Mr. Robert J. Barrett Vice President, Operations Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit 3 295 Broadway, Suite 3 Post Office Box 308 Buchanan, NY 10511-0308

SUBJECT: INDIAN POINT 3 NUCLEAR POWER STATION - NRC PROBLEM

IDENTIFICATION AND RESOLUTION INSPECTION REPORT

NO. 50-286/02-006

Dear Mr. Barrett:

On October 3, 2002, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) completed a team inspection at the Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Station. The enclosed report presents the results of that inspection. The results were discussed on October 3, 2002, with you and other members of your staff.

This inspection was an examination of activities conducted under your license as they relate to the identification and resolution of problems, compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations, and with the conditions of your operating license. Within this area, the inspection involved selected examination of procedures and representative records, observations of activities, and interviews with personnel.

On the basis of the sample selected for review, there were no findings of significance identified during this inspection. The team concluded that problems were properly identified, evaluated, and resolved within the problem identification and resolution programs (PI&R). Quality assurance audits and department self-assessments focused on identifying corrective action program enhancements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web-site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

David C. Lew, Chief Performance Evaluation Branch Division of Reactor Safety

Docket Nos.: 50-286 License Nos.: DPR-64

Enclosure: Inspection Report 50-286/02-006

cc w/encl:

- J. Yelverton, Chief Executive Officer
- M. Kansler, Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
- J. DeRoy, General Manager of Plant Operations
- D. Pace, Vice President Engineering
- J. Knubel, Vice President Operations Support
- F. Dacimo, Vice President Operations
- J. Kelly, Director Licensing
- C. D. Faison, Manager Licensing
- H. P. Salmon, Jr., Director of Oversight
- J. Comiotes, Director, Nuclear Safety Assurance
- J. McCann, Manager, Nuclear Safety and Licensing

Mayor, Village of Buchanan

- J. G. Testa, Mayor, City of Peekskill
- J. M. Fulton, Assistant General Counsel
- W. Flynn, President, New York State Energy Research and Development Authority
- J. Spath, Program Director, New York State Energy Research and Development Authority
- P. D. Eddy, Electric Division, New York State Department of Public Service
- C. Donaldson, Esquire, Assistant Attorney General, New York Department of Law
- R. Schwartz, SRC Consultant
- R. Toole, SRC Consultant
- C. Hehl, SRC Consultant
- R. Albanese, Executive Chair, Four County Nuclear Safety Committee
- S. Lousteau, Treasury Department, Entergy Services, Inc.

Chairman, Standing Committee on Energy, NYS Assembly

Chairman, Standing Committee on Environmental Conservation, NYS Assembly

Chairman, Committee on Corporations, Authorities, and Commissions

Assemblywoman Sandra Galef, NYS Assembly

C. Terry, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

County Clerk, Westchester County Legislature

- A. Spano, Westchester County Executive
- R. Bondi, Putnam County Executive
- C. Vanderhoef, Rockland County Executive
- E. A. Diana, Orange County Executive
- M. Elie, Citizens Awareness Network
- J. Riccio, Greenpeace
- F. Zalcman, Pace Law School, Energy Project
- A. Matthiessen, Executive Director, Riverkeeper, Inc.
- P. Leventhal, The Nuclear Control Institute
- K. Copeland, Pace Environmental Litigation Clinic
- R. Witherspoon, The Journal News

Distribution w/encl: (VIA E-MAIL)

- H. Miller, RA/J. Wiggins, DRA (1)
- H. Nieh, RI EDO Coordinator
- S. Richards, NRR (ridsnrrdlpmlpdi)
- P. Milano, PM, NRR
- G. Vissing, Backup PM, NRR
- P. Eselgroth, DRP
- S. Barber, DRP
- W. Cook, DRP
- R. Junod, DRP
- R. Martin, DRP
- P. Drysdale, SRI Indian Point 3

Region I Docket Room (with concurrences)

- W. Lanning, DRS
- R. Crlenjak, DRS
- D. Lew, DRS
- G. Cranston, DRS

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PEB\CRANSTON\IP3PI&R2002006.WPD

After declaring this document "An Official Agency Record" it will be released to the Public.

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

| OFFICE | RI/DRS | RI/DRP | RI/DRS | | |
|--------|-----------|------------|----------|---------|---------|
| NAME | GCranston | PEselgroth | DLew | | |
| DATE | 10/10/02 | 10/10/02 | 10/15/02 | 10/ /02 | 10/ /02 |

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION I

Docket No: 50-286

License No: DPR-64

Report No.: 50-286/02-006

Licensee: Entergy Nuclear Northeast

Facility: Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant

Location: Buchanan, New York

Dates: September 16 - October 3, 2002

Inspectors: G. Cranston, Reactor Inspector (Team Leader), Division of Reactor

Safety

T. Kim, Senior Project Manager, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

W. Bennett, Contractor

Approved by: David C. Lew, Chief

Performance Evaluation Branch Division of Reactor Safety

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

IR 05000286-02-006; on 9/16 - 10/3, 2002; Indian Point 3 Nuclear Power Plant; biennial baseline inspection of the identification and resolution of problems.

The inspection was conducted by one regional inspector, one headquarters project manager, and one contractor. No findings were identified. The NRC's program for overseeing the safe operation of commercial nuclear power reactors is described in NUREG-1649, "Reactor Oversight Process," Revision 3, dated July 2000.

Identification and Resolution of Problems

The NRC inspection team concluded that the overall implementation of the corrective action program at Indian Point 3 was adequate. In general, the threshold for problem identification was appropriate and problems were properly identified, evaluated and corrected. Problems were entered into the corrective action program at an appropriate threshold. The licensee adequately prioritized and evaluated issues, and their evaluations were of adequate depth to identify the causes and appropriately broad in considering the extent of condition. The corrective actions were reasonable and adequately implemented.

Report Details

4. OTHER ACTIVITIES (OA)

4OA2 Problem Identification and Resolution of Problems

a. Effectiveness of Problem Identification

(1) Inspection Scope

The team reviewed items selected from various licensee processes and activities to determine if the licensee was properly identifying, characterizing and entering problems into the corrective action process for evaluation and resolution. The licensee's primary process for identifying and resolving problems is the Condition Report (CR) process; items entered into this process are referred to as CRs. The team reviewed CRs identified in Attachment 1 to determine the licensee's threshold for identifying problems and entering them into the corrective action process.

The team also reviewed items from the licensee's operating, maintenance and quality assessment processes to determine if personnel were appropriately initiating CRs when problems were identified via these processes. The team reviewed a sample of the control room work order tracking list, operator work-arounds (burdens), control room deficiencies, temporary modifications, system health reports, problem identification tags (PIDs), emergency preparedness items, quality assurance (QA) audits, self assessments, operating experience information, corrective maintenance and elective maintenance work orders, and minutes from the safety review committee (SRC) meetings. The team attended a SRC subcommittee meeting which reviewed the Indian Point 3 corrective action program. The team also performed plant walkdowns and conducted interviews with plant personnel to determine if problems were appropriately identified.

(2) Findings

The team determined that the licensee was effective at identifying problems at the appropriate threshold and entering them into the corrective action system. This was evidenced by the relatively few deficiencies identified by external organizations (including the NRC) that had not been previously identified by the licensee during the review period. Licensee audits and assessments were of good depth and identified issues similar to those that were self-revealing or raised during previous NRC inspections. Also, during this inspection, there were no instances identified where conditions adverse to quality were being handled outside the corrective action program.

b. Prioritization and Evaluation of Issues

(1) Inspection Scope

The team reviewed items selected from the licensee's corrective action processes to determine whether the issues were properly evaluated and resolved. The review included the appropriateness of the assigned significance, the timeliness of resolutions, and the scope and depth of the root cause evaluations (or apparent cause evaluation). The samples included those designated as significant and covered the seven cornerstones. The team screened Condition Reports (CRs) in the licensee's corrective action process and selected those listed in Attachment 1 of this report for detailed review.

The team also reviewed the licensee's activities regarding the ongoing problem of service water (SW) system leaks, primarily at welded joints. There have been over forty (40) leaks reported over the last four years with five occurring this year.

(2) <u>Issues</u>

From the samples reviewed, the team concluded that the licensee, in general, adequately prioritized and evaluated issues entered in the CR process. The licensee's assessments properly considered operability and reportability requirements. The licensee's evaluations were generally of adequate depth to identify the causes and appropriately broad in considering the extent of condition.

Regarding the SW system, the identified leaks were minor and, except for one leak, have not adversely impacted plant operation. The licensee has implemented a SW system pipe replacement program which is ongoing with work activities scheduled in their long term action plan. Additionally, the licensee has an Action Plan in place which identifies other activities and inspections to prevent or minimize the probability of future SW system leaks.

c. Effectiveness of Corrective Actions

(1) Inspection Scope

The team reviewed the corrective actions associated with selected CRs to determine whether the corrective actions addressed the identified causes and were completed or scheduled to be completed in a timely fashion.

The team reviewed CRs for repetitive problems and CRs related to previously issued NRC non-cited violations to determine whether corrective actions were completed and effective. The team also reviewed the backlog of corrective actions to determine if there were items that individually or collectively represented an adverse effect on plant risk or an adverse trend in the implementation of the corrective action program. The team observed that effectiveness reviews were conducted for all Significance Level A (there are four significance levels with A being the highest) CRs as required by procedure about one year after the associated corrective action was completed. Additionally, the licensee conducted effectiveness reviews on most Level B CRs.

(2) Issues

Overall, the team concluded that the licensee developed and implemented corrective actions that appeared reasonable to address the identified problems. Based on the sample reviewed, the team determined that the corrective actions were completed or scheduled to be completed in a timely manner. In general, effectiveness reviews adequately verified the effectiveness of the corrective action. The team noted that the licensee was in the process of evaluating the effectiveness of the Indian Point 3 effectiveness reviews based on a presentation made at the Safety Review Committee subcommittee meeting, which was attended by the inspection team.

d. Assessment of Safety Conscious Work Environment

(1) <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The team reviewed the licensee's Employee Speak Out Program implementation and, during interviews with plant personnel including the licensee Employee Speak Out Program Coordinator, considered if conditions were apparent or existed that would challenge the establishment of a safety conscious work environment at the Indian Point Unit 3 plant.

(2) Issues

There were no findings identified during this part of the inspection.

4OA6 Meetings, Including Exit

.1 <u>Exit Meeting Summary</u>

The team presented the inspection results to Mr. Robert Barrett and other members of the Indian Point 3 staff during an exit meeting on October 3, 2002. No information examined or reviewed during the inspection was considered to be proprietary.

Attachment 1

KEY POINTS OF CONTACT

H. Anderson Coordinator, Operations Corrective Action and Analysis

J. Barnes System Engineering Manager

J. Bouffort System Engineer

R. Buckley Self-Assessment Coordinator

T. Chan System Engineer

B. ChristmanJ. ComiotesManager, Operations SupportDirector, Nuclear Safety Assurance

V. Coulehan Senior Project Manager, Operating Experience

J. DeRoy Plant Manager M. Devlin Engineer

J. Donnelly Manager, Corrective Action & Analysis

Z. EisenbergM. GarofaloR. HanslerSystem EngineerQA SupervisorReactor Engineer

W. Hawkins Safety Programs Administrator

J. Hill System Engineer and Electrical Engineering Supervisor

J. Inglis Senior Maintenance Engineer

F. Inzinillo Manager, Emergency Preparedness

J. Kayani Program Engineer

K. Kirkpatrick Coordinator, Operating Experience E. Libby Supervisor, Technical Support

B. Magurno Chemistry Technician

W. Mastrogiacomo Supervisor, Construction Engineering

J. McCann Manager, Licensing G. Mosher System Engineer

J. Nickerson Manager of Safety and Fire Protection

J. Perrotta Quality Assurance Manager

D. Poole Member, Safety Review Committee

R. Porter Operations Engineer
S. Prussman Licensing Engineer
C. Putnam System Engineer

J. Raffaele Electrical Design Supervisor

A. Remskar Coordinator, Operating Experience

S. Rokerya Licensing

T. Schaeffer I & C Maintenance F. Schillinger Site PM Coordinator

R. Schmitt Supervisor, Electrical Maintenance

B. ShepardI. SinertM. SmithI. & C EngineerSystem EngineerDirector, Engineering

S. Smith Supervisor, BOP Systems Engineering

B. Taggart Speak-out Employee Concerns Representative

M. Tesoriero Program and Components Engineer

G. Vranjesic Senior Electrical Engineer

J. Whitney G. Wilverding

System Engineer Coordinator, Safety Review Committee

LIST OF ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED

Opened/Closed

None

PARTIAL LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

| Condition Reports | | | | |
|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 00-0670 | 01-1901 | 01-3260 | 01-4268 | 02-0368 |
| 00-1495 | 01-1911 | 01-3261 | 01-4270 | 02-0379 |
| 00-2623 | 01-1963 | 01-3290 | 01-4284 | 02-0418 |
| 00-3246 | 01-2014 | 01-3300 | 01-4289 | 02-0446 |
| 00-3308 | 01-2032 | 01-3308 | 01-4318 | 02-0494 |
| 01-0008 | 01-2045 | 01-3311 | 01-4331 | 02-0527 |
| 01-0119 | 01-2146 | 01-3312 | 01-4347 | 02-0540 |
| 01-0141 | 01-2175 | 01-3370 | 01-4388 | 02-0635 |
| 01-0172 | 01-2215 | 01-3412 | 01-4423 | 02-0664 |
| 01-0238 | 01-2215 | 01-3416 | 01-4449 | 02-0698 |
| 01-0327 | 01-2568 | 01-3417 | 01-4492 | 02-0701 |
| 01-0331 | 01-2623 | 01-3421 | 01-4503 | 02-0721 |
| 01-0390 | 01-2655 | 01-3449 | 01-4512 | 02-0729 |
| 01-0398 | 01-2771 | 01-3498 | 01-4517 | 02-0815 |
| 01-0573 | 01-2781 | 01-3514 | 01-4562 | 02-0835 |
| 01-0690 | 01-2796 | 01-3541 | 01-4567 | 02-1022 |
| 01-0701 | 01-2806 | 01-3584 | 01-4587 | 02-1138 |
| 01-0721 | 01-2922 | 01-3606 | 02-0019 | 02-1160 |
| 01-0769 | 01-2984 | 01-3610 | 02-0024 | 02-1168 |
| 01-0794 | 01-2993 | 01-3620 | 02-0029 | 02-1190 |
| 01-0835 | 01-3013 | 01-3630 | 02-0048 | 02-1201 |
| 01-1138 | 01-3095 | 01-3656 | 02-0058 | 02-1212 |
| 01-1139 | 01-3113 | 01-3697 | 02-0065 | 02-1308 |
| 01-1161 | 01-3116 | 01-3732 | 02-0093 | 02-1380 |
| 01-1168 | 01-3125 | 01-3812 | 02-0141 | 02-1387 |
| 01-1190 | 01-3149 | 01-3902 | 02-0211 | 02-1390 |
| 01-1201 | 01-3154 | 01-3915 | 02-0238 | 02-1399 |
| 01-1212 | 01-3164 | 01-4041 | 02-0248 | 02-1409 |
| 01-1362 | 01-3172 | 01-4094 | 02-0255 | 02-1432 |
| 01-1390 | 01-3177 | 01-4102 | 02-0266 | 02-1442 |
| 01-1446 | 01-3204 | 01-4165 | 02-0293 | 02-1492 |
| 01-1495 | 01-3245 | 01-4262 | 02-0310 | 02-1529 |
| 01-1680 | 01-3246 | 01-4263 | 02-0326 | 02-1534 |
| 01-1898 | 01-3251 | 01-4265 | 02-0327 | 02-1559 |

| | | O | | |
|--|--|---------|---|--|
| 02-1576 02 | 2-1963 | 02-2621 | 02-2882 | 02-3312 |
| 02-1666 02 | 2-1966 | 02-2626 | 02-2945 | 02-3412 |
| 02-1694 02 | 2-1974 | 02-2655 | 02-3025 | 02-3430 |
| 02-1710 02 | 2-2014 | 02-2662 | 02-3115 | 02-3514 |
| 02-1717 02 | 2-2038 | 02-2711 | 02-3154 | 02-3606 |
| 02-1727 02 | 2-2093 | 02-2771 | 02-3155 | 02-3606 |
| 02-1853 | 2-2140 | 02-2781 | 02-3155 | 02-3622 |
| 02-1898 02 | 2-2146 | 02-2782 | 02-3157 | 02-3820 |
| 02-1901 03 | 2-2408 | 02-2788 | 02-3176 | 02-3839 |
| 02-1904 02 | 2-2437 | 02-2806 | 02-3210 | 99-2623 |
| 02-1911 02 | 2-2568 | 02-2869 | 02-3300 | |
| Work Requests 020148949 000456801 010484927 980346500 020004512 020003705 Effectiveness Reviews | 990341707 950644623 980543400 980544800 980072602 010001013 | | 010001021 010002508 020149014 010005501 010444500 | 020148942 027709221 027709222 010396200 |
| ACT-01-54135 | ACT-01-55029 | 9 | ACT-01-56634 | ACT-01-58054 |
| ACT-01-54165 | ACT-01-55252 | | ACT-01-57370 | |
| ACT-01-54419 | ACT-01-55763 | 3 | | |
| Quality Assurance Audit Reports A01-07I Indian Point 3 (IP3) Radiological Environmental Monitoring and Meteorological | | | | |

| A01-07I | Indian Point 3 (IP3) Radiological Environmental Monitoring and Meteorological |
|---------|---|
| | Monitoring Programs, August 18, 2001. |
| A01-08I | IP3 Fire Protection Program, October 9, 2001. |
| A01-09I | Surveillance Test Program, December 20, 2001. |
| A01-10I | Corrective Action Program, January 1, 2002. |
| A01-11I | Indian Point 3 (IP3) Radiological and Non-Radiological Effluent Monitoring |
| | Programs, February 5, 2002. |
| A01-12I | Document Control, April 2, 2002. |
| A01-13I | IP3 Control of Measuring and Test Equipment, February 14, 2002. |
| A01-14I | IP3 Security and Fitness for Duty, February 14, 2002. |
| A02-01I | Indian Point 3 (IP3) Training and Qualification of Plant Staff, March 12, 2002. |
| A02-03I | On-Site Review Committee and Safety Review Committee, June 19, 2002. |
| A02-06I | Indian Point 3 (IP3) Emergency Planning Program, July 17, 2002. |
| A02-07I | Environmental Qualification Program, May 20, 2002. |
| | |

System Health Reports

| B06-0142 | Reactor Protection & Control System Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002. |
|----------|--|
| F21-0010 | Component Cooling Water Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002 |

E21-0010 Component Cooling Water Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002.

E25-0034 Chemical and Volume Control System Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002. E26-0048 Emergency Diesel Generator System Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002.

E32-0087 Control Building Heating and Ventilation System Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002.

Residual Heat Removal System Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002. E34-0138

480V System Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002. F38-0042 6.9KV System Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002. F38-0043 F38-0044 DC Power System Health Report, 2nd Quarter 2002. F38-0044 DC Power System Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002. Main Steam System Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002. F42-0108 Service Water System Health Report, 1st Quarter 2002. F44-0151

Procedures

AP-8.5 Screening & Assignment of Deficiency/Event Reports, Revision 1

ENN-LI-102 Corrective Action Process, Rev. 2, May 20, 2002.

Assessment Process, Rev. 2. ENN-LI-104

ENN-OE-100 Operating Experience Program, Rev. 0, March 25, 2002.

ENN-PL-151 Policy on Operating Experience (OE), Rev. 0, December 10, 2001.

Component Verification and System Status Control, Rev. 13. OD-35

SMM-IS-104 Electrical Safety Program, Revision 0.

SOP-CB-005 Isolation Valve Seal Water System Operation, Rev. 12.

SOP-CM-002 Fuel Storage Building Crane Operation, Rev. 12.

Safety Review Committee Meeting Minutes

2002-01, February 28 - March 1, 2002

2002-02, May 22, 2002

2002-03, June 10, 2002

2002-04, June 20-21, 2002

Inspection Performance Monitoring Reports

2001-0190, October 15, 2001

2002-0003, January 17, 2002

2002-0019, February 7, 2002

2002-0052, February 11, 2002

2002-0097, June 17, 2002

2002-0098, June 18, 2002

2002-0112, July 17, 2002

2002-0116, July 30, 2002

Operability Determinations

01-038, October 25, 2001

02-001, January 17, 2002

02-009, March 7, 2002

Miscellaneous Documents

| THICOCHAINCE BC | ounionto |
|-----------------|--|
| DCP 97-3-367 | Design Change Package, Delete NIS Rod Drop Turbine Runback, |
| | September 25, 2002. |
| PID 01103 | Leak at weld location EOC-28, 18" service water line, July 13, 2001. |
| SR-02-16 | QA Surveillance Report, Problem Identification and Reporting, |
| | September 5, 2002. |
| TM-00-3-099 | Temporary Power to 31 Control Rod Drive Motor. |
| TM-00-3-100 | Temporary Power to 33 Control Rod Drive Motor Fan. |
| TM-00-3-103 | Temporary Power to 31 Control Rod Drive Motor Fan. |
| _ | Industrial Safety Rulebook, Electrical Safety, August 28, 2002. |
| _ | Quarterly Review of the Cumulative Effects of Operator Workarounds |
| | and Central Control Room Deficiencies, 2 nd Quarter 2002. |
| _ | LCO Tracking Sheet 2002-0025, IVSWS Operability, January 24, 2002. |
| _ | 2002-12 Self Assessment on Design Basis Documents, dated June 27, |
| | 2002. |

Non-Cited Violations

| NON-CILEU VIOIALIONS | |
|----------------------|---|
| 50-286/2001-008-01 | Failure to control licensed radioactive material in accordance with 10CFR20. |
| 50-286/2001-009-01 | Failure to hydrostatically test self contained breathing apparatus air cylinders. |
| 50-286/2001-010-01 | Failure to implement proper design control for a safety related system. |
| 50-286/2001-011-01 | Failure to identify the correct valve lineup for testing the aux feedwater system. |
| 50-286/2001-011-02 | Failure to pressure leak test the AFW system suction piping per ASME XI. |
| 50-286/2001-012-02 | Inadequate procedure for transition to cold shutdown during shutdown from outside the control room. |
| 50-286/2002-003-01 | Violation of 10CFR50.54(q) for a decrease in the effectiveness of site emergency plan. |
| | |

LIST OF ACRONYMS USED

| Condition Report |
|------------------------------------|
| Division of Reactor Safety |
| Emergency Planning |
| Non-cited violation |
| Other Activities |
| Operating Experience |
| Problem Identification Tag |
| Quality Assurance |
| Significance Determination Process |
| Safety Review Committee |
| Service Water |
| Temporary Modification |
| Work Request |
| Limiting Condition for Operation |
| Isolation Valve Seal Water System |
| |