

## National Advisory Committee on Meat and Poultry Inspection (NACMPI) Public Meeting

January16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup>, 2013 USDA Headquarters Washington, DC



# Selection of Public Health Related Regulations

#### **Christopher Alvares**

Director, Data Analysis and Integration Group
Office of Data Integration and Food Protection
Food Safety and Inspection Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture



#### **Overview**

- Background
  - Public Health Decision Criteria and the W3NR criterion
- Approach to updating the public health regulations (PHRs)
- Plan for implementing PHRs



### **Questions to the Committee**

- 1. What comments does the Committee have regarding the approach used to select the PHR list?
- 2. Does the Committee have any comments on the four criteria used to select the candidate PHR list?
  - 1. Establish and maintain HACCP plan and Critical Control Points (CCPs)
  - 2. Establish and Maintain Sanitary Conditions
  - 3. Prevent Adulteration
  - 4. Implement Effective Corrective Actions
- 3. Does the Committee have any comments on the public health outcomes (pathogen test results) analyzed to select the final list of PHRs?
  - 1. Salmonella
  - 2. E. coli O157:H7
  - 3. Listeria monocytogenes



### Public Health Decision Criteria Report\*

- In 2008, FSIS developed a data-driven approach for scheduling FSAs
  - Reviewed by NACMPI in 2008
  - Reviewed and endorsed by National Academy of Sciences in 2009
  - Published FSIS website 2010
- Approach uses seven decision criteria

<sup>\*</sup>http://www.fsis.usda.gov/OPPDE/NACMPI/Sep2010/2010\_Public\_Health\_Decision\_Criteria\_Report.pdf



#### Public Health Decision Criteria Approach

FSIS Data on Establishment Performance



#### Seven Decision Criteria

- 1. E. coli O157:H7 or LM positive
- 2. Salmonella Category 3
- 3. High rate of W3NR NCs
- 4. Enforcement actions
- 5. Food safety Recalls
- 6. Sole supplier to E coli positive
- 7. Linked to human illness

Any one of these criteria would trigger an FSA



Evaluation for FSA scheduling



# One Decision Criterion Was High Rate of W3NRs

- W3NR regulations are also called public health related regulations
- 62 W3NR regulations were selected by a group of FSIS staff
- Selection was based on the potential for non-compliances to have public health consequences



### **Examples of W3NR Regulations**

- Bears or contains poisonous or deleterious substance
- Failure to maintain adequate HACCP Plan
- Failure of keep CCPs under control
- Failure to take appropriate corrective action
- Failure to prevent adulterated product from entering commerce



### Need for Updating W3NR Regulations

- With the implementation of PHIS, the W3NR criterion needs to be reevaluated
  - The nature of the data collected has changed
    - The set of regulations to verify is different in PHIS
    - The W3NR rate is computed differently in PHIS
  - The W3NR list needs to be reevaluated

 Therefore the W3NR decision criterion was suspended until the data could be analyzed and an updated list developed



#### **Updating the Public Health Reg List**

- Purpose: Make selection of W3NRs more transparent and data-driven
  - The new list will be called PHRs to distinguish it from the W3NR list
- Approach:
  - Define a set of evaluation criteria
  - Develop a list of candidate regulations related to verifying food safety process control
  - Select the subset of regulations whose noncompliance rate is higher in establishments with Salmonella, E. coli O157:H7, or Lm positives



### **PHR Development Process**

Define criteria for Selecting candidate list



Select candidate list of 9 CFR regulations related to HACCP food safety process control



Narrow down list to those with higher NC rates before Salmonella, E coli O157:H7, Lm positives



Develop non-compliance rate Cut Points for use in scheduling FSAs and HAVs



### Step 1: Define Selection Criteria

- Four criteria have been identified for selection of public health regs
- Regulations concerning:
  - Establish and maintain HACCP plan and Critical Control Points (CCPs)
  - Establish and Maintain Sanitary Conditions
  - Prevent Adulteration
  - Implement Effective Corrective Actions



# Step 2: Select Candidate PHR Regulations

- Assess the set of 9 CFR regulations and select those regulations whose non-compliance provides evidence that establishments are NOT satisfying one of the following four key criteria
  - Could non-compliance be associated with a potential failure to meet the four criteria in step 1



# Step 2: Select Candidate PHR Regulations

- A set of 143 9 CFR regulations were selected as being indicators of a potential loss of process control
- The 143 regulations map to 118 regulations in PHIS.
  - Some of the 143 map directly to regulations in PHIS
  - Others are verified under higher order regulations in PHIS.
    - For example, 381.1(i)-381.1(iv) are verified under 381.1



# Step 3: Select the Public Health Regulations

- From the list of 118 candidate PHR regulations, select the subset whose non-compliance rate in establishments 3 months before a *Salmonella*, *E. coli* O157:H7, or *Lm* positive is higher than in establishments without any positives
- A two-sided Fisher Exact p value was used to show a statistically higher non-compliance rate



# Step 3: Select the Public Health Regulations

- Of the 118 potential PHR Regulations
  - 28 PHR regulations were higher 3 months before a Salmonella positive
  - 6 PHR regulations were higher 3 months before a E. coli O157:H7 positive
  - 4 PHR regulations were higher 3 months before a Lm positive
- The final PHR list has 33 regulations, 21 (64%) of which are on the earlier W3NR list



# Examples of PHRs in Common with Earlier W3NR List

- Bears or contains poisonous or deleterious substance
- Failure to maintain adequate HACCP Plan
- Failure of keep CCPs under control
- Failure to take appropriate corrective action
- Failure to prevent adulterated product from entering commerce
- Prevent the use of Specified Risk Materials as human food



# Examples of PHRs not in the Earlier W3NR List

- Operate in manner to prevent insanitary conditions
- Evaluate effectiveness of SSOP's
- Product must be protected from adulteration at all times



### Where we're at today

#### FSIS has

- Developed a set of candidate regs
- Analyzed PHIS inspection data to select PHRs
- Plans to start using the PHR decision criterion in PHIS in 2013
- Plans to reevaluate the PHR analysis after each year of new PHIS data



### Use of the Public Health Regulations

- The PHR regulations are used as one criteria in prioritizing scheduling of FSAs and, when implemented, HAVs
- Noncompliance with a single PHR regulation does not indicate loss of process control
- The aggregate set of PHR regulations is used to identify establishments that significantly deviate from the 3-month rolling average noncompliance rate for all similar establishments (slaughter only, processing only, and slaughter plus processing)



### Use of Public Health Regulations

Compute establishment PHR NC rate



Compare to cut point for similar establishments



If selected, include in proposed FSA schedule



District Office selects FSAs to perform



### Related Topics

- What about candidate PHRs not selected?
  - FSIS plans to reanalyze data periodically
    - This may result in updates to the PHR list
  - FSIS plans to explore any training or instructions to the field that may drive more informative data

- What about the potential impact of the Poultry Slaughter Rule?
  - Updates will be made as required



### **Future PHR Updates**

- FSIS plans to analyze candidate regs annually and if needed update the set of PHRs
  - Updates would coincide with fiscal years
  - A 90-day period between announcing updates and implementation
- Update cycle:
  - April-May: Reanalyze candidate regulations
  - June: Finalize materials and clear internally
  - July: Announce any PHR updates
  - October: Implement PHR updates



#### Information For Stakeholders

- FSIS intends to
  - post the list of PHRs
  - post PHR cut points
  - post updated Decision Criteria Document



#### Conclusion

- A more transparent and data-driven methodology was developed to select public health regulations (PHRs)
- The PHRs have significantly higher noncompliance rates 3 months before a Salmonella, E. coli O157:H7, or Lm positive
- The final PHR list is composed of 33 regulations, 21 (64%) of which are on the earlier W3NR list



### **Questions to the Committee**

- 1. What comments does the Committee have regarding the approach used to select the PHR list?
- 2. Does the Committee have any comments on the four criteria used to select the candidate PHR list?
  - 1. Establish and maintain HACCP plan and Critical Control Points (CCPs)
  - 2. Establish and Maintain Sanitary Conditions
  - 3. Prevent Adulteration
  - 4. Implement Effective Corrective Actions
- 3. Does the Committee have any comments on the public health outcomes (pathogen test results) analyzed to select the final list of PHRs?
  - 1. Salmonella
  - 2. E. coli O157:H7
  - 3. Listeria monocytogenes



### **Questions?**