

Draft Science of Science Management Bibliography

Note: This draft bibliography is for internal use only. It is intended to provide October meeting participants with resources for establishing a baseline of current science of science management assessment themes and tools. As a working document, it is not meant to be exhaustive.

Relevant Websites

American Evaluation Association. Training, membership, resources, and publications. <http://www.eval.org/> A bibliography is available at <http://www.eval.org/Resources/bibliography.asp>. The following page provides links to tools and instruments of use to evaluators: <http://www.eval.org/Resources/instruments.asp>.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Evaluation Working Group. Framework for program evaluation in public health. <http://www.cdc.gov/eval/framework.htm>.

Government Accountability Office Executive Guide to Implementing the Government Performance and Results Act. Background and case studies. <http://www.gao.gov/special.pubs/gpra.htm>.

Independent Evaluators WebRing. Provides a number of evaluation links re understanding evaluation, guides, methods, tools, etc. Site supported by the International Consortium for the Advancement of Academic Publication (ICAAP). <http://gsociology.icaap.org/methods/>

International Network for Social Network Analysis. This website contains an extensive bibliography to social network analysis, research, software, courses, and other resources. Available at <http://www.insna.org>.

National Science Foundation On-Line Evaluation Resource Library. Plans, reports, and instruments. <http://oerl.sri.com/>.

National Science Foundation 2002 User-Friendly Handbook for Project Evaluation. Overview, steps, cultural sensitivity. <http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2002/nsf02057/start.htm>.

National Science Foundation Science and Engineering Indicators. 2006. Quantitative data on U.S. and International Science and Engineering Enterprise. National Science Board. <http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/seind06/>.

Program evaluation. Information on a variety of evaluation topics, particularly for non-technical persons. <http://gsociology.icaap.org/methods/basicguides.html>.

Survey analysis software is reviewed on-line at <http://harvard.hcp.med.harvard.edu/statistics/survey-soft>.

Technology Policy and Assessment Center (TPAC). Website of Georgia Institute of Technology's School of Public Policy, TPAC; provides links to relevant articles. <http://www.tpac.gatech.edu/>.

Web Center for Social Research Methods. A website for persons involved in applied social research and evaluation. Provides resources and links to other locations on the Web that deal in applied social research methods. <http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/>

Western Michigan University Evaluation Center. Evaluation checklists. <http://www.wmich.edu/evalctr/checklists/checklistmenu.htm>.

Relevant Journals

The American Journal of Evaluation, Elsevier Science Press.

Evaluation and Program Planning, Elsevier Science Press.

Social Networks Journal.

http://www.elsevier.com/wps/find/journaldescription.cws_home/505596/description

Journal of Technology Transfer, Technology Transfer Information Center of the National Agricultural Library. Available on-line at <http://www.nal.usda.gov/ttic/JTechTransTOC.htm>.

IT Assessment Tools

Advanced Technology Program (ATP). 2004. *A Toolkit for Evaluating Public R&D Investment Models, Methods, and Findings from ATP's First Decade*. Executive Summary. Gaithersburg, MD: National Institute of Standards and Technology. Available at <http://www.atp.nist.gov/eao/gcr03-857/execsum.htm>. References available at <http://www.atp.nist.gov/eao/gcr03-857/refer.htm>.

Anderson, L.A., Gwaltney, M.K., Sundra, D.L., Brownson, R.C., Kane, M., Cross, A.W., et al. 2006. Using concept mapping to develop a logic model for the Prevention Research Centers Program. *Preventing Chronic Disease* [3:1 serial online] 2006 Jan. Available at http://conceptsystems.org/library/staff_articles.php Also available from URL: http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2006/jan/05_0153.htm.

Best, A., Trochim, W., Hagerty, J., Moor, G., and Norman, C. 2008. "Systems Thinking for Knowledge Integration: New Models for Policy-Research Collaboration." In *Organizing and Reorganizing: Power and Change in Health Care Organizations*, L. McKee, E. Ferllie, and P. Hyde, eds. New York, NY: Palgrave McMillan. pp. 154-166.

Borgatti, S.P. and Everett, M.G. 2006. A graph-theoretic perspective on centrality. *Social Networks*, 28(4): 466-484.

Borgatti, S.P., Carley, K.M., and Krackhardt, D. 2006. On the robustness of centrality measures under conditions of imperfect data. *Social Networks*, 28(2): 124-136

Borgatti, E. and Freeman. 2002. UCINET 6 for Windows. A comprehensive package for the analysis of social network data. Integrated with UCINET is the NetDraw program for drawing diagrams of social networks. Harvard: Analytic Technologies. Available at www.analytictech.com.

Boyack, K., Klavans, R., and Börner, K. 2005. Mapping the backbone of science. *Scientometrics*, Vol. 64, No. 3.

Bozeman, B., Rogers, J., Roessner, D., Klein, H. and Park, J. 1999. *The R&D Value Mapping Project: Final Report: Qualitative-Quantitative Case Studies of Research Projects Funded by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences*. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. Atlanta, GA: Georgia Institute of Technology. Available at <http://www.rvm.gatech.edu/reports.htm>.

Burke, J.G., O'Campo, P., Peak, G.L., Gielen, A.C., McDonnell, K.A., and Trochim, W. 2005. An introduction to concept mapping as a participatory public health research methodology. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(10): 1392-1410.

Fogarty M., Sinha A.K., and Jaffe, A.B. 2006. *ATP and the US Innovation System: A Methodology for Identifying Enabling R&D Spillover Networks*. Gaithersburg, MD: NIST GCR 06-985. Available at www.atp.nist.gov/eao/gcr06-895/gcr06-895_report.pdf.

Freeman, L.C. 2000. Visualizing social networks. *Journal of Social Structure* 1(1). Available at <http://moreno.ss.uci.edu/pubs.html> (item 79).

Garfinkel, M.S., Sarewitz, D. and Porter, A.L. March 2006. A societal outcomes map for health research and policy. *American Journal of Public Health*, 96(3), 441-446. Available at <http://www.cspo.org/projects/newtools.htm>.

Garton, L., Haythornthwaite, C., and Wellman, B. 1999. "Studying Online Social Networks." The authors examine computer networks connecting people or organizations as a social network. In Jones, S., ed., *Doing Internet Research*, pp. 75-05. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Georghiou, G., Giusti, W., Cameron, H., and Gibbons, M. 1988. "The Use of Co-nomination Analysis in the Evaluation of Collaborative Research." In A. F. J. Van Raan, ed., *Handbook of Quantitative Studies of Science and Technology*. New York: Elsevier.

Guston, D. and Sarewitz, D. 2002. Real-time technology assessment. *Technology in Society*, 24(1-2), 93-109. Available at <http://www.cspo.org/projects/newtools.htm>.

Jackson, K. and Trochim, W. 2002. Concept mapping as an alternative approach for the analysis of open-ended survey responses. *Organizational Research Methods* 5(4), October, 307-336. Available at <http://conceptsystems.org/papers/PublishedArticles.php>.

Kane, M., and Trochim, W. 2007. *Concept Mapping for Planning and Evaluation*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications. Description and availability for purchase at <http://www.sagepub.com/booksProdDesc.nav?prodId=Book229728>.

Liebeskind, J., Lumerman Oliver, A., Zucker, L., and Brewer, M. 1995 (Posted 2000). "Social Networks, Learning, and Flexibility: Sourcing Scientific Knowledge in New Biotechnology Firms." *Working Paper Series*, Stanford University. Abstract and ordering information available by searching on title or author's name at <http://papers.ssrn.com>.)

Research Value Mapping (RVM) Program, Institute for Policy Research and Development, School of Public Policy, Georgia Institute of Technology. Barry Bozeman, RVM Founder and Program Director. See <http://www.rvm.gatech.edu/index.htm><http://www.rvm.gatech.edu/index.htm>.

Scott, J. 1991. *Social Network Analysis*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Trochim, W. M., and Kane, M. May 2005. Concept mapping: An introduction to structured conceptualization in health care. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 17(3), 187-191. Available at http://conceptsystems.org/library/staff_articles.php.

Trochim, W. 1989. Concept mapping: Soft science or hard art?" *Special Issue of Evaluation and Program Planning*, 12: 87-110.

Trochim, W. and Linton, R. 1986. Conceptualization for evaluation and planning. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 9, 289-308. Available at <http://conceptsystems.org/papers/PublishedArticles.php>.

W.K. Kellogg Foundation Logic Model Development Guide. January 2004. PDF available at www.wkcf.org/Pubs/Tools/Evaluation/Pub3669.pdf.

W.K. Kellogg Foundation Evaluation Handbook. January 1998. Evaluation blueprints and plans; PDF available at <http://www.wkcf.org/Pubs/Tools/Evaluation/Pub770.pdf>.

Publications

A

Abbatangelo-Gray, J., Cole, G.E., and Kennedy, M.G. September 2007. Guidance for evaluating mass communication health initiatives: Summary of an expert panel discussion sponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Evaluation and the Health Professions* 30 (3): 229-253. Abstract available at <http://ehp.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/30/3/229>.

Adams, J. and Griliched, Z. 1996. Measuring science: An exploration. Washington, DC: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 93(23): 12664-12670. Abstract and PDF available at <http://www.nber.org/papers/w5478>.

Aparicio-Ting, F. and Ramirez, A.G. 2003. Breast and cervical cancer knowledge, attitudes, and screening practices of Hispanic women diagnosed with cancer. *Journal of Cancer Education*, 18(4):230-236.

Aspen Institute. "The Aspen Health Stewardship Project: Principles and Backgrounders." Dr. Michelle McMurry, Director. Washington, DC: The Aspen Institute. Available at http://www.aspeninstitute.org/site/c.huLWJeMRKpH/b.3790151/k.4413/Aspen_Health_Stewardship_Project.htm (click on Principles beneath banner) or by email from N. Bartolucci (noah.bartolucci@aspeninstitute.org).

Audretsch, D. B., Bozeman, B., Combs, K.L., et al. 2002. The economics of science and technology. *Journal of Technology Transfer* 27(2): 155-203. Available at www.rotman.utoronto.ca/feldman/JTT%202002%20economics%20of%20S%20&%20T%20incl.MF.pdf.

B

Barjak, F. 2006. Research productivity in the internet era. *Scientometrics*, 68(3): 343-360.

Barnes, B.E., Cole, J.G., King, C.T., Zukowski, R., Allgier-Baker, T., Rubio, D.M., and Thorndyke, L.E. 2007. A risk stratification tool to assess commercial influences on continuing medical education. *Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions*, 27(4):234-40. PubMed abstract available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18085603?ordinalpos=2&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum.

Boyack, K.W. and Börner, K. 2003. Indicator-assisted evaluation and funding of research: Visualizing the influence of grants on the number and citation counts of research papers. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, Special Topic Issue on Visualizing Scientific Paradigms 54(5): 447-461.

Börner, K., Sanyal, S., and Vespignani, A. 2007. Network science. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 41: 537-607.

Börner, K., Chen, C.M., Boyack, K.W. 2003. Visualizing knowledge domains. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 37: 179-255.

Bozeman, B. and Sarewitz, D. 2005. Public values and public failure in US science Policy. *Science and Public Policy*, 32(2). Available at <http://www.rvm.gatech.edu/pp-04.htm>.

Bozeman, B. 1993. Peer review and evaluation of R&D impacts. In *Evaluating R&D Impacts: Methods and Practice*, B. Bozeman and J. Melkers, eds. Boston: Kluwer Publishing. Chapter 5, pp. 79-98.

C

Cabrera, D. and Trochim, W. 2007. "How to Organize: Systems Organizing." In *Greater Than the Sum: Systems Thinking in Tobacco Control*. Tobacco Control Monograph no. 18. Bethesda, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, NIH Pub. No. 06-6085, pp. 51-108.

Carnegie Commission on Science, Technology, and Government. 1992. *Enabling the Future: Linking Science and Technology to Societal Goals*. New York, NY: Carnegie Commission.

Castro, M., Foster, R., Gunn, K. and Roberts, E.B., August 2001. "Managing Research and Development Across Government Departments: The 'Virtual Agency' Concept in the United States." MIT Sloan Working Paper No. 4174-01. Abstract and PDF available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=288132>.

Clark, W. and Holliday, L., (Rapporteurs), 2006. "Linking Knowledge with Action for Sustainable Development: The Role of Program Management." Summary of Workshop. Roundtable on Science and Technology for Sustainability, National Research Council. Washington, D.C.: National Academy of Sciences. Description and ordering information available at http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11652#toc.

Cozzens, S. E. 2003. "Frameworks for Evaluating S&T Policy in the United States." In *Learning From Science and Technology Policy Evaluation*, P. Shapira and S. Kuhlmann, eds. Northampton: Edward Elgar.

Cozzens, S. E. 1994. "Evaluation of Fundamental Research Programs: A Review of the Issues." Report of the Practitioners' Working Group to OSTP. Washington, DC: OSTP.

Cozzens, S. 1989. "Literature-Based Data in Research Evaluation: A Manager's Guide to Bibliometrics." Report to the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C.

Cutler, D.M. and McClellan, M. 2001. Is technological change in medicine worth it? *Health Affairs* 20 (5): 11. Full text available at <http://content.healthaffairs.org/cgi/content/full/20/5/11>.

D

Darby, M.R. and Zucker, L.G. July 2003. "Grilichesian Breakthroughs: Inventions of Methods of Inventing and Firm Entry in Nanotechnology." NBER Working Paper No. W9825. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Abstract and purchasing information available at Social Sciences Research Network (SSRN): <http://ssrn.com/abstract=421786>.

Darby, M.R., Zucker, L.G. and Wang, A. January 2003. "Universities, Joint Ventures, and Success in the Advanced Technology Program." NBER Working Paper No. W9463. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Abstract and purchasing information available at Social Sciences Research Network (SSRN): <http://ssrn.com/abstract=375315>.

David, P.A., Mowery, D., and Steinmueller, W.E. 1992. Analyzing the economic payoffs of basic research. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 2(1):73–90.

den Heyer, M. ed. 2001. *A Bibliography for Program Logic Models/Logframe Analysis*. Ottawa, Canada: Evaluation Unit, International Development Research Centre.

Dosi, G. September 1988. Sources, procedures, and microeconomic effects of innovation. *Journal of Economic Literature*, XXVI(3): 1120-1171.

Druss, B.G., and Marcus, S.C. 2005. Tracking publication outcomes of National Institutes of Health grants. *The American Journal of Medicine*, 118(6): 658-663. Abstract available at <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6TDC-4G82N1Y-H/2/d5bcd4be9c2ef7f56e19069775848978>.

E

Eesley, C.E. and Roberts, E.B. June 2006. "Cutting Your Teeth: Learning from Rare Experiences." MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 4609-06. Abstract and PDF available at http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=909615.

Eike, David—Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. Visualizing the Flow of Knowledge from Laboratory to Marketplace," presentation at the AAAS Annual Meeting, Seattle, February 14, 2004, as described in

Ruegg, R. and Jordan, G.. 2007, *Overview of Evaluation Methods for R&D Programs*, p. 45. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy. Reugg and Jordan's EERE Evaluation Resource Document is available online as item (3) at http://www1.eere.energy.gov/ba/pba/program_evaluation/evaluation_documents.html.

Eisenhardt, K.M. 1989. Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review* 14(4):532–550.

F

Faulkner, T.W. May-June 1996. Applying “options thinking” to R&D valuation. *Research-Technology Management*, pp. 50-56.

Furman, J., Porter, M.E., and Stern, S. 2002. The determinants of national innovative capacity. *Research Policy*, 31: 899-933.

G

Garfield, E. 1970. Citation indexing for studying science. *Nature* 227(5259): 669-71. Available for download at <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/garfield70citation.html> or at <http://www.garfield.library.upenn.edu/allvols.html> as item 33 under 1970.

Geisler, E. 2000. *The Metrics of Science and Technology*. Portsmouth, NH: Greenwood Publishing Group.

Geller, N.L., de Cani, J.S., and Davies, R.E. March 22, 2007. Lifetime-citation rates: A mathematical model to compare scientists' work. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, vol. 32, issue 1: 1-15.

Georghiou, L. 2003. “Evaluation of Research and Innovation Policy in Europe – New Policies, New Frameworks?” In *Learning From Science and Technology Policy Evaluation*, P. Shapira and S. Kuhlmann, eds. Northampton: Edward Elgar.

Gordon, R.L., Baker, E.L., Roper, W.L., and Omenn, G.S. 1996. Prevention and the reforming U.S. health care system: Changing roles and responsibilities for public health. *Ann Rev Public Health*; 17:489-509.

Greenhalgh T, Robert G, Macfalance F, Bate P, Kyriakidou O, Peacock R: 2005. Storylines of research in diffusion of innovation: a meta-narrative approach to systematic review. *Social Science and Medicine*, 61:417-430. Available at <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6963/7/121>.

H

Hanney. S.R., Gonzalex-Block, M.A., Buxton, M.J., Kogan, M: 2003. The utilisation of health research in policy-making: concepts, examples and methods of assessment. *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 1:2. Available at <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6963/7/121>

Hirsch, J.E. 2005. An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102(46): 16569-72.

J

Jaffe, A.B. April 2008. The ‘Science of Science Policy.’ *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 33(2): 131-139. Abstract available at <http://www.springerlink.com/content/k21061n4u5k25j51/>.

Jeang, K.T. 2007. Impact factor, H index, peer comparisons, and *Retrovirology*: Is it time to individualize citation metrics? *Retrovirology*, 4: 42. Available at <http://www.retrovirology.com/content/4/1/42>.

K

Kelly, C.D., and Jennions, M.D. 2006. The h index and career assessment by numbers. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* (Personal edition), 21(4): 167-70.

Kostoff, R.N. 2007. "Text Mining the Biomedical Literature." Report No. A836374 Arlington, VA: Office of Naval Research. Online abstract and ordering information available at <http://www.stormingmedia.us/83/8363/A836374.html>.

Kostoff, R.N. 2005. *Science and Technology Metrics*. Arlington, VA: Office of Naval Research. [Contains extensive bibliography.] Online abstract and ordering information available at <http://www.stormingmedia.us/67/6752/A675234.html>. Related books by this author listed at http://www.stormingmedia.us/authors/Kostoff_Ronald_N_.html.

Kostoff, R.N., Averch, H.A., and Chubin, D.E. 1994. Research impact assessment: Introduction and overview. *Evaluation Review*, Vol. 18(2): 3-10.

Kroll, P., Ault, G. and Narin, F. 1998. Tracing the influence of basic scientific research on biotechnology patents: A case study. *Patent World*, March: 38-46.

Kuruvilla, S., Mays, N., Pleasant, A. and Walt, G. 2006. Describing the impact of health research: a Research Impact Framework. *BMC Health Services Research* 6(134):1-18. Available at <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6963/6/134>.

L

Lavis J, Ross S, McLeod C, Gildiner A: 2003. Measuring the impact of health research. *Journal of Health Services Research and Policy* 8:165-170. Access available at <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6963/7/121>.

Linden, A., Trochim, W., and Adams, J.L. 2006. Evaluating program effectiveness using the regression point displacement design. *Evaluation and the Health Professions*, 29(4): 1-17.

M

Mansfield, E. 1991. Social returns from R&D findings, methods, and limitations. *Research Technology Management*, November/December: 24-27.

Mansfield, E. 1991. Academic research and industrial innovation. *Research Policy* 20(1):1-12.

Marburger III, J.H. and Bolten, J.B. July 8, 2005. "Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies. Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget (M-05-18)." Available via the Office of Science and Technology Policy, Executive Office of the President by entering "M-05-18" in the search box at <http://www.ostp.gov>.

Matheson, D., and Matheson, J. 1998. *The Smart Organization: Creating Value Through Strategic R&D*. Harvard Business School Press.

McCawley, P.F. *The Logic Model for Program Planning and Evaluation*, CIS 1097. Moscow, Idaho: University of Idaho Extension Program, p. 1.

McGrath, R.G., and MacMillan, I.C. July-August 2000. Assessing technology projects using real options reasoning. *Research-Technology Management*, pp. 35-49.

McLaughlin, J.A., and Jordan, G.B. 1999. Logic models: A tool for telling your program performance story. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 22:65–72.

Mervis, J. 1999. Efforts to evaluate R&D found wanting. *Science*, 283(5405): 1096-1097. Summary available at <http://science-mag.aaas.org/cgi/content/summary/283/5405/1096>

Meurer, S.J., Counte, M.A., Rubio, D.M., and Arrington, B. 2004. Measuring a hospital's ability to improve. *American Journal of Medical Quality*, Sep-Oct;19(5):214-22. PubMed abstract available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15532914?ordinalpos=6&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum.

Meurer, S.J., Rubio, D.M., Counte, M.A., and Burroughs, T. 2002. Development of a healthcare quality improvement measurement tool: results of a content validity study. *Hospital Topics*, Spring;80(2):7-13. PubMed abstract available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12238232?ordinalpos=2&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum.

Mitchell, G.R.; and Hamilton, W.F. March-April 2007. Managing R&D as a strategic option. *Research-Technology Management*, Vol. 50, No. 2: 41-50(10).

Murphy, K.M. and Topel, R.H., eds. 2003. *Measuring the Gains from Medical Research: An Economic Approach*. University of Chicago Press. Abstract and ordering information available at <http://www.press.uchicago.edu/cgi-bin/hfs.cgi/00/15531.ctl>.

N

Nadiri, I. and Wolff, E. 1993. Spillover effect, linkage structure, and research and development. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, Vol. 4, No. 2: 315-331.

Narin, F., Hamilton, K.S., and Olivastro, D. 1997. The increasing linkage between U.S. technology and public science. *Research Policy* 26(3): 317-330.

National Academy of Sciences (NAS) Committee on Comparative Innovation Policy: Best Practice for the 21st Century, C.W. Wessner, ed. 2007. *Innovation Policies for the 21st Century: Report of a Symposium*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. Available online at http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11852.

National Academy of Sciences (NAS) Committee on Criteria for Federal Support of Research and Development (National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, Institute of Medicine, National Research Council). 1995. *Allocating Federal Funds for Science and Technology*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. Available online at <http://www.nap.edu/html/fedfunds/>.

National Academy of Sciences (NAS) Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy (National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, and Institute of Medicine). 1999. *Evaluating Federal Research Programs: Research and the Government Performance and Results Act*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. Available online at http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=6416.

National Academy of Sciences (NAS) Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy (National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, and Institute of Medicine). 1999. *Capitalizing on Investments in Science and Technology*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. Executive Available online at http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=6442#toc.

National Academy of Sciences (NAS) National Research Council, Board on Science, Technology, and Economic Policy. 2002. *Using Human Resource Data to Track Innovation: Summary of a Workshop*. Stephen A. Merrill and Richard McGearry, eds. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. Available online at http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=10475#orgs.

National Academy of Sciences (NAS) National Research Council, Board on Science, Technology, and Economic Policy. 2001. *The Advanced Technology Program: Assessing Outcomes*. Charles W. Wessner, ed. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. Available online at http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=10145.

National Science Foundation, Directorate for Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences (SBE) Science of Science and Innovation Policy activities. Prospectus (2006) available at www.nsf.gov/sbe/scisip/scisip_prospectus.pdf Recent 2008 program solicitation available at <http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2008/nsf08520/nsf08520.htm>; deadline for applications was March 16, 2008.

National Science Foundation, National Cancer Institute, U.S. Department of Defense. (conducted in 1967, 1973, 1989, 1990). *TRACES, Studies—Backward Tracing of Selected Major Technological Innovations to Key Events in Agency R&D Funding History*.

Newman, M.E.J. 2001. The structure of scientific collaboration networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98(2):404–409.

O

Omenn, G.S. 2007. "The Risk Assessment-Risk Management Paradigm". In *Risk Assessment for Environmental Health*, Robson, M., Foster, W., eds. Jossey-Bass/John Wiley & Sons, pp.11-29.

Omenn, G.S. and Faustman, E.M. 2002. "Risk Assessment and Risk Management." In *Oxford Textbook of Public Health*,. Chapter 8.8,. 4th Ed., Volume 2, Detels, R., McEwen, J., Beaglehole, R., Tanaka, H., eds. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 1083- 1103.

Omenn, G.S: April 2001. The new millennium: Values, perceptions of risk, and the key roles of science and technology. *Health Physics*, 80(4): 328-332.

Omenn, G.S. and Faustman, E.M.. 1997. "Risk Assessment, Risk Communication, and Risk Management." In *Oxford Textbook of Public Health*. 3rd Ed., Volume 2, Detels, R., Holland, W., McEwen, J., Omenn, G.S., eds. Oxford University Press; pp. 969-986.

Omenn, G.S. 1996. "Variation in Susceptibility as a Key Parameter in Risk Assessment and Risk Communication: Policy Aspects." In *Susceptibility: Impact on Risk Assessment. Progress in Clinical and Biological Research*, Vol. 395, Walker, C., Groopman, J., Slaga, T.J., Klein-Szanto, A., eds. New York: Wiley Liss; pp.235-247.

Omenn, G.S. 1984. "Risk Assessment, Pharmacogenetics, and Ecogenetics" In Banbury Report 16: *Genetic Variability in Responses to Chemical Exposure*, Omenn, G.S., Gelboin, H., eds. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, pp. 3-13.

P

Petrucci, C. and Quinlan, K.M. 2007. Bridging the research-practice gap: Concept mapping as a mixed methods strategy in practice-based research and evaluation. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 34(2) 2007. Available at http://conceptsystems.org/library/staff_articles.php.

Pielke, Jr., R. August 2006. If "science of science policy" is the answer, then what is the question? Center for Science and Technology Policy Research. Boulder: University of Colorado at Boulder. Available at http://sciencepolicy.colorado.edu/prometheus/archives/science_policy_general/000902if_science_of_scie.html.

Podolny, J., and J. Baron, J. 1996 (posted 1999). "Resources and Relationships: Social Networks and Mobility in the Workplace." Working Paper Series, Stanford University. The authors analyze the mobility among employees of a high technology firm. (View abstract and ordering information by searching on title or author's name at <http://papers.ssrn.com>.)

Popper, S.W. 1995. *Economic Approaches to Measuring the Performance and Benefits of Fundamental Science*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND, MR-708.0-OSTP. Abstract and document available online at https://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR708.0/.

Powell, W.W., White, D.R., Koput, K.W., and Owen-Smith, J. 2005. Network dynamics and field evolution: The growth of interorganizational collaboration in the life sciences. *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol.110: 1132–1205.

R

Rao, J.K., Alongi, J., Anderson, L.A., Jenkins, L., Stokes, G-A., Kane, M. 2005. Development of public health priorities for end-of-life initiatives. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 29 (5): 453-460. Available at http://conceptsystems.org/library/staff_articles.php.

Risch, S., Rex, D.B., Dowson, S.T., Walters, T.B., May, R.A., and Moon, B.D. 1999. "The STARLIGHT Information Visualization System." In S. Card, J. Mackinlay, and B. Shneiderman, eds., *Readings in Information Visualization*. San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann, pp. 551–560.

Roberts, E.B. May 2007. "Making System Dynamics Useful: A Personal Memoir." MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 4649-07. Abstract and PDF available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=989062>.

Roberts, E.B. 2004. "Innovation at the Interface: Technological Fusion at MIT." MIT Enterprise Forum Global Satellite Telecast, Jan. 21, 2004. Slide presentation available at <http://enterpriseforum.mit.edu/network/broadcasts/200401/roberts.pdf>.

Robinson, J.M. and Trochim, W.M.K. November 2007. An examination of community members', researchers' and health professionals' perceptions of barriers to minority participation in medical research: An application of concept mapping. *Ethnicity and Health*, 12(5): 521-539. Available at <http://conceptsystems.org/papers/PublishedArticles.php>.

Rodemeyer, M., Sarewitz, D., and Wilsdon, J. October 2005. *The Future of Technology Assessment*. Foresight and Governance Project. Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars.

Roessner, R. 2000. Quantitative and qualitative methods and measures in the evaluation of research. *Research Evaluation* 8(2):125–132.

Roy, K.M., Roberts, M.C., and Stewart, P.K. 2006. Research productivity and academic lineage in clinical psychology: Who is training the faculty to do research? *Journal of Clinical Psychology* 62: 893-905. Available at www.wvu.edu/~ccpsych/WVU%20Research%20productivity.pdf.

Ruegg, R. and Jordan, G.. 2007. *Overview of Evaluation Methods for R&D Programs*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy. Available online as item (3) at http://www1.eere.energy.gov/ba/pba/program_evaluation/evaluation_documents.html.

S

Salter, A. J. and Martin, B. R. 2001. The economic benefits of publicly funded basic research: a critical review. *Research Policy* 30: 509-532.

Sarewitz, D. Summer 2007. "Does Science Policy Matter?" *Issues in Science and Technology*. Available online at <http://www.issues.org/23.4/sarewitz.html>.

Sarewitz, D., Foladori, G., Invernizzi, I., and Garfinkel, M. 2004. Science policy in its social context. *Philosophy Today*, 2004 Supplement, 67-83. Available at <http://www.cspo.org/projects/newtools.htm>.

Sarewitz, D.R., Pielke, Jr, A., and Keykyah, M. 2003. Vulnerability and risk: Some thoughts from a political and policy perspective. *Risk Analysis*, 23(4): 805-810. Available at http://sciencepolicy.colorado.edu/admin/publication_files/2003.23.pdf.

Sarewitz, D., Pielke, Jr., R.A., and Byerly, Jr. R., Eds. 2000. *Prediction: Science, Decision Making, and the Future of Nature*. Island Press. Abstract available at http://sciencepolicy.colorado.edu/about_us/meet_us/roger_pielke/prediction_book/.

Shiffrin, R. and Börner, K. 2004. Mapping knowledge domains. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 101(Suppl.1), 5183-5185.

Small, H. 1994. A sci-map case study: Building a map of AIDS research. *Scientometrics*, 30(1): 229–241.

Smith R. 2001. Measuring the social impact of research. *British Medical Journal* 2001; 323: 528. Available at <http://www.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/323/7312/528>.

Sobrero, M. and Roberts, E.B. April 2001. The trade-off between efficiency and learning in interorganizational relationships for product development". *Management Science*, 47(4): 493-511. Abstract and PDF available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=722472>.

Stern, S. and Murray, F. July 2005. "Do Formal Intellectual Property Rights Hinder the Flow of Scientific Knowledge? An Empirical Test of the Anti-Commons Hypothesis." NBER Working Paper No. W11465. Available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=755701>.

T

Trochim, W.M., Marcus, S.E., Masse, L.C., Moser, R.P., Weld, P.C. 2008. The evaluation of large research initiatives: A participatory integrative mixed-methods approach. *American Journal of Evaluation*, Mar 2008; 29(1): 8-28. Available at <http://conceptsystems.org/papers/PublishedArticles.php>.

Trochim, W.M., Cabrera, D.A., Milstein, B., Gallagher, R.S., and Leischow, S.J. 2006. Practical challenges of systems thinking and modeling in public health. *American Journal of Public Health*, 96(3), 538-546.

U

United States Congress, Office of Technology Assessment. April 1986. *Research Funding as an Investment: Can We Measure the Returns?-A Technical Memorandum*. OTA-TMSET-36. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office. Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 86-600525. Available at www.princeton.edu/~ota/disk2/1986/8622/862201.PDF.

United States General Accounting Office: Report to Congressional Committees. May 2003. *PROGRAM EVALUATION: An Evaluation Culture and Collaborative Partnerships Help Build Agency Capacity*. Washington, DC: U.S. General Accounting Office. GAO-03-454. Summary and PDF available at <http://www.gao.gov/docsearch/abstract.php?rptno=GAO-03-454>.

United States General Accounting Office: Report to Congressional Committees. September 2002. *Program Evaluation: Strategies for Assessing How Information Dissemination Contributes to Agency Goals*. Washington, DC: U.S. General Accounting Office. GAO-02-923. Summary and PDF available at <http://www.gao.gov/docdblite/summary.php?rptno=GAO-02-923&accno=A05203>.

United States General Accounting Office: Report to Congressional Committees. March 1997. *Measuring Performance: Challenges in Evaluating Research and Development*. Washington, DC: U.S. General Accounting Office. T-RCED-97-130. Summary and PDF available at <http://www.gao.gov/docsearch/abstract.php?rptno=T-RCED-97-130>.

United States General Accounting Office: Report to Congressional Committees. March 1997. *Measuring Performance: Strengths and Limitations of Research Indicators*. Washington, DC: U.S. General Accounting Office. GAO/RCED-97-91. Summary and PDF available at <http://www.gao.gov/docsearch/abstract.php?rptno=RCED-97-91>.

United States General Accounting Office: Special Publication. March 2007 (reissued April 2007). *Forces That Will Shape America's Future: Themes from GAO's Strategic Plan, 2007-2012*. Washington, DC: U.S. General Accounting Office. GAO-07-467SP. Summary and PDF available at <http://www.gao.gov/docdblite/summary.php?rptno=GAO-07-467SP&accno=A67665>.

United States General Accounting Office: Special Publication. May 2005. *Performance Measurement and Evaluation: Definitions and Relationships*. Washington, DC: U.S. General Accounting Office. GAO-05-739SP. Summary and PDF available at <http://www.gao.gov/docsearch/abstract.php?rptno=GAO-05-739SP>.

V

Vickers, D.M. and Osgood, N.D. 2007 A unified framework of immunological and epidemiological dynamics for the spread of viral infections in a simple network-based population. *Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling*, 20(4): 49. Abstract available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18096067?ordinalpos=1&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum.

Vuola, O. and Ojanen, V. 2005. Coping with the multiple dimensions of R&D performance analysis. *International Journal of Technology Management*. Available at <http://www.rvm.gatech.edu/pp-04.htm>.

Vuola, O. and Hameri, A-P. May 1996. Using basic research as a catalyst to exploit new technology-based innovations - a case study. *Technovation*, Available at <http://www.rvm.gatech.edu/pp-04.htm>.

W

Wagner, C. 1995. *Techniques and Methods for Assessing the International Standing of U.S. Science*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND, MR-706.0-OSTP. Abstract and PDF available at http://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR706.0/.

Wholey, J. and Hatry, H. 1992. The case for performance monitoring. *Public Administration Review*, 52(6): 604-610.

Woodward, J.D., Webb, K.W., Newton, E.M., Bradley, M.A., Rubenson, D., Larson, K., Lilly, J., Smythe, K., Houghton, B., Pincus, H.A., Schachter, J., and Steinberg, P. 2001. *Army Biometric Applications: Identifying and Addressing Sociocultural Concerns*. RAND Corporation. Abstract and PDF available at http://www.rand.org/pubs/monograph_reports/MR1237/.

Wuchty, S., Jones, B.F., and Uzzi, B. 2007. The increasing dominance of teams in production of knowledge. *Science*, 316(5827): 1036-1039. Abstract available at <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/abstract/316/5827/1036>.

Y

Yin, R.K. 1994. *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*, 2nd ed., *Applied Social Research Methods Series*, vol. 5. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Youtie, J., Bozeman, B., and Shapiro, P. 1997. *Assessing Methods for Evaluating State Technology Development Programs: Recommendations for the Georgia Research Alliance*. Available at <http://www.cherry.gatech.edu/mod/pubs/ttconf1.html> Atlanta, GA: Economic Development Institute and School of Public Policy, Georgia Institute of Technology.

Z

Zucker, L.G. and Darby, M.R. March 3, 2008. "Categorizing Science and Technology Across Articles, Patents, Doctoral Programs, and Industries." Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Abstract and PDF available at Social Science Research Network (SSRN): <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1109109>.

Zucker, L.G. and Darby, M.R. June 2003. "Measuring Success of Advanced Technology Program Participation Using Archival Data." NBER Working Paper No. W9780. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Abstract and purchasing information available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=416273>.

Zucker, L.G., Darby, M.R. and Brewer, M.B. April 1999. "Intellectual Capital and the Birth of U.S. Biotechnology Enterprises." NBER Working Paper No. W4653. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Abstract and purchasing information available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=226949>.

Zucker, L.G. and Darby, M.R. August 1995. "Present at the Revolution: Transformation of Technical Identity for a Large Incumbent Pharmaceutical Firm After the Biotechnological Breakthrough." NBER Working Paper No. W5243. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Abstract and purchasing information available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=225307>.

Zucker, L.G., Darby, M.R., Brewer, M.B. and Peng, Y. July 1995. "Collaboration Structure and Information Dilemmas in Biotechnology: Organizational Boundaries as Trust Production." NBER Working Paper No. W5199. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Abstract and purchasing information available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=225262>.