Mr. John L. Skolds, President Exelon Nuclear Exelon Generation Company, LLC 4300 Winfield Road Warrenville, IL 60555

SUBJECT: BYRON STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

NRC INTEGRATED INSPECTION REPORT 05000454/2003003:

05000455/2003003

Dear Mr. Skolds:

On June 30, 2003, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) completed an integrated inspection at your Byron Station, Units 1 and 2. The enclosed report documents the inspection findings which were discussed on June 26, 2003, with Mr. R. Lopriore and other members of your staff.

The inspection examined activities conducted under your license as they relate to safety and to compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations and with the conditions of your license. The inspectors reviewed selected procedures and records, observed activities, and interviewed personnel.

Three findings of very low safety significance (Green) were identified in the report. Two of the three findings were determined to involve violations of NRC requirements. However, because of the very low significance of these two findings, and because they were entered into your corrective action program, the NRC is treating the issues as a Non-Cited Violation in accordance with Section VI.A.1 of the NRC's Enforcement Policy.

If you contest the subject or severity of the Non-Cited Violations, you should provide a response within 30 days of the date of this inspection report, with the basis for your denial, to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555-0001, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission - Region III, 801 Warrenville Road, Lisle, IL 60532-4351; the Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; and the Resident Inspector office at the Byron facility.

Since the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, NRC has issued five Orders and several threat advisories to licensees of commercial power reactors to strengthen the licensee capabilities, improve security force readiness, and enhance controls over access authorization. In addition to applicable baseline inspections, the NRC issued Temporary Instruction (TI) 2515/148, "Inspection of Nuclear Reactor Safeguards Interim Compensatory Measures," and its subsequent revision, to audit and inspect the licensee's implementation of the interim compensatory measures required by the Orders. Phase 1 of TI 2515/148 was completed at all

J. Skolds -2-

commercial power nuclear power plants during calender year 2002 and the remaining inspection activities for the Byron Station were completed in May 2003. The NRC will continue to monitor overall safeguards and security controls at the Byron Station.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be made available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Ann Marie Stone, Chief Branch 3 Division of Reactor Projects

Docket Nos. 50-454; 50-455 License Nos. NPF-37; NPF-66

Enclosure: Inspection Report 05000454/2003003;

05000455/2003003

Attachment: Supplemental Information

cc w/encl: Site Vice President - Byron

Byron Station Plant Manager

Regulatory Assurance Manager - Byron

Chief Operating Officer

Senior Vice President - Nuclear Services Senior Vice President - Mid-West Regional

Operating Group

Vice President - Mid-West Operations Support Vice President - Licensing and Regulatory Affairs

Director Licensing - Mid-West Regional Operating Group

Manager Licensing - Braidwood and Byron Senior Counsel, Nuclear, Mid-West Regional

Operating Group

Document Control Desk - Licensing M. Aguilar, Assistant Attorney General Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety

State Liaison Officer

State Liaison Officer, State of Wisconsin Chairman, Illinois Commerce Commission

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\ORPCheckout\FileNET\ML032090429.wpd To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	RIII				
NAME	AMStone:dtp				
DATE	07/25/03				

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

J. Skolds -3-

ADAMS Distribution:

AJM

DFT

GFD

RidsNrrDipmlipb

GEG

HBC

EWC

C. Ariano (hard copy) DRPIII

DRSIII

PLB1

JRK1

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION III

Docket Nos: 50-454; 50-455

License Nos: NPF-37; NPF-66

Report Nos: 05000454/2003003; 05000455/2003003

Licensee: Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Facility: Byron Station, Units 1 and 2

Location: 4450 N. German Church Road

Byron, IL 61010

Dates: April 1, 2003 through June 30, 2003

Inspectors: R. Skokowski, Senior Resident Inspector

P. Snyder, Resident Inspector
R. Alexander, Radiation Specialist
S. Burgess, Senior Reactor Analyst
J. Creed, Safeguards Program Manager
T. Madeda, Physical Security Inspector
N. Valos, Operator Licensing Examiner

C. Thompson, Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety

Approved by: Ann Marie Stone, Chief

Branch 3

Division of Reactor Projects

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMA	RY OF FINDINGS
REPOR	DETAILS
Summa	of Plant Status
	EACTOR SAFETY R01 Adverse Weather Protection (71111.01) R04 Equipment Alignment (71111.04) R05 Fire Protection (71111.05) R06 Flood Protection Measures (71111.06) R07 Heat Sink Performance (71111.07) R11 Licensed Operator Requalification (71111.11) R12 Maintenance Effectiveness (71111.12) R13 Maintenance Risk Assessments and Emergent Work Control (71111.13) R14 Personnel Performance Related to Non-routine Plant Evolutions and Events (71111.14) R15 Operability Evaluations (71111.15) R16 Operator Workarounds (71111.16) R19 Post Maintenance Testing (71111.19) R22 Surveillance Testing (71111.22) Temporary Plant Modifications (71111.23) Temporary Plant Modifications (71111.23) Temporary Plant Modifications (71111.23)
	ADIATION SAFETY
;	AFEGUARDS
	THER ACTIVITIES 27 DA1 Performance Indicator Verification (71151) 27 DA2 Problem Identification and Resolution (71152) 27 DA3 Event Follow-up (71153) 27 DA4 Cross-Cutting Findings 28 DA6 Meetings 29 DA7 Licensee-Identified Violations 29
SUPPL	MENTAL INFORMATION
KEY PO	NTS OF CONTACT
LIST O	ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED

LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED	
LIST OF ACRONYMS USED	

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

IR 05000454/2003003, 05000455/2003003; on 04/01/03-06/30/03; Byron Station; Units 1 & 2. Maintenance Effectiveness, Maintenance Risk & Emergent Work Control, and Problem Identification and Resolution.

This report covers a 3-month period of baseline resident inspection and an announced baseline inspection on radiation protection. The inspections were conducted by a regional radiation specialist inspector, regional physical security inspectors and the resident inspectors. Three Green findings, two of which were violations of NRC requirements, were identified. The significance of most findings is indicated by their color (Green, White, Yellow, Red) using Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 0609, "Significance Determination Process" (SDP). Findings for which the SDP does not apply may be "Green" or be assigned a severity level after NRC management review. The NRC's program for overseeing the safe operation of commercial nuclear power reactors is described in NUREG-1649, "Reactor Oversight Process," Revision 3, dated July 2000.

A. <u>Inspector-Identified and Self-Revealed Findings</u>

Cornerstone: Mitigating Systems

• Green. A finding of very low safety significance was identified through a self-revealing event when the licensee failed to adequately specify, in procurement documentation, the testing methods for determining total water concentration for oil samples taken from the 2B emergency diesel generator mechanical governor. The subsequent sample results incorrectly indicated a higher than actual water concentration in the governor oil, and led the licensee to take actions that resulted unnecessary unavailability time of the emergency diesel generator. The failure to adequately specify the appropriate test methodology was related to the cross-cutting area of human performance. Following identification of this issue the licensee changed the sample requirements so that the appropriate test method is now specified for diesel generator governor oil samples.

This finding was more than minor because it impacted the mitigating system cornerstone stone objective causing the availability of a system that responds to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequence. This finding was of very low safety significance because there was no design deficiency, no actual loss of safety function, no single train loss of safety function for greater than the Technical Specification allowed outage time, and no risk due to external events. This issue was a Non-Cited Violation of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion IV, "Procurement Document Control." (Section 1R12)

• Green. The inspectors identified a finding of very low safety significance regarding the licensee's failure to assess the increase in risk in accordance with 10 CFR 50.65(a)(4) that resulted following the isolation of a pressurizer power operated relief valve (PORV) by closing its associated block valve. The primary cause of this finding was related to the cross-cutting area of human

performance. Despite the availability of a computer software tool that would have indicated additional evaluation was required, the risk evaluation was not completed. Following identification of this issue, the licensee performed a risk review and determined that the plant was not in the condition of core life when immediate actuation of the pressurizer PORVs are required; therefore, isolation of the pressurizer PORV did not result in an increased online risk.

This finding was more than minor because it affected the human performance attribute of the mitigating systems cornerstone objective of ensuring the availability, reliability and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. This finding was of very low safety significance because there was no design deficiency, no actual loss of safety function, no single train loss of safety function for greater than the Technical Specification allowed outage time, and no risk due to external events. The inspectors determined this to be a Non-Cited Violation of 10 CFR 50.65 (a) (4). (Section 1R13)

Cornerstone: Barrier Integrity

Green. A finding of very low safety significance was identified through a self-revealing event when supervisors and workers did not uphold the foreign material exclusion standards during previous maintenance activities which resulted in a steam generator tube leak. The finding was not considered a violation of regulatory requirements. The failure to adequately control foreign material was related to the cross-cutting area of human performance.

This finding was more than minor because it involved the human performance attribute that affected the reactor coolant system portion of the barrier integrity cornerstone objective. This finding was of very low safety significance because (1) the plant did not operate at-power with one or more tubes that should have been but were not repaired or plugged based on previous tube inspection results; (2) the tubes in question were found to meet required performance criterion for pressure, as demonstrated by the in-situ testing; and (3) the leakage rate of the tubes was below the 150 gallons per day Technical Specification criteria and below the calculated "accident leakage" rate. No violations of NRC requirements occurred. (Section 4OA2.2)

B. Licensee Identified Violations

Violations of very low safety significance, which were identified by the licensee, have been reviewed by the inspectors. Corrective actions taken or planned by the licensee have been entered into the licensee's corrective action program. These violations and corrective action tracking numbers are listed in Section 4OA7 of this report.

REPORT DETAILS

Summary of Plant Status

Unit 1 operated at or near full power throughout the inspection period except on May 19, 2003, when power was reduced to about 77 percent for turbine throttle valve/governor valve testing.

Unit 2 operated at or near full power throughout the inspection period except on May 18, 2003 when power was reduced to about 25 percent to add oil to two reactor coolant pumps. Power was also reduced on Unit 2 three times for load following: on May 27, 2003 to about 75 percent; on June 22, 2003 to about 70 percent; and on June 27, 2003 to about 75 percent.

1. REACTOR SAFETY

Cornerstone: Initiating Events, Mitigating Systems, and Barrier Integrity

1R01 Adverse Weather Protection (71111.01)

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors reviewed the licensee's preparations for potential high temperature conditions during the summer season. Specifically, the inspectors performed the following:

- Reviewed the Updated Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), Technical Specifications and other plant documents to identify areas potentially challenged by summer temperatures;
- Reviewed applicable licensee procedures and surveillance tests appropriate for monitoring plant conditions during summer weather;
- Verified through interviews and record review, that Nuclear Shift Operators were familiar with plant systems potentially affected by high temperatures and that necessary procedural and/or contingency plans were in place; and
- Verified that the licensee had performed summer readiness reviews for selected plant systems including the essential service water and circulating water systems.

On June 5, 2003, the inspectors performed a walkdown of the plant perimeter and river screen house. The purpose of the walkdown was to assess the adequacy of the protection of plant equipment and the plant's offsite power supply from possible airborne missile hazards caused by high winds.

Additionally, the inspectors reviewed the licensee's response to severe thunderstorm warnings on June 25, 2003.

The inspectors also reviewed selected issues documented in condition reports (CRs), to determine if they had been properly addressed in the licensee's corrective actions program. The documents reviewed during this inspection are listed in the Attachment to this report.

b. <u>Findings</u>

No findings of significance were identified.

1R04 Equipment Alignment (71111.04)

.1 Partial Walkdowns

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors performed four partial walkdowns of accessible portions of trains of risk-significant mitigating systems equipment during times when the trains were of increased importance due to the redundant trains or other related equipment being unavailable. The inspectors utilized the valve and electric breaker checklists listed in the Attachment at the end of this report and applicable system drawings to verify that the components were properly positioned and that support systems were lined up as needed. The inspectors also examined the material condition of the components and observed operating parameters of equipment to verify that there were no obvious deficiencies. The inspectors used the information in the appropriate sections of the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) to determine the functional requirements of the systems.

The inspectors verified the alignment of the following trains:

- Unit 2 train A of the residual heat removal system while train B was out-of-service for maintenance on April 15, 2003;
- Unit 1 and Unit 2 4.1kV and 6.9 kV buses while the Unit 2 system auxiliary transformers were out-of-service for maintenance on April 21, 2003;
- Unit 1 circulating water system while the Unit 1 D circulating water box was out-of-service on May 2, 2003; and
- Unit 1 train A auxiliary feedwater system while train B was out of service on May 15, 2003.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.2 Complete Walkdown

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

On May 8, 2003, the inspectors performed a complete system alignment inspection of the auxiliary power (AP) system. This system was selected because it was considered both safety related and risk significant in the licensee's probabilistic risk assessment. The inspection consisted of the following activities:

- a review of plant procedures (including selected abnormal and emergency procedures), drawings, and the UFSAR to identify proper system alignment;
- a review of operator work arounds to determine applicability to the auxiliary power system;
- a review of outstanding work requests on the system;
- a review of the auxiliary power system health evaluation; and
- an electrical walkdown of the system to verify proper alignment, component accessibility, availability, and current condition.

The inspectors also reviewed selected issues documented in CRs, to determine if they had been properly addressed in the licensee's corrective actions program. The documents reviewed during this inspection are listed in the Attachment to this report.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R05 <u>Fire Protection</u> (71111.05)

.1 Walkdowns

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors conducted fire protection walkdowns that were focused on availability, accessibility, and the condition of fire fighting equipment; the control of transient combustibles and ignition sources; and on the condition and operating status of installed fire barriers. The inspectors reviewed applicable portions of the Byron Station Fire Protection Report and selected fire areas for inspection based on their overall contribution to internal fire risk, as documented in the Individual Plant Examination of External Events Report. The inspectors verified that fire hoses and extinguishers were in their designated locations and available for immediate use; that fire detectors and sprinklers were unobstructed; that transient material loading was within the analyzed limits; and that fire doors, dampers, and penetration seals appeared to be in satisfactory condition. The documents listed in the Attachment at the end of this report were also used by the inspectors to evaluate this area.

The inspectors examined the plant areas listed below to observe conditions related to fire protection:

- Main control room (Zone 2.1-0) on April 9, 2003;
- Unit 2 turbine building elevation 426 (Zone 8.5-2) on April 17, 2003;
- Circulating water pumphouse (Zone 18.12-0) on May 2, 2003;

- 2A and 2B reactor coolant pump lube oil collection systems during an expected lube oil leak on the 2A reactor coolant pump on May 18, 2003;
- Unit 1 upper cable spreading room (Zones 3.3A-1 and 3.3.B-1) on May 20, 2003;
- Unit 1 and Unit 2 auxiliary building 383 foot elevation (zone 11.4-0) on June 3, 2003; and
- Unit 1A and D main steamline isolation valve room during hot work activities on June 3, 2003

b. <u>Findings</u>

No findings of significance were identified.

.2 <u>Drill Observation</u>

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors assessed fire brigade performance and the drill evaluator's critique during a fire brigade drill conducted on May 4, 2003. The drill simulated an oil fire in the Unit 1 turbine clean and dirty oil storage tank room. The inspectors focused on command and control of the fire brigade activities; fire fighting and communication practices; material condition and use of fire fighting equipment; and implementation of pre-fire plan strategies. The inspectors evaluated the fire brigade performance using the licensee's established fire drill performance procedure criteria. The inspectors verified that minor issues identified during the inspection were entered into the licensee's corrective action program. The documents listed at the end of this report were also used by the inspectors to evaluate this area.

The inspectors also reviewed selected issues documented in CRs, to determine if they had been properly addressed in the licensee's corrective actions program.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R06 Flood Protection Measures (71111.06)

a. Inspection Scope

During the week of April 28, 2003, the inspectors evaluated the licensee's controls for mitigating external and internal flooding by completing a semi-annual and a annual sample. Specifically, the inspectors performed the following:

- Reviewed the licensee's design basis documents to identify the design basis for flood protection and to identify those areas susceptible to external or internal flooding;
- Reviewed the licensee's probabilistic risk assessment results for external and internal flooding:
- Reviewed selected maintenance records based on the assessment results;

- Reviewed selected abnormal operating procedures for identifying and mitigating flooding events;
- Reviewed selected maintenance records and surveillances for auxiliary building floor drains;
- Reviewed selected records documenting the evaluation of underground cables for submergence; and
- Inspected the watertight doors and flood seals.

The inspectors also reviewed selected issues documented in CRs, to determine if they had been properly addressed in the licensee's corrective actions program. The documents reviewed during this inspection are listed in the Attachment to this report.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R07 <u>Heat Sink Performance</u> (71111.07)

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors reviewed selected issues documented in condition reports associated with heat sink performance to determine if they had been properly addressed in the licensee's corrective actions program. The documents reviewed during this inspection are listed in the Attachment to this report.

b. Findings

No new findings of significance were identified.

1R11 <u>Licensed Operator Requalification</u> (71111.11)

a. Inspection Scope

On May 19, 2003, the inspectors observed an operating crew during an "out-of-the-box" requalification examination on the simulator using Scenario "Number 03-03-1," Revision 0. The inspectors evaluated crew performance in the areas of:

- clarity and formality of communications;
- ability to take timely actions;
- prioritization, interpretation and verification of alarms;
- procedure use;
- control board manipulations;
- supervisor's command and control;
- management oversight; and
- group dynamics.

Crew performance in these areas was compared to licensee management expectations and guidelines as presented in the following documents:

- OP-AA-101-111, "Roles and Responsibilities of On-Shift Personnel," Revision 0;
- OP-AA-103-102, "Watchstanding Practices," Revision 1;
- OP-AA-103-103, "Operation of Plant Equipment," Revision 0;
- OP-AA-103-104, "Reactivity Management Controls," Revision 0; and
- OP-AA-104-101, "Communications," Revision 0.

The inspectors verified that the crew completed the critical tasks listed in the above simulator guide. The inspectors also compared simulator configurations with actual control board configurations. For any weaknesses identified, the inspectors observed the licensee evaluators to verify that they also noted the issues and discussed them in the critique at the end of the session.

The inspectors also reviewed selected issues documented in CRs, to determine if they had been properly addressed in the licensee's corrective actions program. The documents reviewed during this inspection are listed in the Attachment to this report.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R12 Maintenance Effectiveness (71111.12)

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors evaluated the licensee's implementation of the maintenance rule, 10 CFR 50.65, as it pertained to identified performance problems with the following system:

HD, feedwater heater drain tank level control.

During this inspection, the inspectors evaluated the licensee's monitoring and trending of performance data for the past two years, verified that performance criteria were established commensurate with safety, and verified that equipment failures were appropriately evaluated in accordance with the maintenance rule. These aspects were evaluated using the maintenance rule scoping and report documents listed in the Attachment at the end of this report. For each system, structure, and component reviewed, the inspectors also reviewed the significant work orders and condition reports listed in the Attachment at the end of this report to verify that failures were properly identified, classified, and corrected, and that unavailable time had been properly calculated. The inspectors also visually inspected heater drain tank level controllers and interviewed system engineers and operations department personnel.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified for the sample inspected under the maintenance effectiveness inspection procedure. However, as discussed below, a finding related to maintenance effectiveness was identified during the review of the operability evaluation associated with Engineering Change Analysis 343232, "Evaluation of Governor Oil/Water Content in the Unit 2 Train B Emergency Diesel Generator."

Introduction

A Green finding was identified through a self-revealing event when the licensee failed to adequately specify, in procurement documentation, the testing methods for determining total water concentration for oil samples taken from the 2B emergency diesel generator mechanical governor. The subsequent sample results incorrectly indicated a higher than actual water concentration in the governor oil. Based on this incorrect information, the licensee took actions to flush the governor oil. These actions were not necessary and resulted in additional unavailability time of the emergency diesel generator. This issue was determined to be of very low safety significance and was dispositioned as a Non-Cited Violation.

Description

On June 4, 2003, an operator noted that the level in the 2B emergency diesel generator mechanical governor oil sight glass was out-of-sight high. The operator informed the shift management of the condition, and the issue was entered into the licensee's corrective action program. The shift manager declared the 2B emergency diesel generator inoperable. Troubleshooting revealed that the mechanical governor oil cooler developed a leak and the cooling water was leaking into the governor oil. The licensee replaced the oil cooler and flushed the governor sump to remove excess water prior to filling the governor oil sump. The diesel was tested satisfactorily and the shift manager declared the diesel operable on June 5, 2003. Additionally, after completing the work on the diesel, the licensee took a sample of the mechanical governor oil to verify acceptable water content. The sample was provided to the vendor normally used by the licensee to analyze diesel oil samples.

The oil sample results indicated that the water content was higher than expected, and the water content was found to be in the alert range. The licensee then sent a sample from the oil container used to fill the governor and again, the sample results indicated that the water content in the container was also high and at concentration similar to the results obtained from the governor oil. The licensee determined that the diesel was operable and initiated plans to monitor oil level and flush the oil in the near future. (The inspectors reviewed the operability evaluation under Section 1R15 of this report.) Additionally, the licensee entered these issues into the corrective action program, quarantined the suspected oil container, and initiated an extent of condition evaluation to determine other possible locations where this oil could have been used.

The licensee sampled oil from a new container and the results provided by the vendor indicated acceptable water content. The licensee decided to take the 2B emergency diesel generator out of service to flush and replace the governor oil with oil from this new container. At 6:09 a.m. on June 11, the diesel was declared inoperable and at 2:06 p.m. on June 11, the replacement was complete and the diesel was returned to service. The licensee sampled the oil from the governor and again, was informed that the indicated water content again in the alert range. The licensee entered this issue into the corrective action program and started to question the adequacy of the vendor's analysis for sampling the governor oil.

The licensee determined that the oil analysis completed by the vendor, was inappropriate for the additives contained in the governor oils and that for oils with these additives, the analysis would routinely indicate a higher than actual total water content. Specifically, Purchase Requisition Number 368093, regarding Testing of Lubricants and Diesel Fuel specified the use on ASTM D1744, "Test Method for Water in Liquid Petroleum Products by Karl Fischer Reagent." The test method described by this standard had known interferences for the additives in the oil used within the EDG mechanical governor. The licensee determined that the appropriate test was Test Procedure C as describe in ASTM D6304, "Standard Test Method for Determination of Water in Petroleum Products, Lubricating Oils, and Additives by Coulometric Karl Fischer Titration." Subsequently, oil samples were provided to four laboratories and the sample results, utilizing appropriate methods, indicated that the water content in the governor and from both the new and quarantined barrels were acceptable.

<u>Analysis</u>

The inspectors determined that the failure to specify the appropriate oil sample analysis requirements resulted in the unnecessary unavailability of the 2B emergency diesel generator on June 11, 2003. This was a performance deficiency warranting a significance evaluation in accordance with IMC 0612, "Power Reactor Inspection Reports," Appendix B, "Issue Disposition Screening," issued on April 29, 2002. The inspectors determined that the finding was more than minor since it affected the mitigating system cornerstone stone objective regarding the availability of a system that responds to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequence. The inspectors determined that this deficiency affected the cross-cutting area of Human Performance since the procurement engineering personnel did not specify an appropriate test for oil samples.

The inspectors determined that the finding could be evaluated using the SDP in accordance with IMC 0609, "Significance Determination Process," because the finding was associated with the operability, availability and reliability of a train of a mitigating system. For the Phase 1 screening, the inspectors answered "no" to all the questions in the Mitigating System column, because there was no design deficiency, no actual loss of safety function, no single train loss of safety function for greater than the Technical Specification (TS) allowed outage time, and no risk due to external events. Therefore, the finding was of very low safety significance (Green).

Enforcement

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criteria IV, "Procurement Document Control," required, in part, that measures shall be established to assure regulatory requirements, design bases, and other requirements which are necessary to assure requirements which are necessary to assure adequate quality are suitably included or referenced in documents for procurement of services. Contrary to the above, on or before June 12, 2003, the licensee did not include the appropriate testing requirements for determining total water content for the emergency diesel generator mechanical governor oil samples were included in Purchase Requisition Number 368093. Because of the very low safety significance, this violation is being treated as a Non-Cited Violation consistent with Section VI.A of NRC Enforcement Policy (NCV 05000455/2003003 -01). The licensee

entered the problem into its corrective action system as Condition Report 163059, "Oil Program Questioning Attitude Identifies Testing Issue," dated June 12, 2003.

1R13 Maintenance Risk Assessments and Emergent Work Control (71111.13)

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors reviewed the licensee's management of plant risk during emergent maintenance activities or during activities where more than one significant system or train was unavailable. The inspectors chose activities based on their potential to increase the probability of an initiating event or impact the operation of safety-significant equipment. The inspectors verified that the evaluation, planning, control, and performance of the work were done in a manner to reduce the risk and the work duration was minimized where practical. The inspectors also verified that contingency plans were in place where appropriate.

The inspectors reviewed configuration risk assessment records, observed operator turnovers, observed plan-of-the-day meetings, and reviewed the documents listed in the Attachment at the end of this report to verify that the equipment configurations had been properly listed, that protected equipment had been identified and was being controlled where appropriate, and that significant aspects of plant risk were being communicated to the necessary personnel. The inspectors verified that the licensee controlled emergent work in accordance with Nuclear Station Procedure WC-AA-101, "On-Line Work Control Process," Revision 6.

The inspectors reviewed the following activities:

- Simultaneous planned work associated with the Unit 2 system auxiliary transformers 242-1 and 242-2 on April 21, 2003;
- Simultaneous planned work associated with the Unit 0 essential service water train B blowdown isolation valve 161 and the Unit 2H steam dump valve on April 15, 2003;
- Simultaneous planned work associated with the Unit 1 residual heat removal train A and the Unit 0 auxiliary building ventilation system train C on April 7, 2003;
- Simultaneous work associated with planned maintenance on the Unit 2 containment spray train A and emergent maintenance on the Unit 2 feedwater pump train A on April 28, 2003;
- Simultaneous work associated with planned maintenance on the Unit 1 emergency diesel generator and emergent maintenance on the Unit 1 diesel driven auxiliary feedwater pump on May 9, 2003; and
- Simultaneous work associated with planned maintenance on the Unit 1 circulating water pump train B auxiliary feedwater pump train B, containment spray pump train B, the Unit 0 essential service water cooling tower fan train G,

and the emergent Unit 0 VC chiller train B out of service during the week of May 12, 2003.

The inspectors also reviewed selected issues documented in CRs, to determine if they had been properly addressed in the licensee's corrective actions program. The documents reviewed during this inspection are listed in the Attachment to this report.

b. Findings

Introduction

The inspectors identified one finding of very low safety significance (Green). The licensee failed to assess the increase in risk in accordance with 10 CFR 50.65(a)(4) that resulted following the isolation of a pressurizer power operated relief valve (PORV) by closing its associated block valve. This issue was determined to be of very low safety significance and was dispositioned as a Non-Cited Violation.

Description

On December 9, 2002, the licensee identified an increasing temperature in the piping downstream of a pressurizer power operated relief valve (PORV) which indicated a steam leak past the valve seat. In accordance with the technical specification, the licensee closed the associated block valve. On December 14, 2002, the pressurizer PORV was unisolated by opening the valve since it appeared to operators that the temperature had stabilized. On December 17, 2002, the pressurizer PORV was again isolated for the same problem. At that time operator's logs documented that online risk remained green since the PORV was energized and able to be unisolated by operators.

On May 5, 2003, the inspectors learned that the recent closing of a pressurizer PORV at the Braidwood Station had led the Braidwood licensee there to raise online risk to the yellow level as designated by licensee procedures. The inspectors posed the question to the Byron site risk engineer as to why a similar determination had not been made at Byron Station following the isolation of the pressurizer PORV in December 2002. After discussion with the risk engineer, the inspectors determined that a proper risk evaluation had not been performed. During anticipated transient without scram (ATWS) scenarios at certain periods in core life, immediate pressure relief provided by the automatic operation of the pressurizer PORV is required to mitigate the accident scenario; therefore, at those times, manual action to unisolated the PORV block valve would be inadequate. At that time the risk engineer generated Condition Report 158362 to enter the inadequate risk evaluation into the licensee's corrective action program. A subsequent complete risk review determined that the plant was not in the condition of core life where immediate actuation of the pressurizer PORV was required; therefore, the isolation of the pressurizer PORV by closing its associated block valve did not result in an increased online risk.

Analysis

The inspectors determined that the failure to assess the risk associated with isolation of a pressurizer PORV by closing its associated block valve was a performance deficiency

warranting a significance evaluation in accordance with IMC 0612, "Power Reactor Inspection Reports," Appendix B, "Issue Disposition Screening," issued on April 29, 2002. The inspectors determined that the finding was more than minor because it affected the human performance attribute of the mitigating systems cornerstone objective of ensuring the availability, reliability and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. The inspectors determined that the failure to ensure an adequate risk assessment was performed by the operations personnel also affected the Human Performance cross-cutting area because a computer software tool was available to assess the risk.

The inspectors determined that the finding could be evaluated using the SDP in accordance with IMC 0609, "Significance Determination Process," because the finding was associated with the potential degradation of the pressurizer PORV to reliably act as a mitigating system for certain ATWS scenarios as discussed above. For the Phase 1 screening, the inspectors answered "no" to all five questions in the mitigating system cornerstone column because the finding did not represent an actual loss of function nor did it involve a potentially risk significant due to external events. Therefore, the finding was of very low safety significance (Green).

Enforcement

10 CFR 50.65(a)(4) requires, in part, that before performing maintenance activities (including but not limited to surveillances, post-maintenance testing, and corrective and preventive maintenance), the licensee shall assess and manage the increase in risk that may result from the proposed maintenance activities. Contrary to the above, on December 9, 2002, the licensee failed to assess the risk associated with maintenance activities following the isolation of a pressurizer PORV, which was not available to respond automatically as required for ATWS scenarios at certain periods in core life. Because of the very low safety significance, this violation is being treated as a Non-Cited Violation consistent with Section VI.A of the NRC Enforcement Policy (NCV 05000454/2003003-02). The licensee entered this violation into its corrective action program as CR 00158362, dated May 5, 2003.

1R14 Personnel Performance Related to Non-routine Plant Evolutions and Events (71111.14)

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors observed control room operators during the following non-routine evolution:

 Unit 2 down power to 30 percent for a containment entry to add lube oil to the 2A and 2B reactor coolant pumps on May 18, 2003.

The inspectors evaluated crew performance in the areas of:

- clarity and formality of communications:
- prioritization, interpretation and verification of alarms;
- procedure use;
- control board manipulations;

- supervisor's command and control;
- management oversight; and
- group dynamics.

Crew performance in these areas was compared to licensee management expectations and guidelines as presented in the following documents:

- OP-AA-101-111, "Roles and Responsibilities of On-Shift Personnel," Revision 0;
- OP-AA-103-102, "Watchstanding Practices," Revision 1;
- OP-AA-103-103, "Operation of Plant Equipment," Revision 0;
- OP-AA-103-104, "Reactivity Management Controls," Revision 0; and
- OP-AA-104-101, "Communications," Revision 0.

The documents listed in the Attachment at the end of this report were also used by the inspectors to evaluate this area.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R15 Operability Evaluations (71111.15)

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors evaluated plant conditions, selected condition reports, and operability determinations for risk-significant components and systems in which operability issues were questioned. These conditions were evaluated to determine whether the operability of components was justified.

The inspectors reviewed the following operability evaluations:

- Condition Report 154855, Unit 1 main steam isolation valve accumulators found out of tolerance:
- Operability Determination 03-002, incorrect hydraulic fluid found in the 1B Feedwater Isolation Valve accumulators;
- Engineering Change Analysis 343232, evaluation of governor oil/water content in the Unit 2 train B emergency diesel generator; and
- Operability Determination 03-003, operability of spurious valve actuation group valves in the emergency core cooling system.

The inspectors compared the operability and design criteria in the appropriate section of the TS and UFSAR to the licensee's evaluations to verify that the components or systems were operable. The inspectors determined whether compensatory measures, if needed, were taken, and determined whether the evaluations were consistent with the requirements of licensee's Procedure LS-AA-105, "Operability Determination Process," Revision 0. The inspectors also discussed the details of the evaluations with the shift managers and appropriate members of the licensee's engineering stf.

The documents listed in the Attachment at the end of this report were also used by the inspectors to evaluate this area.

b. <u>Findings</u>

No findings of significance were identified. However, during the review of the engineering change analysis associated with the governor oil/water content in the 2B emergency diesel generator, a finding associated with maintenance effectiveness was identified and is described in Section 1R12 of this report.

1R16 Operator Workarounds (71111.16)

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors reviewed the following condition report to determine if the condition reached the threshold for being considered an operator work-around or operator challenge:

 CR 160689, 1D second stage reheater drain tank emergency valve nonresponsive.

The inspectors interviewed operating and engineering department personnel and reviewed the licensee's changes taken to address this condition. The documents reviewed during this inspection are listed in the Attachment to this report.

The inspectors also reviewed the licensee's quarterly review operator work-arounds to verify that the cumulative effects of operator work-arounds and operator challenges did not adversely impact the ability to operate the plant.

The inspectors also reviewed selected issues documented in condition reports, to determine if they had been properly addressed in the licensee's corrective actions program.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R19 Post Maintenance Testing (71111.19)

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors reviewed the post maintenance testing activities associated with maintenance or modification of mitigating, barrier integrity, and support systems that were identified as risk significant in the licensee's risk analysis. The inspectors reviewed these activities to verify that the post maintenance testing was performed adequately, demonstrated that the maintenance was successful, and that operability was restored. During this inspection activity, the inspectors interviewed maintenance and engineering department personnel and reviewed the completed post maintenance testing documentation. The inspectors used the appropriate sections of the TS and UFSAR, as

well as the documents listed in the Attachment at the end of this report, to evaluate this area.

Testing subsequent to the following activities was observed and evaluated:

- Unit 0 train B essential service water blowdown isolation valve repair completed on April 18, 2003;
- Unit 1 train B emergency diesel generator overhaul completed on May 12, 2003;
- Unit 1 train B emergency diesel generator relay replacements completed on May 12, 2003;
- Unit 1 train B auxiliary feedwater pump work window completed on May 18, 2003;
- Train G essential service water cooling tower fan following motor replacement on May 21, 2003; and
- Unit 2 train B containment spray following maintenance activities completed on June 10, 2003.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R22 Surveillance Testing (71111.22)

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors witnessed selected surveillance testing and/or reviewed test data to verify that the equipment tested using the surveillance procedures met the TS, the Technical Requirements Manual, the UFSAR, and licensee procedural requirements, and demonstrated that the equipment was capable of performing its intended safety functions. The activities were selected based on their importance in verifying mitigating systems capability and barrier integrity. The inspectors used the documents listed in the Attachment at the end of this report to verify that the testing met the frequency requirements; that the tests were conducted in accordance with the procedures including establishing the proper plant conditions and prerequisites; that the test acceptance criteria were met; and that the results of the tests were properly reviewed and recorded. In addition, the inspectors interviewed operations, maintenance and engineering department personnel regarding the tests and test results.

The inspectors evaluated the following surveillance tests:

- Unit 1 train A auxiliary feedwater pump undervoltage simulated start surveillance on April 26, 2003;
- Unit 1 train A charging pump ASME (American Society of Mechanical Engineers) surveillance test on April 29, 2003;
- Unit 1 train B residual heat removal pump ASME surveillance test on May 7, 2003:
- Unit 2 train B safety injection pump ASME surveillance test on May 8, 2003;
- Unit 1 train B emergency diesel generator semi-annual surveillance test on May 12, 2003;

- Unit 1 train B auxiliary feedwater pump ASME surveillance test on May 18, 2003;
 and
- Unit 2 train B containment spray system ASME valve stroke time testing on June 10, 2003.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

1R23 Temporary Plant Modifications (71111.23)

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors reviewed and evaluated the following temporary plant modification on risk-significant equipment:

- Engineering Change 340908, supply design sketch and install guard plate after removal of a security barrier on May 5 2003;
- Engineering Change 343158, establish a freeze seal on for the repair of 2SX173, completed June 3, 2003; and
- Engineering Change 343159, removal of the internals of Valve 2SX173, completed on June 3, 2003.

The inspectors reviewed these temporary plant modifications to verify that the instructions were consistent with applicable design modification documents and that the modification did not adversely impact system operability or availability. The inspectors interviewed operations, engineering and maintenance personnel as appropriate and reviewed the design modification documents and the 10 CFR 50.59 evaluations against the applicable portions of the UFSAR. The documents listed in the Attachment at the end of this report were also used by the inspectors to evaluate this area.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified

Cornerstone: Emergency Preparedness

1EP6 <u>Drill Evaluation</u> (71114.06)

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors observed the emergency response activities associated with the simulator training completed on May 19, 2003. Specifically, the inspectors verified that the emergency classification and simulated notifications were properly completed, and that the licensee adequately critiqued the training. Additionally, the inspectors determined that the results were properly counted in the Performance Indicators for emergency preparedness.

The inspectors also reviewed selected issues documented in CRs, to determine if they had been properly addressed in the licensee's corrective actions program. The documents reviewed during this inspection are listed in the Attachment to this report.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

2. RADIATION SAFETY

Cornerstone: Public Radiation Safety

- 2PS3 Radiological Environmental Monitoring and Radioactive Material Control Programs (71122.03)
- .1 Review of Environmental Monitoring Reports and Data

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors reviewed the 2001 and 2002 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Reports to assess sampling location commitments, monitoring and measurement frequencies, land use census, the vendor laboratory's interlaboratory comparison program, and data analysis. Anomalous results including data, missed samples, inoperable, or lost equipment were evaluated. The review of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) was conducted to verify that the REMP was implemented as required by the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), Technical Specifications, and the Technical Requirements Manual (TRM), and that changes, if any, did not affect the licensee's ability to monitor the impact of radioactive effluent releases on the environment. Additionally, the most recent corporate audit of the licensee's REMP vendor was reviewed to verify that the vendor laboratory performance was consistent with licensee and NRC requirements.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.2 <u>Walkdowns of Radiological Environmental Monitoring Stations and Meteorological Tower</u>

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors accompanied the REMP vendor representative during his weekly sample collection surveillance of all eight environmental air sampling stations and 16 of the 40 environmental thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) to verify that their locations were consistent with their descriptions in the ODCM and to evaluate the material condition of these stations.

The meteorological monitoring site was observed to validate that sensors were adequately positioned and operable. The inspectors reviewed the 2001 and 2002 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Reports and a sampling of monthly reports provided by the meteorological services vendor, to evaluate the onsite meteorological monitoring program's data recovery rates, routine calibration, and maintenance activities, and non-scheduled maintenance activities. The review was conducted to verify that the meteorological instrumentation was operable, calibrated and maintained in accordance with licensee procedures. The inspectors also verified that readouts of wind speed, wind direction, and atmospheric stability measurements were available in the control room.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.3 Review of REMP Sample Collection and Analysis

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors accompanied the REMP vendor representative to observe the collection and preparation of particulate air filters to verify that representative samples were collected in accordance with vendor procedures and the ODCM. The inspectors observed the technician perform air sampler field check maintenance to verify that the air samplers were functioning in accordance with vendor and licensee procedures. Calibration and maintenance records (June 2002 to April 2003) for the eight air sampling stations were reviewed to verify that the equipment was being maintained as required. Additionally, the inspectors observed the collection of surface water samples from the Rock River (upstream and downstream of the effluent discharge point), and the collection and preservation of milk samples to assess the licensee's compliance with ODCM and TRM requirements. The environmental sample collection program was compared with the ODCM to verify that samples were representative of the licensee's release pathways. Additionally, the inspectors reviewed results of the vendor laboratory's interlaboratory comparison program to verify that the vendor was capable of adequately preparing and analyzing environmental samples for a variety of radioisotopes.

b. <u>Findings</u>

No findings of significance were identified.

.4 Unrestricted Release of Material from the Radiologically Controlled Area

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors evaluated the licensee's controls, procedures, and practices for the unrestricted release of material from radiologically controlled areas of the station to verify that: (1) radiation monitoring instrumentation used to perform surveys for unrestricted release of materials was appropriate; (2) instrument sensitivities were

consistent with NRC guidance contained in Inspection and Enforcement Circular 81-07 and Health Physics Positions in NUREG/CR-5569 for both surface contaminated and volumetrically contaminated materials; (3) criteria for survey and release conformed to NRC requirements; (4) licensee procedures were technically sound and provided clear guidance for survey methodologies; and (5) radiation protection staff adequately implemented station procedures. In particular, the inspectors reviewed and observed the implementation of the controls used in the release of materials at the radiologically controlled area egress point in the Auxiliary Building (401' Elevation).

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

.5 Identification and Resolution of Problems

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors reviewed: (1) the results of recent focus area self-assessments of the REMP and Radioactive Material Control programs completed by the RP and Exelon Corporate staffs; (2) a Nuclear Oversight Continuous Assessment Report and field observations as they relate to the REMP and Radioactive Material Control programs; and (3) the licensee's condition report (CR) database and several individual CRs related to the REMP and Radioactive Material Control programs generated in calendar years 2001 through 2003. The inspectors evaluated the effectiveness of these processes to identify, characterize, and prioritize problems, and to develop and implement corrective actions.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

3. SAFEGUARDS

Cornerstone: Physical Protection

3PP2 Access Control (Identification, Authorization and Search of Personnel, Packages, and Vehicles) (IP71130.02)

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors reviewed the licensee's protected area access control testing and maintenance procedures. The inspectors observed licensee testing of all protected area access control equipment to determine if testing and maintenance practices were performance based. On two occasions, the inspectors observed in-processing search of personnel, packages, and vehicles to determine if search practices were conducted in accordance with regulatory requirements.

The inspectors reviewed security related event reports and safeguard log entries associated with the access control program for the period April 1, 2002 through May 13, 2003. The inspectors also reviewed the licensee's corrective action program to determine if security related issues associated with the access control program were appropriately identified and resolved.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

3PP3 Response to Contingency Events (71130.03)

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors walked down the licensee's protected area intrusion alarm system to identify potential vulnerabilities. The inspectors, accompanied by licensee security representatives, observed testing of selected protected area intrusion alarm zones. Alarm zone detection was evaluated by conducting various testing methods.

The inspectors also reviewed the effectiveness of alarm station personnel to recognize and identify activities in the protected area alarm detection zones on the assessment monitors. The inspectors also reviewed the field of view provided by the assessment aids to ensure compliance with the licensee's security plan.

The inspectors also reviewed a sample of licensee force-on-force drill records, and interviewed security management personnel to determine if the licensee had appropriately identified and resolved issues associated with the contingency response program.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

4. OTHER ACTIVITIES

4OA1 Performance Indicator Verification (71151)

Cornerstones: Initiating Events, Mitigating Systems, Barrier Integrity, Occupational Radiation Safety, and Physical Protection

.1 Reactor Safety Strategic Area

The inspectors sampled the licensees submittals for performance indicators (PIs) and periods listed below. To verify the accuracy of the PI data reported during that period, PI definitions and guidance contained in revision 1 of Nuclear Energy Institute Document 99-02, "Regulatory Assessment Performance Indicator Guidance were used. The following PIs for units were reviewed:

- unplanned scrams per 7000 critical hours (April 2002 to March 2003);
- unplanned power changes per 7000 critical hours (April 2002 to March 2003);
- scrams with loss of normal heat removal (April 2002 to March 2003); and
- reactor coolant system specific activity (July 2002 through March 2003).

The inspectors reviewed each of the licensee event reports from April 2002 to March 2003, determined the number of scrams that occurred, evaluated each of the scrams against the performance indicator definitions, and verified the licensee's calculation of critical hours for both units. The inspectors also reviewed power history data for both operating units from, determined the number of power changes greater than 20 percent full power that occurred, and evaluated each of those power changes against the performance indicator definition.

For the reactor coolant system specific activity PI, the inspectors reviewed the licensee's Chemistry Department records and selected isotopic analyses to verify that the greatest Dose Equivalent Iodine (DEI) value obtained during those months corresponded with the value reported to the NRC. The inspectors also reviewed selected DEI calculations to verify that the appropriate conversion factors were used in the assessment as required by Technical Specifications. Additionally, on May 22, 2003, the inspectors observed a chemistry technician obtain and analyze a reactor coolant sample for DEI to verify adherence with licensee procedures for the collection and analysis of reactor coolant system samples.

b. <u>Findings</u>

No findings of significance were identified.

.2 Radiation Safety Strategic Area

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors sampled the licensees submittals for PI listed below for the period April 2002 to March 2003. To verify the accuracy of the PI data reported during that period, PI definitions and guidance contained in revision 1 of Nuclear Energy Institute Document 99-02, "Regulatory Assessment Performance Indicator Guidance were used. The following PI was reviewed:

public radiation safety.

The inspectors reviewed the licensee's assessment of its performance indicator for public radiation safety by reviewing the dose records related to both liquid and gaseous effluent releases from the station from April 2002 to March 2003, to determine if this data was adequately assessed and reported. Since no reportable events were identified by the licensee for the 2nd through 4th quarters of calendar year 2002 and for the 1st quarter of calendar year 2003, the inspectors compared the licensee's data with the condition report database for these time periods to verify that there were no unaccounted for occurrences in the Public Radiation Safety Performance Indicator as defined by the guidance.

b. <u>Findings</u>

No findings of significance were identified.

.3 <u>Safeguards Strategic Area</u>

a. Inspection Scope

The inspectors verified the data for the following PIs:

- Physical Protection Performance Indicators pertaining to Fitness-For-Duty Personnel Reliability;
- Personnel Screening Program; and
- Protection Area Security Equipment.

Specifically, a sample of plant reports related to security events, security shift activity logs, fitness-for-duty reports, and other applicable security records were reviewed for the period between April 2002 through April 2003.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

4OA2 Problem Identification and Resolution (71152)

.1 Routine Reviews of Identification and Resolution of Problems

a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

As discussed in previous sections of this report, the inspectors routinely reviewed issues during baseline inspection activities and plant status reviews to verify that they were being entered into the licensee's corrective action system at an appropriate threshold, that adequate attention was being given to timely corrective actions, and that adverse trends were identified and addressed. Minor issues entered into the licensee's corrective action system as a result of inspectors' observations are generally denoted in the list of documents reviewed at the back of the report.

b. <u>Findings</u>

No findings of significance were identified.

.2 Detailed Review - 2C Steam Generator Foreign Material Induced Tube Leak

Introduction

On July 24, 2001, the licensee determined that the 2C steam generator (SG) had indications of primary to secondary leakage. The leakage was estimated to be less than five gallons per day (gpd). The licensee monitored the leakage and established

contingencies to shutdown and repair the steam generator if the primary to secondary leakage increase to a value greater than 75 gpd, which is one-half the leak rate allowed by the TS. On June 22, 2002, as a result of a step increase in primary to secondary leakage from 53 gpd to 63 gpd in the 2C SG, the licensee shutdown Unit 2. A maximum primary to secondary leak rate of 76 gpd was noted during the shutdown of the unit. (The inspector's review of the shutdown was provided in NRC Inspection Report 50-455/02-05, Section 1R14.)

During the resulting forced outage, the licensee identified tube 43-23 was the cause of the primary to secondary leakage. In addition, two adjacent tubes (43-22 and 43-24), were identified as degraded. The licensee performed eddy current bobbin exams in this area of the SG with no indications of foreign material identified; however, the wear indications on the damaged and degraded tubes were determined to be caused by a foreign object.

Prior to restarting the plant, the licensee analyzed the leaking tube and concluded that the burst pressure of the tube would have been in excess of 4600 pounds per square inch (psi). Furthermore, the results of this analysis were confirmed by in situ testing which reach a pressure of 4700 psi with no evidence of tube burst. These results demonstrated that the tubes would have met the design requirements for withstanding the analyzed plant transients. The licensee also reviewed prior 2C SG eddy current exams results and verified that no previous indications were present in the area of the damaged tubes. Subsequently, the licensee plugged the leaking tube and the two degraded tubes and the unit was returned to service on June 27, 2002.

During the next Unit 2 refueling outage, September 2002, the licensee completed visual inspections near the leaking tube and identified and retrieved two pieces of foreign material. The location of these two pieces was at the second tube support plate in the preheater section of the cold leg side. These two pieces were similar in appearance and structure and described as hollow sheathing/cable 1/8" in diameter and measuring approximately 3" and 3.5" in length. Through lab analysis, the licensee determined that the two pieces were originally one piece. The licensee determined that fatigue induced failure of the object was caused during fretting of the damaged tube, and after the failure, the two pieces migrated downstream of the damaged tube. The licensee also determined that the object did not originate from plant equipment, and believed that increased feedwater flows resulting from the power uprate may have caused dormant objects to migrate through systems and to eventually come to rest in the SG.

As a result of the foreign material identified in the 2C SG, the licensee completed an extensive foreign object search and retrieval (FOSAR) visual inspection of the 2C SG preheater region that resulted in the identification and retrieval of more foreign objects. Eddy current bobbin exams were completed in the SGs and identified additional foreign objects in the 2D as well as 2C SGs. In all, 38 objects were retrieved and eleven tubes plugged during the refueling outage.

Based on the locations of past inspections and the internal flow characteristics of the 2C SG, the licensee determined that the foreign object entered the SG via the lower feedwater nozzle. However, the specific origin of the object could not be determined. The licensee completed a review of the work performed on the secondary side systems

over the past five years, but were unsuccessful in determining the specific origin of the object. The licensee concluded that the foreign material introduced into the SG resulted from inconsistent foreign material exclusion (FME) controls and standards during previous maintenance activities.

The inspectors reviewed the root cause analysis associated with Condition Report 123810 to assess the adequacy of the licensee's evaluation, and corrective actions. In addition the inspectors assessed the licensee's performance resulting in the 2C SG tube leak.

a. Prioritization and Evaluation of Issues

(1) <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspectors reviewed the root cause analysis evaluation associated with Condition Report 123810, "2C Steam Generator Foreign Material Induced tube Leak."

(2) <u>Issues</u>

The licensee's analysis utilized an event and causal factor chart, and they evaluated the results using TAP ROOT ®, Barrier and Cause and Effects analytical methods. The information evaluated by the licensee during their investigation included review of:

- procedures;
- packages;
- surveys:
- lab analysis; and
- training material.

In addition, the licensee interviewed applicable personnel to gain insights associated the issue.

As a result of the root cause analysis, the licensee identified three causal factors:

- No routine preventative maintenance existed for FME visual inspections of the preheater regions of the Unit 2 SGs;
- Incorrect application of flexitallic gaskets in high-pressure applications; and
- Supervisors and workers not held accountable for upholding FME standards.

The inspectors noted that data utilized in the root cause analysis matched the independently obtained source documents. Additionally, the inspectors reviewed the associated TAPROOT ® evaluation charts and compared the thoroughness of the evaluation with the guidance provided by the TAPROOT ® text book and TAPROOT ® Cause Tree Dictionary, with no problems noted.

b. Effectiveness of Corrective Actions

(1) <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspections verified that the corrective actions planned adequately addressed the causes identified by the root cause analysis. The inspectors selected at random a sample of completed actions to verify that they met the specified closure criteria. Regarding the corrective actions still in the process of being completed, the inspectors noted that the due dates were not being routinely extended. Although the inspectors were unable to assess the effectiveness of the licensee's corrective actions, the inspectors noted that the licensee has included as part of the corrective actions several assessments of the effectiveness of their actions.

(2) Findings

A Green finding was identified through a self-revealing event when, during previous maintenance activities, workers and supervisors failed to uphold the FME standards by allowing foreign material into the 2C SG. This resulted in a steam generator tube leak. The finding was not considered a violation of regulatory requirements.

Analysis

The inspectors determined that the failure to uphold the FME standards was a performance deficiency warranting a significance evaluation in accordance with IMC 0612, "Power Reactor Inspection Reports," Appendix B, "Issue Disposition Screening," issued on April 29, 2002. The inspectors determined that the finding was more than minor since it involved the human performance attribute that affected the reactor coolant system portion of the barrier integrity cornerstone objective. The inspectors also determined that this deficiency affected the cross-cutting area of Human Performance.

The inspectors determined that the finding could be evaluated using the SDP in accordance with IMC 0609, "Significance Determination Process," because the finding was associated with the integrity of the reactor coolant system. For the Phase 1 screening, it was determined that since the finding was associated with the reactor coolant system barrier, the inspectors assessed the issue through a Phase 2. A phase 2 risk assessment was performed using the steam generator tube rupture worksheet with a duration of >30 days. Because the in-situ tube testing demonstrated that the tubes were always operable and capable of withstanding design basis transient pressures, the inspectors determined that there was no increase in the initiating event frequency. Completing the Phase 2 worksheets determined that the SG tube leakage issue was of very low safety significance, Green (FIN 05000455/2003003-03). The regional SRA reviewed the Large Early Release Frequency (LERF) implications of the finding and determined that the change in LERF would not increase the risk characterization from Green because (1) the plant did not operate at-power with one or more tubes that should have been but were not repaired or plugged based on previous tube inspection results, (2) the tubes in question were found to meet required performance criterion for pressure, as demonstrated by the in-situ testing and (3) the leakage rate of the tubes was below the 150 gpd TS criteria and below the calculated "accident leakage" rate.

Enforcement

Because the inspectors and the licensee were unable to determine when the foreign material was introduced into the steam generator, the inspectors could not conclude that a violation of regulatory requirements occurred. The licensee entered the issued into its corrective action system as Condition Report 123810, "2C Steam Generator Foreign Material Induced Tube Leak," dated September 9, 2002.

4OA3 Event Follow-up (71153)

.1 (Closed) Licensee Event Report (LER) 50-454-2003-001-00: "Control Room Ventilation System Alignment Results in Inoperable Radiation Monitors Without Taking Required Actions per the Technical Specifications."

The inspectors reviewed the LER, related condition reports and evaluations and other documents as listed in the Attachment at the end of this report. The inspectors also discussed the details of the condition with the appropriate members of the licensee's engineering staff. In addition, the inspectors completed a walkdown of the applicable portions of the control room ventilation system.

As discussed in the subject LER, on January 27, 2003, the licensee determined the unit common VC filtration system actuation instrumentation radiation monitors were not operable when VC was manually aligned to the turbine building makeup air intake. This was because there was little or no air flow past the monitors when aligned in that mode. The licensee also reported that a design change made before the beginning of plant operation had been inadequately evaluated and had rendered the system less capable of performing its design function. Specially, this design change removed the Engineered Safety Feature-Safety Injection (ESF-SI) actuation signal to secure the miscellaneous ventilation system, which allowed for possible unfiltered air in-leakage to the control room enveloped beyond the originally analyzed amount.

The licensee corrective actions, as described in the LER, included interim controls and instructions for operation of the system and were to include revisions to the surveillance and emergency procedures. In addition, the licensee conducted an evaluation confirming that this condition did not preclude the fulfillment of the VC safety function to prevent dose to the control room personnel from exceeding General Design Criteria 19 limits. The result of this evaluation was documented in the licensee's supplement to the LER issued on May 23, 2003.

The inspectors determined that the licensee-identified issues were more than minor because they were caused by performance deficiencies associated with the attributes of procedure quality and design control. Both deficiencies affected the barrier integrity cornerstone objective of providing reasonable assurance that physical design barriers would protect the operators from radio-nuclide releases caused by accidents or events. The findings would also become more safety significant if left uncorrected because the probability of an actual event which would result in a high radiation condition in the outside air would have increased with time. The inspectors determined that having the VC system filtration actuation system inoperable in excess of the TS allowed outage time during surveillance testing of the VC system also affected the cross-cutting area of human performance because operators failed to recognize the surveillance test alignment resulted in the inoperability of the system.

The findings were determined to have very low safety significance (Green) in the SDP Phase 1 Screening Worksheet of Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix A, Attachment 1, because the findings only represented a degradation of the radiological barrier function provided for the control room. The licensee entered these issues into its action tracking system as CR 141542. The enforcement aspects of these licensee-identified findings are described in Section 4OA7. This LER is closed.

- .2 (Closed) Licensee Event Report 50-454-2003-001-01: "Control Room Ventilation System Alignment Results in Inoperable Radiation Monitors Without Taking Required Actions per the Technical Specifications." Supplement 1. The licensee submitted Supplement 1 to LER 50-454-2003-001 to provide confirmation that the condition would not have resulted in exceeding the General Design Criteria 19 limits. The inspectors reviewed the information provided in Supplement 1 to LER 50-454-2003-00, and the supporting documentation and acknowledged that the General Design Criteria limits would not have been exceeded. Supplement 1 of the LER did not raise any new issues or change the conclusions of the initial review which is documented in Section 4OA3.1 of this report. This LER is closed.
- .3 (Closed) Licensee Event Report (LER) 50-454-2003-002-00: "Two Main Steam Safety Valves Lift Setpoints Found Out of Tolerance During Testing Due to Unknown Causes." On February 16, 2003, during mid cycle testing, the licensee identified two of six main steam safety valves (MSSV) on Unit 1 were below the Technical Specification limit of 3 percent of lift pressure. After identifying each test failure, the licensee entered into the appropriate Technical Specification LCO, adjusted the MSSV setpoint, and retested the valve satisfactorily within the TS allowed outage time. The licensee evaluated the impact of the two MSSVs being out of tolerance and concluded that the condition was bounded by the safety analysis report. In addition, the licensee was assessing whether this condition resulted from differences between the in situ testing performed in February 2003 and the vendors testing used following refurbishment using a steam boiler, which will be documented in a supplement to the LER. The inspectors reviewed and concurred with the licensee's evaluation. The inspectors determined that the issue has greater significance than a similar issue described in IMC 612, "Power Reactor Inspection Reports," Appendix E Section 2.a. This licensee-identified issue involved a violation of TS 3.7.1, Main Steam Safety Valves. The enforcement aspects of this issue are discussed in Section 4OA7. This LER is closed.

4OA4 Cross-Cutting Findings

- .1 A finding described in Section 1R12 of this report had as its primary cause a human performance deficiency, in that, the licensee did not adequately specify in procurement documentation an adequate test method for determining total water concentration. This resulted in additional unavailability time of the emergency diesel while the hydraulic oil was replaced unnecessarily.
- .2 A finding described in Section 1R13 of this report had as its primary cause a human performance deficiency, in that, despite the availability of a computer software tool that would have indicated additional evaluation was required additional risk evaluation was not completed.

- .3 A finding described in Section 4OA2.2 of this report had as its primary cause a human performance deficiency, in that, during previous maintenance activities, supervisors and workers did not uphold FME standards resulting in a steam generator tube leak.
- .4 A licensee identified violation described in Section 4OA3.1 of this report had as its primary cause a human performance deficiency, in that, operators failed to recognize that the surveillance test alignment resulted in inoperability of the VC system.

4OA6 Meetings

.1 Exit Meeting

The inspectors presented the inspection results to Mr. R. Lopriore and other members of licensee management at the conclusion of the inspection on June 26, 2003. The inspectors asked the licensee whether any materials examined during the inspection should be considered proprietary. No proprietary information was identified.

.2 Interim Exit Meetings

An interim exit was conducted for:

- Safeguards Inspection with Mr. S. Stimac on May 16, 2003.
- Radiation Protection inspection with Mr. S. Kuczynski on May 23, 2003.

4OA7 Licensee-Identified Violations

The following violations of very low safety significance were identified by the licensee and are violations of NRC requirements which meets the criteria of Section VI of the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG 1600, for being dispositioned as Non-Cited Violations

Cornerstone: Mitigating Systems

- 1. Technical Specification 3.7.1 required that MSSVs be operable as specified in TS Table 3.7.1-2 or within four hours reduce power to less than or equal to that specified in TS Table 3.7.1-1. Furthermore, if this action was not completed in the specified time, the plant was required to be in Mode 3 in six hours. Contrary to this, as described in LER 50-454-2003-002-00, on February 16, 2003, the lift settings for MSSV 1MS015B and 1MS013A were found below the three percent limit allowed in TS Table 3.7.1-2. Based on engineering judgement, it lis likely that the valves were outside of the TS value in excess of the time allowed by the TS limiting condition for operation. This violation is of very low safety significance because the condition was bounded by the safety analysis report. The licensee entered this event into its action tracking system as CR 144797.
- 2. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criteria III, "Design Control," requires, in part, that measures be established to assure that applicable regulatory requirements and

the design basis are correctly translated into specifications, procedures, and instructions. Specifically, NUREG-0876, "Safety Evaluation Report related to the operation of Byron Station, Units 1 and 2", Sections 6.3.2 and 8.4.3 took credit for power lockout of the Spurious Valve Actuation Group (SVAG) valves in accordance with Branch Technical Position BTP ICSB 18 (PSB), "Application of the Single Failure Criterion to Manually-Controlled Electrically-Operated Valves". Per Branch Technical Position BTP ICSB 18 (PSB), manually-controlled "active" valves (i.e. valves that are required to open or close in various safety system operational sequences) were required to be operated from the main control room. Contrary to the above, on or before October 23, 1985, the design basis for the Units 1 and 2 electrical systems related to lockout power to manually controlled electrically-operated valves was not correctly translated into specifications, procedures, and instructions. Specifically, this design basis was not correctly translated into the Emergency Operating Procedures, which required local operator actions, not control room actions, to energize the motor control center compartments for certain "active" SVAG valves of the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS). This violation was considered more than minor because it was related to the procedure quality that affected the reliability to operate mitigating system equipment, and was determined to be of very low safety significance because subsequent evaluation concluded it did not result in a loss of ECCS equipment function. The licensee entered this event into its action tracking system as CR 122608.

Cornerstone: Barrier Integrity

- 1. TS 3.3.7 required that two detectors in each train of the VC system filtration actuation system be operable for gaseous activity during operations in Modes 1 through 5 and in Mode 6 during movement of irradiated fuel assemblies. Contrary to this, as described in LER 50-454-2003-001-00, none of the gaseous detectors and neither train of the VC system filtration system actuation system were operable, under certain conditions, from the beginning of plant operations. This was due to inadequate flow past the detectors and the inability to automatically align as intended in the case of high radiation in the outside air if the system was already manually aligned to the turbine building makeup air source. The licensee entered this event into its action tracking system as CR 141542. This finding is of very low safety significance because it only represented a degradation of the radiological barrier function provided for the control room.
- 2. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criteria III, "Design Control," required, in part, that design control measures shall provide for verifying and checking the adequacy of design. Contrary to the above on or about August 21, 1986, the licensee failed to verify the adequacy of the design with respect to the impact on control room habitability as noted in Engineering Design Change P-639, "Delete Safety Injection Signal from Miscellaneous Ventilation System, Control Room Office HVAC,". The licensee entered this event into its action tracking system as CR 141542. This finding is of very low safety significance because it only

30 Enclosure

represented a degradation of the radiological barrier function provided for the control room.

31 Enclosure

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

KEY POINTS OF CONTACT

Licensee

- R. Lopriore, Site Vice President
- S. Kuczynski, Plant Manager
- B. Adams, Engineering Director
- D. Combs, Site Security Manager
- D. Goldsmith, Radiation Protection Director
- W. Grundmann, Regulatory Assurance Manager
- D. Hoots, Maintenance Manager
- S. Kerr, Chemistry Manager
- R. Kolo, Training Manager
- R. Krohn, Security Analyst
- S. Leach, Radiation Protection Instrument Coordinator
- M. Mareth, Site Security Force Manager, TWC
- E. Steinke, Chemistry
- S. Stimac, Operations Manager
- D. Thompson, Lead HP Technical

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

A. Stone, Chief, Projects Branch 3, Division of Reactor Projects

LIST OF ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED

Opened and Closed

05000455/2003003-01	NCV	Failure to specify an adequate emergency diesel generator Hydraulic oil sampling process which led to increased unavailability of the diesel.
05000454/2003003-02	NCV	Failure to assess risk associated with the isolation of a pressurizer PORVafter closing its associated block valve.
05000455/2003003-03	FIN	Failure of supervisors and workers to uphold the foreign material exclusion standards resulted in a steam generator tube leak.
Closed		
50-454-2003-001-00	LER	Control room ventilation system alignment results in inoperable radiation monitors without taking required actions per the technical specifications.

1 Attachment

50-454-2003-001-01	LER	Control room ventilation system alignment results in inoperable radiation monitors without taking required actions per the technical specifications.
50-454-2003-002-00	LER	Two main steam safety valves lift setpoints found out of tolerance during testing due to unknown causes.

Discussed

None

LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

1R01 Adverse Weather Protection

CR 157951; Unplanned Entry into Byron Abnormal Operating Procedure (0BOA) ENV-4, Seismic, May 8, 2003

WO 570291; 0BOSR Z.7.A.2-1, Deepwell Pump Operability Monthly Surveillance, May 22, 2003

CR 160235; Focus Area Self Assessment (FASA) on Summer Readiness, May 23, 2003 CR 162379; Zone 80 Housekeeping Walkdown/NRC Loose Debris Concern, June 6, 2003 ¹

OP-AA-108-109; Attachment 3 - System Engineering System Readiness Review for Circulating Water, and Essential Service Water, Revision 1 OP-AA-108-109; Seasonal Readiness, Revision 1

1R04 Equipment Alignment

Technical Specifications

CR 155679; Failure to Log Bus 241 and 242 Load in NSO Log, April 22, 2003

BOP Ap-54; Restoring Unit 2 System Auxiliary Transformer 242-1 and 242-2 During Power Operation, Revision 8

Byron's Archival Operations Narrative Logs; April 21,2003 and before April 24, 2003 Regulatory Guide 1.33; Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation), Revision 2

Byron Station One Line Diagram; 6E-0-4001, Revision L

BOP AP-E2; Unit 2 Auxiliary Power Electrical Lineup, Revision 4

BOP AP-E1; Unit 1 Auxiliary Power Electrical Lineup, Revision 6

BOP AP-53; Isolating Unit 2 System Auxiliary Transformer 242-1 and 242-2 While Unit is at Power, Revision 12

CR 157088; 1B Seal Injection Valves Found Not Fully Closed, (NRC Identified), May 1, 2003

BOP AP-E1; Auxiliary Power Unit 1Electrical Lineup, Revision 6

BOP AP-E2; Auxiliary Power Unit 2 Electrical Lineup, Revision 4

BOP AF-M1A; Auxiliary Feedwater System Train A Valve Lineup, Revision 3

BOP AF-E1A; Auxiliary Feedwater System Train A Electrical Lineup, Revision 1

BOP RH-E2A; Residual Heat Removal System Train A Electrical Lineup, Revision 2

BOP RH-M2A; Residual Heat Removal System Train A Valve Lineup, Revision 5

BOP CW-E1; Circulating Water System Electrical Lineup, Revision 8

BOP CW-M1; Circulating Water System Valve Lineup, Revision 22

M-144; Diagram of Circulating Water, Revision T

1R05 Fire Protection

Byron/Braidwood Stations Fire Protection Report; Amendment 20

BAP 1100-7; Fire Prevention for Transient Combustibles, Revision 10

BAP 1100-7A1; Minor Transient Combustibles, Revision 1

BAP 1100-9; Control, Use, and Storage of Flammable and Combustible Liquids and Aerosols. Revision 6

BAP 1100-16; Administrative Controls for Required Fire/Flood Watch Inspections, Revision 6

OP-AA-201-003; Fire Drill Scenario 6, Clean and Dirty Oil Storage Tank Room, Revision

OP-AA-201-003; Fire Drill Record, Clean/Dirty Oil Storage Tank Room, Revision 5, May 4, 2003

OP-AA-201-004; Fire Prevention for Hot Work, Revision 5

Hot Work Permit; Work Request 99280629,07,31,09,33, June 2, 2003

CR 159429; RCP Oil Addition While RCP is Running, May 18, 2003

Nuclear Event Report; LS-03-026 Green Sherwin Williams Epoxy Floor Paint Flame

Spreading Rating May Exceed Noncombustible Limits, March 19, 2003

CR 162836; Procedure Compliance on OP-AA-201-004, Fire Prevention for Hot Work, June 11, 2003 ¹

1R06 Flood Protection Measures

CR 116930; The Equipment Drain Line in the 2A and 2B Auxiliary Feedwater Pumps is Plugged, July 24, 2002

CR 157064; Housekeeping Items Identified, May 02, 2003 ¹

Calculation HELB-8; Confirmation of Safe Shutdown Capability After Auxiliary Building Flooding, Revision 1, April 15, 1986

CR 121511; 2B Pump Room Drain Completely Plugged, September 4, 2002

CR 133982; Long Standing Equipment Deficiencies, December 4, 2002

Work Request 980132919; ESS SW Pump Leak Detect Sump, Every 3 Year Calibration of Flood Level Switch, June 9, 2000

BAR 0-38-D9; Fire Pump DSCH Press Lo-2, Revision 1

Internal Flooding Analysis Notebook Byron and Braidwood Station, BB PRA-012, Revision 2

BAP 1100-3T2; Plant Barrier Impairment Log, Revision 2

OBOSR WF-SA1; Auxiliary Building Floor Drain Semi-Annual Surveillance, Revision 1 WR 990037231 01; Auxiliary and FHB Floor Drains System - Hydrolyze U0, U1, U2 Auxiliary Drains, June 27, 2000

WO 554211; Vault/Cable Inspect

WO 99210103; Perform Calibration Check on 1A Steam Generator Pump Leak Detector, July 20, 2002

WO 99208161; Perform Calibration Check on 2A Steam Generator Pump Leak Detector Sump Level Switch, October 21, 2002

WO 363588; Perform Calibration Check on 1B Steam Generator Pump Leak Detector Sump Level Switch, March 19, 2002

Calculation WR-BY-PF-10; Effect of Local Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) At Plant Site, Revision 5

Calculation 3C8-1281-001; Auxiliary Building Flood Level Calculations, Revision 11 WO 476900; Auxiliary Building Floor Drain Semi-Annual Surveillance, March 17, 2003 PIF 454-201-95-1058; Open Ventilation System Connecting the Auxiliary Building Drain Room and Auxiliary Building Equipment Room to the SX Pump Room All Three Rooms are Designed to be Watertight Compartments

NRC Information Notice 2002-12; Submerged Safety-Related Electrical Cables, March 21, 2002,

Regulatory Guide 1.59; Design Basis Floods for Nuclear Power Plants, Revision 2, August 1977

1R07 Heat Sink Performance

CR 141451; As Found Condition of 2A SX Pump Lube Oil Cooler (2SX01AA),

January 28, 2003

CR 137005; As Found Acceptance Criteria for 2SX01AB Was Not Met,

December 20, 2002

1R11 Licensed Operator Regualification Program

Scenario Number 03-3-1 Revision 0, April 10, 2003

NSP EP-AA-1002; Radiological Emergency Plan Annex for Byron Station, Revision 14 CR 128854; Ineffective CA for Potential to Air Bind both CS Pumps, October 25, 2002

CR 158917; Procedure Deficiencies Noted During LORT, May 14, 2003

1R12 Maintenance Effectiveness

CR 156866; Hi-2 Level Isolation on the 2D First Stage Reheater Drain Tk, May 1, 2003

CR 160689; 1D Second Stage RDT Emergency AOV Non-Responsive, May 25, 2003

CR 054086; Failure of 27A HP FW Heater Normal Drain Valve, June 5, 2003

CR 128074; 1HD005D Stroke Failure, October 18, 2002

CR 152058; 1HD041B; Failure fecting 16B FW Heater Level Control, April 2, 2003

CR 156930; Air Regulator Spring Range Can affect AOV Operating Margins, April 7, 2003

CR 053845; 2HD008A (27A FWH Outlet Control) Sticky Operation, June 3, 2003

CR 119978; OC 254-Heater Drain Temperature Sensitivity, Assignment 08

CR 056025; 1C First Stage RHDT Normal Level Controller, June 27, 2001

CR 077953; 1HD046A/B Flow Control Problems, October 5, 2001

CR 133062; MSR Shell Emergency Drain Drift Open-Degraded Level Controller, November 21, 2002

CR 110295; Unit 1 Heater Drain Valves Oscillation, June 1, 2002

CR 157388; HD Flow Control Valve (HD046s) Anomalies, May 5, 2003

CR 156304; Air Regulator Setting Spec on Masoneilan Drawing is Not High Enough, April 7, 2003

CR 157305; HD Level Control Issues from Week of 4/1/03, April 3, 2003

List of Open and Closed Condition Reports Associated with Heater Drains, April 2001 - April 2003

CR B2000-03153; PCM Recommended PM Frequency for HD AOVs Would Not Prevent Failures, October 17, 2000

Purchase Requisition 368093; Testing of Lubricants & Diesel Fuel, January 27, 1999

Memo on Test Results; Mobil Devac 1340, June 17, 2003

Memo; Mobil Delac 1340 Sample Results

Exelon PowerLabs; Analysis of Mobil Delvac 1340 Engine Oil, June 17, 2003 Procedure Evaluation 15612; Requirements for Commercial Grade Dedication of Catalog ID 3940-2, March 23, 2002

Procurement Evaluation 3585

CR 161868; Mechanical Governor Oil Sight Glass Filled to the Top, June 4, 2003

Maintenance Rule-Performance Criteria, System Heater Drains

Maintenance Rule Expert Panel Scoping Determination, System Heater Drains

Byron 02 Monthly Ship System Report; Heater Drains, March 2003

Byron 01 Monthly Ship System Report; Heater Drains, March 2003

Temporary Leak Repair Permit; Top and Bottom Flange on 1LC-HD101, April 7, 2003 CC-AA-404; Maintenance Specification: Application Selection, Evaluation and Control of Temporary Leak Repairs, Revision 4

Regulatory Guide 1.160; Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants, Revision 2

NUMARC 93-01; Industry Guideline For Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants, Revision 2

WR 97314 & 97316; Trouble Shoot Temperature Sensitive Component, May 6, 2003

CR B2001-02569; 2HD008A Diaphragm Failure During Restoration, June 5, 2001

AR 119978; OC 265-HD046A/B Oscillations, Assignment 12

AR 89643; Perform Review to Improve Level Controller Life Action from CCA 144056, Assignment 17

AR 89643; Contact Masoneillan About Bellows Gaskets, Assignment 10

AR 89643; Evaluate New PM Activity on Level controllers, Assignment 11

AR 89643; HD Level Controller Inspection During B1R12, Assignment 9

CR 148263; Unexpected Alarm-12C Heater Emergency Drain Valve Open, March 9, 2003

CR 145948; "C4" Code Removed from WO and Work Rescheduled, February 21, 2003

LS-AA-125-1003; Apparent Cause Evaluation Manual, Revision 2

LS-AA-125-1002; Common Cause Analysis Manual, Revision 2

CR 144056; Possible Increasing Trend of Number of Level Controller Wrs, February 10, 2003

CR 135536; 2C HD PP Secured After Abnormal PP S/U, December 13, 2002

5

1R13 Maintenance Risk Assessments And Emergent Work Control

Updated Final Safety Analysis Report

Byron Operating Department Policy 400-47; April 7, 2001, Revision 2

NSP ER-AA-600; Risk Management, Revision 2

NSP ER-AA-600 Risk Management, Revision 2

NSP ER-AA-310; Implementation of the Maintenance Rule, Revision 2

NSP WC-AA-101; On-line Work Control Process, Revision 6

Byron Station One Line Diagram; 6E-0-4001, Revision L

On-Line Maintenance Impact Review; Schedule Dates April 21, 2003 to April 27, 2003, Revision 6

On-Line Maintenance Schedule Review; Schedule Dates April 21, 2003 to April 27, 2003, Revision 6

On-Line Maintenance Approval Form; Unit 2 SAT 242-1 and SAT 242-2, Revision 6 Risk Configuration Sheet - Week of April 21, 2003

BOP AP-53; Isolating Unit 2 System Auxiliary Transformer 242-1 and 242-2 While Unit is at Power, Revision 12

ER-AA-600-1011; Risk Management Program, Revision 1

WC-AA-101; On-Line Work Control Process, Revision 6

Byron's Archival Operations Narrative Logs; April 21, 2003

Policy No: 400-47; Byron Operating Department Policy Statement, Revision 2 CR 132702; Work Carried Into November 21, 2002 Without Proper Online Risk

Documentation, November 21, 2002

CR 133737; Online Risk Not Evaluated for Planned Activities, November 27, 2002

CR 153737; PMT Tests Are Not Screened for Production/Safety Risk, April 14, 2003

CR 154998; Potential of Averse Impact on Configuration Control, April 21, 2003

CR 158258; Protected Equipment Program, May 11, 2003

CR 158362; Online Risk Not Evaluated when PZR PORV Block vlv was Closed, May 5, 2003 ¹

CR 161143; Online Risk Not Evaluated for Emergent Failure, June 2, 2003

<u>1R14</u> Personnel Performance During Non-Routine Evolutions

CR 150984; 1FW009B Oil Sample Color Not as Expected, March 27, 2003

CR 159391; 2A LP Turbine GS Problems, May 18, 2003

CR 159598; Unexpected Alarm Bank D Withdraw Limit Rod Stop C-11, May 18, 2003

Unit 2 Ramp Timeline For 2A RCP Oil Addition

MA-AA-716-230-1002; Vibration Analysis/Acceptance Guideline, Revision 0

MA-AA-716-230-1005; CSI RBMWare Database Setup Guideline, Revision 0

2BGP 100-4; Power Descension, Revision 20

BOP FW-2b; Shutdown of a Unit 2 Turbine Driven Main Feedwater Pump, Revision 8

1R15 Operability Evaluations

Technical Specifications

Technical Specification Basis

Technical Requirement Manual

Updated Final Safety Analysis Report

OD 03-002; Incorrect Hydraulic Fluid Found in the 1B Feedwater Isolation Valve and Unit 2 Main Steam Isolation Valves, Revision 2

ASTM D 6304-00; Standard Test Method for Determination of Water in Petroleum Products, Lubricating Oils, and Additives by Coulometric Karl Fischer Titration Test Report (PO 4441); Governor Oil Test Results, June 17, 2003

ASTM D1744-92; Test Method for Water in Liquid Petroleum Products by Karl Fischer Reagent

EC 342220; Radiological Source Terms-Design Basis and Realistic, Revision 0 Regulatory Guide 1.183; Alternative Radiological Source Terms for Evaluating Design Basis Accidents at Nuclear Power Reactors

Regulatory Guide 1.4; Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Potential Radiological Consequences of a Loss of Coolant Accident for Pressurize Water Reactors, Revision 2 Operability Evaluation 03-003, Operability of Spurious Valve Actuation Group (SVAG) Valves in the Emergency Core Cooling System, Revision 0

Operability Evaluation 03-003, Operability of Spurious Valve Actuation Group (SVAG) Valves in the Emergency Core Cooling System, Revision 2

Branch Technical Position ICSB 18 (PSB) Application of the Single Failure Criterion to Manually-Controlled Electrically-Operated Valves, Revision 2

NRC Inspection Manual Part 9900: Technical Guidance; Operable/Operability:

Ensuring the Functional Capability of a System or Component

NRC Inspection Manual Part 9900: Technical Guidance; Resolution of Degraded and Nonconforming Conditions, October 8, 1997

NRC Generic Letter No 91-18, Revision 1; Information to Licensees Regarding NRC Inspection Manual Section on Resolution of Degraded and Nonconforming Conditions NRC Inspection Manual Part 9900: Technical Guidance; Maintenance - Preconditioning of Structures, Systems, and Components Before Determining Operability

WO 521074; OP Sample FWIV Hydraulic Fluid, March 31, 2003

MA-AA-716-230-1001; Used Oil Data Interpretation Guidelines, Revision 1

Position Paper; MSIV Technical Specification and Technical Requirement Manual (TRM) Entry, Revision 1

Project Number BYR-67605; Unit 2 MSIVs EH Fluid: 2MS001A, 2MS001B, 2MS001C & 2MS001D, June 13, 2003

OD 03-002; Incorrect Hydraulic Fluid Found in the 1B Feedwater Isolation Valve and Unit 2 Main Steam Isolation Valves, Revision 1

BOP MS-5; MSIV Accumulator Operability Check, Revision 11

1BOSR MS-W1; Unit One MSIV Checks Weekly Surveillance, Revision 5

CR 154855; Unit 1 MSIV Standby Accumulators Found Out of Tolerance, April 22, 2003 1BOL 7.2; Main Steam Isolation Valves (MSIVs) Technical Specification LCO 3.7.2, Revision 3

CR 150984; 1FW009B Oil Sample Color Not as Expected, March 27, 2003

CR 154888; Typo Identified in Operability Evaluation 03-003, April 18, 2003

CR 122608; OE14519-Westinghouse PWRs, September 11, 2002

CR 152573; Fyrguel in Main Steam Isolation Valve

CR 156628; Hydraulic Oil Level Is Not Visible in the Sightglass (1D MSIV), April 30, 2003

CR 157921: Issues Identified with 1D MSIV Troubleshooting Plan. May 8, 2003

CR 158801; 1D MSIV Standby N2 Press Loss Troubleshooting Results, May 9, 2003

CR 161101; Potential Effect of Fuel Rod Burst Calculation on SVAG Valve Operations Evaluation, May 29, 2003 ¹

Cr 161868; Mechanical Governor Oil Sight Glass Filled to the Top, June 4, 2003

7

CR 162279; 2B DG High Water Content in Mechanical Governor Oil, June 6, 2003 NUREG-1465; Accident Source Terms for Light-Water Nuclear Power Plants, February 1995,

CR 163059; Oil Program Questioning Attitude Identifies Testing Issue, June 12, 2003 Woodward Vendor Manual 82340C; EGB-Proportional Governor/Actuator with Hydraulic Amplifier Systems

EC 343232; Evaluation of Governor Oil Water Content

MA-AA-716-230-1001; Used Oil Data Interpretation Guideline, Revision 1

1BEP-1; Loss of Reactor or Secondary Coolant-Unit 1, Revision 103

1BEP-0; Reactor Trip or Safety Injection-Unit 1, Revision 104

1BEP ES-1.2: Post Loca Cooldown and Depressurization-Unit 1. Revision 102

50.59 Review; Revision of BEP/BwEP-0, -1, ES-1.2, ES-1.3, to Implement Changes to SVAG Valve Reenergization, Revision 1

AR 163399; Hot Rod Burst Phenomenon Poorly Described in the UFSAR, June 16, 2003

Validation/Verification Report; ½ BEP ES-1.3 Reactor Trip or Safety Injection, May 31, 2003

CR 163402; Minor Errors Found in UFSAR and TS Bases, June 13, 2003 1

1BEP ES-1.3; Transfer to Cold Leg Recirculation-Unit 1, Revision 100

CR 162941; SVAG Valve Operability (CR122608), June 11, 2003

CR 164099; CR 163263 Rebuttal, June 19, 2003

1BEP ES1.3, Transfer to Cold Leg Recirculation, Revision 1 (October 23, 1985)

1BEP ES1.3, Transfer to Cold Leg Recirculation Following Loss of Reactor Coolant - Unit 1, Revision 1 (March 31, 1984)

10 CFR 50.59 Evaluation TI-92-0235, UFSAR Change to Chapter 8 - DRP 4-033, August 22, 1991

CR 162279; 2B DG High Water Content in Mechanical Governor Oil, June 6, 2003

CR 163059; Oil Program Questioning Attitude Identifies Testing Issue, June 12, 2003

CR 163263, Rad Waste Operator Assuming Se Shutdown Duties, June 14, 2003

Unit ½ Standing Order 03-026; SVAG Valve Emergency Procedure Changes and R/W Operator Readiness, May 31, 2003

Cold Leg Recirculation Response Time Summary, May 28, 2002

AR 121599; Byron Station SME Review of OE 14519, September 4, 2002

EC 342220; Radiological Source Terms - Design Basis and Realistic, Revision 2

Non-Licensed Operator Auxiliary Building Unit 1 Daily Logs, April 10, 2003

NUREG 0876; Safety Evaluation Report Related to the Operation of Byron Station, Units 1 and 2

Non-Licensed Operator Auxiliary Building Unit 2 Daily Logs, April 10, 2003

1R16 Operator Workarounds

OP-AA-102-103; Operator Work-Around Program, Revision 0

CR B2001-02461; Low Boration Flow Control Problem Continues to Produce Repeat Work Requests and Ers, May 25, 2001

CR B2001-02424; Unit 2 Primary Water Flow Controller Output Insufficient in Alt Dilute Mode, May 23, 2001

CR 128728; Collective Effectiveness Review for SOER 94-01 Ineffective, October 22, 2002

8

Assignment 65; Review OWA and Operator Challenges for Change to C4 Reference 11/04/02 PHC Meeting Minutes, January 31, 2003

CR 151097; Reactivity Management Concerns with VCT Makeup, March 27, 2003

CR 136545; Unable to Monitor Dilutions/Borations, December 18, 2002

First Quarter 2003 Operator Work Around Aggregate Impact Assessment, April 16, 2003

AR 119978; OC 254-Heater Drain Temperature Sensitivity, Assignment 08

AR 119978; OC 265-HD046A/B Oscillations, Assignment 12

CR 145948; "C4" Code Removed from WO and Work Rescheduled, February 21, 2003

1R19 Post Maintenance Testing

Technical Specifications

Technical Requirement Manual

Updated Final Safety Analysis Report

Schematic Diagram Containment Spray Pumps 2A & 2B Sump Suction Valves 2CS009A&B, Revision E

Diagram of Safety Injection-M-136-Sheet Number 4, Revision AP

Byron-Unit 2 Diagram of Containment Spray, M-129-Sheet Number 1B, Revision AJ

CR 157442; 1TIC;DG247B Has a Broken Probe Shield, May 6, 2003

CR 157632 DG Jacket Water HX Floating Channels Different Between U1/2, May 7, 2003

CR 157706; Relief Valves Lift During Transfer of Fuel Oil, May 5, 2003

CR 157727; Failed Agastat Relays in 1PL08J

CR 157927; 1B EDG 9L Cylinder Exhaust Valve Crosshead Fails to Return, May 8, 2003

CR 157863; Thickness Exam of Visually Identified Areas in 1DO02TB Tank, May 7, 2003

CR 158273; 1B DG Air Valves Left Out of Position, May 12, 2003

CR 158708; Difference Between 1BOSR DG-3 and Plant Label, May 14, 2003 ¹

CR 157727; Failed Agastat Relays in 1PL08J, May 5, 2003

CR 159259; Possibility of Inaccurate Level Indication, May 15, 2003

CR 162697; 2SX2082D Stem Twisted - Valve hard to Determine, June 10, 2003

ASME Code XI Oma-1988; Inservice Testing of Valves in Light Water Reactor Power Plants, Part 10

WO 543389; 1B Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Quarterly Surveillance (Both VC TRNS Must Be Available), May 16, 2003

WO 396026; 1D0020B IST Relief Valve Test, May 14, 2003

1BOSR DG-3; Unit 1 Diesel Generator Relay Operation Verification, Revision 0

WO 402410; Perform Non-Intrusive Cleaning and Inspection, June 12, 2003

WO 402411; Perform Non-Intrusive Cleaning and Inspection, June 12, 2003

WO 402412; Perform Non-Intrusive Cleaning and Inspection, June 12, 2003

WO 402414; Perform Non-Intrusive Cleaning and Inspection, June 12, 2002

WO 432872; 1B Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Engine Driven Cooling Water Pump Discharge Check Valve, May 19, 2003

WO 470176; 2CS011B IST Disassembly and Inspection, June 10, 2003

WO 554828; 2BOSR 0.5-2.CS.1-2, STT for 2CS001B, 009B & 019B, June 10, 2003

1BVSR 4.f.2-11;Unit 1 Nonroutine Visual Examination (VT-2) of ASME Class 1, 2 and 3 Components at Nominal Operating Pressures, Revision 4

1BOSR 7.5.3-2; Unit 1 Diesel- Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Quarterly Surveillance, Revision 7

2BOSR 0.5-2.CS.1-2; Unit 2 Train B Containment Spray System Valve Stroke Test, Revision 2

WO 524687; Preventive Maintenance for Essential Service Cooling Tower Fan, March 17, 2003.

WO 543781; 1B Auxiliary Feedwater ASME Surveillance (Quarterly), May 19, 2003 1BVSR 5.5.8..1-2; Unit 1 ASME Surveillance Requirements for the Diesel-Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump, Revision 13

WO 524687 Task 05; Check Vibration in High Speed, May 22, 2003

WO 524687 Task 04; Run Fan in High/Low Speed for Vibration Check, May 22, 2003 OG Essential Service Water Cooling Fan Vibration Monitoring History; May 21, 2003 Byron Site Policy Memo 600.12; Preconditioning, Revision 3

NUREG 1482; Guidelines for Inservice Testing at Nuclear Power Plants, April 1995 NRC Information Notice 97-16; Preconditioning of Plant Structures, Systems, and Components Before ASME Code Inservice Testing or Technical Specification Surveillance Testing, April 4, 1997

NRC Inspection Manual Part 9900: Technical Guidance; Maintenance-Preconditioning of Structures, Systems, and Components Before Determining Operability, September 28, 1998

AR 164051; Vague Post-Maintenance Testing Instructions, June 19, 2003 1

1R22 Surveillance Testing

Technical Specifications

Technical Specifications Basis

Updated Final Safety Analysis Report

Calculation Number BYR-97-493; Changes of AC Motor Operated Valve Stroke Times Due to Stem Lubrication, Revision 0

1BOSR 8.1-2.2; Unit 1B Diesel Generator Operability Surveillance, Revision 12

WO 517363; 1B Diesel Generator Operability Semi-Annual Surveillance, May 12, 2003

Performance Trend Data for 2CS001B; August 2001 to March 2003

Votes Test Results Summary; Valve 2CS001B, June 10, 2003

Votes Test Results Summary; Valve 2CS001B, November 9, 1994

1BOSR 3.2.3-1; Unit One Undervoltage Simulated Start of 1A Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Monthly Surveillance, Revision 2

Schematic Diagram ESF Sequencing and Actuation Cabinet Train A 1PA13J, 6E-1-4030EF01, Revision V

UFSAR Figure 6.3-3; Residual Heat Removal Pump Performance Curve

Schematic Diagram Auxiliary Feedwater Pump 101PA, 6E-1-403001, Revision M

Schematic Diagram Auxiliary Feedwater Pump 1A & 1B Discharge Test Valves 1004A & 1004B, 6E-1-403014, Revision N

ASME Code XI Oma-1988; Inservice Testing of Valves in Light Water Reactor Power Plants, Part 10

WO 560535; Undervoltage Simulated Start of 1A Pump Monthly Surveillance, April 28, 2003

WO 540626; ASME Surveillance Requirements For Centrifugal Charging Pump, April 30, 2003

WO 554828; 2BOSR 0.5-2.CS.1-2, STT for 2CS001B, 009B & 019B, June 10, 2003 2BOSR 0.5-2.CS.1-2; Unit 2 Train B Containment Spray System Valve Stroke Test, Revision 2

Byron's Operations Narrative Logs, March 31, 2003

BOP CV-29; Operation of the CV Pump on Recirculation, Revision 3

BOP SI-1; Safety Injection System Startup, Revision 10

1BVSR 5.2.4-4; Unit 1 ASME Surveillance Requirements for Residual Heat Removal Pump 1RH01PB, Revision 8

1BVSR 5.2.4-5; Unit 1 Train A, ASME Surveillance Requirements for Centrifugal Charging Pump 1A and Chemical and Volume Control System Valve Stroke Test, Revision 6

Operations Narrative Logs, January 31, 2003

BAP 500-4TI Qualifications

CR 156505; Radiation Rope Barrier on Rotating Equipment Sht, April 30, 2003

Condition Report 156497; Charging Pump Local Vents Design - Contamination and Air Detection

CR 157708; EDMS Document Incorrect, NRC Identified, May 7, 2003

CR 161289; Typographical Found in 1BVSR 5.2.4-4, May 30, 2003 ¹

2BVSR 5.2.4-2; Unit 2 ASME Surveillance Requirements for Safety Injection Pump 2S101PB, Revision 8

Safety Injection Pump Performance Curve, Revision 7

Drawing M-64 - Diagram of Chemical & Volume Control & Boron Thermal Regeneration, Sheet 3A

ER-AA-321-1003; Testing Methods for Inservice Testing of Pumps and Valves, Rev. 0

ER-AA-321-1005; Condition Monitoring for Inservice Testing of Check Valves, Rev. 0

ER-AA-321-1001; Inservice Testing Bases Document Format and Content, Revision 0

ER-AA-321; Administrative Requirements for Inservice Testing, Revision 3

Centrifugal Charging Pump Performance Curve, Revision 7

CR 158566; BAP 500-rT1 (Test Equipment & Type a Surveillances) Qual Matrix per the NRC, Annual Review Expiration Date Had Not Been Changed for 2003, May 5, 2003¹

WO 541169; ASME Surveillance Reg for RH Pump 1RH01PB, May 8, 2003

WO 543781; 1B Auxiliary Feedwater ASME Surveillance (Quarterly), May 19, 2003

WO 545373; ASME Surveillance Requirements for Safety Injection Pump, May 9, 2003

Diagram of Safety Injection M-136; Sheet Number 1, Revision AU

Diagram of Safety Injection M-136; Sheet Number 3, Revision AJ

1BVSR 5.5.8..1-2; Unit 1 ASME Surveillance Requirements for the Diesel-Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump

11

Diagram of Safety Injection M-61: Sheet Number 4, Revision AN

Diagram of Residual Heat Removal M-62, Revision BA

Byron's Archival Operations Narrative Logs, February 6, 2003

Byron's Archival Operations Narrative Logs, February 4, 2003

Byron's Archival Operations Narrative Logs, December 4, 2002

CECO Test Report; QA 33222 BY-PreCalibration, May 7, 2003

CECO Test Report; QA 33185 BY-PreCalibration, May 7, 2003

CECO Test Report QA 33185 BY-Post Calibration, May 7, 2003 CECO Test Report QA 33222 BY-Post Calibration, May 7, 2003

BOP RH-2; Securing the RH System From Recirculation, Revision 6

BOP RH-5; RH System Startup for Recirculation, Revision 19

NRC Inspection Manual Part 9900: Technical Guidance, Maintenance - Preconditioning of Structures, Systems, and Components Before Determining Operability

Byron Site Policy Memo 600-12; Preconditioning, Revision 3

NRC Information Notice 97-16; Preconditioning of Plant Structures, Systems, and Components Before ASME Code Inservice Testing or Technical Specification Surveillance Testing, April 4, 1997

ER-AA-321; Administrative Requirements for Inservice Testing, Revision 3

IST-BYR-BDOC-V-06; Byron Inservice Testing Program Bases Document-Containment Spray, April 28, 2000

Schematic Diagram; Containment Spray Pumps 2A & 2B Sump Suction Valves 2CS009A & B, Revision E

Diagram of Safety Injection-M-136, Revision AP

Byron-Unit 2 Diagram of Containment Spray-M-129, Sheet No. 1B, Revision AJ

1R23 Temporary Plant Modifications

Technical Specifications

Updated Final Safety Analysis Report

CR 161576; UT Thickness Below Min Wall, June 3, 2003

NSP CC-AA-112; Temporary Configuration Changes

CR 157911; 1D Diesel Oil Storage Tank Coating Degradation, May 8, 2003

CR 157947; Calibration of 1TI-DG072B is not Satisfactory, May 7, 2003

CR 157937; Oil analysis Indicates Contamination in the 1DO11T, May 8, 2003

CR 157522; DO Tank Cleaning - Temporary Configuration Change, May 5, 2003

CR 157365; NRC's Questioning 1B DOST Pump Down Ops & Fire Loading, ¹ May 5, 2003

CR 161728; Unable to Restore SX Flow to the 2B Pump During RTS, June 2, 2003

CR 161740; 2SX173 Failed to Open During Return to Service, June 2, 2003

CC-MW-112-1001; Temporary Configuration Change Packages, Revision 3

CC-AA-112; Temporary Configuration Changes, Revision 6

CC-AA-403; Maintenance Specification: Selection and Control of Freeze Seal Location, Revision 1

Engineering Change 340908; Supply Design Sketch and Install Guard Plateafter Removal of Security Barrier for Temp Hose Installation in Support of 10 Year Cleaning and Inspection of 1B DO Storage Tank

Engineering Change 343159; TCCP to Remove Internals of Valve 2SX173, June 3, 2003

Fire Hazards Analysis, Amendment 20

Diagram of Essential Service Water M-126

NDE Report 2003-134; Post Freeze Seal, Line Number 2SXB7A-8", June 4, 2003

WO 582404; Establish Freeze Seal to Support Task-01, June 3, 2003

MA-MW-736-610; Application of Freeze Seal To All Piping, Revision 0

Engineering change 343158; MM Department Needs To Establish a Freeze on 2SXB7A-8", Approved June 3, 2003

1EP6 Drill Evaluation (71114.06)

CR 129672; Inadequate Understanding of Emergence Action Levels HA6/HU6,

October 31, 2002

CR 130303; EP PI for DEP Less than 93%, November 5, 2002

CR 146472; LORT Identified Issues, February 26, 2003

LS-AA-125-1003; Apparent Cause Evaluation Manual, Revision 2

NSP EP-MW-114-100; Nuclear Accident Reporting System, Attachment 1, May 19, 2003

NSP EP-AA-125-1002; R.EP.01 and EPPI.01a-c PI Summary, May 19, 2003

NSP EP-AA-1002; Radiological Emergency Plan Annex For Byron Station, Revision 14

2PS3 Radiological Environmental Monitoring and Radioactive Material Control Programs

2001 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report; dated May 13, 2002

2002 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report; dated May 15, 2003

1993 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report, Appendix VI "[REMP Vendor] Analytical Procedures;"; dated May 1994

CR 00110189; Positive Tritium Result in Upstream REMP Sample; dated May 31, 2002

CR 00119575; Error in 2001 Annual Radiological Environmental Report; dated August 15, 2002

CR 00123954; Volumetric Material Removed from the Auxiliary Building; dated September 21, 2002

CR 00125204; Radioactive Material Found Outside the RCA; dated September 30, 2002

CR 00131735; Potential False Positive REMP Sample Result; dated November 14, 2002

CR 00134024; Snubber Test Equipment Hard Drive Missing; dated December 4, 2002

CR 00159561; Environmental TLD Discrepancy; dated May 19, 2003

CR 00159595; Both the 30' and 250' Wind Speed Channels Inoperable; dated May 20, 2003

CY-AA-170-000; Radioactive Effluent and Environmental Monitoring Programs; Revision 1

CY-AA-170-100; Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program; Revision 1

EIML-SPM-1-14; [REMP] Sampling Procedures Manual - Environmental Incorporated Midwest Laboratory; Revision 6 (March 15, 2002)

Exelon Audit No. SR-2001-341; Nuclear Utilities Procurement Issues Committee (NUPIC) Joint Quality Assurance Program Audit Report - Environmental Incorporated Midwest Laboratory; dated July 27, 2001

Focus Area Self-Assessment Report: Radioactive Material Control Policies and Practices; dated November 11 - 13, 2002

Focus Area Self-Assessment Report: Radiological Environmental Monitoring and Offsite Dose Calculation Manual; dated March 17 - April 4, 2003

Monthly Report on the Meteorological Monitoring Program at the Byron Nuclear Station; dated January 2003

Monthly Report on the Meteorological Monitoring Program at the Byron Nuclear Station; dated February 2003

Monthly Report on the Meteorological Monitoring Program at the Byron Nuclear Station; dated March 2003

RP-AA-500; Radioactive Material (RAM) Control; Revision 3

RP-BY-500-1001; Conditional and Unconditional Release of Material from the RCA; Revision 0

RP-AA-651; Station Responsibilities for Exelon Nuclear's Meteorological and REMP Programs; Revision 2

REMP-6; Pump Maintenance, Pump No. 101 (BY-01); dated October 14, 2002

REMP-6; Pump Maintenance, Pump No. 106 (BY-08); dated October 21, 2002

REMP-6; Pump Maintenance, Pump No. 121 (BY-04); dated November 4, 2002

REMP-6; Pump Maintenance, Pump No. 122 (BY-06); dated April 28, 2003

REMP-6; Pump Maintenance, Pump No. 142 (BY-24); dated July 15, 2002

REMP-6; Pump Maintenance, Pump No. 165 (BY-21); dated February 10, 2003

REMP-6; Pump Maintenance, Pump No. 166 (BY-22); dated June 17, 2002

REMP-6; Pump Maintenance, Pump No. 167 (BY-23); dated February 3, 2003

[White Paper:] Unconditional Release Detection Thresholds and Dose Consequences; dated February 10, 2003

3PP2 Access Control (Identification, Authorization and Search of Personnel, Packages, and Vehicles)

SY-AA-101-122; Testing Security Equipment; Revision 6 Security Logged Events; April 1, 2002 through May 13, 2003

3PP3 Response to Contingency Events

Force-on-Force Exercises; May 2002 through February 2003

SY-AA-101-122; Testing Security Equipment; Revision 6

Condition Reports (Security Related); May 2002 through May 2003

Security Logged Events: January 2003 through May, 2003

Bryon Security Training Exercise Evaluations: First Quarter 2003

Bryon Force-on-Force Exercise Evaluations; December 2002, March 2003

LS-AA-125: Corrective Action Program Procedure: Revision 4

LS-AA-1006; Cap Process Expectation Manual; Revision 3

Bryon Condition Reports-Security Related (Random Review); September 2003 through May 13, 2003

4OA1 Performance Indicator Verification

Monthly Performance Indicator (PI) Data Elements for Unplanned Power Changes Per 7000 Critical Hours, April 2002 through March 2003,

Monthly Performance Indicator Data Elements for Unplanned Scrams per 7000 Critical Hours, April 2002 through March 2003

Monthly Performance Indicator Data Elements for Unplanned Scrams with Loss of Normal Heat Removal, April 2002 through March 2003

WO 510503; Turbine Throttle and Governor Valve Quarterly Surveillance, January 27, 2003

WO 438980; IM Repair Cause of Valve Oscillation, May 19, 2002

WO 494662; Turbine Throttle and Governor Valve Quarterly Surveillance, January 06, 2003

Shift Manager Log for 06/16/2002, 06/17/2002, and 03/01/2003

NEI 99-02; Regulatory Assessment Performance Indicator Guideline, Revision 2

Operations Narrative Logs, March 2, 2003

WO 446795, May 8, 2003

LS-AA-2090; Monthly Performance Indicator (PI) Data Elements for Reactor Coolant System (RCS) Specific Activity; Revisions 2 and 3 (data for July 2002- March 2003)

LS-AA-2150: Monthly Performance Indicator Data Elements for RETS/ODCM

Radiological Effluent Occurrences; Revisions 2 and 3 (data for April 2002 - March 2003)

BCP 300-23; Reactor Coolant or Pressurizer Liquid and/or Pressurized Grab Sample,

Revision 27

BCP 300-37; Degassing Reactor Coolant, Revision 6

4OA2 Identification and Resolution of Problems

CR 160391; CAP Program CR Threshold for Equipment Failures, May 23, 2003, ¹ TapRoot; Root Cause Tree Dictionary

TapRoot; The System for Root Cause Analysis Problem Investigation, and Proactive Improvement

CR 163805; Plant Walkdown Issues Identified by NRC Resident Inspector, June 18, 2003 ¹

NRC Letter; Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station - Special Team Inspection Report 50-445/02-09, January 9, 2003

Root Cause Report; 2C Steam Generator Foreign Material Induced Tube Leak, December 4, 2002-Revision 0

Exelon Steam Generator Condition Monitoring/Operational Assessment Data Sheet, Revision 1

NRC Information Notice 2003-05; Failure to Detect Freespan Cracks in PWR Steam Generator Tubes, June 5, 2003

Site Engineering Programmatic Scope for B2R11-Revision 1; Steam Generator Inspection

Review of Westinghouse LTR-SGDA-02-0130 Evaluating the Acceptability of Operation of Byron Unit 2 with a Foreign Object in the 2C Steam Generator, and the Associated 50.59 Screening 6E-02-0130, June 26, 2002

Assignment 26 - AR 123810; Corrective Action to Develop a Structured Presentation, January 30, 2003

CR 112862; Unit 2 Shutdown Due to 2C SG Tube Leak, June 22, 2002

FME HIT Team Meeting Minutes, February 18, 2003

BY-AA-2160; Performance Indicator - Protection Area Security Equipment

BY-AA-2170; Performance Indicator - Personnel Screening Program

BY-AA-2180; Performance Indicator - Fitness-for-Duty/Personnel Reliability Program Security Event Reports; May 2002 through April 2003

4OA3 Event Followup (71153)

Technical Specifications

Technical Specifications Basis

Updated Final Safety Analysis Report

CR 123810; Foreign Objects Found on Secondary Side of 2C SG, September 20, 2002 Root Cause Report; 2C Steam Generator (SG) Foreign Material Induced Tube Leak, December 4, 2002

BAR RM11-4-0AR62J; Control Room Area Radiation Monitor Alarm Response Procedure, Revision 0

CR 141389; Appropriate Manual Lineup of Control Room Ventilation in Emergency Mode, January 27, 2003

LER 454/2003-01-00, "Control Room Ventilation system Alignment Results in Inoperable Radiation Monitors Without Taking Required Actions Per the Technical Specifications", March 28, 2003

Supplement 1 to LER 454/2003-001 "Control Room Ventilation System Alignment Results in Inoperable Radiation Monitors Without Taking Required Actions Per the Technical specifications", May 23, 2003

LER 454/2003-02-00, "Two Main Steam Safety Valves Lift Setpoints Found Out of Tolerance During Testing Due to Unknown Causes", April 14, 2003

CR 141542; Operability of the Control Room Radiation Monitors, January 27, 2003 EC 342530; Determine if Control Room Ventilation System Safety Function was Lost Due to Inoperable Intake Radiation Detectors at Byron and Braidwood Stations, Revision 0

Calculation Number VC-403; Control Room Infiltration from Ductwork, July 2, 1985 Calculation Number CN-CRA-00-17; Byron/Braidwood Large Break LOCA Doses, Revision 1

NF-MW:03–156; Control Room Dose Estimate for the Locked Rotor with Failed PORV Event, April 25, 2003

Design Analysis Number CN-CRA-00-44; Byron/Braidwood Locked RCP Rotor with PORV Failure Accident Doses, Revision 1

MSSV Test Data; Previous Test "as left" Valves, February 16, 2003

CR 144797; 1mS015B MS Safety Valve Found out of "as found" Tolerance, February 16, 2003

Engineering Change Notice P-639; Delete Safety Injection Signal from Miscellaneous Ventilation System Control Room Office HVAC, August 21, 1986

TapRoot Root Cause Tree; Steam Generator FME Root Cause

Barrier Analysis; Steam Generator Foreign Material Exclusion Root Cause

16 Attachment

¹ NRC Identified

LIST OF ACRONYMS USED

ASME American Society of Mechanical Engineers

ATWS Anticipated Transient Without Scram
BAP Byron Administrative Procedure
BEP Byron Emergency Procedure
BOP Byron Operating Procedure

BOSR Byron Operating Surveillance Requirement Procedure

BTP Branch Technical Position

BVSR Byron Technical Surveillance Requirement Procedure

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CR Condition Report

DEI Dose Equivalent Iodine

DG Diesel Generator

ECCS Emergency Core Cooling System

FME Foreign Material Exclusion

HVAC Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning

ICMs Interim Compensatory Measures
IMC Inspection Manual Chapter
MSSV Main Steam Safety Valve
NCV Non-Cited Violation

NRC United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

ODCM Offsite dose Calculation Manual

PI Performance Indicators
PORV Power Operated Relief Valve
PSB Power Systems Branch

REMP Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

RP Radiation Protection

SDP Significance Determination Process

SG Steam Generator

SVAG Spurious Valve Actuation Group

SX Essential Service Water

TLD Thermoluminescent Dosimeter TRM Technical Requirements Manual

TS Technical Specification

UFSAR Updated Final Safety Analysis Report

VC Control Room Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning System

WO Work Order WR Work Request