## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

NATIONAL BROADBAND PLAN WORKSHOP
WIRELESS BROADBAND DEPLOYMENT - GENERAL

Washington, D.C.

Wednesday, August 12, 2009

1	PARTICIPANTS:
2	Panel 1:
3	ROB CURTIS Moderator, FCC Broadband Team
4	STEPHEN BYE  Vice President of Wireless Cox Communications
5	JAKE MacLEOD
6	Principal Vice President and Chief Technical Officer, Bechtel Telecommunications
7	NEVILLE RAY
8	Senior Vice President Engineering, T-Mobile USA
9	TOM SAWANOBORI
10	Vice President Network and Technology Strategy Verizon
11	Panel 2:
12	ED EVANS
13	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Stelera Wireless
14	BRIAN POINTE
15	Vice President of Business Development Lemko Corp.
16	ROWLAND SHAW
17	Director of Strategic Planning, Ericsson
18	SCOTT ZIMMER President, Air Advantage Moderating
19	ROB CURTIS
20	Deployment Director, FCC Broadband Team
21	NESE GUENDELSBERGER Acting Division Chief, Spectrum & Competition
22	Policy Division, WTB

Ţ	PARTICIPANTS (CONT'D):
2	JOHN LEIBOVITZ Deputy Bureau Chief, WTB
3	
4	CHARLES MATHIAS Assistant Bureau Chief, WTB
5	STEVE ROSENBERG Infrastructure Manager, FCC Broadband Team
6	initabetaccare nanager, ree Broadbana ream
7	
8	* * * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

		0				

- 2 MR. CURTIS: Thanks, everybody, for
- 3 coming. Appreciate it. The first one of these I
- 4 thought was pretty interesting and good
- 5 discussion. Look forward to another round of this
- 6 on wireless this time instead of wired this
- 7 morning. First, if everybody could turn their
- 8 cell phones off, would be fantastic, creates a
- 9 little feedback. No wireless on the wireless
- 10 panel.
- I think what we're going to do is we had
- originally structured this as two back-to-back
- 13 panels. I think we're just going to open it up
- and have, you know, one longer panel where
- 15 everybody interacts. That format worked pretty
- 16 well this morning. So I think that what we'll do
- is just go right around the table. I think you've
- all got, you know, I think three minutes on
- 19 presentations. Give a little intro on yourself.
- 20 You'll get a yellow light on the timer in front at
- 21 two. You get red at three and please try to stick
- 22 to the time.

1 And then I think, you know, the general

- 2 format is after that goes through, we'd like to
- 3 have a really open discussion so it won't be, you
- 4 know, single question directed at someone, try to
- 5 get a discussion going, kick things around the
- 6 table. Really want to encourage different points
- 7 of view, different thoughts about, you know, how
- 8 to make things work, what barriers are and things
- 9 like that. That's the general direction we want
- 10 to head.
- 11 So with that, Stephen, if you want to
- 12 kick us off and, you know, take us through your
- introduction.
- MR. BYE: Well, I don't have a
- presentation so I'll just speak for a few minutes.
- 16 Firstly, my name is spelled with Stephen, as an
- "e" before the "n." But thank you for the
- opportunity to come in and be on this panel. I
- 19 think this is a very important topic.
- 20 Cox has been an innovative leader in
- 21 communications and entertainment for some number
- of years. We were one of the first cable

1 companies to offer a facilities-based telephony

2 service in 1997. In fact, we're the first company

6

- 3 to offer a bundle of voice, video, data on a
- 4 facilities based infrastructure back in '97.
- 5 We're at an exciting point of our
- 6 development in that we're re-entering the wireless
- 7 business. We were successful in acquiring AWS and
- 8 700 megahertz spectrum licenses and are in the
- 9 process of building out an infrastructure. While
- it's 3G, it's 4G ready. And we're also working on
- 11 trials later this year looking at LTE and the
- viability of that technology to be commercially
- deployed in the spectrum and the licenses that we
- 14 acquired.
- Some might ask, you know, sort of why
- now? Why are we doing this? And why build? We
- have a very trusted relationship with our
- 18 customers. We have a very -- a good customer
- 19 base. To date about two-thirds of our customers
- are buying two products from us, about a third of
- our customers are buying all three, and we look at
- 22 wireless as bringing sort of the mobility

1 component to voice, video, and data services that

- 2 we offer today.
- 3 Our customers have expressed an interest
- 4 in buying those services from us and we see
- 5 ourselves as a total communications provider and
- 6 being able to deliver and support those services.
- 7 An interesting nuance is, though, we don't really
- 8 talk about it as much as a quad play, as much as
- 9 it is wireless as an aspect of the delivery and
- 10 bringing mobility to those other products and
- 11 those other services, and we think that's very,
- very important as we go from within the home and
- 13 customers expect us to deliver and enable those
- 14 services outside of the home.
- 15 And if you look at sort of why build and
- 16 why now, we think we're at sort of a frontier, or
- a new frontier, with mobile broadband adoption and
- 18 mobile Internet broadband access. And so we feel
- 19 that sort of having spectrum, having the network
- 20 assets and the infrastructure to grow and support
- 21 the demand for those services, is very, very
- 22 important and being able to control the customer

1 experience and deliver against the promise that we

- 2 provide to our customers is very, very important.
- 3 And as we look at building, you know, we feel that
- 4 that gives us the control points. It's
- 5 complemented with the wholesale arrangements we
- 6 have with other carriers that are very important
- 7 to us to be able to deliver the service both
- 8 within our markets -- because we are a regional,
- 9 local facilities-based provider -- but also out of
- 10 our markets, because our customers expect to have
- 11 those services beyond our own footprint, and so we
- want to be in a position to be able to support
- 13 that.
- So, you know, we look at this initiative
- 15 with the FCC, together with the NTIA and RUS, as a
- 16 very important initiative that I think will
- advance sort of the country's economy,
- 18 particularly through bringing broadband access to
- more people.
- 20 And so with that, I'm happy to be here
- 21 to represent Cox, our customers, and our employees
- 22 in this initiative.

1 MR. CURTIS: Great. Thanks, Stephen.

- 2 Jake?
- 3 MR. MacLEOD: I'm Jake MacLeod,
- 4 principal, vice- president and CTO for Bechtel.
- 5 Bechtel is a 110-year-old private company,
- 6 engineering company. We have 6 different business
- 7 units, and our 2008 revenues were just north of
- 8 \$30 billion. We do business all over the world.
- 9 One of those business units is a communication
- 10 business unit, and we have constructed and --
- 11 well, we've built or modified over 110,000 cell
- 12 sites around the globe, and so we approach this
- deployment in a very regimented way. Our
- 14 processes and procedures are constantly challenged
- with Six Sigma efforts to ensure that we're up to
- speed on everything that we do.
- 17 From the communication's sector, at the
- 18 end of last year I sent out about three of my guys
- 19 to a large city in the U.S., and we took readings
- on various technologies and tried to define the
- 21 user experience in a very non-scientific way. I
- 22 sent them to 6 different -- or had several

different technologies and we had 10 tests per

- 2 technology and then we aggregated the average
- 3 there. So you'll see on the next -- keep this
- 4 slide for a moment -- but I just wanted to show
- 5 you some of the applications that are driving the
- 6 bandwidth in the United States, both wireless and
- 7 fixed. You've got everything from the
- 8 telepresence, which requires a full DS3 --
- 9 dedicated DS3. You've got telepresence, you've
- 10 got telemedicine now. There are devices that will
- allow you to transmit records, high-speed records.
- 12 You've got distance learning. So, the average --
- it's estimated that the average household demand
- 14 today is over 28 megabit.
- So why do we need broadband? Well, this
- is just the tip of the iceberg. Let's go to the
- 17 next slide please.
- Okay, this is what I was talking about
- 19 earlier where I sent the team out and we
- downloaded a 5 megabit file.
- 21 And you can see -- I can barely see the
- 22 slide, so I apologize -- but back when GPRS first

1 came out, we thought that was smoking and that was

- 2 a great technology, but look where we are now. If
- 3 we were dependent on GPRS to transport our data
- 4 now, you know, we could have three generations
- 5 waiting for that 5 megabit file to download.
- 6 So, this is a non-scientific comparative
- 7 of the typical user experience in a large city in
- 8 the U.S. And I just wanted to put that forward as
- 9 a platform from which we can speak because today's
- 10 discussions are going to center around the
- 11 broadband user experience. Thank you.
- MR. CURTIS: Great. Neville?
- MR. RAY: Well, good afternoon,
- 14 everybody. Thank you again for the invitation
- 15 here today. T-Mobile is very focused on mobile
- broadband and the deployment of mobile broadband,
- something we are very invested in and we look
- forward to the discussion today and the ongoing
- 19 panels.
- T-Mobile is in the middle of continuing
- 21 to aggressively roll out its 3G network here in
- 22 the U.S. We launched 3G services last year in

1 2008. And in 2009, we are continuing to

- 2 aggressively expand the reach of that network to
- 3 more and more of the consumers in the U.S.,
- 4 driving new competition and, we believe,
- 5 obviously, a lot of innovation.
- 6 This slide talks to what's really an
- 7 explosion coming at us in terms of wireless
- 8 broadband. There are about 4 billion wireless
- 9 users today across the world. About 10 percent of
- 10 those today have mobile, web, or web-based
- 11 services on their mobile devices.
- 12 This projection shows that number
- 13 significantly expanding over the next two to three
- 14 years where you can see mobile connections to
- 15 broadband will outweigh significantly those from
- 16 fixed network providers.
- 17 If we go to the next slide, one of the
- 18 key issues that we see, both here in the U.S. and
- in other parts of the world, but specifically in
- 20 the U.S., is that the ongoing deployment and
- 21 success of wireless broadband deployment hinges on
- 22 more spectrum being made available in a number of

1 bands. If you look at the penetration rates of

- 2 spectrum that's available for commercial services
- 3 today in the U.S., it's extremely high. The
- 4 demand from consumers is ever- growing and
- 5 ever-burgeoning on the wireless providers. As an
- 6 example, the G1 product that we launched last year
- 7 is consuming over 300 megabits per month. It's
- 8 phone-like, you know, mobile web device, phone
- 9 form factor, but driving extreme usage on the
- 10 network.
- 11 So, new spectrum is critically important
- 12 not only to improve the speed of service that we
- 13 can deliver to consumers, but also the quality and
- 14 capacity.
- And last but not least, we need a
- 16 significant slug of new spectrum to move into this
- 17 opportunity.
- 18 The next slide, quickly. So technology
- 19 evolution. I think the great story from wireless
- is that you see a massive amount of investment
- 21 from both the carrier community around the world
- 22 and the vendor community to ever increase and

1 enhance the capability of wireless networks.

- 2 T-Mobile today is running on HSPA. That's
- 3 supported by more than 250 operators worldwide.
- 4 HSPA has a very, very rich evolution path. This
- 5 year we will start the deployment of HSPA+
- 6 services that will support throughputs north of 20
- 7 megabits.
- 8 And going forward, LTE obviously, a
- 9 great evolution path for HSPA and GSM-based
- 10 companies. And the exciting piece here is the
- 11 technology, I believe, is keeping pace on the
- wireless front with the ever-growing demand from
- 13 the consumer.
- So, I'm out of time. I'll wrap my
- 15 comments. Thank you.
- MR. CURTIS: Thank you. Tom?
- MR. SWANOBORI: Good afternoon. My name
- is Tom Swanobori. I'm vice president of network
- 19 planning and technology for Verizon Wireless.
- 20 Our company shares the vision of
- 21 broadband availability for all Americans. Since
- 22 2004, we've invested over \$80 billion both in our

wired and our wireless networks, and we're

- 2 planning to make additional investments in fourth
- 3 generation technology over the next few years.
- 4 So, I'm pleased to be here in Washington, D.C., to
- 5 discuss those plans with you and to assist the
- 6 Commission.
- 7 Verizon Wireless has been at the
- 8 forefront of an industry deploying wireless
- 9 broadband data. We started with that back in 2002
- 10 with our second generation IxRTT network and then
- 11 quickly moved into our third generation network
- 12 known as EVDO, or Evolution Data Optimized.
- 13 So that network today provides downlink
- speeds on the order of 800 kilobits per second to
- 1.4 megabits per second and 5- to 800 kilobits per
- second on the uplink. Our network covers over 280
- million POPs and nearly 1.8 million square miles,
- 18 so that covers both urban, suburban, and rural
- 19 areas as well.
- 20 But now we're on the verge of deploying
- 21 fourth generation technologies and we're deploying
- 22 a technology known as LTE, or Long Term Evolution.

1 So, LTE is going to allow Verizon Wireless and

- 2 other carriers to offer a really premium,
- 3 high-speed wireless broadband with significant
- 4 improvements in throughput capacity and
- 5 performance. We expect that LTE technology is
- 6 going to deliver in the 5 to 12 megabit per second
- 7 average rates on the downlink with peak rates
- 8 potentially in the 10s of megabits per second.
- 9 As with all wireless technologies, those
- 10 performances are going to vary depending on your
- 11 signal strength conditions, load, and mobility,
- but with our 700 mega spectrum, we expect to have
- 13 a robust and broad deployment.
- We have successfully trialed LTE
- 15 technologies and are preparing for deployment in
- 16 25 to 30 markets next year with an aggressive
- 17 national roll out we hope to accomplish by 2013.
- 18 Second slide please? Thank you.
- 19 LTE and other broadband technologies are
- 20 going to have a significant impact on the way
- 21 Americans work, live, and play. We think that the
- 22 customers are going to achieve significant value

1 through mobility, through the ability to work

- 2 remotely, move on the go, and access the Internet
- 3 while on the move. By enabling consumers to
- 4 access broadband with higher speeds and capacity,
- 5 LTE and other 4G technologies will provide
- 6 consumers with even greater value.
- While these wireless networks will
- 8 provide higher capabilities, they will not be able
- 9 to match the kind of throughput you'll see on
- 10 wired technologies such as our fiber optic network
- 11 known as FiOS. However, we think that there's
- 12 still complement for these, both technologies to
- 13 exist, of the value of mobility and, in many
- 14 cases, wireless broadband may be the only
- technology available so that this 5 to 12 megabits
- per second average will be more than adequate for
- 17 today and the future applications for those where
- 18 they don't have a wire connection.
- But beyond people connecting people, we
- 20 believe at Verizon Wireless it's important to
- 21 connect -- to make multiple connections so that
- devices such as machine-to- machine, consumer

1 electronics, and other utility applications, for

- 2 example, may be enabled in the future.
- 3 So, we're excited about the future of
- 4 broadband. We're doing our part both on the wired
- 5 and wireless side to expand that, and we look
- forward to your questions and comments.
- 7 MR. CURTIS: Thanks. Ed?
- 8 MR. EVANS: Thank you. Good afternoon,
- 9 everyone. My name is Ed Evans. I'm the founder
- 10 and CEO of Stelera Wireless out of Oklahoma City.
- 11 We were founded a couple of years ago when we
- 12 bought 45 AWS licenses across the country with one
- goal in mind and that was to go build rural
- broadband only networks. We don't offer voice.
- To date, we are online in over 20
- 16 communities, communities that are 20,000 people
- and below, true rural, and we go in as a true
- 18 replacement or, in many cases, the first true
- 19 broadband provider. Because we were a green field
- 20 build, we were able to go out and start with HSPA
- 21 at a higher level than what's typically seen in
- the U.S. today. We are out at 14.4 MIPs download

1 today and 2 MIPs up. We are seeing peak speeds

- 2 above 10 MIPs on our network today and our average
- 3 throughput on the downlink side is somewhere
- 4 between 2 and 4 MIPs. We have enjoyed significant
- 5 success in the very early stages. Our earliest
- 6 markets have been online now for 15, 16 months,
- 7 and we've seen household penetration rates above
- 8 15 percent in those markets today. More
- 9 importantly, we've seen our churn rate of less
- 10 than 1 percent in those markets today with average
- 11 revenues in the \$35 to \$45 range depending on the
- 12 market.
- We are in the process of building out 55
- 14 communities around the U.S. today and that will
- complete phase 1 by the end of this year. And
- then next year, we will begin building out an
- 17 additional 250 cities across the U.S. We have
- 18 about 600 cities, 6 million POPs under our license
- 19 today, and we expect to continue to build those
- 20 out.
- 21 We are unique in that we don't really
- 22 advertise a mobile solution so much as we do

1 position as being more nomadic. Our devices look

- 2 like a traditional cable modem or DSL modem. It's
- 3 a device that you take home and you set on our
- 4 computer, your desktop next to it, or we do also
- 5 offer a USB dongle connection if somebody prefers
- 6 that, but 95 percent of our customers do look just
- 7 like a traditional DSL or cable modem type of
- 8 customer where they take the device home. The
- device has WiFi built into it, so in their house
- 10 they have a wireless technology there. They then
- 11 have our technology to go from the device out to
- the cell site, and then from the cell site we use
- long haul microwave, flat IP all the way through
- 14 -- 150 to 300 microwave networks to loop around
- and go back to a large city. Once we get to the
- larger city, then we pick up long haul Ethernet
- 17 connections and lease that back to our core in
- 18 Oklahoma City.
- We are a flat IP network today that is
- 20 getting some of the lowest latency that we've seen
- on any wireless network of less than 90
- 22 milliseconds across the network, so applications

1 such as Voice Over IP are working very effectively

- 2 on the network today.
- 3 We have no intention of rolling out
- 4 traditional circuit switch products. On the
- 5 network, again, we are purely a data play and we
- 6 expect to be able to continue and grow that pretty
- 7 substantially going in for the next five years.
- 8 Thrilled to be here today and thank you
- 9 very much for the invitation.
- 10 MR. CURTIS: Rowland.
- MR. SHAW: Very good, thank you. And
- thanks for the opportunity to be part of this
- 13 panel.
- 14 My name is Roland Shaw and I'm
- 15 responsible then for our strategy for Ericsson
- here in North America. And the way I thought, to
- sort of at least get the discussion going for this
- panel, is to have a look at some of the
- 19 fundamentals behind the business case, right,
- 20 versus the speeds and feeds.
- 21 Now, my colleague Neville Ray showed a
- variant of this slide. Mine has bars and his had

1 -- his was solid. But nonetheless, I think -- I

- 2 won't bore you with sort of the details because I
- 3 think it was very well explained. Just a couple
- 4 of takeaways. I think just notice the growth of
- 5 the mobile broadband that is projected out to
- 6 2014. That is starting to comprise at least 80
- 7 percent of the overall market.
- 8 This is important in that in many
- 9 markets around the world, this is going to be the
- only broadband access people have. So really the
- 11 request here in our market is let's take advantage
- of the position we have today to be able to drive
- innovation such that these solutions and this
- capability can also be used in other markets.
- So, I think that's what we'll stick with
- on that slide. If we move on to the next slide,
- 17 please, we'll spend a little more time here.
- 18 What I'm wanting to address is a few of
- 19 the fundamentals behind the business -- again, the
- 20 sustainability of the business model. If you have
- 21 a look up on the vertical axis, this is really the
- 22 situation we find ourselves in today where we are

1 address predominantly people and we are providing

- 2 more and more services, more and more
- 3 converge-type services, i.e., driving the usage up
- 4 the axes.
- 5 If one has a look along the horizontal
- 6 axis, this is the exciting part, this is what we
- 7 are seeing is the extreme innovation coming from
- 8 all our leading carriers here in North America.
- 9 We just heard the statements now from Tom just a
- 10 minute ago, how getting into the whole machine-to-
- 11 machine environment, going beyond the people, this
- is a very exciting space for us to be in and
- 13 starting to open up an environment for many new
- 14 applications where we can start to integrate
- enterprise business process with the communication
- 16 model. This is also going to open up a new
- funding channel to be able to fund these services.
- 18 So ultimately, what we would like to see
- is how that little blob you see in the bottom
- 20 left-hand corner, to move up in a diagonal
- 21 direction, right? So you are driving usage, but
- then we're also driving a lot of innovation into

other markets. For example, the automation now of

- 2 the electric grid in terms of the meter reading.
- 3 These are just some sort of small examples of how
- 4 we start to leverage the networks beyond people,
- 5 right, towards some of our modeling that we've
- done, to 2020, globally expected 50 billion
- 7 devices versus where we are today, connecting 4
- 8 out of the 6 billion people. So this is an
- 9 exciting space.
- 10 So, I think the way I would summarize
- and then the takeaway is if we can really just
- 12 address standardizations to achieve economies of
- scale and not then have sort of barriers put in
- 14 place by not using sort of commercial,
- off-the-shelf type equipment, particularly for
- 16 things like public safety and utility markets.
- 17 Okay?
- MR. CURTIS: Thanks. Scott?
- 19 MR. ZIMMER: Well, good afternoon. And
- 20 I, too, thank you for inviting me to this panel
- 21 and look forward to your questions. I feel a
- 22 little bit like a David among the Goliaths here.

We're a very small, fixed wireless, data

1

20

21

22

2 only provider. The area that we serve is called 3 the thumb of Michigan. If you look at the slide, you'll notice that there aren't any big cities, 5 there aren't any interstate highways that travel through our market. We serve a population density 7 of about 50 people per square mile. That compares to the state average of about 175, and yet we're doing a lot of the things that some of the other 9 10 panelists talk about. We've got, in Huron County, which is at 11 12 the tip of the thumb, there are seven rural school 13 districts that have distance education opportunities because of the wireless network that 14 we've been able to build in Huron County. We've 15 got telemedicine opportunities in these rural 16 hospitals that are being deployed over our 17 wireless network. And I think it's important to 18 also state that we use an unlicensed frequency. 19

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING
706 Duke Street, Suite 100
Alexandria, VA 22314
Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

We're in a very rural area. Interference is

sometimes a challenge, but it's not as big of a

challenge as it is in some of these bigger markets

1 that people talk about when they're talking about

- 2 the unlicensed frequency.
- We started our business in 2002. We've
- 4 got about 4,500 customers on our market. We feel
- 5 like we make a difference in these people's lives
- 6 because they don't have other choices. They don't
- 7 have DSL, they don't have cable available to them.
- 8 We are in the truly rural market. People are able
- 9 to telecommute because they have a broadband
- 10 connection. They have cost-effective options to
- interact with other communities, other places in
- 12 the world via the Internet.
- One of my favorite projects is a
- 14 Community Connect Broadband Grant that we were
- able to obtain from the United States Department
- of Agriculture Rural Utility Service. And quite
- frankly, without their help, without the funding
- 18 that we get through the Rural Utility Service, we
- 19 probably would not be in business today. But in
- 20 the town that I grew up in, in Unionville,
- 21 Michigan, there's a library there that -- this
- 22 community has about 500 people. We put a

1 community center in that library as a part of

- 2 Community Connect Broadband Grant and now over 300
- 3 people a month enjoy free Internet access using
- 4 those library facilities so that they can get on
- 5 the Internet and interact with the world outside.
- 6 Education, we provide distance education
- 7 services. There are currently about -- during the
- 8 school year, about 17 classes a day that are
- 9 shared among 7 schools in Huron County and a
- 10 couple schools throughout the state of Michigan
- 11 where they have the ability to get advanced
- 12 placement courses and online college credits
- 13 because broadband is available to them. Without
- 14 the Air Advantage network or any wireless network
- in a rural environment, those services simply
- 16 would not be available to them.
- 17 So I look forward to talking to you
- 18 about that today and look forward to your
- 19 questions.
- 20 Thank you again for having us on this
- 21 panel.
- 22 MR. CURTIS: Thanks, Scott. Brian?

1 MR. PONTE: Thank you, Rob. Thank you

- 2 for the invitation to join this panel.
- 4 Corporation. We make a very innovative 4G network
- 5 platform. I'll talk a little bit more about it in
- 6 my presentation, but the four key points I want to
- 7 talk about is the importance of mobile broadband,
- 8 is the first point. The second point is the
- 9 network architecture that ultimately will be
- 10 necessary to support all this growth that you're
- 11 seeing everybody talking about on their slides,
- 12 and then maybe a little bit of a unique
- 13 perspective in terms of how this architecture
- impacts rural carriers. That's the market base
- that we're really participating in today. And
- then the importance of the spectrum to rural
- 17 carriers.
- So, the first thing I like to do is look
- 19 at the term "smartphone." I really believe the
- 20 term is a misnomer.
- 21 You know, the iPhone, the G1, these are
- really computers with voice applications included.

1 And why do consumers value these devices? They

- 2 value these devices because they provide always-on
- 3 access to applications and information that's
- 4 enabled by the Internet.
- 5 Earlier this year, a major carrier
- 6 reported that percent of their direct sales were
- 7 smartphones. And so I think with (inaudible)
- 8 voice -- as we saw in the voice in the industry,
- 9 consumers want to take the Internet with them
- 10 wherever they go. Fixed broadband will always be
- an important element in the communications
- infrastructure, but consumers are voting --
- 13 consumers vote with their dollars and they're
- 14 voting for mobile. We're seeing five times as
- much growth for mobile access as we are for fixed
- 16 access according to a recent FCC report.
- To support this rapid shift in Internet
- 18 access, the mobile networks need to converge onto
- 19 the Internet. This goes beyond just the use of IP
- 20 facilities to back all traffic to a converged core
- 21 and say that we're Internet ready. In the true
- 22 Internet model the wireless nodes, what we used to

1 call cell sites, will connect as peers on the

- 2 Internet cloud and support truly mobile services.
- 3 Without this distributed network architecture, the
- 4 back haul requirements for all this data will
- 5 increase as much as 10 times over previous
- 6 generation mobile networks.
- 7 It used to be that the radio was the
- 8 bottleneck in the networks. Now, with fast radio
- 9 technology we're seeing that the back haul is
- 10 becoming the bottleneck.
- 11 For rural carriers, this Internet-based
- 12 network model is a double win. The first thing
- that it does is it helps pull the Internet cloud
- out into the rural communities and the second
- thing is that by virtually eliminating back haul,
- 16 we can reduce the operating costs of their
- 17 networks by 65 percent. Rural carriers now have a
- 18 sustainable business model for delivering mobile
- 19 broadband services to their local customers. Go
- 20 to slide 2.
- 21 The second slide shows a side-by-side
- 22 comparison of a 4G SAE that's for system

- 1 architecture evolution.
- 2 MR. CURTIS: Brian, if you can wrap in
- 3 about 15 seconds, that would be great.
- 4 MR. PONTE: Okay, I'll wrap it up. So
- 5 that it compares the two networks, it shows the
- 6 back haul requirements in the phase 1, and what
- 7 you really need to do in terms of getting a phase
- 8 2 network architecture.
- 9 As a wrap-up, the need for the rural
- 10 communities -- the rural carriers really is
- 11 spectrum. Without spectrum it's difficult for
- them to serve their customers and the spectrum is
- available, it's unused, but getting access to it
- is their challenge. Thank you.
- MR. CURTIS: Thanks very much. Thanks
- 16 everyone for kicking us off.
- 17 As I said at the beginning, I think
- we're going to try to have a pretty open
- 19 conversation. We'll go through some thematic
- 20 questions, kick them around the room and see where
- 21 things go.
- I guess to set the table, you know,

we're focused on trying to figure out how to

- 2 provide broadband, to be defined, to people that
- 3 don't currently have broadband. And I guess, you
- 4 know, at the forefront of everyone's mind, at this
- 5 panel anyways, is the extent to which wireless is
- 6 a solution to that problem. So why don't we
- 7 start, you know, digging a little deeper?
- 8 And let's start with Ed and then Scott,
- 9 and get your take on, you know, how you guys think
- 10 about how far you can push wireless out into
- 11 places that have really poor, you know, either
- 12 straight-up density or linear density and how you
- overall see wireless networks competing with or
- 14 being substitutes for at least the minimum
- 15 broadband experience that you need to wire a
- 16 network.
- 17 And then we'll just start moving that
- around, and my guess is we'll spend a fair amount
- of time peeling that onion back a little deeper
- into the different issues as we go along.
- 21 MR. ZIMMER: Well, I'll start off with
- 22 that. As far as penetration, you know, when

1 people call us and say, hey, we have no broadband

- 2 here, you know, what can you do for us? My answer
- 3 to them is all it takes to get broadband to a
- 4 customer is time and money and we've got the time.
- 5 So, if you've got the money, we can make it
- 6 happen.
- With respect to spectrum issues, you
- 8 know, it's interesting to me that many people
- 9 think of Internet access as a utility. And when
- 10 you look at your utilities as like your electrical
- 11 service and your telephone service, your plain old
- 12 -- you know, your POPs lines, those services are
- 13 really provided by one carrier for all intents and
- 14 purposes, at least in a rural environment.
- 15 There's not a lot of choice. So, you know, if
- 16 Internet is a utility and if it's so important to
- get Internet to every individual in America, then
- I think we need to really look at that spectrum
- issue and determine how we're going to split that
- 20 up so that we don't have interference issues and
- 21 you can cost-effectively bring it in a wireless
- 22 environment. And I, personally -- I mean,

obviously, I'm a little bias, but personally we do

- 2 think that wireless can be the answer, especially
- 3 in the rural market.
- 4 MR. CURTIS: Let me dig a little deeper
- 5 before we move on to Ed. So, when you think about
- 6 edging out your network or building a new area, I
- 7 presume you look at things like, you know, density
- 8 per square mile, something around demographics on
- 9 take rate. How do you think about that? How deep
- 10 can you go?
- 11 MR. ZIMMER: Well, for us, density isn't
- 12 as big of an issue because our model doesn't
- 13 really depend -- I mean, obviously if you've got
- 14 -- you put, you know, \$50,000 in equipment on a
- tower and you get 2 customers, it's not a very
- 16 effective business model, but typically that isn't
- 17 the case.
- In the rural markets that we serve,
- 19 vertical assets are -- I'm not going to say
- they're readily available, but they're certainly
- 21 available in water towers, grain elevators,
- 22 communication towers, those types of things. So

when we roll out into a new area, we're not as

- 2 concerned about density because we feel like we're
- 3 providing that service in a fairly sparsely
- 4 populated area already. We've built a sustainable
- 5 model. It's working for us.
- 6 So our concerns are more, are the
- 7 vertical assets available? What's the -- you
- 8 know, is it in the middle of the woods somewhere
- 9 where we're not going to be able to propagate --
- MR. CURTIS: Propagation.
- 11 MR. ZIMMER: Yeah, so it's more of those
- types of issues as opposed to density. It's kind
- of like the "Field of Dreams," if you build it,
- 14 they will come. That's typically been our
- 15 experience.
- MR. CURTIS: Got it. Ed?
- 17 MR. EVANS: Yes, I think we've
- demonstrated in the last 18 months that wireless
- 19 certainly is a very viable part of the ecosystem
- 20 when you talk about broadband. Our experience
- 21 today where our average customer is using between
- 22 2.5 and 3.5 gigabytes of throughput on a monthly

1 basis right now, and going into communities and

- 2 how we look at that density is really what the
- 3 variable costs are, and obviously the revenue
- 4 stream to retire the cost of capital.
- 5 So, on a typical cell site for us where
- 6 we put \$125,000 worth of hardware out there and
- 7 then in a typical colo environment where you're --
- 8 call it \$1,500 a month to rent space on an
- 9 American Tower, one of those guys -- we need about
- 10 60 subscribers on that cell site in order to make
- 11 that thing turn to cash flow, break even.
- Now, I think to Scott's point, one of
- 13 the advantages of being out in rural America is
- 14 there are a lot of vertical assets out there that
- are substantially less than \$1,500. We've been
- able to get on water towers for as low as \$100 a
- month. And on a 180-foot water tower with \$100
- 18 worth of lease on that and then you're looking at
- a \$50 a month electric bill and the maintenance
- and licensing cost on the cell site stuff, we can
- 21 make that work with as little as 20 or 30
- 22 subscribers on it. And then we -- again, we

1 aggregate through microwave back to a single

- 2 long-haul Ethernet connection, so you allocate
- 3 \$200 or \$300 back to that cell site for back haul.
- 4 But we can be very cost-effective in those areas
- 5 and make that work. And I think that, to me, is
- one of the clear advantages of wireless, is versus
- 7 trying to run a piece of fiber, a piece of copper
- 8 out to that home, you're simply not going to be
- 9 able to do that.
- To your point, the customer experience
- isn't going to be the same as if I have FiOS
- 12 running into my house. It's not. You know, the
- good news is there are plenty of alternatives for
- 14 high-definition television out there between
- DirecTV and between Dish Network, that give them
- that piece that, frankly, I can't deliver today.
- 17 But I can deliver a true 7-8 MIP broadband
- 18 experience today that gets 95 percent of the
- 19 consumers more than what they have in some of the
- 20 cities around. I mean, I live in Oklahoma City
- 21 today and am a customer of one of the guys up here
- on the panel, and I get about 6 MIPs on my

1 Internet connection, which, you know what, works

- 2 great for me. I don't do much more than that.
- 3 So, knowing I can deliver that to Poth,
- 4 Texas, where there are 1,800 people around there,
- 5 I think has been an extreme positive for us. And
- 6 we estimate currently that we can get about 600
- 7 subscribers on a fully loaded cell site.
- 8 That's assuming a fully -- two carriers
- 9 up with all the channel element radios we can put
- in there, which is -- you know, call it a 48 MIP
- 11 pass-through bay station out there, we can put
- about 600 subscribers based on the data we've got
- 13 today. That's a pretty compelling story for us,
- and, yes, it seems to work. I think we're pretty
- 15 happy with it right now.
- MR. CURTIS: Do you both find that you
- are over building on top of some other broadband
- 18 technology some of the time, all of the time, none
- of the time? Or is it pretty much deliberately
- 20 none of the time? How do you think about that?
- MR. EVANS: It's varied for us. There
- 22 have certainly been markets where there's been no

one around and, as you would expect, penetration

- 2 of those markets -- we had three markets where
- 3 there was no one there. These were cities of
- 4 roughly 1,000 people. We went to 12 percent
- 5 household penetration in less than 60 days.
- And then in other areas we've overbuilt
- 7 a DSL provider that covered call it 50 percent of
- 8 the household coverage that we had. And, frankly,
- 9 we've gone into cities where there was a cable
- 10 provider there as well as a DSL provider, and
- 11 while we certainly didn't see 12 percent
- 12 penetration overnight, we've been very steady
- about picking up 6 to 7 percent penetration on an
- 14 annual basis in that environment even.
- So, we feel like we've sort of looked
- the lion in the face and have been okay.
- MR. CURTIS: And are you seeing that as
- 18 new customers to broadband or are you actually
- 19 taking -- are you --
- 20 MR. EVANS: It's been a little bit of
- 21 both. You know, while DSL is prevalent in a lot
- of rural markets, I mean, candidly, there's a lot

of bad DSL that's out there, and DSL that's

- 2 advertised at 1.5 MIPs is the maximum throughput.
- 3 As you get farther and farther away from that
- 4 central office, we've seen DSL speeds that cap out
- 5 at 256K. And those customers have been -- it's
- 6 been very easy to cherry pick those guys off the
- 7 edge of their network until you get closer to
- 8 their CO where, you know, their speeds are closer
- 9 to a MIP and a half. That's not as compelling a
- 10 story for them to make the switch, but there is a
- 11 tremendous amount of bad service out there. And,
- 12 frankly, we've had a lot of luck with
- 13 point-to-point guys that are out there that -- I
- 14 think point-to-point technology, on its own, is
- 15 pretty good.
- 16 It seems to work, but a lot of very
- small-scale providers out there that simply don't
- have the talent and resources to manage it and to
- manage some of the spectrum interference issues,
- 20 with a pretty bad customer experience. And so
- 21 we've been able to pick up a lot of that business
- 22 as well.

1 MR. ZIMMER: I would definitely agree.

- 2 You know, in our markets, we don't try to compete
- 3 with DSL and cable. I mean, quite frankly, we
- 4 can't do that. You know, we can't deliver what
- 5 they can deliver, but, again, in our rural areas,
- 6 we go where DSL and cable aren't. We go to those
- 7 areas where their plant ends. So, yes, we pick
- 8 off a few customers, but our focus is really
- 9 outside of those DSL and cable plants.
- 10 MR. CURTIS: Nese, looks like you had a
- 11 question?
- MS. GUENDELSBERGER: Yeah, I actually
- 13 want to go back to what you were saying about a
- 14 huge cell site, how many subscribers you can
- accommodate, and you gave numbers, about 60
- subscribers, 600 subscribers, and how does the
- 17 number of subscribers and the amount of spectrum
- 18 you use, and the cost of providing service in
- 19 relation to the speeds you can provide to each
- 20 customer. And, I mean, what's the --
- 21 MR. EVANS: Sort of the overall
- 22 relationship.

	GUENDELSBERGER:	

- 2 MR. EVANS: I mean, obviously, you can
- 3 go higher than 600 subscribers, but what we shoot
- 4 for is an average throughput experience of around
- 5 2 MIPs on the downlink side, is sort of how we
- 6 model it. And so, when you factor that into 600
- 7 subscribers, that would be taking a full 20
- 8 megahertz of spectrum, so 2 carriers with HSPA+,
- 9 and the 48 MIP, you know, capability that
- 10 basically using those 2 carriers to get 48 MIPs of
- 11 throughput and then using sort of a standard, you
- 12 know, 10:1 or 20:1 over subscription rate that you
- would use with any other technology, those are the
- 14 numbers that we come up with.
- So, what we would -- that number
- 16 represents is about 600 subscribers enjoying an
- average experience of about MIPs of downput.
- MS. GUENDELSBERGER: And for the back
- 19 haul?
- 20 MR. EVANS: Back haul. We don't have a
- 21 problem with back haul because we're using 300 MIP
- 22 microwave off of those cell sites, so I've got

1 plenty of back haul capacity to go back. So

- 2 there's no issue there.
- 3 Really, my throughput issue right now is
- 4 at the bay station and what I can get through the
- 5 bay station.
- 6 MR. LEIBOVITZ: I have a question for
- 7 Tom. So you had a chart out that showed Verizon
- 8 Wireless footprint, 280 million POPs, which
- 9 implies there's 20 million POPs uncovered. I
- 10 assume a lot of that you just don't have license.
- 11 But I guess my question for you generally is, what
- would it take for Verizon Wireless to go for the
- 13 last 20 million POPs?
- MR. SWANOBORI: Okay. So when I showed
- the chart, we do cover over 280 million POPs,
- 16 actually closer to 284 today throughout the United
- 17 States. We don't have licenses in Alaska today,
- 18 so that's, I think, on the order of 4 million, at
- 19 least with spectrum that we're allocating for
- 20 EVDO. So, you know, we'll continue to look at
- 21 that.
- We do cover a lot of rural areas today.

1 I think the rate on there was quite prevalent

- 2 throughout the United States. So we're continuing
- 3 to look at those rural areas where it makes sense
- 4 to deploy economically, look at the same factors
- 5 some of the other panelists mentioned in terms of
- 6 how can we economically get into these areas. The
- 7 cost of equipment is getting lower, but there are
- 8 still the issues of getting on to towers,
- 9 economically having low rents, being able to get
- 10 up there quickly, and also to be able to cost-
- 11 effectively deploy back haul.
- So those are some of the considerations
- 13 that we're looking at in terms of expanding the
- 14 footprint.
- MR. CURTIS: So, on the back haul piece,
- we heard this morning, there's only about 10
- 17 percent of cell sites have fiber back haul. Does
- that sound right to everybody generally?
- MR. SWANOBORI: I'd argue it might be
- 20 even less than that.
- 21 MR. CURTIS: Less than that. And then,
- 22 question that, not surprisingly, the wired guys

didn't know the answer to this morning was any

- 2 idea of the remaining let's call it 90 percent,
- 3 how much has microwave?
- 4 MR. SWANOBORI: I don't know.
- 5 MR. CURTIS: You guys are the wireless
- 6 guys.
- 7 MR. RAY: I wouldn't agree with the 10
- 8 percent number.
- 9 MR. CURTIS: You think it's lower?
- 10 MR. RAY: No, I think it's becoming
- 11 higher. And, you know, be that fixed Ethernet
- delivery in one form or another over fiber, over
- 13 coax, whatever it might be, you know, we are
- seeing economic forces work in major metro areas
- 15 where that is starting to change. So if I look at
- our 3G footprint today, we are certainly moving
- 17 to, you know, a fiber back haul solution
- 18 environment which is significantly greater than 10
- 19 percent. And I think that competitive forces work
- in metro areas where there's a lot of fiber, be
- 21 that from the utility company, from the cable
- 22 company, from the existing, you know, telco

1 provider. So, I think market forces are starting

- 2 to work there.
- 3 You know, those challenges do become
- 4 tougher, much tougher, as you start to thin out in
- 5 terms of POP density. So as you move to suburban
- fringe and rural areas, those opportunities are
- 7 much tougher to find, but there are good microwave
- 8 solutions, as Ed's mentioned, and some carriers
- 9 are totally deploying their back haul solutions on
- 10 a microwave basis. So, I strongly disagree with
- 11 the 10 percent number.
- MR. CURTIS: Okay.
- MR. RAY: In terms of our sites.
- MR. MacLEOD: Yeah, we're looking at
- more in the 16+ range, 16 percent plus, in the
- 16 cell site estimation that we've done and with
- 17 regard to fiber to the cell site. Obviously, a
- lot of the carriers now are moving to Ethernet,
- 19 and wireless is definitely a solution, but
- 20 typically only where you can't get fiber or
- 21 high-speed Ethernet solution. So, consequently,
- 22 the back haul issue is coming to the forefront

1 with the advent of LTE. So, as soon as you see

- 2 LTE come on to the landscape, you're going to see
- 3 all the carriers prepared, hopefully, for the back
- 4 haul, because it will be a significant issue.
- 5 MR. CURTIS: Will microwave work for
- 6 LTE, do you all think?
- 7 MR. SWANOBORI: There are microwave
- 8 solutions of significant bandwidth which will
- 9 support LTE and other fourth generation
- 10 technologies.
- MR. MacLEOD: But, again, the ultimate
- solution is fiber to the cell site. If you look
- at some of the foreign countries we deal with a
- lot, they're north of 90 percent fiber to the cell
- 15 sites. And by "cell sites" you're also talking
- about rooftops, water towers, you know, things
- 17 like that. And as we migrate more and more, the
- 18 mantra with any engineering community within the
- site or the wireless realm now is get the signal
- in the ground as quickly as possible because your
- 21 weakest link -- wireless is simply a wired system,
- 22 and the only wireless part of it is between the

1 antenna and the user device. That's it. And

- 2 that's the most unstable link in the entire
- 3 budget. So, you want to get that signal in the
- 4 ground, in fiber, as quickly as possible. And if
- 5 you have to use wireless microwave to get there,
- do it, but do it in the most expeditious manner
- 7 because that's the most unstable part of your
- 8 entire system. That's what causes the problems
- 9 and that's what limits your bandwidth as well.
- 10 MR. CURTIS: Great.
- 11 MR. PONTE: I'd like to just jump in. I
- mean, that's why, in Lemko's view, if you look at
- what will happen with SAE phase 2 implementation,
- you're actually bringing the Internet out to the
- 15 cell site, so the back haul itself, in terms of
- the need to haul this traffic back to a central
- point, is really diminished. That's where these
- 18 networks need to go, not do all the massive
- 19 engineering and work to continue to haul all this
- 20 data back to a core network point.
- 21 MR. CURTIS: Got it.
- 22 MR. BYE: And if I could add, in fact,

the network that we're building today is all

- 2 IP-connected to every cell site that we're
- 3 deploying, and within the market that we're
- 4 building, we're essentially connecting most of the
- 5 cell sites with fiber, but there are the odd
- 6 exception even with our infrastructure where we
- 7 would use microwave to pick up a couple of the
- 8 cell sites. But it's essentially all IP,
- 9 fiber-connected, and it allows us the scalability
- 10 as the bandwidth grows. And as we move to 4G, we
- 11 want to remove that bottleneck on the back haul.
- 12 But it's still, you know -- there is still an
- issue around the spectrum and available spectrum
- 14 capacity as that traffic and the data growth grows
- 15 on that wireless last link.
- 16 MR. SHAW: Got it. If I could just make
- 17 a comment. According to my understanding, that is
- one of the key building points in the telstrip
- 19 roll out that they're doing in Australia as well
- on their next G network is essentially to have a
- 21 key focus on -- in the rural areas take the
- 22 essentially Ethernet over microwave, but then get

1 it onto the fiber as fast as possible. So that's

- 2 been one of the central themes of their build out
- 3 is to have that back haul metric, in fact, taken
- 4 care of, you know, the abilities and the
- 5 capabilities that are going to be sought and are
- 6 sought from the network.
- 7 MR. CURTIS: Jake?
- 8 MR. MacLEOD: One of the things that
- 9 you're going to see in the future with regard to
- 10 the LTE deployments is a much, much lower
- 11 radiation centerline, which means the center of
- the antenna is going to be lower in order to get
- that antenna closer to the user device so that you
- 14 can maintain some semblance of stability. So
- 15 you're going to see migration from -- there's
- 16 always going to be a need for towers, big boomer
- towers, but you're going to see more and more
- 18 rooftops, street furniture, like lampposts and bus
- 19 stops and things, that are going to contain
- 20 concealed antennas. So you're going to see that
- 21 more and more as we get higher and higher
- 22 bandwidth transmitted through the system. That's

1 the only way is to shorten that wireless link.

- 2 MR. CURTIS: Got it. Pushing further on
- 3 the wireless/wireline substitutability, I guess
- 4 particularly for Tom, Neville, and Stephen, are
- 5 you guys seeing in terms of the cross-elasticities
- of, you know, wireless, wireline? Particularly
- 7 Tom in your DLS markets, are you seeing people
- 8 drop DSL and going to, you know, 3G for broadband?
- 9 And as your planning around LTE, what are your
- 10 business assumptions around that?
- 11 MR. SWANOBORI: Okay. So with regard to
- 12 the fixed versus the mobile, we are seeing -- you
- know, we have both DSL and fiber networks, so
- 14 we're clearly seeing customers who still want and
- desire DSL capabilities. And I think one of the
- other panelists mentioned that they have DSL
- 17 capabilities today, those serve most of their
- 18 needs. Clearly when we have fiber optic
- offerings, those are preferred by many customers
- 20 for the video capability as well as the broadband
- 21 capabilities. I think, you know, the wireless
- 22 mobile broadband really complements that, so

1 people -- most people want to be able to move,

- 2 have their broadband on the go, to be able to be
- 3 portable in their laptops and in their PDAs. So I
- 4 think most of our customers utilize that as a
- 5 complement, so they're using both.
- 6 MR. CURTIS: So, you don't get the
- 7 feeling in your footprint that you're getting any
- 8 substitution from your wireless -- or your DSL,
- 9 let's call it your lower-end DSL, on to your
- 10 higher speed wireless?
- 11 MR. SWANOBORI: I think it is starting
- to occur and it will probably happen more over
- 13 time as these networks get more and more robust
- and, you know, in terms of both build out coverage
- and in terms of performance.
- MR. CURTIS: Neville, do you have any
- sense as to whether you're taking lower-end wired
- 18 broadband subscribers and moving them on to a
- 19 wireless network?
- 20 MR. RAY: Yes, I mean, I think some of
- 21 that is starting. I mean, if you compare the
- 22 number of customers migrating for mobile data,

1 mobile broadband, compared to voice, I mean, it's

- 2 a significant difference in orders of magnitude at
- 3 this point in time. But if you look at the types
- 4 of offerings that are now out there, be it net
- 5 books, data cards, data sticks, I think you'll
- 6 find there's a generational thing where a lot of
- 7 younger people are perfectly happy and want a
- 8 mobile data-connected device. That may not be a
- 9 substitution argument, necessarily, but I think
- 10 that's going to grow over time, especially as you
- see more and more capable wireless networks.
- I mean, you know, the comment Ed made
- 13 earlier on about, you know, mobile broadband can
- serve the lion's share of applications and
- 15 services that customers demand from broadband.
- 16 There's this, you know, higher end HDTV, those
- 17 types of things, and there are a slew of other
- ways to get those types of services if you need
- 19 them. But mobile broadband can satisfy -- and the
- 20 mobile broadband we provide, others provide, can
- 21 satisfy a significant amount of the consumer need
- 22 for broadband.

So, I look to -- you know, we track some

- of the data ongoing in Europe, for example, and
- 3 countries like Portugal you see a significant
- 4 substitution of DSL-type services with wireless
- 5 solutions. And it is ongoing in many European
- 6 countries today where, you know, the DSL services
- 7 today are okay, but the wireless services are as
- 8 good, if not better, so you see that trend
- 9 starting to grow and continue with momentum. And
- I think it will continue on, there's no doubt.
- MR. CURTIS: Stephen, what are you
- 12 seeing?
- MR. BYE: Yes, I mean, it's a great
- 14 question and I think, you know, as we look at it,
- there's really kind of three points to consider.
- One is the geography. I think there's a dynamic
- 17 that happens within a metro market where there is
- 18 clearly a choice that the customers can make. You
- 19 know, a customer can choose to make it a
- 20 substitute if they see the value in mobility over
- 21 the effective raw bandwidth that you get now, sort
- of a cable modem service, and, you know, if

they're looking for a high speed, they can get

- 2 that in the home. If they want the value of
- 3 mobility, there's a premium associated with that
- 4 today and they're willing to pay that.
- 5 I think -- so there's a customer need
- 6 that drives that and we see it as, you know, for
- 7 us at least, being in a position to service that
- 8 need regardless of whether they see it as a
- 9 complement or a substitute.
- I think as you get further out, though,
- and you start talking about rural markets, there
- isn't necessarily a complement or a substitute
- argument because it may be the only way that they
- 14 can get broadband. So I think it plays out a
- 15 little differently across those dynamics.
- I do think there's also, you know, in
- 17 that sort of speed versus mobility, sort of an
- 18 equivalency check. And I think what's interesting
- 19 is if you look at the voice market and as that has
- approached saturation, it wasn't so long ago that
- 21 there was a premium associated with the mobility
- 22 aspect of voice. And as that premium has come

down and come closer to the sort of the wireline

- 2 pricing model, it's clearly showing that, you
- 3 know, customers are looking at that as a viable
- 4 option to their fixed-line voice service. And
- 5 perhaps over time as, you know, the capacity of
- 6 the mobile broadband Internet access can grow, and
- 7 depending on the pricing and the cost structure,
- 8 you know, there may be an equivalency argument in
- 9 the future.
- 10 MR. CURTIS: Anybody else want to jump
- in on this?
- MR. SHAW: I would just support the
- 13 statements that Stephen was making because if you
- 14 observe in some markets where the price points
- have come down, what we have observed is not
- 16 necessarily a substitution in the home, but it's
- more an extension in terms of the subscriber base,
- 18 but driven out of the usage of net books, where
- the net book is now starting to be a human network
- interface out in the mobile environment. It's
- 21 starting to become, actually, a primary interface
- 22 that folks are using and there's some very good

1 examples in Sweden where, you know, there were, I

- think, some interesting price points that were set
- 3 in the market to really stimulate and get the
- 4 service going and where it has taken off and it
- 5 can and has proven to be a real and viable
- 6 alternative, usable alterative.
- 7 MR. CURTIS: Yeah, Jake?
- 8 MR. RAY: Just from a personal
- 9 perspective. I've got four kids that don't own a
- 10 landline, full stop. They never have, looks like
- 11 they never will. So, you know --
- MR. CURTIS: Who knew?
- MR. RAY: But they live by that cell
- 14 phone.
- MR. PONTE: Yeah, I'll just add, I mean,
- we live in an information-driven society, I mean,
- and look at all the networking applications out
- 18 there and people want to know what's happening all
- 19 the time. What's happening with their friends?
- 20 What's happening with their family? I mean, the
- 21 dynamic of college kids taking phones to campus
- 22 with them completely wiped out colleges having

1 wired telephones, and they talk to their parents

- 2 four times, five times a day. I mean, if I talked
- 3 to my parents when I was in college four or five
- 4 times a semester, that was a lot, right? And so
- 5 it's just going to be natural, just as with voice,
- 6 that people are going to leave the house, leave
- 7 their office, and they're going to want to have --
- 8 it's been said -- 95 percent of what they can do
- 9 at their desk or in their home to take it with
- 10 them. It's just going to happen.
- 11 MR. LEIBOVITZ: I have to follow up with
- 12 Ed on this point. I mean, you made a business
- 13 choice to offer a device that is not a mobile
- 14 device. It's wireless using a cellular network.
- 15 I was wondering if you could maybe just talk a
- little bit about the drivers of that decision. Is
- 17 it link budget? Is it just the offering you're
- 18 trying to provide?
- MR. EVANS: Well, yes, there were
- 20 several factors that went into it. First and
- 21 foremost is that there were six guys out there
- 22 doing voice in just about every market. You know,

1 I just don't believe the world needs another voice

- 2 provider. We've kind of got that figured out and
- 3 everybody's doing a great job with it. So, we had
- 4 spectrum that was sitting out there and we said,
- 5 okay, what do we want to do? Well, what we wanted
- 6 to do was provide broadband to rural residents who
- 7 don't have it today, and if we went out there with
- 8 a traditional high mobility, wireless product, we
- 9 were very concerned that we were going to get
- 10 lumped into sort of that wireless bucket. And
- 11 until recently, the wireless mobile experience,
- 12 broadband experience, hadn't been very good. It's
- 13 getting significantly better now with the
- 14 technologies that are coming out, but we were very
- 15 concerned about being sort of lumped into that
- 16 previous experience, you know, I tried a card here
- once and I didn't like the speed sort of thing.
- 18 And, in fact, we found that going into the markets
- 19 pretty early, we marketed under the name of
- 20 Stelera Wireless initially when we went into the
- 21 markets, and quickly found out that people were,
- 22 in fact, lumping us back into sort of a

1	A		7
1	traditional	wireless	pray.

2	So, in future markets we actually
3	launched under Stelera Broadband and took wireless
4	out for a couple of reasons. One was that the old
5	cellular experience that people may have had on a
6	data card, but we were also getting lumped in with
7	the point-to-point microwave guys, which were a
8	wireless experience that wasn't very good as well.
9	And so by sort of taking that out and saying, no,
10	we're not don't think of us as a wireless
11	provider, we're a broadband provider that just
12	happens to deliver over a pretty stable wireless
13	platform, that was the thinking that basically
14	went into it is we wanted to look like a DSL
15	provider or a cable modem provider out of San
16	Antonio. Even though you're in Floresville,
17	Texas, which is 30 miles south and you don't have
18	DSL and you don't have cable, here's a box that
19	you're familiar with because you've seen it in
20	everybody else's house and you saw how that
21	worked. Well, ours does the same thing, you just
22	don't have a coax or an RJ45 cable, RJ11 cable

- 1 going in the back of it.
- 2 MR. LEIBOVITZ: So is it purely a
- 3 marketing choice --
- 4 MR. EVANS: It really was.
- 5 MR. LEIBOVITZ: -- or is there an
- 6 engineering advantage to it?
- 7 MR. EVANS: Well, there is an
- 8 engineering advantage in that we are flat IP all
- 9 the way through, so we're long haul Ethernet on
- 10 the microwave. We don't use any circuit switch
- 11 connections whatsoever, so we are pure IP all the
- way through the thing from the beginning to the
- 13 core. We've actually deployed what's called an
- 14 IHSPA technology. It's a variant that is flatter
- and takes out some elements from the core. I
- don't have an RNC as an example, which improves my
- 17 latency quite a bit.
- So, it really was -- it was a technology
- 19 choice because we wanted to look as much like a
- 20 true DSL or MSO type solution as we possibly
- 21 could. And then there was also a marketing
- 22 element to that as well, that we wanted the

1 consumer to be somewhat familiar with the

- 2 traditional ways of receiving broadband and
- 3 accepting that.
- 4 MR. CURTIS: What would be the
- 5 challenges of layering voice on top of the way you
- 6 deliver the data?
- 7 MR. EVANS: You know, there really --
- 8 there is no challenge today in delivering Voice
- 9 Over IP. Now, when we originally deployed the
- 10 network, the IHSPA variant wasn't available, so it
- 11 was a traditional HSPA network that was out there.
- 12 Latency was running 300 to 600 milliseconds across
- the network and so Voice Over IP solutions,
- irrespective of which one, would be a little
- 15 choppy and really didn't sound very good.
- Now that we're sub-100, those
- 17 applications sound great today and they work fine
- and they're stable and they work, so putting
- 19 Vonage or MagicJack or anything across the
- 20 network, it's fairly thin. It doesn't take up a
- lot of capacity on the network and it seems to
- 22 work very well.

1 So by the end of this year we're

- 2 actually working on -- we will deploy a Voice Over
- 3 IP solution to compete with the local telephone
- 4 companies, actually out in our markets by the end
- 5 of this year.
- 6 MR. BYE: Let me add something. I think
- 7 it's interesting because, John, I thought where
- 8 you were going was kind of the device. Because
- 9 what's interesting is, is you drive higher
- 10 bandwidth into a device, the battery consumption
- and the power consumption of the device goes up.
- 12 And so if you look at a lot of devices today,
- 13 running a sustained 10 megabit per second stream
- down to the device will chew through the battery.
- MR. EVANS: Sure.
- MR. BYE: And so, that is a
- 17 consideration as we go forward if mobile broadband
- 18 access is, is the device there, can it be fixed,
- do you have portability, where's the battery
- 20 technology going, and can that keep up with sort
- of the demand for the consumption of data
- 22 services. So I think that's a consideration that

1 oftentimes is sort of ignored as we talk about the

- 2 network.
- 3 MR. EVANS: I think it's a great point.
- 4 We've certainly seen that with laptops where we do
- 5 have the data sticks that are out there as well.
- 6 And those consumers have seen that when they go
- 7 mobile on it, and they are pulling down 7, 8, 9
- 8 MIPs, it starts chewing up some power. That's a
- 9 great point.
- MR. CURTIS: Steve, do you want to jump
- 11 in?
- MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, Neville, you said
- something pretty interesting a little while back
- 14 about broadband being able to handle today's
- 15 application -- wireless broadband -- and a lot of
- 16 you have talked about the increases in speed that
- are coming with improvement in technology. At the
- same time, there's a little bit of a race going on
- as the usage requirements are likely to go up;
- 20 unclear what those are going to look like. But if
- 21 you take an example like telemedicine, where it's
- 22 not hard to envision the desire to have a

1 streaming connection or large file sizes or both,

- 2 as you think about the challenges that that
- 3 presents to mobile networks or fixed wireless
- 4 networks, how do you think about architecting the
- 5 network differently? You can't obviously split
- 6 cell sites indefinitely, so what do you think
- 7 about your ability to serve those kind of uses?
- 8 How do you think about the architecture
- 9 differently? And what are the sort of things that
- 10 could break that bottleneck?
- MR. EVANS: Well, I think the biggest
- 12 challenge that we have is the pricing model that
- 13 we have in place. There is no other utility that
- 14 we get, be it water, electricity, long-distance
- telephone service, where it's unlimited,
- 16 all-you-can-eat for a fixed rate. Everything is
- 17 metered. And, you know, it's a fact of life that
- it takes a lot of capacity to move these larger
- 19 applications through. At some point in time,
- we're going to have to revisit what we charge, be
- 21 it for telemedicine applications or -- it can be
- 22 through DPAC inspection, it could be through a

1 number of different ways of getting there, but

- 2 you're going to have to look at the amount of
- 3 traffic coming across that network and be able to
- 4 charge proportionally for it.
- 5 For guys -- you know, we have people who
- 6 use a few megabits a month and pay the same thing
- 7 as a guy that's using 10 gigabytes of throughput a
- 8 month. That's probably not fair. But we do
- 9 today, the way it's structured, and it is what it
- 10 is today and we're not going to change it, but
- 11 technology is certainly evolving where we are
- 12 talking about HSPA getting to 14.4 MIPs a few
- 13 years ago and thought, wow, that's really great.
- Now we're talking about 84 MIPs when you get to
- 15 HSPA+ and going on, so I think technology
- 16 continues to evolve. The various elements of
- 17 getting the back haul through continues to evolve
- and, hopefully, that keeps up with it. But at the
- 19 end of the day, there isn't an unlimited resource
- of capital dollars to be put into the ground and
- 21 you're going to have to be able to charge
- 22 disproportionately for the amount of bandwidth

- 1 being consumed.
- 2 MR. CURTIS: To me that raises a broader
- 3 question. I love the direction this is going and
- I guess a question would be strategies, things we
- 5 should think about to maybe handle it. Can you
- 6 guys talk maybe a little bit about the shape of
- 7 your cost curve and how that's moving relative to
- 8 the shape of your demand curve?
- 9 The sense is that, you know, as you're
- 10 making the wireless broadband available, you're
- 11 seeing a, you know, significant uptake in MIPs
- 12 across your network. Just curious if cost to
- providing those MIPs is falling relatively
- 14 consistently so that your supply-and-demand curves
- 15 cross at the same point or if one's moving faster
- 16 than the other and, you know, how you think that
- 17 might affect your future and decisions you're
- going to make spend. Does that make sense?
- MR. RAY: So, I mean, I think there are
- 20 a ton of variables in terms of, you know, that
- 21 cost curve if I look at our network today. And I
- 22 don't think our network is dissimilar from the

other major providers in the U.S. I mean, one of

- 2 the key challenges from a cost perspective is the
- 3 back haul piece that we talked about. You know,
- 4 if you look at incremental cost for serving, you
- 5 know, more data, but just jumping back a bit to
- 6 some of Ed's comments, the only piece I would add
- 7 is the significant bottleneck I see out there over
- 8 time is spectrum, and I think the industry, both
- 9 carrier and vendor alike, has done, you know, an
- 10 incredible job. Jake mentioned, you know, GPRS
- 11 wowed our socks, you know, seven, eight years ago.
- 12 We're talking about stuff now which is so capable
- none of us really -- if we all admitted, sat
- 14 around here, this table, would say we even dreamed
- about 10 years ago. Somebody did somewhere. So,
- 16 the capability from a radio throughput is immense
- 17 compared to where we were.
- 18 If you look at LTE, IMT Advanced, and
- 19 what's happening from there on out, so -- and
- 20 we're doing that at ever reducing costs from an
- 21 infrastructure perspective, so that is less of the
- 22 worry, I think, from a cost perspective.

1 But if you look at key variable costs in

- 2 the network, back haul today is a big challenge.
- I think we're all attacking that in
- 4 different ways. I mentioned the T-Mobile plan is
- 5 to get fiber to everything we can because we think
- 6 that future-proofs the network and moves us into a
- 7 cost structure very early on which enables us to
- 8 grow our customer base and that growing demand you
- 9 mentioned, I mean, effectively over time. But I
- 10 feel confident about all those pieces. But if I
- 11 come back to Steve's question around, you know,
- some of these -- the ever burgeoning growth on
- 13 heavy data-consuming applications, I feel good
- 14 from a technology perspective, but I don't feel
- good from a spectrum perspective. And if you look
- at, you know, your available spectrum in the U.S.
- today, even, you know, in the 700 bands that we're
- not in as T-Mobile, there's not a lot of spectrum
- 19 out there. And you compare and contrast that to
- other parts of the world, I think it's a
- 21 significant issue for the U.S. economy and the
- U.S. consumer.

1 So that, to me, is kind of the gray

- 2 cloud over the whole thing. You know, one of
- 3 those that we need to move away, we need more
- 4 spectrum to not just address capacity and
- 5 applications and growth and so on, but back to one
- of the earlier discussions, if you look at rural
- 7 America for us in the 1,900 megahertz, primarily
- 8 -- primary operator, you know, 700 and 850
- 9 megahertz spectrum is in a few hands in this
- 10 country today. You know, there's very little of
- it. And if you look at, you know, the Telstar
- 12 story, which I'm -- Roland mentioned, I'm very
- familiar with, you know, massive continent, 95
- 14 percent of the POPs are covered there, you know,
- with 900 megahertz spectrum and some good
- 16 technology. Great, but there is not enough of
- 17 that spectrum available in enough hands in the
- 18 U.S. today.
- MR. CURTIS: Tom, what's your take on
- 20 the spectrum cost curve/demand curve issue? So,
- 21 more broadly, cost/demand curve and then
- 22 specifically spectrum as a driver?

1	MR. SWANOBORI: Right, so the demand
2	curves are going up significantly, as we've seen
3	both in Neville's slides and in others. And I
4	think consumers continue to want to consume more
5	and more bandwidth as there's more and more
6	applications, there are more and more devices that
7	are prevalent and so forth. As Neville and others
8	mentioned, the cost curves for equipment are
9	coming down, so there are still some other
10	barriers or challenges in terms of, number one,
11	the spectrum, and we are a 700 megahertz spectrum,
12	but we still when you look far into the future
13	and you see the insatiable demand that consumers
14	want for these services, you do see, you know,
15	that there's more spectrum needed.
16	I think I don't know if was John or
17	Steve, you asked a question about we're going to
18	need to put more microcells and small cells into
19	locations in order to be able to serve both, you
20	know, the locations that people want to be able to
21	utilize these services and to provide the
22	additional capacity. So we're going to need

1 expedited rules. We can't -- things are getting

- 2 harder to get towers in many locations, not
- 3 necessarily in rural, but in many of the areas of
- 4 urban and suburban where neighborhoods really just
- 5 don't want those. So, you know, expedited tower
- 6 rules, spectrum policies and flexibility there, I
- 7 think are going to be key.
- 8 And then we're going to push, you know,
- 9 with others as -- to get fiber to the cell site
- 10 and microwave solutions. I think technology will
- 11 keep working with the industry to help advance
- 12 things as far as they can go.
- MR. CURTIS: Okay, we have an online
- 14 question from somewhere out there. "In a spectrum
- unconstrained world, to follow up on this theme,
- 16 how much spectrum would be required to allow
- wireless to compete with wireline broadband?"
- 18 MR. BYE: I was going to say -- I'm not
- 19 sure of the exact answer, but I do like to draw a
- 20 contrast internally, at least, as we talk about
- 21 spectrum and availability. As a holder of 700 as
- well as AWS spectrum, we only have 20 to 32

1 megahertz in each of our markets and, you know, as

- 2 sort of a sanity check, we have 750:1 gigahertz on
- 3 our cable plan. So, if you look at sort of the
- full suite of services, albeit including HD and a
- 5 lot of other content that we provide down that
- coax (inaudible) to the home, you know, we have
- 7 that order of magnitude. So if you look at 32 as
- 8 a percentage of that, it's relatively small.
- 9 But, you know, coming back to a little
- 10 bit of the comments, just to echo on the panel,
- 11 you know, it's really kind of a tradeoff between
- 12 how much spectrum you have available and how far
- you have to push the cell site down towards the
- 14 home and, you know, you really need to tackle both
- of those. One is the spectrum availability and
- 16 access. I think another important point as you
- 17 look at the spectrum availability and access is to
- 18 make spectrum available that is close to or
- 19 adjacent to existing bands. Introducing new bands
- 20 can create a challenge as you're deploying a
- 21 network and you look at your grid sites and your
- 22 spacing, so the adjacency to existing bands, I

1 think, is a benefit because we can leverage the

- 2 ecosystem that exists around those bands as well
- 3 as the existing infrastructure that we've put in
- 4 place.
- 5 I think the other point is around sort
- of getting access to sites and sites quickly and
- 7 the zoning and the permitting. And actually to
- 8 Jake's comment earlier, you know, we're looking to
- 9 get on utility poles and bringing the network down
- 10 a layer so we're not so dependent on sort of macro
- 11 sites, but that introduces another set of
- 12 challenges. We look to pole attach and getting
- 13 antennas onto street lights and the like. So, I
- 14 didn't answer the question specifically, but,
- 15 hopefully, I gave some context for that.
- MR. CURTIS: Good. Jake?
- MR. MacLEOD: To follow up with
- 18 Stephen's comments, you know, everybody
- 19 understands what NIMBY is -- Not In My Back Yard
- 20 -- but there have been two additional
- 21 organizations created. One is NOPE. It stands
- 22 for Not On Planet Earth. And so if you get

1 helpless with that -- and the other one is CAVE,

- 2 Citizens Against Virtually Everything.
- 3 So there's a lot of resistance to new
- 4 builds of lattice towers and monopoles. And I've
- 5 spoken at numerous universities challenging them
- 6 to come up with cellular art of some sort that
- 7 would be -- or wireless art, that is a nice
- 8 looking antenna structure that no one would object
- 9 to. And so we've got to move away from -- I've
- 10 been in this business over 30 years and we're
- 11 still building the same doggone lattice towers
- 12 that we've been building -- we built back in the
- 13 early days. So we've got to move away from that
- and that's a national challenge, I guess.
- 15 MR. ZIMMER: I'd like to comment. In
- our rural area, we also see the, you know, the
- insatiable appetite for bandwidth, and as soon as
- 18 we add more to our network it gets gobbled up as
- 19 quick as possible. But, you know, to say that
- we're going to put stuff on light poles and all
- 21 that stuff, you know, in the middle of a country
- 22 section where there's nothing but cornfields,

1 there's no light pole in the middle of that. And

- 2 I think Ed has a little bit of a flavor for rural
- 3 America because it sounds like he's delivering to
- 4 some of those, but I would challenge that the rest
- of the panel really wouldn't be interested in the
- 6 customers that we serve, and, you know, we don't
- 7 have Verizon, T-Mobile, Cox Communications. None
- 8 of those are available in a lot of the areas that
- 9 we service.
- So, we need spectrum management. We
- 11 need to do it wirelessly because fiber just
- doesn't make cost-effective sense if you're going
- to bring it out to, you know, maybe 10,000 people
- in a county. So spectrum management is key.
- That's how we see the future of our business. I'm
- not naive enough to think that it won't be a
- mobile solution someday, but I think we're going
- to be a few years behind Washington, D.C., or
- Oklahoma City or even some of the larger cities.
- 20 So, I think those are real key issues,
- 21 spectrum management and, you know, coming up with
- 22 a wireless solution for truly rural America.

1 MR. MOFFETT: I would like to follow on

- 2 to Scott's comments about spectrum out in rural
- 3 America because I think -- while I completely
- 4 agree that the country as a whole is in need of
- 5 additional spectrum, one of the concerns that I
- 6 have that's out there, that if we were to go on a
- 7 county-by-county basis and ask every carrier to
- 8 report where spectrum is actually lit versus
- 9 warehoused, I think it would scare the heck out of
- 10 us. Because I would argue that in a very large
- 11 geographic part of this country that is very
- 12 rural, a tremendous amount of the spectrum that we
- have put out on the street is not being used and
- is not available to those who want to use it.
- 15 Now, I would also argue that that's not the fault of
- 16 the larger carriers because when we began the idea of
- 17 economic areas and REAGS and large swaths of spectrum,
- 18 the idea was to give a carrier a chance to go in and
- 19 have a very large geographic footprint. It made
- 20 perfect sense. The unintended consequence of that is
- 21 that if T-Mobile -- and I'll use you as an example not
- 22 -- because they're good friends and I don't think

- 1 they'll yell at me -- you know, if T-Mobile needed
- 2 additional spectrum in San Antonio, Texas, they were
- 3 required to buy a swath of spectrum down there in a
- 4 REAG that covered an incredible amount of rural area
- 5 that candidly, they probably are never going to build
- 6 out.
- 7 Now, then you say, well, why wouldn't they just
- 8 disaggregate that and sell that to me? Well, the
- 9 average price of spectrum in the AWS auction was 47
- 10 cents per megahertz per POP. I paid about 10 cents
- 11 per megahertz per POP in my licenses because they were
- 12 rural-specific CMAs that were out there that have much
- 13 less value than what San Antonio would have to him.
- 14 What would incent T-Mobile to sell me spectrum at 10
- 15 cents per megahertz a POP when they spent an average
- of 47 cents? So, I completely understand where the
- 17 large carriers are right now, but I would challenge
- 18 the Commission that as we go forward and we put
- 19 additional spectrum out there, we need to incent the
- 20 larger carriers to trade or do something so that the
- 21 stuff they're not going to use -- and their models
- 22 don't work for that -- that's fine, let's get that in

1 the hands of somebody who will and give them access to

- 2 stuff that they are going to use in the more populated
- 3 areas. Because I think that is critical to long-term
- 4 viability of wireless in this country, but we do have
- 5 an extreme shortage of spectrum and we've got to find
- 6 a way to do it.
- 7 MR. PONTE: I'd like to jump in on that,
- 8 too. We've had a number of our customers in the
- 9 rural markets tell us that the rule change in this
- 10 secondary market ruling in 2004 to tighten down
- 11 leasing for spectrum actually had the unintended
- 12 consequence of making spectrum more difficult to
- get versus under some of the spectrum manager
- 14 agreements that were made prior to that, that
- that's actually made it more difficult for rural
- 16 carriers to get access to spectrum from the major
- 17 carriers who wouldn't necessarily be deploying in
- 18 these areas.
- 19 MR. CURTIS: John, I think you had a
- 20 follow-up?
- 21 MR. LEIBOVITZ: Actually, my question
- 22 was along those lines. I was just wondering if --

1 you know, what your experience has been with the

- 2 secondary market's rules? Are you leasing
- 3 spectrum? What have been the barriers? That's
- 4 your point, there's a lot of spectrum out there in
- 5 rural America right now.
- 6 MR. EVANS: There is, but it's a very
- 7 difficult capital markets proposition to go to
- 8 market and attempt to raise capital. And I've
- 9 raised \$5 billion on Wall Street in the last 10
- 10 years with a couple of public companies, but to
- 11 walk onto Wall Street and try to raise money when
- your core asset is being leased from a third part
- makes it very difficult. You're not going to be
- 14 able to go have free access to capital, build
- those markets out, when the core asset around that
- simply isn't yours, you're renting it from
- 17 somebody. You have to have ownership to that, you
- have to have the ability to lever that asset as
- 19 you go forward. You should try it in unlicensed
- 20 markets.
- MR. LEIBOVITZ: Then nobody owns it.
- 22 MR. ROSENBERG: Another challenge that

we've had pointed out with respect to rural

- broadband, especially -- and this comes, again,
- 3 from online -- is about the terrain in rural
- 4 areas. So while some parts of the country are
- 5 pretty flat and open, you can put up a big tower
- 6 and reach a lot of people, in other areas you've
- 7 got a lot of hills, mountains, and woodlands that
- 8 affect propagation pretty strongly. Particularly
- 9 as some on the panel are talking about lowering
- 10 antennas down, how do we think about reaching
- 11 those people cost effectively with a good
- 12 solution?
- MR. EVANS: We've been pretty surprised
- in -- because we're building Colorado and Texas.
- 15 Texas, you know, 200 feet, you stand on a box and
- look north, you can see the back of your head.
- 17 It's flat. There's no issue there. Colorado is
- 18 significantly different where we are building off
- 19 the western slope; Grand Junction down to Montrose
- 20 is online now. The good news is that most of the
- 21 cities and the populated areas are actually built
- down in valleys and so you are able to cover

1 those. And the AWS spectrum has been -- if

- there's one benefit to it, because I need more
- 3 capacity and in a smaller footprint, I cover
- 4 densely populated areas, my ability to reuse the
- 5 frequency over and over again with AWS is actually
- 6 pretty good.
- 7 So, when you get up wooded areas, trees
- 8 are a problem. I'm all for the elimination of
- 9 trees in order to cover it. But trees are a
- 10 different issue, but the topography itself hasn't
- 11 been that big of an issue because we tend to build
- 12 the communities that we're trying to serve on
- 13 relatively flat areas. They're not built on the
- 14 side of the mountain. So the treed areas have
- been more difficult, no doubt.
- MR. MacLEOD: The comment about the
- 17 lowering radiation center line on the antennas was
- 18 specifically for dense urban areas as opposed to
- 19 rural. Obviously in the rural areas you've got to
- 20 put it on a stick as high as you can get it and
- 21 illuminate as big an area as possible, but then
- 22 you have the talk-back link that may be the

limiting factor there. So, it's an engineering

- 2 effort that you have to take into consideration
- 3 when designing rural service areas.
- 4 Second thing is that the use of the POP
- 5 per square mile is somewhat -- you have to really
- 6 be careful using that definition of a rural area
- 7 because the population is not usually uniformly
- 8 distributed and you would -- it just kind of has
- 9 an innate sense when you think of POP per square
- 10 mile uniform distribution. There are clusters of
- 11 communities and when these guys are going out and
- 12 putting in systems, they're not going to put one
- out in some cornfield where you've got two people
- 14 per square mile. You're going to put it in a
- 15 community where your population density for that
- 16 community is much, much higher than the
- 17 surrounding area. So you've got to be careful in
- 18 the use of that demographic in your analysis.
- MR. RAY: You know, the only thing I'd
- add is that, you know, spectrum again, obviously.
- 21 If you want to address topography issues and high
- tree line and so on, I mean, the lower in the band

1 you are, the more the stuff's going to carry. And

- 2 so there is a big difference between an 850
- 3 carrier and -- you know, you've got guys now
- 4 building out on 2.5, cell densities and reach are
- 5 going to be massively different. So, that lack of
- 6 700, 850 spectrum in enough places, in enough
- 7 hands, is part of the challenge.
- 8 MR. CURTIS: Picking up on that a little
- 9 bit, would that affect your behavior more in, you
- 10 know, metro urban areas where you're already
- 11 largely built out, or would that actually cause
- build out in places where you're not currently
- 13 built?
- MR. RAY: For us, primarily the latter.
- I mean, we would be able to more aggressively go
- 16 after rural areas around San Antonio and be very
- 17 cost-effective doing that if we held 800, 900 or
- 18 700 spectrum. We don't today.
- MR. CURTIS: Got it.
- 20 MR. LEIBOVITZ: Yeah, well, speaking of
- low frequency spectrum, we have another online
- 22 question from Mark Lufquist. The question is, "Is

1 anyone exploring TV white spaces right now as a

- way to pull out broadband?"
- 3 MR. ZIMMER: We actually are looking at
- 4 that a little bit. The challenge that we have
- 5 right now are the regulations that are out there
- for a right now. And I can't cite what they are,
- 7 unfortunately, but you know, the -- I think it's
- 8 less than 100 feet that the access point can be
- 9 for propagation and the end user has to be lower
- 10 than 25 feet or something like that, and that
- 11 makes it extremely challenging in a rural
- 12 environment. I hope that the Commission will take
- 13 a look at that and maybe make that a little more
- 14 user friendly, especially in a rural environment
- 15 because that really plays to what we talked about
- 16 lowering antennas.
- In a rural market, you're not going to
- 18 get that interference and especially if you go
- 19 with 3.65 license light -- what's the right word
- 20 -- the way that that's being doled out. I mean, I
- 21 think that if you look at the white space the same
- 22 way, I think that the white space can be an

1 effective tool, especially in rural America.

- 2 MR. CURTIS: Steve, you got anything you
- 3 want to talk about? Charles?
- 4 MR. MATHIAS: Thank you. And maybe to
- 5 take the conversation in a slightly different
- 6 direction, but keying off of the point about the
- 7 white space, is I was curious if anybody on the
- 8 panel had a perspective on or whether they were
- 9 seeing any storm clouds on the horizon that might
- 10 affect the pace and -- of innovation. We talked
- 11 about equipment prices going down, maybe the
- 12 equipment companies would have a perspective on
- 13 that, but anything that is a trend that you can
- 14 foresee that might impact the ability of wireless
- actually to achieve the things that we've been
- 16 discussing right now.
- MR. SHAW: Let me take a crack at that.
- 18 As we go through, you know, each generation, so
- 19 the efficiencies are built into the systems,
- inherently built into the systems, a lot of reuse
- 21 ability is built into the systems as they become
- 22 more and more software capable. But I think as

1 sort of major trends going forward -- sorry, let

- 2 me just -- if I could just -- this will just take
- 3 a second to collect my thought on that one.
- 4 MR. BYE: I just come back to the
- 5 comment I made earlier about sort of the
- 6 technology and the device. And, you know, as
- 7 we're looking to -- as customers are looking to
- 8 download more and more content and the richness of
- 9 those applications continues to grow, there needs
- 10 to be a device capability and an ecosystem, quite
- 11 frankly, with enough scale that keeps that unit
- 12 cost down so that it's affordable for customers.
- I think, you know, as you look into the
- 14 future and, in fact, you see this on the wired
- 15 broadband side, is availability of PCs in homes as
- 16 a limitation for adoption, perhaps, of broadband.
- 17 And I think there may be parallels to that that
- 18 exist as we see more (inaudible) broadband
- 19 adoption going forward as well. And so, you know,
- 20 with the advent of net books and other mobile
- 21 computing devices, making sure that people can get
- 22 access to that at a reasonable price point is

- 1 going to be critical.
- 2 MR. SHAW: Sorry, if I can just pick up.
- 3 Sorry about that. If we -- when we, from an
- 4 analytical point of view, look at the overall
- 5 environment and we see the exponential growth of
- 6 the traffic across the networks, of course, this
- 7 is a very exciting development which helps
- 8 stimulate the innovations. But what we also
- 9 observe when we have a look and aggregate from
- 10 around the world from an operator perspective,
- 11 revenue growth, we don't see that they're trending
- in the same way. So, of course, this starts to
- 13 then open the whole question, well, where is the
- 14 revenue going to come from really to make the
- whole ecosystem more and more viable? And this
- is, in fact, why I put up one of the slides, if
- 17 you remember, about the two axes, how we see the
- 18 market going, particularly in the
- 19 machine-to-machine type context where you can
- 20 start to bring in other forms of revenue into the
- 21 system coming from other sources, from other
- 22 industry types, because I think we need to maybe

just take a step back and look at a lot of the

- 2 conversation that we've had amongst the panelists
- 3 today. We're talking about extremely high
- 4 bandwidth applications the whole time, but there
- 5 is also a plethora of applications which are more
- 6 mission critical, which actually in many respects
- 7 can command a lot more value into the system. So
- 8 we need to take these things into account.
- 9 So looking from a train point of view,
- 10 we need to see the trains going out of what is
- 11 actually going to be needed from the networks,
- 12 types of traffic, what is the value insides of
- 13 these traffics, and also, then, how do we get to
- 14 the overall revenue growth component again across
- the whole industry to stimulate that healthy
- 16 innovation?
- 17 MR. MacLEOD: Charles, I see a few
- 18 things on the horizon that could stymie
- innovation. First of all is battery technology.
- 20 If we can't get the batteries -- the new
- 21 technologies issued that will allow the higher
- 22 processing speeds, we're going to be limited, but

- that's being worked on now.
- 2 Second, and this has to do with the
- 3 government, is overregulation. If we seriously
- 4 don't take into consideration the entrepreneurial
- 5 spirit and the innovative spirit that resides
- 6 within the U.S. engineering communities,
- 7 scientific communities, if we over regulate, we'll
- 8 stymie the innovative aspects. So we have to be
- 9 very, very careful when writing this legislation.
- 10 Lastly is the environmental issues. We
- do need to ensure that all devices, whether
- they're network devices or they're UE devices,
- 13 user equipment devices, their end of life planning
- 14 for them from the very start. What are you going
- 15 to do with those 4 billion keypads and displays
- 16 that are out there now? Can we grind them up and
- use them for pavement or cement or something? But
- there's got to be end of life planning for all
- 19 that. And then along with that, the environmental
- 20 issues having to do with new tower construction.
- 21 That's tired and old designs; we've got to have
- 22 wireless art for antenna support structures.

1 MS. GUENDELSBERGER: I quess it's close

- 2 to -- in terms of the network or user devices and
- 3 the spectrum, are there any multimode user devices
- 4 that could be used for broadband and for LTE and
- 5 700 or in other -- in PCS band or (inaudible)?
- 6 What's the trend going forward? Are we going to
- 7 -- are you seeing as a carrier combining spectrum
- 8 that you have not necessarily adjacent to, for
- 9 example, what you have in 700 or what you have in
- 10 1.9, but what's the technological developments out
- 11 there?
- MR. RAY: I'll take a stab at that. I
- 13 think multimode, as they define today -- but it's
- 14 there today. I mean, obviously, you know, WiFi
- offload is big. It's something at T-Mobile we've
- 16 pioneered a lot in in terms of voice over today,
- so WiFi is somewhat constrained because of, you
- 18 know, terminal costs in mid- to higher, you know,
- 19 tiered terminals, but, you know, utilizing various
- 20 sources of spectrum I think is something the
- 21 industry is always looking at.
- 22 If you look at standardization going

1 forward in kind of HSPA and into LTE, I think we

- 2 do start to see the opportunity to combine
- 3 spectrum from different bands, to support, you
- 4 know, greater throughput and bandwidth, that
- 5 activity is going through standardization now, I
- 6 think. And so that will come, but it's a little
- 7 out, you know, two to three years, I think. So
- 8 the industry is certainly looking at all those
- 9 types of opportunities. And I think over the next
- 10 two years you'll see more of those types of
- opportunities come to be realized, but you, again,
- 12 come back to the device challenge and, you know,
- 13 you look at the -- we've talked all over this now.
- 14 Stephen's points were very well made
- earlier on, but if you look at that battery
- 16 consumption, you know, the number of bands that
- we're trying to stuff into these devices now, I've
- 18 lost count of how many HSPA bands there are
- 19 worldwide. I mean, it's a very, very significant
- 20 number. And so you want harmonized spectrum that
- 21 supports use both within the U.S. and outside the
- 22 U.S.

1 You know, so there are a lot of

- 2 challenges in the terminal space and obviously,
- 3 you know, combining spectrum and spectrum use
- 4 within a terminal is another level of challenge
- 5 that we need to work on. I think the network is
- 6 getting there, terminals again, it's going to be
- 7 another bridge to cross.
- 8 MR. LEIBOVITZ: So, kind of extending
- 9 the point when you think about sort of the
- 10 bookends of spectrum -- talked a lot about
- 11 spectrum -- how, from a commercial market
- 12 standpoint, how high is practical to go and how
- low is practical to go? And I would distinguish
- 14 between sort of fixed and mobile form factor
- devices perhaps, keeping in mind, I guess, antenna
- length as a consideration in this whole
- 17 discussion. But, you know, when you look from a
- 18 practical standpoint of people who actually deploy
- 19 networks, you know, how wide should our field of
- vision be when we look at spectrum?
- 21 MR. RAY: Well, you certainly see 450 in
- 22 international markets outside the U.S. It's not

1 uncommon. You know, there are some significant

- 2 challenges with 450, but certainly in the 700 to
- 3 800 range is a great spot to be both for the
- 4 device and for the network. I think the answer
- 5 comes down to, again, somewhat where you're
- 6 deploying. I think 3.5 gig in, you know, rural
- 7 environments for broad area coverage is extremely
- 8 challenging, but in a metro urban Manhattan or
- 9 Brooklyn/Queens, it may have great application.
- 10 MR. LEIBOVITZ: Can you speak a little
- 11 about -- you mentioned 450, the challenges. Can
- 12 you expand on that a little bit?
- MR. RAY: Antennas. You know, it's a
- 14 big challenge and it's a challenge in the device,
- 15 too.
- MR. LEIBOVITZ: That's presumably for a
- mobile device, a big challenge; less so if you
- 18 have a (inaudible).
- MR. CURTIS: Ed's solution presumably
- 20 was.
- MR. RAY: Something built in.
- 22 MR. BYE: I think the other point, and

1 it comes back to the earlier discussion, is as you

- 2 look to the number of bands and as we talk about
- 3 adding new bands, I think it was Scott that said
- 4 time and money solves everything, but the devices
- 5 are, today, getting very, very complex. Even with
- 6 the number of bands that are in the U.S. today and
- 7 trying to support every variation of LTE, DO,
- 8 RevA, RevB, you know, HSPA, I mean, it's a very
- 9 complicated, convoluted. And so I think, as we
- 10 look at sort of bands -- and I come back to my
- 11 earlier point, the adjacency to existing bands I
- think is going to be very important. And I think
- we also have to be careful that we're not trying
- to build a device that does from 450 to 3.5. I
- 15 mean, that's -- just the antenna complexity and
- 16 the -- is going to be very, very tough. And so I
- think, you know, harmonization and adjacency are
- 18 going to be very, very important.
- 19 And really, a lot of that is to get the
- 20 device ecosystem big enough that you can get a
- 21 price point on a device and a chip set that's
- 22 feasible that you can put it into a device and

- 1 make it cost-effective.
- 2 MR. LEIBOVITZ: What's the minimal scale
- 3 you look for when you -- what's the sweet spot of
- 4 how many devices that either you're selling or
- 5 global market, you know, where the prices really
- 6 hit the mass market?
- 7 MR. BYE: Good question. We're a very
- 8 small player and very challenged in terms of
- 9 scale. You know, we are not big enough to see the
- 10 scale volume that, you know, other people would.
- And, quite frankly, from our cost perspective, we
- 12 look at sort of where the industry is going and
- 13 basically follow where they're going to be able to
- 14 take advantage of those economies.
- MR. EVANS: Just to follow on that,
- while he thinks he's small, he needs to come see
- 17 what I've got.
- 18 MR. ZIMMER: I was thinking the same
- 19 thing.
- 20 MR. EVANS: Yes. Yes, we basically had
- 21 to commit to something around 10,000 to 20,000
- 22 units in order to sort of get started and we had a

device that was made specifically for us by HTC

- 2 out of Taiwan, who put that together, and anything
- 3 less than -- and even that was like pulling teeth
- 4 to get anyone to do that. But, you know, it was a
- 5 commitment we had to make in order to get going.
- 6 So that -- my pricing, frankly, if I
- 7 were to buy 100,000 or half a million units would
- 8 be 20 to 30 percent less than that. So it's a
- 9 significant driver. And, frankly, one of the
- 10 reasons we ended up with HSPA was that the
- 11 ecosphere was so much larger and there were so
- many carriers supporting (inaudible) devices,
- 13 because I'm not crazy enough to think I can drive
- 14 where HSPA is going. It had the ecosphere that
- 15 was out there that would support what we wanted to
- do and so it worked out for us.
- MR. RAY: That's a great point. I mean,
- 18 if you look at the success of GSM as a global
- technology, there are almost 3.7, 3.8 billion GSM
- 20 users today, so that enables countries like, you
- 21 know, India and so on to bring to market very
- low-end, low-cost terminals. And the more we can

harmonize around spectrum and technologies, I

- 2 mean, the stronger those ecosystems can prove to
- 3 be. You know, we've obviously chosen an HSPA path
- for much the same reasons Ed's just mentioned. I
- 5 think there are 1,400-odd devices out there today,
- 6 so this is not an embryonic new technology, it's
- 7 pretty well established. But you need those --
- 8 you really need global volumes to drive cost
- 9 efficiency on devices. Without that it's a tough
- 10 road, really tough road.
- 11 MR. SHAW: I support that statement
- 12 exactly from Neville in that on a global scale we
- have to look for harmonization. We have to find
- 14 that scale across as many markets as we can. So
- this becomes a very important driver, particularly
- as we're starting to see the price points become
- 17 at these real threshold levels. As the complexity
- 18 continues to increase, we have to find the volumes
- 19 from a vender perspective. It's critical.
- 20 MR. CURTIS: Steve's got a follow-up
- 21 along these lines?
- 22 MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, if you project

forward and think about when we're going to have

- 2 the combination of fully deployed LTE networks and
- 3 LTE devices around the country, each of you coming
- 4 at it from different perspectives, when do you
- 5 think that's going to be available in say the top
- 6 25 markets? And when do you think that's going to
- 7 be available ubiquitously around the country?
- 8 And then sort of as a follow on to that,
- 9 what are the things that can be done by the people
- in this room, both operators, equipment makers,
- and the Commission, to spur that development to
- 12 happen maybe a bit more quickly in rural areas
- than it might otherwise happen?
- 14 Tom, I'm going to start with you because
- 15 you've been a little bit quiet, but also because
- 16 you started in your opening slide with something
- 17 about Verizon's plans for your LTE deployment.
- 18 MR. SWANOBORI: Right. Well, we talked
- 19 about it in my opening remarks about our plans for
- 20 LTE, and our plans are to launch 25 to 30 markets
- 21 by the end of next year. So sometime next year
- 22 we'll be bringing those markets online. And our

1 goal is to have a national rollout by the end of

- 2 2013, and that's obviously going to depend on the
- 3 economic situation and our investments and so
- forth. Over time, I think the device technologies
- 5 are going to get more and more robust, so you're
- 6 talking about USB sticks and data cards and moving
- 7 to smartphones. And then, you know, it's going to
- 8 take time, but I think we want to really encourage
- 9 innovation and investment. So I'm not sure what
- 10 the government and the Commission can do with
- 11 regard to that, but we really want to spur that
- 12 kind of innovation. I think have flexibility in
- 13 terms of what the vender can do with devices and
- 14 developing chip sets.
- 15 I think they're going to be looking at
- 16 that global scale. They're going to be looking at
- 17 the Verizons and the other big carriers, frankly,
- 18 who lead in the deployment of technology and
- 19 seeing that it's really developing quickly and
- then come on board with developing chip sets, more
- 21 and more devices, innovative applications. I
- 22 think there's opportunities in consumer

1 electronics. I mentioned some things like

- 2 machine-to-machine, the utility, the smart grid
- 3 thing is starting to take off. I think there's a
- 4 lot of different opportunities, some of which are
- 5 going to have global requirements in terms of, you
- 6 know, a smartphone you're going to want to roam
- 7 with globally, others you're going to require
- 8 maybe a U.S. band only because it's going to be in
- 9 a meter that's going to be fixed and not moving
- 10 around.
- But again, we're going to need to
- 12 understand those spectrum policies and those
- 13 spectrum decisions because a lot of the vender
- 14 community is going to be, I think, anticipating --
- 15 trying to anticipate what is the right time, when
- are we going to have enough of this defined in
- order to get on board.
- 18 Clearly you're going to have some
- 19 technology leaders who will step up to the plate,
- 20 but I think in order to get a more robust
- 21 deployment, it requires a building up of the
- ecosystem.

1	MR. EVANS: Just, I think, an
2	interesting dynamic to watch in the next 24 months
3	is that CDMA carriers today, clearly LTE is, for
4	the bulk of them, sort of the path that they're
5	heading down and getting there, but there are a
6	tremendous number of guys that are on HSPA today.
7	And when you look at the HSPA roadmap getting up
8	to HSPA+, getting up to 84 MIPs, I think you're
9	going to see a significant number of carriers that
10	are going to stay with HSPA until such time as
11	there is a compelling reason to make the change.
12	Now, every BTS that I've put in the
13	field today is a module away from being LTE. The
14	stuff that we've bought can be upgraded and we can
15	do that. However, then I've got to go rip devices
16	and switch devices out at the consumer level and
17	all that stuff. And frankly, if I can put devices
18	out there that are capable of 48/84 MIPs in rural
19	America and granted those are peak speeds, not
20	average throughput speeds; I understand that
21	but do I really need to go to LTE at that point in
22	time when at least today, from my perspective, the

1 HSPA ecosphere is substantially larger? So until

- 2 I see that ecosphere grow by a lot of carriers and
- 3 that becomes sort of the predominant one, I'm
- 4 probably sticking with HSPA for a while.
- 5 MR. RAY: Yes, and I totally agree. I
- 6 mean, I challenge the question in that I think
- 7 that the end vision needs to be around mobile
- 8 broadband. And I wouldn't necessarily put a
- 9 wrapper around that with LTE because if you look
- 10 at (inaudible) HSPA+ and its capabilities, I mean,
- it's going from 84, 168 is on the books now, and
- 12 all the reasons we talked about that device
- 13 ecosystem. I mean, LTE devices, it's going to be
- 14 a tough, touch place for a while. Excuse me, Tom,
- but it's a tough place to pioneer. They're not
- 16 alone, but companies like us are going to
- obviously leverage the investments we've made, you
- 18 know, the technology developments on those
- investments we've made, which are very, very rich
- and positive for the customers that we serve, and
- 21 at the right time look to move into LTE.
- 22 I think the other piece that -- I'm

going to say it again -- spectrum, right, I mean,

- for us as a mobile carrier in the U.S., if I
- 3 looked at that LTE opportunity, what would
- 4 accelerate my drive and desire to move to LTE if
- 5 there was a large amount of LTE spectrum available
- and I could really get to a 40 megahertz
- 7 deployment of LTE, which is what you really need
- 8 to start to bring in the real spectral efficiency
- 9 and trunking efficiency benefits on the technology
- 10 because in small deployments, it's pretty similar
- 11 to HSPA and HSPA+.
- 12 MR. ZIMMER: I'd like to offer a little
- 13 bit different perspective. With many customers
- 14 we've been working with, specifically as a result
- of the broadband stimulus bill, there are pockets
- of rural operators that do have 700 megahertz
- spectrum and they're very excited about the
- opportunity to deploy LTE in that spectrum. And
- 19 we will have a 700 megahertz LTE solution by the
- 20 middle of next year, but they have had a
- 21 difficulty, frankly, getting through this first
- 22 round of applications. The timeframes that were

introduced -- I know this isn't a stimulus meeting

- 2 -- but the timeframes that were introduced, and
- 3 the rules are just too complex to sort through and
- 4 certainly in this short a timeframe. So one of
- 5 the things that could be done is for the second
- 6 window try to have some clarification and
- 7 simplification of the rules, because there is a
- 8 lot of 700 megahertz spectrum in rural areas. You
- 9 know, we've heard that that's better for coverage,
- which is true, and certainly rolling that out by
- 11 the end of next year is, I think, very doable.
- MR. CURTIS: Jake?
- MR. MACLEOD: Yes, one last thing. And
- 14 recognize in the history of the wireless since the
- 15 advent of cellular, every generational change we
- fell flat on our face, with the analog to IS 136,
- 17 IS 136, to GSM. And so the carriers, and rightly
- so, when they do deploy it's not going to be a
- 19 step function change. You're going to deploy
- several cell sites in the middle of a city, maybe
- 21 20, 30 cell sites, put friendly users on there,
- 22 and then learn how to operate this new OFDM

1 system. And until they get comfortable and

- 2 identify a lot of the frogs that are going to jump
- 3 out of there, and be able to fix those things,
- they're not going to put it out there for wide use
- 5 in the consumer arena. They're going to very
- 6 carefully manage their entry into the OFDM arena,
- because the worst thing you can do, and we've done
- 8 it before in this industry, is go gangbusters, get
- 9 it out there, and then it stinks. You know, so
- 10 this isn't going to be a step function change.
- 11 It's going to be a gradual, slow, methodical
- 12 change into LPE and that's the right way to do it.
- MR. SWANOBORI: I just wanted to add one
- thing to that is, you know, we've rolled out new
- 15 technologies in the past and we have experience
- 16 with that, and we understand the challenges of
- deploying new technology, so we're going to make
- 18 sure that we do it right and we make sure that
- 19 it's optimized and it's working well. And I think
- leads to your earlier question, we're going to
- 21 launch with multimode technology devices, so our
- 22 intent is to add LTE to existing devices so that

1 we can fall back to EVDO as we're rolling it out

- 2 so that customers can experience the benefits of
- 3 LTE, but also be able to utilize our 3G network as
- 4 well.
- 5 MR. SHAW: Just possibly a quick comment
- from myself. From a vender perspective we also
- 7 work incredibly hard with a number of the leading
- 8 customers around the world, operators around the
- 9 world, to design systems and that are optimized to
- 10 be able to be deployed in these types of contexts
- 11 which reuse old technology where possible, but to
- create platforms which are also upgradeable into
- 13 the future.
- 14 There are other innovations such as
- 15 something we call a tower tube. These are now --
- these are environmentally friendly, fairly
- 17 sophisticated structures for being able to mount
- 18 antennas out in rural areas.
- So, we work very hard focusing the
- 20 efforts in order to make, at an entire system
- 21 level, the capabilities to be able to do these
- 22 types of deployments. Absolutely.

1 MR. CURTIS: Let me change directions a

- 2 little bit, talk about network sharing a little
- 3 bit. It's a trend that's going on a lot in
- 4 Europe, India as well. Question, really three
- 5 parts. Is that something you guys see on the
- 6 horizon? Do you think that's something that would
- 7 be helpful? If you think it's something that
- 8 would be helpful, you know, how should we think
- 9 about that?
- 10 A rush to the microphone. Ed?
- 11 MR. EVANS: My feeling is that what I
- 12 manufacture is airtime on my broadband network.
- 13 And so to share my manufacturing capabilities, you
- 14 know, to another marketing arm, if I'm struggling
- with my marketing side, maybe that's something I
- 16 would look at doing, but, effectively, I'm
- 17 enabling a competitor on my same market. I don't
- have any problem competing against other
- 19 facilities-based guys that are out there, but I
- 20 would be less inclined to do that just because
- 21 that's what I make. That's my brand that's out
- 22 there. And so I'm not a big fan of it.

1 MR. CURTIS: Not a big fan.

- 2 MR. EVANS: No. I haven't been.
- 3 MR. SWANOBORI: I think at Verizon we
- feel the same way. We take a lot of pride where
- 5 -- buying spectrum at option and licensed
- 6 spectrum, we're deploying infrastructure, we're
- 7 optimizing using our own talent and resources and
- 8 leveraging that of our suppliers, and we pride
- 9 ourselves on engineering and building and
- 10 operating and maintaining as some of my peers are,
- 11 their own -- you know, our own network.
- 12 And we view that as part of our
- differentiator both in terms of coverage and
- 14 quality and reliability, and I think each
- 15 carrier's got the opportunity and the right to
- sell that service and we're not considering
- 17 network sharing.
- 18 MR. CURTIS: Got it.
- 19 MR. RAY: I think every carrier is going
- 20 to give you a similar answer, so it's a tough area
- 21 to talk about. I think if you look at some of the
- 22 European models that have surfaced in recent

1 years, I mean, mainly driven by cost challenges

- 2 that we talked about earlier on. So, you know, we
- 3 roam today, right? I mean that's network sharing
- 4 in a sort --
- 5 MR. CURTIS: That's exactly a form of
- 6 network sharing.
- 7 MR. RAY: It's similar type of
- 8 proposition, but if you're talking about, you
- 9 know, deeper sharing of radios and bay stations
- 10 and so on, I think as long as we have a -- you
- 11 know, the growth in the U.S. in this space has
- 12 been extremely strong. We see, you know, I think
- we're touching the tip of the iceberg right now.
- 14 This is an industry with a tremendous future going
- forward. And with that type of growth then,
- hopefully, we don't face some of the significant
- 17 cost challenges that have occurred in other parts
- of the world on these networks, which I think has
- 19 been the primary driver on network sharing.
- 20 MR. CURTIS: Scott.
- 21 MR. ZIMMER: I think the common theme
- 22 here has been from the smallest provider here to

1 some of the biggest is spectrum. And to Ed's

- 2 point, you know, we manufacture space on our
- 3 network and if we don't have unlimited
- 4 manufacturing capabilities, i.e., spectrum, then I
- 5 think that becomes very difficult to share that
- 6 and it becomes a challenge, even in rural America
- 7 when mom and pop put up a little -- you know, like
- 8 us, put up a tower somewhere and now all of a
- 9 sudden we're fighting interference or whatever.
- 10 So, I think we're all pretty covetous of our space
- and we're all out to make a buck and that's what
- we're trying to do.
- MR. LEIBOVITZ: A follow-up on that.
- 14 Scott from Roshmi Doshi, who's in the FCC's office
- of Engineer Technology commenting online. "What
- other policy changes would you like to see about
- for unlicensed spectrum?"
- MR. ZIMMER: I'm sorry, what?
- MR. LEIBOVITZ: For unlicensed spectrum.
- 20 MR. ZIMMER: What was the first part of
- 21 the question?
- 22 MR. LEIBOVITZ: What changes would you

like to see? So, we've mostly -- I think most of

- the discussion's been about licensed spectrum,
- 3 maybe implicitly, what would you --
- 4 MR. ZIMMER: I think the 3650 or the
- 5 3.65 model is a good model. You know, you
- 6 register your spectrum and, you know, then I would
- 7 challenge the FCC to patrol that or to police it
- 8 because it's one thing to register and to say that
- 9 you have, you know, exclusive rights or whatever
- 10 to it, but in the area that we serve, it's tough
- 11 to justify buying those licenses. If you look at
- that 3650 model, I think that can be a workable
- 13 model. That's one change that I would like to
- see, especially in the white space, as that
- 15 becomes available.
- MR. CURTIS: Charles?
- MR. MATHIAS: Could you -- 3650 is a
- 18 little bit of a hybrid. Could you operate your
- 19 business, do you think, as effectively in
- 20 completely unlicensed spectrum?
- 21 MR. CURTIS: We are in completely
- 22 unlicensed spectrum. I mean, we've got less than

- 1 probably 50 of our users on 3650.
- 2 MR. MATHIAS: Oh, okay.
- 3 MR. CURTIS: So, yes.
- 4 MS. GUENDELSBERGER: I actually have a
- 5 follow-up question in terms of I think one of the
- 6 presentations was saying no utility company
- 7 actually out there has -- we have one utility
- 8 company if you think about Internet access as a
- 9 utility then you may want to think about one
- 10 provider. Are there anywhere in the U.S. any
- 11 geographically or otherwise you can think about
- 12 you need one provider or maybe a consortium of
- 13 carriers could actually build up a network but
- 14 share it?
- 15 MR. ZIMMER: I think we could do it in
- our area. I mean, there's not that many users, so
- 17 I think that's a -- for us, I think it's a
- 18 workable model.
- MS. GUENDELSBERGER: That's, again,
- 20 follow-up to network sharing question. It sounded
- 21 like network sharing in itself wasn't something
- 22 appealing, but is there any place it might be

1 appealing as a model, and actually not one

- 2 carrier, but a consortium of carriers somehow
- 3 build that to share and make it more economically
- 4 viable?
- 5 MR. ZIMMER: I think it could work,
- 6 again, in that rural area. I'm not sure, you
- 7 know, in the markets that these guys serve, I'm
- 8 not sure that would be as viable, but I think in
- 9 the truly rural markets, I think it could work. I
- 10 mean, am I interested in doing it? Not as much,
- 11 but I do think that it could work.
- MR. EVANS: I'll make no apologies for
- 13 being a diehard capitalist. No, I don't want to
- 14 do that.
- MR. RAY: I think we shouldn't forget
- 16 that we do share stuff, right, so we build our
- 17 common systems, you know in airports and metro
- 18 systems and so on that we all utilize and work
- 19 together on. So don't think of us as all being
- 20 totally anti -- when the right solution is to
- 21 build common infrastructure -- we absolutely do
- 22 share towers. So, those opportunities are out

- 1 there.
- 2 MR. CURTIS: I guess last topic of the
- 3 afternoon, probably. Give us some thoughts about
- 4 how we ought to think about the value of -- the
- 5 unique value of mobility. What do you see coming
- 6 on the horizon that makes the mobile broadband
- 7 experience distinctively valuable, you know, other
- 8 than the obvious, than the fixed broadband
- 9 experience? And I guess really what we're looking
- 10 for is, are you seeing in the pipeline value added
- 11 applications coming through, coming online that
- 12 are distinctively mobile?
- MR. MacLEOD: One that comes immediately
- 14 to mind is the telemedicine. There is a group out
- in California now that has developed a Band-Aid,
- so to speak. It's a large Band-Aid that you put
- on various parts of your body and it connects
- 18 Bluetooth to your device, and then the device,
- 19 like an iPhone, can tell whether you're resting
- 20 horizontally, standing, what your heart rate is.
- 21 If you have heart arrhythmia, then they can
- 22 correlate it with what's going on in other parts

of your body, and if your blood pressure starts to

- 2 rise, you can get a text message that says
- 3 whatever you're doing, stop.
- So, a lot of innovative things like that
- 5 are just on the cusp to where this fellow's
- doctor, if he's in Albuquerque, New Mexico, the
- 7 doctor may be in India, and if he exceeds certain
- 8 thresholds or this guy is being monitored for
- 9 arrhythmia, the doctor can correlate the issues
- 10 with the circumstances that he's in. It's just
- 11 phenomenal concepts like that that are coming
- 12 about.
- 13 MR. CURTIS: That's great. Any other
- 14 examples like that? Love to hear them.
- MR. EVANS: I think there are thousands.
- In fact, I think what excites me even more are the
- things that we haven't even thought about. My
- 18 15-year-old son is sitting in the audience and
- 19 when I think about the things that he's going to
- see that we're not going to get to see in this
- 21 room, the ability to transfer information
- 22 seamlessly at any time and in an entirely mobile

1 environment is going to revolutionize the planet,

- 2 not just our lives, but everybody's life across.
- 3 And while anecdotally there are thousands of
- stories like that that are out there, things that
- 5 we're going to be able to do, the reality is, it's
- 6 very simple. It is going to be the instantaneous
- 7 transfer of real-time information seamlessly,
- 8 anywhere, and that solves 95 percent of the
- 9 world's problems if you can move that information.
- 10 It's just -- it's incredible to think about.
- 11 MR. RAY: If I think about -- obviously,
- 12 I'm a strong mobility guy in my role, but if I
- 13 think about the Internet, right, then I think
- 14 we've all been awed, you know, the generation
- we're in, what's happened with the Internet. I
- think it's reached a tenth, if that, of its
- 17 potential and, you know, you cover that additional
- 18 ground through what's happened by -- or what will
- 19 happen as you take it mobile. And the Internet is
- one example, I think.
- 21 I'm hugely optimistic. I've said it
- 22 already, I think we're at the tip of the iceberg.

1 I think, you know, mobile broadband will transform

- 2 the way we live. I think it will transform how
- 3 people work, how communities support each other,
- 4 education, health care, you name it. I mean, I
- 5 think there's an endless list of opportunities
- 6 that will come through mobile broadband.
- 7 If you look at just the last year and
- 8 the way in which we've seen, you know, early
- 9 adopters of mobile broadband solutions in, you
- 10 know, Gls, Apple iPhones, whatever it might be,
- 11 the number of applications and the ways people are
- 12 connecting, communicating, not just sending data,
- but communicating where they are, what they're
- doing, it's incredible to watch. And I think that
- that's an extremely rich future for the industry
- and also for the consumer.
- So, I'm very excited about the
- 18 opportunity and there's something obviously unique
- 19 about being able to take that information and
- 20 connect everywhere you want to, whenever you want
- 21 to.
- 22 MR. PONTE: I'd like to add that I

1 always get a little -- I'm a mobile bias guy,

- 2 obviously. I always get a little bit frustrated
- 3 with the mobile versus fixed comparison because,
- 4 you know, they really do serve many different
- 5 purposes. But the one thing I'll say is that I
- 6 can use -- Neville won't like this -- I can use my
- 7 G1 at home on T-Mobile's network, but I can't
- 8 bring my DSL modem with me. And I find actually
- 9 at home more and more I use this device and I go
- 10 to the airport, I don't even pop open my laptop
- 11 anymore. Why? Because when I open my laptop I've
- got to figure out what the SSID is, and is it
- 13 something I've got to pay for, and so forth,
- 14 because there's a big part of mobility on the
- business side that says, you know, I could use
- this anywhere in the world where they have 3G
- 17 coverage, automatic, it's on, it works. That's a
- big, big component to mobility that I think is
- often overlooked even though it's not specifically
- an application, you know, in the narrow sense. In
- 21 the broad sense, I think that's what it's really
- 22 all about.

1 MR. MacLEOD: I think the biggest next

- 2 wave that's going to come is the
- 3 machine-to-machine communications and they're
- 4 going to be -- with the advent of IPv6 and the
- 5 availability of addresses, it's just going to be
- 6 phenomenal.
- 7 I was looking at a magazine called
- 8 Wired, which is quite a good magazine, and the
- 9 last page of every issue they have artifacts from
- 10 the future. It's my favorite thing. And they had
- this beer bottle from 2014 called "Coors Over
- 12 Clocked," and on the label you could set the
- temperature of your beer either up or down. And
- 14 this girl happened to be drinking the beer and
- every time you'd take a drink, it measures your
- 16 blood alcohol level. And so the beer bottle sent
- a message to her phone saying you've exceeded the
- 18 blood alcohol level that's safe to drive, so we've
- 19 disabled your Toyota Prius.
- 20 (Laughter) And then it said and
- 21 we've also disabled the list of old
- 22 ex-boyfriends on your phone.

1	(Laughter)

- 2 You know, it's a lot of fun to think,
- 3 but that stuff's coming. You know, we've got some
- 4 goofy people out there that come up with some
- 5 great applications and it's all
- 6 machine-to-machine.
- 7 MR. SWANOBORI: I think people were
- 8 thinking about things like that, the connected
- 9 car, not necessarily, I hadn't thought about that
- 10 application, but I think I mentioned consumer
- 11 electronics, things you could -- say you have to
- 12 connect your -- in many cases you have to connect
- 13 your camera to upload your pictures. I think in
- 14 the future streaming video, machine-to-machine,
- the utility space, the medicine applications you
- 16 talked about I think are ripe for development. So
- if you can get some kind of smart device that will
- 18 allow a doctor to look at your test results
- 19 remotely, give you a diagnosis so you don't have
- 20 to go see him or her in person, I think there's a
- lot of good opportunities out there that are going
- to develop.

1 MR. BYE: The only thing that I'd add

- 2 is, sort of cable and wireless, is if you look
- 3 back 10 years to '99 and where the Internet
- 4 industry was in '99, and you look at where it is
- 5 today, I think it's a good proxy for the next 10
- 6 years, and we went sort of from dial-up to
- 7 broadband to now, you know, 50 to 100 megabits per
- 8 second to a home. And I think there's a promise
- 9 and a future out there that gets unlocked as you
- 10 enable this capability that none of us can
- 11 imagine, that none of us could have imagined back
- in '99. And so I think it's a tremendous future
- 13 ahead of us.
- MR. CURTIS: Great. Well, I think it's
- 15 time to call this a wrap. I want to thank you
- 16 guys very much for coming down, taking, you know,
- 17 probably the full chunk of your day to do this.
- 18 Very instructive for all of us. I hope it was
- 19 interesting for you. In all likelihood, would
- love to reach out and spend more quality, you
- 21 know, one-on-oneish time with you guys and learn
- 22 more in-depth about a lot of the issues we talked

1	about	today.	Thar	ık	you	all	L fo	r c	oming	g as	well.
2			(Wl	ner	eupo	on,	the	PR	OCEEI	INGS	were
3			ad	jou	rne	d.)					
4				*	*	*	*	*			
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											

1	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Carleton J. Anderson, III do hereby
3	certify that the forgoing electronic file when
4	originally transmitted was reduced to text at my
5	direction; that said transcript is a true record
6	of the proceedings therein referenced; that I am
7	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
8	any of the parties to the action in which these
9	proceedings were taken; and, furthermore, that I
10	am neither a relative or employee of any attorney
11	or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor
12	financially or otherwise interested in the outcome
13	of this action.
14	/s/Carleton J. Anderson, III
15	
16	
17	Notary Public in and for the
18	Commonwealth of Virginia
19	Commission No. 351998
20	Expires: November 30, 2012
21	
22	