U.S. Department of Labor

Board of Contract Appeals 1111 20th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036



DATED: January 17, 1986

Case No. 1985-BCA-8

In the Matter of:

MANAGEMENT AND TRAINING CORPORATION

Contract Nos.13-5-0250-43 13-0-0013-43

For the Appellant St Hunter

For the Respondent
Michael N. Apfelbaum
office of the Solicitor

DECISION AND ORDER

The parties agreed and the Board finds:

- 1. MTC was the recipient of Contract Nos. 13-5-0250-43 and 13-0-0013-43 under the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973(CETA), as amended, 29 U.S.C. S801 <u>et seq.</u>, and the Act's implementing regulations.
- 2. Pursuant to the regulations, and the terms of these contracts, after these contracts were completed, Audit No. 11-4-069-03-370 was conducted.
- 3. On August 28, 1984, the Contracting Officer issued a final determination disallowing \$113,162.65.
- 4. On October 16, 1984, the Board of Contract Appeals docketed MTC's appeal contesting the costs disallowed under the final determination.
- 5. Based upon supplemental documentation, the Contracting Officer has determined that the costs at issuer are allowed and disallowed as follows:

Finding l(a) - \$145.66 remains disallowed.

Finding 1(b) - This cost is not allowed.

<u>Finding 2</u> - This cost is not allowed.

Finding 3(a) - \$68.00 remains disallowed.

Finding 4(a) - This cost is now allowed.

Finding 4(b) - \$377.82 remains disallowed.

<u>Finding 5</u> - MTC has refunded this cost and It is no longer in issue.

Finding 6(a) - This cost is now allowed.

Finding 6(b) - This cost is now allowed.

Finding 7 - \$141.46 remains disallowed.

Finding 8 - This cost was allowed in the

final determination.

Finding 9 - This cost is now allowed.
Finding 10 - \$21.66 is still disallowed.
Finding 11 - \$11,071.77 is still disallowed.

Finding 12 - This cost is now allowed.

- 6. MTC acknowledges these remaining disallowances, totalling \$11,826.37.
- 7. Within 30 days of the signing of this settlement, MTC will refund, from funds other than CETA and the Jot Training Partnership Act, this \$11,826.37, representing the remaining disallowances under these contracts.

The matter is dismissed with prejudice.

GLENN ROBERT LAWRENCE U.S. Department of Labor Board of Contract Appeals, Administrative Law Judge

I concur:

E. Earl THOMAS, Vice Chairman, U.S. Department of Labor Board of Contract Appeals

I concur:

SAMUEL B. GRONER, Member, U.S. Department of Labor Board of Contract Appeals, Administrative Law Judge