UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

NATIONAL BROADBAND PLAN WORKSHOP

TECHNOLOGY/FIXED BROADBAND

Washington, D.C.

Thursday, August 13, 2009

- 1 PARTICIPANTS:
- 2 Panel 1:
- 3 JULIUS KNAPP, Moderator
- 4 ROBERT CURTIS
- 5 STAGG NEWMANN
- 6 VICTOR FROST
- 7 ADAM DROBOT
- 8 VINT CERF
- 9 JOHN T. CHAPMAN
- 10 HENNING SCHULZRINNE
- 11 PAUL MISENER
- 12 RONALD T. REPASI
- 13 WALTER JOHNSTON
- 14 BILL ST. ARNAUD
- 15 Panel 2:
- 16 JULIUS KNAPP, Moderator
- 17 ROBERT CURTIS
- 18 STAGG NEWMANN
- 19 DAVID BURSTEIN
- 20 PAUL HENRY
- 21 MARC GOLDBURG
- 22 JASON LIVINGOOD

PARTICIPANTS (CONT'D): DAVID YOUNG GEOFF BURKE STUART LIPOFF RONALD T. REPASI WALTER JOHNSTON 7 MARK DEPIETRO * * * * *

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	MR. KNAPP: May I have everybody please
3	take their seats and the panelists come to the
4	front table? I'll give you just a minute to get
5	settled. Good morning, everybody. I'm Julius
6	Knapp, I'm with the Office of Engineering and
7	Technology. Thank you for coming today. We had
8	three sessions yesterday that were just fantastic,
9	so they set the bar really high. And I know we've
10	got a great group of panels and speakers today,
11	and so I have every reason to expect it's going to
12	be at least as good.
13	This morning's session is going to focus
14	on fixed broadband deployment. For a long time,
15	you'd think of fixed as being wired things, but
16	actually now wireless can also offer fixed
17	services, so we've got a combination here.
18	Just a couple of quick ground rules, a
19	reminder to please turn off your wireless devices
20	so that we don't have interruptions or feedback.
21	We've got, for each of the panelists, five minutes
22	allotted for a presentation that will be followed

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 by questions from the Commission staff and from 2 folks over the internet or the audience. So with 3 that, unless there are any other questions, we'll 4 get ready to roll. Victor, if you could start 5 with the first presentation. 6 DR. FROST: Sure. 7 MR. KNAPP: Thanks. DR. FROST: Yeah, I wanted to start out 8 9 by, thank you for providing me the opportunity to 10 participate today. I'm from the National Science Foundation, and just to set the stage a little bit 11 12 for background, NSF has a mission to support 13 basic, scientific, and engineering research. The Foundation's activities support discovery, 14 learning to cultivate a science and engineering 15 work force and the development of research 16 infrastructure. 17 18 Within the Foundation, I'm with the 19 Computer Information Science and Engineering 20 Directorate called CISE. The goals of CISE are to 21 provide leadership and research and understanding of the principals and uses of advanced 22

1 communications and information systems in the 2 service of society.

3 CISE's approach to achieving these goals 4 is to support investigator initiated research in 5 all areas within its portfolio. The Directorate 6 also serves to maintain cutting edge national 7 computing and information infrastructure to support research and the education of the next 8 generation of computer scientists and engineers. 9 10 So, clearly, research within the National Science Foundation and CISE plays a role and contributes 11 12 to the networking broadband technologies that are 13 being discussed today. We're all aware that over the years, communications technologies have moved 14 from hundreds of bits per second to gigabits per 15 second, and we're seeing several trends that are 16 emerging that may impact our future as much as the 17 18 increase in raw data rates.

One of those is, we expect the emergence
of radios operating in very high carrier
frequencies, enabling inexpensive gigabit per
second rates. These radios will be ubiquitous and

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 their impact is yet to be determined.

Mobility, access to entertainment and 2 3 information on the go is going to continue to 4 shape the technology landscape. The line between 5 fixed broadband and traditional mobile 6 communications may be blurring. Today some people 7 are using 3G technologies for their home internet, and this trend may increase as we move from 4G and 8 higher wireless rates. 9

We're aware that the FCC recently 10 permitted shared access of white space in the TV 11 12 spectrum. So in the not too distant future, it's 13 going to be possible to build cognitive networks out of cognitive radios. By learning the 14 characteristics of the local environment, these 15 systems will be able to optimize the use of scarce 16 resources, for example, spectrum. And cognitive 17 networks has the potential to be one of those 18 19 disruptive technologies as time moves on. 20 We're also seeing the emergence of cloud

21 based applications. These are applications that 22 reside and run in the network. Some people just

call this cloud computing, but it has the
potential to be broader than just computing.

Here the ultimate consumer device may 3 4 evolve to something that just enables media output 5 and user input, and applications may be selected 6 and executed like you select a TV channel today. 7 We're also likely to see the emergence of new content, for example, providing real time 8 experience using video. This content will raise 9 10 new issues, some technical, but most not. We'll be challenged to find some ways of managing the 11 12 associated traffic to maintain user experience. 13 And here the QOS issues may arise to support to content, new business models may evolve beyond the 14 current internet flat rate, for example, selling 15 content application bundles more like in the cable 16 TV industry. The last trend I'd like to mention 17 is virtual networks. We talk about virtual 18 machines, virtual memory, virtual links, now we 19 20 can talk about virtual networks. A key attribute 21 of virtualization is that each user has the impression that they are the sole user of that 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 particular resource.

2 It's important to realize that 3 virtualization may provide an opportunity for 4 diversity of networking architectures to evolve 5 and simultaneously exist. Virtualization also has the potential to be another one of those 6 7 disruptive technologies. 8 So cognitive networks and virtualization are significant emerging concepts, and these are 9 two that the FCC maybe want to consider to develop 10 an understanding of while they and how they impact 11 the future of developing a national broadband 12 13 plan. Since it looks like I have about 30 14 seconds left, just a couple of comments in terms 15 of some of the research that NSF is conducting 16 now. The internet -- current internet 17 architecture has been able to scale in terms of 18 speed, distance, and the number of users. 19 20 However, the evolution of the network, the current 21 trajectory of incremental changes may not support the needs as we move forward. NSF is involved in 22

innovative and creative multi disciplinary 1 research to design and evaluate new trustworthy 2 3 architectures for the internet. There are several 4 programs underway in that particular area. 5 One more aspect I would like to mention 6 is that computer scientists and engineers need an 7 experimental infrastructure upon which to test out their new services, networking architectures, and 8 technologies. And toward that end, NSF has been 9 10 supporting the development of a new network research infrastructure called the Global 11 12 Environment for Network Innovations, it's called 13 GENI. So within CISE, there's many research 14 programs that are addressing significant 15 communications, information systems and 16 networking, research problems. And I want to 17 thank you again for the opportunity to participate 18 19 in the important discussion today. 20 MR. KNAPP: Thank you, Victor. Adam. 21 (Pause) 22 MR. KNAPP: Bill, are you on the

1 network?

2 MR. ST. ARNAUD: Okay, yes. Good 3 morning, everybody. I assume you can hear me 4 okay? 5 MR. KNAPP: Yeah, we're fine. 6 MR. ST. ARNAUD: Okay. Well, first of 7 all, I'd like to thank FCC staff for inviting me to just give a short talk at this event tonight. 8 9 I applaud your initiative in this area. I think the work you are doing, looking at the challenges 10 of broadband, will not only affect the U.S. 11 12 national strategy, but other countries in the 13 world, as well. So I'm Bill St. Arnaud, I'm the Chief 14 Research Officer for CANARIE, which is the 15 Canadian equivalent of Internet 2, and it's a bit 16 broader than Internet 2 in that we have been taxed 17 18 with Canada's telecom internet strategies, networks, and applications. But we do work very 19 20 close with Internet 2, National Lambda Rail, 21 National Science Foundation, Educause, and various U.S. institutions like the University of 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 California San Diego.

As everyone knows, the internet really 2 3 started with the R&E community, funded through the 4 NSF. Not many people realize that the R&E 5 community also has an important role and has been 6 a major -- architectures and business models. The 7 R&E community has long experienced and operated their own networks, both on a national and 8 regional basis, and many university networks are 9 10 equivalent to those that we've deployed in a small city. So we have a lot of practical experience in 11 12 operating and deploying next generation type 13 networks. And new broadband concepts, like condominium networks, customer control networks, 14 hybrid networking, all have started with this 15 community, are not slowing spreading into 16 commercial deployment. So I think it's very 17 important that we recognize the important role 18 that the R&E community can play in this national 19 20 broadband strategy. 21 Now, in my opinion, one of the biggest

22 challenges we face in terms of a national

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

broadband strategy is developing the business case. Many people think that the government is going to invest billions of dollars in national broadband deployment, like we've seen in Australia.

6 But in this era of trillion dollar 7 deficits, near bankrupt state and municipal government, I very much doubt that governments 8 will have the capability or the wherewithal to 9 10 make any significant investments in broadband. So we really have to look at the private 11 12 sector as the primary vehicle for deploying 13 broadband, particularly next generation access. But even there, the business case for deploying 14 broadband is also very weak, especially if you 15 want multiple facilities based competitors. I 16 think there's a general agreement among 17 policy-makers and other people that facilities 18 based competition with multiple providers is the 19 20 ideal solution, if we can achieve that, because 21 competition drives innovation, lower prices, and more choices for the consumer. 22

1 But the business case for the next generation broadband, even on a monopoly or 2 3 duopoly basis, is also very weak at this point in 4 time. And the big challenges are, of course, 5 revenues from triple play are going to be 6 declining as more and more services are deployed 7 over the internet, between voice and video and broadcast TV and so forth. 8 9 Tape rates are a problem, and even with, 10 you know, monopoly type applications, Verizon FIOS, for example, says they only can reach maybe 11 40 percent of their target market, and it's all 12 13 predicated on a very high take- up, and revenues typically are about \$130 per month. 14 And so these are really challenging 15 numbers just for a single monopoly or duopoly 16 situation. Trying to stimulate multiple 17 competitive providers is going to be very 18 difficult, if not impossible. So what we believe 19 20 we need to do is to work with the R&E community, 21 experiment with new business models and architectures, they'll try to solve this 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 conundrum. The next slide, please, if my slides are up there. Now, there's already some good 2 3 examples of this. For example, some analysts, 4 Derek Slater and Tim Wu at Google have been 5 promoting an idea called Homes with Tails, and 6 this is where the customer owns the last mile, and 7 so there's been some discussion about that. An initiative we have in Canada, and now 8 undertaken in several other countries, is 9 10 something we call Green Broadband, and that's to bundle the cost of fiber deployment and internet 11 12 service with the consumer's energy bill. 13 This allows -- the consumer is encouraged to reduce energy consumption, but also 14 provides a very steady and predictive revenue 15 stream to the service provider, which is not 16 predicated on triple play. 17 18 Now, both of these things are very experimental at this point in time and unproven, 19 20 but I think this is the type of thinking we need 21 and experimentation to look for alternate solutions for deploying broadband. A couple other 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 good examples --

2 MR. KNAPP: Bill, could you wrap it up 3 maybe in the next 20 seconds or so? 4 MR. ST. ARNAUD: Okay. A couple other 5 good examples are KPN in the Netherlands, working 6 with Reggenfiber and condominium fiber, and 7 Swisscom working with utility companies in Switzerland. So the bottom line is that we 8 believe that working with the R&E community, we've 9 got to experiment, find ways -- fund new models in 10 deploying broadband architecture that address some 11 12 of these problems. Thank you. 13 MR. KNAPP: Thanks very much, Bill. Now 14 on to Adam; sorry. MR. DROBOT: So thank you very much for 15 this opportunity. I'm Adam Drobot and I am 16 responsible for applied research at Telcordia. 17 And my folks have been looking at the issues of 18 broadband for a long time, focusing on a couple of 19 20 key points during the last few years. So what I'd 21 like to do is walk through those points. I'm fairly bad at taking instructions. I was asked to 22

look at two concepts, probably be more than that,
so let me start on that.

3 I'd say the first notion I'd like to 4 impart is that if I look at the future, and I look 5 at broadband and what that word means to me, it's 6 more than access, it's more than the core, in 7 fact, it's a very complex system that's hierarchical in nature, it has networks at the 8 9 core that carry great capacity and enable both 10 aggregation of traffic and distribution of it, all the way out to the nodes, which are individual 11 12 residences. If you map that system out, it has 13 roughly five layers of hierarchy in it. The first thing I'd like to impart is 14 that to reach a point in the future where 15 broadband actually serves the citizens of the 16 17 country, has an impact on their lives and an 18 impact on public institutions, there really has to be balance in the way that whole system is built 19 20 out, okay, and that balance means much more than 21 transport and the carrying of bits, because what I

22 see in the future, if I look to my left, Vint

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

Cerf, there's a lot more in that infrastructure, it's computing, it's storage, it's a lot of processing of information that makes stuff useful for our citizens. So I think having a holistic picture and having the tools to, in fact, examine it before one sets policy I think is fairly important.

I'd say the second point I would like to 8 9 make is that if you look at that system, there are 10 things that happen on very desperate time scales. If I look at wireless and I look at what is in our 11 12 hands, something like a handset, you know, what we 13 are seeing is that those are coming out on six to 12 month centers essentially, very fast moving 14 technology. If you start incorporating that kind 15 of technology in health care, in telematics, in 16 other disciplines, how we put together the set of 17 policies, how you build the commercial 18 infrastructures and capitalization of stuff that 19 20 allows that to be built out in a natural way I think is an important issue. 21

22	l On	the	other	extreme	Ι	would	say	are	the
----	------	-----	-------	---------	---	-------	-----	-----	-----

1 laws and policies that we have as a nation. They seem to crawl, they don't anticipate what 2 3 technologies can do, and, in fact, I would say it 4 may be one of the weak links that we have in the 5 chain today, okay. 6 So if you're moving on exponential time, 7 on internet time, how do you get the rest of the machinery of society to do something that is 8 non-prescriptive in nature, I would say is more 9 10 behavioral in terms of the approach, that allows us to get to the full exploitation of the 11 12 technologies that we have. 13 I would say another key point is really the deployment of broadband, okay, and this is not 14 the glamorous part of building something that runs 15 the terabits, it's really the craft work, the 16 digging of ditches, putting stuff along telephone 17 18 poles. I see a lot of incremental improvement, but I don't see the fundamental improvement and 19 20 productivity in that area, and this is one of the 21 things we've been turning our attention to. 22 And the reason that's important is that

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 whoever pays the bill to wire up the nation at 2 high broadband speeds, in our estimation, is 3 something that would be well north of \$300 4 billion. To be able to justify that kind of 5 spending, whether it comes out of the public purse 6 or it comes out of the private sector, okay, 7 having a significant increase in productivity I think would make those figures a lot more 8 palatable essentially. 9 If I were to look at basic conclusions 10 and look at the landscape today, my hope is that 11 we sort of get out of the stalemate that we have 12 13 today as a nation in being able to move forward in 14 this important area. Thank you. MR. KNAPP: Thank you, Adam. Vint. 15 MR. CERF: Well, first of all, Adam, 16 that was spectacular, you finished with eight 17 18 seconds to spare. This should be a training program for members of Congress. First of all, 19 20 I'm not using Powerpoint; power corrupts and 21 Powerpoint corrupts absolutely. Second, I think one of the most important takeaways for me anyway 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 is that it's not just broadband, but it's 2 broadband access to internet that's really 3 important. And I don't mean that to sound 4 egotistical, I only say it because internet, as a 5 technology, allows an extremely flexible way for 6 anything to connect to anything else and for the 7 band widths and capacities of the interactions to be very, very flexibly allocated. 8 9 This panel refers to mobile broadband, 10 but I'd like to translate that into, it's probably radio based, because mobile and wires don't work 11 12 too well. If it's radio based, that doesn't 13 constrain you to mobile only, it also could be fixed, as well as mobile use of radio. 14 What can we say about today's use of 15 internet? Well, one thing we can see is, there's 16 more demand for two- way symmetry in the 17 capacities that are available. We have the 18 19 wherewithal to generate video and audio and other 20 things, as well as to receive it, and so that's 21 what you see, you see these devices with video recorders in them and are capable of generating as 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 much as they receive.

2 What's nice about packet switching, 3 what's nice about radio is that it can be very 4 flexibly allocated. Packets can flow, they use up 5 a bit of capacity, and then the next packet can go 6 and use up the next piece of capacity, it's not 7 dedicated in any way to any particular application. In the radio world, you have lots of 8 different ways of allocating the spectrum. You 9 10 can use time division multiplexing, you can use co-division multiplexing, you can use frequency 11 12 division multiplexing. There are lots of 13 dimensions for sharing of the capacity. What we haven't done very well in the 14 internet world is to make use of the fact that 15 radio can be broadcast, that is to say, multiple 16 17 parties can hear the same transmission. Most of what we've done on the internet is to turn the 18 19 broadcast medium into a point to point medium. I 20 think we've missed some opportunities there and we should be pursuing that. 21

22 One thing that you could also see about

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 the use of mobile communication is geo location. 2 These devices now can know where they are, either 3 because of GPS receivers or because you know 4 something about where the cell towers are and you 5 can do some triangulation. We've seen a change in 6 user behaviors because of that. They're 7 interested in information that relates to where they are, and we see this in the queries that come 8 to Google. So this notion of geographic awareness 9 10 and the value of geographically indexed information has become enhanced by having mobile 11 12 access to information sources. Cloud computing, 13 you mentioned the holistic view, all the pieces of this thing, cloud computing is a really big part 14 of the utility of broadband, from my point of 15 view. We exploit that, and others do, as well, at 16 17 Google. 18 I think I also want to draw attention to

19 open source notions, because one of the things 20 which has enhanced the value of having access to 21 broadband resources and to internet has been the 22 sharing of software that allows people to develop

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 new products and services.

2 Google believes implicitly that by 3 sharing capability, you enable others to make more 4 value of the underlying facilities. So we've 5 released things like android operating system and 6 the chrome browser, and later it'll be the chrome 7 OS, we'll all be open source available. We build API's, application programming interfaces to 8 google earth and google maps as a way of allowing 9 10 others to generate value from the underlying infrastructure. 11 12 If I were to summarize a philosophical

13 position that I would strongly urge, it would be to maximize the utility of the broadband 14 infrastructure investment. And by this, I'd like 15 16 to argue that it is not necessarily maximizing the revenue generated by a party who builds that 17 infrastructure, but rather to make that 18 infrastructure go to work for a broad range of 19 20 application providers. If we want to maximize the 21 utility of the broadband investment in the United States, it needs to be very widely accessible to 22

1 parties who can bring value to that investment, and that may be many, many more companies than the 2 3 one that actually builds and operates the 4 underlying component. So I'm a strong believer in 5 trying to create real value and open 6 entrepreneurial opportunity for anyone that can 7 take advantage of that broadband facility. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 9 MR. KNAPP: Thank you, Vint. John. 10 Let's make sure that we reset the clock. Okay. MR. CHAPMAN: Hi, I'm John Chapman, I'm 11 12 with Cisco Systems and I want to talk a little bit 13 about broadband access in the cable industry. So on my first slide, I take a look at -- I just want 14 to explain how the cable system works today to 15 kind of give you a perspective. We basically have 16 a frequency spectrum of somewhere around 750 17 18 megahertz to a gigahertz, and that's divided up 19 into classic TV channels. So for broadband, we 20 basically put data over those TV channels. I 21 wanted to measure the efficiency of the spectrum, so I took a look at the services that are on in 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 today, which is really analog video, broadcast digital video, switch digital video, and upcoming 2 3 now is DOCSIS, where we put the date over it, and 4 video in that might be like an mpeg four and the 5 other services are mpeg two. If we normalize DOCSIS at 100 percent, 6 7 it turns out analog video is using about two percent efficiency of the spectrum. And so 8 there's a series of legacy -- on cable that, as we 9 10 migrate from where they are today to future services and future transports, we can actually 11 12 pick up a lot of efficiency. 13 I calculated today that the network is probably running at ten percent efficiency, which 14 means just through service migration alone, we can 15 16 get a 10X improvement in band width. Next slide. And so kind of to put that together, so 17 if we were to take analog video, migrate it to 18 digital video, and migrate that to switch digital 19 20 video, and eventually migrate the whole thing to 21 IP video over an IP infrastructure, so we have a converged transport of data, voice, and video, we 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 would see huge efficiencies, increases in the network, with not a lot of -- without having to 2 3 rebuild the network. There's a lot of potential 4 in the existing network. Next slide. I mentioned 5 DOCSIS, I just want to touch briefly on what 6 DOCSIS is. It's the technology for building 7 broadband pipes over the cable infrastructure. The cable infrastructure is actually a hybrid 8 fiber coax, it's a partial fiber, partial coax 9 infrastructure. 10 It's about 13 years old at this point in 11 12 time. It originally came out at one to two 13 megabits per second of the downstream. A typical installation today has 12 to 24 megabits in the 14 downstream, which is a lot of -- considering that, 15 16 you know, T1's were one and a half megabits and were seen as the backbone of the internet just a 17 18 few years ago. 19 And DOCSIS 3.0, which is coming out 20 right now, will combine four to eight channels 21 together. And already we've seen operators like Cablevision deploying 100 megabits per second in 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 the downstream.

2 The technology itself can be scaled in 3 the future. We think that we could probably push 4 DOCSIS one day to take the whole downstream of one 5 to five gigabits, and we think that we could push 6 it to a gigabit in the upstream. Next slide. The 7 question seems to be on everybody's mind is, when are we -- do we need a gigabit cable modem, will 8 we ever get there? 9 SPEAKER: Yes. 10 MR. CHAPMAN: Well, if you're old enough 11 12 to remember a 300 mod modem, you're young enough 13 -- you're going to be young enough to see a gigabit cable modem. That's 300 to a billion bits 14 difference in less than half a lifetime. Next 15 16 slide. So the two concepts I think that I want 17 to leave the FCC with, one is that the existing 18 network that the cable guys have has a lot of 19 20 potential in it. It's not necessary to rip up the 21 existing networks and replace them all with fiber. A pure fiber network is not the only ticket in 22

1 town to get to massive broadband deployment. 2 There's a lot of upgrades that can 3 happen I think on the cable plant, from minor 4 upgrades to major upgrades. That might be things 5 that the government can influence. Certainly, 6 technology is like mpeg four, which is a higher 7 level of video compression. NIP, which is just a much better mechanical mechanism for getting bits 8 to flow, can help a lot towards delivering 9 services. 10 And I think the other thing I would 11 12 leave the FCC with is to encourage investment, to 13 set up an environment where, you know, today, when cable operators go to upgrade their network, the 14 immediate response from Wall Street is, that's 15 going to cost money, profits are going to go down, 16 stock prices goes down, and it's a negative 17 18 environment for investment. In reality, when you 19 put money into your network and you get more 20 services out of it, it's very much of a positive. 21 So I would definitely encourage our customers to keep investing in their networks. I think that's 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 it. Thanks very much. MR. CERF: You're ahead of the game 2 3 here. 4 MR. CHAPMAN: I guess Powerpoint doesn't 5 ultimately corrupt. 6 SPEAKER: It keeps you on schedule at 7 least. 8 MR. KNAPP: Thank you, John. Henning. MR. SCHULZRINNE: Good morning. My name 9 is Henning Schulzrinne, I'm at Columbia 10 University. Next slide, please. I'd like to 11 12 highlight what I believe are some of the changes 13 that we will likely see over a time frame that I 14 would estimate somewhere in the decade range, so certainly within the investment horizon or the 15 planning horizon that we should be thinking about. 16 The first five kind of major 17 architectural -- As Vint already hinted out, we 18 19 are moving very much from an asymmetric consumed 20 content model to a symmetric model, for a variety 21 of reasons, namely that we will see more upload, backups, user generated services, video, but we 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	will also see a symmetry, not just in band width,
2	and I think this is an important consideration,
3	but also in network We tend to think of kind of
4	a single device, logically, and then gets split by
5	a network address translator into consumer only
6	devices. That has network architecture of the
7	internet as it was envisioned originally, making
8	it extremely difficult, cumbersome, and unreliable
9	to provide services that are necessary, where data
10	from censors and other sources is provided from
11	the end user to the network to other users.
12	ITV6 and the liberal provision of
13	address space to end users will be crucial, not
14	just symmetric band width. We will simultaneously
15	see higher and lower peak to average ratio, higher
16	in the sense that users will expect to burst at
17	very high rates because, for example, they want to
18	download video content very rapidly or upload
19	photos, for example, and lower in a sense that
20	instead of just being a daytime activity, lots of
21	activities will be taking place throughout the

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 consumption later and so on. We'll see two kinds 2 of new applications. The substitution 3 applications, obviously, was already hinted in a 4 sense that instead of having dedicated 5 infrastructure and channels for video, we will see 6 all IP infrastructures, but also new application 7 that impose not just new band width requirements, but new architectural requirements. 8 9 I will just highlight three of them, 10 namely, energy management, home safety, and medical monitoring, as applications that each 11 12 impose very new requirements in terms of 13 availability, reachability, and reliability. 14 Also, I believe we have traditionally made a division between having a distinction 15 between residential services, which are seen 16 largely as by the name consumer services and 17 business services. I think that distinction will 18 19 largely disappear except for the very largest 20 companies. 21 Anything up to a mid size company with multi gigabit of network will find the same 22

1	infrastructure used as opposed to running saying
2	dedicated T1 lines to them. So we have to plan
3	not just for the notion that these are Facebook
4	users, but these are actually going to be small
5	and mid size enterprises who crucially depend on
6	network services liability. In general, I believe
7	beyond band width, we need high reliability for
8	many of the services, medical monitoring being an
9	easy to understand example, and that reliability
10	mainly to be maintained even in the face of power
11	outages. Next slide, please. If you look at new
12	services, we have multi homing, where not just an
13	end user will not just have a single network such
14	as a cable or DSL type network, but also want to
15	combine those networks primarily for backup. That
16	is very hard to do from a technical, as well as
17	from a business model perspective. I don't want
18	to pay for two networks, I want to pay for a
19	backup network in case my primary network goes
20	down.
21	I want to move content closer to the

edge of a network, so we need to think about

22

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 vendor neutral and content neutral means of 2 hosting content and computation close to the edge. 3 I see ISP's and similar services as 4 providing more than just bits. They're uniquely 5 positioned to provide services such as identify 6 services, for example, to certify the identity of 7 a user name, address, and role, as well as certify specific and geo location. We need both services 8 for security, we need them for E commerce, and we 9 10 need them for reliable next generation 911 11 services. 12 I also see a role for preventing -- for 13 using internet service providers to provide much better security to the network at large, because 14 with that type of band width, a single node can do 15 16 much more damage than a modem connected node, for example, could ever do. So those are some 17 18 examples of the changes which go beyond just 19 adding more bits per second, go to adding more 20 services, and thinking about the kinds of services 21 that we would need in the future. Thank you. 22 MR. KNAPP: Thank you, Henning. Paul.

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 MR. MISENER: Thanks, Julius, very much. 2 And Juli and Walt sought from Amazon a cloud 3 visionary; unfortunately for you, you got the 4 neutrality guy instead, but fortunately, these are 5 related. We recognized about five -- six years 6 ago that our infrastructure within Amazon.com was 7 built for peak, peak selling season, peak times during the day for different time zones and so 8 forth, and as a result, we had a lot of extra 9 10 capacity for storage and computation and other forms of processing. And so we decided to open up 11 12 that infrastructure to others to use, and this is 13 the birth of cloud computing at Amazon. We currently offer a whole suite of 14 cloud computing applications. There's, obviously, 15 16 storage, there's also a data base and computation facilities that are available for users on a per

storage, there's also a data base and computation facilities that are available for users on a per usage basis, which allows consumers and SME's to obtain computing capacity and facilities that they would not be able to otherwise. If they had to go out and buy a very sophisticated computer with lots of storage just for some limited

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

applications, it would be uneconomical for them;
rather, they can come and buy ala carte from
Amazon and other providers such as Google and
Microsoft.

5 These kinds of services obviously rely 6 on consumer's ability to get at them. And so a 7 very important philosophical point, which is translated into a regulatory position over the 8 years, is, Amazon has long favored the fundamental 9 10 openness of the internet, which is good for consumers, it's good for the entrepreneurs who 11 12 serve those consumers.

13 It's also -- importantly, this is 14 something, a policy matter squarely before the 15 FCC, this is dealing with the openness of the 16 infrastructure that is available to consumers and 17 small businesses. And I completely agree with the 18 point that those will become, and already largely 19 are becoming indistinguishable.

20 Companies like Amazon are able to obtain 21 the telecommunication services that they need in a 22 negotiated environment. There's not a concern on
1 that side of the web. We are in more or less 2 equal bargaining position with network operators 3 and we're able to obtain those services in a 4 collaborative fashion. Consumers and small 5 businesses aren't in the same position. They 6 often face one or two service providers and set 7 prices. And so this is clearly an area where government oversight and intervention is 8 9 appropriate.

So what is the status of neutrality? 10 Well, I think we're in a position of détente, and 11 12 it's actually an unhelpful detente in the sense 13 that I think both consumers and network operators would benefit if the détente were broken. I think 14 Adam said earlier it's a stalemate. I think he 15 was talking about technology, but there also is 16 this fundamental regulatory stalemate in which we 17 18 find network operators are unsure of what they may 19 and may not do without receiving unfavorable 20 attention from regulators, and consumers may not 21 be benefiting from new services that would otherwise be available to them. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	So we were concerned five and six years
2	ago, not we, but the network operators primarily,
3	of the unintended consequences of regulation.
4	Well, at this point, I think we're seeing almost
5	the flip side, the unintended consequences of no
6	regulation, where we're not able to get the
7	clarity for the service providers, nor the new
8	services for consumers. And so just as a sort
9	of a softball almost or a straw man for what sorts
10	of services I think could fall under sort of
11	lawful or regulatorily permitted kinds of services
12	offered by network providers, certainly metering.
13	There's been some almost allergy to the
14	thought of charging consumers who use more band
15	width more money. Well, I think that's entirely
16	appropriate. That certainly happens for companies
17	like ours. We certainly are charged a lot more
18	money than smaller internet services providers or
19	edge providers like Amazon.
20	That kind of metering of consumers, the
21	24/7 gamer, makes perfect sense. It's
22	economically efficient and it would certainly

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

reward the network operators who could provide
 that kind of capacity.

3 Obviously, private networks have always 4 been with us, they're certainly appropriate. 5 Those have always, or typically been in the 6 circuit switch mode. But a private network in the 7 pack of switch mode seems to be perfectly reasonable, as well as edge serving by network 8 operators, who -- they ought to be able to be in 9 that same sort of a business. One of the 10 questions that always comes up is, paid QOS, 11 12 whether that ought to be appropriate, and it's 13 always seemed to me that that would be appropriate so long as other customers of the network operator 14 are not effected. So as long as it's not a zero 15 sum game, sure, provide it, it's more or less like 16 17 another private network that just happens to reside in the core rather than around the edge. 18 I'll get it down exactly to zero. Thank you. 19 20 MR. KNAPP: When I looked, it said one, 21 plus one. Thanks. Those presentations were fantastic, thank you all. One of the points that 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 we had touched on, we, I think in the past, have 2 thought about broadband in terms of the internet. 3 And as we're thinking about new applications going 4 forward, there's so much more that's coming, the 5 smart grid, health care applications, we talked 6 about safety and security and so forth. How 7 should we be thinking about what broadband is, how do you define it, aside from I mean the classical, 8 how many bits per second? And maybe, Adam, do you 9 want to take a shot at that first? 10 MR. DROBOT: Sure; let me try actually 11 12 from two different perspectives essentially, okay. 13 You know, when I look at a consumer or a business, they really don't care one damn whether it's, you 14 know, what the speed is, it's what it does for 15 them. So tongue and cheek, if I look at the 16 language that we use, we have, you know, a 2G, 3G, 17 18 4G world, and I'd say that there is a 5G and a 6G 19 world behind that, so let me try and define what 20 those are. So the first of those, which I would 21 say is 5G, is the ability, in fact, to give me the material that I want when I want it, and I'll 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 define that access as -- So on top of ability and speed of stuff, how quickly can you deliver 2 3 something to me when I need it so it's relevant. 4 That doesn't happen without having a 5 computing plant and a lot of other infrastructure 6 that's in the system, okay. Whether it's provided 7 by outfits like Google, Amazon, they're the services that are already in that 5G world, okay. 8 9 Then I'd say beyond that is really the world of anticipation, okay, much more computing 10 intensive, much more personalized essentially, and 11 12 this is where I see applications such as health 13 care, you know. Why do I want to monitor someone? So I can know how to do something ahead of time, 14 okay. Running out of gas on a highway, okay. If 15 you look at what your secretary does for you, it's 16 really anticipate what your needs are going to be 17 downstream, how to schedule your time, how to find 18 opportunities for you, okay. And the point I'd 19 20 like to make is that when you look at the life 21 cycle, okay, of putting a broadband system together, there will be a lot more that probably 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 goes into this part of the infrastructure than 2 goes into just providing the pipes essentially, 3 okay. So that's one way of framing it, I would 4 say. 5 MR. KNAPP: Hang on a sec. Yeah, 6 Henning. 7 MR. SCHULZRINNE: Vint I think was first. 8 9 MR. KNAPP: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. CERF: Don't worry about it, go 10 ahead. 11 MR. SCHULZRINNE: I think we should 12 13 think of a network as a fundamental utility infrastructure, that is, compliments the 14 infrastructures that we're used to, energy 15 16 transportation and so on. And we think of those infrastructures, if you're not a civil engineer, 17 as working best when you don't have to think about 18 19 it. 20 We have to think far too much about the 21 network today as a normal consumer. When I talk to my non- networking friends, and they tell me 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 that they had to use ping and a trace route, I say what have we -- we should not -- this is not an 2 3 infrastructure which is ready -- a normal consumer 4 does not need to know the difference between an 5 amp and a volt, and most probably don't. We now have to create an infrastructure essentially 6 7 invisible to new applications, because by the very nature, applications will change much faster, and 8 the network should not be in the way of those 9 applications. All too often, as I hinted out 10 earlier, in the past few years, new applications, 11 12 voice and video being one example, have become 13 much more difficult to deploy, become much more brittle, and have much poorer performance because 14 a network was not invisible and very much 15 interjected itself by port filtering, by having 16 restricted address space, by having asymmetric 17 band width -- of address -- of services. So we 18 know what happens when we don't have invisible 19 20 network, we get delayed and inferior services. 21 MR. CERF: So I certainly resonate with what Henning is saying in this regard. I think of 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

broadband as an enabler more than anything else, and treating it almost like a utility. I don't mean to drag in necessarily all of the baggage associated with the term "utility", but the idea that it is utilized by a broad range of consumers is important.

7 For example, in the case of electricity, we don't dictate what appliances you plug into the 8 system. The internet, in theory, need not dictate 9 10 what applications you run or what devices are connected to it. It's openness and freedom of 11 12 invention is exactly what has created so many new 13 opportunities. And it seems to me that as we try to fashion policies with regard to broadband 14 deployment, we should keep in mind that this 15 16 unbound notion of access to high capacity is what enables all kinds of new opportunity. 17 One thing I would remind everyone is 18 that high speed provides you with two different 19 20 important values; one of them is the ability to 21 move a large quantify of information quickly,

22 which gets to Adam's point about how quickly do I

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190 1 get a response when I'm trying to get something 2 done.

3 The other thing is that latency, for 4 certain kinds of applications, goes down as the 5 speed of the transmission pipe goes up. And you 6 don't necessarily need to use a large quantify of 7 something to benefit from low latency. So when you're dealing with twitch games, kids are 8 shooting at each other, or you're trying to have a 9 video conference or something, the latency part is 10 really important. 11

12 So the benefits of being able to get 13 access to broadband then use it in different ways 14 is what's essential here. And I would be unhappy 15 I think if our regulatory policies or our 16 implementations and deployments constrain the 17 flexibility with which we can actually use the 18 broadband resource.

19 MR. KNAPP: John.

20 MR. CHAPMAN: I would just add to what 21 my colleagues have said, you know, in networking, 22 we have a layered model, and I think it would be

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 good to take a look at the definition of broadband 2 with respect to that layered model. So broadband 3 isn't an all encompassing term that takes in 4 everything. Really at the lower layers we have, 5 you know, what Henning was talking about, port 6 filtering and stuff, it's really the operations of 7 IP, it's the mechanics that make a link work. They are strictly mechanics. 8

9 It has the internet protocol, or maybe 10 it's an ATM protocol or something like that, but that's what makes the pipe work, and that's what 11 12 people run into. Broadband is actually I think a 13 service that links you from your home into the internet. And the internet is a collection of a 14 whole source of applications that you're going to 15 be talking to. So if it's a health care 16 application or if it's a Google search or 17 18 something like that, those are applications on an internet. Broadband is the connectivity between 19 20 those two points. And I mean I think it's 21 important really to keep the layering and focus on the one part of the layer that you really wanted 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 to find.

2 MR. KNAPP: John, one of the things that 3 you had pointed out, and we still have a lot of 4 the capacity on the cable pipe that's delivering 5 analog TV, which there are requirements that have 6 to be maintained for a few years, and eventually 7 that's going to be recaptured. Where do you see that going, devoted more towards the broadband 8 side, I mean internet access, or are we going to 9 10 get another 25 TV programs? MR. CHAPMAN: You know, I think analog 11 12 reclamation is one of the biggest bang for the 13 bucks. Every analog channel you get rid of, you can replace it with ten video channels on digital, 14 and once you go over to IP, it can be 20 or 30, 15 and I think where we really end up seeing the 16 growth, there's only so many TV channels you can 17 18 put out there.

19 I think that the actual content -- I
20 mean here's another interesting way of answering
21 the question, because I have Vint to my right. I
22 would say the cable guys are the Googles of the

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	'70's. They're the old guys from 20 or 30 or 40
2	years. They were content aggregators back in the
3	'70's, who aggregated content from antennas. Now
4	we have guys at Google aggregating content from
5	everybody's living into the network and generating
6	some really good, new content. But back in the
7	'70's is all about, instead of two or three TV
8	channels, how can we aggregate these together. So
9	they aggregated content together, and there was no
10	network at the time, so they had to build a
11	network really as the byproduct to get this all
12	out.
13	So where are they going today? I think
14	they have to migrate towards the new content.
15	There is only so many TV channels out there. As
16	we get more efficient at packing those TV channels
17	in there, it will open up more room.
18	I think that we're going to be see
19	gigabit pipes on their network within the next
20	five years, and I think that there's a lot of
21	business to be had in pulling in content from the
22	internet and delivering it to the user. So, yeah,

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 I mean I think it's really all about migration of 2 legacy services towards newer transports, and I 3 think IP TV is one of those newer transports, and 4 ___

5 MR. CERF: Thank you. Well, first of 6 all, I think there's only a finite amount of 7 quality in the universe, and I can prove it to you, because if you look at the quality of any 8 typical television program today, when you have 9 500 channels or 1,000, it's pretty clear that each 10 one of them has about.01 percent of the quality of 11 12 the thing we had 30 years ago. So there's -- and 13 that -- I mean it speaks, in some sense, exactly to your point, which is that there's only a finite 14 amount of content produced in that fashion that is 15 16 going to be of interest to people, and that's an important fact economically, because if people are 17 not very interested, then it's going to be hard to 18 use advertising as a generation -- revenue 19 20 generator to pay for the cost of all this stuff. 21 So as the notion of these media, television and music and everything else, migrate

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

22

towards the IP transport, people's behavior
 patterns I think are going to change, too.

3 A lot of people don't pay any attention 4 to when something is transmitted over the air or 5 through the cable at all. They're not interested 6 in the timing, they're not interested in being 7 aligned with 8:00 on Wednesday, they're more interested in watching whatever that content is 8 whenever they want to, and so as a consequence, 9 they download it and play it back. Downloading is 10 a very interesting proposition, because if you're 11 12 not watching while it's being downloaded, you 13 don't care whether it's delivered exactly at the right times, and you don't care if a packet gets 14 lost because you can retransmit it, or there's one 15 that's delayed and the video would break up, 16 nobody cares because it's just a file transfer. 17 The consequence of that is a great reduction in 18 pressure on the packet switch net to deliver 19 20 things exactly on time and everything else. 21 It doesn't mean that there isn't going

22 to be any real time, there absolutely will be.

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

Video conferencing is an example of that,
 emergency broadcast, news and things of that sort,
 sports events are all things that people care
 about when they happen, but 85 percent of all the
 videos that people watch is actually pre-recorded
 stuff.

7 The consequence of that is that a huge chunk of the transport capability of cable and 8 fiber and everything else could be allocated to 9 this much more flexible way of using the band 10 width to obtain entertainment, whether it's music 11 12 or video or anything else, or purpose it for other 13 applications when you're not using it to download 14 or watch streaming video.

15 So I'm a big fan of what you're doing, 16 John, in terms of opening up the capacity to 17 provide broadband flexibly allocable capacity that 18 people can purpose for whatever reason they have 19 in mind.

20 MR. KNAPP: I've got a long list of 21 questions. This material is so good. But I'm 22 going to give my colleagues a chance to ask them

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

because I know they've got at least as long a
 list. Stagg, go ahead.

3 MR. NEWMANN: Okay. Let me preface 4 this; when Paul and I were at the Commission, 5 there was sort of a motto of do no harm, and two 6 things we did, you can argue whether that was 7 right or wrong, there was pressures from the Hill to take the internet and the common carrier title 8 two regulation primarily so it could be taxed to 9 10 subsidize telephone service, exactly the opposite of what a corporation would do, right, you take 11 12 the old and fund the new, we were being pressured, 13 and we chose not to do that, we chose to regard internet, not as a telecommunications service. 14 There was strong pressure from AOL, 15 16 which was a narrow band dial-up company at the time, and the traditional telephone industry to 17 18 apply open access obligations on the cable industry because the business case for DSL was 19 negative and AOL had no broadband strategy, and we 20 21 chose not to do that, we chose to allow the cable industry to invest to scare the Telco industry to 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	spur investment, okay. You can argue whether
2	that's right or wrong, but that's sort of the
3	short form of the history. Now I'm hearing from
4	all of you, we're moving to a much more complex
5	networking area, from cognitive radios, et cetera,
6	storage and computing being a critical part of how
7	we think about the infrastructure.
8	Where as policy-makers do we need to
9	focus our thinking and where should we say, do no
10	harm, let the marketplace take care of it, to
11	foster this next round of technology development?
12	MR. CHAPMAN: I'd say it would be
13	helping the various service providers move to the
14	next paradigm, as opposed to mandating I mean
15	competition will get them there. We see like
16	Verizon and the cable companies are having a field
17	day at who can make the fastest link, and that's a
18	market driven force, which is very which is
19	working really well for consumers right now.
20	And I think allowing, for example, the
21	Verizon guys to get into the content aggregation,
22	which they've done on their own, and allowing the

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

cable guys to be able to migrate away from legacy services and get -- upgrade their networks and build faster networks, I think all that is -- it's really helping clear out the old so that people can build the new.

6 MR. MISENER: Well, Stagg, thanks very 7 much for that. I think the consumers are legitimately concerned about the ongoing openness 8 of the internet. And there have been widely 9 10 publicized incidents where that openness has been closed temporarily and intentionally, and as a 11 12 result, there is this détente, about which I spoke 13 earlier, wherein network operators don't know exactly what they may do, or even have sort of 14 general parameters in which they may operate, and 15 consumers are continuing to be concerned about it 16 and maybe aren't seeing the new services the net 17 ops could offer, if only we had a rational 18 19 discussion about it.

20 So I really would hope that the 21 policy-makers would focus their attention where 22 the market is working least well. It's not a

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

total market failure, it's not a monopoly anymore in most places as a duopoly, and there is some level of competition. Would I call it perfect competition? Of course not, and I'm not sure anyone would.

6 But at the same time, I don't think it's 7 fair to impose, you know, the sort of Damocles over network operators forever more, where they 8 9 have no idea whether they're going to get slapped 10 for one particular new service or not. I think it would be helpful to them if consumer groups and 11 12 edge service providers, as well as network 13 operators, came together and could break this détente with rational rules --14 MR. KNAPP: Henning, go ahead. 15 MR. SCHULZRINNE: Okay. I think the 16 core aspect which I see is currently missing is 17 the lack of transparency, particularly for the 18 19 consumer side. For a normal consumer to have no 20 cost transparency, no performance transparency, 21 and no predictability, we have no cost transparency in a sense it's often hard to predict 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

how much it's going to cost them to actually run
 the service because of fees and various other
 things.

4 Switching costs to high, so once I 5 commit to a particular service, I cannot, like 6 going say from being to -- or vice versa, it's the 7 switching cost are high and will become higher because infrastructure in the home will start to 8 depend on whether I choose cable or not. And I'm 9 10 not going to tear up my wall because I decided the cable company didn't quite deliver what they 11 12 promised.

13 Transparency performance, I have no way of finding out, as a normal consumer, whether 14 cable or fiber or wireless is more reliable and 15 what the real performance is. Up to ten megabits 16 per second tells me very little as a normal 17 18 consumer. And all other long term purchases of 19 that nature I can predict hopefully as to what my 20 performance is, because consumer report tells me 21 for -- what my maintenance costs are going to be, no such reasonable way to do that. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 The final is transparency in the sense 2 of, we tend to protect the encumbrance, both in 3 terms of applications and in terms of 4 infrastructure. What we don't see are the ones 5 that never make it, simply because they're not at 6 the table when decisions are made. And I think 7 the fundamental value of a network is things we don't know yet. 8 9 We couldn't have protected social 10 networks and video when we wrote legislation 20 --15 years ago because they didn't exist as viable 11 12 businesses, they were, at best, garage businesses. 13 So that's why I think network transparency that allows everybody access to the 14 same network services where technically feasible 15 provides exactly the type of predictability. As 16 long as they offer the same service to others that 17 18 are offered to my own customers, I can offer the service, that's a rule that I can understand as a 19 20 technologist, and having a finite and small number 21 of interfaces which are long term. We've had those number of interfaces, this is basically IP 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 and the optimal layer primarily that have been stable on time units which legislators and 2 3 regulators can deal with. They haven't changed, 4 whether it's IP -- it doesn't really matter, for 5 three decades, roughly speaking at this moment. 6 So focusing on those interfaces, those are the cut 7 points that are high value, high return interfaces to look at. 8 9 MR. KNAPP: Can I ask the other 10 panelists' reaction; do you agree or see it differently? Yeah, go ahead. 11 12 MR. DROBOT: I have I'd say two things, 13 having heard this. You know, the first is that, as much as we know today, we probably don't know 14 more about what the future will be. This is an 15 era of experimentation, a lot of stuff is 16 happening, and I'd say the first place to do no 17 18 harm is not to stop that experimentation. 19 At the same time, okay, I think we see a 20 struggle, and that is that the infrastructure in 21 which that experimentation, okay, has to be paid for somehow. Whether it is paid for out of the 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 public purse or it is done through the private 2 sector, leaving too much ambiguity prevents the 3 set of rules under which investments will actually 4 happen. Those have to be predictable. And we are 5 sort of living in an era right now where the rules 6 aren't there. And I would say what that does is 7 prevention of capital, encouragement of a lot of things that really underlie a very complex system, 8 okay, and so when one part of it falls behind, the 9 rest of it sort of stumbles essentially. 10 MR. KNAPP: Vint. 11 MR. CERF: So a couple of things; first 12 13 of all, I can -- I believe that the sense of competition is viewed differently depending on 14 which eyeball is looking at it. If you're a 15 consumer and you ask yourself, how much choice do 16 I have in broadband provision, the answer is 17 often, not very much, sometimes it's zero because 18 it isn't available at all. 19 20 That may happen a lot in the rural parts 21 of our country, or it might be one provider, it could be a Teleco with fiber, or a DSL or it could 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 be a cable carrier, or it might be two, it might be a cable company and Teleco both offer you 2 3 broadband access to internet. But it's not very 4 uniform, and there aren't a large number of 5 facility based providers, and there probably won't 6 be. I mean the economics of facilities based 7 provision may not allow for five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten different competitors. So that 8 suggests that whatever policies we adopt with 9 10 regard to broadband have to take that kind of thing into account, that where there isn't very 11 12 much competition, we need to be conscience of the 13 need to keep the provision of services open as 14 possible. And I accept that as a cable company or 15 as a telephone company, you feel competition where 16 there is competition, so not for a moment do I 17 18 argue that there is none, it's just that it isn't uniformly spread from the view point of the 19 20 consumer trying to choose what services are 21 available. 22 And I agree with Henning, that switching

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

costs are very high now compared to what they used 1 to be. When it was dial-up internet, you just 2 3 dialed a different number, that was easy; today, 4 it's a truck roll, and it's a lot more 5 complicated, so that's one thing. 6 The other thing, with regard to the 7 detente question, in a conversation recently, a very interesting thought was put on the table. I 8 was ranting about non- discriminatory access to 9 the internet and my deep concern that the people 10 providing the underlying broadband facility would 11 12 somehow constrain competition at the higher 13 levels. And so while I was having my rant, it was pointed out to me that I was at one end of a 14 spectrum, and that the spectrum included the 15 notion of differentiable services, which might not 16 be anti-competitive, they might simply enable 17 certain kinds of services that wouldn't work if 18 you didn't have some differential quality to 19 20 access to the broadband. 21 And then as you run along that spectrum,

22 you get to the point where the differentiable

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	services become anti- competitive. So now the
2	question is, how do I figure out where, you know,
3	a particular proposition lies on that spectrum.
4	And I don't for a moment suggest that this should
5	be a problem that our legislators try to solve.
6	This is the kind of problem that you almost have
7	to deal with on a case by case base.
8	But recognizing that there is a
9	spectrum, and recognizing that there's value at
10	one end and there's potential hazard at the other,
11	suggests to me that mechanisms and procedures
12	should be looked at to maintain this openness, but
13	also recognize that there a the possibility of
14	doing something different in the net to support
15	different kinds of services that are not
16	necessarily anti-competitive.
17	MR. KNAPP: Bill, we know that you're
18	still out there, I just want to let you know we
19	hadn't forgotten you. If at any point you want to
20	jump in, just give a holler. We had a question
21	from the floor that I think is a good tie into
22	what we were just talking about, and I'll

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	paraphrase a little bit, that a lot of the
2	discussion seems to be centered around there's an
3	infinite capacity or band width available, but the
4	reality is, it costs money, particularly in the
5	rural areas. So how should traffic be prioritized
6	or band width rationed or some tiered pricing
7	levels for certain services and so forth,
8	recognizing that if everything is open, and we
9	can't predict the applications, how concerned
10	should folks be about the traffic jams and how
11	that gets managed? I thought that might get some
12	reaction. Go ahead, Vint.
13	MR. CERF: An immediate reaction to
14	that; one of the problems that I see happening is
15	a concern for how much does any one user actually
16	consume. And it's possible for some users to
17	consume more than they are paying for. So what do
18	we do about that?
19	The first observation is that you want
20	to constrain users to I want to say this very
21	carefully, it is the band width, it's the bits per
22	second that are the problem. It's not the volume

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 of traffic that you move, it's the speed with which you move it. If I move a terabyte of 2 3 traffic over a two month period, no one will 4 notice. If I move a terabyte of traffic over the 5 next ten milliseconds, everybody will notice, 6 because I'm taking all of the capacity of the 7 system. So if you're worried about consumption or over consumption, your problem is how to limit the 8 band width that any one user is consuming. 9 10 There have been some very let me say clumsy attempts to cope with that problem by 11 12 putting volume limits on what users can send in 13 the course of a month, for example, and I don't think that gets to the key problem, it really is 14 what's the band width that the user is consuming. 15 MR. KNAPP: And to follow on with 16 Victor, and Henning, and Bill. You also talked 17 18 about research, and not only be interested in what research that's being done and where the holes 19 20 are, but how it ties into -- are you looking at 21 these kinds of things down the road, and I think Victor has ---22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 DR. FROST: Well, I just wanted to make 2 a point about the constraints and things. A lot 3 of this goes back to the business model you're 4 working with, who pays for it, and right now, 5 revenue is generated off the internet by 6 advertising, and not necessarily the end consumer 7 is paying the full load of all the servers and the technology that is in the network. So maybe 8 different business models, where, if I wanted to 9 10 get more band width or wanted to get a certain download, the advertiser may pay for it, not the 11 12 end consumer. And so it may be a model of how 13 different business models could evolve to support 14 ___ MR. CERF: You surely don't mean that 15 16 advertising is the sole means of revenue generation in the internet because people pay for 17 18 access to the internet, they pay money for that, 19 and it has nothing to do with advertising. I pay, 20 you know, I don't know, \$100 a month for my access 21 to the internet. 22 DR. FROST: That's right.

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 MR. CERF: So I mean that's another revenue source. And in the business world, people 2 3 pay a lot of money, including Google, to get 4 access to the internet to provide services, so you 5 surely didn't mean that that was the sole ---6 DR. FROST: No, that's not the only ---7 MR. CERF: Okay. DR. FROST: But there is another means. 8 MR. CERF: Okay, sorry. 9 MR. KNAPP: Victor, if you can just 10 follow on the research side that you talked about 11 before. What is it that's being -- where are the 12 13 holes in the research? MR. CERF: Oh, there's some big ones. I 14 mean the point that I tried to make earlier about, 15 you know, the ability to share radio land with in 16 a more flexible way, that's one big hole, because 17 we just don't do it very well. The second big 18 19 hole is that security in this internet 20 architecture sucks, that's a technical term. 21 And, you know, we treat the symptom right now. We tried to deal with botnets that 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 generate spam and that generate denial of service 2 attacks. When we try to defend against the DOS 3 and we try to deal with -- by filtering the spam, 4 we're treating the symptom, we're not treating the 5 cause. The cause is that computers are 6 vulnerable, they are easily penetrated. 7 MR. DROBOT: Operating systems. MR. CERF: Yeah, exactly. And so it's 8 not just the operating systems. The biggest hole 9 in the computers today, especially PC's and iPods, 10 is the browser. What does it do? Think about it 11 12 folks. What does the browser do? It goes out 13 into the internet and it pulls a file from some destination site and then it interprets it. The 14 copyright guys go nuts because the internet is a 15 big copying engine, that's how it works, at least 16 the world wide web part. So the biggest hole we 17 18 have is that the computing assets of the internet 19 are vulnerable to being overtaken by the bad guys. 20 The only way we're going to fix this problem is to 21 build much, much more secure computers. And that's not a trivial task, it's going to mean 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 combinations of hardware and software, it's going to mean cyber hygiene, as in, you know, you brush 2 3 your teeth once a day as opposed to once a year, 4 because if you do it once a year, it doesn't do 5 any good, that means you have to have some serious 6 ability to detect that your machine is infected, 7 you have to have ways of disinfecting it, you have to have ways of defending against the various 8 kinds of worms and other bad things, bad mail ware 9 that show up. That's a space where serious 10 research is needed. 11 MR. KNAPP: Bill, is this a chance for 12 13 you to chime in and share some of your thoughts on 14 this? MR. ST. ARNAUD: I just want to echo the 15 comments made by the panel. I think there's two 16 types of experimentation we need to do; one is --17 18 technology in addressing these issues of security and so forth. I think it's also important that we 19 20 do experimentation on the business models. We've 21 got to find a way of funding this deployment, and I think, as I mentioned before, some of the ideas 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 coming out of Google on the Homes With Tails is an 2 example of this type of thinking that we need to 3 look at how we fund the deployment of broadband. 4 MR. DROBOT: So Julius ---5 MR. KNAPP: Yes. 6 MR. DROBOT: -- let me do the following 7 thing. If you look at pipes that are severely constrained, and you expect that the same level of 8 service is going to happen over those as over 9 bigger pipes, the fact of life is, no matter what 10 you do with QOS, it ain't going to happen. 11 12 MR. CERF: Any time you substitute ---13 MR. DROBOT: There is no substitute for real band width. And I think you have to turn to 14 -- it's not a technology proposition or a research 15 one, it's really the following; if you have 16 societal goals and aspirations, what you'll find 17 is that commercial outfits will do what is good 18 for them, okay. If you want to have this 19 20 universally available, you have to pay the bill 21 for it, and I don't know of any substitute for that. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 MR. KNAPP: Henning, go ahead. MR. SCHULZRINNE: All right. Because I 2 3 want to kind of emphasize a point that I think 4 Vint was starting to allude at, is, we tend to 5 think of band width as something kind of a 6 constant. We really need to add two components to 7 band width, namely time and location. Vint already hinted that many of the high band width 8 application are time deferrable. So -- and I 9 10 noted very briefly in my introductory remark that we have unfortunately a flattening curve of band 11 12 width usage in residential market, and I looked at 13 that for Columbia University over a day, so that's not as big an opportunity as it used to be, but 14 it's still a factor two or so in terms of 15 utilization at least, even for a large entity like 16 Columbia. 17 18 So we have research opportunities to 19 essentially make it easier for application to 20 defer usage. In the electrical grid, in the smart 21 grid effort, which I'm peripherally involved in, we call that demand reduction and demand 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 management. Opportunities to do that and the 2 research opportunities that go along with that are 3 -- and that goes in the second one, is also 4 location.

5 If you look at the band width cost in 6 many networks, it is not the last mile, because 7 that has been paid for. If you have -- and this is a little different for the HFC architecture, 8 but if you look at fiber to the home, and if you 9 look at DSL, the DSL cable isn't going to consume 10 anymore cost by using it 24/7, it's the internet 11 12 access paid by the DSL provider that does that. 13 So making band width usage more local, making -pulling the band width in so that you don't end up 14 downloading the same movie, that same channel 50 15 times to every provider, to do that in a way which 16 is neutral, so that you do not -- right now, only 17 the content aggregators can do that. They have 18 19 the facilities to do that. ACAMI and similar 20 companies can do it in a limited way, but I can't 21 do that as a new provider.

22

From a research perspective and from a

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

policy perspective, the ability to offer such 1 services close to the edge, hosted very close to 2 3 the last dedicated mile that you have, provides 4 tremendous opportunities to reduce the cost of 5 wide area band width access which is the biggest 6 shoe for wireless, it is the biggest shoe for some 7 of the rural providers, because that's where the difficulties of rolling things -- and if we can 8 help with doing that, we'll reduce all our cost of 9 10 the network, we provide new opportunities for content, and we allow people, other than the 11 12 traditional content aggregators, to provide 13 content on an equal footing. DR. FROST: One of the things I think, 14

to bring some of the points together is, Henning 15 was talking about transparency, and a way you can 16 17 do that is network management type of issues. If 18 you want to get information about what happened and what's happening in performance back. You 19 20 mentioned security, you mentioned moving the 21 information closer to the user, all of these are fundamental architectural issues. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190
1 And in terms of research, one of the 2 bigger programs at NSF is, or I don't know bigger, 3 but it's a program in my group, in my directorate 4 is, the future internet design initiative that's 5 going on, to try to look back and say how do all 6 these things -- how should we look at these 7 things, maybe from a clean slate perspective or a different perspective, so we get the attributes 8 that everybody is talking about in a common 9 architecture. 10 And this goes back to the concept of 11 12 virtualization that may be an opportunity for how 13 a new architecture could evolve, that if you have 14 a virtualizable network, then these attributes that would have economic benefit, but maybe 15 16 disruptive to the current internet deployment, could start seeing deployment in one of these 17 virtual networks. 18 19 MR. CERF: I've been involved the fund 20 program and in the GENIE program and so on. Dave 21 Clark at MIT has been a long term participant in

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

the evolution of the internet, and he wrote a very

22

1 -- together with some colleagues, a very famous
2 paper about the tussle. Tussles have to do with
3 people who have different objectives, and they're
4 in the same arena, and they're struggling with
5 each other.

6 There are lots of tussles that are 7 technical, but there are also tussles that are economic and that are political and that are 8 9 policy. The reason I bring this up is that it's 10 really important in the context of research to keep in mind that whatever it is that you're doing 11 12 is going to ultimately be projected into a tussle 13 space, which is not purely technical.

I really like the idea of saying what 14 would happen if we started with a clean slate, not 15 that I believe that we will have a clean slate, 16 but the question is, if you did have one, what did 17 you learn from the last 30 years of this network 18 19 use, what have you learned from its projection 20 into our business community, our social fabric and 21 everything else, and what does that do to inform the architecture and design of a new more secure 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 and more flexible system? So I'm really glad that
2 NSF is doing that.

3 MR. KNAPP: I'd just like to let the 4 audience know, if they have questions, we've got 5 Rashmi Doshi here with index cards, and he'd be 6 happy to take your questions. And I know Rob has 7 a question, and give him an opportunity to ask, 8 too.

9 MR. CURTIS: Yeah, thanks, Julius. 10 It'll take me a minute to set up, but it's about 11 the intersection between the value stream and the 12 application part of the value stream and the 13 infrastructure part of the value stream.

14 If you look at and believe, and I'll synthesize, a lot of the Wall Street research 15 today, right, you might conclude that there's kind 16 of a capital crisis, and a lot of the Telecom 17 providers, and it's probably accentuated in rural 18 areas, and I think they would say it might be 19 20 caused by two or more things, one is over supply 21 of infrastructure in some places, coupled with the inability to extract value from the bits and the 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 infrastructure in a sufficient way to get a decent return on capital, which, you know, causes a 2 3 depression return on invested capital, causes a 4 depression in cash flow to build out 5 infrastructure in other places. And I think that 6 the thesis they would have is, there's a, you 7 know, perhaps an imbalance in the way you extract value from the bits. A lot of the extraction is 8 going on in the application layer, less is going 9 10 on in the infrastructure layer, and that's eventually going to clog our ability to build out 11 12 the infrastructure and get the band width 13 everywhere that we want. So the question is, and let's just start 14 general reaction to that, and we can argue, or 15 they can argue, I don't want to get into that, but 16 the argument, is it a factual debate about, you 17 know, whether there is a capital constraint and 18 how the value stream works, or is there another 19 20 theoretical, more abstract, you know, point that 21 we're -- that they're missing?

22 MR. CERF: So I have an immediate

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190 1 reaction to this, which may not be well thought out because it's so immediate, but my first belief 2 3 is that the investment in the infrastructure, 4 which absolutely costs money, no debate there, we 5 now want to extract the maximum value from that investment. 6

7 Now, it depends a lot on what circle you draw around the value sources that are extracting 8 value from that investment. I don't want to 9 10 overuse analogies here, but if we think about roads, maybe think about the postal service, think 11 12 about some of the other utilities, the value 13 that's extracted is extracted by more parties than the one providing the basic infrastructure, and 14 that turns out to be a good thing. Why is it a 15 good thing? Well, it tends to increase the total 16 17 economy, it tends to increase the amount of taxes 18 that people end up paying, it increases the government's ability to perform its function, and 19 20 it improves things for everybody, not just the provider of that infrastructure. 21 22

So I think -- I'm not an economist, and

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 I don't know that anything I've said makes any sense from the usual economic lens, but I believe 2 3 implicitly that the ability for the maximum set of 4 parties to extract value from that infrastructure 5 is what we should be going for. And if that means 6 thinking of new business models and new structures 7 through which to create that infrastructure, then we should be pursuing that. 8 9 DR. FROST: I just think that the thing 10 to keep in mind is, the infrastructure --- the roads are like the pipes, but the view of the 11 12 infrastructure is the servers, is the cloud 13 computing, is everything else from the outside that you see, so it's not just, you know, building 14 the better pipes isn't all that we need to do, we 15 16 need to have this overall system. MR. CERF: But you don't want one party, 17 18 you don't want to rely on one party to do all the invention of the ways of using those roads. 19 20 DR. FROST: Oh, I agree, yeah, 21 absolutely. 22 MR. CERF: So I mean that's why, you

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 know, to just sort of tout Google a little bit, we 2 really do try to open things up so that other 3 people can get value out of our investment, too. 4 MR. KNAPP: Go ahead, Adam, and then 5 Henning. 6 DR. FROST: Let me take one crack at it 7 and sort of break the question into two parts. You know, the first one is, if you look at overall 8 societal benefits for the economy, all of that, I 9 10 think if you look at sort of the ICT world in general, you know, the economic studies have shown 11 12 that somewhere between 35 to 40 percent of all 13 growth and productivity has come from the ICT 14 sector, okay. MR. CERF: Wow, over what period of 15 16 time? DR. FROST: Over the last two decades. 17 18 MR. CERF: Thank you. DR. FROST: And it's incredible; I mean 19 20 if you look at it, it's had incredible impact. If 21 I look at the investment in broadband, and again, I look at this broadly, with mobility at the heart 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

of it, okay, my feeling is that the next wave of productivity comes out of this, okay, and probably more profound and larger than the last round essentially, okay. So what you find is that there is a lot of incentive for us as a nation, for competitiveness, to really go and make those investments.

At the same time, if you look at the 8 individual providers who are responsible for those 9 10 networks, I think there are two kinds of problems that they suffer at this point. You know, the 11 12 first one is, you know, this Wall Street view, if 13 I invest in you, are you going to have the rates of returns, and you know, the fact of life is, in 14 the rural areas where the cost of build-out is 15 high, okay, the answer is, you will not get your 16 17 return, okay.

And I heard I think from my friend at Verizon, Dick Lynch, okay, what he said is, look, Verizon will do what is good for Verizon, okay, we will build out where we have customers, where it's economical, beyond that, okay, it has to be

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 subsidized in some way.

So as a matter of policy, I think that 2 3 is an important area to examine. One more 4 dimension to it, though, okay, and that is coming 5 back to this complex system with balance, okay, 6 what you are finding is that the rate of growth in 7 traffic, while we can do research and try to reduce it in a lot of ways, okay, the technology 8 9 curves are not keeping up with that rise in 10 traffic, which means that somewhere in our supply chain, the investment in the research and the 11 12 precursors that lowers the cost of technology over 13 time was not made. MR. CURTIS: Let me push on that a 14 little bit, and maybe, just for the sake of being 15 provocative. If you believe that building out 16

17 rural infrastructure creates value, that must mean 18 that you believe there are enough parts in the 19 value chain that capture sufficient value to cover 20 the cost, all right. So that means in some, you 21 know, ideal economic sense, there's plenty of 22 value to pay for the infrastructure. Now all

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 you're doing is talking about the distribution of 2 value, where it goes ---

MR. MISENER: Correct.

3

4 MR. CURTIS: -- correct? So I guess 5 really that's kind of the question, because if you 6 look broadly at the, you know, the Telecom kind of 7 crisis and a lot of the thinking on that, what you see is a belief that we're spending a tremendous 8 amount of money, capital, building infrastructure, 9 and a lot of that value of the infrastructure is 10 getting captured in other places. And if you 11 12 apply that out to the rural areas, what that 13 really means is, you're going to need to subsidize, you're asking fundamentally, government 14 subsidize infrastructure in rural areas because of 15 the way the value chain is getting caught up. 16 It's not that there's not enough value, it's the 17 18 people that, you know, and again, Wall Street 19 review, not mine, the people that are building 20 infrastructure just aren't capturing enough of the 21 total value to make the infrastructure investment in rural areas. And I guess that's the fine 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 pointed question I wanted to get reactions. 2 MR. DROBOT: Well, no, but I mean the 3 point is to take a run of 50 miles or 100 miles 4 cost more than a run of, you know, one mile. 5 MR. CURTIS: Absolutely. 6 MR. DROBOT: Okay. And unless you put 7 exorbitant rates for the person on the other end of the pipe, okay, there is no return, that has to 8 be subsidized. 9 DR. FROST: But is the point you're 10 making that it's not just the person putting the 11 wire in ---12 13 MR. CURTIS: This is exactly the point. It's a much broader -- we've talked -- the entire 14 session has been about a much broader value eco 15 system, right, and the only -- if you believe that 16 that total value eco system is enough for 17 18 somebody, let's say the government, to subsidize, 19 right, because you're creating societal value, 20 right, then theoretically there's enough value in 21 the system to pay for the 100 mile run, it's just a question of how you cut it out. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 MR. DROBOT: Yeah; how you cut it out, 2 whether you do some distribution as you do through 3 the Universal Service Fund or mechanisms of that 4 sort, or create other economic incentives, okay, 5 something like that has to be done to make this 6 happen. 7 MR. CURTIS: Got it. MR. SCHULZRINNE: One of the points that 8 I think this has been alluded to, but I wanted to 9 10 emphasize it because it plays to this particular discussion is, the expectations for return are 11 12 quite different from different parties. These 13 days, I'm lucky if I get one and a half percent on my CD. And I don't expect, as an individual 14 consumer, ten percent returns on my investment. 15 I have, however, no opportunity at this 16 point and this place to the House of Tails and all 17 18 of these type of things, I have an opportunity realistically to make a long term investment to 19 20 buy my own fiber in a community, and I would be --21 I buy my own PC, I don't -- we are in the broadband world, lodging the world of renting 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 phones like we were for AT&T. They're selling us an instrument and a service. We got much better 2 3 phones once we were able to, with a long term 4 commitment where I didn't have to, unlike say in 5 the set top box model, where I would be foolish to 6 buy my own set up box because the likelihood is 7 two years from now, that set up box will no longer work or no longer have a functionality. 8 If we have guarantees of stable 9 interfaces at core layers, and we have some 10 historical background, we know we can do that, 11 12 Ethernet IP being examples of that, or upgradeable 13 interfaces in net, I think there's ways to capture 14 the consumer value that is not available right now, simply treating it as not as -- I don't 15 expect, not anymore at least, most people, a ten 16 percent return on my home, I get it because I want 17 to live there. 18

And we should treat broadband in many ways as a nicer set of carpets a nicer set of a swimming pool, and I'm willing to invest in that. And if we can capture some of that value, that

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 makes the calculation not completely different, but it provides an additional uncaptured fund, we 2 3 have no means of doing that right now. 4 MR. CURTIS: That's an interesting way 5 to think about it. 6 DR. FROST: I don't want to advocate 7 this as a -- but the value -- who gets value from it? What is the value to Amazon to be able to get 8 to 10,000 people in rural Kansas? That's 10,000 9 10 more potential customers to them. Now, should they be contributing to building that 11 infrastructure out to those 10,000 customers? 12 13 MR. DROBOT: Wait a minute ---14 MR. CERF: They are, they pay their ISP. MR. DROBOT: -- they already pay -- they 15 already pay to get access to the internet for the 16 purposes of delivering this stuff, right? 17 DR. FROST: Well -- but he's getting to 18 the value chain. I mean I'm not advocating that, 19 20 but I'm saying there's value -- is the total value 21 then being ---22 MR. CERF: I want to take Henning's

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 point for just a second and make an observation. 2 People -- we're not enabling people to make long 3 term investments in something that will be useful 4 over the long term. If you buy a PC, it's not 5 clear how useful an investment that is if it runs 6 out of gas, right, that's why cloud computing is 7 such an interesting proposition. But right now, there isn't even any opportunity for me to invest 8 9 in paying for a piece of fiber that, you know, I 10 can make use of over a long period of time or allowing communities to cooperatively make that 11 12 investment. I've seen attempts to prevent people 13 from building their municipal networks and so on, and I've always scratched my head about that 14 thinking, wait a minute, there is one example of 15 an economic -- an attempt to make an investment as 16 a thoughtful community in order to have a long 17 18 term asset. So Henning has got a point there, and 19 I don't know why you wanted to get Amazon to pay 20 for it. Well, if Amazon wanted to pay for all of 21 it, it would be okay with me.

22 MR. KNAPP: Just say yes or no, Paul.

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

MR. MISENER: Well, let me take a shot 1 2 at this, too. It's not a binary proposition, 3 right. This is not should we do it all or should 4 we do none. It seems to me that there are 5 diminishing returns. For the consumer who happens 6 to live in the middle of a 400 square mile ranch, 7 does it make sense to subsidize a broadband connection for him or her? Well, the answer is 8 9 no. But a reasonable national broadband 10 policy seems to make a lot of sense. 11 12 Policy-makers like Senator Dorgan for years have 13 been pushing for this sort of thing, and it probably does include subsidies. You think about 14 it, you go to a hotel room, there's a TV and a 15 telephone, I never use either, and yet I have to 16 pay for my internet access. It's the kind of 17 18 thing where we are already, as a government, subsidizing a lot of programs that are arguably a 19 20 lot less worthy than subsidizing broadband 21 deployment. 22 MR. KNAPP: Well, I have to intercede, I

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	have to be the bad guy, because I think we should
2	probably go for a couple hours more here. But I
3	want to thank all of you, Victor, Adam, Vint,
4	John, Henning, Paul, and Bill, we haven't
5	forgotten you, it was just a fantastic session,
6	and I hope you'll be open to us following up and
7	there may be some things we want to talk to you
8	about a little later on. But please join me in
9	thanking this terrific panel, thank you. We'll
10	have a short intermission and we'll make it 12
11	after 11:00 that we'll start.
12	(Recess)
13	MR. KNAPP: We're about ready to start
14	the second session this morning. Can I please
15	have everybody take their seats? Well, thank you.
16	We've got another great line-up of panelists here
17	for the second session. This is focused a bit
18	more on the technology side, although clearly
19	there's overlap between all of these sessions on
20	deployment and vision and the technologies. And I
21	think everybody was here for the first session,
22	but just as a reminder again to turn off your cell

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190 phones, and for our speakers, we've got a five minute clock here up front, and in the interest of time, we will dive right in. We're going to shuffle it up a little bit, and our first speaker will be Doctor Paul Henry.

6 DR. HENRY: Thank you very much, Mr. 7 Knapp. I come to this panel with about 40 years of experience in the research laboratories of AT&T 8 and of Bell Laboratories. I bring a -- I have a 9 10 variety of experience in different kinds of communication systems, and over those years, I've 11 12 seen many ultimate solutions come and go. So I am 13 not fixed on -- I am not obsessed with predicting any particular winner, and I'm -- as a disclaimer, 14 I'm not a spokesperson for AT&T. 15

16 What I hope I can do in this panel is, 17 using the experience I've had in these various 18 research adventures, I hope I can contribute at 19 least some insight as to what different sorts of 20 technologies are capable of doing or incapable of 21 doing, because one of my primary messages is that 22 there are a lot of varieties out there to help us

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	achieve broadband access, and to my view, there is
2	no silver bullet. Could I see the next slide,
3	please? What I'd like to do is just outline on
4	this slide very, very quickly those considerations
5	that I think are important when we try to decide
6	which technologies are good and which are not so
7	good. And, of course, the first consideration is,
8	who are we trying to provide broadband access to.
9	An issue there is the unavailability of access to
10	some people versus the unsubscription of broadband
11	access by those people to whom access is
12	available. And from a technological point of
13	view, of course, it's the first one, the
14	unavailability, that's important to me and the one
15	that I will try to address.
16	As you also know, in boat number two,
17	broadband access means different things to
18	different people. And depending on what it is we
19	want to provide with broadband access, there will
20	be different demands placed upon the technology
21	and different technologies will appear to be
22	better or worse. And so, of course, we need to

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 settle on what it is we're attempting to provide. 2 These technologies are frequently 3 characterized in terms of raw bits per second. 4 But one of the things that I want to emphasize 5 here is that in addition to raw speed, there are 6 other issues often labeled under quality of 7 service which can dominate the simple raw speed issue. And in particular, one of the matters 8 which isn't discussed frequently which I think is 9 10 so important is this notion of sharing. All networks, sooner or later, share their resources, 11 12 some implicitly and some explicitly. But 13 depending on how the sharing is done, and depending on the nature of the traffic which is 14 being carried, the user experience can vary 15 16 greatly. So one of my messages, in addition to 17 18 there being no silver bullet, is, it's very important for us to understand how we will go 19 20 about implementing sharing of precious resources 21 in our broadband networks. Where will be provide these resources? Well, of course, there are urban 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

situations and there are rural situations. And
 again, different technologies come to the floor,
 depending on what we're trying to do.

4 In urban areas, we're trying to maximize 5 the number of users per unit area, per square 6 kilometer. In rural areas, on the other hand, 7 what we like is technologies which can cover large geographical areas at relatively small cost. And 8 in that case, for example, wireless tends to show 9 10 its strength, whereas in urban areas it might not be as strong. We can comment on this perhaps a 11 12 little bit later. So how are we going to do all 13 of this? There are many different technologies. Can I see the next slide, please? There we go. 14 I'm just using this slide to point out that there 15 are lots of different ways, lots of different ways 16 to skin the broadband cat. And each one of these 17 18 technologies outlined here is capable of providing 19 broadband service depending on how you define it. 20 We haven't talked much, for example, 21 about satellite service, but high altitude

22 satellites can provide broadband broadcast, which

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 might, for example, take the load off terrestrial 2 networks in a rural environment.

In any case, there are many, many different technologies available. Some use existing infrastructure, like broadband power line, and might, therefore, in some sense, seem to have an economic advantage; others require the construction of new infrastructure, for example, like Fiberpon.

In any case, each of these technologies 10 needs to be tested against the use cases that we 11 12 establish for what constitutes broadband. And 13 after testing all of these technologies against these use cases, we then proceed to decide which 14 of them gives us the biggest bang for the buck in 15 the environment we're investigating. Thank you. 16 MR. KNAPP: Thank you, Paul. Mark. 17 18 MR. DEPIETRO: Thank you, thank you for 19 the opportunity to speak here. My name is Mark 20 DePietro, and I look after strategy and business 21 development for Motorola's home and network business. And within the context of that 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	business, we provide equipment and services that
2	cover the spectrum of voice video and data
3	solutions, we provide sat top boxes, cable modems,
4	CMTS equipment, pon equipment, and we also run a
5	couple services, and in particular, we run some
6	authorization services on behalf of some small
7	operators, and it's in the context of that service
8	that I've been able to observe some things that I
9	think need to be brought into awareness in the
10	context of this discussion, and that is that the
11	broadband services really have to exist and be
12	considered in the context of the other spectrum
13	that is available to the operators.
14	And, in particular, if you look at some
15	of these small operators, some of their cable
16	plants only go up to 550 megahertz. In fact, the
17	authorization service that we offer, well over
18	half of those customers top out at 550. And if
19	you recall the slides that John Chapman put out in
20	the previous session, that's typically where
21	digital begins. So in order for these operators
22	to be able to deploy broadband and then strengthen

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

deployment, they have a problem, they're 1 2 landlocked with these analog services. So what we 3 really need to do to be able to, in the immediate 4 future, help them go faster and actually be able 5 to offer broadband, is find a way to help them 6 accelerate the transition from analog to digital. 7 Now, one way to do that is by making available to them very lost cost end devices that 8 will help facilitate, because if you contrast the 9 10 550 megahertz system to a broader one, if you have more band width, you can actually carry signals, 11 12 and that gives you breathing room to do a 13 transition. If you only have 550, you really can't 14 do that, and every time you want to add a digital 15 service or a broadband service, you have to take 16 away an analog video service, and that is an 17 immediate and harmful potential decision relative 18 to keeping them in business. 19 20 So one thing that we think is very near 21 and immediate is, granting some waivers for these very low cost digital transition adapters that 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 will enable these operators to actually do the 2 spend that's going to be required to do the analog 3 to digital transition that will make possible the 4 offering of these faster broadband services. And 5 I mean if you think about it, every analog channel 6 consumes six megahertz, that's an opportunity cost 7 of on the order of, using lazy style math, about 40 megabits per second of internet opportunity 8 cost. The other thing that we think, along those 9 10 same lines of band width being very precious, other technologies like switch digital video that 11 12 will further free up opportunities to deploy 13 broadband, and just by way of introduction, the switched technology, instead of transmitting in a 14 broadcast fashion the TV services all the time, 15 16 only do so when there's demand. 17 And to the point that I think Vint made 18 in the previous panel, it carries with it a couple 19 strengths that, you know, pure point to point 20 does, in a sense that it's multi cast. So a 21 number of different subscribers can benefit from a single transmission, but it also has the 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

characteristics of unicast such that it's only 1 2 present when at least one person has asked for it. 3 So we think that the recent actions from 4 the Commission encouraging those services need to 5 be kept up, and similar technologies that really 6 are aimed at band width conservation, very 7 important to continue to encourage those. And then I think the third thing that I would say is, 8 9 you know, given that cost is an issue, especially 10 for these smaller operators, anything that adds cost, but has questionable benefit going with it, 11 12 any regulation in that arena should be looked at 13 with a fresh set of eyes, and there's two in particular that I can mention, one of them is the 14 requirement to burden every digital set top with a 15 16 cable card, that represents an increment cost that could be redirected towards broadband. Another 17 one is the requirement for high definition set 18 tops to carry a 1394 interface. Both of those are 19 20 costs that arguably don't have a lot of benefit 21 going along with them and represent opportunity costs relative to capital needed for broadband 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 deployment. And I think I'm going to end about 20 seconds early and defer to the gentleman on my 2 3 left here. 4 MR. KNAPP: That's great. Thank you, 5 Mark. And we'll go to Marc. 6 MR. GOLDBURG: Well, thank you. Good 7 morning. My name is Marc Goldburg, I work with a company called ASSIA, which develops network 8 optimization tools for DSL operators. Could I 9 10 have the slides, please? You know, we were asked as participants 11 12 to highlight several issues of key interest to the 13 FCC in the course of considering broadband. So on the first slide, which is the next one, I've tried 14 to address three issues in the context of fixed 15 access and also in the context of consumer access, 16 so I'm going to limit my comments to that point. 17 Next, please. So, you know, today there's 18 basically three principal options for wired or 19 20 fibered fixed access. There's pure fiber, fiber 21 to the home, there's cable, and there's fiber to the node, plus DSL. And sort of similar to Doctor 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 Henry here, I tried to come up with some estimates for what the through puts were of these 2 3 technologies. You know, fiber to the home systems 4 today, there's an optical fiber, maybe GPON goes 5 to a network node that's split 32 ways, and you 6 can just divide 2.4 by 32, so you get sort of 75 7 megabits per second sustained per customer. Cable is a shared medium, it's a little 8 different sort of calculation, but you take the 9 10 peak speeds, which will be at least 155 megabits per DOCSIS 3, divide that by some appropriate over 11 12 subscription factor, and again, you end up with a 13 number of about 22 megs. 14 DSL today, the current version of the technology is something called BDSL 2, that's 15 being deployed today to provide 50 to 75 megabit 16 per second type rates, and the next couple of 17 18 years, some standards are completing that will allow us to go well in excess of 100 megabits. So 19 20 the point of this is that, I mean as Doctor Henry 21 said, there's a number of sort of good high speed alternatives for broadband, and so the next issue 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

is, what are the appropriate selections. And if 1 2 you could bring up the next block. You know, each 3 one of these selections, they all offer high 4 speed, but they all have different cost 5 structures, especially considering geography, 6 demographics, what infrastructure is already 7 available in terms of copper and DSL, excuse me, copper and fiber. 8 9 One thing about the U.S. is that, 10 overall, we have a relatively low population density compared to places in the world that are 11 12 actively pursuing pure fiber to the home 13 deployments. There's a lot of talk about fiber to the 14 home. In most places, the operators are falling 15 back to a combination of fiber to the home and 16 fiber to the node. Places like Korea, Singapore, 17 which either have or will have pervasive fiber to 18 the home, have population densities that are much 19 20 higher than the U.S. 21 And if you look at places similar to the U.S., in terms of, you know, geography and 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 infrastructure, we have a couple case studies you could look at. There's Verizon's, you know, 2 3 excellent FIOS service versus AT&T's Uverse 4 service, which is BDSL. Based on published 5 numbers, there was about a 5X capex difference in 6 the deployment cost. So I'm just focusing on 7 capex for the moment. The OFCOM, which is the United Kingdom's counterpart to the FCC, has 8 recently concluded a study. Again, I'm looking at 9 10 fiber to the home versus what they call fiber to the curb, but essentially fiber to the node. 11 12 Again, they've concluded there's probably a 5X 13 premium in the UK for a national fiber connection. 14 And so I think, you know, and Paul and I did not collude on our comments, I think the right 15 answer is, there's going to be a mix of 16 technologies. 17 The third point, if you could bring that 18 up, I think is the one that's maybe less obvious 19 20 and actually was mentioned by Doctor Frost from 21 NSF earlier, which is that once one made the technology decision, the things that actually 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 drive the performance of the network and the 2 services the customers receive, and, in fact, 3 probably the economics of the network are, what 4 are your deployment and network management 5 practices once you've made your core technology 6 choice. Next, please. Can I get the next slide 7 please? So here I'm just going to give you a DSL specific example. So we've seen the very high 8 peak rates on the previous slide. We all know 9 10 that in the labs, you know, people demonstrate hundreds of megabits or gigabits and sort of claim 11 12 success, but those same rates are never seen in 13 the real world for economic reasons and for technical reasons. 14 The technical reasons are that there's 15 all sorts of impairments. So in the case of DSL, 16 it's bad copper, it's interference from the 17 18 appliances in your home, it's the fact that 19 signals get weaker as the loop links increase, and 20 these are all things that an operator has to 21 manage to try to get close to those peak rates while at the same time having an economic system. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

2 bring the rest of the bullets up. 3 I think the point here is that every 4 technology, when the operator goes to deploy it, 5 they've got this quality versus coverage or 6 capacity versus coverage trade- off and depending 7 on the network technology practices that the operator chooses, you can move that trade-off 8 curve, you know, greatly extend the possible 9 10 range, greatly extend the possible data rates, and thereby be able to offer more services to more 11 12 customers more economically. But the technologies 13 that the operator chooses as part of their deployment practices really determine that in 14 addition to the original technology choice of 15 fiber versus cable versus DSL in this case. 16 Thanks. 17 18 MR. KNAPP: I'm going to jump back, and

Next, please. One more. In fact, you can just

1

19 Dave, if you're ready, jump in.

20 MR. BURSTEIN: Hi, slides are handy, if 21 they get them up, but let me start talking so I 22 don't waste my time meanwhile. I'm Dave Burstein.

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

I had a very what I think was a nice presentation
 about how, if you're going to talk about networks,
 you can't live in the past.

4 Morse Law works and will continue 5 working for the next ten years. Networks take 6 three and five years to build, they're going to 7 run for ten and 20 years. So anybody in the real world thinking about networks, the ones these guys 8 9 are selling to, thinks three years ahead, looks at the technology, and plans things out in a world 10 where there will be 92 percent of the United 11 12 States having ten megabits of wireless available 13 to them, 80 or 90 percent of the United States having 50 megabits on DOCSIS cable available to 14 them, some have even more from FIOS and fiber and 15 16 so on, and works starting with that. My slides aren't found? Okay. I'll improvise just fine. 17 18 I'm going to switch off very quickly, though, 19 because something much more important came up in 20 this. First, what Mark said about SDV, switch 21 digital, turns out to answer, makes irrelevant a question that came up in the last session. There 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 is no longer an issue about how many channels you put on a cable network, or there won't be in 2012. 2 3 Technology has fixed that, the switch 4 lets them have literally 5,000 or 50,000 channels 5 available at very small cost that they don't have 6 to worry about, so they can take part of it for 7 data and use it, and they have more than enough for all the channels, you know, 500 HD channels, 8 that technology works, he sells it, Time Warner is 9 10 putting in a whole lot, that's on longer an issue. Typical example, if you know the 11 12 technology, you look differently. That goes right 13 back into an FCC issue of whether or not you have a must carry LP TV that's brutally expensive right 14 now, so it didn't happen, even though three 15 Commissioners wanted it. It's trivial in 2012, it 16 17 should automatically be policy. This is typical of what I learned and 18 why I'm here. It is ridiculous for me to tell you 19 20 what DOCSIS 30, when the guy who invented it, John 21 Chapman, taught me, was on the panel before, but unlike most of the people you're hearing, I earn 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 my living as a reporter, in fact, I sell ads to 2 that guy over there, and so on, and I have to find 3 out what's actually happening in the real world, 4 which is why I'm going to switch this one around 5 and go to something very different.

6 Rob is going to hate my guts and 7 probably try to keep me away from the FCC from now, because I'm turning around and saying that 8 most of what he said was nonsense and will result 9 10 with fewer than half as many unserved being reached by the broadband stimulus and blowing a 11 12 very large percentage of the \$100 or \$300 billions 13 he's allocating in the broadband plan implicitly. And here's what's going on and why I say that. 14 I checked with David before about 15 whether or not there are any congestion problems 16 on FIOS, and the answer from Verizon is no. The 17 same thing is true on AT&T Uverse, the same thing 18 is true on nearly any decent large DSL or fiber 19 20 network. 21 So we just heard a whole big session, well, a big part of the session, talking about how 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 you deal with congestion and how you have to 2 arrange network economics and incentives and so on to deal with the congestion problem. It turns out 3 4 it's non-existent on most of the networks we're 5 talking about. Second, we heard Marc over there, 6 Marc was just saying that the best way to get to 7 the unserved, and we talked this the last session, the second thing they should do in the broadband 8 9 policy is, take those little cable companies, three million of the seven million unserved belong 10 to folks like them, for a guarter of the stimulus 11 12 money, you can give them all 50 meg, and Marc will 13 be delighted to sell them the equipment. This is a no brainer, nobody is talking about it, that's 14 why I'm throwing it at you really hard and 15 offending Rob. 16 The second no brainer is that what I'm 17 18 hearing from everybody is the problem for most broadband networks, is the cost of band width. 19 20 There are two ways to bring down the -- there are 21 two ways to bring down the cost of band width; one is, spend \$20 or \$40 billion directly or

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

22
1 indirectly over building the fiber in place, the other is getting serious about special access, and 2 3 make sure that Laramie, Wyoming doesn't pay \$100, 4 for it cost \$10 to deliver because of monopoly. 5 Finally, why Rob is going to hate me; 6 Rob talked about theory, he talked about ideal 7 cases, I talk about real world. Real world, as Vint Cerf mentioned, has weak competition. Most 8 of the two-way models don't apply in weak 9 10 competition. Most of the incentive models don't apply in weak competition. The biggest problem 11 12 that Jules has, thinking of the FCC, is, he thinks 13 he can solve problems with competition, which I would love, but we're only going to have two land 14 line and high speed networks in the U.S., we've 15 got to come up with a policy that works. Thank 16 you. And sorry for the rude things. 17 18 MR. CURTIS: Real quickly, love you, Dave. We're --- to be clear, two things, just so 19 20 everybody is clear, not my point of view was being 21 provocative about prevailing Wall Street point of view, that's number one. Number two, this is a 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

fact-finding mission, all right, so want to hear 1 and get on the table all of the different points 2 3 of view, and, you know, encourage that continue. 4 I'm not offended at all. This is exactly what 5 we're supposed to be doing, so thank you. 6 MR. BURSTEIN: And I told Rob it wasn't 7 personal, but I really think these are big issues, and I'm seeing -- I sat here for a day already 8 yesterday hearing 90 percent of stuff that has no 9 10 application to the real world. If you had the real Wall Street people in here, you'd hear very 11 12 different things than most of what you heard, 13 because they also have to deal in the real world. 14 We need to work there. MR. KNAPP: Okay. Let's see where we 15 16 were. Jason. MR. LIVINGOOD: Sure; thank you very 17 18 much. Thank you, Mr. Knapp. I'm honored to participate today. My experience with broadband 19 20 began in 1996, at the very beginning of the 21 broadband residential internet market. I joined a 25 person team that was working to transform cable 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 model technology from a very small technical trial 2 into what would be a scaleable broadband service 3 that could be deployed across our entire 4 footprint.

5 That seemed at the time like a huge 6 gamble, particularly to many analysts. Even Andy 7 Grove from Intel at the time said that there was 8 little reason to expect that cable would be a 9 viable delivery system for internet access. So I 10 can say that we were delighted to prove so many 11 skeptics wrong.

12 In those early days, we pursued the idea 13 that someone in their home could have affordable service as fast or faster than a T1 line, which at 14 the time cost thousands of dollars a month. That 15 was totally innovative on our part, and it seemed, 16 to me, that the introduction of broadband would be 17 transformative to our economy and our world, which 18 I think has been the case. Since then, Comcast 19 20 and other cable companies have invested tens of 21 billions of dollars in what are called HFC or hybrid fiber coaxial networks, which I'll describe 22

1 in a moment, that are on the slide.

2 The network we built now serves over 15 3 million customers of high speed internet service 4 and passes over 50 million homes. It might be 5 helpful to see a picture of what these look like, 6 so this is the first slide. HFC is quite simply a 7 mix of fiber and coaxial cable. And as you can see here, we run fiber from our backbone and 8 regional networks all the way down to cable modem 9 10 termination systems.

11 Those CMTS' are out in -- then pass 12 signals out to our nodes, which are also connected 13 via fiber and located in local neighborhoods. And 14 from there, we use coax cable to carry service all 15 the way into the home.

Today a node serves between, on average, Today a node serves between, on average, and 500 homes, though that depends and varies based upon population density and band width demand. Over the years, we've split these nodes time and again, and we continue to do so to stay ahead of demand. And as you heard from John and some other folks, in addition we now have some new

1 tools called channel bonding which I'll talk about in a moment. The massive investments we started 2 3 making in the '90's to convert our networks to 4 two-way HFC made the high speed internet service 5 that we have today possible. So did our 6 innovation in creating the data over cable or 7 DOCSIS spec that we have. That's evolved into the DOCSIS 3 standard today, which we are very 8 aggressively deploying and will be completed in 9 10 our network next year. And if you turn to the second slide, you 11 12 can see a little bit about DOCSIS spectrum. 13 DOCSIS spectrum is divided into both upstream and downstream channels. A single downstream has the 14 equivalent of six megahertz of capacity and 15 transmits at a speed of 38 megabits per second 16 17 downstream and 27 upstream. 18 With DOCSIS 3, as you can see here, we can now combine or bond multiple channels 19 20 together, and as a result, we'll now be able to 21 bond four downstream and four upstream channels. This will provide us capacity to provide over 150 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

megabits per second downstream and over 100
 megabits per second upstream to customers.

3 In addition, vendors are now testing 4 eight channel bonding that could provide hundreds 5 of megabits per second, and I see no limit in the 6 next few years to bonding advances that could 7 potentially enable gigabits per second. But in order to be able to bond more of those channels, 8 we need to be able to make more efficient use of 9 10 the spectrum that we have in our network today. So it's, therefore, critical that we shift today's 11 12 analog video transmissions over to digital as 13 rapidly as possible.

14 The FCC should keep this priority in mind as it develops the broadband plan, ensuring 15 that cable operators have the flexibility to 16 deliver higher internet speeds while at the same 17 18 time introducing and enhancing other services. 19 We've built a very robust and expandable 20 access network, as you saw in the previous slide, 21 but it's worth noting that we've coupled that with a very capable regional and national backbone 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

network that we think is one of the leading
 converged internet voice and data networks in the
 country.

4 And while we deliver great speeds to our 5 customers, we also want to make sure that those 6 customers are getting the full value of those 7 speeds by educating them about the need to upgrade their equipment in their homes to be able to take 8 full advantage of the speeds that we're talking 9 about here. In closing, I hope we've explained a 10 little bit that cable has brought us nearly 11 12 ubiquitous broadband coverage in the United 13 States, and that DOCSIS 3 in particular allows us to offer a world class state-of-the-art service. 14 We're ready and able to deliver even more speed 15 and other useful features in the future, and in 16 particular, the near future. And we are committed 17 18 to continuing to invest in the network and innovate to satisfy customer demand, both now and 19 20 for many years to come. Thank you. 21 MR. KNAPP: Thank you, Jason. David.

22 MR. YOUNG: I'm David Young with Verizon

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

and I'm very pleased to be able to be here today to talk about our FIOS deployment. You've heard a lot of mention of it over the last two days, and we are extremely excited. It was about five years ago that our executives made the decision to do something pretty dramatic and different and unexpected.

When we first announced that we were 8 going to do this back in 2003, there was a lot of 9 10 skepticism that we were going to do it, and then when people realized that we were serious about 11 12 it, there was skepticism about whether we could 13 actually be successful in doing it. But over the 14 intervening five years, it really has proven to be transformative. We've transformed the access 15 network from copper to fiber, running fiber all 16 the way from our central office to the customer's 17 18 home using a passive optical network. And when we 19 first rolled it out in 2004, using the BPON 20 technology, that provided a shared 622 megabits 21 per second to 32 homes.

22 We've since upgraded to GPON, which has

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 a combined capacity to those 32 homes of 2.4 gigabits per second, and the standards are being 2 3 worked on now to move to the next generation PON 4 architecture, which will provide a ten gigabit per 5 second shared capacity. And we expect those 6 standards to be completed in the next year. 7 The service offerings were five, 15, and 30 megabits per second when we first rolled it out 8 in the downstream direction, and two and five 9 10 megabits per second in the upstream direction. Those have since evolved to now the 11 12 entry level speed is 15 megabits per second down 13 and five megabits per second up. The sort of mid tier is 25 down and 15 megabits per second in the 14 upstream direction, and our top tier today is 50 15 megabits per second down and 20 megabits per 16 second in the upstream. So the home network, as 17 we deployed this, needed to also be able to 18 support the higher speeds that we were delivering 19 20 over fiber that, you know, we hadn't been able to 21 deliver over DSL or other technologies, and so it was important when we began doing this to focus on 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

a broadband home router that would be capable of
 supporting the very high speeds that we planned to
 deliver with fiber.

4 And in doing so, we've created a home 5 network and really transformed the home network 6 environment, as well, and we've done this by 7 providing a home router as part of the service that offers WIFI, as well as wired connections 8 within the home. And it also connects our set top 9 10 boxes using IP over the coaxial cable in the home. And so the high speeds, both upstream 11 12 and downstream, I think are transformative to the 13 customer experience, because, as you heard in the previous panel, there's a need for greater 14 symmetry and a need for end users to be producers 15 of content, as well as consumers of content. 16 In 2005, we added video to our product. 17 18 Originally it was just FIOS internet service that we had offered. In 2005, we began offering a FIOS 19 20 TV service. We basically overlaid an RF video 21 feed on the fiber that is delivered to home, similar to a one-way digital cable system. The 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	key difference is that all of those channels, all
2	of that capacity is available for video
3	programming. We don't need to use any of that for
4	DOCSIS or any other things. And so that's allowed
5	us to transform the television experience by
6	providing over 100 HD channels in the market, an
7	all digital service. But also transformative in
8	the TV space is bringing IP to each set top box,
9	and so the interactive and on demand capabilities
10	are all IP based.
11	We've also got something called widgets,
12	which are applications that run in the set top
13	box. We initially came out with our own, which
14	were weather, sports, news, traffic, those sorts
15	of things. We've since introduced Facebook and
16	Twitter as widgets, and we've announced that we
17	will be launching releasing a software
18	development kit and opening that up for a third
19	party development in the fourth quarter of this
20	year. We've actually got a web site,
21	code.verizon.com, that people who are interested
22	in developing those widgets can go and start to

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 learn more about it.

And just as an aside, we think that, as 2 we roll out LTE on the wireless side, that this 3 4 will be as transformative in the wireless space as 5 FIOS has been in the wire line space. Ultimately, 6 ubiquitous broadband I think is going to have the 7 power to be transformative to the country for the applications that have been talked about, health 8 care, energy management and those sorts of things, 9 and so we share the goal of bringing those 10 benefits to everybody. Thank you. 11 12 MR. KNAPP: Thank you, David. Geoff. 13 MR. BURKE: Great, thank you. I think really they've placed us together here, David, 14 because we're kind of the one two punch I believe 15 here for talking about fiber. Your representative 16 of one of the largest operators in the country. 17 18 My company, Calix, is actually the largest broadband service provider to the tier two and 19 20 tier three operators, so all the rural providers 21 around the U.S. Today.

22 Basically, if you were to set back and

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 take a look at our business, our business basically represents about 40 percent of the rural 2 3 service providers in the U.S. Today, and amongst 4 those providers, they're actually providing 5 millions and millions and millions of broadband 6 access connections. Go to the next slide, please. 7 What I'd like to do is really talk about one facet of that, because I think it's important for you to 8 understand that not only are we the primary DSL 9 10 access platform provider to rural service providers, but we're also the primary fiber 11 12 solution provider to them, as well. And as of 13 right now, what we're seeing in the market is basically about well over 375 of our customers are 14 actually actively deploying fiber all the way to 15 the premises in these rural markets and being very 16 successful at it. 17 So one of the things I think is 18 interesting about our perspective is that the 19 20 rural markets tend to be the canaries in the coal 21 mine, right. They basically are the folks that are unencumbered by the scope and scale and 22

1 arguably the bureaucratic challenges that some of 2 the largest tier one operator's face. And as a 3 result, they get to experiment with new 4 technologies and arguably run faster. 5 So you can look at cities amongst my 6 customer base and find, you know, them more wired, 7 or at least as wired, or in many cases, more wired than any of the major urban areas across the U.S. 8 9 So what are these canaries telling us? 10 Let's get down into some of the details here. Basically what we have seen is an average cost per 11 12 home pass of about \$800, not a lot higher, but 13 just slightly higher than what David is probably seeing at Verizon, and about an all in all pulling 14 the fiber and those sorts of things for homes 15 served at about \$2,000 per home. And when I cite 16 these figures, I'm really talking about maybe 25 17 to 50 person density per square mile in those 18 particular areas. 19 20 One of the things that helps out this 21 business case is the fact that, in these areas, we're seeing 50 percent plus acceptance of these 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

services, and we'll probably get into some of the details of how that happens a little bit later in our discussion.

4 One of the things I think is very 5 important to understand, though, is that I 6 couldn't help marvel when I was listening to David 7 rattle off application after application after application that is now either being rolled out or 8 soon to be rolled out over the Verizon network. 9 That's exactly what our customers are doing, as 10 well. 11

They really feel unencumbered by the 12 13 types of, whether it's IPTV service, advanced RF or radio frequency over cable services they can 14 put over these networks, and other new 15 16 telepresence or telemedicine or other types of applications that could very easily be overlaid in 17 18 this environment. Next slide, please. So where are customers leading us and what are they telling 19 20 us when they're talking about us? Well, certainly 21 what we're immersed in right now in the country is a movement from a textual and graphical based 22

1 internet and one that is predominantly an all video domain. And when I say video, I'm not just 2 3 talking about IPTV or RFOG, I'm talking about 4 really rich, interactive content that basically is 5 going to provide everything we do. 6 I just actually stepped off the plane 7 from California last night, and as I was leaving, the big item was, everyone was turning their 8 textbooks into digital textbooks, and they're 9 10 predicting that within the next five years, 90 percent of all learning taking place in the state 11 12 was going to be digitally based, right, not 13 because -- well, partially because there was a cost savings, that certainly was the initial 14 driver, but the other side of it was, the kids 15 just didn't get traditional textbooks, right. 16 I think this is a great indicator of 17 18 what we're going to see going forward in terms of 19 basically the amount of Unicast traffic, the 20 amount of video that's going to be in the network, 21 and we need to ask ourselves, what types of technology is going to have to be in place to go 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 and address these issues. So what does this mean from a policy perspective? Well, I think there's 2 3 a couple of key takeaways here; one is that when 4 you build out an access network, this is a 5 generational issue, right, we're setting policy 6 here today, right, so do you want to focus on 7 things that are giving you -- really have reached the point of diminishing returns, or do you want 8 to put an infrastructure, like as David alluded 9 10 to, contributing application after application after application, you don't have to worry about 11 12 these issues going forward in terms of 13 flexibility. The second thing is that, as we look at 14 those applications, they are going to be all fiber 15 in the future, right, and so those sorts of 16 elements need to be taken into account when we're 17 18 thinking about this. Wireless is clearly advantaged. These LTE networks are an advantage 19 20 by a fiber feeder going into it. So how do we

afford it? Well, I think that's one of the interesting things we're going to address as we go 22

21

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 through today. So thank you for your comments. 2 MR. KNAPP: Thank you, Geoff. Stuart. 3 MR. LIPOFF: Okay. Good morning, 4 everyone. In December, 1995, I began a journey 5 that's still a work in process. At the end of the 6 year in '95, I was hired by the MCNS consortium of 7 cable operators to manage the development of what is now called the DOCSIS 1.0 series of cable modem 8 specs. Just one year later, after starting at the 9 Western Cable Show, the first prototype DOCSIS 10 compatible modems were demonstrated and commercial 11 12 product appeared very shortly thereafter. 13 As the first widely available, always on, high speed residential internet access 14 service, and given that DOCSIS compatible cable 15 modems now serve 40 million subscribers and are 16 available to 92 percent of U.S. Households, by 17 any measure, the DOCSIS technology is certainly a 18 success. 19 20 I think it's important, however, to 21 return to that one year during 1996, when DOCSIS 1.0 was being developed, to understand why DOCSIS 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 has been successful and why it is likely to 2 continue to offer advantages over competitive 3 technologies, not just for service providers, but 4 the consumers alike. And the historical 5 perspective I want to share with you is the vision 6 that guided the design of DOCSIS that's been 7 maintained in the various generations since then. The one year development period for DOCSIS was 8 actually very rapid compared to communication 9 10 systems of comparable complexity at the time. But it actually could have gone much faster if the 11 12 only goal were to provide unmanaged best efforts 13 access for the high speed internet. In fact, there were several competitive products on the 14 market that we could have just adopted and kind of 15 16 bypass the whole process to give us internet 17 access. But the vision of the cable MSO's and 18 19 vendor participants who participated in the 20 development of DOCSIS understood from the very 21 start the project had to have a successful specification, had to be both flexible and future 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

proofed to evolve as rapidly and as unpredictably
as internet itself.

3 To satisfy these goals of flexibility 4 and evolvability, the DOCIS specification placed 5 unheard levels of intelligence at the edge of the network, in the cable modem itself. Some critics 6 7 suggesting adding this complexity of secure transmission, remote provisioning, remote 8 monitoring, hooks for quality of service 9 10 management, and the ability to download new firmware would slow down the standards process and 11 12 drive up the cost.

13 Well, cable modems today are \$50 at retail, and given the historical rapid development 14 cycle, the critics were wrong. Today we find the 15 extra intelligence building the DOCSIS devices 16 supports not only the original high speed best 17 efforts access to the internet, but also enables 18 new revenue streams and operating cost savings 19 20 with very small additional capital investment. 21 Such benefits of leveraging some capital investment is clearly good for the cable 22

operators, but the point is often lost, is it forbearance from legislation regulation that would inhibit leveraging these costs would also do great harm to the consumers and work contrary to the stated goals of the administration to expand broadband access to under served and unserved areas.

It simply and basically is about the 8 economics. MSO's have invested already in driving 9 cable model services to 92 percent of U.S. 10 households, precisely because the multiple revenue 11 12 streams enabled by new services such as telephony, 13 and operating cost reductions enabled by network management technology such as DOCSIS -- Gateway, 14 provides the equivalent of a government subsidy to 15 16 derive and expand broadband services.

17 The management capabilities enabled by 18 intelligent DOCSIS offer much more than walled 19 garden services such as MSO telephony, however. 20 It was described in the panel that preceded this 21 this morning the vision for broadband services and 22 applications goes beyond largely a symmetrical

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 delay and sensitive web browsing. What's common 2 to several visions is a mix of web pages with new 3 multi media services that can only provide a 4 satisfactory user experience if their quality of 5 service managed.

6 The fundamental fact remains that all 7 internet service providers travel over a shared network. And while the points of aggregation vary 8 somewhat between DOCSIS over HFC, as compared to 9 10 DSL over copper and FIOS over passive optical network, all these networks share this morning's 11 12 vision -- cannot share this morning's vision if 13 they're not allowed to manage the end user traffic and provide quality service. 14

The challenge for the Commission and for 15 16 Congress is to craft regulation and legislation that provides fairness to all parties of interest, 17 18 but does not inhibit the network operator from 19 being allowed to manage the network to prevent 20 abuse, deter crime, piracy, facilitate 21 experimentation with new services, and rapid service creation. 22

1 So I'd like to conclude by rising to the 2 challenge that was put to all of us by the panel 3 organizers. We should emphasis some important 4 points that we want you to take away, and here's 5 mine. If you want to increase access to broadband 6 by unserved households, at the same time 7 facilitate the proven free market process of rapid creation of new and innovative services, you must 8 9 allow the network operator to manage the network with differentiated quality of services. Thank 10 11 you. MR. KNAPP: Thank you, Stuart. You 12 13 know, often we'll hear about, you know, other parts of the world where they've got targets for 14 data speed, data speeds get thrown around a lot, 15 100 megabits per second, gigabit per second, et 16 cetera, et cetera, and the speeds really vary. I 17 mean this is a benchmark of, well, reported as a 18 peak speed, but then when I get further away from 19 20 some of the networks, the speed goes down. 21 We talked in the last session a little

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

bit about transparency and how you provide

22

1 information to consumers so they can make informed choices. As we think about a national broadband 2 3 strategy, and speed isn't the only element, of 4 course, it's also things like, well, how long are 5 you going to be on in your location, how does that 6 enter into the equation? What should we be 7 thinking about? Is this relevant, should it be defined some way, is there a target? Doug, why 8 don't I start with you? 9 MR. YOUNG: You know ---10 MR. KNAPP: Excuse me, David. I'm 11 12 sorry. 13 MR. YOUNG: Sure; the challenge is that, you know, the particular speed that's required 14 varies depending on the application and the 15 particular user's need, and the different 16 technologies have such vastly different 17 18 characteristics, so, you know, with fiber, you 19 could set the target as high as you want and you 20 could meet it with wireless or, you know, with 21 other technologies, there's going to be too many -- too much variability. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 So I think it's good to measure it, and 2 so I think that, you know, the things that the FCC 3 is doing to measure broadband, the 477 reporting 4 process, for example, and the changes that were 5 made to that, I think are going to be helpful in 6 more accurately capturing what's out there and how 7 it evolves over time. But as far as having a particular goal or target, I just don't know how 8 9 you would go about picking it. MR. LIPOFF: Can I make a comment to --10 MR. KNAPP: Yeah, Stuart. 11 12 MR. LIPOFF: I think this is obviously a 13 broadband panel and that label maybe means many things to many people, but if you look at both the 14 Verizon network and the cable networks, the HFC 15 16 networks that are being developed, they also support broadcast video, and it's really important 17 to ask this question about, you know, what are the 18 band width requirements going in the home to think 19 20 about the applications. 21 And where you have large numbers of people watching the same channel real time, 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 whether that's coming streaming or it's coming 2 mpeg over QAM, that does not encumber necessarily 3 the bursty kind of traffic that you might want to 4 have associated with things that require unicast 5 two-way types of networks.

6 And so you really do have to look at the 7 applications. It came up I think on the panel this morning. There's some applications like game 8 playing where latency is the most important thing, 9 10 not the peak speed or the traffic. There are applications like voice telephony and video 11 12 conferencing where you care about stream 13 continuity because you're doing things that require that the stream not be interrupted or the 14 user experience is compromised. 15 16 When you're doing web surfing with multi media rich pages, you care about a really high 17 speed burst, but then you're sitting there for a 18

19 long period of time not doing anything. When 20 you're uploading a file for backing it up, that 21 can be done late in the evening. So I don't think 22 -- unfortunately, it's complicated, and I don't

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 think just looking at the peak speeds associated with any one of these technologies is the thing 2 3 you want to get into. You really want to think 4 about what are the capabilities of the network to 5 handle these flexible different types of traffic 6 types and how can other things the network is 7 carrying such as broadcast content or multicast content perhaps offload some of these other 8 applications. 9 MR. BURSTEIN: It turns out -- there's 10 an interesting way to look at it that gives it 11 12 what I think is a pretty good answer. Think like 13 an engineer, not like a Washington policy-maker. 14 Look at what's practical and economical and can be 15 done. It turns out that we're in much better 16 17 shape than most people realize because David 18 Young's company is going to bring four to ten meg LTE to 92 percent of the United States in 2013. 19 20 So it's perfectly reasonable to say we should have 21 four to ten meg to at least 90 percent of the United States, because that's going to happen even 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 if government does nothing, and that's higher than most numbers. Even more dramatically, the DOCSIS 2 3 3.0 really is that good, it's not as good as 4 fiber. There's good arguments back and forth, but 5 it should be the baseline. Mark, am I off base 6 saying that DOCSIS 3.0 is going to be able to 7 bring 50 meg to most homes somewhere between now and five years from now, not all homes, but most? 8 9 MR. DEPIETRO: No, not at all. MR. BURSTEIN: Okay. 10 MR. DEPIETRO: No, you're not off base. 11 MR. BURSTEIN: It turns out that the 12 13 cost of speed -- and this is a good baseline. The cost of speed is talked about in policy circles 14 that don't understand that speed does not cost 15 enough to notice, band width is not free, but it's 16 so cheap that you can give 50 meg for the same 17 18 price as you can give ten meg, Source, CTO, 19 Comcast, and that that is a reasonable goal to 20 make happen, and how you do that in policy, I 21 defer to the folks on my right. 22 MR. KNAPP: Jason.

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 MR. LIVINGOOD: If I could just add a 2 couple of thoughts to that. I think that there 3 are two other things in addition to latency that 4 you should pay attention to, and one is security 5 of the network, and the other would be the 6 scalability of the address base. So in terms of 7 scalability, some of the earlier panelists talked about mobility, a lot of those things require 8 things like ITV 6, those are important things to 9 10 support. From a security perspective, you know, bots and spam and other things are a real problem 11 12 in networks, and those things need to be kept in 13 mind. And there are some near term things like DNSSEC and other initiatives that the government 14 is already encouraging that I think are important 15 16 to continue. But lastly, I would say that, you know, 17 on all of these points, I would recommend that you 18

19 have some quantitative metrics, that you're trying 20 to figure out what are you trying to achieve with 21 the plan and sort of, you know, look back 22 periodically, you know, annually, bi- annually, at

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

where are you on those quantitative metrics,
 whether they're speed, you know, penetration,
 availability, so on. I think those are important
 goals.

5 MR. BURKE: I think, you know, David has 6 thrown out some numbers here, and I think it's 7 interesting to think back at what I believe we're trying to achieve here today, which is basically 8 9 to set policy for not just the next three to five 10 years, but for a decade and beyond, right. So to the extent that there may not be an actual number, 11 12 I think what I would encourage everyone here on 13 this panel to be thinking about is, whatever you set it at, set it high, right, because the reality 14 is, whether we're talking about latency and its 15 relation to cloud computing and gaming, right, 16 whether we're talking about a movement from a 17 18 broadcast unicast environment, whether we're talking about all of the deeper levels of that 19 20 which get into packet loss and -- and those sorts 21 of elements, many of these elements can really be facilitated greatly by setting a bar high with 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

regard to broadband speed delivery, right, and
 ultimately allowing yourself to fill that in the
 most economically feasible ways for service
 providers.

5 The second element of this is, I believe 6 that, you know, to some extent we're arguing the 7 speed which ultimately is going to be determined by the public overall, right. It's the public 8 who's going to demand these speeds. And to the 9 10 extent that we can extrapolate today, I didn't speak to it on my slide, but, you know, I think 11 12 there's -- whether you agree with it or not, ITIF 13 figured that there's a five meg average speed in the U.S. today. 14

You know, you take a 70 percent increase 15 on that over the course of the next five to ten 16 years, you're easily jumping into 100 meg and even 17 18 up to gigabit ranges, and these are average speeds. Can we conceive of what those 19 20 applications are today? You know, it's hard to, 21 right. But by the same token, you take yourself back five to ten years, you couldn't conceive of 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

the applications we're trying to deliver today, right. So I think there's a -- whatever we do from a policy perspective, just let's aim high with regard to what it is and we'll naturally see a tendency towards the right solutions in terms of network.

7 MR. KNAPP: Before I have -- I just wanted -- and I'll get back to you, Mark, to 8 announce that for folks who are listening out 9 10 there, you can either submit questions by Webex or cars in the room. Where did Rashmi go? There he 11 12 is. We've also set up an email box, FCC-events, 13 e-v-e-n-t-s, at FCC.gov for people who want to send a question by email. And with that, I'll go 14 to Marc. 15 MR. GOLDBURG: So on this issue, I think 16

10 In the set of the set sort of meaningful metrics, 17 it is possible to set sort of meaningful metrics, 18 metrics that are meaningful to the consumers for 19 broadband performance. I mean, yes, the 20 requirements of each application are very 21 different, some are latency sensitive, some 22 jitter, but, you know, as Vint Cerf said earlier,

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 actually if there's enough band width available 2 and there's some head room in the network, the latency and jitter problems are going to solve 3 4 themselves. So maybe it's adequate for the 5 consumer perspective just to say, you know, you're 6 up to ten megabit service, well, you should be 7 provided at least 80 percent of that as measured by FTP or some other, you know, pedestrian file 8 transfer technique, and that is meaningful to the 9 10 consumers. If networks are engineered to sort of reliably provide that to them, then probably many 11 12 of the latency and jitter type issues would go 13 away.

And, in fact, Ofcom, I keep coming back 14 to them, but in the UK, they do have sort of a 15 broadband truth in advertising standard that's 16 been published. But I'll just mention one other 17 18 thing which sort of struck me as I was preparing 19 the slides and also listening to the people here 20 on the panel, which is that, you know, all these 21 technologies we're talking about are basically pushing fiber closer and closer to the customer. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 So, you know, BDSL is fiber to the node or to the 2 curb.

Jason was talking about -- well -- will be cell splitting, but MSO splitting and sort of, you know, putting fewer customers on each cable segment and moving the head of the cable segment closer to the customers, and in the fiber to the premises case, you know, you're all the way there.

9 So it seems to me that, you know, as 10 that happens, the issue is less and less about access technologies and more about, you know, in 11 12 the performance of them, because they're 13 converging and they're all very high, and it's hard for me to imagine applications in my home 14 that can generate hundreds of megabits or even 15 16 gigabits that I need to send somewhere.

17 So the real question is, are we really 18 looking at, from an engineering perspective, at 19 solving problems in the core network? Is 20 congestion there really going to be the thing that 21 determines whether we see -- whether or not we see 22 the peak advertised speeds rather than limitations

1 on the access.

2 MR. KNAPP: I had a -- let me throw this 3 question out there that came in. 4 DR. HENRY: Can I --5 MR. KNAPP: Yeah, go ahead, Paul. 6 DR. HENRY: I have one comment on the 7 previous one, if I may. 8 MR. KNAPP: Yeah, sure. DR. HENRY: Just a very brief one. I 9 understand that this is a panel on fixed broadband 10 access, but in the wireless domain, fixed and 11 12 mobile, of course, tend to become very much 13 confused or mixed. And so one of the recommendations that I would make to the FCC as 14 it's considering policy is to recognize, as we 15 already have, that what you want to deliver in 16 terms of broadband depends on the application that 17 you're attempting to deliver, and the requirements 18 on the technology vary accordingly. But, 19 20 therefore, as we consider which applications need 21 to be encouraged, I would ask that the FCC recognize that there is a steady migration of 22

1 broadband services, as well as voice, from the 2 fixed domain to the mobile domain. 3 And, therefore, I think it is important 4 to, in the context of studying the different 5 applications, to try to understand which one of 6 those are likely to appeal to people who have 7 mobile devices, for example, such as an iPhone, and to adjust broadband policy, recognizing this 8 migration into the mobile domain, which will 9 almost invariably have a smaller band width 10 capability than the fixed domain. 11 12 MR. KNAPP: The question that came in 13 via email was, does the panel have a common view as to an ideal high speed internet access through 14 put range, megabits per second, for an individual 15 16 end point user beyond which the user perceives no further value; I can kind of guess what some of 17

18 the answers might be. Yes, David.

MR. BURSTEIN: Again, I'd like to go to the data. Thirty meg is probably enough for anything I would want to do; 50 meg is darn good, in fact, at that point, net neutrality and latency

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190
1 problems and so on essentially disappear; but people who disagree with me include 30 percent of 2 3 the users on a major Japanese system who get 30 4 meg by default, remember, the U.S. is behind on 5 this, and actually pay a little bit more to get 6 100 meg, so that whether it's important or not, 7 clearly, there's a lot of people who think that if it doesn't cost too much, they want the higher 8 speeds. That's JCOM Cable, which is owned by 9 Liberty, incidentally. 10 MR. LIPOFF: Again, I come back to a 11 12 theme I said before about it being application 13 dependent, but let me get specific. Uncompressed HD TV today is about 19 megabit per second rate, 14 you know, with the higher compression half or a 15 fourth of that. So, you know, if you're thinking 16 about a unicast video with VCR type performance, 17 18 and you know, how many eyeballs or outlets are 19 there in the home, you can multiply that up. 20 But if you take some of the other 21 applications that are part of the vision, like I want to backup my hard drive, I'd like that to 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 appear to be a one gigabit Ethernet network back to a server that's on the network. If I'm doing 2 3 peer to peer type, legitimate, non-pirate peer to 4 peer stuff, and I'm sharing content with other 5 users or part of a network like Voodoo, who does 6 it, I'm going to be pumping a lot of stuff 7 upstream, and so I'm going to be perhaps serving a lot more than what just my own eyeballs are 8 consuming. 9

And I think just, if I could, to extend 10 that to the previous question before, it's not 11 12 just about congestion on the core network that we 13 care about, because a lot of the applications and the concepts that are part of the vision are going 14 to involve moving some of the servers of the 15 16 intelligence inside the wall garden, so to speak. Telephony is already there, but as you 17 provide various types of services that are hosted 18 19 on servers which are inside the network, it 20 doesn't hit the core internet, so you do need to 21 manage that congestion on the network, and people who are generating traffic that's going off into 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 the public internet are sharing that same access 2 network with traffic that's inside the wall of 3 garden.

4 So, you know, you have to think about 5 the congestion occurring at different points along 6 the way, not just on the public internet backbone. 7 MR. LIVINGOOD: And if I could just briefly add to that. You know, I think the --8 it's a lot more than just what an individual user 9 thinks or feels like they're consuming over the 10 web or something like that. I think one of the 11 12 earlier panelists, Henning, hinted at this by 13 talking about other applications which I sort of refer to as sort of unintended applications or 14 ambient applications in the home, like energy 15 management, home safety, medical monitoring. 16 Other things that people aren't 17 necessarily aware of is consuming band width or 18 demanding band width, but potentially over time, 19 20 you know, is a big consumer of band width, and 21 might be some, you know, very interesting applications. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 MR. YOUNG: And if I may, I think that 2 one of the things that happens is, when people make a transition from let's say ten years ago, 3 4 when they went from dial-up to broadband, or to 5 higher speeds of broadband, the initial reaction 6 may be that there's not a significant difference 7 for a number of reasons; one, if you're, you know, if the sites that you're going to were designed 8 for a certain connection speed, then you're not 9 10 going to see any dramatic increase, and so there's a little bit of a chicken and egg there until 11 12 there's a large enough market who has access at 13 that speed for the applications to then, you know, come along to fill that. But the other is also 14 just usage patterns. And so, you know, the ways 15 that you use dial-up are very different than the 16 ways that you use broadband, and the ways that you 17 use very high speed broadband are different than 18 the ways that you use sort of traditional 19 20 broadband, and so you get the higher speed, and 21 then you start doing things with it differently, you start watching more online streaming video 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 than you had before because now it suddenly works 2 much better and you get a very high quality 3 picture. 4 MR. KNAPP: What about on the low end? 5 I mean we've got -- as we moving to other kinds of 6 applications like energy management and so forth, 7 it becomes -- it's not any longer somebody who just has a PC and access to the internet, it 8 becomes like any other utility, water, 9 10 electricity. What would be a baseline in terms of defining some minimum service level? 11 12 MR. DEPIETRO: Let me make a comment 13 here. I actually think talking about data rates is probably asking the wrong question. So, you 14 know, if you think about, for example, watching an 15 HD video over on peg four, okay, I can do it in 16 seven megabits per second, and if I have three 17 18 simultaneous HD TV's in the house and I'm trying to download all those over the internet at the 19 20 same time, you could make an argument that, you 21 know, the 20 -- 25 megabits per second is good enough, but I think there's another whole aspect 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

to this, too, and that is that, you know, there's 1 an environment now that is conducive to the 2 3 proliferation of malware, and so I have all these 4 wonderful speeds that I can experience, but my 5 computer clogs up and I have to reboot it every, 6 you know, couple days to get it to respond in any 7 sort of reasonable time. So I think rather than just keeping an 8 9 eye on and making prescriptions about band width, 10 I think it's going to be very important to, you know, adopt policies and allow flexibility with 11 12 respect to getting rid of the motivations that are 13 out there that allow malware to proliferate and actually allow people to make money off of it. 14 I'm not sure I know how to do that, but I think 15 that that's something that bears some 16 consideration. 17 18 MR. CURTIS: If I could jump in and make this a little more practical question. We've got 19 20 to come up with a point of view on who's unserved, 21 unserved by what, unserved by broadband, all

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

right. So it's a very real question that needs a,

22

1 you know, some sort of a metricized answer, and I 2 think that's the push. This isn't really an academic debate about what the right level of 3 4 service for how you think about it is, you want to 5 be able to figure out, you know, where everybody 6 lives in the country, what their delivered rate 7 is, and make a decision, do you have broadband or not, and that's the level of granularity we're 8 trying to push to get thoughts on how you define 9 10 that. MR. NEWMANN: Yeah, let me build on what 11 12 Rob said, because I'd actually like to give the 13 panel and actually anybody who's listening a homework assignment. As Rob said, we need to come 14 up with a very real definition of broadband so we 15 can decide who's unserved, who's under served, 16 because that's going to determine ultimately 17 18 policy and potentially money flows. 19 So latency we've heard talked about, we 20 need that made more concrete in terms of what is 21 good enough, what's not; jitter. A lot of talk

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

about peak. I'm equally concerned about the

22

1 sustainability, however you want to define it, I've got some ideas. For example, LTE is getting 2 3 compared, because it's four to eight megabits per 4 second, with some of these other technologies. I 5 can burst that rate, but as Doctor Henry said, 6 everything shares at different points. LTE shares 7 right at the edge of the network. According to public domain record by all the vendors, they 8 agree that special -- of LTE at the transmission 9 10 level is 1.8 bits per hertz. The next generation may be two bits per hertz. So Verizon has ten up, 11 12 ten down that they won in the -- that means 13 they're going to share in a sector ten megabits per second at the application level when they 14 first roll out LTE. 15 On a sector basis, that's not exactly 16 17 the same as sharing gigabits per second. So we 18 need to know what does it mean about capacity allocated per user. So the homework assignments 19 20 come up with, you know, how do we really think 21 rigorously about this definition. Now I've raised several -- good, I've gotten lots of reaction. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 MR. CURTIS: Good job, Stagg. DR. HENRY: My only thought -- let me be 2 3 brief first and possibly more comments later, but 4 I think in terms of defining broadband, which is 5 the way Julie started, I would say what is it, 6 what is the minimum -- the baseline, as Julie 7 called it, what's the minimum set of applications that we expect every United States household to be 8 able to have access to, and then proceed from 9 there. Let that be the definition of broadband. 10 And I would just say, for example, the minimum set 11 12 of applications would include, of course, 13 elementary web browsing, it would include something associated with evolving educational 14 policy, and it would include access -- you're 15 shaking your head. Well, let me just finish 16 anyway. And it would include access, convenient 17 18 access to various governmental organizations, being able to deal with the DMV and the motor 19 20 vehicles or the IRS in a convenient, comfortable 21 way over the internet.

22 I would think that is -- those things,

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 to me, define what we expect every American household -- what we ought to strive for, at least 2 3 that, for every American household. 4 MR. GOLDBURG: So another comment. I'm 5 not smart enough to answer the broadband 6 definition question, but I think it's worth noting 7 that the arithmetic of sort of calculating average through put or sustainable through put per user, 8 9 which is maybe the more important metric, I think has changed a lot in the last -- even in the last 10 11 five years. So historically, people would rely on 12 13 the statistical multiplexing concepts and say I have a, you know, a ten megabit link, and everyone 14 uses it five percent of the time, and so that, you 15 know, maybe you could have 20 customers on that 16 link and still --- they'd still each get ten 17 megabits. Well, today, with, you know, we're not 18 19 doing -- anymore, where people are streaming 20 video, multiple video streams to their homes, and 21 that sharing ratio has gone down substantially. So maybe, instead of 20 to one sharing, you know, 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 instead it's only really an over subscription of two or three that you can support and still say 2 3 people are going to see the peak speed. 4 So for all of the, you know, shared 5 media type solutions, I mean relative to their 6 peak rates, so that's all wireless, just about all 7 wireless systems, you know, cable and some others, I think the arithmetic in terms of peak to average 8 has dramatically changed in the last few years. 9 MR. NEWMANN: Help us think about that. 10 I mean we've got to come up with this definition, 11 12 you know, and so help us think about it. I mean 13 I'd love to see, for example, a filing that said these are the basket of applications and this is 14 what it implies in terms of definition. 15 MR. KNAPP: I'd just add to it, too, as 16 we try to think down the road, and if truly we 17 18 want to see smart grid, for example, and this integrates into a door, or people who are at home 19 20 can connect through a medical sensor and have 21 their physician read it, these seem like things that everybody ought to have. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 MR. LIVINGOOD: And I would maybe say two things, I have two thoughts here; one would 2 3 be, you know, please refer to the filing that we 4 made in response to the -- we put a lot of thought 5 into some very detailed year over year, you know, 6 what do we think the quantitative speeds should be 7 and how should they change, and so that's number one. I think, you know, we tried to put a lot of 8 thought into it and we know that's a tough problem 9 10 for you. The second would be, you know, it 11 12 doesn't matter, at the end of the day, we can all 13 build collectively a brilliant network that's super high speed and passes a lot of homes, but I 14 think the plan still has to address the fact that 15 they're going to be a lot of people, and I think 16 the PEW Study is interesting on this point, that 17 18 for whatever reason, choose not to subscribe, whether they don't feel it's relevant to them, 19 20 they're not computer literate, or you know, a 21 variety of other factors, and I think those are important to take into account, too. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

MR. CURTIS: If I could just add to 1 2 Stagg's filer request, which I completely agree 3 with, that would be extremely helpful. It would 4 be also helpful if, instead of having, you know, 5 your point of view on what you would think of 6 broadband as being in 2009, some sort of, you 7 know, growth curve on how this evolves over time, you know, it doesn't make a lot of sense to think 8 about doing something today that's going to 9 quickly, you know, go out of --10 MR. BURKE: Perhaps one way to think 11 12 about this, and I don't know this as a final 13 answer, would be, rather than try and come up with some actual numbers, would be to base it on some 14 sort of parody of the areas that are currently 15 being served. So we have a range of services that 16 are out there today that people can pay higher 17 tiers for, and with the concept of people who are 18 currently unserved not being disenfranchised or 19 20 having this digital divide, to have them at least 21 have access to, you know, a popular -- one of the lower tiers. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 I would also say that when cable modem service first rolled out, and there were some 2 3 surveys done of users to find out what they 4 wanted, and you know, did they enjoy the higher 5 speed than dial-up, what they found was, is that 6 most people signed up for it because they thought 7 they'd be getting the higher speed, but the main benefit was actually always on. And so when you 8 start talking about some of these smart grid 9 10 applications and medical monitoring and telemetry, they're very modest, very low speeds, and they 11 12 don't even really need to be real time. If you 13 can provide low speed, always on, hundreds of kilobit per second service, you immediately enable 14 that aspect of it. And then, you know, the web 15 surfing, you know, is probably where the lower 16 tier of services are today, and that maybe should 17 18 be where you draw the line, and every year take a survey and see where it is and try and, you know, 19 20 set that threshold higher.

21 MR. NEWMANN: Yeah, let me -- great
22 point. And Rob raised -- we need to think about

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	how to quantify the notion into a viable
2	definition. One of the bigger mistakes I made
3	when I was at the FCC before, and I made plenty,
4	was, Dale Hatfield and I were the ones who came up
5	with that infamous 200 kilobit per second
6	definition, but to defend ourselves, that was
7	1999, and we defined it as a viable definition.
8	Unfortunately, we should have said viable
9	definition with at least a 30 percent cager. I
10	never dreamed it would still be 200 kilobits per
11	second, you know, a decade later. So how do we
12	think about that aspect of the definition?
13	MR. CURTIS: If I could just pile onto
14	one thing. Stuart, I love the idea of looking at
15	the parody, that's another way of thinking about
16	it. And as you all think through, hopefully, and
17	help us think about this, one other thing I'd
18	throw on the table is, is some part of the
19	relative parody an international benchmark?
20	Is it important that, you know, we keep
21	pace? We make sure that currently unserved
22	communities today keep track with other served

communities in this country; is it separately 1 important that we keep pace with, you know, let's 2 call it our developed peer group? And if so, how 3 4 would you guys think about, you know, that 5 factoring into the way you think about broadband? 6 MR. YOUNG: I'd just like to say in 7 defense of Stagg that the 200 K, you know, has gotten a lot of criticism, but in fairness, it's 8 still a useful speed for a lot of applications, 9 and a ubiquitous always on 200 K capability would 10 be very beneficial for smart meters and those 11 sorts of things. So I don't think you want to 12 13 dismiss the lower end of these things and say, well, that's not broadband or that's not what 14 we're talking about. The whole range is of value, 15 I think. 16 MR. BURKE: It is of value, but you have 17 to -- we really need to identify where the natural 18 progression of each of these things is, right. 19 20 Medical monitoring today is a very low band width 21 application, but the natural extension of this is a high definition interview with my doctor at a 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 given point in time, right.

2 Now, to the extent that, you know, 3 clearly, we have to have an evolutionary path to 4 this, but from a definition standpoint, I want to 5 make sure that we're not losing sight. You've got 6 to establish that goal, we're either going to the 7 moon or we're not, right, and we establish what that is, and then we back our way down to either 8 penetration rates over the course of time, where 9 10 we have to hit those milestones, or you know, other levels that maybe even regional in nature, 11 12 right. 13 But I think that the mistake in this 14 whole line of thinking is that unless you establish what that ultimate goal is by looking 15 forward with regard to these natural applications, 16 then you kind of -- you tend to get into these 17

18 increment steps which are not necessarily I think 19 what the most cost effective, nor the most 20 efficient way to ultimately get where you want to 21 go.

22 MR. KNAPP: David.

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 MR. BURSTEIN: Yeah; there's lots of 2 different ways of looking at it. And again, I 3 learn from the engineers, I'm not an engineer, so 4 I look at what's practical. The answer to Rob's 5 question of whether you'll get to international, 6 beside having to keep pier, I don't give a damn 7 about link tables, but when I look internationally and I see Japan has done this, and France has done 8 this, or Verizon has done this, and AT&T hasn't, 9 10 or Comcast has done something that Time Warner hasn't, I say it sure as heck proves that it's 11 12 possible to build FIOS, and it is possible to 13 deliver 50 meg DOCSIS to half your homes in three years, and that the goal for the U.S., when 14 minimums have some place, should also be to look 15 at what's the best and get us as close as we can 16 17 get to it, related to what's there. 18 When you're asking the minimum question, that's a very important question for about two or 19 20 three percent of the U.S. population. It's also 21 -- yeah, and it's ignoring the factor that

22 affordability manages, but that's a whole another

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

discussion here. A lot of poor people can't get 1 it because they're poor. Everybody on this table 2 3 know that's part of it. But what you're missing 4 when you talk the minimum there is what service 5 are you giving to 95 or 98 percent. Where I think 6 the minimum should look very different, not 7 sitting there, is it 256 K or one meg or two meg, which we can and easily can give to 100 percent of 8 the U.S., technically and economically, although 9 10 the latency for two percent on satellite is a problem, okay, it's absolutely doable. 11 12 The question is, what's good for the 13 American people? I think we can all agree that having a better internet is good for us all. So I 14 look at not what's the bare minimum, but what's 15 the practical thing economically at low cost to 16 deliver. Stuart, how fast were your cable modem 17 downloads in 1999? 18 MR. LIPOFF: I think I was probably 19 20 getting one and a half megabit per second service, 21 something on that order. 22 MR. BURSTEIN: Well, then you were going

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 to the wrong server, because other folks were getting ten megabits just fine, that's what the 2 3 network was designed to give, and was selling, of 4 course, most of the country in 1999. 5 MR. LIPOFF: I have a slow computer. 6 MR. BURSTEIN: It's his computer, it's 7 not his internet. But the reason I'm bringing you to that is, it is absolutely practical from the 8 cost perspective to give everybody ten megabits 9 now except for maybe five percent of the country 10 who have distance and other problems. The second 11 12 thing is that the speed has nothing to do with the 13 price in a competitive market. This is something that the U.S. keeps getting confused because we 14 only have two competitors. 15 You go to France and Japan, everybody 16 gets the maximum speed they can get on their line. 17 18 Everybody in France gets up to 25 meg at the same price, because it turns out, all this talk about 19 20 speed is marketing. The cost of delivering 21 broadband, 90 percent is the cost of getting that line in the home, whatever the speed is. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 So in a competitive market, nobody is selling the slow stuff, they're all giving the 2 3 basic speed that the line can have, which is up to 4 25 meg, which may be only two meg, and 50 meg 5 shared, which is -- goes down to 30 meg three 6 percent of the time, which is about what DOCSIS 7 3.0 is right now, but we don't have good numbers on that, that's a guess. And I wish we would get 8 some numbers out of Comcast. And that when you 9 10 can look at what is possible, what is cheap, by looking at real networks and real economics, I 11 12 want the policy people to get that to every 13 American that they can, and that's a much more interesting way for, I think, to look at it. How 14 can we really get something great for everybody in 15 16 our country? MR. KNAPP: I have to apologize to -- I 17 must have eight different emails here, all on this 18 same subject, and I can't ask all these questions, 19 20 but they're all variations of the same theme that 21 we've been talking about, things like the

22 transparency, making information available to the

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1	consumers, should there be different, you know,
2	how do we define this level of service and so
3	forth, and as Stagg and Rob have said, you know,
4	this is really what we're charged to come up with,
5	so you've got a homework assignment from Stagg,
6	and don't forget it. The only thing he didn't do
7	is give you a due date.
8	MR. NEWMANN: Next week.
9	SPEAKER: Where does Columbia
10	supposed to get some of the state over to the FCC?
11	MR. KNAPP: Did you have any additional
12	questions? I mean I still have some, but
13	Walter or Ron.
14	MR. JOHNSTON: One question I will ask;
15	all these networks are fiber networks with some
16	different access technology. One of the things
17	I've heard, both in the first and the second panel
18	session, is an expectation that the need for speed
19	keeps growing, I think that was the consensus of
20	the first panel, I think I heard a lot of that,
21	maybe it's not the same consensus on this panel.
22	How important is it to move fiber closer to the

1 residents, and what could be done to make that 2 more attractive? 3 One of the concerns I would have at 4 listening to you people talk is that some of the 5 more economic technologies, especially in the 6 rural areas, might also be capped at a speed 7 that's not upgradeable, so what recommendations would you make in that regard? 8 9 MR. YOUNG: Well, I think, you know, that was the primary driver for us to go to fiber 10 all the way to the home. It was sort of the 11 12 recognition that, you know, we would be upgrading 13 the network, trying to push that fiber closer and closer to the home. 14 MR. JOHNSTON: Well, any closer, you're 15 in my bathroom, so I think you're off the hook. 16 MR. YOUNG: Well, no, but anyway, so my 17 18 point -- my only point there is that, in recognition of that, by bringing the fiber all the 19 20 way to the home, it makes it easier to upgrade to 21 whatever the ultimate demand is. And we don't know what it's going to be, but we know that it's 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 going to continue, you know, at relatively the 2 same pace that it has been. So, you know, that's 3 why getting the fiber to the home was so important 4 to us.

5 MR. BURKE: Well, I think there's a 6 theoretical and a practical answer to your 7 question, as well. I think that, you know, if want to hit, if we accept that mobility is, 8 indeed, you know, a natural need and service 9 10 demand going forward, and you want to hit those LTE speeds that are meaningful, right, you've got 11 12 to have a very, very deep fiber network to be able 13 to do that, okay.

And so to the extent that -- just on a practical basis, as we take natural applications for the very near future, right, that's going to have to be a fundamental cornerstone of some of this policy.

19 The more theoretical aspect of this is,
20 I'm going to go back to our own experience with,
21 as I mentioned before, hundreds of these rural
22 providers who have gotten fiber deployed very

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 effectively in their areas, is that there seems to be a very close relationship, that the closer you 2 3 are to your subscriber, okay, and I'm not talking 4 about -- I'm talking about emotionally, all right, 5 the closer you are to your subscriber, the more 6 likely it is that you deploy a very high speed and 7 probably fiber rich infrastructure to that subscriber, and that's because a direct line of 8 feedback between the consumer and his wants and 9 10 desires and needs and the service provider is very 11 closely linked. 12 So this body can think about the ways, 13 and we're all in this together, right, to identify how we can basically broaden the horizon for 14 return on investment for these companies, and to 15 allow them to look beyond the short term, as well 16 17 as to get them more in touch with their subscriber 18 base. We're going to naturally lead ourselves to what is ultimately a position in which we're 19 20 deploying very high speed broadband in these 21 areas, because the subscribers want it, there's no question about it. 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

MR. NEWMANN: Geoff, just to follow up 1 on that, first of all, you're commended for 2 3 putting some real numbers up on your charts. To 4 help us understand --5 MR. BURKE: Walter encouraged me to do 6 that. 7 MR. NEWMANN: Yeah, and I encourage --I'll give two homework assignments out here; you 8 get the easy one, Geoff, the rest of you, if you 9 10 could give us similar numbers, that would be great. And then with that, I think it's very 11 12 important that we understand the assumptions. For 13 example, building fiber out in a rural area where the town is clustered, and therefore, you have 14 high linear density, and you have telephone poles, 15 16 is hugely different than building fiber out where it's on a lava bed with low linear density, to go 17 18 to the worst case. So helping us understand at a much deeper level would be most useful. 19 20 MR. BURKE: I have a lot more numbers

21 then that, I'd be happy to share with you, too.
22 Those numbers -- they were aerial, by the way.

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 MR. NEWMANN: We'll be making the 2 appointment. 3 MR. BURSTEIN: Let me give the audience 4 some of those numbers that aren't all of them, but 5 it's a good working thing, and why I feel that a 6 lot of things I'm seeing out there are too high. 7 His number of 800 per homes past is online. 8 Every number I'm hearing around the world is 650 to 1,000 for fiber, with two key 9 distinctions, one, if you go underground that adds 10 something to the cost, and two, which is huge, is 11 12 how much fiber you have to run per home, because 13 the working cost in the U.S. is \$20,000 per mile. 14 So in order to get to five customers, you have to run a mile of fiber, that's \$4,000 a home. 15 For the purpose of policy, that means on 16 anything we're looking at to see if the cost is 17 reasonable, we want to see how many miles of fiber 18 it takes, if it's huge, then you have to look at 19 20 the numbers of \$3 and \$5,000 that are coming into the broadband thing. DSL cost about \$50 to \$125 21 for the basic gear. The number from AT&T to bring 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 25 megabits to most of their network is \$300 per
2 home.

3 As you get into rural areas, it gets a 4 little bit higher, but not that much higher, so 5 that's a ballpark. None of those numbers include 6 the cost of sending somebody to your house and 7 hooking up all your TV's, which is somewhere between \$300 and \$700 per, which is why when the 8 pros talk about it, we talk homes past as one 9 10 thing and homes served with everything complete is another. 11

But it's very useful to look at that 12 13 number. DSL should be in the order of \$2 to \$500; fiber should be in the order of 1,000 to 3,000, 14 and in large networks that are not spread out, 15 much closer to 1,000. And cable, this is the 16 amazing number and why DOCSIS is so interesting; 17 18 if you have the cable in place, it's already there, 96 percent of the U.S., to upgrade to 19 20 DOCSIS 3.0 is less than \$100, probably including 21 the upstream, but I don't have a hard number on that. And if you're the three percent who are 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 crucial to the unserve, who may be these old analog 550 megahertz, how much would it cost to 2 3 upgrade per user, an analog system to basic 4 digital? 5 MR. DEPIETRO: Well, these systems have 6 anywhere from call it 1,000 to 10,000 subs. 7 MR. BURSTEIN: Okay. How much per home? 8 MR. DEPIETRO: We're talking about a total capex of anywhere from 100 K to 500 K to get 9 10 them up and going. MR. BURSTEIN: And so we're talking less 11 12 than 500 a home to get 50 meg out there on cable 13 if the cable is in place, which is why the cable is such an interesting alternative no matter how 14 great fiber is, and it's a hard question. 15 MR. NEWMANN: That includes the fiber 16 out to the HFC point, the amplifier --17 MR. DEPIETRO: That's basically head end 18 19 and CPE. 20 MR. NEWMANN: So now we have to have all 21 the --22 MR. DEPIETRO: The assumption here is

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 that the plant --2 MR. NEWMANN: -- the new amplifier, the 3 fiber fee --4 MR. DEPIETRO: Those are extra, right. 5 MR. NEWMANN: Which is why 1999 was 6 \$1,500. 7 MR. DEPIETRO: Right. MR. LIVINGOOD: Just to add a couple of 8 things to that, I think, you know, I would just 9 10 recommend that you be careful not to be sort of overly prescriptive with the technology solution. 11 12 You know, there are a lot of different types of 13 facilities out there today, whether it's copper, coax, fiber, or wireless, that I think can meet 14 the need, you know, for broadband in the country, 15 16 and so I think that's important. And to sort of Dave's point here, I 17 18 don't think the objective to see who can spend the most money, it's to see who can do this cost 19 20 effectively and quickly. And I think, you know, 21 whether it's the DOCSIS or other network, there are a lot of networks out there that can do this 22

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 very quickly and that are in place today.

MR. CURTIS: One other thing to add to 2 3 the homework is, and we often get caught up in 4 talking about capex a lot, which is an interesting 5 number, you know. Too infrequently I think we 6 hear about the opex side of this. So as you're 7 thinking about, you know, answering Stagg's question about the numbers, and you know, love to 8 9 know, you know, particularly from those of you 10 that have experience with both or all three, or you know, if you want to throw LTE in, all four, 11 12 3G, let's make it five, opex differences that 13 matter. You know, cost of maintaining the copper plant versus cost of maintaining fiber versus cost 14 of maintaining the HFC, all of that, the labor 15 intensity, you know, at least goes to 16 sustainability, and part of thinking about the 17 total return on the investment, which we'd love to 18 understand better. 19

20 MR. BURSTEIN: You want a ballpark?
21 Look at \$5 to \$10 per month per subscriber for any
22 broadband we're talking about. Fiber has fewer

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

1 truck rolls, but that's not the main factor, so it's a little bit less. Rural doesn't add that 2 3 much unless it's really extreme, because you have 4 less traffic getting to the homes, even if they're 5 further apart, and usually have lower pay rates, 6 so that why, yes, there's a variation in your 7 thinking, it turns out not to be huge. That number on broadband comes from 8 providers around the world, it's not my making up, 9 10 it's my asking people what they're spending from internal, and that is not a fully loaded, overhead 11 12 cost with return on original capex built in, 13 that's an actual operating cost, and it varies enormously, not on technology or anything in 14 Washington, but whether the operator is competent 15 or not. A lot of them are pretty lousy and some 16 of them are really good, and there's a huge 17 difference in cost. 18 19 MR. BURKE: Well, there is a big 20 difference, though, operationally, looking at the 21 more rural you get is the reach that these visual technologies have, right. So, for example, when

> ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190

22

1	you're talking about the difference between
2	running an extended reach GPON or an active
3	Ethernet, you know, 40 and 60 kilometers, with
4	nothing but passive splitters out there in that
5	network, right, versus the alternative, which is
6	laying pads, putting in more equipment, having the
7	active empowered and those sorts of things over
8	time, as you get increasingly rural, and you get
9	increasingly environmentally challenged, all
10	right, are the, you know, those end up being very,
11	very real costs. I just wanted to temper a little
12	bit of that, as well.
13	MR. BURSTEIN: Help me with the number
14	there, because I absolutely agree. I said extreme
15	rural is more. About what percent are we talking
16	about who's so extreme rural that it really
17	changes the ofex that you're thinking about, 40
18	kilometer runs on a regular basis. My sense, it's
19	somewhere between one and five percent, but I
20	don't have any hard data on that at all.
20 21	don't have any hard data on that at all. MR. BURKE: Well, realistically, I mean

1	placement of a cabinet at a 20 kilometer mark that
2	actually at the somewhere that then goes
3	out and feeds a number of different communities
4	out beyond that, any one of those could easily be
5	a 50 plus thousand dollar endeavor just from a
6	capex perspective, not to mention the overall
7	ongoing maintenance power center, right.
8	We often see with our customers that
9	each one of those opportunities is an initial
10	\$50,000, plus they project double that in terms of
11	ongoing operational cost over say a five year time
12	frame in those environments, and often times they
13	multiply, because each time you're able to
14	collapse that entire network into one central
15	location, you're taking all of this cost out of
16	that network.
17	MR. BURSTEIN: And it's desperately hard
18	to get these numbers, because operators certainly
19	don't want to share them publicly, which is why I
20	don't give you sources on many, because they're
21	only going to tell me so far off the record I
22	can't use them here, because I've been asking

1	loads of these questions, I'd love better numbers.
2	MR. BURKE: Well, let me give you one
3	it's kind of funny you say ample, but the only
4	time I've ever had a room full of operators stand
5	up and applaud was, I actually introduced a
6	product last year in which we had basically
7	extended the reach of GPON, basically double from
8	what it was, from its current standard out beyond
9	that, and basically everybody in the room stood up
10	and applauded that, because they knew what impact
11	that would have for them in terms of their ongoing
12	capex and ofex.
13	Now, we can get into details to quantify
14	that, but just to give you a sense of the types of
15	impact that has on these folks in terms of their
16	ability to meet the services that are being
17	demanded, plus their need to go out and get those
18	services deployed.
19	MR. LIPOFF: Let me suggest, while
20	you're collecting numbers, don't forget the
21	revenue side, as well, because it's the revenue
22	that actually is advertising that capex, and, in

1 fact, what you really want to look at is, you want to look at the incremental capex to take you from 2 3 whatever the baseline is and the incremental 4 revenue associated with adding that. 5 So if you're starting from DSL as a 6 technology, you're probably assuming there's 7 already a voice telephony service there, and the question is, what's the incremental capex you have 8 to add to enable that? Can you put the -- do you 9 have to move the DSLAM out into the environment or 10 can it stay in the CO? What additional benefits 11 12 can you provide, just high speed internet, or are 13 you going to provide video? So each of these start at a different place. 14 MR. CURTIS: I think speaking for Stagg, 15 and certainly myself, we would love to sit down 16 and understand your PNL's, this granular level all 17 the way through as you would, you know, try to 18 avoid asking it that way, but, you know, the more 19 20 detail, the better. I'd love to see the revenue

21 table.

22

MR. KNAPP: Well, this has been a

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190
1	fantastic panel, too. And I really want to thank
2	all of you for participating. Another case, we
3	probably could talk another couple hours. But
4	we'll follow up with you, and thanks very much.
5	We're going to break for lunch, and we'll
6	reconvene on mobile technologies.
7	(Whereupon, the PROCEEDINGS were
8	adjourned.)
9	* * * * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190 181

1	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Carleton J. Anderson, III do hereby
3	certify that the forgoing electronic file when
4	originally transmitted was reduced to text at my
5	direction; that said transcript is a true record
6	of the proceedings therein referenced; that I am
7	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
8	any of the parties to the action in which these
9	proceedings were taken; and, furthermore, that I
10	am neither a relative or employee of any attorney
11	or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor
12	financially or otherwise interested in the outcome
13	of this action.
14	/s/Carleton J. Anderson, III
15	
16	
17	Notary Public in and for the
18	Commonwealth of Virginia
19	Commission No. 351998
20	Expires: November 30, 2012
21	
22	

ANDERSON COURT REPORTING 706 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone (703) 519-7180 Fax (703) 519-7190 182