 | 449 | 87 | 15.7 | 445 | 176 |
| 73 | 15.5 | 455 | 15.2 | 446 | 85 | 14.9 | 435 | 171 |
| 77 | 15.1 | 450 | 14.7 | 443 | 83 | 15.1 | 431 | 175 |
| 81 | 14.4 | 444 | 14.9 | 442 | 84 | 13.6 | 428 | 159 |
| 85 | 14.2 | 443 | 14.4 | 442 | 82 | 12.5 | 428 | 146 |
| 89 | 13.2 | 440 | 13.8 | 440 | 79 | 11.7 | 418 | 140 |
| 93 | 13.5 | 435 | 13.2 | 429 | 77 | 12.6 | 412 | 152 |
| 97 | 13.8 | 432 | 13.6 | 433 | 78 | 12.4 | 403 | 154 |
| 101 | 12.6 | 432 | 12.6 | 426 | 74 | 13.5 | 408 | 166 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 16.7 | 271 | 16.6 | 265 | 167 | 16.3 | 259 | 335 |
| 14-52 | 16.1 | 417 | 16.2 | 406 | 100 | 15.9 | 395 | 203 |
| 53-101 | 14.7 | 447 | 14.6 | 442 | 83 | 14.1 | 427 | 165 |

Table J1
Feed and Compound Consumption by Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Week | 0 ppm |  | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |  |  | 20,000 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feed } \\ & \text { (g/day) } \end{aligned}$ | Body Weight (g) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day (mg/kg/day) |
| 2 | 15.6 | 163 | 14.7 | 152 | 965 | 12.6 | 134 | 1,881 |
| 6 | 18.2 | 267 | 16.2 | 236 | 685 | 14.7 | 197 | 1,489 |
| 10 | 16.5 | 314 | 16.1 | 273 | 590 | 14.1 | 222 | 1,267 |
| 13 | 16.7 | 341 | 16.4 | 298 | 548 | 16.0 | 245 | 1,308 |
| 17 | 16.5 | 365 | 15.3 | 319 | 481 | 15.2 | 292 | 1,041 |
| 21 | 16.8 | 386 | 16.0 | 340 | 471 | 16.4 | 323 | 1,013 |
| 25 | 16.0 | 399 | 15.8 | 355 | 445 | 15.3 | 347 | 885 |
| 29 | 16.8 | 412 | 15.8 | 367 | 429 | 14.7 | 366 | 806 |
| 33 | 16.3 | 424 | 15.9 | 377 | 422 | 15.5 | 382 | 810 |
| 37 | 16.0 | 432 | 15.7 | 388 | 404 | 14.9 | 399 | 750 |
| 41 | 14.5 | 442 | 14.7 | 396 | 372 | 14.6 | 410 | 713 |
| 45 | 15.8 | 440 | 15.7 | 395 | 398 | 15.9 | 410 | 778 |
| 49 | 15.9 | 452 | 16.7 | 401 | 416 | 15.6 | 419 | 744 |
| 53 | 15.7 | 454 | 15.6 | 414 | 377 | 15.3 | 430 | 713 |
| 57 | 16.5 | 458 | 15.8 | 418 | 379 | 15.0 | 431 | 697 |
| 61 | 15.8 | 461 | 16.3 | 415 | 393 | 15.6 | 422 | 741 |
| 65 | 16.1 | 463 | 15.6 | 414 | 377 | 16.3 | 429 | 758 |
| 73 | 15.5 | 455 | 14.8 | 406 | 365 | 13.3 | 423 | 630 |
| 77 | 15.1 | 450 | 14.2 | 416 | 341 | 15.2 | 424 | 718 |
| 81 | 14.4 | 444 | 11.4 | 407 | 281 | 13.3 | 414 | 641 |
| 85 | 14.2 | 443 | 12.6 | 402 | 315 | 13.1 | 410 | 637 |
| 89 | 13.2 | 440 | 12.9 | 394 | 327 | 11.8 | 409 | 577 |
| 93 | 13.5 | 435 | 13.5 | 388 | 348 | 8.9 | 374 | 478 |
| 97 | 13.8 | 432 | 12.4 | 384 | 323 | 15.2 | 402 | 755 |
| 101 | 12.6 | 432 | 12.2 | 369 | 331 |  |  |  |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 16.7 | 271 | 15.8 | 240 | 697 | 14.4 | 200 | 1,486 |
| 14-52 | 16.1 | 417 | 15.7 | 371 | 426 | 15.4 | 372 | 838 |
| 53-101 | 14.7 | 447 | 14.0 | 402 | 347 | 13.9 | 415 | 668 |

[^69]TABLE J2
Feed and Compound Consumption by Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Week | 0 ppm |  | 2,500 ppm |  |  | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |  |  | 10,000 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \text { day })^{a} \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dosel } \\ \text { Day } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day $)$ | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | Dose $/$ Day (mg/kg/day) |
| 2 | 11.6 | 130 | 11.5 | 127 | 226 | 11.4 | 126 | 452 | 11.5 | 127 | 909 |
| 6 | 11.6 | 168 | 11.9 | 165 | 180 | 11.8 | 165 | 357 | 11.3 | 159 | 707 |
| 10 | 10.8 | 185 | 10.8 | 180 | 149 | 10.7 | 178 | 301 | 10.7 | 172 | 621 |
| 13 | 10.1 | 191 | 10.2 | 187 | 136 | 10.3 | 186 | 277 | 10.0 | 180 | 558 |
| 17 | 10.6 | 201 | 10.3 | 198 | 130 | 10.2 | 193 | 265 | 9.9 | 186 | 532 |
| 21 | 9.9 | 206 | 10.4 | 203 | 127 | 10.1 | 198 | 254 | 10.1 | 192 | 524 |
| 25 | 9.7 | 212 | 10.0 | 209 | 120 | 9.8 | 203 | 241 | 9.6 | 199 | 483 |
| 29 | 10.2 | 220 | 10.2 | 214 | 119 | 9.6 | 211 | 229 | 9.7 | 205 | 475 |
| 33 | 10.2 | 224 | 10.5 | 220 | 119 | 10.4 | 214 | 244 | 10.1 | 209 | 485 |
| 37 | 9.7 | 231 | 9.9 | 229 | 108 | 9.8 | 221 | 220 | 9.9 | 215 | 460 |
| 41 | 9.7 | 238 | 9.8 | 234 | 105 | 9.7 | 237 | 206 | 9.8 | 224 | 439 |
| 45 | 10.6 | 246 | 11.2 | 240 | 116 | 10.9 | 234 | 233 | 10.9 | 228 | 479 |
| 49 | 10.8 | 258 | 11.0 | 254 | 108 | 11.1 | 247 | 224 | 9.4 | 239 | 395 |
| 53 | 11.1 | 268 | 11.8 | 265 | 111 | 11.0 | 257 | 213 | 11.2 | 247 | 454 |
| 57 | 11.7 | 282 | 11.5 | 277 | 103 | 11.1 | 270 | 206 | 11.7 | 259 | 451 |
| 61 | 11.6 | 289 | 12.0 | 284 | 106 | 11.5 | 275 | 210 | 11.4 | 262 | 435 |
| 65 | 12.8 | 299 | 12.1 | 293 | 103 | 11.5 | 283 | 203 | 11.1 | 269 | 414 |
| 73 | 11.8 | 308 | 11.9 | 300 | 100 | 11.5 | 291 | 197 | 11.3 | 277 | 410 |
| 77 | 11.7 | 314 | 12.0 | 305 | 98 | 11.6 | 295 | 196 | 11.7 | 284 | 411 |
| 81 | 10.5 | 313 | 11.2 | 308 | 91 | 11.0 | 299 | 183 | 10.3 | 291 | 356 |
| 85 | 11.0 | 312 | 11.3 | 307 | 92 | 10.6 | 296 | 179 | 10.7 | 286 | 376 |
| 89 | 10.6 | 315 | 10.8 | 314 | 86 | 10.2 | 305 | 167 | 10.0 | 293 | 341 |
| 93 | 10.9 | 319 | 11.6 | 318 | 91 | 10.8 | 311 | 173 | 10.4 | 297 | 351 |
| 97 | 11.4 | 326 | 10.2 | 325 | 79 | 11.8 | 323 | 183 | 11.1 | 301 | 367 |
| 101 | 10.9 | 330 | 11.5 | 327 | 88 | 11.2 | 322 | 174 | 12.2 | 307 | 396 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 11.0 | 168 | 11.1 | 165 | 173 | 11.0 | 164 | 347 | 10.9 | 159 | 699 |
| 14-52 | 10.2 | 226 | 10.4 | 222 | 117 | 10.2 | 218 | 235 | 9.9 | 211 | 475 |
| 53-101 | 11.3 | 306 | 11.5 | 302 | 96 | 11.1 | 294 | 190 | 11.1 | 281 | 397 |

[^70]Table J3
Feed and Compound Consumption by Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Week | 0 ppm |  | 312 ppm |  |  | 625 ppm |  |  | 1,250 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \text { day })^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dosel } \\ \text { Day }^{\text {b }} \\ \text { (mg/kg/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day (mg/kg/day) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feed } \\ & \text { (g/day) } \end{aligned}$ | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day (mg/kg/day) |
| 2 | 4.4 | 24.9 | 4.6 | 24.9 | 57 | 4.4 | 24.8 | 111 | 4.6 | 24.7 | 230 |
| 6 | 4.9 | 28.8 | 4.9 | 28.7 | 53 | 5.0 | 28.3 | 109 | 4.9 | 28.2 | 218 |
| 10 | 4.7 | 31.8 | 5.0 | 32.2 | 48 | 4.7 | 31.4 | 94 | 4.8 | 31.1 | 193 |
| 13 | 4.6 | 33.5 | 4.7 | 33.7 | 43 | 4.7 | 32.9 | 90 | 4.7 | 32.6 | 180 |
| 17 | 4.7 | 34.8 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 45 | 5.1 | 34.0 | 94 | 4.9 | 33.8 | 182 |
| 21 | 4.4 | 36.9 | 4.8 | 37.7 | 40 | 4.8 | 36.7 | 81 | 4.8 | 36.3 | 164 |
| 25 | 4.3 | 38.8 | 4.6 | 39.6 | 36 | 4.4 | 38.5 | 72 | 4.6 | 37.8 | 150 |
| 29 | 4.5 | 40.7 | 4.6 | 41.3 | 35 | 4.6 | 40.1 | 72 | 4.7 | 39.7 | 148 |
| 33 | 4.7 | 42.2 | 4.7 | 43.5 | 34 | 4.9 | 41.7 | 73 | 5.0 | 41.2 | 153 |
| 37 | 4.8 | 43.6 | 4.7 | 44.4 | 33 | 4.9 | 43.2 | 70 | 4.8 | 42.4 | 143 |
| 41 | 4.4 | 44.5 | 4.7 | 44.8 | 32 | 4.6 | 44.1 | 65 | 4.8 | 43.3 | 140 |
| 45 | 4.2 | 46.4 | 4.4 | 46.8 | 29 | 4.5 | 45.9 | 61 | 4.6 | 45.1 | 127 |
| 49 | 4.4 | 46.7 | 4.7 | 46.9 | 31 | 4.5 | 46.5 | 61 | 4.4 | 45.2 | 120 |
| 53 | 4.4 | 47.3 | 4.7 | 47.6 | 31 | 4.7 | 46.9 | 62 | 4.5 | 46.1 | 123 |
| 57 | 4.5 | 48.2 | 4.7 | 49.1 | 30 | 4.6 | 48.5 | 59 | 4.8 | 47.5 | 125 |
| 61 | 4.7 | 48.8 | 4.7 | 49.4 | 30 | 4.7 | 49.1 | 60 | 4.5 | 48.1 | 118 |
| 65 | 4.7 | 49.0 | 4.9 | 49.2 | 31 | 4.8 | 49.4 | 61 | 4.8 | 48.0 | 126 |
| 69 | 4.5 | 47.6 | 4.7 | 48.9 | 30 | 4.6 | 48.5 | 60 | 4.4 | 47.9 | 116 |
| 73 | 4.3 | 48.4 | 4.7 | 48.7 | 30 | 4.6 | 47.8 | 60 | 4.5 | 47.6 | 119 |
| 77 | 4.5 | 48.1 | 4.7 | 49.1 | 30 | 4.6 | 48.5 | 60 | 4.5 | 47.7 | 119 |
| 81 | 4.4 | 47.3 | 4.6 | 47.8 | 30 | 4.3 | 47.1 | 57 | 4.4 | 46.0 | 120 |
| 85 | 4.7 | 48.8 | 4.9 | 48.7 | 32 | 4.8 | 48.5 | 61 | 4.9 | 46.2 | 133 |
| 90 | 4.2 | 49.4 | 4.5 | 49.3 | 29 | 4.5 | 47.9 | 58 | 4.3 | 47.2 | 115 |
| 93 | 4.1 | 49.7 | 4.5 | 49.3 | 28 | 4.4 | 48.6 | 56 | 4.4 | 46.9 | 117 |
| 97 | 4.5 | 49.8 | 4.7 | 48.9 | 30 | 4.5 | 48.6 | 58 | 4.6 | 46.6 | 124 |
| 101 | 4.4 | 48.7 | 4.5 | 48.3 | 29 | 4.5 | 47.6 | 59 | 4.4 | 44.6 | 122 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 4.7 | 29.7 | 4.8 | 29.9 | 50 | 4.7 | 29.3 | 101 | 4.7 | 29.2 | 205 |
| 14-52 | 4.5 | 41.6 | 4.7 | 42.2 | 35 | 4.7 | 41.2 | 72 | 4.7 | 40.5 | 148 |
| 53-101 | 4.4 | 48.5 | 4.7 | 48.8 | 30 | 4.6 | 48.2 | 59 | 4.6 | 47.0 | 121 |

[^71]Table J4
Feed and Compound Consumption by Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Week | 0 ppm |  | 312 ppm |  |  | 625 ppm |  |  | 1,250 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \text { day })^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dosel } \\ \text { Day } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day $)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})$ |
| 2 | 4.4 | 20.5 | 4.5 | 20.7 | 68 | 4.6 | 20.3 | 143 | 4.4 | 20.2 | 272 |
| 6 | 4.9 | 24.3 | 5.1 | 24.1 | 66 | 5.4 | 23.7 | 141 | 5.3 | 23.5 | 279 |
| 10 | 5.1 | 26.5 | 5.2 | 26.9 | 61 | 5.3 | 26.5 | 124 | 5.5 | 26.0 | 267 |
| 13 | 5.3 | 28.0 | 5.0 | 28.8 | 54 | 5.2 | 28.0 | 116 | 5.3 | 27.5 | 241 |
| 17 | 4.9 | 30.1 | 5.3 | 30.7 | 54 | 5.4 | 29.9 | 112 | 5.4 | 29.1 | 233 |
| 21 | 5.0 | 31.6 | 5.2 | 32.6 | 50 | 5.4 | 32.5 | 104 | 5.4 | 30.9 | 217 |
| 25 | 5.0 | 34.5 | 5.1 | 35.8 | 44 | 5.2 | 35.6 | 91 | 5.2 | 33.7 | 191 |
| 29 | 4.8 | 36.2 | 5.1 | 37.8 | 42 | 4.9 | 37.5 | 81 | 5.3 | 35.5 | 185 |
| 33 | 5.3 | 37.4 | 5.5 | 39.4 | 43 | 5.5 | 39.0 | 88 | 5.8 | 37.0 | 195 |
| 37 | 5.5 | 39.3 | 5.6 | 41.5 | 42 | 5.6 | 41.1 | 86 | 6.0 | 38.9 | 192 |
| 41 | 4.9 | 40.7 | 5.2 | 43.2 | 37 | 5.2 | 43.0 | 76 | 5.5 | 40.7 | 168 |
| 45 | 5.1 | 42.8 | 4.8 | 44.8 | 33 | 4.9 | 44.5 | 69 | 5.4 | 43.0 | 157 |
| 49 | 4.8 | 44.8 | 4.9 | 46.3 | 33 | 5.1 | 45.4 | 71 | 4.8 | 44.0 | 137 |
| 53 | 5.0 | 46.0 | 5.0 | 48.1 | 32 | 5.2 | 47.2 | 69 | 4.9 | 45.8 | 135 |
| 57 | 5.0 | 48.0 | 5.0 | 50.3 | 31 | 5.0 | 48.6 | 65 | 5.2 | 47.9 | 137 |
| 61 | 5.1 | 49.6 | 5.1 | 51.4 | 31 | 5.0 | 50.4 | 63 | 5.1 | 49.6 | 128 |
| 65 | 5.0 | 50.2 | 5.1 | 52.1 | 30 | 5.5 | 51.7 | 67 | 5.6 | 49.5 | 142 |
| 69 | 4.5 | 50.0 | 5.0 | 51.6 | 30 | 5.2 | 50.9 | 64 | 5.1 | 49.0 | 129 |
| 73 | 4.7 | 50.8 | 5.1 | 51.1 | 31 | 5.1 | 51.2 | 62 | 5.0 | 49.3 | 127 |
| 77 | 4.7 | 50.9 | 5.1 | 51.1 | 31 | 4.8 | 50.5 | 60 | 5.2 | 49.1 | 133 |
| 81 | 4.7 | 50.2 | 5.0 | 50.0 | 31 | 4.7 | 50.2 | 59 | 4.8 | 49.1 | 121 |
| 85 | 5.3 | 52.4 | 5.3 | 51.1 | 33 | 5.5 | 51.2 | 67 | 6.1 | 50.3 | 151 |
| 89 | 4.5 | 53.6 | 4.6 | 52.4 | 28 | 4.4 | 52.0 | 53 | 4.9 | 50.7 | 120 |
| 93 | 4.4 | 53.9 | 4.9 | 52.6 | 29 | 4.6 | 51.9 | 55 | 4.9 | 49.7 | 124 |
| 97 | 4.8 | 54.5 | 4.9 | 53.7 | 29 | 4.9 | 51.9 | 60 | 5.2 | 49.4 | 133 |
| 101 | 4.7 | 52.4 | 4.6 | 52.3 | 28 | 4.7 | 50.8 | 57 | 4.9 | 47.6 | 128 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 4.9 | 24.8 | 5.0 | 25.1 | 62 | 5.1 | 24.6 | 131 | 5.1 | 24.3 | 265 |
| 14-52 | 5.0 | 37.5 | 5.2 | 39.1 | 42 | 5.2 | 38.7 | 86 | 5.4 | 37.0 | 186 |
| 53-101 | 4.8 | 51.0 | 5.0 | 51.4 | 30 | 5.0 | 50.6 | 62 | 5.1 | 49.0 | 131 |
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Table K1
Ingredients of NIH-07 Rat and Mouse Ration ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Ingredients ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Percent by Weight |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ground \#2 yellow shelled corn |  |
| Ground hard winter wheat | 24.50 |
| Soybean meal (49\% protein) | 23.00 |
| Fish meal (60\% protein) | 12.00 |
| Wheat middlings | 10.00 |
| Dried skim milk | 10.00 |
| Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17\% protein) | 5.00 |
| Corn gluten meal (60\% protein) | 4.00 |
| Soy oil | 3.00 |
| Dried brewer's yeast | 2.50 |
| Dry molasses | 2.00 |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 1.50 |
| Ground limestone | 1.25 |
| Salt | 0.50 |
| Premixes (vitamin and mineral) | 0.50 |

NCI, 1976; NIH, 1978
Ingredients were ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed.

Table K2
Vitamins and Minerals in NIH-07 Rat and Mouse Ration ${ }^{1}$

|  | Amount | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vitamins |  |  |
| A | 5,500,000 IU | Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 4,600,000 IU | D-activated animal sterol |
| $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ | 2.8 g | Menadione |
| $d$ - $\alpha$-Tocopheryl acetate | 20,000 IU |  |
| Choline | 560.0 g | Choline chloride |
| Folic acid | 2.2 g |  |
| Niacin | 30.0 g |  |
| $d$-Pantothenic acid | 18.0 g | $d$-Calcium pantothenate |
| Riboflavin | 3.4 g |  |
| Thiamine | 10.0 g | Thiamine mononitrate |
| $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ | 4,000 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ |  |
| Pyridoxine | 1.7 g | Pyridoxine hydrochloride |
| Biotin | 140.0 mg | $d$-Biotin |
| Minerals |  |  |
| Iron | 120.0 g | Iron sulfate |
| Manganese | 60.0 g | Manganous oxide |
| Zinc | 16.0 g | Zinc oxide |
| Copper | 4.0 g | Copper sulfate |
| lodine | 1.4 g | Calcium iodate |
| Cobalt | 0.4 g | Cobalt carbonate |

[^73]Table K3
Nutrient Composition of NIH-07 Rat and Mouse Ration

| Nutrient | Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation | Range | Number of Samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protein (\% by weight) | $23.44 \pm 0.83$ | $21.30-25.20$ | 25 |
| Crude fat (\% by weight) | $5.24 \pm 0.22$ | $4.80-5.80$ | 25 |
| Crude fiber (\% by weight) | $3.60 \pm 0.55$ | $2.60-4.80$ | 25 |
| Ash (\% by weight) | $6.55 \pm 0.20$ | 6.12-7.10 | 25 |
| Amino Acids (\% of total diet) |  |  |  |
| Arginine | $1.287 \pm 0.084$ | $1.100-1.390$ | 10 |
| Cystine | $0.306 \pm 0.075$ | 0.181-0.400 | 10 |
| Glycine | $1.160 \pm 0.050$ | $1.060-1.220$ | 10 |
| Histidine | $0.580 \pm 0.024$ | 0.531-0.608 | 10 |
| Isoleucine | $0.917 \pm 0.034$ | 0.867-0.965 | 10 |
| Leucine | $1.972 \pm 0.052$ | 1.850-2.040 | 10 |
| Lysine | $1.273 \pm 0.051$ | 1.200-1.370 | 10 |
| Methionine | $0.437 \pm 0.115$ | 0.306-0.699 | 10 |
| Phenylalanine | $0.994 \pm 0.125$ | 0.665-1.110 | 10 |
| Threonine | $0.896 \pm 0.055$ | 0.824-0.985 | 10 |
| Tryptophan | $0.223 \pm 0.160$ | 0.107-0.671 | 10 |
| Tyrosine | $0.677 \pm 0.105$ | 0.564-0.794 | 10 |
| Valine | $1.089 \pm 0.057$ | 0.962-1.170 | 10 |
| Essential Fatty Acids (\% of total diet) |  |  |  |
| Linoleic | $2.389 \pm 0.233$ | $1.830-2.570$ | 9 |
| Linolenic | $0.277 \pm 0.036$ | $0.210-0.320$ | 9 |
| Vitamins |  |  |  |
| Vitamin A (IU/kg) | 6,664 $\pm 1,277$ | 4,273-9,190 | 25 |
| Vitamin D (IU/kg) | $4,450 \pm 1,382$ | 3,000-6,300 | 4 |
| $\alpha$-Tocopherol (ppm) | $36.92 \pm 9.32$ | 22.5-48.9 | 9 |
| Thiamine (ppm) | $19.76 \pm 2.65$ | 15.0-28.0 | 25 |
| Riboflavin (ppm) | $7.92 \pm 0.93$ | $6.10-9.00$ | 10 |
| Niacin (ppm) | $100.95 \pm 25.92$ | $65.0-150.0$ | 9 |
| Pantothenic acid (ppm) | $30.30 \pm 3.60$ | $23.0-34.6$ | 10 |
| Pyridoxine (ppm) | $9.25 \pm 2.62$ | $5.60-14.0$ | 10 |
| Folic acid (ppm) | $2.51 \pm 0.64$ | $1.80-3.70$ | 10 |
| Biotin (ppm) | $0.267 \pm 0.049$ | 0.19-0.35 | 10 |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ (ppb) | $40.14 \pm 20.04$ | 10.6-65.0 | 10 |
| Choline (ppm) | $3,068 \pm 314$ | $2,400-3,430$ | 9 |
| Minerals |  |  |  |
| Calcium (\%) | $1.22 \pm 0.11$ | 0.90-1.55 | 25 |
| Phosphorus (\%) | $0.95 \pm 0.04$ | 0.88-1.03 | 25 |
| Potassium (\%) | $0.887 \pm 0.067$ | $0.772-0.971$ | 8 |
| Chloride (\%) | $0.526 \pm 0.092$ | $0.380-0.635$ | 8 |
| Sodium (\%) | $0.315 \pm 0.344$ | 0.258-0.370 | 10 |
| Magnesium (\%) | $0.168 \pm 0.008$ | 0.151-0.180 | 10 |
| Sulfur (\%) | $0.274 \pm 0.063$ | 0.208-0.420 | 10 |
| Iron (ppm) | $356.2 \pm 90.0$ | $255.0-523.0$ | 10 |
| Manganese (ppm) | $92.24 \pm 5.35$ | 81.70-99.40 | 10 |
| Zinc (ppm) | $58.14 \pm 9.91$ | 46.10-81.60 | 10 |
| Copper (ppm) | $11.50 \pm 2.40$ | $8.090-15.39$ | 10 |
| Iodine (ppm) | $3.70 \pm 1.14$ | $1.52-5.83$ | 10 |
| Chromium (ppm) | $1.71 \pm 0.45$ | 0.85-2.09 | 9 |
| Cobalt (ppm) | $0.797 \pm 0.23$ | $0.490-1.150$ | 6 |

Table K4
Contaminant Levels in NIH-07 Rat and Mouse Ration ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Range | Number of Samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contaminants |  |  |  |
| Arsenic (ppm) | $0.30 \pm 0.16$ | 0.06-0.60 | 25 |
| Cadmium (ppm) | $0.08 \pm 0.02$ | 0.05-0.12 | 25 |
| Lead (ppm) | $0.27 \pm 0.18$ | $0.10-0.90$ | 25 |
| Mercury (ppm) | $0.03 \pm 0.02$ | 0.05-0.08 | 25 |
| Selenium (ppm) | $0.34 \pm 0.08$ | $0.15-0.52$ | 25 |
| Aflatoxins (ppb) | $<5.0$ |  | 25 |
| Nitrate nitrogen (ppm) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $15.22 \pm 4.43$ | 5.90-22.00 | 25 |
| Nitrite nitrogen (ppm) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.20 \pm 0.14$ | <0.10-0.60 | 25 |
| BHA (ppm) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $1.54 \pm 0.88$ | <1.00-4.00 | 25 |
| BHT (ppm) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $1.46 \pm 1.25$ | $<1.00-7.00$ | 25 |
| Aerobic plate count ( $\mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | $95,068 \pm 78,430$ | 4,700-380,000 | 25 |
| Coliform (MPN/g) | $28.84 \pm 31.01$ | $<3.00-93.00$ | 25 |
| Escherichia coli (MPN/g) | $3.32 \pm 1.21$ | $<3.00-9.00$ | 25 |
| Salmonella (MPN/g) | Negative |  |  |
| Total nitrosoamines ( ppb$)^{\text {e }}$ | $7.30 \pm 2.45$ | 2.00-13.70 | 25 |
| $N$-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $5.38 \pm 2.06$ | $1.00-11.00$ | 25 |
| $N$-Nitrosopyrrolidine (ppb) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.92 \pm 1.04$ | 1.00-4.30 | 25 |
| Pesticides (ppm) |  |  |  |
| $\alpha$-BHC | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| $\beta$-BHC | <0.02 |  | 25 |
| $\gamma$-BHC | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| $\delta$-BHC | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Heptachlor | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Aldrin | $<0.01$ |  | 25 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | $<0.01$ |  | 25 |
| DDE | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| DDD | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| DDT | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| HCB | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Mirex | $<0.01$ |  | 25 |
| Methoxychlor | <0.05 |  | 25 |
| Dieldrin | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Endrin | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Telodrin | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Chlordane | <0.05 |  | 25 |
| Toxaphene | <0.1 |  | 25 |
| Estimated PCBs | <0.2 |  | 25 |
| Ronnel | $<0.01$ |  | 25 |
| Ethion | <0.02 |  | 25 |
| Trithion | <0.05 |  | 25 |
| Diazinon | <0.1 |  | 25 |
| Methyl parathion | <0.02 |  | 25 |
| Ethyl parathion | <0.02 |  | 25 |
| Malathion | $0.27 \pm 0.29$ | 0.05-1.29 | 25 |
| Endosulfan I | $<0.01$ |  | 25 |
| Endosulfan II | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Endosulfan sulfate | <0.03 |  | 25 |
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## SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

## Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals and the study animals are subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Serum samples were collected from randomly selected rats and mice during the 13 -week and 2 -year studies. Blood from each animal was collected, allowed to clot and the serum separated. The samples were processed appropriately and sent to Microbiological Associates, Inc. (Bethesda, MD), for determination of antibody titers. The laboratory serology methods and viral agents for which testing was performed are tabulated below; the times at which blood was collected during the studies are also listed.

## Method and Test

## Time of Analysis

## RATS

13-Week Study
ELISA
CARB (cilia-associated respiratory bacillus)
Mycoplasma arthritidis
Mycoplasma pulmonis
PVM (pneumonia virus of mice)
RCV/SDA (rat coronavirus/ sialodacryoadenitis virus)
Sendai
Hemagglutination Inhibition
$\mathrm{H}-1$ (Toolan's $\mathrm{H}-1$ virus)
KRV (Kilham rat virus)
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination

## 2-Year Study

ELISA
M. arthritidis
M. pulmonis

PVM
RCV/SDA
Sendai
Immunofluorescence Assay RCV/SDA

Hemagglutination Inhibition
H-1
6, 12, and 18 months, study termination
KRV

6,12 , and 18 months, study termination

## Mice

## 13-Week Study

## Complement Fixation

LCM (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus)
Study termination

## ELISA

Ectromelia virus Study termination
GDVII (mouse encephalomyelitis virus) Study termination
Mouse adenoma virus
MHV (mouse hepatitis virus)
M. arthritidis
M. pulmonis

PVM
Reovirus 3
Sendai
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Immunofluorescence Assay
EDIM (epizootic diarrhea of infant mice)
Study termination
Hemagglutination Inhibition
K (papovavirus)
MVM (minute virus of mice)
Polyoma virus
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination

## 2-Year Study

ELISA
Ectromelia virus 6, 12, and 18 months, study termination
EDIM
GDVII
LCM
MVM
Mouse adenoma virus
MHV
PVM
Reovirus 3
Sendai
18 months
6,12 , and 18 months, study termination
6,12 , and 18 months, study termination
6 months
6 and 18 months, study termination
$6,12,18,21$, and 22 months, study termination
6,12 , and 18 months, study termination
$6,12,18,21$, and 22 months, study termination
$6,12,18,21$, and 22 months, study termination
Immunofluorescence Assay
EDIM
GDVII
LCM
MVM
Mouse adenoma virus
MHV
Hemagglutination Inhibition
K
MVM
6 and 12 months, study termination
18 months
18 months and study termination
12 months
12 and 18 months
18 months

Polyoma virus
6,12 , and 18 months, study termination
18 months and study termination
6,12 , and 18 months, study termination
Results of serology tests are presented in Table L1.

## Table L1

Murine Virus Antibody Determinations for Rats and Mice in the 13-Week and 2-Year Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Interval | Incidence of Antibody in Sentinel Animals | Positive Serologic Reaction for |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13-Week Studies |  |  |
| Rats |  |  |
| Study termination | 0/10 | None positive |
| Mice |  |  |
| Study termination | $0 / 1^{\text {a }}$ | None positive |
| 2-Year Studies |  |  |
| Rats |  |  |
| 6 Months | 0/10 | None positive |
| 12 Months | 0/10 | None positive |
| 18 Months | 0/10 | None positive |
| Study termination | 2/9 | M. arthritidis ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Mice |  |  |
| 6 Months | 0/8 | None positive |
| 12 Months | 0/10 | None positive |
| 18 Months | $0 / 9$ | None positive |
| 21 Months | 2/10 | MHV |
| 22 Months | 0/10 | None positive |
| Study termination | 4/4 | MHV |
|  | 10/10 | MHV |
|  | $4 / 5$ | MHV |
| a Six samples were received at Microbiological Associates, Inc.; however, on the day they were to be tested, five vials were found to be empty. |  |  |
| b Further evaluation of samples positive for $M$. arthritidis by immunoblot and Western blot procedures indicated that the positive titers may be due to cross reaction with antibodies of nonpathogenic Mycoplasma or other agents. Only sporadic samples were positive and there were no clinical signs or histopathologic changes of $M$. arthritidis infection in rats with positive titers. Accordingly, M. arthritidispositive titers were considered to be false positives. |  |  |
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TABLE D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)


## General Body System

None

Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


Lesions in Female Mice

Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)


Table D2
Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ (continued)

|  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Days on Study | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
|  | 1 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 9 |  |



| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Urinary System


## Systemic Lesions

Multiple organs $\quad+++++++++++++++++++++++++$
Histiocytic sarcoma
X
Lymphoma malignant Iymphocytic
Lymphoma malignant mixed

## Table D2

Individual Animal Tumor Pathology of Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol: $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ (continued)


Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harderian Gland: Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2/52 (4\%) | 6/50 (12\%) | 8/51 (16\%) | 15/50 (30\%) |
| Adjusted rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.3\% | 17.7\% | 23.7\% | 55.7\% |
| Terminal rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0/37 (0\%) | 3/30 (10\%) | 4/26 (15\%) | 3/11 (27\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 447 | 669 | 557 | 551 |
| Life table test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.105$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.030$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.125$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.040$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $P=0.122$ | $P=0.043$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Harderian Gland: Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/52 (2\%) | $6 / 50$ (12\%) | $5 / 51$ (10\%) | $7 / 50$ (14\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.5\% | 17.6\% | $16.1 \%$ | 25.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 4/30 (13\%) | 3/26 (12\%) | 0/11 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 646 | 627 | 669 | 575 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.043$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.073$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.007$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.095$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.052$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.098$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.033$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.051$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.050$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.098$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.026$ |
| Harderian Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/52 (6\%) | 12/50 (24\%) | 13/51 (25\%) | 19/50 (38\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 6.7\% | 33.3\% | 37.5\% | 64.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 7/30 ( $23 \%$ ) | $7 / 26$ (27\%) | 3/11 (27\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 447 | 627 | 557 | 551 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.009$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 16/51 (31\%) | 12/50 (24\%) | $5 / 50$ (10\%) | 16/49 (33\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 39.4\% | 36.8\% | 17.9\% | 74.1 \% |
| Terminal rate | 13/37 (35\%) | 10/30 (33\%) | 4/26 (15\%) | $7 / 11$ (64\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 569 | 627 | 707 | 480 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.458 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.042 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.181$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.305 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.197$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $P=0.490$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.273 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.007 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.531$ |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 5/51 (10\%) | $8 / 50$ (16\%) | 5/50 (10\%) | 3/49 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 13.5\% | 20.2\% | 17.2\% | 19.6\% |
| Terminal rate | 5/37 (14\%) | 2/30 (7\%) | 4/26 (15\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 579 | 557 | 642 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.411$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.211$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.423$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.345$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.314 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.258$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.588$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.522$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.202 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.264$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.617$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.380 \mathrm{~N}$ |

Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)


Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pancreatic Islets: Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 1/51 (2\%) | 3/50 (6\%) | 2/49 (4\%) | 2/49 (4\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 2.1\% | 10.0\% | 6.4\% | 13.2\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 3/30 (10\%) | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 569 | 743 (T) | 707 | 669 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.164$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.252$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.456$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.274$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.370$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.295$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.477$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.490$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.484$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.301$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.485$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.485$ |
| Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/50 (8\%) | 8/48 (17\%) | 2/48 (4\%) | 5/46 (11\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 10.8\% | 24.0\% | 8.0\% | 21.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 4/37 (11\%) | $5 / 29$ (17\%) | 2/25 (8\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 619 | 743 (T) | 537 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.126$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.098$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.528 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.093$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.493$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.133$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.528 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.421$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.535 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.159$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.359 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.447$ |
| Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma or Carcinoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 4/50 (8\%) | $8 / 48$ (17\%) | 3/48 (6\%) | 5/46 (11\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 10.8\% | 24.0\% | 12.0\% | 21.9\% |
| Terminal rate | $4 / 37$ (11\%) | 5/29 (17\%) | 3/25 (12\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 619 | 743 (T) | 537 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.103$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.098$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.603$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.093$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.452$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.133$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.603$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.421$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.553 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.159$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.523 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.447$ |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/52 (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 11/50 (22\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 11.1\% | 38.1\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 1/26 (4\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 696 | 536 | 480 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.466$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.053$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.491$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.058$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.490$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.057$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibrosarcoma or Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 0/52 (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 4/51 (8\%) | 12/50 (24\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 11.1\% | 42.8\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 1/26 (4\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 696 | 536 | 480 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.466$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.053$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.491$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.058$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}<0: 001$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.490$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.057$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stomach (Forestomach): Squamous Cell Papilloma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 52$ (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | $5 / 51$ (10\%) | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 16.6\% | 24.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 3/26 (12\%) | 2/11 (18\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | 625 | 639 | 677 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.495$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.017$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.022$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.504$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.029$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.028$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.070$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.490$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.027$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.114$ |
| Thyroid Gland (Follicular Cell): Adenoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/51 (6\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 3/51 (6\%) | 0/50 (0\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 8.1\% | 3.3\% | 10.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/37 (8\%) | 1/30 (3\%) | 2/26 (8\%) | 0/11 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 743 (T) | 709 | - |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.429 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.382 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.509$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.396 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.355 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.382 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.589$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.396 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.137 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.316 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.661 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.125 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Uterus: Stromal Polyp |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $3 / 52$ (6\%) | 1/50 (2\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 1/50 (2\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 8.1\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/37 (8\%) | 1/30 (3\%) | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/11 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 743 (T) | - | 733 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.487 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.382 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.698$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.448 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.382 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.711 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.207 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.342 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.125 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.324 \mathrm{~N}$ |
| Uterus: Stromal Polyp or Stromal Sarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | 3/52 (6\%) | 2/50 (4\%) | 0/51 (0\%) | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 8.1\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | 18.3\% |
| Terminal rate | 3/37 (8\%) | 2/30 (7\%) | 0/26 (0\%) | 0/11 (0\%) |
| First incidence (days) | 743 (T) | 743 (T) | - | 615 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}=0.206$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.596 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.194$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.361$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.596 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.388$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.584$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.519 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.125 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.642$ |
| All Organs: Hemangiosarcoma |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $0 / 52$ (0\%) | 0150 (0\%) | $0 / 51$ (0\%) | 3/50 (6\%) |
| Adjusted rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.9\% |
| Terminal rate | 0/37 (0\%) | 0/30 (0\%) | 0/26 (0\%) | 1/11 (9\%) |
| First incidence (days) | - | - | - | 672 |
| Life table test | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | - | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | - | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.055$ |
| Cochran-Armitage test | $\mathrm{P}=0.011$ |  |  |  |
| Fisher exact test |  | - | - | $\mathrm{P}=0.114$ |

Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## All Organs: Hemangioma or Hemangiosarcoma

Overall rate
Adjusted rate
Terminal rate
First incidence (days)
Life table test
Logistic regression test
Cochran-Armitage test
Fisher exact test

| $1 / 52(2 \%)$ | $2 / 50(4 \%)$ | $0 / 51(0 \%)$ | $5 / 50(10 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2.7 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ |
| $1 / 37(3 \%)$ | $0 / 30(0 \%)$ | $0 / 26(0 \%)$ | $1 / 11(9 \%)$ |
| $743(\mathrm{~T})$ | 635 | - | 649 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.003$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.453$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.570 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.008$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.024$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.484$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.570 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.039$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.041$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.485$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.505 \mathrm{~N}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=0.094$ |  |  |

All Organs: Malignant Lymphoma (Lymphocytic, Mixed, or Undifferentiated Cell Type)

Overall rate
Adjusted rate
Terminal rate
First incidence (days)
Life table test
Logistic regression test
Cochran-Armitage test
Fisher exact test

## All Organs: Histiocytic Sarcoma

## Overall rate

Adjusted rate
Terminal rate
First incidence (days)
Life table test
Logistic regression test
Cochran-Armitage test
Fisher exact test
All Organs: Benign Neoplasms

## Overall rate

Adjusted rate
Terminal rate
First incidence (days)
Life table test
Logistic regression test
Cochran-Armitage test
Fisher exact test
All Organs: Malignant Neoplasms
Overall rate
Adjusted rate
Terminal rate
First incidence (days)
Life table test
Logistic regression test
Cochran-Armitage test
Fisher exact test

| $5 / 52(10 \%)$ | $10 / 50(20 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12.5 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| $4 / 37(11 \%)$ | $5 / 30(17 \%)$ |
| 285 | 386 |
| $P=0.193$ | $P=0.089$ |
| $P=0.334 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.121$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.312 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |
|  | $P=0.115$ |


| $9 / 51(18 \%)$ | $4 / 50(8 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $27.6 \%$ | $36.4 \%$ |
| $5 / 26(19 \%)$ | $4 / 11(36 \%)$ |
| 543 | $743(\mathrm{~T})$ |
| $P=0.092$ | $P=0.165$ |
| $P=0.183$ | $P=0.607$ |
| $P=0.184$ | $P=0.525 \mathrm{~N}$ |


| $1 / 52(2 \%)$ | $4 / 50(8 \%)$ | $2 / 51(4 \%)$ | $1 / 50(2 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2.7 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| $1 / 37(3 \%)$ | $2 / 30(7 \%)$ | $1 / 26(4 \%)$ | $0 / 11(0 \%)$ |
| $743(\mathrm{~T})$ | 579 | 726 | 610 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.440$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.140$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.387$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.579$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.517 \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.165$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.442$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.739$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.415 \mathrm{~N}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=0.169$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.493$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.743$ |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $29 / 52(56 \%)$ | $29 / 50(58 \%)$ | $29 / 51(57 \%)$ | $34 / 50(68 \%)$ |
| $63.7 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ | $93.9 \%$ |
| $21 / 37(57 \%)$ | $21 / 30(70 \%)$ | $17 / 26(65 \%)$ | $9 / 11(82 \%)$ |
| 285 | 619 | 557 | 480 |
| $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.246$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.146$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.020$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.478$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.536$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.058$ |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.119$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}=0.489$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.535$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.143$ |


| $17 / 52(33 \%)$ | $33 / 50(66 \%)$ | $31 / 51(61 \%)$ | $36 / 50(72 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $40.9 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ |
| $13 / 37(35 \%)$ | $15 / 30(50 \%)$ | $16 / 26(62 \%)$ | $8811(73 \%)$ |
| 285 | 386 | 397 | 456 |
| $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Table D3
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{p p m}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 2} \mathbf{~ p p m}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 5} \mathbf{p p m}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 0} \mathbf{p p m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Organs: Benign or Malignant Neoplasms |  |  |  |  |
| Overall rate | $37 / 52(71 \%)$ | $43 / 50(86 \%)$ | $43 / 51(84 \%)$ | $42 / 50(84 \%)$ |
| Adjusted rate | $76.8 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ | $97.6 \%$ |
| Terminal rate | $26 / 37(70 \%)$ | $25 / 30(83 \%)$ | $23 / 26(88 \%)$ | $10 / 11(91 \%)$ |
| First incidence (days) | 285 | 386 | 397 | 456 |
| Life table test | $\mathbf{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.043$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Logistic regression test | $\mathrm{P}=0.059$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.055$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.086$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.042$ |
| Cochran-Armitane test | $\mathbf{P}=0.114$ |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.056$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.085$ |
| Fisher exact test |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.094$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## (T)Terminal sacrifice

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of neoplasm-bearing animals/number of animals examined. Denominator is number of animals examined microscopically for liver, lung, pancreatic islets, thyroid gland, and uterus; for other tissues, denominator is number of animals necropsied.
b Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality
c Observed incidence at terminal kill
d Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the exposed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposed group. The life table test regards neoplasms in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. For all tests, a negative trend or a lower incidence in an exposure group is indicated by $\mathbf{N}$.
e Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

Table D4a
Historical Incidence of Harderian Gland Neoplasms in Untreated Female B6C3F1 Mice ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carconoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $3 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $3 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $3 / 50$ | 0/50 | 3/50 |
| Ethylene Glycol | $2 / 50$ | 0/50 | $2 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | 1/50 | 1/50 | $2 / 50$ |
| o-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 | 1/50 |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 3/50 | 0/50 | 3/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| Roxatsone | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 5/1,470 (3.5\%) | 8/1,470 (0.5\%) | 59/1,470 (4.0\%) |
| Standard deviation | 3.1\% | 0.9\% | 3.1\% |
| Range | 0\%-10\% | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-10\% |

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table D4b
Historical Incidence of Alveolar/bronchiolar Neoplasms in Untreated Female B6C3F $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoma | Carcinoma | Adenoma or Carconoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $1 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | 3/50 | $1 / 50$ | 4/50 |
| Ethylene Glycol | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| Nitrofurantoin | $2 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ | $3 / 50$ |
| o-Nitroanisole | $4 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ | $6 / 50$ |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $3 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $3 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $3 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| Rhodamine 6G | 3/50 | 1/50 | $4 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $1 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 89/1,469 (5.6\%) | 30/1,469 (2.0\%) | 110/1,469 (7.5\%) |
| Standard deviation | 4.8\% | 2.2\% | 5.0\% |
| Range | 0\%-24\% | 0\%-8\% | 2\%-26\% |

[^75]Table D4c
Historical Incidence of Subcutaneous Tissue Skin Neoplasms in Untreated Female B6C3F ${ }_{1}$ Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sarcoma | Fibrosarcoma or Sarcoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $1 / 50$ | 3/50 |
| Ethylene Glycol | 1/50 | 1/50 |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| o-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| p-Nitrobenzoic Acid | 1/50 | $1 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | $4 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | 0/50 | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |
| Total | 3/1,470 (0.2\%) | 21/1,470 (1.4\%) |
| Standard deviation | 0.6\% | 2.2\% |
| Range | 0\%-2\% | 0\%-8\% |

a Data as of 31 March 1993

Table D4d
Historical Incidence of Forestomach Squamous Cell Papilloma in Untreated Female B6C3F $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Study Incidence in Controls

## Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute

Benzyl Acetate $0 / 50$
C.I. Pigment Red $2300 / 50$
C.I. Pigment Red 3 0/50

Ethylene Glycol 0/50
Nitrofurantoin $\quad 1 / 50$
$\begin{array}{ll}o \text {-Nitroanisole } & 3 / 50\end{array}$
$p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid $\quad 1 / 50$
Polysorbate $80 \quad 0 / 50$
Rhodamine 6G 1/50
Roxarsone 0/50

## Overall Historical Incidence

| Total | $31 / 1,470(2.1 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Standard deviation | $2.9 \%$ |
| Range | $0 \%-14 \%$ |

[^76]Table D4e
Historical Incidence of Mammary Gland Adenoacanthoma and Carcinoma in Untreated Female B6C3F Mice $^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adenoacanthoma | Carcinoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | 0150 | $1 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Ethylene Glycol | $0 / 50$ | $1 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $0 / 50$ | 5/50 |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Polysorbate 80 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Rhodamine 6G | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | 2/50 |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |
| Total | 0/1,470 (0.0\%) | 22/1,470 (1.5\%) |
| Standard deviation |  | 2.8\% |
| Range |  | 0\%-10\% |

[^77]Table D4f
Historical Incidence of Hemangioma and Hemangiosarcoma in Untreated Female B6C3F Mice ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Study | Incidence in Controls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hemangioma | Hemangiosarcoma | Hemangioma or Heamngiosarcoma |
| Historical Incidence at Southern Research Institute |  |  |  |
| Benzyl Acetate | $2 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ |
| C.I. Pigment Red 23 | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | 0/50 |
| C.I. Pigment Red 3 | $2 / 50$ | 2/50 | 3/50 |
| Ethylene Glycol | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Nitrofurantoin | $1 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ | $3 / 50$ |
| $o$-Nitroanisole | $2 / 50$ | 1/50 | 3/50 |
| $p$-Nitrobenzoic Acid | $0 / 50$ | $4 / 50$ | 4/50 |
| Polysorbate 80 | 1/50 | $0 / 50$ | 1/50 |
| Rhodamine 6G | 1/50 | $2 / 50$ | $2 / 50$ |
| Roxarsone | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ | $0 / 50$ |
| Overall Historical Incidence |  |  |  |
| Total | 21/1,470 (1.4\%) | 42/1,470 (2.9\%) | 60/1470 (4.1\%) |
| Standard deviation | 2.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% |
| Range | 0\%-8\% | 0\%-8\% | 0\%-8\% |

[^78]Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disposition Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Animals initially in study | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 15-Month interim evaluation | 8 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Early deaths |  |  |  |  |
| Moribund | 9 | 14 | 14 | 29 |
| Natural deaths | 6 | 6 | 11 | 10 |
| Survivors |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal sacrifice | 37 | 30 | 26 | 11 |
| Animals examined microscopically | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

15-Month Interim Evaluation

## Alimentary System

Esophagus
Mucosa, hyperplasia

| (8) | (10) | (9). | (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
|  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
|  | 1 (10\%) |  | 1 (10\%) |
| 2 (25\%) | 1 (10\%) |  | 1 (10\%) |
|  | (1) | (1) | (2) |
|  |  | 1 (100\%) |  |
|  | $1(100 \%)$ |  | 2 (100\%) |
| (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
|  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
|  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
|  | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) | 1 (10\%) |
| (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
|  |  | 2 (22\%) | 3 (30\%) |
| 1 (13\%) |  | 3 (33\%) | 3 (30\%) |

Basophilic focus
Eosinophilic focus
Inflammation, subacute
Mesentery
Inflammation, chronic
Fat, necrosis
Pancreas
Atrophy
Focal cellular change
Salivary glands
Hyperplasia, lymphoid
Stomach, forestomach
Ulcer
Mucosa, hyperplasia
(8)
(10)
(9)
(10)

2 (20\%)
Endocrine System

| Adrenal cortex | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accessory adrenal cortical nodule |  |  |  | 2 (20\%) |
| Islets, pancreatic | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hyperplasia |  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| Parathyroid gland | (8) | (9) | (9) | (9) |
| Cyst |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Ectopic tissue | 1 (13\%) |  |  | 1 (11\%) |
| Pituitary gland | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Pars distalis, hyperplasia, focal | 1 (13\%) |  |  |  |
| Thyroid gland | (8) | (10) | (9) | (9) |
| Degeneration, cystic |  | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Follicle, cyst |  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| Follicular cell, hyperplasia |  |  |  | 1 (11\%) |

[^79]Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Genital System |  |  |  |  |
| Clitoral gland | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Ectasia | 7 (88\%) | 9 (90\%) | 8 (89\%) | 10 (100\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (13\%) |  |  |  |
| Pigmentation |  |  | 2 (22\%) |  |
| Ovary | (8) | (10) | (9) | (9) |
| Angiectasis |  |  | 1 (11\%) | 1 (11\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (13\%) | 1 (10\%) |  | 1 (11\%) |
| Uterus | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hydrometra |  | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) | 1 (10\%) |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 7 (88\%) | 10 (100\%) | 9 (100\%) | 10 (100\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 2 (25\%) | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) | 1 (10\%) |
| Metaplasia, squamous |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Hematopoietic System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone marrow | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hypercellularity |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Lymph node, mandibular | (8) | (10) |  | (10) |
| Hemorriage |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (13\%) | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| Spleen | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hematopoietic cell proiliferation |  |  | 1 (11\%) | 2 (20\%) |
| Pigmentation, hemosiderin |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Lymphoid follicle, hyperplasia |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | (7) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Inflammation, subacute |  |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hyperostosis | 1 (13\%) |  |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Infiltration celluar, histiocyte | 1 (13\%) |  |  |  |
| Thrombosis |  |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia | 1 (13\%) |  |  |  |
| Nose |  | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Exudate | 1 (13\%) |  | 1 (11\%) |  |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |
| Harderian gland | (4) | (5) | (4) | (7) |
| Hyperplasia |  |  | 1 (25\%) | 1 (14\%) |

Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-Month Interim Evaluation (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Casts protein | 2 (25\%) | 5 (50\%) | 3 (33\%) | 4 (40\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (13\%) |  | 1 (11\%) | 1 (10\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 2 (20\%) | 2 (22\%) |  |
| Renal tubule, regeneration |  | 1 (10\%) |  | 1 (10\%) |
| Transitional epithelium, hyperplasia |  | 1 (10\%) |  |  |
| Urinary bladder | (8) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 1 (10\%) | 1 (11\%) |  |

Systems Examined With No Lesions Observed

## Cardiovascular System

General Body System
Nervous System

## 2-Year Study

Alimentary System

| Gallbladder | (49) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\quad$ Dilatation |  |
| Intestine large, cecum | $(52)$ |
| $\quad$ Edema | $(6 \%)$ |
| Intestine small, duodenum | $(51)$ |
| $\quad$ Ulcer | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Intestine small, jejunum | $(49)$ |
| $\quad$ Peyer's patch, hyperplasia | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Intestine small, ileum | $(51)$ |
| $\quad$ Amyloid deposition | $(51)$ |
| Liver | $1(2 \%)$ |
| $\quad$ Basophilic focus |  |
| Clear cell focus | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Cyst | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Degeneration, fatty | $4(8 \%)$ |
| Developmental malformation | $9(18 \%)$ |
| Eosinophilic focus | $3(6 \%)$ |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | $5(10 \%)$ |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | $1(2 \%)$ |
| Inflammation, subacute | $6(12 \%)$ |
| Mixed cell focus | $2(4 \%)$ |
| Centrilobular, necrosis | $4(8 \%)$ |
| Kupffer cell, hyperplasia | $(4)$ |
| Kupffer cell, pigmentation | $4(100 \%)$ |
| Lobules, necrosis |  |
| Mesentery |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |
| Fat, necrosis |  |

(47)
(50)
$1 \quad(2 \%)$
$(50)$
(49)

$(50)$
$1(2 \%)$
$(50)$
$3(6 \%)$
$1(2 \%)$

$1(2 \%)$
$6(12 \%)$
$1(2 \%)$
$2(4 \%)$
$2(4 \%)$
$1(2 \%)$
$4(8 \%)$
$7(14 \%)$
$(6)$
$5(83 \%)$

| (47) | (45) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| (49) | (50) |
| 4 (8\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| (47) | (49) |
| (49) | (47) |
| (47) | (47) |
| (50) | (49) |
| 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| 1 (2\%) |  |
| 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |
|  | 1 (2\%) |
| 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| 4 (8\%) | 15 (31\%) |
| 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| 7 (14\%) | 12 (24\%) |
| 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| 6 (12\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| (7) | (6) |
| 1 (14\%) |  |
| 4 (57\%) | 5 (83\%) |

Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Alimentary System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Pancreas | (51) | (50) | (49) | (48) |
| Atrophy | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Focal cellular change |  |  |  | 4 (8\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid |  | 2 (4\%) |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Acinar cell, cytoplasmic alteration | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Salivary glands | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Stomach, forestomach | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Diverticulum |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Ulcer | 5 (10\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Mucosa, hyperkeratosis |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia | 9 (18\%) | $5(10 \%)$ | 13 (25\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Stomach, glandular | (51) | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Ectopic tissue | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Edema | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Erosion | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, subacute | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Mineralization |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Ulcer |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Cardiovascular System |  |  |  |  |
| Blood vessel | (1) |  |  |  |
| Inflammation, subacute | $1(100 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| Heart | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Mineralization |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Thrombosis |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Myocardium, necrosis |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Endocrine System |  |  |  |  |
| Adrenal cortex | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Accessory adrenal cortical nodule | 5 (10\%) | 6 (12\%) | 6 (12\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Angiectasis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Cyst |  | 2 (4\%) |  |  |
| Degeneration, fatty |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Hyperplasia, focal |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hypertrophy, focal | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Capsule, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Adrenal medulla | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Islets, pancreatic | (51) | (50) | (49) | (49) |
| Hyperplasia | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  | 3 (6\%) |

Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Endocrine System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Parathyroid gland | (44) | (45) | (49) | (47) |
| Cyst | 2 (5\%) | 4 (9\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Pituitary gland | (50) | (48) | (48) | (46) |
| Pars distalis, angiectasis | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Pars distalis, cyst | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Pars distalis, hyperplasia, focal | 8 (16\%) | 4 (8\%) | 5 (10\%) | 8 (17\%) |
| Thyroid gland | (51) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Degeneration, cystic | 12 (24\%) | 6 (12\%) | 13 (25\%) | 14 (28\%) |
| Ectopic thymus |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Follicle, cyst | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Follicular cell, hyperplasia | 13 (25\%) | 15 (30\%) | 9 (18\%) | 2 (4\%) |

## General Body System

None

| Genital System |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clitoral gland | (52) | (48) | (51) | (50) |
| Ectasia | 2 (4\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Ovary | (51) | (49) | (51) | (48) |
| Angiectasis | 9 (18\%) | 5 (10\%) | 17 (33\%) | 11 (23\%) |
| Cyst | 10 (20\%) | 12 (24\%) | 11 (22\%) | 16 (33\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 8 (16\%) | 2 (4\%) | 4 (8\%) | 6 (13\%) |
| Uterus | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Angiectasis | 2 (4\%) | 6 (12\%) | 16 (31\%) | 17 (34\%) |
| Hydrometra | 10 (19\%) | 5 (10\%) | 7 (14\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 46 (88\%) | 41 (82\%) | 43 (84\%) | 45 (90\%) |
| Inflammation, granulomatous |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, suppurative | 9 (17\%) | 2 (4\%) | 5 (10\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Metaplasia, squamous | 4 (8\%) | 3 (6\%) |  |  |

## Hematopoietic System

Bone marrow
Hypercellularity
Myelofibrosis
Necrosis
Lymph node

Bronchial, hyperplasia, lymphoid
Iliac, hematopoietic cell proliferation
Iliac, hyperplasia, lymphoid
Inguinal, hyperplasia, lymphoid
Mediastinal, hyperplasia, lymphoid
Mediastinal, inflammation, suppurative
Pancreatic, hematopoietic cell proliferation
(52)

13 (25\%)
7 ( $13 \%$ )
1 (2\%)
(9)
$1(11 \%)$
1 (11\%)
6 (67\%) 1 (8\%)

2 (22\%)

Pancreatic, hyperplasia, lymphoid
Pancreatic, necrosis
Renal, hyperplasia, lymphoid

1 (8\%)
$(50)$
$15(30 \%)$
$5(10 \%)$
$(12)$

$1(8 \%)$
$1(8 \%)$
(51)
(50)
$15(29 \%$
$6(12 \%$
(6)
(9)

7 (14\%)

1 (11\%)
3 (33\%)

3 (33\%)
1 (11\%)
1 (11\%)
1 (11\%)
1 (8\%)
2 (17\%)
5 (56\%)

Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic System (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Lymph node, mandibular | (48) | (46) | (50) | (46) |
| Atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%). |
| Hemorrhage |  |  |  | 2 (4\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 5 (10\%) | 6 (13\%) | 8 (16\%) | 11 (24\%) |
| Pigmentation | 6 (13\%) | 5 (11\%) |  | 7 (15\%) |
| Lymph node, mesenteric | (49) | (48) | (46) | (48) |
| Angiectasis | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Hemorthage | 4 (8\%) | 4 (8\%) | 1 (2\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 5 (10\%) | 3 (6\%) | 4 (9\%) | 9 (19\%) |
| Pigmentation |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Spleen | (51) | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Hematopoietic cell proliferation | 20 (39\%) | 25 (50\%) | 25 (50\%) | 39 (78\%) |
| Hemorrhage |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Pigmentation, hemosiderin | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Lymphoid follicle, atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Lymphoid follicle, hyperplasia | 8 (16\%) | 3 (6\%) | 6 (12\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Red pulp, atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Thymus | (46) | (47) | (46) | (42) |
| Atrophy | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) | 4 (9\%) | 4 (10\%) |
| Ectopic parathyroid gland | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Integumentary System |  |  |  |  |
| Mammary gland | (52) | (50) | (50) | (49) |
| Hyperplasia, cystic | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lobular | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Skin | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Acanthosis |  | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Edema |  |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Inflammation, subacute |  | $1(2 \%)$ | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Inflammation, suppurative |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Musculoskeletal System |  |  |  |  |
| Bone | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Hyperostosis | 1 (2\%) |  | $3(6 \%)$ | 2 (4\%) |
| Nervous System |  |  |  |  |
| Brain | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Compression |  | 4 (8\%) | $2(4 \%)$ |  |
| Hemorrhage | 1 (2\%) |  | $1(2 \%)$ |  |
| Inflammation, chronic |  |  | $2(4 \%)$ |  |
| Necrosis |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Peripheral nerve | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Atrophy | 1 (100\%) |  |  |  |

Table D5
Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Year Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| Respiratory System |  |  |  |  |
| Lung | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Congestion |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Foreign body |  | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hemorrhage | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 3 (6\%) | 5 (10\%) | 6 (12\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Infiltration cellular, histiocyte | 1 (2\%) | 5 (10\%) | 5 (10\%) | 5 (10\%) |
| Inflammation, subacute | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Mineralization |  |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Thrombosis | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) | 3 (6\%) | 8 (16\%) | 15 (30\%) |
| Nose | (52) | (50) | (51) | (50) |
| Exudate | 2 (4\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Special Senses System |  |  |  |  |
| Eye |  | (2) | (3) | (7) |
| Cataract |  | 2 (100\%) | 1 (33\%) | 2 (29\%) |
| Inflammation, chronic |  | 1 (50\%) |  | 5 (71\%) |
| Phthisis bulbi |  |  | 2 (67\%) | 1 (14\%) |
| Harderian gland | (18) | (27) | (27) | (33) |
| Hyperplasia | 1 (6\%) | 1 (4\%) | 2 (7\%) |  |
| Urinary System |  |  |  |  |
| Kidney | (51) | (50) | (51) | (49) |
| Casts protein | 17 (33\%) | 17 (34\%) | 13 (25\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Cyst | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) |  |
| Glomerulosclerosis | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |  |  |
| Hydronephrosis |  |  |  | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 9 (18\%) | 9 (18\%) | 5 (10\%) | 4 (8\%) |
| Metaplasia, osseous | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Mineralization | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Renal tubule, atrophy | 1 (2\%) |  |  |  |
| Renal tubule, cytoplasmic alteration | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) |  |
| Renal tubule, dilatation | 3 (6\%) | 1 (2\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) |
| Renal tubule, necrosis |  |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Renal tubule, pigmentation | 1 (2\%) |  | 1 (2\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Renal tubule, regeneration | 7 (14\%) | 10 (20\%) | 11 (22\%) | 6 (12\%) |
| Urinary bladder | (51) | (50) | (50) | (50) |
| Edema | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 2 (4\%) | 3 (6\%) |
| Inflammation, subacute |  |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
| Mucosa, hyperplasia | 1 (2\%) |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (2\%) |
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## GENETIC TOXICOLOGY

## Salmonella Mutagenicity Test Protocol

Testing was performed as reported by Mortelmans et al. (1986) and Zeiger et al. (1992). 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was sent to the laboratory as a coded aliquot from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX). It was incubated with the Salmonella typhimurium tester strains (TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537) either in buffer or S9 mix (metabolic activation enzymes and cofactors from Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver) for 20 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Top agar supplemented with $l$-histidine and $d$-biotin was added, and the contents of the tubes were mixed and poured onto the surfaces of minimal glucose agar plates. Histidine-independent mutant colonies arising on these plates were counted following incubation for 2 days at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Each trial consisted of triplicate plates of concurrent positive and negative controls and at least five doses of 2,2 -bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol. The high dose was limited by toxicity in the second study. Because toxicity was not a limiting factor in the first study, $10,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ plate was selected as the high dose. All positive assays were repeated under the conditions which elicited the positive response.

In this assay, a positive response is defined as a reproducible, dose-related increase in histidineindependent (revertant) colonies in any one strain/activation combination. An equivocal response is defined as an increase in revertants that is not dose related, not reproducible, or is of insufficient magnitude to support a determination of mutagenicity. A negative response is obtained when no increase in revertant colonies is observed following chemical treatment. There was no minimum percentage or fold increase required for a chemical to be judged positive or weakly positive.

## Chinese Hamster Ovary Cell Cytogenetics Protocols

Testing was performed as reported by Galloway et al. (1987). 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was sent to the laboratory as a coded aliquot by Radian Corporation. It was tested in cultured Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells for induction of sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) and chromosomal aberrations (Abs), both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat liver S9 and cofactor mix. Cultures were handled under gold lights to prevent photolysis of bromodeoxyuridine-substituted DNA. Each test consisted of concurrent solvent and positive controls and of at least three doses of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol; the high dose was limited by toxicity. A single flask per dose was used, and tests yielding equivocal or positive results were repeated.

Sister Chromatid Exchange Test: In the SCE test without S9, CHO cells were incubated for 26.3 hours with 2,2-bis(bromométhyl)-1,3-propanediol in McCoy's 5A medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum, $l$-glutamine, and antibiotics. Bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) was added 2 hours after culture initiation. After 26.3 hours, the medium containing 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was removed and replaced with fresh medium plus BrdU and Colcemid, and incubation was continued for 2 hours. Cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Hoechst 33258 and Giemsa. In the SCE test with S9, cells were incubated with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, serum-free medium, and S9 for 2 hours. The medium was then removed and replaced with medium containing serum and BrdU and no 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, and incubation proceeded for an additional 25.5 hours, with Colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Harvesting and staining were the same as for cells treated without S9. All slides were scored blind and those from a single test were read by the same person. Generally, fifty second-division metaphase cells were scored for frequency of SCEs/cell from each dose level. Because significant chemical-induced cell cycle delay was seen in the test without S9, incubation time was
lengthened at the 167 and $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose levels to ensure a sufficient number of scorable (second-division metaphase) cells.

Statistical analyses were conducted on the slopes of the dose-response curves and the individual dose points (Galloway et al., 1987). An SCE frequency $20 \%$ above the concurrent solvent control value was chosen as a statistically conservative positive response. The probability of this level of difference occurring by chance at one dose point is less than 0.01 ; the probability for such a chance occurrence at two dose points is less than 0.001 . An increase of $20 \%$ or greater at any single dose was considered weak evidence of activity; increases at two or more doses resulted in a determination that the trial was positive. A statistically significant trend $(P<0.05)$ in the absence of any responses reaching $20 \%$ above background led to a call of equivocal.

Chromosomal Aberrations Test: In the Abs test without S9, cells were incubated in McCoy's 5A medium with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol for 18.5 hours; Colcemid was added and incubation continued for 2 hours. The cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Giemsa. For the Abs test with S9, cells were treated with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol and S9 for 2 hours, after which the treatment medium was removed and the cells were incubated for 8.5 hours in fresh medium, with Colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Cells were harvested in the same manner as for the treatment without S9. The harvest time for the Abs test was based on the cell cycle information obtained in the SCE test. Because cell cycle delay was anticipated in the test conducted without $S 9$, the incubation period was extended approximately 10 to 12 hours.

Cells were selected for scoring on the basis of good morphology and completeness of karyotype ( $21 \pm 2$ chromosomes). All slides were scored blind and those from a single test were read by the same person. Generally, 100 first-division metaphase cells were scored at each dose level. Classes of aberrations included simple (breaks and terminal deletions), complex (rearrangements and translocations), and other (pulverized cells, despiralized chromosomes, and cells containing 10 or more aberrations).

Chromosomal aberration data are presented as percentage of cells with aberrations. To arrive at a statistical call for a trial, analyses were conducted on both the dose response curve and individual dose points. For a single trial, a statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) difference for one dose point and a significant trend ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.015$ ) were considered weak evidence for a positive response; significant differences for two or more doses indicated the trial was positive. A positive trend test in the absence of a statistically significant increase at any one dose led to an equivocal call (Galloway et al., 1987). Ultimately, the trial calls were based on a consideration of the statistical analyses as well as the biological information available to the reviewers.

## Mouse Bone Marrow Micronucleus Test Protocols

Two bone marrow studies were performed. The first employed a 3-dose gavage protocol, with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol administered at 24-hour intervals followed by bone marrow sampling 24 hours after the third dosing. The second study used a single intraperitoneal injection followed by bone marrow sampling 48 hours after dosing. In the first study, male $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice were administered 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in corn oil by gavage three times at 24 -hour intervals. Solvent control animals were administered corn oil alone, and the positive control mice received injections of 12.5 mg dimethylbenzanthracene per kg body weight. In the second study, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was administered to male and female $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice by a single intraperitoneal injection. The solvent control mice were again administered corn oil and the positive control mice were administered urethane ( $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). In both studies, smears of the bone marrow cells obtained from the femurs were prepared, air-dried, fixed, and stained. In the gavage study, 2,000 polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs)
were scored for frequency of micronucleated cells in each of 5 animals per dose group. In the injection study, 3 or 4 animals were available for micronucleus analysis in each dose group, and 1,000 PCEs were scored per animal. The results were tabulated as the mean of the pooled results from all animals within a treatment group, plus or minus the standard error of the mean. For the three-treatment gavage study, the frequency of micronucleated cells among PCEs was analyzed by a statistical software package (ILS, 1990) which employed a one-tailed trend test across dose groups and a $t$-test for pairwise comparisons of each dose group to the concurrent control. Data from the single injection micronucleus test were analyzed by the Cochran-Armitage trend test and pairwise comparisons of dose groups to the corresponding negative controls were made using a $t$-test.

## Mouse Peripheral Blood Micronucleus Test Protocol

A detailed discussion of this assay is presented in MacGregor et al. (1990). Peripheral blood samples were obtained from male and female $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ mice at the end of the 13 -week toxicity study. Smears were immediately prepared and fixed in absolute methanol. The methanol-fixed slides were sent to the USDA Western Regional Research Center in Albany, CA, where they were stained with a chromatin-specific fluorescent dye mixture of Hoechst $33258 /$ pyronin Y (MacGregor et al., 1983), and coded. Slides were scanned at 630 or $1,000 \times$ magnification using a semi-automated image analysis system to determine the frequency of micronuclei in 10,000 normochromatic erythrocytes (NCEs) in as many as 10 animals per dose group. The criteria of Schmid (1976) were used to define micronuclei, with the additional requirement that the micronuclei exhibit the characteristic fluorescent emissions of DNA (blue with 360 nm and orange with 540 nm UV illumination); the minimum size limit was approximately onetwentieth the diameter of the NCE cell.

Log transformation of the NCE data, testing for normality by the Shapiro-Wilk test, and testing for heterogeneity of variance by Cochran's test were performed before statistical analyses. The frequency of micronucleated cells among NCEs was analyzed by analysis of variance using the SAS GLM procedure. The NCE data for each dose group were compared with the concurrent solvent control using a Student's $t$-test.

## Results

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was shown to be mutagenic in vitro and in vivo, but the conditions required to observe the positive responses were highly specific, and 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was not active in all assays. In the two Salmonella assays reported here (Table E1), 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol gave a positive response only in the second assay (Zeiger et al., 1992), which used a different concentration of S9 than the first assay (Mortelmans et al., 1986). Metabolic activation, specifically in the form of $30 \%$ Aroclor 1254 -induced male Syrian hamster liver S 9 , was required to obtain the mutagenic response; $10 \%$ hamster S 9 was ineffective, as was $10 \%$ or $30 \% \mathrm{~S} 9$ derived from livers of pretreated rats. No other Salmonella strain/activation combination was responsive to the effects of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol.

In cytogenetic tests with CHO cells (Galloway et al., 1987), 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol did not induce SCEs, with or without S9 (Table E2), but a dose-related increase in Abs was observed in CHO cells treated in the presence of induced rat liver S 9 (Table E3). Both tests were conducted up to doses which induced marked cytotoxicity; cell confluence in the SCE test was reduced $75 \%$ at the top dose tested with $\mathrm{S} 9(1,200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$. A majority of the breaks which were observed in the aberration assay were located in the heterochromatic region of the long arm of the $X$ chromosome. The reason for this preferential breakage site is not known. Also, the type of damage pattern seen with 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-

1,3-propanediol (induction of chromosomal aberrations but not sister chromatid exchanges) is unusual. Most chemicals which induce Abs also induce SCEs (Galloway et al., 1987).

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was also shown to be genotoxic in vivo. Significant increases in micronucleated normochromatic erythrocytes were observed in peripheral blood samples obtained from male and female mice exposed for 13 weeks to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed (Table E6). These increases were observed in the two highest dose groups of male mice ( 5,000 and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and the three highest dose groups of female mice ( 2,500 to $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).

In the first of two mouse bone marrow micronucleus tests performed to confirm the positive results seen in the 13 -week feed study, inconsistent results were obtained between two trials which used the same dose range of 100 to $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol, administered by gavage three times at 24-hour intervals (Table E4). Results of the first trial were negative; however, in the second trial, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol produced a clear, dose-related increase in micronucleated PCEs. Because the positive response was not reproduced, the results were concluded to be equivocal.

In an attempt to clarify the results obtained in the first bone marrow micronucleus test, a second investigation was performed using both male and female mice. 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was administered as a single intraperitoneal injection ( 150 to $600 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and bone marrow samples were taken 48 hours after dosing. The results of this experiment, shown in Table E5, provide evidence of the ability of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol to induce micronuclei in bone marrow cells of female mice. Although male mice in all three dose groups showed a two-fold increase in the frequency of micronucleated PCEs, the trend test was not significant due to the similarity in the responses, and pairwise analyses were also insignificant. The response in female mice was somewhat stronger ( 2.5 -fold increase over background, at the highest dose) and was directly related to increasing doses of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol. These results were consistent with the stronger response observed in female mice in the 13 -week feed study (Table E4).

In conclusion, 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was genotoxic in vitro and in vivo, inducing gene mutations in Salmonella strain TA100, chromosomal aberrations in Chinese hamster ovary cells, and micronuclei in erythrocytes of male and female mice. The in vitro responses required S 9.

Table E1
Mutagenicity of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in Salmonella typhimurium ${ }^{\text {a }}$


Table E1
Mutagenicity of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in Salmonella typhimurium (continued)

| Strain | Dose ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{plate}$ ) | Revertants/plate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -S9 |  | $+30 \%$ hamster S 9 |  | +30\% rat S9 |
|  |  | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 1 | Trial 2 |  |
| Study performed at SRI, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TA100 | 0 | $159 \pm 3.5$ | $161 \pm 11.1$ | $151 \pm 4.7$ | $160 \pm 10.2$ | $170 \pm 9.0$ |
|  | 10 |  | $149 \pm 11.8$ |  |  |  |
|  | 33 |  | $164 \pm 13.5$ |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | $152 \pm 7.0$ | $150 \pm 10.4$ | $156 \pm 8.1$ | $172 \pm 11.5$ | $154 \pm 10.1$ |
|  | 333 | $161 \pm 12.7$ | $154 \pm 5.4$ | $233 \pm 15.6$ | $225 \pm 17.5$ | $154 \pm 3.5$ |
|  | 1,000 | $154 \pm 5.8$ | $188 \pm 4.2$ | $335 \pm 11.9$ | $364 \pm 21.4$ | $157 \pm 5.8$ |
|  | 1,666 |  |  |  | $414 \pm 32.8$ |  |
|  | 3,333 | $0 \pm 0.0^{\text {d }}$ |  | $533 \pm 14.9$ | $502 \pm 32.4$ | $171 \pm 5.5$ |
|  | 6,666 |  |  | $477 \pm 39.8$ |  | $173 \pm 8.1$ |
| Trial summary Positive control |  | Negative | Negative | Positive | Positive | Negative |
|  |  | $503 \pm 5.2$ | $1,132 \pm 62.5$ | $812 \pm 50.9$ | $845 \pm 18.8$ | $529 \pm 7.9$ |
| Revertants/plate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strain | Dose ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{plate}$ ) | -S9 |  | + 30\% S9 |  |  |
|  |  | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | hamster | rat |  |
| TA98 | 0 | $28 \pm 2.2$ | $32 \pm 6.1$ | $35 \pm 2.7$ | $43 \pm 3.5$ |  |
|  | 10 |  | $32 \pm 4.7$ |  |  |  |
|  | 33 |  | $41 \pm 5.5$ |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | $30 \pm 3.5$ | $32 \pm 0.3$ | $36 \pm 3.5$ | $46 \pm 4.5$ |  |
|  | 333 | $35 \pm 2.9$ | $29 \pm 0.6$ | $34 \pm 2.9$ | $44 \pm 6.1$ |  |
|  | 1,000 | $27 \pm 3.3$ | $44 \pm 4.7$ | $30 \pm 1.8$ | $50 \pm 5.8$ |  |
|  | 3,333 | $23 \pm 3.4^{\text {d }}$ |  | $39 \pm 3.8$ | $31 \pm 3.8$ |  |
|  | 6,666 | toxic |  | $29 \pm 3.5$ | $40 \pm 1.5$ |  |
| Trial summary Positive control |  | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |  |
|  |  | $677 \pm 20.6$ | $464 \pm 26.2$ | $770 \pm 11.3$ | $168 \pm 3.5$ |  |

[^80]Table E2
Induction of Sister Chromatid Exchanges in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells by 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Compound | Dose ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | Total Cells | No. of Chromosomes | No. of SCEs | SCEs/ <br> Chromosome | $\begin{gathered} \text { SCEs/ } \\ \text { Cell } \end{gathered}$ | Hrs <br> in BrdU | Relative Change of SCEs/ Chromosome ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -S9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethylsulfoxide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 50 | 1,038 | 496 | 0.47 | 9.9 | 26.3 |  |
| Mitomycin-C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.005 | 25 | 519 | 692 | 1.33 | 27.7 | 26.3 | 179.03 |
| 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.7 | 50 | 1,041 | 485 | 0.46 | 9.7 | 26.3 | -2.50 |
|  | 50 | 50 | 1,042 | 498 | 0.47 | 10.0 | 26.3 | 0.02 |
|  | 167 | 50 | 1,050 | 545 | 0.51 | 10.9 | $33.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8.62 |
|  | 500 | 0 |  |  |  |  | $33.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}=0.077^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
| +S9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary: Equivocal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethylsulfoxide 50  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.5 | 25 | 523 | 840 | 1.60 | 33.6 | 25.5 | 240.00 |
| 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 800 | 50 | 1,048 | 556 | 0.53 | 11.1 | 25.5 | 12.31 |
|  | 1,000 | 50 | 1,047 | 590 | 0.56 | 11.8 | 25.5 | 19.29 |
|  | 1,200 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 50 | 1,046 | 574 | 0.54 | 11.5 | 25.5 | 16.17 |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.004$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^81]TABLE E3
Induction of Chromosomal Aberrations in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells by 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  | -S9 |  |  |  |  | + S9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Dose } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}) \end{gathered}$ | Total Cells | No. of Abs | Abs/ Cell | Cells with Abs (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dose } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}) \end{gathered}$ | Total Cells | No. of Abs | Abs/ Cell | Cells with Abs (\%) |
| Harvest time: 20.5 hours ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  | Harvest time: 10.5 hours |  |  |  |  |
| Summary: Negative |  |  |  |  | Summary: Positive |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethylsulfoxide |  |  |  |  | Dimethylsulfoxide |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 2 | 0.02 | 2.0 |  | 100 | 5 | 0.05 | 5.0 |
| Mitomycin-C |  |  |  |  | Cyclophosphamide |  |  |  |  |
| 0.062 | 50 | 10 | 0.20 | 16.0 | 50 | 50 | 19 | 0.38 | 28.0 |
| 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol |  |  |  |  | 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol |  |  |  |  |
| 400 | 100 | 1 | 0.01 | 1.0 | 600 | 100 | 8 | 0.08 | 4.0 |
| 500 | 100 | 2 | 0.02 | 2.0 | 800 | 100 | 24 | 0.24 | 22.0* |
| 600 | 100 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1,000 | 100 | 17 | 0.17 | 16.0* |
| 700 | 0 |  |  |  | 1,200 | 0 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}=0.833^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{P} \leq 0.001$ |

[^82]Table E4
Frequency of Micronuclei in Bone Marrow Cells of Male Mice
Treated with 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol by Gavage ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Dose $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})^{\mathrm{b}} \quad$ Micronucleated Cells/1,000 PCEs ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$

Trial 1 - Negative
Dimethylbenzanthracene ${ }^{\text {d }}$
12.5

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| 0 | $1.4 \pm 0.6$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 100 | $0.7 \pm 0.4$ |
| 200 | $2.5 \pm 0.5$ |
| 300 | $2.0 \pm 0.7$ |
| $400^{e}$ | $1.2 \pm 1.2$ |
|  | $P=0.220^{f}$ |

Trial 2 - Positive
Dimethylbenzanthracene

$$
12.5
$$

$$
7.8 \pm 1.3
$$

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| 0 | $1.5 \pm 0.5$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 100 | $2.3 \pm 0.3$ |
| 200 | $2.6 \pm 0.7$ |
| 400 | $4.8 \pm 1.2^{*}$ |
|  | $P=0.000$ |

[^83]Table E5
Frequency of Micronuclei in Bone Marrow Cells of Mice
Treated with 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol by Intraperitoneal Injection ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Dose $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Number of Mice |
| :--- | :--- |

Male
Urethane ${ }^{\text {d }}$
200
3
$16.4 \pm 2.2$
2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| 0 | 4 | $1.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 150 | 4 | $3.2 \pm 0.8^{*}$ |
| 300 | 4 | $3.0 \pm 0.7^{*}$ |
| 600 | 3 | $3.0 \pm 1.0^{*}$ |
|  |  | $P=0.150^{e}$ |

Female
Urethane

200
4
$12.1 \pm 0.9$

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| 0 | 4 | $2.0 \pm 0.4$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 150 | 4 | $2.7 \pm 1.1$ |
| 300 | 3 | $3.6 \pm 0.9^{*}$ |
| 600 | 4 | $5.2 \pm 0.5^{*}$ |
|  |  | $P=0.003$ |

* Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.008$ ) from control
a One thousand PCEs scored per animal. 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was administered by intraperitoneal injection, and bone marrow was sampled 48 hours later.
b $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose is corn oil control.
c Data presented as mean $\pm$ standard error; PCE $=$ polychromatic erythrocyte
d Positive control
e Trend test

Table E6
Frequency of Micronucleated Normochromatic Erythrocytes in Mouse Peripheral Blood Following Treatment with 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in Feed for 13 Weeks ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Dose (ppm) | Micronucleated NCEs/1,000 Cells ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Number of Mice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |
| 0 | $2.36 \pm 0.17$ | 10 |
| 625 | $2.28 \pm 0.29$ | 8 |
| 1,250 | $2.55 \pm 0.18$ | 10 |
| 2,500 | $2.98 \pm 0.21$ | 10 |
| 5,000 | $3.80 \pm 0.19^{\text {c }}$ | 10 |
| 10,000 | $9.30 \pm 1.26^{\text {c }}$ | 7 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Female |  |  |
| 0 | $1.46 \pm 0.26$ | 9 |
| 625 | $1.86 \pm 0.30$ | 9 |
| 1,250 | $1.86 \pm 0.22$ | 9 |
| 2,500 | $2.72 \pm 0.32^{\text {c }}$ | 9 |
| 5,000 | $4.26 \pm 0.47^{\text {c }}$ | 9 |
| 10,000 | $11.81 \pm 0.54^{\text {c }}$ | 9 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |

[^84]
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Table F1
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| Necropsy body wt | $334 \pm 6$ | $336 \pm 5$ | $317 \pm 4$ | $308 \pm 10^{*}$ | $299 \pm 8^{* *}$ | $255 \pm 7^{* *}$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $2.037 \pm 0.019$ | $2.010 \pm 0.023$ | $2.028 \pm 0.022$ | $2.018 \pm 0.023$ | $1.981 \pm 0.012$ | $1.948 \pm 0.016 * *$ |
| Relative | $6.13 \pm 0.12$ | $6.00 \pm 0.11$ | $6.41 \pm 0.06$ | $6.60 \pm 0.16^{*}$ | $6.66 \pm 0.15^{* *}$ | $7.69 \pm 0.16^{* *}$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.214 \pm 0.035$ | $1.225 \pm 0.043$ | $1.196 \pm 0.025$ | $1.202 \pm 0.037$ | $1.182 \pm 0.053$ | $1.152 \pm 0.048$ |
| Relative | $3.65 \pm 0.12$ | $3.65 \pm 0.13$ | $3.78 \pm 0.06$ | $3.93 \pm 0.17$ | $3.95 \pm 0.13$ | $4.50 \pm 0.21^{*}$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.224 \pm 0.027$ | $1.251 \pm 0.029$ | $1.234 \pm 0.014$ | $1.227 \pm 0.038$ | $1.240 \pm 0.024$ | $1.173 \pm 0.028$ |
| Relative | $3.68 \pm 0.09$ | $3.73 \pm 0.06$ | $3.90 \pm 0.06$ | $3.99 \pm 0.09 *$ | $4.16 \pm 0.11^{* *}$ | $4.62 \pm 0.12^{* *}$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $12.534 \pm 0.167$ | $12.106 \pm 0.375$ | $12.071 \pm 0.258$ | $12.206 \pm 0.524$ | $13.200 \pm 0.231$ | $12.322 \pm 0.300$ |
| Relative | $37.64 \pm 0.48$ | $36.03 \pm 0.69$ | $38.18 \pm 0.90$ | $39.61 \pm 0.98$ | $44.32 \pm 0.99 * *$ | $48.49 \pm 1.08^{* *}$ |
| Lungs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.660 \pm 0.082$ | $1.856 \pm 0.059$ | $1.663 \pm 0.088$ | $1.523 \pm 0.038$ | $1.630 \pm 0.042$ | $1.376 \pm 0.048 * *$ |
| Relative | $4.97 \pm 0.21$ | $5.55 \pm 0.22$ | $5.25 \pm 0.26$ | $4.98 \pm 0.16$ | $5.49 \pm 0.22$ | $5.45 \pm 0.26$ |
| Spleen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.736 \pm 0.015$ | $0.745 \pm 0.015$ | $0.701 \pm 0.014$ | $0.689 \pm 0.019$ | $0.718 \pm 0.013$ | $0.620 \pm 0.012 * *$ |
| Relative | $2.21 \pm 0.04$ | $2.22 \pm 0.04$ | $2.21 \pm 0.03$ | $2.25 \pm 0.05$ | $2.41 \pm 0.06$ ** | $2.43 \pm 0.06$ ** |
| R. Testis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.492 \pm 0.027$ | $1.458 \pm 0.038^{\text {b }}$ | $1.503 \pm 0.019^{\text {b }}$ | $1.443 \pm 0.035$ | $1.411 \pm 0.038$ | $1.360 \pm 0.036^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $4.49 \pm 0.12$ | $4.36 \pm 0.09^{\text {b }}$ | $4.76 \pm 0.07^{6}$ | $4.71 \pm 0.14$ | $4.74 \pm 0.13$ | $5.31 \pm 0.15^{* *}$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.319 \pm 0.010$ | $0.335 \pm 0.013$ | $0.317 \pm 0.024$ | $0.286 \pm 0.014$ | $0.270 \pm 0.019$ | $0.251 \pm 0.019 * *$ |
| Relative | $0.96 \pm 0.02$ | $1.00 \pm 0.04$ | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ | $0.94 \pm 0.05$ | $0.90 \pm 0.06$ | $0.96 \pm 0.08$ |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Necropsy body wt | $200 \pm 6$ | $192 \pm 3$ | $189 \pm 2$ | $184 \pm 3^{* *}$ | $174 \pm$ 6** | $163 \pm 2^{* *}$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.912 \pm 0.022$ | $1.899 \pm 0.013$ | $1.838 \pm 0.018^{*}$ | $1.856 \pm 0.018$ | $1.888 \pm 0.017$ | $1.861 \pm 0.015$ |
| Relative | $9.65 \pm 0.29$ | $9.90 \pm 0.12$ | $9.73 \pm 0.10$ | $10.09 \pm 0.12$ | $11.01 \pm 0.46$ ** | $11.43 \pm 0.19^{* *}$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.836 \pm 0.018$ | $0.796 \pm 0.029$ | $0.781 \pm 0.018$ | $0.788 \pm 0.023$ | $0.793 \pm 0.023$ | $0.748 \pm 0.029$ |
| Relative | $4.20 \pm 0.10$ | $4.14 \pm 0.14$ | $4.13 \pm 0.10$ | $4.29 \pm 0.14$ | $4.62 \pm 0.22$ | $4.59 \pm 0.17$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.772 \pm 0.022$ | $0.757 \pm 0.017$ | $0.728 \pm 0.019$ | $0.749 \pm 0.022$ | $0.728 \pm 0.014$ | $0.710 \pm 0.017$ |
| Relative | $3.88 \pm 0.10$ | $3.94 \pm 0.07$ | $3.85 \pm 0.09$ | $4.06 \pm 0.09$ | $4.25 \pm 0.20^{*}$ | $4.35 \pm 0.07$ ** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $6.891 \pm 0.209$ | $6.567 \pm 0.147$ | $6.470 \pm 0.204$ | $6.679 \pm 0.135$ | $6.253 \pm 0.120^{* *}$ | $6.317 \pm 0.044^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $34.58 \pm 0.80$ | $34.21 \pm 0.66$ | $34.20 \pm 0.92$ | $36.25 \pm 0.40$ | $36.39 \pm 1.41$ | $38.81 \pm 0.66^{* *}$ |
| Lungs $\quad 1.159 \pm 0.050 \quad 1.027 \pm 0.018 \quad 1.213 \pm 0.037 \quad 1.060+0.022-075+0.037$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.142 \pm 0.039$ | $1.159 \pm 0.050$ | $1.027 \pm 0.018$ | $1.213 \pm 0.037$ | $1.060 \pm 0.022$ | $1.075 \pm 0.037$ |
| Relative | $5.75 \pm 0.21$ | $6.03 \pm 0.22$ | $5.44 \pm 0.10$ | $6.60 \pm 0.23$ | $6.18 \pm 0.29$ | $6.60 \pm 0.24^{*}$ |
| Spleen $0.516 \pm 0.013$ - $0.520 \pm 0.0060 .524 \pm 0.010-517 \pm 0.007$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.519 \pm 0.013$ | $0.516 \pm 0.013$ | $0.520 \pm 0.006$ | $0.524 \pm 0.010$ | $0.517 \pm 0.007$ | $0.509 \pm 0.007$ |
| Relative | $2.62 \pm 0.10$ | $2.69 \pm 0.06$ | $2.75 \pm 0.03$ | $2.85 \pm 0.06 *$ | $3.01 \pm 0.12 * *$ | $3.13 \pm 0.07^{* *}$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.279 \pm 0.009$ | $0.275 \pm 0.018$ | $0.267 \pm 0.016$ | $0.259 \pm 0.019$ | $0.248 \pm 0.012$ | $0.239 \pm 0.009$ |
| Relative | $1.40 \pm 0.06$ | $1.43 \pm 0.09$ | $1.41 \pm 0.09$ | $1.40 \pm 0.08$ | $1.45 \pm 0.10$ | $1.47 \pm 0.06$ |

Table F1
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 9 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 10 |
| Necropsy body wt | $323 \pm 5$ | $327 \pm 5$ | $320 \pm 3$ | $326 \pm 4$ | $302 \pm 8 * *$ | $249 \pm 3^{* *}$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.976 \pm 0.023$ | $1.994 \pm 0.021$ | $1.978 \pm 0.036$ | $2.011 \pm 0.037$ | $1.976 \pm 0.024$ | $1.941 \pm 0.024$ |
| Relative | $6.13 \pm 0.05$ | $6.11 \pm 0.13$ | $6.18 \pm 0.11$ | $6.17 \pm 0.09$ | $6.59 \pm 0.22 *$ | $7.80 \pm 0.12 * *$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.113 \pm 0.034$ | $1.201 \pm 0.059$ | $1.171 \pm 0.033$ | $1.139 \pm 0.039$ | $1.068 \pm 0.029$ | $0.985 \pm 0.024 *$ |
| Relative | $3.45 \pm 0.09$ | $3.69 \pm 0.22$ | $3.66 \pm 0.09$ | $3.49 \pm 0.09$ | $3.56 \pm 0.13$ | $3.96 \pm 0.1{ }^{*}$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.353 \pm 0.114$ | $1.359 \pm 0.032$ | $1.360 \pm 0.108$ | $1.355 \pm 0.046$ | $1.291 \pm 0.034$ | $1.247 \pm 0.017$ |
| Relative | $4.20 \pm 0.36$ | $4.16 \pm 0.08$ | $4.26 \pm 0.36$ | $4.15 \pm 0.10$ | $4.29 \pm 0.13$ | $5.01 \pm 0.07 *$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $13.691 \pm 0.625$ | $15.016 \pm 0.646$ | $14.283 \pm 0.667$ | $15.543 \pm 0.634$ | $15.860 \pm 0.638$ | $13.315 \pm 0.459$ |
| Relative | $42.30 \pm 1.37$ | $45.85 \pm 1.60$ | $44.58 \pm 1.98$ | $47.68 \pm 1.70$ | $52.81 \pm 2.39 * *$ | $53.46 \pm 1.69 * *$ |
| Lung |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $2.201 \pm 0.300$ | $1.959 \pm 0.169^{\text {c }}$ | $1.681 \pm 0.083^{*}$ | $1.755 \pm 0.070^{*}$ | $1.581 \pm 0.060 * *$ | $1.442 \pm 0.034 * *$ |
| Relative | $6.83 \pm 0.94$ | $6.00 \pm 0.50^{\text {c }}$ | $5.25 \pm 0.26$ | $5.39 \pm 0.21$ | $5.26 \pm 0.20$ | $5.79 \pm 0.08$ |
| Spleen 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.668 \pm 0.024$ | $0.693 \pm 0.017$ | $0.699 \pm 0.011$ | $0.738 \pm 0.016^{*}$ | $0.687 \pm 0.014$ | $0.590 \pm 0.014^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $2.07 \pm 0.06$ | $2.12 \pm 0.04$ | $2.18 \pm 0.03$ | $2.27 \pm 0.05^{*}$ | $2.29 \pm 0.09 * *$ | $2.37 \pm 0.03^{* *}$ |
| R. Testis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.471 \pm 0.020$ | $1.449 \pm 0.018$ | $1.484 \pm 0.017$ | $1.470 \pm 0.026$ | $1.429 \pm 0.018$ | $1.405 \pm 0.035$ |
| Relative | $4.57 \pm 0.09$ | $4.44 \pm 0.07$ | $4.64 \pm 0.06$ | $4.51 \pm 0.07$ | $4.76 \pm 0.12$ | $5.64 \pm 0.14^{* *}$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.304 \pm 0.023$ | $0.328 \pm 0.025$ | $0.291 \pm 0.032$ | $0.280 \pm 0.017$ | $0.313 \pm 0.023$ | $0.261 \pm 0.017$ |
| Relative | $0.95 \pm 0.07$ | $1.00 \pm 0.08$ | $0.91 \pm 0.10$ | $0.86 \pm 0.05$ | $1.04 \pm 0.07$ | $1.05 \pm 0.06$ |
| Fernale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Necropsy body wt | $205 \pm 2$ | $204 \pm 3$ | $199 \pm 2$ | $194 \pm 3^{* *}$ | $193 \pm 3 * *$ | $170 \pm 2^{* *}$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.852 \pm 0.023$ | $1.858 \pm 0.020$ | $1.876 \pm 0.010$ | $1.845 \pm 0.024$ | $1.876 \pm 0.028$ | $1.808 \pm 0.025$ |
| Relative | $9.05 \pm 0.08$ | $9.14 \pm 0.14$ | $9.44 \pm 0.09$ | $9.50 \pm 0.1{ }^{*}$ | $9.73 \pm 0.13^{* *}$ | $10.64 \pm 0.20^{* *}$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.788 \pm 0.022$ | $0.745 \pm 0.021$ | $0.786 \pm 0.024$ | $0.783 \pm 0.029$ | $0.766 \pm 0.030$ | $0.692 \pm 0.021 *$ |
| Relative | $3.85 \pm 0.10$ | $3.67 \pm 0.11$ | $3.95 \pm 0.13$ | $4.04 \pm 0.15$ | $3.97 \pm 0.14$ | $4.07 \pm 0.14$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.874 \pm 0.019$ | $0.850 \pm 0.013$ | 0.867. $\pm 0.016$ | $0.813 \pm 0.013^{*}$ | $0.850 \pm 0.015$ | $0.816 \pm 0.014 *$ |
| Relative | $4.27 \pm 0.08$ | $4.18 \pm 0.08$ | $4.36 \pm 0.06$ | $4.18 \pm 0.05$ | $4.41 \pm 0.04$ | $4.79 \pm 0.06 * *$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $8.255 \pm 0.328$ | $8.357 \pm 0.329$ | $8.053 \pm 0.223$ | $7.813 \pm 0.160$ | $8.054 \pm 0.225$ | $7.506 \pm 0.201$ |
| Relative | $40.31 \pm 1.40$ | $41.09 \pm 1.61$ | $40.49 \pm 1.14$ | $40.29 \pm 0.99$ | $41.79 \pm 1.24$ | $44.18 \pm 1.39$ |
| Lung |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.348 \pm 0.023$ | $1.335 \pm 0.043$ | $1.367 \pm 0.055$ | $1.250 \pm 0.068$ | $1.165 \pm 0.035 *$ | $1.211 \pm 0.036^{*}$ |
| Relative | $6.59 \pm 0.14$ | $6.55 \pm 0.14$ | $6.87 \pm 0.27$ | $6.44 \pm 0.37$ | $6.04 \pm 0.15$ | $7.12 \pm 0.19$ |
| Spleen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.534 \pm 0.011$ | $0.534 \pm 0.014$ | $0.545 \pm 0.010$ | $0.541 \pm 0.011$ | $0.527 \pm 0.011$ | $0.486 \pm 0.012 * *$ |
| Relative | $2.61 \pm 0.03$ | $2.62 \pm 0.05$ | $2.74 \pm 0.04$ | $2.79 \pm 0.06$ | $2.74 \pm 0.06$ | $2.86 \pm 0.07 * *$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.250 \pm 0.008$ | $0.291 \pm 0.016$ | $0.246 \pm 0.012$ | $0.280 \pm 0.022$ | $0.290 \pm 0.022$ | $0.236 \pm 0.015$ |
| Relative | $1.22 \pm 0.04$ | $1.43 \pm 0.08$ | $1.24 \pm 0.06$ | $1.43 \pm 0.11$ | $1.50 \pm 0.10$ | $1.39 \pm 0.10$ |

## Table F1

Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

* Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
a Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean $\pm$ standard error).
b $\mathrm{n}=9$
c $\mathrm{n}=7$

Table F2
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Male Rats at the 3-Month Interim Evaluation in the 2-Year Stop-Exposure Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | 10 | 10 |
| Necropsy body wt | $344 \pm 5$ | $248 \pm$ 6** $^{*}$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.231 \pm 0.018$ | $1.125 \pm 0.026^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $3.59 \pm 0.05$ | $4.55 \pm 0.07^{* *}$ |
| Liver |  |  |
| Absolute | $13.762 \pm 0.251$ | $11.777 \pm 0.287^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $40.08 \pm 0.70$ | $47.56 \pm 0.27 * *$ |

[^85]Table F3
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Rats at the $\mathbf{1 5}$-Month Interim Evaluation in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| n | 9 | 7 | 9 | 5 |
| Necropsy body wt | $456 \pm 7$ | $453 \pm 14$ | $434 \pm 9$ | $407 \pm 14^{* *}$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.630 \pm 0.032$ | $1.603 \pm 0.073$ | $1.599 \pm 0.041$ | $1.808 \pm 0.154$ |
| Relative | $3.58 \pm 0.08$ | $3.54 \pm 0.12$ | $3.69 \pm 0.09$ | $4.49 \pm 0.50$ ** |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $15.861 \pm 0.165$ | $16.123 \pm 0.590$ | $16.604 \pm 0.668$ | $15.248 \pm 0.603$ |
| Relative | $34.86 \pm 0.59$ | $35.62 \pm 1.06$ | $38.24 \pm 1.09 *$ | 37:42 $\pm 0.63^{*}$ |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| Necropsy body wt | $297 \pm 5$ | $276 \pm 6$ | $279 \pm 7$ | $281 \pm 8$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.949 \pm 0.020$ | $0.924 \pm 0.032$ | $0.931 \pm 0.025$ | $0.980 \pm 0.031$ |
| Relative | $3.20 \pm 0.04$ | $3.35 \pm 0.10$ | $3.34 \pm 0.05$ | $3.49 \pm 0.05^{* *}$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $8.535 \pm 0.190$ | $8.446 \pm 0.173$ | $8.624 \pm 0.082$ | $9.213 \pm 0.366$ |
| Relative | $28.76 \pm 0.33$ | $30.63 \pm 0.43 * *$ | $30.97 \pm 0.71 * *$ | $32.77 \pm 0.59 * *$ |

* Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
a Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean $\pm$ standard error).

Table F4
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Mice in the 13-Week Feed Study of $\mathbf{2 , 2}$-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{2}$

|  | 0 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 |
| Necropsy body wt | $27.8 \pm 1.6$ | $28.0 \pm 1.2$ | $27.5 \pm 1.0$ | $25.4 \pm 0.4$ | $21.6 \pm 0.4 * *$ | $17.4 \pm 0.4 * *$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.493 \pm 0.007$ | $0.465 \pm 0.009^{*}$ | $0.465 \pm 0.009^{*}$ | $0.486 \pm 0.005$ | $0.467 \pm 0.004^{*}$ | $0.467 \pm 0.008$ |
| Relative | $18.47 \pm 1.50$ | $16.82 \pm 0.80$ | $17.14 \pm 0.72$ | $19.20 \pm 0.36$ | $21.63 \pm 0.43 * *$ | $26.82 \pm 0.36^{* *}$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.171 \pm 0.005$ | $0.163 \pm 0.010$ | $0.170 \pm 0.008$ | $0.172 \pm 0.009$ | $0.146 \pm 0.007 *$ | $0.132 \pm 0.006^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $6.51 \pm 0.75$ | $5.87 \pm 0.42$ | $6.23 \pm 0.26$ | $6.78 \pm 0.35$ | $6.76 \pm 0.28$ | $7.58 \pm 0.32$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.284 \pm 0.005$ | $0.251 \pm 0.004^{*}$ | $0.261 \pm 0.006^{*}$ | $0.257 \pm 0.007{ }^{*}$ | $0.227 \pm 0.007 * *$ | $0.199 \pm 0.016^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $10.63 \pm 0.82$ | $9.07 \pm 0.35$ | $9.60 \pm 0.42$ | $10.15 \pm 0.26$ | $10.47 \pm 0.23$ | $11.43 \pm 0.88$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.410 \pm 0.035$ | $1.405 \pm 0.051$ | $1.374 \pm 0.037$ | $1.397 \pm 0.032$ | $1.114 \pm 0.052^{* *}$ | $0.948 \pm 0.049^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $52.87 \pm 4.54$ | $50.36 \pm 0.97$ | $50.56 \pm 2.26$ | $55.05 \pm 1.09$ | $51.27 \pm 1.71$ | $54.34 \pm 2.60$ |
| Lungs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.179 \pm 0.007$ | $0.176 \pm 0.005$ | $0.175 \pm 0.005$ | $0.194 \pm 0.013$ | $0.163 \pm 0.005$ | $0.163 \pm 0.011$ |
| Relative | $6.84 \pm 0.88$ | $6.35 \pm 0.29$ | $6.40 \pm 0.19$ | $7.62 \pm 0.46$ | $7.56 \pm 0.20$ | $9.38 \pm 0.65 * *$ |
| Spleen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.063 \pm 0.001$ | $0.059 \pm 0.003$ | $0.060 \pm 0.003$ | $0.058 \pm 0.003$ | $0.041 \pm 0.002^{* *}$ | $0.040 \pm 0.007^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $2.35 \pm 0.16$ | $2.11 \pm 0.09$ | $2.20 \pm 0.14$ | $2.26 \pm 0.08$ | $1.88 \pm 0.07$ | $2.27 \pm 0.38$ |
| R. Testis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.122 \pm 0.003$ |  | $0.129 \pm 0.004^{\text {b }}$ | $0.122 \pm 0.004$ |  | $0.102 \pm 0.005^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $4.59 \pm 0.42$ | $4.32 \pm 0.16$ | $4.97 \pm 0.31{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.82 \pm 0.17$ | $5.31 \pm 0.15$ | $5.84 \pm 0.19 * *$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.039 \pm 0.003$ | $0.036 \pm 0.003$ | $0.050 \pm 0.004$ | $0.039 \pm 0.003$ | $0.026 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ | $0.020 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $1.49 \pm 0.21$ | $1.32 \pm 0.15$ | $1.83 \pm 0.17$ | $1.51 \pm 0.11$ | $1.17 \pm 0.13$ | $1.16 \pm 0.25$ |

Table F4
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Mice in the $\mathbf{1 3}$-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| 0 ppm | 625 ppm | $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Female

| n | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Necropsy body wt | $25.8 \pm 1.1$ | $25.2 \pm 0.9$ | $23.7 \pm 1.0$ | $23.9 \pm 0.7$ | $18.5 \pm 0.3^{* *}$ | $16.0 \pm 0.6^{* *}$ |
| Brain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.488 \pm 0.004$ | $0.494 \pm 0.002$ | $0.493 \pm 0.007$ | $0.496 \pm 0.007$ | $0.478 \pm 0.004$ | $0.457 \pm 0.006^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $19.17 \pm 0.83$ | $19.82 \pm 0.67$ | $21.04 \pm 0.78$ | $20.88 \pm 0.64$ | $25.88 \pm 0.32^{* *}$ | $28.85 \pm 1.15 * *$ |
| Heart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.143 \pm 0.005$ | $0.154 \pm 0.005$ | $0.149 \pm 0.006$ | $0.147 \pm 0.005$ | $0.119 \pm 0.001 * *$ | $0.106 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $5.62 \pm 0.29$ | $6.20 \pm 0.35$ | $6.31 \pm 0.22$ | $6.18 \pm 0.24$ | $6.45 \pm 0.10^{*}$ | $6.70 \pm 0.30 * *$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.190 \pm 0.006$ | $0.193 \pm 0.004$ | $0.191 \pm 0.004$ | $0.180 \pm 0.004$ | $0.171 \pm 0.002 * *$ | $0.154 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $7.40 \pm 0.14$ | $7.73 \pm 0.31$ | $8.13 \pm 0.23$ | $7.59 \pm 0.29$ | $9.24 \pm 0.10^{* *}$ | $9.68 \pm 0.39 * *$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $1.241 \pm 0.045$ | $1.316 \pm 0.027$ | $1.212 \pm 0.050$ | $1.194 \pm 0.032$ | $0.989 \pm 0.018^{* *}$ | $0.863 \pm 0.059 * *$ |
| Relative | $48.30 \pm 1.19$ | $52.68 \pm 1.59$ | $51.45 \pm 1.98$ | $50.15 \pm 1.53$ | $53.57 \pm 1.30$ | $54.12 \pm 3.44$ |
| Lungs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.186 \pm 0.016$ | $0.186 \pm 0.013$ | $0.185 \pm 0.003$ | $0.178 \pm 0.007$ | $0.153 \pm 0.005$ | $0.168 \pm 0.016$ |
| Relative | $7.17 \pm 0.41$ | $7.54 \pm 0.74$ | $7.95 \pm 0.42$ | $7.44 \pm 0.24$ | $8.29 \pm 0.24$ | $10.72 \pm 1.23 * *$ |
| Spleen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.076 \pm 0.003$ | $0.075 \pm 0.002$ | $0.073 \pm 0.005$ | $0.070 \pm 0.005$ | $0.052 \pm 0.002^{* *}$ | $0.037 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $2.96 \pm 0.10$ | $3.01 \pm 0.12$ | $3.10 \pm 0.17$ | $2.94 \pm 0.19$ | $2.78 \pm 0.12$ | $2.31 \pm 0.26 *$ |
| Thymus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.052 \pm 0.005$ | $0.052 \pm 0.004$ | $0.044 \pm 0.004$ | $0.046 \pm 0.004$ | $0.036 \pm 0.004 *$ | $0.025 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ |
| Relative | $2.04 \pm 0.20$ | $2.07 \pm 0.17$ | $1.82 \pm 0.13$ | $1.91 \pm 0.16$ | $1.94 \pm 0.23$ | $1.54 \pm 0.24$ |

* Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
a Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean $\pm$ standard error).
b $\mathrm{n}=7$

Table F5
Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Mice at the 15-Month Interim Evaluation in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 312 ppm | 625 ppm | 1,250 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Necropsy body wt | $48.6 \pm 1.2$ | $49.3 \pm 1.9$ | $47.6 \pm 1.3$ | $46.6 \pm 1.5$ |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $0.442 \pm 0.012$ | $0.434 \pm 0.025$ | $0.414 \pm 0.013$ | $0.423 \pm 0.015$ |
| Relative | $9.09 \pm 0.12$ | $8.82 \pm 0.38$ | $8.77 \pm 0.38$ | $9.13 \pm 0.37$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |
| Absolute | $2.355 \pm 0.192$ | $2.271 \pm 0.187$ | $2.123 \pm 0.155$ | $2.313 \pm 0.331$ |
| Relative | $48.48 \pm 3.72$ | $45.71 \pm 2.73$ | $45.02 \pm 3.92$ | $50.75 \pm 8.67$ |

## Female

| $n$ | 8 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Necropsy body wt | $50.4 \pm 2.9$ | $54.8 \pm 1.7$ | $52.7 \pm 2.1$ | $49.3 \pm 2.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| R. Kidney |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Absolute | $0.264 \pm 0.009$ | $0.253 \pm 0.007$ | $0.261 \pm 0.005$ | $0.263 \pm 0.009$ |
| $\quad$ Relative | $5.30 \pm 0.20$ | $4.65 \pm 0.18$ | $5.00 \pm 0.18$ | $5.38 \pm 0.20$ |
| Liver |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Absolute | $1.616 \pm 0.074$ | $1.678 \pm 0.045$ | $1.949 \pm 0.211$ | $1.741 \pm 0.072$ |
| $\quad$ Relative | $32.28 \pm 0.73$ | $30.80 \pm 0.90$ | $36.88 \pm 3.25$ | $35.70 \pm 1.77$ |
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Table G1
Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Data for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 0 ppm | $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Core Study

Male
Clinical Chemistry

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Urea nitrogen $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $21.4 \pm 0.6$ | $22.8 \pm 0.6$ | $22.3 \pm 1.4$ | $21.7 \pm 0.9$ | $21.2 \pm 0.7$ | $21.2 \pm 0.9$ |
| Creatinine $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $0.80 \pm 0.13$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $0.89 \pm 0.11$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ |
| Glucose $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $98 \pm 6$ | $123 \pm 8$ | $132 \pm 17$ | $100 \pm 5$ | $108 \pm 7$ | $106 \pm 8$ |
| Total protein $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1$ | $6.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.6 \pm 0.3^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $6.9 \pm 0.1$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1$ | $7.1 \pm 0.1$ |
| Albumin $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $5.5 \pm 0.1$ | $5.4 \pm 0.1$ | $5.4 \pm 0.0^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $5.4 \pm 0.1$ | $5.4 \pm 0.1$ | $5.5 \pm 0.1$ |
| Globulin $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.6 \pm 0.1$ | $1.6 \pm 0.1$ |
| A/G ratio | $3.8 \pm 0.2$ | $3.5 \pm 0.2$ | $3.9 \pm 0.2^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $3.7 \pm 0.2$ | $3.4 \pm 0.1$ | $3.6 \pm 0.2$ |


| Urinalysis |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| n | ${ }^{\text {Glucose }(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr})}$ |
|  | Protein ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |
|  | Volume ( $\mathrm{mL} / 16 \mathrm{hr}$ ) |
|  | Specific gravity |


| 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.156 \pm 0.015$ | $0.150 \pm 0.016$ | $0.170 \pm 0.012$ |
| $0.658 \pm 0.058$ | $0.620 \pm 0.057$ | $0.728 \pm 0.037$ |
| $8.2 \pm 0.8$ | $9.6 \pm 1.5$ | $12.3 \pm 1.6$ |
| $1.029 \pm 0.003$ | $1.024 \pm 0.003$ | $1.023 \pm 0.003$ |


| 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.156 \pm 0.018^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $0.161 \pm 0.006$ | $0.148 \pm 0.012$ |
| $0.606 \pm 0.127^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $0.861 \pm 0.036^{*}$ | $0.839 \pm 0.044^{*}$ |
| $13.1 \pm 2.9$ | $19.5 \pm 1.9 * *$ | $17.7 \pm 1.5^{* *}$ |
| $1.015 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ | $1.016 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ | $1.015 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ |

Female
Clinical Chemistry

| n Clinical Chemistry | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urea nitrogen ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | $20.9 \pm 1.0$ | $21.6 \pm 0.4$ | $20.8 \pm 0.7$ | $20.8 \pm 0.9$ | $20.0 \pm 0.7$ | $21.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| Creatinine ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $0.63 \pm 0.18$ | $0.50 \pm 0.17$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | $90 \pm 5$ | $100 \pm 6$ | $104 \pm 11$ | $98 \pm 5$ | $90 \pm 3$ | $108 \pm 9$ |
| Total protein (g/dL) | $7.1 \pm 0.1$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1$ | $6.8 \pm 0.0$ | $6.6 \pm 0.1 *$ | $6.8 \pm 0.1 *$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1^{* *}$ |
| Albumin (g/dL) | $5.6 \pm 0.1$ | $5.6 \pm 0.1$ | $5.3 \pm 0.1^{* *}$ | $5.3 \pm 0.1^{* *}$ | $5.4 \pm 0.1 * *$ | $5.2 \pm 0.1 * *$ |
| Globulin (g/dL) | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | $1.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.6 \pm 0.1$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| A/G ratio | $4.1 \pm 0.2$ | $3.9 \pm 0.1$ | $3.5 \pm 0.2$ | $4.0 \pm 0.3$ | $3.8 \pm 0.2$ | $4.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| Urinalysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $0.091 \pm 0.006$ | $0.073 \pm 0.009^{\text {d }}$ | $0.089 \pm 0.006$ | $0.089 \pm 0.009$ | $0.094 \pm 0.008$ | $0.100 \pm 0.012$ |
| Protein ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $0.037 \pm 0.003^{\text {d }}$ | $0.029 \pm 0.004^{\text {d }}$ | $0.035 \pm 0.004$ | $0.032 \pm 0.002$ | $0.043 \pm 0.003$ | $0.040 \pm 0.008$ |
| Volume (mL/16 hr) | $6.0 \pm 0.6$ | $10.2 \pm 2.1$ | $10.3 \pm 1.0^{*}$ | $8.8 \pm 0.8$ | $9.7 \pm 1.3$ | $9.9 \pm 2.1$ |
| Specific gravity | $1.031 \pm 0.005$ | $1.020 \pm 0.006$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002^{*}$ | $1.020 \pm 0.002$ | $1.020 \pm 0.003$ | $1.022 \pm 0.004$ |

## Special Study

## Male

| Clinical Chemistry <br> n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urea nitrogen (mg/dL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $30.4 \pm 1.0^{\text {c }}$ | $31.8 \pm 1.1^{\text {c }}$ | $29.6 \pm 1.2^{\text {c }}$ | $30.1 \pm 1.3$ | $32.0 \pm 1.5$ | $32.3 \pm 1.1$ |
| Day 15 | $26.2 \pm 1.0$ | $26.9 \pm 0.9$ | $25.4 \pm 0.8$ | $24.9 \pm 0.8$ | $28.8 \pm 1.2$ | $25.3 \pm 0.9$ |
| Day 30 | $26.5 \pm 0.4$ | $26.7 \pm 1.0$ | $22.4 \pm 0.7 *$ | $18.5 \pm 0.7 * *$ | $23.7 \pm 0.9$ | $27.4 \pm 0.7$ |
| Day 60 | $30.9 \pm 1.0^{\text {c }}$ | $23.1 \pm 0.8^{* *}$ | $25.1 \pm 0.8$ | $25.3 \pm 1.0^{\text {b }}$ | $22.3 \pm 1.7 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $28.6 \pm 3.7$ |
| Week 13 | $24.5 \pm 1.1^{\text {b }}$ | $22.3 \pm 0.8^{\text {b }}$ | $23.0 \pm 0.5^{\text {c }}$ | $22.4 \pm 1.4^{\text {c }}$ | $17.9 \pm 1.7 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $23.6 \pm 0.9$ |

Table G1
Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Data for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinical Chemistry (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Creatinine ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $0.60 \pm 0.16$ | $0.33 \pm 0.17^{\text {c }}$ | $0.33 \pm 0.17{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.20 \pm 0.13$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $0.60 \pm 0.16$ |
| Day 15 | $0.30 \pm 0.15$ | $0.10 \pm 0.10$ | $0.50 \pm 0.17$ | $0.80 \pm 0.13$ | $0.50 \pm 0.17$ | $0.40 \pm 0.16$ |
| Day 30 | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $0.60 \pm 0.16$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $0.30 \pm 0.15$ | $0.50 \pm 0.17$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ |
| Day 60 | $0.78 \pm 0.15^{\text {c }}$ | $0.89 \pm 0.11^{\text {c }}$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $0.75 \pm 0.16^{\text {b }}$ | $0.57 \pm 0.20^{\text {c }}$ | $0.50 \pm 0.17$ |
| Week 13 | $0.88 \pm 0.13^{\text {b }}$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {b }}$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {c }}$ | $0.86 \pm 0.14^{\text {c }}$ | $0.89 \pm 0.11^{\text {c }}$ | $0.89 \pm 0.11^{\text {c }}$ |
| Glucose (mg/dL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $170 \pm 7$ | $166 \pm 8^{\text {c }}$ | $180 \pm 7^{\text {c }}$ | $178 \pm 8$ | $201 \pm 8^{* *}$ | 186 土 $^{*}$ |
| Day 15 | $190 \pm 10$ | $184 \pm 9$ | $174 \pm 7$ | $175 \pm 7$ | $168 \pm 5$ | $149 \pm 6^{* *}$ |
| Day 30 | $170 \pm 12$ | $166 \pm 5$ | $160 \pm 5$ | $155 \pm 4$ | $174 \pm 9$ | $149 \pm 7$ |
| Day 60 | $203 \pm 21^{\text {c }}$ | $158 \pm 15$ | $219 \pm 19$ | $163 \pm 18^{\text {b }}$ | $187 \pm 14^{\text {b }}$ | $155 \pm 18$ |
| Week 13 | $150 \pm 20^{\text {b }}$ | $146 \pm 11^{\text {b }}$ | $160 \pm 8^{\text {c }}$ | $196 \pm 23^{\text {c }}$ | $175 \pm 16^{\text {c }}$ | $154 \pm 17$ |
| Total protein (g/dL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $5.2 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $5.1 \pm 0.1^{\text {b }}$ | $5.6 \pm 0.1{ }^{* *}$ c | $5.7 \pm 0.1^{* *}$ | $5.7 \pm 0.1{ }^{* *}$ | $5.7 \pm 0.1 * *$ |
| Day 15 | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.2 \pm 0.1$ | $6.3 \pm 0.0^{* *}$ | $6.3 \pm 0.1 * *$ |
| Day 30 | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ | $6.5 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| Day 60 | $6.5 \pm 0.1^{c}$ | $6.2 \pm 0.2^{\text {c }}$ | $6.6 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $6.9 \pm 0.1{ }^{*} \mathrm{~b}$ | $6.8 \pm 0.1^{e}$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1 * *$ |
| Week 13 | $7.0 \pm 0.1^{\text {b }}$ | $7.1 \pm 0.1^{\text {b }}$ | $6.8 \pm 0.1^{c}$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1^{\text {b }}$ | $6.9 \pm 0.1^{\text {b }}$ |
| Urinalysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $0.083 \pm 0.004$ | $0.075 \pm 0.004$ | $0.077 \pm 0.005$ | $0.078 \pm 0.003$ | $0.080 \pm 0.004$ | $0.065 \pm 0.006$ |
| Day 15 | $0.138 \pm 0.006^{\text {c }}$ | $0.136 \pm 0.007$ | $0.118 \pm 0.007$ | $0.146 \pm 0.010^{\text {c }}$ | $0.156 \pm 0.007$ | $0.120 \pm 0.009^{c}$ |
| Day 30 | $0.165 \pm 0.010$ | $0.118 \pm 0.006^{* *}$ | $0.156 \pm 0.005$ | $0.183 \pm 0.011$ | $0.148 \pm 0.007$ | $0.155 \pm 0.009$ |
| Day 60 | $0.196 \pm 0.013$ | $0.179 \pm 0.003$ | $0.156 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ | $0.156 \pm 0.009 *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.144 \pm 0.006^{* *}$ | $0.140 \pm 0.008 * *$ |
| Week 13 | $0.184 \pm 0.018^{\text {c }}$ | $0.177 \pm 0.009^{\text {c }}$ | $0.154 \pm 0.007^{\text {c }}$ | $0.162 \pm 0.011^{\text {b }}$ | $0.136 \pm 0.007 *$ | $0.129 \pm 0.012 *^{\text {b }}$ |
| Protein (mg/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $0.071 \pm 0.011$ | $0.059 \pm 0.009$ | $0.069 \pm 0.007$ | $0.064 \pm 0.007$ | $0.068 \pm 0.005$ | $0.050 \pm 0.006$ |
| Day 15 | $0.606 \pm 0.032^{\text {c }}$ | $0.410 \pm 0.054 *$ | $0.522 \pm 0.047$ | $0.544 \pm 0.045^{\text {c }}$ | $0.450 \pm 0.023^{* *}$ | $0.168 \pm 0.018 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Day 30 | $0.797 \pm 0.046$ | $0.627 \pm 0.039 *$ | $0.645 \pm 0.041^{*}$ | $0.655 \pm 0.029 *$ | $0.598 \pm 0.034^{* *}$ | $0.438 \pm 0.026 * *$ |
| Day 60 | $0.637 \pm 0.051$ | $0.727 \pm 0.036$ | $0.754 \pm 0.021$ | $0.679 \pm 0.047^{\text {c }}$ | $0.749 \pm 0.049$ | $0.820 \pm 0.039^{*}$ |
| Week 13 | $0.644 \pm 0.050^{\text {c }}$ | $0.766 \pm 0.058^{\text {c }}$ | $0.668 \pm 0.038^{\text {c }}$ | $0.743 \pm 0.059^{\text {b }}$ | $0.756 \pm 0.055^{\text {c }}$ | $0.670 \pm 0.073^{\text {b }}$ |
| Volume (mL/16 hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $10.3 \pm 1.1$ | $10.3 \pm 1.3$ | $9.0 \pm 1.4$ | $10.7 \pm 1.2$ | $8.9 \pm 0.9$ | $6.4 \pm 0.9 *$ |
| Day 15 | $17.7 \pm 1.9^{\text {c }}$ | $12.8 \pm 2.0$ | $10.9 \pm 1.4$ | $14.7 \pm 2.2$ | $16.3 \pm 1.1$ | $7.4 \pm 1.3 * *$ c |
| Day 30 | $14.4 \pm 1.5$ | $14.5 \pm 1.8$ | $9.1 \pm 0.9 *$ | $12.2 \pm 1.2$ | $17.5 \pm 1.9$ | $18.5 \pm 2.1$ |
| Day 60 | $14.2 \pm 2.1$ | $14.7 \pm 1.9$ | $14.0 \pm 1.8$ | $19.2 \pm 2.7^{\text {c }}$ | $25.9 \pm 1.9 * *$ | $28.7 \pm 3.1$ ** |
| Week 13 | $16.2 \pm 2.1^{\text {c }}$ | $13.3 \pm 1.7^{\text {c }}$ | $11.8 \pm 1.8^{\text {c }}$ | $16.9 \pm 2.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $24.5 \pm 2.3$ | $27.8 \pm 3.5{ }^{*}$ b |
| Specific gravity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $1.012 \pm 0.001$ | $1.011 \pm 0.001$ | $1.015 \pm 0.002$ | $1.012 \pm 0.001$ | $1.013 \pm 0.001$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 15 | $1.021 \pm 0.010^{c}$ | $1.018 \pm 0.003$ | $1.017 \pm 0.001$ | $1.015 \pm 0.003$ | $1.015 \pm 0.001$ | $1.026 \pm 0.003 * * \mathrm{c}$ |
| Day 30 | $1.018 \pm 0.002$ | $1.014 \pm 0.002$ | $1.025 \pm 0.002$ | $1.022 \pm 0.002$ | $1.014 \pm 0.001$ | $1.014 \pm 0.001$ |
| Day 60 | $1.023 \pm 0.002$ | $1.018 \pm 0.003$ | $1.017 \pm 0.002$ | $1.014 \pm 0.002 *^{\text {c }}$ | $1.009 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ | $1.010 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ |
| Week 13 | $1.017 \pm 0.003{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.021 \pm 0.003^{\text {c }}$ | $1.020 \pm 0.003^{\text {c }}$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002^{\text {b }}$ | $1.010 \pm 0.002^{*}$ | $1.009 \pm 0.001 *{ }^{\text {b }}$ |

Table G1
Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Data for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urine Concentration Study <br> n | 8 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| Volume ( $\mathrm{mL} / 4 \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 4 | $1.600 \pm 0.400^{\text {f }}$ | $1.567 \pm 0.343^{\text {e }}$ | $0.458 \pm 0.042^{* *}$ | $1.000 \pm 0.274^{*}$ | $0.563 \pm 0.157 *{ }^{\text {g }}$ | $0.417 \pm 0.083^{* * W}$ |
| Day 16 | $1.929 \pm 0.352^{\text {c }}$ | $2.313 \pm 0.499$ | $0.700 \pm 0.122^{*}{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $1.222 \pm 0.222^{\text {c }}$ | $1.150 \pm 0.107$ | $0.850 \pm 0.130^{*}$ |
| Day 31 | $0.600 \pm 0.158$ | $1.229 \pm 0.276^{\text {c }}$ | $0.875 \pm 0.183^{\text {b }}$ | $1.100 \pm 0.258^{\text {e }}$ | $0.786 \pm 0.101^{\text {c }}$ | $1.233 \pm 0.245^{\text {c }}$ |
| Day 61 | $1.188 \pm 0.188$ | $1.188 \pm 0.210$ | $1.667 \pm 0.511$ | $0.486 \pm 0.212^{\text {c }}$ | $1.150 \pm 0.130$ | $1.300 \pm 0.153$ |
| Week 13 | $0.650 \pm 0.218^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $0.814 \pm 0.314^{\text {c }}$ | $0.260 \pm 0.098^{f}$ | $0.800 \pm 0.200$ | $0.167 \pm 0.067^{\text {e }}$ | $0.789 \pm 0.201^{\text {c }}$ |
| Specific gravity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 4 | $1.023 \pm 0.008^{\text {g }}$ | $1.015 \pm 0.009^{9}$ |  | $1.035 \pm 0.029^{\text {i }}$ | $1.050 \pm 0.007^{8}$ | $1.071 \pm 0.009^{* h}$ |
| Day 16 | $1.039 \pm 0.010^{\text {c }}$ | $1.037 \pm 0.010$ | $1.065 \pm 0.008^{\text {f }}$ | $1.047 \pm 0.008^{\text {c }}$ | $1.057 \pm 0.002$ | $1.067 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ |
| Day 31 | $1.063 \pm 0.005$ | $1.026 \pm 0.006 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.060 \pm 0.005^{\text {b }}$ | $1.053 \pm 0.006^{\text {e }}$ | $1.056 \pm 0.006^{\text {b }}$ | $1.047 \pm 0.003^{\text {c }}$ |
| Day 61 | $1.067 \pm 0.006$ | $1.070 \pm 0.003$ | $1.058 \pm 0.012$ | $1.053 \pm 0.008^{\text {c }}$ | $1.059 \pm 0.003$ | $1.047 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ |
| Week 13 | $1.058 \pm 0.009^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $1.056 \pm 0.010^{\text {c }}$ | $1.056 \pm 0.010$ | $1.043 \pm 0.007$ | $1.063 \pm 0.003{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $1.036 \pm 0.005^{\text {c }}$ |

## Female

Clinical Chemistry
n
Urea nitrogen (mg/dL)

Day 3
Day 15
Day 30
Day 60
Week 13
Creatinine ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ )
Day 3
Day 15
Day 30
Day 60
Week 13
Glucose (mg/dL)
Day 3
Day 15
Day 30
Day 60
Week 13
Total protein (g/dL)
Day 3
Day 15
Day 30
Day 60
Week 13

| 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $31.2 \pm 1.7$ | $31.4 \pm 1.7$ | $33.1 \pm 1.9$ | $32.3 \pm 1.3$ | $32.0 \pm 0.7$ | $28.9 \pm 1.2$ |
| $33.2 \pm 0.9$ | $31.7 \pm 0.6$ | $33.9 \pm 1.2$ | $34.8 \pm 1.6$ | $28.7 \pm 0.6 * *$ | $27.7 \pm 0.7 * *$ |
| $25.8 \pm 0.9$ | $24.6 \pm 0.5$ | $28.7 \pm 0.7$ | $24.7 \pm 1.1$ | $24.3 \pm 0.9$ | $23.9 \pm 0.9$ |
| $26.7 \pm 1.0$ | $24.8 \pm 0.7$ | $29.9 \pm 1.0$ | $29.6 \pm 0.6$ | $27.4 \pm 1.3^{\text {c }}$ | $25.5 \pm 1.1$ |
| $28.1 \pm 0.7$ | $28.7 \pm 1.0$ | $28.9 \pm 1.2$ | $27.6 \pm 0.7$ | $25.6 \pm 0.8^{\text {c }}$ | $26.6 \pm 0.9$ |
| $0.44 \pm 0.18$ | $0.10 \pm 0.10$ | $0.44 \pm 0.18$ | $0.30 \pm 0.15$ | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ |
| $0.22 \pm 0.15$ | $0.20 \pm 0.13$ | $0.67 \pm 0.17$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $0.30 \pm 0.15$ | $0.30 \pm 0.15$ |
| $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $0.60 \pm 0.16^{*}$ | $0.56 \pm 0.18 *$ | $0.60 \pm 0.16$ | $0.40 \pm 0.16^{* *}$ | $0.10 \pm 0.10^{* *}$ |
| $0.78 \pm 0.15$ | $0.70 \pm 0.15$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {c }}$ | $0.78 \pm 0.15{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ |
| $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00$ | $1.00 \pm 0.00^{\text {c }}$ | $0.90 \pm 0.10$ |
| $175 \pm 28$ | $216 \pm 33$ | $155 \pm 6$ | $159 \pm 5$ | $153 \pm 8$ | $167 \pm 6$ |
| $167 \pm 6$ | $159 \pm 7$ | $157 \pm 9$ | $157 \pm 10$ | $142 \pm 8 * *$ | $144 \pm{ }^{\text {7** }}$ |
| $146 \pm 4$ | $149 \pm 4$ | $135 \pm 8$ | $155 \pm 5$ | $145 \pm 10$ | $140 \pm 4$ |
| $140 \pm 8$ | $143 \pm 4$ | $187 \pm 20^{*}$ | $194 \pm 12^{* *}$ | $205 \pm 18 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $177 \pm 14^{* *}$ |
| $148 \pm 6$ | $153 \pm 4$ | $150 \pm 10$ | $169 \pm 11$ | $147 \pm 6^{\text {c }}$ | $166 \pm 13$ |
| $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.2 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $5.8 \pm 0.1$ | $5.7 \pm 0.1$ |
| $5.8 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.2$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ |
| $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.0 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.0 \pm 0.1$ | $5.8 \pm 0.1$ |
| $6.7 \pm 0.1$ | $6.7 \pm 0.0$ | $6.7 \pm 0.1$ | $6.5 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $6.8 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $6.6 \pm 0.1$ |
| $6.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.7 \pm 0.1$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1$ | $7.0 \pm 0.1$ | $6.7 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1^{* *}$ |

Table G1
Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Data for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

|  | 0 ppm | 1,250 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Study (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urinalysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $0.088 \pm 0.005$ | $0.088 \pm 0.006$ | $0.079 \pm 0.005^{\text {j }}$ | $0.093 \pm 0.010$ | $0.059 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ | $0.053 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ |
| Day 15 | $0.072 \pm 0.005$ | $0.074 \pm 0.007{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.065 \pm 0.006$ | $0.062 \pm 0.007{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.086 \pm 0.006$ | $0.081 \pm 0.005$ |
| Day 30 | $0.094 \pm 0.004$ | $0.085 \pm 0.004$ | $0.122 \pm 0.018^{\text {b }}$ | $0.107 \pm 0.012$ | $0.091 \pm 0.012$ | $0.105 \pm 0.005$ |
| Day 60 | $0.109 \pm 0.009$ | $0.097 \pm 0.010$ | $0.091 \pm 0.005^{\text {b }}$ | $0.078 \pm 0.005^{* *}$ | $0.076 \pm 0.004^{* *}$ | $0.091 \pm 0.00$ * $^{*}$ |
| Week 13 | $0.098 \pm 0.008$ | $0.096 \pm 0.005$ | -k | $0.077 \pm 0.004$ | $0.077 \pm 0.002^{\text {c }}$ | $0.088 \pm 0.005$ |
| Protein (mg/hr) ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $0.028 \pm 0.002$ | $0.027 \pm 0.002$ | $0.030 \pm 0.004^{j}$ | $0.030 \pm 0.002$ | $0.030 \pm 0.003$ | $0.025 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 15 | $0.033 \pm 0.004$ | $0.031 \pm 0.003{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.031 \pm 0.004$ | $0.038 \pm 0.005^{\text {c }}$ | $0.033 \pm 0.002$ | $0.033 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 30 | $0.030 \pm 0.002^{\text {b }}$ | $0.034 \pm 0.003$ | $0.033 \pm 0.007{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0.029 \pm 0.003$ | $0.034 \pm 0.004$ | $0.039 \pm 0.004^{\text {c }}$ |
| Day 60 | $0.033 \pm 0.002$ | $0.035 \pm 0.005^{\text {c }}$ | $0.044 \pm 0.004^{\text {b }}$ | $0.041 \pm 0.003$ | $0.035 \pm 0.005$ | $0.044 \pm 0.006$ |
| Week 13 | $0.055 \pm 0.006$ | $0.047 \pm 0.003$ | - | $0.039 \pm 0.004$ | $0.038 \pm 0.004^{\text {c }}$ | $0.041 \pm 0.003$ |
| Volume ( $\mathrm{mL} / 16 \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $13.2 \pm 2.1^{\text {j }}$ | $13.2 \pm 1.2$ | $13.5 \pm 1.5{ }^{\text {j }}$ | $15.7 \pm 2.4$ | $14.6 \pm 1.0$ | $6.7 \pm 1.0^{*}$ |
| Day 15 | $11.9 \pm 1.7$ | $10.2 \pm 1.7$ | $10.8 \pm 1.4$ | $13.7 \pm 2.9^{\text {c }}$ | $12.6 \pm 1.5$ | $11.8 \pm 1.1$ |
| Day 30 | $12.8 \pm 1.2$ | $12.0 \pm 1.6$ | $13.1 \pm 1.4$ | $10.0 \pm 2.2$ | $14.4 \pm 1.2$ | $12.7 \pm 1.6$ |
| Day 60 | $10.2 \pm 1.4$ | $10.4 \pm 1.6$ | $14.1 \pm 1.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $14.0 \pm 2.3$ | $11.8 \pm 1.4$ | $14.7 \pm 1.2$ |
| Week 13 | $8.9 \pm 1.0$ | $11.3 \pm 1.4$ | - | $13.4 \pm 1.5$ | $12.7 \pm 2.0^{\text {c }}$ | $11.0 \pm 1.2$ |
| Specific gravity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 3 | $1.011 \pm 0.002^{j}$ | $1.008 \pm 0.001$ | $1.017 \pm 0.010^{j}$ | $1.008 \pm 0.001$ | $1.008 \pm 0.000$ | $1.017 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 15 | $1.013 \pm 0.001$ | $1.016 \pm 0.003$ | $1.014 \pm 0.002$ | $1.015 \pm 0.003^{\text {c }}$ | $1.015 \pm 0.002$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 30 | $1.012 \pm 0.001$ | $1.013 \pm 0.001$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ | $1.026 \pm 0.006$ | $1.012 \pm 0.001$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ |
| Day 60 | $1.018 \pm 0.002$ | $1.017 \pm 0.002$ | $1.012 \pm 0.001 * * b$ | $1.016 \pm 0.004 *$ | $1.013 \pm 0.002 *$ | $1.012 \pm 0.001^{*}$ |
| Week 13 | $1.018 \pm 0.002$ | $1.015 \pm 0.001$ | - | $1.011 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ | $1.013 \pm 0.001^{\text {c }}$ | $1.015 \pm 0.001$ |
| Urine Concentration Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 |
| Volume ( $\mathrm{mL} / 4 \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 4 | $0.900 \pm 0.100$ | $0.750 \pm 0.144$ | $0.600 \pm 0.100^{*}$ | $0.700 \pm 0.122^{\text {f }}$ | $0.643 \pm 0.092^{*}$ | $0.611 \pm 0.073^{*}$ |
| Day 16 | $0.371 \pm 0.123^{\text {c }}$ | $0.280 \pm 0.092{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $0.586 \pm 0.120^{\text {c }}$ | $0.588 \pm 0.134^{\text {b }}$ | $0.917 \pm 0.201 *^{\text {e }}$ | $0.789 \pm 0.140^{*}$ |
| Day 31 | $0.500 \pm 0.000^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $0.625 \pm 0.125$ | $0.833 \pm 0.167^{\text {h }}$ | $1.333 \pm 0.333^{* *}$ | $0.571 \pm 0.118$ | $0.944 \pm 0.227$ |
| Day 61 | $0.100 \pm 0.000^{h}$ | $0.400 \pm 0.125^{\text {c }}$ | $0.340 \pm 0.098$ | $0.467 \pm 0.088{ }^{*}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.167 \pm 0.067^{\text {e }}$ | $0.383 \pm 0.147^{\text {e }}$ f |
| Week 13 | $0.680 \pm 0.461$ | $0.100 \pm 0.000 * * f$ | $0.550 \pm 0.450^{\text {i }}$ | $0.183 \pm 0.065$ | $0.788 \pm 0.234^{\text {b }}$ | $1.100 \pm 0.187 * f$ |
| Specific gravity $\quad$ f |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Day 4 | $1.066 \pm 0.004$ | $1.076 \pm 0.004$ | $1.067 \pm 0.005$ | $1.064 \pm 0.011^{\text {f }}$ | $1.077 \pm 0.003$ | $1.064 \pm 0.006$ |
| Day 16 | $1.074 \pm 0.003^{\text {c }}$ | $1.075 \pm 0.003^{\text {f }}$ | $1.068 \pm 0.005^{\text {c }}$ | $1.055 \pm 0.010^{\text {b }}$ | $1.047 \pm 0.009^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $1.060 \pm 0.006$ |
| Day 31 | $1.061 \pm 0.013$ | $1.067 \pm 0.007$ | $1.060 \pm 0.013^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $1.053 \pm 0.009$ | $1.067 \pm 0.008$ | $1.054 \pm 0.008$ |
| Day 61 | $1.072 \pm 0.004^{\text {h }}$ | $1.033 \pm 0.009 * *{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.062 \pm 0.006$ | $1.050 \pm 0.006^{c}$ | $1.046 \pm 0.011^{\text {e }}$ | $1.063 \pm 0.004^{e}$ |
| Week 13 | $1.048 \pm 0.014$ | $1.037 \pm 0.009^{e}$ | $1.035 \pm 0.010^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.048 \pm 0.008^{\text {c }}$ | $1.059 \pm 0.007^{\text {b }}$ | $1.061 \pm 0.008^{f}$ |

[^87]Table G2
Clinical Chemistry and Urinalysis Data for Mice in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| 0 ppm | 625 ppm | $1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Male

| Clinical Chemistry | 6 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urea nitrogen (mg/dL) | $32.0 \pm 3.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $24.6 \pm 1.5$ | $28.0 \pm 1.5$ | $35.8 \pm 2.9^{\text {c }}$ | $46.4 \pm 5.9$ | $72.3 \pm 15.1^{*}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Glucose (mg/dL) | $123 \pm 8^{\text {b }}$ | $146 \pm 14$ | $162 \pm 12$ | $162 \pm 14^{\text {c }}$ | $141 \pm 18$ | $146 \pm 18{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Total protein (g/dL) | $5.8 \pm 0.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ | $5.5 \pm 0.2$ | $5.8 \pm 0.1^{\text {e }}$ | $5.7 \pm 0.1$ | $5.8 \pm 0.2{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Albumin (g/dL) | $3.8 \pm 0.1$ | $4.0 \pm 0.1$ | $3.6 \pm 0.2$ | $3.8 \pm 0.1$ | $3.8 \pm 0.1$ | $4.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| Globulin (g/dL) | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ |
| A/G ratio | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $2.1 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| Urinalysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 4 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 4 |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $0.051 \pm 0.014$ | $0.024 \pm 0.011$ | $0.036 \pm 0.007$ | $0.025 \pm 0.004$ | $0.038 \pm 0.004^{\text {c }}$ | $0.034 \pm 0.004$ |
| Protein (mg/hr) | $0.364 \pm 0.062$ | $0.148 \pm 0.065$ | $0.264 \pm 0.051$ | $0.228 \pm 0.045$ | $0.166 \pm 0.028 *$ | $0.075 \pm 0.020^{* *}$ |
| Volume (mL/24 hr) | $3.63 \pm 0.80$ | $4.17 \pm 1.69$ | $3.06 \pm 0.55$ | $2.72 \pm 0.55^{\text {c }}$ | $2.00 \pm 0.17$ | $2.25 \pm 0.43$ |
| Specific gravity | $1.020 \pm 0.004$ | $1.005 \pm 0.003$ | $1.016 \pm 0.003$ | $1.017 \pm 0.004$ | $1.023 \pm 0.002$ | $1.018 \pm 0.001$ |

## Female

| Clinical Chemistry | 9 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urea nitrogen (mg/dL) | $21.9 \pm 0.9$ | $24.8 \pm 2.7^{\text {e }}$ | $22.6 \pm 1.4$ | $26.0 \pm 3.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $27.6 \pm 1.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $37.8 \pm 3.1^{* *}$ |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | $151 \pm 10$ | $182 \pm 13^{\text {e }}$ | $151 \pm 7$ | $148 \pm 8^{\text {e }}$ | $130 \pm 11^{\text {c }}$ | $118 \pm 23$ |
| Total protein (g/dL) | $6.0 \pm 0.1$ | $5.8 \pm 0.1$ | $6.4 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.1^{\text {c }}$ | $6.4 \pm 0.2$ |
| Albumin (g/dL) | $4.2 \pm 0.1$ | $4.0 \pm 0.1$ | $4.5 \pm 0.1$ | $4.3 \pm 0.1$ | $4.4 \pm 0.1$ | $4.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| Globulin (g/dL) | $1.8 \pm 0.1$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1$ | $1.8 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| A/G ratio | $2.3 \pm 0.1$ | $2.4 \pm 0.1$ | $2.4 \pm 0.1$ | $2.3 \pm 0.1$ | $2.5 \pm 0.2$ | $2.3 \pm 0.3$ |
| Urinalysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| n | 9 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 6 |
| Glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $0.043 \pm 0.005$ | $0.039 \pm 0.005$ | $0.041 \pm 0.009$ | $0.044 \pm 0.009$ | $0.048 \pm 0.005$ | $0.022 \pm 0.005$ |
| Protein (mg/hr) | $0.147 \pm 0.027$ | $0.171 \pm 0.024$ | $0.154 \pm 0.037$ | $0.161 \pm 0.027$ | $0.112 \pm 0.011$ | $0.016 \pm 0.003^{* *}$ |
| Volume ( $\mathrm{mL} / 24 \mathrm{hr}$ ) | $3.7 \pm 0.5$ | $3.4 \pm 0.6$ | $3.5 \pm 0.8$ | $3.0 \pm 0.6$ | $3.5 \pm 0.3$ | $2.2 \pm 0.6$ |
| Specific gravity | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ | $1.016 \pm 0.002$ | $1.020 \pm 0.003$ | $1.018 \pm 0.002$ | $1.008 \pm 0.002$ |
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Table H1
Summary of Reproductive Tissue Evaluations and Estrous Cycle Characterization for Rats in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm | 20,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Weights (g) |  |  |  |  |
| Necropsy body wt. | $334 \pm 6$ | $308 \pm 1{ }^{*}$ | $299 \pm 8^{* *}$ | $255 \pm 7^{* *}$ |
| R. cauda | $0.169 \pm 0.011$ | $0.166 \pm 0.007$ | $0.175 \pm 0.008$ | $0.162 \pm 0.008$ |
| R. epididymis | $0.509 \pm 0.016$ | $0.519 \pm 0.024$ | $0.508 \pm 0.020$ | $0.503 \pm 0.016$ |
| R. testis | $1.492 \pm 0.027$ | $1.443 \pm 0.035$ | $1.411 \pm 0.038$ | $1.360 \pm 0.036 * *$ |
| Epididymal spermatozoal parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Motility (\%) | $97.33 \pm 0.78$ | $97.03 \pm 0.71$ | $97.48 \pm 0.53$ | $96.96 \pm 1.05$ |
| Concentration <br> ( $10^{6} / \mathrm{g}$ cauda epididymal tissue) | $558.2 \pm 42.8$ | $524.6 \pm 27.3$ | $552.0 \pm 32.1$ | $646.8 \pm 50.7$ |
| Normal (per 500 sperm) | $496.3 \pm 0.5$ | $495.7 \pm 0.4$ | $493.9 \pm 1.4$ | $495.4 \pm 0.6$ |
| Abnormal (\%) | $0.740 \pm 0.099$ | $0.860 \pm 0.079$ | $1.220 \pm 0.284$ | $0.920 \pm 0.116$ |
| Amorphous (per 500 sperm) | $0.300 \pm 0.153$ | $0.500 \pm 0.224$ | $0.600 \pm 0.221$ | $0.600 \pm 0.221$ |
| Excessive hook (per 500 sperm) | $1.400 \pm 0.476$ | $0.900 \pm 0.379$ | $1.500 \pm 0.764$ | $1.500 \pm 0.269$ |
| No hook (per 500 sperm) | $1.20 \pm 0.25$ | $2.30 \pm 0.47$ | $3.10 \pm 0.82$ | $1.70 \pm 0.33$ |
| Pin-head (per 500 sperm) | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| Short-headed (per 500 sperm) | $0.800 \pm 0.249$ | $0.500 \pm 0.167$ | $0.900 \pm 0.277$ | $0.800 \pm 0.200$ |
| Two tails or heads (per 500 sperm) | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ |

## Female

| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Necropsy body wt. (g) | $200 \pm 6$ | $184 \pm 3^{* *}$ | $174 \pm 6^{* *}$ | $163 \pm 2^{* *}$ |
| Estrous cycle length (days) | $4.70 \pm 0.21$ | $4.70 \pm 0.15$ | $5.00 \pm 0.15$ | $5.56 \pm 0.47{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Estrous stages (\% of cycle) |  |  |  |  |
| Diestrus | 27.1 | 28.6 | 27.1 | 27.1 |
| Proestrus | 14.3 | 14.3 | 17.1 | 20.0 |
| Estrus | 27.1 | 27.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Metestrus | 31.4 | 30.0 | 34.3 | 31.4 |

[^89]Table H2
Summary of Reproductive Tissue Evaluations and Estrous Cycle Characterization for Mice in the 13-Week Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | 0 ppm | 2,500 ppm | 5,000 ppm | 10,000 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 |
| Weights (g) |  |  |  |  |
| Necropsy body wt. (g) | $27.8 \pm 1.6$ | $25.4 \pm 0.4$ | $21.6 \pm 0.4^{* *}$ | $17.4 \pm 0.4 * *$ |
| R. cauda | $0.023 \pm 0.001$ | $0.020 \pm 0.002$ | $0.017 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ | $0.012 \pm 0.001^{* *}$ |
| R. epididymis | $0.086 \pm 0.005$ | $0.074 \pm 0.005$ | $0.055 \pm 0.002^{* *}$ | $0.048 \pm 0.003 * *$ |
| R. testis | $0.122 \pm 0.003$ | $0.122 \pm 0.004$ | $0.114 \pm 0.002$ | $0.102 \pm 0.005^{* *}$ |
| Epididymal spermatozoal parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Motility (\%) | $90.17 \pm 0.93$ | $92.96 \pm 2.12$ | $90.05 \pm 1.74$ | $85.79 \pm 9.36$ |
| Concentration |  |  |  |  |
| ( $10 \% / \mathrm{g}$ cauda epididymal tissue) | $988.1 \pm 64.0$ | $1,065.3 \pm 110$ | $1,163.2 \pm 116$ | 1,334.8 $\pm 157$ |
| Normal (per 500 sperm) | $494.7 \pm 0.7$ | $494.2 \pm 1.1$ | $494.4 \pm 0.8$ | $495.1 \pm 0.9$ |
| Abnormal (\%) | $1.060 \pm 0.140$ | $1.160 \pm 0.229$ | $0.940 \pm 0.133$ | $0.971 \pm 0.177$ |
| Amorphous (per 500 sperm) | $2.50 \pm 0.56$ | $2.40 \pm 1.01$ | $1.90 \pm 0.28$ | $1.71 \pm 0.52$ |
| Banana (per 500 sperm ) | $2.10 \pm 0.28$ | $2.30 \pm 0.42$ | $1.70 \pm 0.47$ | $2.43 \pm 0.30$ |
| Blunt hook (per 500 sperm) | $0.400 \pm 0.267$ | $0.500 \pm 0.224$ | $0.700 \pm 0.396$ | $0.143 \pm 0.143$ |
| Pin-head (per 500 sperm) | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.143 \pm 0.143$ |
| Short-headed (per 500 sperm) | $0.200 \pm 0.133$ | $0.200 \pm 0.133$ | $0.200 \pm 0.133$ | $0.143 \pm 0.143$ |
| Two tails or heads (per 500 sperm) | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.200 \pm 0.133$ | $0.100 \pm 0.100$ | $0.286 \pm 0.286$ |

## Female

| n | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Necropsy body wt. (g) | $25.8 \pm 1.1$ | $23.9 \pm 0.7$ | $18.5 \pm 0.3^{* *}$ | $16.0 \pm 0.6^{* *}$ |
| Estrous cycle length (days) | $4.00 \pm 0.00^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $4.00 \pm 0.00^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $4.11 \pm 0.11^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $5.43 \pm 0.48^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Estrous stages (\% of cycle) |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Diestrus | 32.9 | 22.9 | 20.0 | 25.7 |
| $\quad$ Prostrus | 18.6 | 21.4 | 12.9 | 12.9 |
| $\quad$ Estrus | 18.6 | 21.4 | 41.4 | 41.4 |
| $\quad$ Metestrus | 20.0 | 24.3 | 25.7 | 18.6 |
| $\quad$ Unclear diagnosis | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 1.4 |
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# CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND DOSE FORMULATION STUDIES 

Procurement and Characterization OF 2,2-BIS(BROMOMETHYY)-1,3-PROPANEDIOL

2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was obtained from Dow Chemical Company (Rolling Meadows, IL) in one lot (840429-162), which was used during the 13 -week and 2 -year studies. Identity, purity, and stability analyses were conducted by the analytical chemistry laboratory, Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO). Reports on analyses performed in support of the 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol studies are on file at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS).

The chemical, a fine white powder, was identified as 2,2 -bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol by infrared, ultraviolet/visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. All spectra were consistent with the literature spectra (Sadtler Standard Spectra) of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (Figures I1 and I2).

The purity was determined by elemental analyses, Karl Fischer water analysis, thin-layer chromatography (TLC), and gas chromatography. TLC was performed on Silica Gel $60 \mathrm{~F}-254$ plates with two solvent systems: 1) toluene:methanol (80:20), and 2) chloroform:acetone (80:20) with 3-chloro-1,2-propanediol as a reference standard. Plates were examined under visible and ultraviolet light at 254 nm and 366 nm and with a spray of $0.5 \%$ potassium permanganate in 1 N sodium hydroxide. Gas chromatography was performed using a flame ionization detector and a nitrogen carrier gas. Two systems were used:
A) Tenax GC $60 / 80$ mesh column with a nitrogen flow rate of $17 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute and an oven temperature program of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, then $50^{\circ}$ to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per minute, and
B) $3 \%$ SP-2250 on $100 / 120$ Supelcoport column with a nitrogen flow rate of $70 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute and an isothermal oven temperature of $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Elemental analyses for carbon, hydrogen, and bromine were in agreement with the theoretical values for 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol. Karl Fischer water analysis indicated $0.3 \% \pm 0.1 \%$ water. TLC by each system indicated a major spot and one impurity. Gas chromatography by system A indicated one major peak and three impurities with areas greater than or equal to $0.1 \%$, and totaling $1.6 \%$ relative to the major peak. Gas chromatography using system B indicated a major peak and four impurities with areas greater than or equal to $0.1 \%$, and totaling $3.0 \%$ relative to the major peak.

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses were also conducted. HPLC was performed using a DuPont Zorbax ODS column with an isocratic solvent system of water:methanol (25:75) at a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute and indicated a major peak and nine impurities with areas greater than $0.1 \%$ and totaling $21.2 \%$. Samples were also analyzed with solvent systems containing $80 \%$ and $100 \%$ methanol as well as methanol:water ( $30: 70$ ). No additional impurities with relative areas greater than $1 \%$ were observed.

Five impurity peaks with areas of $1 \%$ or greater were detected in lot 840429-162. The impurities were further characterized by HPLC and direct inlet mass spectrometry (DIMS). The major peak and four of the impurities with peak areas greater than $1 \%$ were isolated by HPLC as described above, but with a water:methanol ( $38: 62$ ) solvent system. These impurities were then characterized by analysis with DIMS with electron impact, positive chemical ionization, and negative chemical ionization. Two impurities, 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane (6.6\%) and 2,2,-bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3hydroxypropane $(6.9 \%$ ), were identified. One impurity ( $1 \%$ ) was tentatively identified as a dimer of the
parent chemical. Another impurity peak ( $2.8 \%$ ) consisted of multiple components, including a structural isomer and a dimer of the parent compound (Figure 13).

A specific quantitation for an identified impurity was performed if a standard was available. The impurity identified as 1,1-bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane was quantitated against a standard obtained from Velsicol Chemical Company (Chicago, IL), by HPLC. HPLC as described previously, but with water:methanol (35:65) solvent system and velerophenone as an internal standard, indicated $7.5 \% \pm 0.1 \%$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane.

The impurity identified as pentaerythritol (reactant in the synthesis of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3propanediol) was quantitated against a pentaerythritol standard solution prepared by the analytical chemistry laboratory. HPLC as described with a water:methanol (25:75) solvent system detected a peak in the chromatographic profile of lot 840429-162 with a retention time that was consistent with that of the concomitantly analyzed pentaerythritol standard. Interference from the solvent was observed and the impurity peak could not be accurately quantitated. The amount of pentaerythritol observed was estimated at $0.2 \%$ by peak are comparison. The overall purity for lot $840429-162$ was determined to be approximately $78.6 \%$.

Stability studies of the bulk chemical were performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory. Stability studies were performed using gas chromatography system B as described previously for the purity analysis, except with a carrier gas flow rate of $60 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute and an isothermal oven temperature of $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These studies indicated that 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was stable as a bulk chemical for 2 weeks when stored protected from light at temperatures up to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To ensure stability, the bulk chemical was stored at room temperature in sealed containers, protected from light. Stability was monitored monthly during the 13 -week and 2 -year studies using gas chromatography. No degradation of the bulk chemical was detected.

## Preparation and analysis of Dose Formulations

The dose formulations for the 13 -week and 2 -year feed studies were prepared weekly by mixing the appropriate quantities of dry 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol with feed in a Udy ${ }^{\oplus}$ Cyclone Sample Mill to produce a premix. Premixes were then blended with more feed in a Patterson-Kelley Twin Shell ${ }^{\infty}$ blender for 15 minutes, with an intensifier bar used for the initial 5 minutes. The formulations were stored in sealed, double plastic bags for no longer than 21 days (13-week studies) or 15 days ( 2 -year studies) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Homogeneity and stability analyses of the dosed feed preparations were conducted by the analytical chemistry laboratory. For the homogeneity studies, samples of 630 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ formulations were analyzed. Samples ( 10 g ) of the dose formulations were extracted with 25 mL ( 630 ppm extract) or $100 \mathrm{~mL}(20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ extract) acetonitrile:water ( $90: 10$ ) and shaken for 30 minutes. The extracts were then centrifuged for 5 minutes. The $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ extract was then separated into 5 mL aliquots and diluted to 23 mL with the acetonitrile:water solution. To remove water from the extracts, 5 mL portions of the diluted $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ extract and the undiluted 630 ppm extract were combined with 3 g of anhydrous sodium sulfate and allowed to stand for 15 minutes with periodic shaking. Aliquots ( 3 mL ) of the anhydrous solution were added to 3 mL of derivatizing reagent (reagent-grade acetic anhydride in a solution of hexadecane diluted with pyridine) and then heated in a $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath for 15 minutes. Portions of the resulting solutions were then analyzed by gas chromatography using a flame ionization detector and $10 \%$ SP-2100 on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport and a nitrogen carrier gas at a flow rate of $30 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute and an oven temperature program of $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes, then $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ minute with a hold for 10 minutes at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the stability analyses, 630 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were
prepared, stored up to 21 days in the dark at $5^{\circ}$ or $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or under animal room conditions, then analyzed by the same gas chromatography method described for the homogeneity analysis. Homogeneity was confirmed; stability of the 630 ppm formulation was confirmed for at least 3 weeks when stored in sealed containers in the dark at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Based on these observations, the dose formulations were stored in the dark at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for no more than 3 weeks.

Periodic analyses of the dose formulations of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol were conducted at the study laboratory with gas chromatography using a flame ionization detector and $10 \%$ SP- 2100 on Supelcoport $100 / 120$ mesh and a nitrogen carrier gas at a flow rate of $30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{minute}$ and an isothermal oven temperature of $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, then $165^{\circ}$ to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per minute and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 minutes. For the 13 -week studies, dose formulations were analyzed at the beginning, in the middle, and at the end of the studies (Table I2). During the 2 -year studies, formulations were analyzed at least every 10 weeks (Table 13). All the dose formulations analyzed for rats and mice were within $10 \%$ of the target concentration during the 13 -week studies. During the 2 -year rat study, dose formulations were within $10 \%$ of the target concentrations $88 \%(75 / 85)$ of the time. The dose formulations found to be outside the acceptable limits were remixed and reanalyzed, and all formulations were within $10 \%$ of the target concentration except one $(-11 \%)$. The 2 -year mouse study dose formulations were within $10 \%$ of the target concentrations $98 \%(44 / 45)$ of the time. Results of periodic referee analyses performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory agreed with the results obtained by the study laboratory (Table I4).


Figure I1
Infrared Absorption Spectrum of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol


Figure 12
Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrum of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

78.6\% 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (Dibromoneopentyl Glycol)

6.9\% 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane (Tribromoneopentyl Alcohol)

6.6\% 2,2-Bis(hydroxymethyl)-1-bromo-3-hydroxypropane (Monobromoneopentyltriol)

## Table Il

Preparation and Storage of Dose Formulations in the Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol
13-Week Studies 2-Year Studies

[^91]Table 12
Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 13-Week Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Determined } \\ & \text { Concentration }{ }^{\text {a }} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{aligned}$ | Difference from Target (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rats |  |  |  |  |
| 4 February 1986 | 5 February 1986 | 20 | $19.91{ }^{\text {b }}$ | -1 |
|  |  | 20 | $19.82^{\text {c }}$ | -1 |
|  |  | 20 | $19.55{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -2 |
| 8 April 1986 | 9 April 1986 | 1.25 |  | -4 |
|  |  | 1.25 | $1.186^{\text {e }}$ | -5 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.372 | -5 |
|  |  | 2.5 | $2.459^{\text {e }}$ | -2 |
|  |  |  | 4.705 | -6 |
|  |  | $5$ | $4.902^{\text {e }}$ | -2 |
| 9 April 1986 | 11 April 1986 | 10 | 9.74 | -3 |
|  |  | 20 | 20.26 | +1 |
| 20 May 1986 | 21 May 1986 | 1.25 | 1.274 | +2 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.242 | -1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.474 | -1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.513 | +1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.968 | -1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.917 | -2 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.91 | -1 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.76 | -2 |
|  |  | 20 | 19.57 | -2 |
|  |  | 20 | 19.59 | -2 |
| 28 July 1986 | 29 July 1986 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.414 | -3 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.018 | 0 |
| 28 July 1986 | 14 August 1986 | 10 | 9.81 | -2 |
|  |  | 20 | 19.66 | -2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 April 1986 | 9 April 1986 | 0.625 | 0.6652 | +6 |
|  |  | 0.652 | $0.6221^{\text {e }}$ | -1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.199 | -4 |
|  |  | 1.25 | $1.186^{\text {e }}$ | -5 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.372 | -5 |
|  |  | 2.5 | $2.459^{\text {e }}$ | -2 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.705 | -6 |
|  |  | 5 | $4.902{ }^{\text {e }}$ | -2 |
| 9 April 1986 | 11 April 1986 | 10 | 9.74 | -3 |

## Table 12

Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 13-Week Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed | Target Concentration (mg/g) | Determined Concentration $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g})$ | \% Difference from Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mice (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| $20 \text { May } 1986$ | 21 May 1986 | 0.625 | 0.6301 | +1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.274 | +2 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.474 | -1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.968 | -1 |
| 21 May 1986 | 22 May 1986 | 10 | 9.91 | -1 |
| 30 June 1986 | 1 July 1986 | 0.625 | 0.6072 | -3 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.212 | -3 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.476 | -1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.989 | 0 |
| 1 July 1986 | 2 July 1986 | 10 | 9.83 | -2 |

[^92]Table 13
Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed | Target Concentration (mg/g) | Determined Concentration ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (mg/g) | Difference from Target (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rats |  |  |  |  |
| 27 February 1989 | 28 February - 3 March 1989 | 20 | $20.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ | +2 |
|  |  | 20 | $19.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ | -2 |
|  |  | 20 | $20.1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | +1 |
| 17 March 1989 | 20-21 March 1989 | 2.5 | 2.66 | +6 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.70 | +8 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.50 | $+10$ |
|  |  | 5 | 4.26 | -15 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.4 | +4 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.1 | +1 |
|  |  | 20 | 19.6 | -2 |
|  |  | 20 | 21.2 | +6 |
| 23 March 1989 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 24 March 1989 | 5 | 5.07 | +1 |
| 18 May 1989 | 19, 20, and 22 May 1989 | 2.5 | 2.35 | -6 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.42 | -3 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.13 | +3 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.44 | +9 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
|  |  | 20 | 20.8 | +4 |
|  |  | 20 | 20.3 | +2 |
| 27 July 1989 | 27-29 July 1989 | 2.5 | 2.48 | -1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.52 | +1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.99 | 0 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.90 | -2 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.0 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.1 | +1 |
| 7 September 1989 | 8-9 September 1989 | 2.5 | 2.56 | +2 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.50 | 0 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.22 | +4 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.23 | +5 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.4 | +4 |
|  |  | 10 | 14.8 | +48 |
| 13 September $1989{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 14 September 1989 | 10 | 10.1 | $+1$ |
| 2 November 1989 | 2-4 November 1989 | 2.5 | 2.48 | -1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.68 | +7 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.25 | +5 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.18 | +4 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.5 | +5 |

Table 13
Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice
in the 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed Con | arget ntration g/g) | Determined Concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Difference from Target (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rats (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| 14 December 1989 | 14-16 December 1989 | 2.5 | 2.15 | -14 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.20 | -12 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.88 | -2 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.88 | -2 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.3 | +3 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.3 | +3 |
| 18 December $1989{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 19 December 1989 | 2.5 | 2.52 | +1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.55 | +2 |
| 8 February 1990 | 8-13 February 1990 | 2.5 | 2.47 | -1 |
|  |  | $2.5$ | 2.47 | -1 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.92 | -2 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.02 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.4 | +4 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.92 | -1 |
| 5 April 1990 | 5-7 April 1990 | 2.5 | 2.57 | +3 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.90 | $+16$ |
|  |  | 5 | 5.62 | +12 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.42 | +8 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.98 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
| 10 April $1990^{\text {e }}$ | 10 April 1990 | $2.5$ | $2.40$ | -4 |
|  |  | $5$ | $3.80$ | -24 |
| 11 April $1990^{\text {e }}$ | 12-13 April 1990 | 5 | 4.47 | -11 |
| 21 June 1990 | 21-25 June 1990 | 2.5 | 2.62 | +5 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.64 | +6 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.74 | -5 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.09 | +2 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.0 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
| 16 August 1990 | 16-18 August 1990 | 2.5 | 2.46 | -2 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.65 | +6 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.11 | +2 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.03 | +1 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.0 | 0 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.2 | +2 |
| 25 October 1990 | 25, 26, and 29-31 October 1990 |  | 2.45 | -2 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.38 | -5 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.88 | -2 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.23 | -16 |
|  | - | 10 | 11.3 | $+13$ |
|  | - | 10 | 12.1 | $+21$ |

Table 13
Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed | Target Concentration $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathrm{g})$ | Determined Concentration (mg/g) | Difference from Target (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rats (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 November $1990^{\text {c }}$ | 1-2 November 1990 | 5 | 4.90 | -2 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.82 | -2 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.91 | -1 |
| 3 January 1991 | 3-6 January 1991 | 2.5 | 2.42 | -3 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.40 | -4 |
|  |  | 5 | 4.92 | -2 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.19 | +4 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.3 | +3 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.1 | +1 |
| 7 March 1991 | 7-9 March 1991 | 2.5 | 2.52 | +1 |
|  |  | 2.5 | 2.42 | -3 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.16 | +3 |
|  |  | 5 | 5.40 | +8 |
|  |  | 10 | 11.1 | +11 |
|  |  | 10 | 9.4 | -6 |
| 12 March $1991{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 12-13 March 1991 | 10 | 10.4 | +4 |
| Mice |  |  |  |  |
| 27 February 1989 | 28 February - 3 March 1989 | 0.312 | $0.321^{\mathrm{b}}$ | +3 |
|  |  | $0.312$ | $0.313^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0 |
|  |  | 0.312 | $0.311^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0 |
| 7-8 March 1989 | 8-9 March 1989 | 0.312 | 0.336 | +8 |
|  |  | 0.312 | 0.332 | +6 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.663 | $+6$ |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.648 | +4 |
|  |  | $1.25$ | $1.32$ | +6 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.31 | +5 |
| 18 May 1989 | 19, 20, and 22 May 1989 |  | 0.324 | +4 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.610 | -2 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.20 | -4 |
| 27 July 1989 | 27-29 July 1989 | 0.312 | 0.321 | +3 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.599 | -4 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.24 | -1 |
| 7 September 1989 | 8-9 September 1989 | 0.312 | 0.332 | +6 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.626 | 0 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.24 | -1 |
| 2 November 1989 | 2-4 November 1989 |  |  | +3 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.618 | -1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.22 | -2 |

TABLE 13
Results of Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Date Prepared | Date Analyzed Co | Target Concentration (mg/g) | Determined Concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Difference from Target (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mice (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| 14 December 1989 | 14-16 December 1989 | 0.312 | 0.334 | +7 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.612 | -2 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.24 | -1 |
| 8 February 1990 | 8-13 February 1990 | 0.312 | 0.327 | +5 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.630 | +1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.22 | -2 |
| 5 April 1990 | 5-7 April 1990 | 0.312 | 0.311 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.617 | -1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.27 | +2 |
| 21 June 1990 | 21-25 June 1990 | 0.312 | 0.324 | $+4$ |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.586 | -6 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.16 | -7 |
| 16 August 1990 | 16-18 August 1990 | 0.312 | 0.319 | +2 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.659 | +6 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.22 | -2 |
| 25 October 1990 | 25,26, and 29-31 October 1990 |  | 0.322 | $+3$ |
|  |  | $0.625$ | $0.654$ | +5 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.24 | -1 |
| 3 January 1991 | 3-6 January 1991 | 0.312 | 0.312 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.619 | -1 |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.26 | +1 |
| 7 March 1991 | 7-9 March 1991 |  | 0.226 | -28 |
|  |  | 0.625 | 0.633 | $+1$ |
|  |  | 1.25 | 1.26 | $+1$ |
| 12 March $1991{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 12-13 March 1991 | 0.312 | 0.285 | -9 |

[^93]Table 14
Results of Referee Analysis of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 13-Week and 2-Year Feed Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

|  | Determined Concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date PreparedTarget Concentration <br> ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | Study Laboratory ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Referee Laboratory ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 13-Week Studies (American Biogenics Corp.) |  |  |
| Rats |  |  |
| 8 April 1986 | 1.199 | $1.20 \pm 0.04$ |
| Mice |  |  |
| 1 July 198610 | 9.83 | $9.845 \pm 0.143$ |
| 2-Year Studies (Southern Research Institute) |  |  |
| Rats |  |  |
| 17 March 198910 | $10.3{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $10.9 \pm 1.06$ |
| 8 February 1990 2.5 | 2.47 | $2.51 \pm 0.13$ |
| Mice |  |  |
| 8 March 1989 - 0.625 | $0.656^{\text {c }}$ | $0.663 \pm 0.02$ |
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Table J1
Feed and Compound Consumption by Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Week | 0 ppm |  | 2,500 ppm |  |  | 5,000 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) }{ }^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (mg/kg/day) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ /(\mathbf{g} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day (mg/kg/day) |
| 2 | 15.6 | 163 | 15.2 | 161 | 236 | 14.8 | 157 | 471 |
| 6 | 18.2 | 267 | 17.4 | 260 | 167 | 16.8 | 253 | 332 |
| 10 | 16.5 | 314 | 16.5 | 306 | 135 | 16.7 | 300 | 279 |
| 13 | 16.7 | 341 | 17.1 | 333 | 129 | 16.7 | 326 | 256 |
| 17 | 16.5 | 365 | 15.9 | 355 | 112 | 16.4 | 346 | 238 |
| 21 | 16.8 | 386 | 17.6 | 374 | 117 | 16.5 | 362 | 227 |
| 25 | 16.0 | 399 | 16.1 | 386 | 104 | 15.7 | 376 | 209 |
| 29 | 16.8 | 412 | 16.5 | 401 | 103 | 15.3 | 384 | 199 |
| 33 | 16.3 | 424 | 16.4 | 413 | 99 | 16.5 | 401 | 205 |
| 37 | 16.0 | 432 | 16.2 | 423 | 96 | 15.1 | 412 | 183 |
| 41 | 14.5 | 442 | 15.2 | 431 | 88 | 15.3 | 424 | 180 |
| 45 | 15.8 | 440 | 16.0 | 432 | 93 | 16.3 | 420 | 194 |
| 49 | 15.9 | 452 | 15.9 | 438 | 91 | 16.3 | 430 | 189 |
| 53 | 15.7 | 454 | 15.6 | 444 | 88 | 15.9 | 438 | 182 |
| 57 | 16.5 | -458 | 15.9 | 454 | 88 | 15.0 | 446 | 169 |
| 61 | 15.8 | 461 | 16.0 | 452 | 88 | 16.1 | 438 | 184 |
| 65 | 16.1 | 463 | 15.6 | 449 | 87 | 15.7 | 445 | 176 |
| 73 | 15.5 | 455 | 15.2 | 446 | 85 | 14.9 | 435 | 171 |
| 77 | 15.1 | 450 | 14.7 | 443 | 83 | 15.1 | 431 | 175 |
| 81 | 14.4 | 444 | 14.9 | 442 | 84 | 13.6 | 428 | 159 |
| 85 | 14.2 | 443 | 14.4 | 442 | 82 | 12.5 | 428 | 146 |
| 89 | 13.2 | 440 | 13.8 | 440 | 79 | 11.7 | 418 | 140 |
| 93 | 13.5 | 435 | 13.2 | 429 | 77 | 12.6 | 412 | 152 |
| 97 | 13.8 | 432 | 13.6 | 433 | 78 | 12.4 | 403 | 154 |
| 101 | 12.6 | 432 | 12.6 | 426 | 74 | 13.5 | 408 | 166 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 16.7 | 271 | 16.6 | 265 | 167 | 16.3 | 259 | 335 |
| 14-52 | 16.1 | 417 | 16.2 | 406 | 100 | 15.9 | 395 | 203 |
| 53-101 | 14.7 | 447 | 14.6 | 442 | 83 | 14.1 | 427 | 165 |

Table J1
Feed and Compound Consumption by Male Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study
of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (continued)

| Week | 0 ppm |  | $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |  |  | 20,000 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feed } \\ & \text { (g/day) } \end{aligned}$ | Body Weight (g) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day (mg/kg/day) |
| 2 | 15.6 | 163 | 14.7 | 152 | 965 | 12.6 | 134 | 1,881 |
| 6 | 18.2 | 267 | 16.2 | 236 | 685 | 14.7 | 197 | 1,489 |
| 10 | 16.5 | 314 | 16.1 | 273 | 590 | 14.1 | 222 | 1,267 |
| 13 | 16.7 | 341 | 16.4 | 298 | 548 | 16.0 | 245 | 1,308 |
| 17 | 16.5 | 365 | 15.3 | 319 | 481 | 15.2 | 292 | 1,041 |
| 21 | 16.8 | 386 | 16.0 | 340 | 471 | 16.4 | 323 | 1,013 |
| 25 | 16.0 | 399 | 15.8 | 355 | 445 | 15.3 | 347 | 885 |
| 29 | 16.8 | 412 | 15.8 | 367 | 429 | 14.7 | 366 | 806 |
| 33 | 16.3 | 424 | 15.9 | 377 | 422 | 15.5 | 382 | 810 |
| 37 | 16.0 | 432 | 15.7 | 388 | 404 | 14.9 | 399 | 750 |
| 41 | 14.5 | 442 | 14.7 | 396 | 372 | 14.6 | 410 | 713 |
| 45 | 15.8 | 440 | 15.7 | 395 | 398 | 15.9 | 410 | 778 |
| 49 | 15.9 | 452 | 16.7 | 401 | 416 | 15.6 | 419 | 744 |
| 53 | 15.7 | 454 | 15.6 | 414 | 377 | 15.3 | 430 | 713 |
| 57 | 16.5 | 458 | 15.8 | 418 | 379 | 15.0 | 431 | 697 |
| 61 | 15.8 | 461 | 16.3 | 415 | 393 | 15.6 | 422 | 741 |
| 65 | 16.1 | 463 | 15.6 | 414 | 377 | 16.3 | 429 | 758 |
| 73 | 15.5 | 455 | 14.8 | 406 | 365 | 13.3 | 423 | 630 |
| 77 | 15.1 | 450 | 14.2 | 416 | 341 | 15.2 | 424 | 718 |
| 81 | 14.4 | 444 | 11.4 | 407 | 281 | 13.3 | 414 | 641 |
| 85 | 14.2 | 443 | 12.6 | 402 | 315 | 13.1 | 410 | 637 |
| 89 | 13.2 | 440 | 12.9 | 394 | 327 | 11.8 | 409 | 577 |
| 93 | 13.5 | 435 | 13.5 | 388 | 348 | 8.9 | 374 | 478 |
| 97 | 13.8 | 432 | 12.4 | 384 | 323 | 15.2 | 402 | 755 |
| 101 | 12.6 | 432 | 12.2 | 369 | 331 |  |  |  |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 16.7 | 271 | 15.8 | 240 | 697 | 14.4 | 200 | 1,486 |
| 14-52 | 16.1 | 417 | 15.7 | 371 | 426 | 15.4 | 372 | 838 |
| 53-101 | 14.7 | 447 | 14.0 | 402 | 347 | 13.9 | 415 | 668 |

[^95]TABLE J2
Feed and Compound Consumption by Female Rats in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Week | 0 ppm |  | 2,500 ppm |  |  | $5,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ |  |  | 10,000 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \text { day })^{a} \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dosel } \\ \text { Day } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day $)$ | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | Dose $/$ Day (mg/kg/day) |
| 2 | 11.6 | 130 | 11.5 | 127 | 226 | 11.4 | 126 | 452 | 11.5 | 127 | 909 |
| 6 | 11.6 | 168 | 11.9 | 165 | 180 | 11.8 | 165 | 357 | 11.3 | 159 | 707 |
| 10 | 10.8 | 185 | 10.8 | 180 | 149 | 10.7 | 178 | 301 | 10.7 | 172 | 621 |
| 13 | 10.1 | 191 | 10.2 | 187 | 136 | 10.3 | 186 | 277 | 10.0 | 180 | 558 |
| 17 | 10.6 | 201 | 10.3 | 198 | 130 | 10.2 | 193 | 265 | 9.9 | 186 | 532 |
| 21 | 9.9 | 206 | 10.4 | 203 | 127 | 10.1 | 198 | 254 | 10.1 | 192 | 524 |
| 25 | 9.7 | 212 | 10.0 | 209 | 120 | 9.8 | 203 | 241 | 9.6 | 199 | 483 |
| 29 | 10.2 | 220 | 10.2 | 214 | 119 | 9.6 | 211 | 229 | 9.7 | 205 | 475 |
| 33 | 10.2 | 224 | 10.5 | 220 | 119 | 10.4 | 214 | 244 | 10.1 | 209 | 485 |
| 37 | 9.7 | 231 | 9.9 | 229 | 108 | 9.8 | 221 | 220 | 9.9 | 215 | 460 |
| 41 | 9.7 | 238 | 9.8 | 234 | 105 | 9.7 | 237 | 206 | 9.8 | 224 | 439 |
| 45 | 10.6 | 246 | 11.2 | 240 | 116 | 10.9 | 234 | 233 | 10.9 | 228 | 479 |
| 49 | 10.8 | 258 | 11.0 | 254 | 108 | 11.1 | 247 | 224 | 9.4 | 239 | 395 |
| 53 | 11.1 | 268 | 11.8 | 265 | 111 | 11.0 | 257 | 213 | 11.2 | 247 | 454 |
| 57 | 11.7 | 282 | 11.5 | 277 | 103 | 11.1 | 270 | 206 | 11.7 | 259 | 451 |
| 61 | 11.6 | 289 | 12.0 | 284 | 106 | 11.5 | 275 | 210 | 11.4 | 262 | 435 |
| 65 | 12.8 | 299 | 12.1 | 293 | 103 | 11.5 | 283 | 203 | 11.1 | 269 | 414 |
| 73 | 11.8 | 308 | 11.9 | 300 | 100 | 11.5 | 291 | 197 | 11.3 | 277 | 410 |
| 77 | 11.7 | 314 | 12.0 | 305 | 98 | 11.6 | 295 | 196 | 11.7 | 284 | 411 |
| 81 | 10.5 | 313 | 11.2 | 308 | 91 | 11.0 | 299 | 183 | 10.3 | 291 | 356 |
| 85 | 11.0 | 312 | 11.3 | 307 | 92 | 10.6 | 296 | 179 | 10.7 | 286 | 376 |
| 89 | 10.6 | 315 | 10.8 | 314 | 86 | 10.2 | 305 | 167 | 10.0 | 293 | 341 |
| 93 | 10.9 | 319 | 11.6 | 318 | 91 | 10.8 | 311 | 173 | 10.4 | 297 | 351 |
| 97 | 11.4 | 326 | 10.2 | 325 | 79 | 11.8 | 323 | 183 | 11.1 | 301 | 367 |
| 101 | 10.9 | 330 | 11.5 | 327 | 88 | 11.2 | 322 | 174 | 12.2 | 307 | 396 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 11.0 | 168 | 11.1 | 165 | 173 | 11.0 | 164 | 347 | 10.9 | 159 | 699 |
| 14-52 | 10.2 | 226 | 10.4 | 222 | 117 | 10.2 | 218 | 235 | 9.9 | 211 | 475 |
| 53-101 | 11.3 | 306 | 11.5 | 302 | 96 | 11.1 | 294 | 190 | 11.1 | 281 | 397 |

[^96]Table J3
Feed and Compound Consumption by Male Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Week | 0 ppm |  | 312 ppm |  |  | 625 ppm |  |  | 1,250 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \text { day })^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dosel } \\ \text { Day }^{\text {b }} \\ \text { (mg/kg/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day (mg/kg/day) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feed } \\ & \text { (g/day) } \end{aligned}$ | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day (mg/kg/day) |
| 2 | 4.4 | 24.9 | 4.6 | 24.9 | 57 | 4.4 | 24.8 | 111 | 4.6 | 24.7 | 230 |
| 6 | 4.9 | 28.8 | 4.9 | 28.7 | 53 | 5.0 | 28.3 | 109 | 4.9 | 28.2 | 218 |
| 10 | 4.7 | 31.8 | 5.0 | 32.2 | 48 | 4.7 | 31.4 | 94 | 4.8 | 31.1 | 193 |
| 13 | 4.6 | 33.5 | 4.7 | 33.7 | 43 | 4.7 | 32.9 | 90 | 4.7 | 32.6 | 180 |
| 17 | 4.7 | 34.8 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 45 | 5.1 | 34.0 | 94 | 4.9 | 33.8 | 182 |
| 21 | 4.4 | 36.9 | 4.8 | 37.7 | 40 | 4.8 | 36.7 | 81 | 4.8 | 36.3 | 164 |
| 25 | 4.3 | 38.8 | 4.6 | 39.6 | 36 | 4.4 | 38.5 | 72 | 4.6 | 37.8 | 150 |
| 29 | 4.5 | 40.7 | 4.6 | 41.3 | 35 | 4.6 | 40.1 | 72 | 4.7 | 39.7 | 148 |
| 33 | 4.7 | 42.2 | 4.7 | 43.5 | 34 | 4.9 | 41.7 | 73 | 5.0 | 41.2 | 153 |
| 37 | 4.8 | 43.6 | 4.7 | 44.4 | 33 | 4.9 | 43.2 | 70 | 4.8 | 42.4 | 143 |
| 41 | 4.4 | 44.5 | 4.7 | 44.8 | 32 | 4.6 | 44.1 | 65 | 4.8 | 43.3 | 140 |
| 45 | 4.2 | 46.4 | 4.4 | 46.8 | 29 | 4.5 | 45.9 | 61 | 4.6 | 45.1 | 127 |
| 49 | 4.4 | 46.7 | 4.7 | 46.9 | 31 | 4.5 | 46.5 | 61 | 4.4 | 45.2 | 120 |
| 53 | 4.4 | 47.3 | 4.7 | 47.6 | 31 | 4.7 | 46.9 | 62 | 4.5 | 46.1 | 123 |
| 57 | 4.5 | 48.2 | 4.7 | 49.1 | 30 | 4.6 | 48.5 | 59 | 4.8 | 47.5 | 125 |
| 61 | 4.7 | 48.8 | 4.7 | 49.4 | 30 | 4.7 | 49.1 | 60 | 4.5 | 48.1 | 118 |
| 65 | 4.7 | 49.0 | 4.9 | 49.2 | 31 | 4.8 | 49.4 | 61 | 4.8 | 48.0 | 126 |
| 69 | 4.5 | 47.6 | 4.7 | 48.9 | 30 | 4.6 | 48.5 | 60 | 4.4 | 47.9 | 116 |
| 73 | 4.3 | 48.4 | 4.7 | 48.7 | 30 | 4.6 | 47.8 | 60 | 4.5 | 47.6 | 119 |
| 77 | 4.5 | 48.1 | 4.7 | 49.1 | 30 | 4.6 | 48.5 | 60 | 4.5 | 47.7 | 119 |
| 81 | 4.4 | 47.3 | 4.6 | 47.8 | 30 | 4.3 | 47.1 | 57 | 4.4 | 46.0 | 120 |
| 85 | 4.7 | 48.8 | 4.9 | 48.7 | 32 | 4.8 | 48.5 | 61 | 4.9 | 46.2 | 133 |
| 90 | 4.2 | 49.4 | 4.5 | 49.3 | 29 | 4.5 | 47.9 | 58 | 4.3 | 47.2 | 115 |
| 93 | 4.1 | 49.7 | 4.5 | 49.3 | 28 | 4.4 | 48.6 | 56 | 4.4 | 46.9 | 117 |
| 97 | 4.5 | 49.8 | 4.7 | 48.9 | 30 | 4.5 | 48.6 | 58 | 4.6 | 46.6 | 124 |
| 101 | 4.4 | 48.7 | 4.5 | 48.3 | 29 | 4.5 | 47.6 | 59 | 4.4 | 44.6 | 122 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 4.7 | 29.7 | 4.8 | 29.9 | 50 | 4.7 | 29.3 | 101 | 4.7 | 29.2 | 205 |
| 14-52 | 4.5 | 41.6 | 4.7 | 42.2 | 35 | 4.7 | 41.2 | 72 | 4.7 | 40.5 | 148 |
| 53-101 | 4.4 | 48.5 | 4.7 | 48.8 | 30 | 4.6 | 48.2 | 59 | 4.6 | 47.0 | 121 |

[^97]Table J4
Feed and Compound Consumption by Female Mice in the 2-Year Feed Study of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Week | 0 ppm |  | 312 ppm |  |  | 625 ppm |  |  | 1,250 ppm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \text { day })^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dosel } \\ \text { Day } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \text { day }) \end{gathered}$ | Feed (g/day) | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day $)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { (g/day) } \end{gathered}$ | Body Weight (g) | Dose/ Day $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})$ |
| 2 | 4.4 | 20.5 | 4.5 | 20.7 | 68 | 4.6 | 20.3 | 143 | 4.4 | 20.2 | 272 |
| 6 | 4.9 | 24.3 | 5.1 | 24.1 | 66 | 5.4 | 23.7 | 141 | 5.3 | 23.5 | 279 |
| 10 | 5.1 | 26.5 | 5.2 | 26.9 | 61 | 5.3 | 26.5 | 124 | 5.5 | 26.0 | 267 |
| 13 | 5.3 | 28.0 | 5.0 | 28.8 | 54 | 5.2 | 28.0 | 116 | 5.3 | 27.5 | 241 |
| 17 | 4.9 | 30.1 | 5.3 | 30.7 | 54 | 5.4 | 29.9 | 112 | 5.4 | 29.1 | 233 |
| 21 | 5.0 | 31.6 | 5.2 | 32.6 | 50 | 5.4 | 32.5 | 104 | 5.4 | 30.9 | 217 |
| 25 | 5.0 | 34.5 | 5.1 | 35.8 | 44 | 5.2 | 35.6 | 91 | 5.2 | 33.7 | 191 |
| 29 | 4.8 | 36.2 | 5.1 | 37.8 | 42 | 4.9 | 37.5 | 81 | 5.3 | 35.5 | 185 |
| 33 | 5.3 | 37.4 | 5.5 | 39.4 | 43 | 5.5 | 39.0 | 88 | 5.8 | 37.0 | 195 |
| 37 | 5.5 | 39.3 | 5.6 | 41.5 | 42 | 5.6 | 41.1 | 86 | 6.0 | 38.9 | 192 |
| 41 | 4.9 | 40.7 | 5.2 | 43.2 | 37 | 5.2 | 43.0 | 76 | 5.5 | 40.7 | 168 |
| 45 | 5.1 | 42.8 | 4.8 | 44.8 | 33 | 4.9 | 44.5 | 69 | 5.4 | 43.0 | 157 |
| 49 | 4.8 | 44.8 | 4.9 | 46.3 | 33 | 5.1 | 45.4 | 71 | 4.8 | 44.0 | 137 |
| 53 | 5.0 | 46.0 | 5.0 | 48.1 | 32 | 5.2 | 47.2 | 69 | 4.9 | 45.8 | 135 |
| 57 | 5.0 | 48.0 | 5.0 | 50.3 | 31 | 5.0 | 48.6 | 65 | 5.2 | 47.9 | 137 |
| 61 | 5.1 | 49.6 | 5.1 | 51.4 | 31 | 5.0 | 50.4 | 63 | 5.1 | 49.6 | 128 |
| 65 | 5.0 | 50.2 | 5.1 | 52.1 | 30 | 5.5 | 51.7 | 67 | 5.6 | 49.5 | 142 |
| 69 | 4.5 | 50.0 | 5.0 | 51.6 | 30 | 5.2 | 50.9 | 64 | 5.1 | 49.0 | 129 |
| 73 | 4.7 | 50.8 | 5.1 | 51.1 | 31 | 5.1 | 51.2 | 62 | 5.0 | 49.3 | 127 |
| 77 | 4.7 | 50.9 | 5.1 | 51.1 | 31 | 4.8 | 50.5 | 60 | 5.2 | 49.1 | 133 |
| 81 | 4.7 | 50.2 | 5.0 | 50.0 | 31 | 4.7 | 50.2 | 59 | 4.8 | 49.1 | 121 |
| 85 | 5.3 | 52.4 | 5.3 | 51.1 | 33 | 5.5 | 51.2 | 67 | 6.1 | 50.3 | 151 |
| 89 | 4.5 | 53.6 | 4.6 | 52.4 | 28 | 4.4 | 52.0 | 53 | 4.9 | 50.7 | 120 |
| 93 | 4.4 | 53.9 | 4.9 | 52.6 | 29 | 4.6 | 51.9 | 55 | 4.9 | 49.7 | 124 |
| 97 | 4.8 | 54.5 | 4.9 | 53.7 | 29 | 4.9 | 51.9 | 60 | 5.2 | 49.4 | 133 |
| 101 | 4.7 | 52.4 | 4.6 | 52.3 | 28 | 4.7 | 50.8 | 57 | 4.9 | 47.6 | 128 |
| Mean for weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-13 | 4.9 | 24.8 | 5.0 | 25.1 | 62 | 5.1 | 24.6 | 131 | 5.1 | 24.3 | 265 |
| 14-52 | 5.0 | 37.5 | 5.2 | 39.1 | 42 | 5.2 | 38.7 | 86 | 5.4 | 37.0 | 186 |
| 53-101 | 4.8 | 51.0 | 5.0 | 51.4 | 30 | 5.0 | 50.6 | 62 | 5.1 | 49.0 | 131 |
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Table K1
Ingredients of NIH-07 Rat and Mouse Ration ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Ingredients ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Percent by Weight |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ground \#2 yellow shelled corn |  |
| Ground hard winter wheat | 24.50 |
| Soybean meal (49\% protein) | 23.00 |
| Fish meal (60\% protein) | 12.00 |
| Wheat middlings | 10.00 |
| Dried skim milk | 10.00 |
| Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17\% protein) | 5.00 |
| Corn gluten meal (60\% protein) | 4.00 |
| Soy oil | 3.00 |
| Dried brewer's yeast | 2.50 |
| Dry molasses | 2.00 |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 1.50 |
| Ground limestone | 1.25 |
| Salt | 0.50 |
| Premixes (vitamin and mineral) | 0.50 |

NCI, 1976; NIH, 1978
Ingredients were ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed.

Table K2
Vitamins and Minerals in NIH-07 Rat and Mouse Ration ${ }^{1}$

|  | Amount | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vitamins |  |  |
| A | 5,500,000 IU | Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 4,600,000 IU | D-activated animal sterol |
| $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ | 2.8 g | Menadione |
| $d$ - $\alpha$-Tocopheryl acetate | 20,000 IU |  |
| Choline | 560.0 g | Choline chloride |
| Folic acid | 2.2 g |  |
| Niacin | 30.0 g |  |
| $d$-Pantothenic acid | 18.0 g | $d$-Calcium pantothenate |
| Riboflavin | 3.4 g |  |
| Thiamine | 10.0 g | Thiamine mononitrate |
| $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ | 4,000 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ |  |
| Pyridoxine | 1.7 g | Pyridoxine hydrochloride |
| Biotin | 140.0 mg | $d$-Biotin |
| Minerals |  |  |
| Iron | 120.0 g | Iron sulfate |
| Manganese | 60.0 g | Manganous oxide |
| Zinc | 16.0 g | Zinc oxide |
| Copper | 4.0 g | Copper sulfate |
| lodine | 1.4 g | Calcium iodate |
| Cobalt | 0.4 g | Cobalt carbonate |

[^99]Table K3
Nutrient Composition of NIH-07 Rat and Mouse Ration

| Nutrient | Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation | Range | Number of Samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protein (\% by weight) | $23.44 \pm 0.83$ | $21.30-25.20$ | 25 |
| Crude fat (\% by weight) | $5.24 \pm 0.22$ | $4.80-5.80$ | 25 |
| Crude fiber (\% by weight) | $3.60 \pm 0.55$ | $2.60-4.80$ | 25 |
| Ash (\% by weight) | $6.55 \pm 0.20$ | 6.12-7.10 | 25 |
| Amino Acids (\% of total diet) |  |  |  |
| Arginine | $1.287 \pm 0.084$ | $1.100-1.390$ | 10 |
| Cystine | $0.306 \pm 0.075$ | 0.181-0.400 | 10 |
| Glycine | $1.160 \pm 0.050$ | $1.060-1.220$ | 10 |
| Histidine | $0.580 \pm 0.024$ | 0.531-0.608 | 10 |
| Isoleucine | $0.917 \pm 0.034$ | 0.867-0.965 | 10 |
| Leucine | $1.972 \pm 0.052$ | 1.850-2.040 | 10 |
| Lysine | $1.273 \pm 0.051$ | 1.200-1.370 | 10 |
| Methionine | $0.437 \pm 0.115$ | 0.306-0.699 | 10 |
| Phenylalanine | $0.994 \pm 0.125$ | 0.665-1.110 | 10 |
| Threonine | $0.896 \pm 0.055$ | 0.824-0.985 | 10 |
| Tryptophan | $0.223 \pm 0.160$ | 0.107-0.671 | 10 |
| Tyrosine | $0.677 \pm 0.105$ | 0.564-0.794 | 10 |
| Valine | $1.089 \pm 0.057$ | 0.962-1.170 | 10 |
| Essential Fatty Acids (\% of total diet) |  |  |  |
| Linoleic | $2.389 \pm 0.233$ | $1.830-2.570$ | 9 |
| Linolenic | $0.277 \pm 0.036$ | $0.210-0.320$ | 9 |
| Vitamins |  |  |  |
| Vitamin A (IU/kg) | 6,664 $\pm 1,277$ | 4,273-9,190 | 25 |
| Vitamin D (IU/kg) | $4,450 \pm 1,382$ | 3,000-6,300 | 4 |
| $\alpha$-Tocopherol (ppm) | $36.92 \pm 9.32$ | 22.5-48.9 | 9 |
| Thiamine (ppm) | $19.76 \pm 2.65$ | 15.0-28.0 | 25 |
| Riboflavin (ppm) | $7.92 \pm 0.93$ | $6.10-9.00$ | 10 |
| Niacin (ppm) | $100.95 \pm 25.92$ | $65.0-150.0$ | 9 |
| Pantothenic acid (ppm) | $30.30 \pm 3.60$ | $23.0-34.6$ | 10 |
| Pyridoxine (ppm) | $9.25 \pm 2.62$ | $5.60-14.0$ | 10 |
| Folic acid (ppm) | $2.51 \pm 0.64$ | $1.80-3.70$ | 10 |
| Biotin (ppm) | $0.267 \pm 0.049$ | 0.19-0.35 | 10 |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ (ppb) | $40.14 \pm 20.04$ | 10.6-65.0 | 10 |
| Choline (ppm) | $3,068 \pm 314$ | $2,400-3,430$ | 9 |
| Minerals |  |  |  |
| Calcium (\%) | $1.22 \pm 0.11$ | 0.90-1.55 | 25 |
| Phosphorus (\%) | $0.95 \pm 0.04$ | 0.88-1.03 | 25 |
| Potassium (\%) | $0.887 \pm 0.067$ | $0.772-0.971$ | 8 |
| Chloride (\%) | $0.526 \pm 0.092$ | $0.380-0.635$ | 8 |
| Sodium (\%) | $0.315 \pm 0.344$ | 0.258-0.370 | 10 |
| Magnesium (\%) | $0.168 \pm 0.008$ | 0.151-0.180 | 10 |
| Sulfur (\%) | $0.274 \pm 0.063$ | 0.208-0.420 | 10 |
| Iron (ppm) | $356.2 \pm 90.0$ | $255.0-523.0$ | 10 |
| Manganese (ppm) | $92.24 \pm 5.35$ | 81.70-99.40 | 10 |
| Zinc (ppm) | $58.14 \pm 9.91$ | 46.10-81.60 | 10 |
| Copper (ppm) | $11.50 \pm 2.40$ | $8.090-15.39$ | 10 |
| Iodine (ppm) | $3.70 \pm 1.14$ | $1.52-5.83$ | 10 |
| Chromium (ppm) | $1.71 \pm 0.45$ | 0.85-2.09 | 9 |
| Cobalt (ppm) | $0.797 \pm 0.23$ | $0.490-1.150$ | 6 |

Table K4
Contaminant Levels in NIH-07 Rat and Mouse Ration ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Range | Number of Samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contaminants |  |  |  |
| Arsenic (ppm) | $0.30 \pm 0.16$ | 0.06-0.60 | 25 |
| Cadmium (ppm) | $0.08 \pm 0.02$ | 0.05-0.12 | 25 |
| Lead (ppm) | $0.27 \pm 0.18$ | $0.10-0.90$ | 25 |
| Mercury (ppm) | $0.03 \pm 0.02$ | 0.05-0.08 | 25 |
| Selenium (ppm) | $0.34 \pm 0.08$ | $0.15-0.52$ | 25 |
| Aflatoxins (ppb) | $<5.0$ |  | 25 |
| Nitrate nitrogen (ppm) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $15.22 \pm 4.43$ | 5.90-22.00 | 25 |
| Nitrite nitrogen (ppm) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.20 \pm 0.14$ | <0.10-0.60 | 25 |
| BHA (ppm) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $1.54 \pm 0.88$ | <1.00-4.00 | 25 |
| BHT (ppm) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $1.46 \pm 1.25$ | $<1.00-7.00$ | 25 |
| Aerobic plate count ( $\mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | $95,068 \pm 78,430$ | 4,700-380,000 | 25 |
| Coliform (MPN/g) | $28.84 \pm 31.01$ | $<3.00-93.00$ | 25 |
| Escherichia coli (MPN/g) | $3.32 \pm 1.21$ | $<3.00-9.00$ | 25 |
| Salmonella (MPN/g) | Negative |  |  |
| Total nitrosoamines ( ppb$)^{\text {e }}$ | $7.30 \pm 2.45$ | 2.00-13.70 | 25 |
| $N$-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $5.38 \pm 2.06$ | $1.00-11.00$ | 25 |
| $N$-Nitrosopyrrolidine (ppb) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.92 \pm 1.04$ | 1.00-4.30 | 25 |
| Pesticides (ppm) |  |  |  |
| $\alpha$-BHC | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| $\beta$-BHC | <0.02 |  | 25 |
| $\gamma$-BHC | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| $\delta$-BHC | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Heptachlor | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Aldrin | $<0.01$ |  | 25 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | $<0.01$ |  | 25 |
| DDE | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| DDD | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| DDT | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| HCB | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Mirex | $<0.01$ |  | 25 |
| Methoxychlor | <0.05 |  | 25 |
| Dieldrin | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Endrin | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Telodrin | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Chlordane | <0.05 |  | 25 |
| Toxaphene | <0.1 |  | 25 |
| Estimated PCBs | <0.2 |  | 25 |
| Ronnel | $<0.01$ |  | 25 |
| Ethion | <0.02 |  | 25 |
| Trithion | <0.05 |  | 25 |
| Diazinon | <0.1 |  | 25 |
| Methyl parathion | <0.02 |  | 25 |
| Ethyl parathion | <0.02 |  | 25 |
| Malathion | $0.27 \pm 0.29$ | 0.05-1.29 | 25 |
| Endosulfan I | $<0.01$ |  | 25 |
| Endosulfan II | <0.01 |  | 25 |
| Endosulfan sulfate | <0.03 |  | 25 |
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## SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

## Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals and the study animals are subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Serum samples were collected from randomly selected rats and mice during the 13 -week and 2 -year studies. Blood from each animal was collected, allowed to clot and the serum separated. The samples were processed appropriately and sent to Microbiological Associates, Inc. (Bethesda, MD), for determination of antibody titers. The laboratory serology methods and viral agents for which testing was performed are tabulated below; the times at which blood was collected during the studies are also listed.

## Method and Test

## Time of Analysis

## RATS

13-Week Study
ELISA
CARB (cilia-associated respiratory bacillus)
Mycoplasma arthritidis
Mycoplasma pulmonis
PVM (pneumonia virus of mice)
RCV/SDA (rat coronavirus/ sialodacryoadenitis virus)
Sendai
Hemagglutination Inhibition
$\mathrm{H}-1$ (Toolan's $\mathrm{H}-1$ virus)
KRV (Kilham rat virus)
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination

## 2-Year Study

ELISA
M. arthritidis
M. pulmonis

PVM
RCV/SDA
Sendai
Immunofluorescence Assay RCV/SDA

Hemagglutination Inhibition
H-1
6, 12, and 18 months, study termination
KRV

6,12 , and 18 months, study termination

## Mice

## 13-Week Study

## Complement Fixation

LCM (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus)
Study termination

## ELISA

Ectromelia virus Study termination
GDVII (mouse encephalomyelitis virus) Study termination
Mouse adenoma virus
MHV (mouse hepatitis virus)
M. arthritidis
M. pulmonis

PVM
Reovirus 3
Sendai
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination
Immunofluorescence Assay
EDIM (epizootic diarrhea of infant mice)
Study termination
Hemagglutination Inhibition
K (papovavirus)
MVM (minute virus of mice)
Polyoma virus
Study termination
Study termination
Study termination

## 2-Year Study

ELISA
Ectromelia virus 6, 12, and 18 months, study termination
EDIM
GDVII
LCM
MVM
Mouse adenoma virus
MHV
PVM
Reovirus 3
Sendai
18 months
6,12 , and 18 months, study termination
6,12 , and 18 months, study termination
6 months
6 and 18 months, study termination
$6,12,18,21$, and 22 months, study termination
6,12 , and 18 months, study termination
$6,12,18,21$, and 22 months, study termination
$6,12,18,21$, and 22 months, study termination
Immunofluorescence Assay
EDIM
GDVII
LCM
MVM
Mouse adenoma virus
MHV
Hemagglutination Inhibition
K
MVM
6 and 12 months, study termination
18 months
18 months and study termination
12 months
12 and 18 months
18 months

Polyoma virus
6,12 , and 18 months, study termination
18 months and study termination
6,12 , and 18 months, study termination
Results of serology tests are presented in Table L1.

## Table L1

Murine Virus Antibody Determinations for Rats and Mice in the 13-Week and 2-Year Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol

| Interval | Incidence of Antibody in Sentinel Animals | Positive Serologic Reaction for |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13-Week Studies |  |  |
| Rats |  |  |
| Study termination | 0/10 | None positive |
| Mice |  |  |
| Study termination | $0 / 1^{\text {a }}$ | None positive |
| 2-Year Studies |  |  |
| Rats |  |  |
| 6 Months | 0/10 | None positive |
| 12 Months | 0/10 | None positive |
| 18 Months | 0/10 | None positive |
| Study termination | 2/9 | M. arthritidis ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Mice |  |  |
| 6 Months | 0/8 | None positive |
| 12 Months | 0/10 | None positive |
| 18 Months | $0 / 9$ | None positive |
| 21 Months | 2/10 | MHV |
| 22 Months | 0/10 | None positive |
| Study termination | 4/4 | MHV |
|  | 10/10 | MHV |
|  | $4 / 5$ | MHV |
| a Six samples were received at Microbiological Associates, Inc.; however, on the day they were to be tested, five vials were found to be empty. |  |  |
| b Further evaluation of samples positive for $M$. arthritidis by immunoblot and Western blot procedures indicated that the positive titers may be due to cross reaction with antibodies of nonpathogenic Mycoplasma or other agents. Only sporadic samples were positive and there were no clinical signs or histopathologic changes of $M$. arthritidis infection in rats with positive titers. Accordingly, M. arthritidispositive titers were considered to be false positives. |  |  |
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[^0]:    * Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 13. A summary of the Technical Reports Review Subcommittee comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 15.

[^1]:    $+\quad=$ some or clear evidence
    $\pm=$ equivocal evidence

    - = no evidence

    NA = not applicable

[^2]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
    ** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
    a Number of animals surviving/number initially in group
    b Weights and weight changes are given as mean $\pm$ standard error.
    c Feed consumption is expressed as grams of feed consumed per animal per day.

[^3]:    ** Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$ ) from the control group by the Fisher exact test
    ${ }^{a}$ Number of animals with organ examined microscopically
    b Number of animals with lesion
    c Average severity grade of lesions in affected rats: $1=$ minimal; $2=$ mild; $3=$ moderate; $4=$ marked

[^4]:    a Interim evaluations occurred during week 14 ( 0 and $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ groups) and week $66(0,2,500,5,000$, and $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ groups).
    b Stop-exposure group

[^5]:    a The number of animals weighed for this week is fewer than the number of animals surviving.
    b Interim evaluation occurred during week 66.

[^6]:    ** Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$ ) from the control group by the logistic regression test
    (T)Terminal sacrifice
    a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2-year study.
    b Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals necropsied
    c Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality.
    d Observed incidence in animals surviving until the end of the study
    e In the control column are the $P$ values associated with the trend test (the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group was excluded from the trend test). In the exposure group columns are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposure group. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. A negative trend or lower incidence in an exposure group is indicated by $\mathbf{N}$.
    f Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group
    g Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): $63 / 1,353$ (4.7\% $\pm 3.1 \%$ ); range 0\%-12 \%
    h Historical incidence: $568 / 1,351(42.0 \% \pm 14.0 \%)$; range $8 \%-64 \%$

[^7]:    a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2 -year study.
    b Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals necropsied
    c Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality.
    d Observed incidence in animals surviving until the end of the study
    $\mathbf{e}$ In the control column are the $P$ values associated with the trend test (the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group was excluded from the trend test). In the exposure group columns are the $P$ values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposure group. The life table test regards neoplasms in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. A lower incidence in an exposure group is indicated by $\mathbf{N}$.
    $f$ Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group
    $g$ Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): $16 / 1,353(1.2 \% \pm 1.4 \%$ ); range $0 \%-4 \%$

[^8]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by the logistic regression test
    ** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
    a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2-\mathrm{bis}$ (bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2-year study.
    b Number of animals with organ examined microscopically
    c Number of animals with lesion
    d Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
    e Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): $7 / 1,353(0.5 \% \pm 1.1 \%$ ); range $0 \%-4 \%$ (includes data for duodenum, ileum, and jejunum)
    f Historical incidence: $1 / 1,353(0.1 \% \pm 0.4 \%)$; range $0 \%-2 \%$ (includes data for cecum, colon, and rectum)

[^9]:    a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2 -year study.
    b Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals necropsied
    c No animals from the stop-exposure group were examined at the 15 -month interim evaluation.
    ${ }^{d}$ Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): 40/1,353 (3.0\% $\pm 2.4 \%$ ); range $0 \%-8 \%$
    e Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality.
    f Observed incidence in animals surviving until the end of the study
    g In the control column are the $\mathbf{P}$ values associated with the trend test (the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group was excluded from the trend test). In the exposure group columns are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposure group. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal.
    h Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

[^10]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathbf{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by the Fisher exact test (interim evaluation) or the logistic regression test (2-year study)
    ** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
    (T)Terminal sacrifice
    a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2-year study.
    b Number of animals with kidney examined microscopically
    c Number of animals with lesion
    d No animals from the stop-exposure group were examined at the 15 -month interim evaluation.
    e Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
    $f$ Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): $9 / 1,350(0.7 \% \pm 1.5 \%)$; range $0 \%-6 \%$
    g Historical incidence: $1 / 1,348(0.1 \% \pm 0.4 \%)$; range $0 \%-2 \%$

[^11]:    ** Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$ ) from the control group by the logistic regression test
    (T)Terminal sacrifice
    a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2-\mathrm{bis}$ (bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2-year study.
    b Number of animals with lung examined microscopically
    c Number of animals with lesion
    d Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
    e Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals with lung examined microscopically
    f Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality.
    g Observed incidence in animals surviving until the end of the study
    -h In the control column are the $P$ values associated with the trend test (the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group was excluded from the trend test). In the exposure group columns are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposure group. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. A lower incidence in an exposure group is indicated by $\mathbf{N}$.
    i Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group
    j Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): $44 / 1,350$ ( $3.3 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ ); range $0 \%-8 \%$
    k Historical incidence: 0/1,350

[^12]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by the logistic regression test
    (T)Terminal sacrifice
    a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2-year study.
    b Number of animals with thyroid gland examined microscopically
    c Number of animals with lesion
    d Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
    e Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals with thyroid gland examined microscopically
    f Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality.
    $g$ Observed incidence in animals surviving until the end of the study
    $h$ In the control column are the $P$ values associated with the trend test (the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group was excluded from the trend test). In the exposure group columns are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposure group. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal.
    i Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group
    $j$ Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): $23 / 1,343$ ( $1.7 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ ); range 0\%-6\%
    k Historical incidence: $12 / 1,346(0.9 \% \pm 1.5 \%)$; range $0 \%-6 \%$

[^13]:    * Significantly different $(\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05)$ from the control group by the Fisher exact test
    ** Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$ ) from the control group by the logistic regression test
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2 -year study.
    b Number of animals with lesion per number of animals necropsied
    c Number of animals with lesion
    d No animals in the stop-exposure group were examined at the 15 -month interim.
    e Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
    ${ }^{f}$ Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups: $0 / 1,353$

[^14]:    * Significantly different $(P \leq 0.05)$ from the control group by the logistic regression test
    ** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
    (T)Terminal sacrifice
    a Ten male rats receiving $20,000 \mathrm{ppm} 2,2$-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol in feed were evaluated at 3 months. The remaining 60 male rats in this group received control feed until the end of the 2-year study.
    b Number of animals with pancreas examined microscopically
    c Number of animals with lesion
    d Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
    e Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): $24 / 1,340(1.8 \% \pm 2.3 \%)$; range 0\%-10\%
    $f$ Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals with pancreas examined microscopically
    g Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality.
    $h$ Observed incidence in animals surviving until the end of the study
    i In the control column are the P values associated with the trend test (the $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ stop-exposure group was excluded from the trend test). In the exposure group columns are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposure group. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal.

[^15]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
    ** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of animals surviving/number initially in group
    b Weights and weight changes are given as mean $\pm$ standard error. Subsequent calculations are based on animals surviving to the end of the studies.
    c Feed consumption is expressed as grams of feed consumed per animal per day.
    d Week of death: 9, 9
    e Week of death: 2,2,3
    f Week of death: 6
    g Week of death: 8
    ${ }^{h}$ Week of death: 2
    i Week of death: 7

[^16]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Censored from survival analyses
    b Kaplan-Meier determinations
    c Mean of all deaths (uncensored, censored, and terminal sacrifice).
    d The result of the life table trend test (Tarone, 1975) is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons (Cox, 1972) with the controls are in the dosed columns.

[^17]:    a Interim evaluation occurred during week 66.

[^18]:    a Interim evaluation occurred during week 66.

[^19]:    ** Significantly different $(\mathbf{P} \leq 0.01)$ from the control group by the logistic regression test
    (T)Terminal sacrifice
    a Number of animals with harderian gland examined microscopically
    b Number of animals with lesion
    c Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
    dumber of animals with neoplasm per number of animals necropsied
    c Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality
    f Observed incidence in animals surviving until the end of the sudy
    8 In the control column are the P values associated with the trend test. In the exposure group columns are the P values corresponding to the pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposure group. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal.
    ${ }^{h}$ Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): 80/1,474 (5.4\% $\pm 4.5 \%$ ); range 0\%-20\%
    i Historical incidence: $59 / 1,470(4.0 \% \pm 3.1 \%)$; range $0 \%-10 \%$

[^20]:    ** Significantly different $(\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01)$ from the control group by the logistic regression test
    (T) Terminal sacrifice
    a Number of animals with lung examined microscopically
    b Number of animals with lesion
    c Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: $1=$ minimal, $2=$ mild, $3=$ moderate, $4=$ marked
    d Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals with lung examined microscopically
    e Kaplan-Meier estimated neoplasm incidence at the end of the study after adjustment for intercurrent mortality
    f Observed incidence in animals surviving until the end of the study
    g In the control column are the P values associated with the trend test. In the exposure group columns are the P values corresponding to the pairwise comparisons between the controls and that exposure group. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. A lower incidence in an exposure group is indicated by $\mathbf{N}$.
    ${ }^{h}$ Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): 265/1,469 ( $18.0 \% \pm 7.6 \%$ ); range $4 \%-32 \%$
    i Historical incidence: $110 / 1,469(7.5 \% \pm 5.0 \%)$; range $2 \%-26 \%$

[^21]:    ** Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$ ) from the control group by the logistic regression test
    a Number of animals with skin examined microscopically
    b Number of animals with neoplasm
    c Historical incidence for 2-year NTP feed studies with untreated control groups (mean $\pm$ standard deviation): $21 / 1,470(1.4 \% \pm 2.2 \%)$; range $0 \%-8 \%$

[^22]:    * Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 13. A summary of the Technical Reports Review Subcommittee comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 15.

[^23]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from controls by the life table test (Zymbal's gland or subcutaneous tissue neoplasms and mononuclear cell leukemia) or the logistic regression test (all other neoplasms)
    ** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
    a Number of animals necropsied
    b Number of animals with neoplasms

[^24]:    a $M R=$ male rats; $F R=$ female rats $; M M=$ male mice; $F M=$ female mice
    b Study conducted only in mice

[^25]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^26]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^27]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^28]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^29]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993 for duodenum, ileum, and jejunum
    b All incidences occurred in the jejunum.

[^30]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993 for benign, malignant, or unspecified mesothelioma

[^31]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^32]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^33]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^34]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^35]:    a Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with lesion
    b Ten control and ten $20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (stop-exposure) rats were evaluated at 3 months.

[^36]:    a Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with neoplasm
    b Number of animals with any tissue examined microscopically
    c Primary neoplasms: all neoplasms except metastatic neoplasms

[^37]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^38]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^39]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^40]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993 for cecum, colon, and rectum

[^41]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^42]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^43]:    a Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with neoplasm
    b Number of animals with any tissue examined microscopically
    c Primary neoplasms: all neoplasms except metastatic neoplasms

[^44]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^45]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^46]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^47]:    a Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with lesion

[^48]:    a Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with neoplasm
    b Number of animals with any tissue examined microscopically
    c Primary neoplasms: all neoplasms except metastatic neoplasms

[^49]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^50]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^51]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^52]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^53]:    a Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with lesion

[^54]:    a The detailed protocol and these data for the study performed at Case Western Reserve University are presented in Mortelmans et al. (1986); protocol and data for the study performed at SRI, Inc. are presented in Zeiger et al. (1992). $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{plate}$ dose is the solvent control.
    b Revertants are presented as mean $\pm$ standard error from three plates.
    c The positive controls in the absence of metabolic activation were sodium azide (TA1535 and TA100), 9-aminoacridine (TA1537), and 4 -nitro-o-phenylenediamine (TA98). The positive control for metabolic activation with all strains was 2 -aminoanthracene.
    d Slight toxicity

[^55]:    a Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. A detailed description of the protocol and these data are presented in Galloway et al. (1987). SCE = sister chromatid exchange; BrdU = bromodeoxyuridine.
    b SCEs/chromosome in treated cells versus SCEs/chromosome in solvent control cells.
    c Due to chemical-induced cell cycle delay, incubation time was extended to provide sufficient cells for scoring.
    d Significance of relative SCEs/chromosome tested by the linear regression trend test vs. $\log$ of the dose
    e Marked toxicity noted at this dose level

[^56]:    * Positive ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ )
    a Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. The detailed protocol and these data are presented in Galloway et al. (1987). Abs = aberrations.
    b Because of significant chemical-induced cell cycle delay, incubation time prior to addition of Colcemid was lengthened to provide sufficient metaphase cells at harvest.
    c Significance of percent cells with aberrations tested by the linear regression trend test vs. log of the dose

[^57]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.008$ ) from control
    a Study performed at Environmental Health Research and Testing, Inc. Two thousand PCEs scored per animal.
    b $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose is corn oil control.
    c Data presented as mean $\pm$ standard error; $\mathrm{PCE}=$ polychromatic erythrocyte
    d Positive control
    e Only 2 mice survived in this dose group.
    $f$ Trend test

[^58]:    a Ten thousand NCEs scored per animal. The detailed protocol and these data are presented in MacGregor et al. (1990). 0 ppm is the control.
    b Data presented as mean $\pm$ standard error; NCE $=$ normochromatic erythrocyte
    c Significant response by pairwise comparison to control
    d Trend test

[^59]:    ** Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$ ) from the control group by Williams' test
    a Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean $\pm$ standard error).

[^60]:    a Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean $\pm$ standard error).

[^61]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Dunn's or Shirley's test
    ** P $\leq 0.01$
    a Mean $\pm$ standard error. Statistical tests were performed on unrounded data.
    b $\begin{array}{llllll}n=8 & \text { c } & n=7 & d \quad n=9 & e^{n}=6 & \text { f } \\ n=5\end{array}$
    \& No measurements taken at this exposure level

[^62]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Dunn's or Shirley's test
    ** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
    a Mean $\pm$ standard error. Statistical tests were performed on unrounded data.
    b $\mathrm{n}=7$
    c $\mathrm{n}=9$
    d $\mathrm{n}=6$
    e $\mathrm{n}=8$

[^63]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
    ** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
    a Data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard error.
    b Estrous cycle was longer than 7 days or was unclear in 1 of 10 animals.

[^64]:    ** Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard error.
    b Estrous cycle was longer than 7 days or was unclear in 3 of 10 animals.
    c Estrous cycle was longer than 7 days or was unclear in 1 of 10 animals.

[^65]:    Preparation
    A premix of feed and 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was prepared by milling mixtures of the chemical and feed in a Udy* Cyclone Sample Mill. The premix was then layered into the remaining feed and blended in a Patterson-Kelly twin-shell blender with the intensifier bar on for 5 minutes and off for 10 minutes. Doses were prepared weekly.

    Chemical Lot Number 840429-162

    Same as 13 -week studies

    Maximum Storage Time
    3 weeks 2 weeks

    ## Storage Conditions

    Stored in sealed containers protected from light at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in
    Same as 13 -week studies double plastic bags

    Study Laboratory
    American Biogenics Corporation Southern Research Institute
    (Woburn, MA)
    (Birmingham, AL)

    ## Referee Laboratory

    Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO) Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO)

[^66]:    a Results of duplicate analyses. For rats, $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. For mice, $0.625 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}=625 \mathrm{ppm}$; for rats and mice, $1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=1,250 \mathrm{ppm} ; 2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=2,500 \mathrm{ppm} ; 5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=5,000 \mathrm{ppm} ; 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$.
    b Sample selection from top left of twin-shell blender
    c Sample selection from top right of twin-shell blender
    d Sample selection from bottom of twin-shell blender
    e Results of single analysis by internal standard method

[^67]:    a Results of duplicate analyses. For rats, $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=2,500 \mathrm{ppm} ; 5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=5,000 \mathrm{ppm} ; 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$; $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. For mice, $0.312 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=312 \mathrm{ppm} ; 0.625 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=625 \mathrm{ppm} ; 1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$.
    b Sample selection from top right of twin-shell blender
    c Sample selection from top left of twin-shell blender
    d Sample selection from bottom of twin-shell blender
    e Results of remix

[^68]:    a Results of duplicate analyses. For rats and mice, $0.625 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=625 \mathrm{ppm} ; 1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=1,250 \mathrm{ppm} ; 2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$; $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$.
    b Results of triplicate analyses (mean $\pm$ standard error)
    c Average of results from two sets of duplicate analyses

[^69]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Grams of feed consumed per animal per day
    Milligrams of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol consumed per kilogram body weight per day

[^70]:    a Grams of feed consumed per animal per day
    b Milligrams of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol consumed per kilogram body weight per day

[^71]:    a Grams of feed consumed per animal per day
    b Milligrams of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol consumed per kilogram body weight per day

[^72]:    a Grams of feed consumed per animal per day
    b Milligrams of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol consumed per kilogram body weight per day

[^73]:    a Per ton $(2,000 \mathrm{lb})$ of finished product

[^74]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ CFU $=$ colony forming units, MPN $=$ most probable number, BHC is hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride
    b For values less than the limit of detection, the detection limit is given as the mean.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Sources of contamination: alfalfa, grains, and fish meal
    d Sources of contamination: soy oil and fish meal
    e All values were corrected for percent recovery.

[^75]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^76]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^77]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^78]:    a Data as of 31 March 1993

[^79]:    a Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with lesion

[^80]:    a The detailed protocol and these data for the study performed at Case Western Reserve University are presented in Mortelmans et al. (1986); protocol and data for the study performed at SRI, Inc. are presented in Zeiger et al. (1992). $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{plate}$ dose is the solvent control.
    b Revertants are presented as mean $\pm$ standard error from three plates.
    c The positive controls in the absence of metabolic activation were sodium azide (TA1535 and TA100), 9-aminoacridine (TA1537), and 4 -nitro-o-phenylenediamine (TA98). The positive control for metabolic activation with all strains was 2 -aminoanthracene.
    d Slight toxicity

[^81]:    a Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. A detailed description of the protocol and these data are presented in Galloway et al. (1987). SCE = sister chromatid exchange; BrdU = bromodeoxyuridine.
    b SCEs/chromosome in treated cells versus SCEs/chromosome in solvent control cells.
    c Due to chemical-induced cell cycle delay, incubation time was extended to provide sufficient cells for scoring.
    d Significance of relative SCEs/chromosome tested by the linear regression trend test vs. $\log$ of the dose
    e Marked toxicity noted at this dose level

[^82]:    * Positive ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ )
    a Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. The detailed protocol and these data are presented in Galloway et al. (1987). Abs = aberrations.
    b Because of significant chemical-induced cell cycle delay, incubation time prior to addition of Colcemid was lengthened to provide sufficient metaphase cells at harvest.
    c Significance of percent cells with aberrations tested by the linear regression trend test vs. log of the dose

[^83]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.008$ ) from control
    a Study performed at Environmental Health Research and Testing, Inc. Two thousand PCEs scored per animal.
    b $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose is corn oil control.
    c Data presented as mean $\pm$ standard error; $\mathrm{PCE}=$ polychromatic erythrocyte
    d Positive control
    e Only 2 mice survived in this dose group.
    $f$ Trend test

[^84]:    a Ten thousand NCEs scored per animal. The detailed protocol and these data are presented in MacGregor et al. (1990). 0 ppm is the control.
    b Data presented as mean $\pm$ standard error; NCE $=$ normochromatic erythrocyte
    c Significant response by pairwise comparison to control
    d Trend test

[^85]:    ** Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$ ) from the control group by Williams' test
    a Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean $\pm$ standard error).

[^86]:    a Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean $\pm$ standard error).

[^87]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Dunn's or Shirley's test
    ** P $\leq 0.01$
    a Mean $\pm$ standard error. Statistical tests were performed on unrounded data.
    b $\begin{array}{llllll}n=8 & \text { c } & n=7 & d \quad n=9 & e^{n}=6 & \text { f } \\ n=5\end{array}$
    \& No measurements taken at this exposure level

[^88]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Dunn's or Shirley's test
    ** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
    a Mean $\pm$ standard error. Statistical tests were performed on unrounded data.
    b $\mathrm{n}=7$
    c $\mathrm{n}=9$
    d $\mathrm{n}=6$
    e $\mathrm{n}=8$

[^89]:    * Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
    ** $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$
    a Data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard error.
    b Estrous cycle was longer than 7 days or was unclear in 1 of 10 animals.

[^90]:    ** Significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$ ) from the control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard error.
    b Estrous cycle was longer than 7 days or was unclear in 3 of 10 animals.
    c Estrous cycle was longer than 7 days or was unclear in 1 of 10 animals.

[^91]:    Preparation
    A premix of feed and 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol was prepared by milling mixtures of the chemical and feed in a Udy* Cyclone Sample Mill. The premix was then layered into the remaining feed and blended in a Patterson-Kelly twin-shell blender with the intensifier bar on for 5 minutes and off for 10 minutes. Doses were prepared weekly.

    Chemical Lot Number 840429-162

    Same as 13 -week studies

    Maximum Storage Time
    3 weeks 2 weeks

    ## Storage Conditions

    Stored in sealed containers protected from light at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in
    Same as 13 -week studies double plastic bags

    Study Laboratory
    American Biogenics Corporation Southern Research Institute
    (Woburn, MA)
    (Birmingham, AL)

    ## Referee Laboratory

    Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO) Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO)

[^92]:    a Results of duplicate analyses. For rats, $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. For mice, $0.625 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}=625 \mathrm{ppm}$; for rats and mice, $1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=1,250 \mathrm{ppm} ; 2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=2,500 \mathrm{ppm} ; 5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=5,000 \mathrm{ppm} ; 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$.
    b Sample selection from top left of twin-shell blender
    c Sample selection from top right of twin-shell blender
    d Sample selection from bottom of twin-shell blender
    e Results of single analysis by internal standard method

[^93]:    a Results of duplicate analyses. For rats, $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=2,500 \mathrm{ppm} ; 5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=5,000 \mathrm{ppm} ; 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$; $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=20,000 \mathrm{ppm}$. For mice, $0.312 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=312 \mathrm{ppm} ; 0.625 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=625 \mathrm{ppm} ; 1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=1,250 \mathrm{ppm}$.
    b Sample selection from top right of twin-shell blender
    c Sample selection from top left of twin-shell blender
    d Sample selection from bottom of twin-shell blender
    e Results of remix

[^94]:    a Results of duplicate analyses. For rats and mice, $0.625 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=625 \mathrm{ppm} ; 1.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=1,250 \mathrm{ppm} ; 2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=2,500 \mathrm{ppm}$; $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}=10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$.
    b Results of triplicate analyses (mean $\pm$ standard error)
    c Average of results from two sets of duplicate analyses

[^95]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Grams of feed consumed per animal per day
    Milligrams of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol consumed per kilogram body weight per day

[^96]:    a Grams of feed consumed per animal per day
    b Milligrams of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol consumed per kilogram body weight per day

[^97]:    a Grams of feed consumed per animal per day
    b Milligrams of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol consumed per kilogram body weight per day

[^98]:    a Grams of feed consumed per animal per day
    b Milligrams of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol consumed per kilogram body weight per day

[^99]:    a Per ton $(2,000 \mathrm{lb})$ of finished product

[^100]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ CFU $=$ colony forming units, MPN $=$ most probable number, BHC is hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride
    b For values less than the limit of detection, the detection limit is given as the mean.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Sources of contamination: alfalfa, grains, and fish meal
    d Sources of contamination: soy oil and fish meal
    e All values were corrected for percent recovery.

