MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

Subject: A Study Regarding Berlin Prepared in Response to NSC Directive No. 58 of June 30, 1961

The Interdepartmental Coordinating Group on Germany and Berlin has prepared a report in response to the NSC Directive of June 30, 1961 (National Security Action Memorandum #58). This report is not an attempt to evaluate the merits of the courses of action described in the various attached papers. It is rather an effort to describe the courses of action in sufficient detail to permit judgments to be made concerning them. A discussion of the decisions required to carry out these courses of action follows immediately.

The report itself is organized into a summary of the development of the courses of action through the projected crisis period, which summarizes the integrated timetable, followed by these annexes:

- Annex A Integrated timetable of actions.
- Annex(B A detailed examination of military preparations.
- Annex C Permanent increase in U.S. military strength (not yet available).
- Annex D OCDM submission.
- Annex E A detailed examination of the covert actions.
- Annex F A detailed description of the economic countermeasures.
- Annex G An analysis of the effect of the courses of action on the United States economy and balance of payments.
- Annex H A detailed examination of the public information aspects.
- Annex I Preliminary considerations on the timing and nature of negotiations on Berlin.
- Annex J Considerations affecting Western attitude toward

 East German personnel on access routes (checkpoint procedures).

SECRET

IMMINENT DECISIONS

This section deals with the decisions that will need to be taken soon, in order to launch the courses of action described in this report.

Underlying these specific decisions, of course, is a more fundamental one: whether the broad courses of action indicated in this paper should be accepted as a basis for our approach to the Berlin problem.

1. <u>Military Preparations</u>. A decision will be needed as to whether, and in what degree, a progressive mobilization of US resources and manpower should now be undertaken.

The arguments in favor of early large-scale mobilization are:
(i) It might deter the Communists from blocking our access. (ii) It would make it possible to carry out the proposed military ground response to the blocking of allied access promptly after blocking; the four months needed to call up, train, and deploy units from the reserve components could be completed by 15 December, if they were started now. (iii) It could result in an improvement of the free world's military posture, which would be valuable regardless of how the Berlin crisis turned out. (iv) It would give us a head start in seeking to gain a more favorable ratio of forces in the event that the Soviets, with greater ready reserves but lesser over-all capacity, tried to out-mobilize us.

The arguments against early large-scale mobilization are:

(i) It might engage the Soviets' prestige and thus make it more difficult for them to abandon their threats to block our access. (ii) It could frighten off US allies, who might consider that it would prevent a strategy of diplomatic maneuver; resulting allied disunity might encourage the Soviets to proceed with pressure against Berlin. (iii) It might not be necessary, since the Berlin stockpile, supplemented by an airlift, could keep Berlin going for a sufficient period to inaugurate preparations for ground combat after our access had been blocked, (even though the resulting capability would, of course, be less than if preparations were started now and continued for four months after access was blocked). (iv) It might trigger psychological fears of war and shortages in the US which could lead to inflation and thus require controls on the economy.

The choice need not, of course, be between black and white alternatives; it might be one of degree. Some kinds of early preparations will be necessary and may be helpful as a deterrent, even if it is decided to have a period of several months! final preparations

after

after blocking of our access before proceeding to ground action, and even if it is concluded that large-scale and dramatic preparations would be counter-productive in their effect on Soviet intentions.

In any event, the choice should be based on a systematic analysis of the political and military implications of alternative levels of early mobilization and of the concomitant actions which we would like our Allies to undertake and their probable reactions. The attached paper was put together so quickly that no time was available for the Departments of State and Defense to prepare such an analysis.

One specific point to be covered in any decision on military preparations is whether now to request an additional \$3-5 billion for the DOD FY 62 budget, before the Senate Appropriations Committee passes on that budget. It might well be desirable to do this, even if it is decided not to initiate immediate large-scale mobilization. This increase could be presented to the public as our response to the over-all world situation, rather than to an acute Berlin crisis both to minimize the psychological impact on the US economy referred to in the Treasury-CEA submission and to avoid seeming to dramatize an ostensible challenge to the Soviets over Berlin.

2. The DOD Budget. The NSC June 30 Directive called on the Secretary of Defense to submit recommendations concerning the magnitude and character of a permanent long-term increase in the size of the US defense establishment (i) which might be planned now in addition to any preparations to enhance specific Western capabilities for fighting over Berlin; and (ii) which might be executed, if and when Soviet actions regarding Berlin (e.g., blocking of access and resisting Allied forces) seemed to foreshadow a long period of greatly heightened world tensions. The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State were also asked to submit recommendations concerning actions that might be taken to bring the prospect of this planned increase to the Soviets' attention.

The object of this course of action would be to deter Soviet pressures on Berlin, by convincing the USSR that such pressures could lead to a lasting step-up in US defense expenditures, such as followed the Korean War. (Since that step-up would not be begun until late in the crisis, it would probably not take effect in time to improve our fighting capabilities for dealing with that crisis.)

The DOD response to this part of the Directive was still in preparation when this report was assembled. Defense representatives at the 13 July meeting hope to be able to present proposals.

The concept

- 3 -

The concept of planning a post-Berlin permanent increase in the DOD budget is believed sound. The magnitude and character of that increase can be resolved as soon as the DOD submission is at hand; further questions of Congressional consultation can then be considered.

F(b)(1) (8)

In principle, this seems sound. The real questions arise in connection with scale and timing.

It is suggested that the basic course of action be approved, subject to continuing decisions by the Secretary of State as to scale and timing.

- 4. Defense Mobilization and Civil Defense Measures. An Annex to this report includes recommendations on defense mobilization and civil defense. These recommendations will need to be coordinated with the Department of Defense before decisions on them can be taken.
- 5. Economic Countermeasures. No new decisions are now required. Inter-Allied planning for economic pressures on the Bloc is well underway. If and as this planning generates need for Presidential decisions, these decisions will be sought.
- 6. <u>US Economic Dislocations</u>. If early, large-scale, and dramatic military preparations are to be undertaken, fears of war and prospective shortages could lead to hoarding, scare buying, and price increases in the absence of controls. If the program is a gradual and modest build-up not specifically keyed to the Berlin crisis, on the other hand, no severe inflationary problem may arise. Decisions about economic controls should thus be postponed until it has been decided on what scale military preparations are to go forward.
- 7. Public Information. An Annex to this report outlines a proposed public information program. One specific component of this program calls for decision: the proposal for an early Presidential speech, to be made shortly after publication of the US reply to the Soviet aidememoire. No further decision seems needed to launch this information program; the USIA and the Department of State should do so immediately.
- 8. Negotiations. An Annex to this report outlines possible alternative U.S. negotiating positions. Decisions on these alternatives

can be

- 4 -

can be postponed until serious negotiations seem more imminent than they do now. Indeed, it would be undesirable to freeze our negotiating position this early in the game.

- 9. Western Attitude Toward East German Personnel Along the Access Routes. The issue here is whether the Western Powers should allow the East Germans to carry out the same procedures regarding access as the Soviets now do, as recommended by Mr. Acheson, or whether the existing contingency plans should be maintained providing for the introduction of a new procedure, when the Soviets leave the check-points, which is less favorable to the East Germans. The arguments pro and con are summarized in Annex J. Further staff work would not seem to be useful; an early decision is required, since the British are pressing for clarification of our position on this point.
- 10. Other Issues. Three issues are not dealt with in this report:
 - (a) The Allied Reaction. This can more usefully be considered as part of our attempt to resolve the specific issues indicated above than as a separate subject in itself.
 - (b) UN. The timing and manner of possible UN involvement in the Berlin crisis will need to be studied further by the Department of State.
 - (c) <u>Congressional Consultation</u>. This should be considered after decisions have been made on the specific items outlined above.

SECRET

SUMMARY OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE COURSES OF ACTION

A. For purposes of planning, the course of action has been projected from July 17 until January 1. This period has been divided into three phases. Each phase corresponds to a predicted development in pursuit by the Soviet Union of its present policy. Needless to say, rigid schemata of this kind seldom if ever work out with great precision in practice, regardless of how necessary they may be for the planning of a complex and inter-related program of action; the intrusion of unpredictable events is always a certainty. (In the present case, for example, it is quite likely that the issue will be taken to the UN by one nation or another if the crisis develops very far.) Moreover, if Western policy is successful the crisis will not develop through all three phases but will be arrested before that occurs. On the other hand, early stages may last longer than the schedule indicates and subsequent stages would accordingly occur later than now scheduled. For planning purposes, the dates marking transitions from phase to phase are the earliest dates on which it can be assumed the transition would ever occur.

B. The three phases are as follows:

Phase I - From now until the period during which we would anticipate a call for a "peace conference" on the part of the Soviet Union. This might come any time after the German elections on September 17, though it is probably more likely to be related in timing to the Communist Party Congress in mid-October. For purposes of planning, however, we should consider September 17 as the end of Phase I.

During this period the West must demonstrate clearly its determination to defend its vital interests at all costs. It must also set in motion a program of military and civil defense preparedness which will put it in position to undertake military action shortly after the end of Phase III if the crisis should develop through all phases and military action should prove necessary. Simultaneously it must prepare the way for use in later stages of severe measures of economic warfare on a NATO-wide basis. Initial measures should be taken, and plans laid, for psychological warfare.

At the same time the West must make every effort to obtain the support of world opinion for its basic position on Berlin and Germany and to prepare the ground for whatever negotiating stance it might wish later to adopt. The point at which negotiations with the Soviets might occur, or the manner in which they would be brought about, is impossible to state with certainty. It is assumed the West would not wish to negotiate until its preparedness had reached sufficient proportions to alter Soviet estimates of

our determination.

our determination. On the other hand, if negotiations are to occur and prove fruitful the positions of the two sides should not have hardened irreparably. Thus Phase I must be a period of flexibility. Military and propaganda measures should not be such as to challenge and provoke the Soviets. They should be principally designed to induce Soviet willingness to compromise.

Toward the end of this period the West should be in somewhat better position to negotiate, if that appeared necessary or desirable at that time, although negotiations coming in this phase would likely be principally of public relations significance. In addition, we should be in a position to move rapidly forward with more vigorous measures of military preparedness and with various forms of non-military pressure if the crisis moves into Phase II.

Phase II - From September 17 until the "peace treaty" would be signed. The final date is difficult to establish beyond the fact that it would likely be this year. December 1 would be a useful date for planning purposes.

We should be prepared to move into this phase by September 17 but we would probably not wish to do so until the Soviet Union actually made an additional move in the direction of a "peace conference". The phase would be characterized by increased pace and scope of overt preparation. The need for retention of flexibility would be somewhat reduced, but it would still be present since negotiations, if they have not yet occurred, are likely to take place in this phase. Toward the end of the phase, if we have reason to believe the Soviet estimate of our seriousness of purpose has altered, it might prove desirable to encourage by indirection the initiation of negotiations. While large-scale economic counter-measures would not be instituted in this phase, overt indications of our intention to take them at a later stage should be given. Meanwhile, economic counter-measures of lesser scale and intensity would gradually be introduced.

Phase III - From the signing of the "peace treaty", assumed to be December 1, until the Soviet Union actually turned over control of Berlin access to the GDR. Here again there can be precision in the assignment of dates. However, the period could not be expected to be longer than a month.

If the crisis develops as far as this phase, the USSR will have signed a "peace treaty". Our assumptions concerning Soviet intentions will have to be weighted more heavily on the side of their pursuing their policies to the point of a showdown on access to Berlin. Our military preparations will have to be pressed rapidly to completion, including evacuation of dependents,

etc. Our

- 3 -

etc. Our propaganda will have to concentrate more directly on the question of access so that military action on our part, if it should prove necessary, would to the extent possible appear logical and justified. Psychological warfare should be stepped up to the point that this factor becomes of real concern to the Soviets in determining their next moves. Preparations for large-scale aconomic counter-measures should be made so evident that their implementation upon any interference with our access can be assumed by the Soviet Bloc to be virtually automatic.

ANNEXA

TIMETABLE

INTEGRATED T DETABLE

DIE

- The timetable of military actions in phase one has been divided into
 two-week periods, since greater precision has been possible here than
 in other fields where it is generally desired that actions begin as
 seen as possible in the phase. Densetic encountry controls are an
 exception to the last point, since it is considered that they should
 austit a decision on the level of military buildur.
- 2. The listing of military items has been based on a preliminary Defence draft and may require revision in the light of ear changes which may appear in the final Defence contribution. Hereever, Defence recommendations as to the magnitude and character of a permanent increase in the size of the United States defence establishment have not been received in time for inverporation in this timetable.
- Globel countermeasures of a military seture are listed in Ames B but in the absence of a detailed study no attempt has been made to include them in the timetable.
- 4. With the exceptions noted, the timetable provides a comprehensive listing of possible measures. He attempt has been made therein to distinguish between these items which are indispensable to the basic courses of action involved and these which could be drapped without measuremently projudicing the courses of action.

Economic (countermeasures and Political Measures Military Messures Information Program domestic measures) Covert Actions OCDM Measures ** 1. A Presidential report to the Countermeasures (Action is Defense unless other-1. Political - Visit by the nation, possibly in the form of a 1. Consultation with MATO and President or the Secretary to MITO wise noted) televised address to a joint and key European capitals to urge subsequent planning and initial session of Congress, outlining the preparations by MATO countries to full support for our position and July 15-31, 1961 nature of the crisis and presenting establish pecessary authority and our policies. a persuasive statement of Western administrative capability for (Action ... White House, State) 1. Increase in draft calls. implementation of economic counter policy. This should presumably come shortly after the publication measures. (Action - State) 2. Expansion of wilitary and of our reply to the June A industrial production hase. 2. Designation of a State-Aide Memoire. (Action - White House, State) Sumerce -Treesury working group 3. Increase in rates of production to draw up detailed plans, inof non-molear assumition and 2. Provision of briefing materials cluding requirements, mecessary selected supplies and equipment. for schelerated U.S. ! plementato U.S. Mission Chiefs abroad. supplemented from time to time as tion of economic countermeasures. 4. Commandement of pre-stocking appropriate, to enable them to (Artion - State, Commerce, of essential non-nuclear weapons keep key officials of foreign Treasury) and supplies in Europe. governments apprised of our position (Action - State, USIA) Domestic Economy* 5. Preparation to conduct naval 1. Request for Congressional and sir heresement messures. 3. Coordination of our information authorisation for the President activities closely with those of to institute a temporary freeze the UK France and Germany, possion all wages, salaries, prices bly with an initial quadripartite " The elements relating to buildup and rents, pending passage of more meeting of information experts. of military strength in this detailed legislation. (Action - State, USIA) timetable are based on the goal of The controls described here are designed to deal with the situaapplying the six-division plan in 4. Approaches to other MATO memtion which would result from an Europe. bers through our MATO Mission to early, dramatic and large-scale ** Annex D includes recommendsurge them to promote the Western military buildup. tions on civil defense and defense position through their own informamobilisation. These recommends_ tion media programs and through tions will need to be coordinated their overseas posts. with the Department of Defense (Action - State) before any decisions on them can be taken. They have therefore not been included in the timetable.

TOP SECRET

Political Measures Military Measures Moonomic (countermeasures and Information Program Covert Actions domestic measures) 6. Review of readiness status of 2. Request for Congressional 5. Preparation for earliest all STRAC units and supporting authorisation for comprehensive possible issuance of a background units together with appropriate price, rent, wage and salary pemphlet on Berlin, Eventuel see and air support, and the Controls, consumer gredit and translation into foreign languages ensuring of a capability for early real estate credit controls. for distribution abroad, Action deployment. settlement of labor disputes. has been begun. (Action - State) requisitioning and general volum-7. Stope to ensure an improved 6. Political - August 1 - Declaratery credit restraints. 6. Publication of feature articles ability to deploy forces rapidly tion of limited metional emergency. (Action - Treasury, CEA) on Berlin in newspapers and periodto Europe by MATS, CRAP, and MSTS. (Action - Defence and other agenicels. (Action - State, USIA) cies) 3. Request for Congressional grant 5. Preparation for utilisation of of discretionary authority to the 7. Expansion of public speaking aircraft at wartime rates. program by Department and Foreign President to sell excess materials from strategic stockpiles for Service Officers, (Action - State) 9. Initiation of measures to incounterspeculative purposes. crosse readiness of U.S. and Allied (Action - Treasury, CEA) 8. Institution of a program of forces in Europe to include conperiodic conferences to provide timmed efforts to complete tri-4. Request for Congressional aubackground briefing for U.S. news media, representatives of influenpartite planning tasks. thority for the President to meet shifting revenue and economic tial non-governmental organisations 10. Impresse in combat recdiness stabilization meeds by raising or etc. (Action - State. USIA) of selected reserves preparatery lewring the first-bracket to call-up. personal income tex rate within 9. Provision of background materi-5 percentage points of its statual to CENTO, SEATO and the OLS. 11. Initiation of measures to intory level. (Action-Treasury, CEL) (Action - State) crease readiness for general war. 5. Consultation with other govern-10. Provision of beckground material to Radio Free Europe and ments concerning movements of capital from one mational currency Radio Liberty. (Action - State) to another or from currencies to gold that may arise out of ammie-11. Program of West German sponsored visits of fereign ties about the international peliti journalists to West Berlin. cal situation, with a view either to preventing such mevements or to (Action -State) offsetting their effects on the foreign exchanges. (Action -Treasury, CEA)

PHASE I

Amount 1 - 15 12. Begin filling up STMF division feroes to extent possible vithout earling up reserves (20,000 men). 13. Stepping of dependent travel to Europe. 14. Extension of emistants, duty tours of draftees, and everseas tours. 15. Begin building 7th Army up to TUE strength by adding 7,000 men. 26. Begin pertial mobilisation (assuming a limited actional emergency and been declared about faculties and for counteracting speculative influences the stand-by legislation preparation for a special legislative Teak Feroe, chaired by a Presidential designee, to draft the stand-by legislation preserved above. 16. Begin pertial mobilisation (assuming a limited actional emergency had been declared about faculties and trained above. (Astion - Treasury, CEA) 17. Novement of one division to Europe by air and see as a training exercise, and return it in 30 or 60 days. 18. Begin calling up 3 - 6 division forces, one per month. 19. Begin calling up 21 AEO (fighter squadross.)	Hilitary Measures OCDM Measures	Pelitical Heasures Covert Actions	Sconomic (countermeasures and domestic measures)	Information Program
	2. Begin filling up STRAF division ferces to extent pessible without selling up reserves (20,000 men). 3. Stepping of dependent travelue Europe. 4. Extension of enlistments, duty sewers of draftees, and everseas cours. 5. Begin building 7th Army up to 100 strength by adding 7,000 men. August 16 - 31 6. Begin pertial mobilisation assuming a limited national emerciacy had been declared about sugust 1) 7. Hevement of one division te surope by air and sea as a training exercise, and return it in 30 or 60 days. 8. Begin calling up 3 - 6 ivision forces, one per month. 9. Begin calling up 21 ANG		powers to control outward movements of funds and to protect the United States mometary gold stock. (Action - Treasury, CEA) 7. Consultation with our allies concerning international machinery for assuring flows of basic material to their most important uses here and abroad and for counteracting speculative influences. (Action - Treasury, CEA) 8. Establishment of a special legislative Task Force, chaired by a Presidential designee, to draft the stand-by legislation prescribed above. (Action - Treasury, CEA) 9. Establishment of a Task Force to sharpen and perfect detailed preparation for an emergency economic stabilisation pregram to be instituted coincident with a buildup of force for the Berlin crisis. (Action - Treasury, CEA) 10. Development of plans for a new stabilisation agency.	

PRASE T

Military Measures CCDM Measures	Pelitical Measures Covert Actions	Economic (countermeasures and domestic measures)	Information Program
20. Begin nevel sugmentation of 121,900 men, 89 ships, and 23 ASW equadroms, if decision to under- take blockeds has been made.		11. Acceleration by the OCDM of preparedness to take emergency stabilization action for limited or general war. (Action - OCDM)	
21. Increase in amphibious lift capability (86 ships).			
22. Increase in SAC ground alert to 50 percent,			·
23. Obtaining of SAC overflight rights from Spain. (Action - State, Defense)			
24. Arrangement for use of nuclear weapons from French bases. (Action - State, Defense)			
September 1 - 17			
25. Completies of filling up STRAF division forces.			
26. Deployment of 6 ANG fighter squadroms, 6 TAC squadroms to Europe.			
27. Retention of Demaldson, MacDill, Chemnault fields.			
28. Begin withdrawal of dependents and non-essential personnel from Europe.			
29. Deployment of 2 STRAC divisions to Europe.			
			•

F(b)(1)

TOP SECRET

PRASE II

	10		
Military Measures OCDM Measures	Political Headures Covert Actions	Economic (countermeasures and domestic measures)	Information Program
1. Calling up of 6 ANG C-97 squad- rens. 2. Deployment of 4 divisions to	1,	Countermasures 1. Restrict economic magnificans with USSR to most essential matters (Action - State)	Continue programs begun in Phase I,
Rarops,		2. Cancel arrangements for Seviet	•
3. Deployment of 12 fighter squad- ross to Europe.		participation in exhibitions, trade fairs, scientific conferences and other international meetings	,
4. Nobilization of CMP.		scheduled in Western countries (MTO). (Action - State, Commerce)	
		3. Cancel arrangements for Western (MATO) participations in exhibitions, trade fairs, scientific conferences, and other inter-	
	•	mational mostings scheduled in Soviet blee countries. (Action - State, Commerce)	•
		4. Undertake discussions at highest levels of government with	
		MITO Powers re possibility of instituting total emberge	
•		(experts, imports, finessial controls, etc.) against Seviet bles. (Action - State)	
		· 1	•

PHASE II

Hilitary Heasures OCDM Measures	Political Meedures Covert Actions	Economic (countermeasures and domestic measures)	Information Program
		5. Interrupt official discussions of new credits for USSR and satellites, or terminate official credits and expert eredit guarantees, as appropriate, and other similar notions of such a neture as to convince Seviete ENTO has every intentian introduce occomic counter- measures. (Action - State) 6. Arrange for slewdown in issuance of expert licenses for shipments to Seviet blac. (Action - Commerce)	
·	-	Percetic Renewr 1. Statement to Chanceller Adequare that the U.S. expects the Federal Republic to provide assistance to offset U.S. dellar expenditures in connection with additional deployment of ferese. (this would coour following the German elections).	
		S-17	•

HB)(1)

TOP SECRET

PHASE III

Military Hassures COUNT Measures COUNT Actions Rounding measures 1. Deployment of 6 transport squadress to Europe. 2. Institution of pacific blockude or neval blockude as accessary. 3. Implementation of 6-division plan in Europe as accessary. (As Expansion of mobilisation, taking other military actions, as moosganry. 2. Institution of mobilisation, taking other military actions, as moosganry. 2. Institution actually actions, as moosganry. 2. Institution of mobilisation, taking other military actions, as moosganry. 2. Institution of mobilisation, taking other military actions, as moosganry. 3. As a state of mobilisation of the mob
acundress to Europe. 2. Institution of positic bleckeds or anval blookeds as secossary. 3. Implementation of 6-division plan in Europe as secossary. (This could be done at any time after lower of the country of the

Military Measures OCDM Measures	Political Measures Covert Actions	Economic (countermossures and demostic messures)	Infernation Program
		5. Bring action to repossess Seviet lend-lesse ships in Allied parts. (Action - Justice).	
	·	6. Initiate harasseemts concerning decumentation, inspections, delay, or technical requirements of Seviet blee shipping at Allied parts. (Action - Commerce, Treasury).	
		7. Delay ship's servicing (bunkering, lightering, prevision-ing, naval steres) to Seviet blee shipping. (Action - State, Commerce).	
		8. Regulate nevement of Seviet blec vessels in Allied perts. (Action - Tressury).	
		9. Refuse to enter into new contracts to charter shipping to Seviet blue countries. (Action - Commerce).	
		10. Refuse ship's servicing to Seviet blee shipping. (Action - Commerce, Treasury).	
		11. Initiate harasseems similar to those described for Seviet blee shipping for Seviet Zome of Germany and/or blee rail and inland unterway traffic. (Action - State, Defense)	
. •			•

Information Program							•
Resoule (seutermenture and demetic measure)	12. Repaid expert control measures against blee, including selective embrye. (Action - State, Commerce, Treasury, AEC).	13. Harnes Seviet trade missions, including Anterg offices. (Action - State, Commerce, Justice).	14. Implement countermeasures against USR and "GR" in form of controls ever tramsport, Macures to be taken here are these listed above to be applied against Series bise supplied against trage the scope would be expanded from application by only the U.S., UK and France to application by MUO and other powers:	a. Suspend existing comfracts to charter shipping to Seriet bloc countries. (Action - State, Comerce, Iressury).	b, Class Allied parts to Seriet blos shipping. (setian - State, Commerce, Tressury).	o. Ferbid the calling at Seriet blee perts of Allied vessels and plames. (Action - State, Commerce, Treasury).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Palitical Mensures Cevert Actions							
Military Masures OCDM Mesures							

HEAST III

TOP SECRET

Hilltary Heasures OCDM Heasures	Political Measures Covert Actions	Rossenia (sountérnessures aud dousstie mesures)	Information Program
	·	15. Terminate tride agreements in- volving Seviet blee countries, (Action - State);	
		16. Demy all experts to Seviet blee countries. (Action - State, Commerce, Treasury, ABC).	
		17. Step all Western imports from Seviet-blee countries. (Action - State, Commerce, Treasury).	
		18. Presse er seise, as apprepri- ate, all blec assets under philodistion of MTO Powers. (Setion - State, Treasury).	
		19. Institute total trade embarge. Out off all Western financial fheilities to (prohibit current transactions with) USER and other blee members and their maticals. (Action - State, Commerce, Transacy).	
		20. Expel Seviet technical experts and fereign trade efficials without diplomatic immunity from EMTO countries. (Action - State).	
		21. Close Seviet trade missions, including Autorg effices. (Action - State, Commerce, Justice)	
			•