#### **APPENDIX D**

#### **GRANTEE RESPONSE TO DRAFT REPORT**

# Westchester-Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education and Training, Inc.

20 South Broadway, Suite 1201, Yonkers, NY 10701 • 914-709-1373 Fax 914-709-1377

September 20, 2006

Mr. Mark L. Schwartz Regional Inspector General for Audit US – DOL New York Regional Audit Office 201 Varick Street New York, N.Y. 10014

Dear Mr. Schwartz:

Enclosed for your review are the WP-CWE comments in response to the draft report of the audit of the \$500,000 earmark grant operated by Westchester Putnam Counties' Consortium for Worker Education and Training (OIG Report Number 02-06-204-03-390).

Along with the comments and various attachments, I am including letters from Roy Barnes, Secretary/Treasurer, and myself attesting to the management of this grant and minutes from the October 2003 WP-CWE Board meeting. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Eddie Doyle President

#### WP-CWE Agency Response, Page 8

In April 2002, the Westchester-Putnam Counties Consortium for Worker Education and Training (WP-CWE) chose NADAP to enter into legally binding contract in order to have NADAP be responsible for the programmatic and administrative aspects of the \$500,000 earmark grant to provide pre-apprenticeship training. One of the reasons Roy Barnes and Eddie Doyle, as Directors of the WP-CWE, chose NADAP was due to their knowledge and trust of, and experience with NADAP's abilities, integrity, and efficiency. The structure of the recipient to sub-recipient relationship was done in this manner in order for the program to run as efficiently as possible and to maximize the amount spent on programmatic activities.

As stated to Hugh White, the United States Department of Labor (USDOL) Office of the Inspector General (OIG) Auditor when he conducted the audit in March through June 2005, this contract was entered into six months prior to the start of the earmark grant because WP-CWE: received a letter from Stephen A. Wandner, the Director of Research and Demonstration at the USDOL, dated March 12, 2002 stating that Congressperson Kelly sponsored WP-CWE to receive \$500,000 to conduct workforce development activities; began performing grant related planning in April; and requested the assistance of NADAP to prepare the formal proposal for the Pre-Apprenticeship Training program.

As discussed with Rebecca Bowen, USDOL OIG Audit Team Leader, and Ruth-Ami Klein, USDOL OIG Assistant Inspector General, on August 26, 2006, four-years after the completion and close-out of the fiscal and programmatic operations of the program, at the meeting to review the discussion draft audit, Roy Barnes and Eddie Doyle described their difficulties in managing the implementation of programmatic goals and an administrative structure for the prior WP-CWE grants received. However, these difficulties never impaired the WP-CWE's ability to identify needed workforce development and training activities and to review and monitor NADAP's activities and performance.

The rules relating to the allocation of administrative and programmatic expenses are contained within Section 20, Part 667 – Administrative Provisions Under Title I of the Workforce Investment Act, Subpart B, Number 220 – What Workforce Investment Act Title I functions and activities constitute the costs of administration subject to the administrative cost limit? Paragraph (a), as follows, describes who is covered by the administrative cost allocation.

Paragraph (a) states, "The costs of administration are that allocable portion of necessary and reasonable allowable costs of State and local workforce investment boards, direct recipients, including State grant recipients under subtitle B of title I and recipients of awards under subtitle D of title I, as well as local grant recipients, local grant sub-recipients, local fiscal agents and one-stop operators that are associated with those specific functions identified in paragraph (b) of this section and which are not related to the direct provision of workforce investment services, including services to participants and employers. These costs can be both personnel and non-personnel and both direct and indirect."

Paragraph (b) defines the costs which are administrative, but paragraph (c)(1) and (c)(4) are the defining paragraphs as to whether NADAP's expenses should be classified as administrative costs or, as WP-CWE believes, programmatic costs. Paragraph (c)(1) states, "Awards to sub-recipients or vendors that are <u>solely</u> for the performance of administrative functions are classified as administrative costs." Furthermore, paragraph (c)(4) states, "Except as provided at paragraph (c)(1), <u>all costs incurred for functions and activities of sub-recipients and vendors are program costs."</u>

Further proof of the recipient to sub-recipient relationship is contained in the contract between WP-CWE and NADAP. It clearly states WE-CWE's expectations of and the activities to be performed by NADAP. The contract is made a part of WP-CWE response below:

#### SUB-CONTRACT AGREEMENT

This Agreement for the period <u>4/1/02</u> to <u>2/1/04</u> is made between the Westchester/Putnam counties consortium for Worker Education and Training Inc., (hereinafter referred to as the Contractor) and the National Association on Drug Abuse Problems, Inc., (hereinafter referred to as the Sub-Contractor)

Whereas, the contractor, whishes to engage the Sub-contractor to perform the services required under the grant from the U.S. Department of Labor Earmark Grant, 2002.

Under the terms of this agreement, NADAP will serve as the managing agent for the Consortium and will assist in the building a network of unions, local businesses, public agencies and officials. These Relationships will enable NADAP/the Consortium to continue to meet the recruiting/training needs of the building and construction trades while exploring other opportunities for the consortium's expansion.

The program focus for the years 2002 - 2003 is to assist in the development and implementation of the Pre-Apprenticeship Training for construction industry employment opportunities. The Consortium is a designated partner in the Yonkers Employment Center which was formed as mandated by the Workforce Investment Act. NADAP will work closely with the Yonkers Employment Center to identify and recruit individuals to participate in the Pre-Apprenticeship training program.

Now, therefore, the Sub-Contractor does hereby agree to perform the following services:

- 1. Develop a network of contacts in the private industry to assist in job placement.
- 2. Identify other employment opportunities and community resources in demand occupations.

U.S. Department of Labor—Office of Inspector General Report Number: 02-06-204-03-390

- 3. Establish relationships with local Workforce Investment Boards.
- 4. Determine the need for additional workforce development programs, i.e. job preparation and basic skill development.
- Complete all required program and fical forms/documents as well as maintain tracking forms of all trainees.
- 6. Determine staffing requirements to operate the Consortium offices and assist in the recruitment, selection and management of personnel.
- Assist in preparing program plans and budgets to submit to potential funding sources.
- 8. Prepare program documentation and training materials to distribute to trainees.
- Identify professional trainers to provide the necessary coursework and skills development.
- 10. Handle the logistics of the training to include location, dates, time, etc.
- 11. Recruit unemployed Westchester/Putnam Residents as candidates for the training program.

Furthermore, the Sub-Contractor does hereby agree to manage all aspects pertaining to the Earmark Grant. This will include:

- Development and implementing the 3 Pre-Apprenticeship Training Programs for unemployed Westchester/Putnam residents
- Implementing and developing a Pre-Apprenticeship Program geared towards youth which will be held at two high schools in Yonkers and one at Southern Westchester BOCES
- Supervising and assisting in the development of curricula to upgrade the skills of existing workers within the building and construction trades
- Increasing awareness of high school students as to careers available within the building trades which includes the Construction Career Day event
- Research, writing and administration of grants
- Managing fiscal responsibilities including budgeting

WP-CWE Response to USDOL OIG Audit of the Westchester-Putnam Counties Consortium for Worker Education and Training

For the Period October 2, 2002 through November 30, 2003

Managing all program activity

Now, therefore, the Contractor does hereby agree to perform the following services

- 1. Build a network of partners within the Building Trades and Construction Industry and private industries within the Westchester/Putnam area.
- 2. Establish relationships with local and state Welfare Investment Boards.
- 3. Identify sources of funding for the worker education training programs.
- 4. Create linkages with unions and private industry to build a referral network.
- 5. Submit documentation to obtain funding for project implementation/continuation.
- 6. Manage the reimbursements of expense to the Sub-Contractor.

In Witness thereof, the parties hereto have executed or approved this AGREEMENT on the date below their signature.

Given the contractual relationship, WP-CWE, through Roy Barnes and Eddie Doyle, discharged their responsibilities to monitor NADAP's financial and programmatic activities. On a monthly basis, Roy Barnes would meet with the NADAP's Director of Finance/CFO to review and approve NADAP's payments and fiscal reports. Programmatically, Eddie Doyle would meet with the Program Director to discuss which courses should be held. Subsequently Eddie Doyle worked with the Unions to obtain an understanding of their needs and would garner their support in holding the pre-apprenticeship training classes. Various follow-up meetings were held in order to finalize training schedules and budget allocations for each pre-apprenticeship training course. Since NADAP was, and still is, accountable to the WP-CWE there is a clear recipient to sub-recipient relationship between both entities.

The other points raised by the USDOL OIG audit on page six that allegedly proved WP-CWE and NADAP did not have a recipient to sub-recipient relationship will be handled individually.

1. "Contracted jointly for its own and WP-CWE's single audit and legal services" As stated in the WP-CWE – NADAP contract, "the sub-contractor does hereby agree to manage all aspects pertaining to the Earmark Grant. This will include managing fiscal responsibilities including budgeting." This was also a cost saving measure whereby NADAP included WP-CWE in its agreements for audit and legal services instead of WP-CWE having to bid these activities and pay higher fees. A separate audit was performed for the WP-CWE. Their audit and financial statements were never part of NADAP's audit or financial statements. See

U.S. Department of Labor—Office of Inspector General Report Number: 02-06-204-03-390

WP-CWE Response to USDOL OIG Audit of the Westchester-Putnam Counties Consortium for Worker Education and Training

For the Period October 2, 2002 through November 30, 2003

attachments A and B. This arrangement is not proscribed in DOL or OMB regulations.

- 2. "Drew down grant funds into a NADAP account for WP-CWE programs"
  As stated in the WP-CWE NADAP contract, "the sub-contractor does hereby agree to manage all aspects pertaining to the Earmark Grant. This will include managing fiscal responsibilities including budgeting." WP-CWE funds were not drawn down into a NADAP account for WP-CWE activities. The funds were drawn down into the WP-CWE account number 01158192 at Amalgamated Bank, the same bank where NADAP does business (Amalgamated Bank account number 01114720). There is a clear distinction between both sentences. There are no regulations restricting a recipient and sub-recipient from using the same bank.
- 3. "Certified financial report to ETA."

As stated in the WP-CWE – NADAP contract, "the sub-contractor does hereby agree to manage all aspects pertaining to the Earmark Grant. This will include managing fiscal responsibilities including budgeting." Since NADAP prepared the financial reports and Roy Barnes conducted monthly reviews with the NADAP Director of Finance/CFO, it was only appropriate that the preparing entity sign and certify the financial report.

4. "Filed WP-CWE tax forms." and 7. "Maintained custody of all financial and tax records for WP-CWE."

As stated in the WP-CWE – NADAP contract, "the sub-contractor does hereby agree to manage all aspects pertaining to the Earmark Grant. This will include managing fiscal responsibilities including budgeting." The WP-CWE is a two-person entity that has contracted these activities to NADAP. Since they have the programmatic and administrative expertise that WP-CWE was looking for in a sub-recipient, they performed the financial reporting, tax filing, and record keeping and storage. There is no DOL or OMB regulation banning this arrangement in a recipient to sub-recipient relationship.

- 5. "Added WP-CWE directors' into NADAP's personnel system, approved their timesheets as their supervisors, and paid them through NADAP's payroll."

  As stated in the WP-CWE NADAP contract, "the sub-contractor does hereby agree to manage all aspects pertaining to the Earmark Grant. This will include managing fiscal responsibilities including budgeting." Having Roy Barnes and Eddie Doyle paid using NADAP's payroll system was the most efficient means to insure they were paid correctly and properly. There is no rule or regulation that this is not an allowable activity by a sub-recipient and as such this was a cost savings measure that eliminated the need for WP-CWE to hire or sub-contract this activity.
- 6. "Reimbursed itself for costs without approval from WP-CWE."

  Again, as stated in the WP-CWE NADAP contract, "the sub-contractor does hereby agree to manage all aspects pertaining to the Earmark Grant. This will include managing fiscal responsibilities including budgeting." However, in performing and managing all aspects of the fiscal responsibilities and as part of the

WP-CWE Response to USDOL OIG Audit of the Westchester-Putnam Counties Consortium for Worker Education and Training For the Period October 2, 2002 through November 30, 2003 monthly meetings between Roy Barnes and the NADAP Director of Finance/CFO, these reimbursements were reviewed and approved by WP-CWE in these meetings. Never at any time did NADAP exceed its authority, budgetary constraints, or reimburse itself without WP-CWE approval. Please see the next page for the restatement of the WP-CWE administrative and programmatic expenses.

# Westchester/Putnam Counties Consortium Restatement of Charges to Administration and Programmatic Costs October 1, 2002 through November 30, 2003

G	USDOL	Charge to Pgm or	Assign to Admin	Reason to Assign to	Assign to Pgm	Reason to Assign to Pgm	D:"	Reason for
Components WP-CWE	OIG Amt	Admin	Costs	<u>Admin</u>	Costs	Costs	Disallow	Disallow
Directors				Consortium				
Salaries and				Admin				
Fringes	\$27,385	Admin	\$27,385	Expense	\$0	N/A	\$0	N/A
1111500	Ψ21,000		\$21,000	Барспос		1771	Ψ	Roy
Legal Fees - Barnes, Iaccarino, Virginia, Ambinder, & Shepherd and JacksonLewis	\$24,667	Pgm	\$0	N/A	\$18,757	Legal Fees associated with the operation of WP- CWE Activities	\$5,910	Barnes is an officer of the Law Firm - disallowed by Federal OMB Circular
Julia Hara	Ψ= 1,001				1			
The second secon				Consortium Admin				
Audit Fees	\$5,070	Admin	\$5,070	Expense	\$0	N/A	\$0	N/A
	<b>4</b> 0,0.0		<b>\$</b> 0,0.0			Payment for space rented solely for WP-CWE		
Rent	\$8,335	Pgm	\$0	N/A	\$8,335	training.	\$0	N/A
Admin Costs Assigned to Grant for Pension and Payroll				Consortium _Admin				
Services	\$2,698	Admin	\$2,698	Expense	\$0	N/A	\$0	N/A
Consortium				Consortium Admin				
Insurance	\$2,697	Admin	\$2,697	Expense	\$0	N/A	\$0	N/A
NADAP 15% Admin -						Indirect Rate is NADAP expense as provider of direct	•	
Indirect Rate	\$58,043	Pgm	\$0	N/A	\$58,043	services	\$0	N/A
Community Relations and Staff Development	\$1,011	Pgm	\$0	N/A	\$1,011	A Direct program expense for WP-CWE Activities.	\$0	N/A
Salaries -	V21V11				1			
Tracy-Admin Assistant and Olufemi- Contract Manager	\$38,728	Pgm	\$0	N/A	\$38,728	A program expense related to WP-CWE Activities.	\$0	N/A

Total
Expenses
Questioned
by USDOL
OIG

\$168,634

**\$37,850 \$124,874 \$5,910** 

#### WP-CWE Agency Response, Page 10

Results and Findings – Grantee Provided Pre-Apprenticeship Training, but 28 percent of Adult Participants Were Not Eligible

"WP-CWE identified participants who were unemployed but did not identify any participants as dislocated workers, minorities, welfare recipients or recovering substance abusers. Of the 47 participants reported, 13 were not eligible because they: (1) did not have proof of high school diploma or GED attainment and or (2) were employed but not identified with other target groups."

All of the participants in the program were deemed eligible and part of an identified target group that had either a GED or high school diploma. All of the participants verbally attested to having a diploma and declared so on the application. The WP-CWE partnered with the Yonkers and Westchester One Stop Centers in order to recruit qualified candidates. Information supplied by these sources, as per their data base, was determined to be acceptable proof for the program along with the individual attestation. The grant monitoring officer did not notify WP-CWE of the requirement to obtain a copy of the high school diploma prior to enrollment in the program. In addition, the State DOL One Stop Operating System (OSOS) system contained information verifying the high school diploma of these individuals.

- (1) As the program began its operations, WP-CWE was informed that the SheetMetal Local did not require a high school diploma for all of their union members (See Attachment C). The WP-CWE still inquired about their diplomas, obtained written verification and this information was supported by the OSOS data base. The Operating Engineers stated that they would allow participants into their program without obtaining a copy of their high school diploma.
- (2) The minority status of each customer was done by a visual evaluation and an indirect inquiry into their ethnic background, at the interview. New York State Human Rights Law, Section 296.10 subsection b-d states that it "strictly prohibits agencies from asking certain questions either in an application or personal interview before selecting an employee, apprentice etc." These are the guidelines that the State Certified Apprenticeship programs must adhere to and WP-CWE followed these guidelines. Most of the participants of the program were referred by the Yonkers or Westchester One Stop Centers. The DOL OSOS database included their ethnic heritage. (See Attachment D). The WP-CWE's program provides the pre-apprentices with experience in the trade which gives the applicant additional points in their Apprenticeship application. State regulations prohibit the Unions from accepting individuals solely on race.

One of the participants was under-employed and was working only part time 16 to 20 hours a week. WP-CWE deemed this individual eligible for the program due to his under employment and part time status.

WP-CWE Earmark Grant
NOTE: THIS PAGE OF W-P CWE'S RESPONSE TO THE DRAFT REPORT CONTAINS PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION PROTECTED BY THE PRIVACY ACT AND HAS BEEN OMITTED FROM THE FINAL REPORT

36

# MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE WESTCHESTER-PUTNAM COUNTIES CONSORTIUM FOR WORKERS EDUCATION AND TRAINING, INC.

A MEETING OF THE DIRECTORS OF THE WESTCHESTER-PUTNAM COUNTIES CONSORTIUM FOR WORKER EDUCATION AND TRAINING INC. ("THE CONSORTIUM") WAS HELD AT THE OFFICE OF ROY BARNES, ESQ. LOCATED AT 258 SAW MILL RIVER ROAD, ELMSFORD, N.Y. ON OCTOBER 20, 2003

PRESENT AT THE MEETING WERE:

EDWARD DOYLE, PRESIDENT/PROJECT DIRECTOR
ROSS PEPE, DIRECTOR
JOHN DARIN, PRESIDENT OF NADAP
PAT PLOGER, DIRECTOR
ROY BARNES, SECRETARY-TREASURER/DEPUTY PROJECT DIRECTOR

Director Ucci could not be present at the meeting but was available to participate in any important decision by telephone.

The Directors reviewed the minutes of the meeting that was held on October 10, 2003. After discussion, upon motion made, seconded and carried the minutes were adopted.

John Darin submitted a copy of the Consortium's Financial Statement for the year ending December 31, 2002. The statement had been prepared by the Consortium's accountant T.S. Anand & Company. The directors reviewed the financial statement and questioned John Darin and Roy Barnes regarding several items contained in the statement. Mr. Barnes explained that the item in the statement for professional fees in the amount of \$411, 025 for the program for the year ending December 31, 2002 reflected payments made by the Consortium to NADAP. NADAP had been sub-contracted to provide the Consortium's services. Mr. Darin explained that the Consortium had an obligation to file continuing disclosure statements with the Department of Labor and Federal agencies, and stated that his organization is responsible for operating the Consortium's programs through the NADAP organization. Mr. Barnes explained that this alleviates a continuing

funding problem and waiting for grants of this nature in which the grant is made months prior to the release of any funds.

Following review, the Directors adopted the Financial Statement prepared by the Consortium's accountant for the year ending December 31, 2002.

Mr. Darin reported on the successful Construction Career Day held at the Peekskill Armory on April 4, 2003. He also reported that a video tape was made of the Career Day which would be distributed to all of the Affiliates of the Building Trades Council and employers that participated in the Career Day program.

Mr. Barnes recommended that video copies of the Career Day be prepared for distribution to other interested parties so that next year's Career Day could be even more successful. The Directors agreed with Mr. Darin's and Mr. Barnes' recommendations.


Mr. Darin reported on the activities of the Consortium, and that the Consortium had received grants in the amount of \$450,000 for fiscal year 2003-2004. He reported that applications have been made for Federal Grants with Nita Lowey and Sue Keily and that he anticipated that some monies would be forthcoming from the Federal Government. However, the funding problems resulting from the Iraq War may stymie the Consortium's efforts to gain Federal Grant money this year.

Mr. Darin reported on the application made by NADAP to the Yonkers Workforce Investment Board to operate the One-Stop Center in the City of Yonkers. This matter was held up due to the mayoral elections for the City of Yonkers. It was noted that the current One-Stop operator in Yonkers was not putting any effort into the training and recruiting of workers for the building trades. NADAP is independent from the Consortium but NADAP will work with the Consortium if the application is approved.

Mr. Darin distributed a copy of the Program Activities of the Consortium for the period September 2003 through April 2004. He reported that successful classes have been completed by the Bricklayers, Carpenters, Operating Engineers Local 30, and Sheetmetal Workers. New programs are being developed for the next fiscal year.

Ross Pepe recommended that the next Career Day include a special session be devoted to Employers in the area regarding the recruitment efforts of the Consortium and the availability of work opportunities in the construction trades through the Career Day program. He recommended a special seminar be conducted and that Employers be invited to attend. The Directors agreed.

Mr. Barnes reported that the Mount Vernon School District Project Labor Agreement, the Pelham School District Project Labor Agreement, and the New Rochelle School District Project Labor Agreement contained provisions that require that the Building Trades agree to the Pre-Apprentice program and that individuals that complete the program be referred to the respective Building Trades Apprenticeship Program for apprenticeship training.



## Westchester-Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education and Training, Inc.

20 South Broadway, Suite 1201, Yonkers, NY 10701 • 914-709-1373 Fax 914-709-1377

September 20, 2006

Mr. Mark L. Schwartz Regional Inspector General for Audit US – DOL New York Regional Audit Office 201 Varick Street New York, N.Y. 10014

Dear Mr. Schwartz:

In preparation for the receipt of the \$500,000 Earmark Grant, the Westchester-Putnam Counties Consortium for Worker Education and Training, Inc. (WP-CWE) entered into a sub-contract agreement with NADAP, Inc in April 2002. I worked closely with Lucy Redzeposki, NADAP's Director, before, during and after the implementation of the grant activities.

I hereby certify that the following activities took place as part of the implementation of the Pre-Apprenticeship Classes that were held with monies provided by the \$500,000 earmark grant:

Prior to implementation of the classes, various meetings were held with Ms. Redzeposki to identify the trades that would participate in the Pre-Apprenticeship classes, provide guidance on how to proceed in contacting the identified Unions, determine the scope of the Pre-Apprenticeship classes and the recruitment strategy to be used.

 I contacted various unions to obtain their support of the programs and follow up on the work performed by NADAP.

- I routinely met and discussed with Lucy the status of recruitment, the background on the proposed trainees, and made the final decision as to which Pre-Apprenticeship classes would be held.
- While the trainings were taking place, I met with Ms. Redzeposki to discuss the status of the classes.
- Once the classes were completed, I worked with Ms. Redzeposki to provide guidance and assistance to ensure that our trainees were accepted into the State Certified Apprenticeship Programs.

I provided feedback to NADAP, Inc. as to their performance as per their contractual obligations.

Sincerely,

Eddie Doyle President

## Westchester-Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education and Training, Inc.

20 South Broadway, Suite 1201, Yonkers, NY 10701 • 914-709-1373 Fax 914-709-1377

September 19, 2006

Mr. Mark L. Schwartz Regional Inspector General for Audit US – DOL New York Regional Audit Office 201 Varick Street New York, N.Y. 10014

Dear Mr. Schwartz:

The Westchester-Putnam Counties Consortium for Worker Education and Training, Inc. (WP-CWE) entered into a sub-contract agreement with NADAP, Inc. to manage all aspects of the \$500,000 earmark grant which included the fiscal operations. I worked with Emily Kadin, NADAP's Finance Director, later NADAP's Chief Financial Officer, to review and approve, the fiscal operations of the Consortium.

I hereby certify that the following activities took place as part overseeing NADAP's management of the Consortium's finances as per the sub-contract agreement:


- Meetings were held with NADAP's Finance Director/CFO to develop the budget for the awarded funds.
- Monthly meetings were held to review, ensure NADAP's adherence to the budget to review and approved monthly transactions and to discuss any financial issues that arose.
- I reviewed the bank statements to ensure account activity was appropriate.
- I reviewed programmatic expenses to ensure that they were in line with plan and approved modifications if necessary.
- I authorized NADAP to draw down funds as needed and to make payments on outstanding bills.
- I authorized NADAP to transfer payments from the Consortium bank account to NADAP's bank account for reimbursement of services rendered.

In addition, NADAP's Finance Director/CFO did not think it was appropriate that the WP-CWE time sheets for Eddie Doyle and me were not countersigned before the NADAP payroll specialist received them. To correct this, I authorized the President of NADAP to sign the time sheets, not as an approval of our time, but as an indication that the time sheets were officially submitted for processing.

Sincerely,

Roy Barnes

Secretary/Treasurer



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS DECEMBER 31, 2002

	Pag	ge No.
Independent Auditors' Report		1
Statement of Financial Position		2
Statement of Activities		3
Statement of Functional Activities		4
Statement of Cash Flows		5
Notes to Financial Statements	6	- 8



# T.S. Anand & Company Certified Public Accountants

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors
Westchester - Putnam Counties Consortium
For Worker Education & Training, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying statement of financial position of Westchester - Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education & Training, Inc., as of December 31, 2002 and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the organization's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Westchester - Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education & Training, Inc. as of December 31, 2002, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

T. S. Anand & Company CPAs, P.C.

May 29, 2003

-1-

1350 Broadway, Suite 913, New York, NY 10018 Tel: (212) 695-5889 • Fax: (212) 695-0796 www.tsanandco.com

#### STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION DECEMBER 31, 2002

#### **ASSETS**

CH	rrent	Asse	te.
$\circ$ u	ICIIL	MOOG	w.

Cash	\$ 45,332
Grants receivable	212,389
Total Current Assets	257,721

#### Property & Equipment:

Office equipment	8,555
Less: accumulated amortization	(2,983)

Net property & equipment \_\_\_\_\_\_5,572

#### Other Assets:

Security deposit	10,253
Total Assets	\$ 273,546

#### LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

#### Liabilities:

Accounts payable	\$ 193,163
Due to Department of Labor	18,489
Deferred revenue	2,315
Total Liabilities	213,967

#### Net Assets:

Unrestricted	59,579
Total Net Assets	59,579

Total Liabilities and Net Assets \$ 273,546

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

-2-

#### STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

#### Support and Revenue:

Grant income Miscellaneous income	\$ 629,300 377
Total support and revenue	629,677
Expenditures:	
Program services Management & Administrative	624,955 0
Total expenditures	624,955
Change in net assets	\$ 4,722 =======
<u>Unrestricted</u> Net assets – beginning of year Excess	\$ 54,857 4,722
Net assets – end of year	\$ 59,579

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

-3-

		STATEMEN FOR THE YE	STATEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002	IAL ACTIVITIES EMBER 31, 2002			
		P	Program Service				
		DOL	ETA	Invest	Total Program	Management & Administrative	Total
Support & Kevenue Grant income Miscellaneous income	69	476,615 \$	129,685 \$	23,000 \$	629,300	\$ 377	\$ 629,300
Total Support & Revenue		476,615	129,685	23,000	629,300		629,677
Expenditures Salaries		86 602	31 214		117 006		74
Payroll taxes		8,126	3,524		11,650		11,650
Fringe benefits		3,334	000		3,334		3,334
Professional fees		347.656	47.919	15.450	411,963		411,025
Program supplies		1,600	34,045	429	36,074		36,074
Consultants		11,250	10,518		21,768		21,768
Office expense		303	414	1.304	2.021		7,845
Stipends		355	831		1,186		1,186
Equipment & Furniture				1,472	1,472		1,472
Depreciation		1,711			1,711		1,711
Total Expenditures		476,615	129,685	18,655	624,955		624,955
Excess of Support & Revenue over Expenditures	69	69		4,345 \$	4,345	\$ 377	\$ 4,722

Cash flows from operating activities:

Net cash used in financing activities

Net decrease in cash

Cash, end of year

Cash, beginning of year

# WESTCHESTER - PUTNAM COUNTIES CONSORTIUM FOR WORKER EDUCATION & TRAINING, INC.

#### STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

Change in net asset	\$
Depreciation	1,711
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to net cash used in operating activities	
Increase in grants receivable	(232)
Increase in security deposits	(141)
Increase in accounts payable	48,359
Decrease in deferred revenue	(174,427)
Net cash used in operating activities	(120,008)
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Net cash used in investing activities	0
Cash flows from financing activities:	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

-5-

(120,008)

165,340

\$ 45,332 =======

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2002

#### Note 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

This summary of significant accounting policies of Westchester - Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education & Training, Inc. is presented to assist in understanding the organization's financial statements. The financial statements and notes are representations of the organization's management who is responsible for their integrity and objectivity.

#### Organization Description

The Westchester - Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education & Training, Inc. (the Organization) provides qualified applicants from Westchester and Putnam Counties an introduction, education and pre-apprenticeship training in the Building and Construction Industry in Westchester and Putnam Counties, New York. The organization was incorporated on August 25, 1998 and began operations in January 1999.

#### Tax Status

The Organization is a not-for-profit organization and has elected to be exempt from federal income taxes under section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, no provision for federal or state income taxes is required.

#### Financial Statement Presentation

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 117, "Financial Statements for non-for-profit organizations," the organization is required to report information regarding its financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted net assets, temporarily restricted net assets, and permanently restricted net assets. In addition, the Organization is required to present a statement of cash flows. As required by this statement, the Organization has discontinued its use of fund accounting for external reporting purposes and has, accordingly, reclassified its financial statements to present classes of net assets. It continues, however, to maintain its books and record utilizing fund accounting to comply with the requirements to its various funding sources.

In accordance with SFAS no. 116, "Accounting for Contributions Received and Contributions Made," contributions received are recorded as unrestricted, temporarily, or permanently restricted support depending on the existence and/or nature of any donor restrictions.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2002

#### Note 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### Credit Risk

Financial instruments that are exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primary of cash, grants and loans receivable. The organization places its cash and investments with high credit quality institutions. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures accounts up to \$100,000.

The grants receivable consist of amounts due from state funding sources. Because the grants and other fundings are evidenced by signed contracts management believes there is negligible credit risk associated with these amounts, and therefore, no allowance for doubtful accounts is considered necessary

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Revenue Recognition

Amounts due from government agencies are costs incurred and consist of unreimbursed expenditures of the current year. Similarly, amounts due to government agencies represent the excess of advances received over expenditures incurred. The acceptability of these amounts, as well as other expenditures of the program, is subject to final determination by the government funding source.

#### Property and Equipment

#### Property and

equipment are stated on the statement of financial position at cost less accumulated depreciation. These amounts do not purport to represent replacement or realizable values. Certain expenditures for property and equipment incurred during the current period are included as expenses in the statement of functional activities because the purchase of these items were reimbursed by governmental funding sources where the contractual agreement specifies that title to these assets rests with the funding source rather than the Organization.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2002

#### Note 2 GRANTS RECEIVABLE

Grants receivable at December 31, 2002 is as follows:

Due from Department of Labor

\$ 212,389

=======

#### Note 3 DEFERRED REVENUE

Deferred revenue represents the amount of the grant which will be recognized in the following year.

#### Note 4 DUE TO DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

This amount represents funds received from the Department of Labor which the Organization has yet to issue expenditures to support these funds. The Organization may be required to pay this amount back at a later date.

#### Note 5 LEASE COMMITMENT

The organization entered into a five-year lease commencing on March 1, 2001 for office and training facilities located at 20 S. Broadway, Yonkers, New York. The lease provides annual minimum lease commitments as follows:

#### Year ended December 31

occorribor or,	
2003	\$29,094
2004	\$30,548
2005	\$32,076
2006	\$ 5,389

-8-

# ATTACHMENT B WESTCHESTER - PUTNAM **COUNTIES CONSORTIUM** FOR WORKERS EDUCATION & TRAINING, INC. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS **DECEMBER 31, 2003**

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS DECEMBER 31, 2003

		Page No.
Independent Auditors' Report		1
Statement of Financial Position		2
Statement of Activities		3
Statement of Functional Activities		4
Statement of Cash Flows		5
Notes to Financial Statements		6 - 8



## T.S. Anand & Company Certified Public Accountants

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors
Westchester - Putnam Counties Consortium
For Worker Education & Training, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying statement of financial position of Westchester - Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education & Training, Inc., as of December 31, 2003 and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the organization's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Westchester - Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education & Training, Inc. as of December 31, 2003, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Y. S. Anand & Company CPAS, P.C.

April 26, 2004

-1-

1350 Broadway, Suite 913, New York, NY 10018 Tel: (212) 695-5889 • Fax: (212) 695-0796 www.tsanandco.com

#### STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION DECEMBER 31, 2003

#### **ASSETS**

17110	rant	Acc.	ata:
CUL	I CIII	Ass	EIS.

 Cash
 \$ 59,169

 Grants receivable
 109,368

 Other receivable
 9,145

Total Current Assets 177,682

Property & Equipment:

Office equipment 8,555
Less: accumulated amortization (4,694)

Net property & equipment 3,861

Other Assets:

Security deposit 10,253

Total Assets \$ 191,796

#### LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

Liabilities:

Accounts payable \$ 129,773

Total Liabilities 129,773

Net Assets:

Unrestricted 62,023

Total Net Assets 62,023

Total Liabilities and Net Assets \$ 191,796

========

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

-2

#### STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

#### Support and Revenue:

Grant income Miscellaneous income		\$	749,180 <u>56</u>
Total support and re	evenue	<i>-</i> 1	749,236
Expenditures:			
Program services Management & Administrat	ive	_	765,281 0
Total expenditures		_	765,281
Change in net assets			(16,045)
Net assets – beginning of y	ear		59,579
Prior year adjustment (Note	e 4)	_	18,489
Net assets – end of year		\$	62,023
		==	======

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

	1,500								197 °			
	Total	749,180	749,236	83,005	133	62	95	37,245	765,281	(16,045)	A <sub>0</sub>	ar.
		69								49		
	Management & Administrative	\$	99							\$ 56		
5	Total Program	749,180	749,180	83,005	133	62	95	37,245	765,281	(16,101)		Sec
S CONSORTIUM VAINING, INC. ACTIVITIES BER 31, 2003	Invest	<b>€</b> >			560	62	88	7,856	14,389	(14,389) \$		*
STCHESTER-PUTNAM COUNTIES CONSORTI FOR WORKER EDUCATION & TRAINING, INC. STATEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003	Program Services ETA	462,315 \$	462,315	20,830	133			37,245	462,315	69		atements.
WESTCHESTER-PUTNAM COUNTIES CONSORTIUM FOR WORKER EDUCATION & TRAINING, INC. STATEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003	Progre DOL	286,865 \$	286,865	62,175	216,970		7	,	288,577	(1,712) \$		these financial sta
>		€								60		l part of
		Support & Revenue Grant income Miscellaneous income	Total Support & Revenue	Expenditures Salaries Payroll taxes	Fringe benefits Professional fees	Program supplies	Office expense	Stipends Equipment & Furniture	Depreciation Total Expenditures	Excess of Support & Revenue over Expenditures		The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.  -4-

#### STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

Cash flows from operating activities:		
Change in net asset Depreciation	\$	(16,045) 1,711
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to net cash provided by operating activities		
Decrease in grants receivable Increase in other receivable Decrease in accounts payable Decrease in deferred revenue		103,021 (9,145) (63,390) (2,315)
Net cash provided by operating activities	_	13,837
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Net cash used in investing activities	_	0
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net cash used in financing activities	_	0
Net increase in cash		13,837
Cash, beginning of year	_	45,332
Cash, end of year	\$	59,169

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

-5

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2003

#### Note 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

This summary of significant accounting policies of Westchester - Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education & Training, Inc. is presented to assist in understanding the organization's financial statements. The financial statements and notes are representations of the organization's management who is responsible for their integrity and objectivity.

#### Organization Description

The Westchester - Putnam Counties Consortium For Worker Education & Training, Inc. (the Organization) provides qualified applicants from Westchester and Putnam Counties an introduction, education and pre-apprenticeship training in the Building and Construction Industry in Westchester and Putnam Counties, New York. The organization was incorporated on August 25, 1998 and began operations in January 1999.

#### Tax Status

The Organization is a not-for-profit organization and has elected to be exempt from federal income taxes under section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, no provision for federal or state income taxes is required.

#### Financial Statement Presentation

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 117, "Financial Statements for non-for-profit organizations," the organization is required to report information regarding its financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted net assets, temporarily restricted net assets, and permanently restricted net assets. In addition, the Organization is required to present a statement of cash flows. As required by this statement, the Organization has discontinued its use of fund accounting for external reporting purposes and has, accordingly, reclassified its financial statements to present classes of net assets. It continues, however, to maintain its books and record utilizing fund accounting to comply with the requirements to its various funding sources.

In accordance with SFAS no. 116, "Accounting for Contributions Received and Contributions Made," contributions received are recorded as unrestricted, temporarily, or permanently restricted support depending on the existence and/or nature of any donor restrictions.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2003

#### Note 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

#### Credit Risk

Financial instruments that are exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primary of cash, grants and loans receivable. The organization places its cash and investments with high credit quality institutions. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures accounts up to \$100,000.

The grants receivable consist of amounts due from state funding sources. Because the grants and other fundings are evidenced by signed contracts management believes there is negligible credit risk associated with these amounts, and therefore, no allowance for doubtful accounts is considered necessary

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Revenue Recognition

Amounts due from government agencies are costs incurred and consist of unreimbursed expenditures of the current year. Similarly, amounts due to government agencies represent the excess of advances received over expenditures incurred. The acceptability of these amounts, as well as other expenditures of the program, is subject to final determination by the government funding source.

#### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated on the statement of financial position at cost less accumulated depreciation. These amounts do not purport to represent replacement or realizable values. Certain expenditures for property and equipment incurred during the current period are included as expenses in the statement of functional activities because the purchase of these items were reimbursed by governmental funding sources where the contractual agreement specifies that title to these assets rests with the funding source rather than the Organization.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2003

Note 2 GRANTS RECEIVABLE

At December 31, 2003, grants receivable consisted of the following:

Due from Department of Labor

\$ 109,368 ======

Note 3 DEFERRED REVENUE

Deferred revenue represents the amount of the grant which will be recognized in

the following year.

Note 4 PRIOR YEAR ADJUSTMENTS

Management has elected to write off \$18,489 received in 1999 from a funding source. It is management's belief that this amount is no longer due to the funding

source.

-8-

TEL (845) 278-6983

#### Attachment C

FAX (845) 279-1077



#### SHEET METAL WORKERS CRAFT TRAINING FUND

OF WESTCHESTER, ROCKLAND, PUTNAM, DUTCHESS, ORANGE, ULSTER SULLIVAN, FAIRFIELD AND LITCHFIELD COUNTIES

P.O. BOX 119 BREWSTER, NY 10509



August 3, 2006

Lucy Redzeposki Westchester-Putnam Consortium For Worker Education & Training, Inc. 20 South Broadway, Suite 1201 Yonkers, New York 10701

Dear Lucy:

As you know, we partnered in 2003 to hold a Pre-Apprenticeship training session to prepare individuals to enter and succeed as a member of the Sheet Metal Local 38. We prepared individuals to either enter the Apprentice Program or join the Local as a Classified Worker. The enrollment process included an assessment of the individuals to determine if they would in fact join the local as an Apprentice or begin as a Classified Worker. As a Classified Worker, a high school diploma or a GED is not necessary. They begin working, are encouraged to obtain their high school diploma and ultimately can join the Apprenticeship program.

A number of the participants in the pre-apprenticeship program were identified as possible classified workers. This provides them with entry-level sheet metal work while being a member of the Union. Therefore, the requirement of having a high school diploma was relaxed.

Should you have any questions or require any further information, please let me know.

Robert J. Dutra

RJD:lm

14/0 014/5	_ ,	_
WP-CWE	∟armark	Gran

NOTE: ATTACHMENT D OF W-P CWE'S RESPONSE TO THE DRAFT REPORT CONTAINS PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION PROTECTED BY THE PRIVACY ACT AND HAS BEEN OMITTED FROM THE FINAL REPORT