JUL 5 2001

IN REPLY
REFER TO

DLMSO

MEMORANDUM FOR: DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Approved DLMS Change 45, Use of Both Ownership Code
and Purpose Code in DLMS for Ammunition (Supply)
(Staffed by PDC 39)

The attached is published as an approved change to DoD
4000.25-M, Defense Logistics Management System (DLMS). This
change was discussed and approved at Supply Process Review
Committee meeting 01-2, June 12-14, 2001, and is effective
immediately in DLMS.

Addressees may direct questions to the DLMSO point of
contact, Ms. Mary Jane Johnson, DSN 427-0677, (703) 767-0677,
or e-mail: maryjane_johnson@hq.dla.mil. Others may direct
questions to their Service or Agency designated representative.

JAMES A. JOHNSON
Director
Defense Logistics Management
Standards Office

Attachment

DISTRIBUTION:
Supply Process Review Committee:

ADUSD SCI	DTRA NSOCM
USA AMCLG-SM	DAASC DSDC SSL
USN NAVSUP 4C2B6/NAVICPM0411	NSA S711
USAF AFMC LGIA	FAA AFZ-500
CMC LPC-3	DSAA MEAN-AP
USCG G-SLP	DSCA PPP
DLA J-3322/J-3331	GSA FSS FCSI
NIMA ST D 101	

APPROVED DLMS CHANGE 45

Use of Both Ownership Code and Purpose Code in DLMS for Ammunition

1. ORIGINATOR:

   a.   Service/Agency: HQ, IOC, USA, for Joint Ammunition Management Standard System (JAMSS) Program Office (JPO)

   b.   Originator: Gary Deitch, Army IOC

2. **FUNCTIONAL AREA: Primary:** All Inventory Management Areas

3. REQUESTED CHANGE:

   a.   **Title:** SMCA Inventory Management

   b.   **Description of Change:** Permit the use of both the Ownership and Purpose Code to be conveyed in any single transaction.

   c.   **Procedures:** No specific procedures other than the Implementation Convention (IC) notes.

   d.   **Alternatives:** None known.

4. REASON FOR CHANGE:

   Today under the Single Manager for Conventional Ammunition (SMCA) inventory management of joint stock is maintained at the ownership code level. The current legacy systems constraints prevent the Services from identifying their jointly held stock to a specific requirement and/or use. In part, the limitation to identify the ammunition to a specific purpose within a Service resides with the current MILSTRIP and MILSTRAP transaction formats. These transactions limit the use of ownership code or purpose code and do not allow for the use of both in a single transaction.

   In order to identify and manage stock held for a specific requirement, the Services have used the project code in the requisition and related transactions. While this current practice enables the Services to manage their stock to a

certain degree, it does not enable them to manage their assets as needed. While the first position of the transaction number can in some cases identify the ownership of the stock, in many cases it does not. The requirement to track assets within a Service to the purpose of the stock for financial accountability cannot be adequately met through the use of ownership codes alone. Specific example of this requirement is for the Navy to segregate assets funded and purchased with Special Warfare dollars. These assets held at the single manager under today's conditions can only be identified by the Navy ownership code. Transactions, which effect these assets, are identified with a specific project code. Special processing procedures have been developed to process the transaction to the designated purpose code.

Due to the MILSTRIP/MILSTRAP restriction of either ownership code or purpose code not both, perpetuated in the DLMS ANSI ASC X12 transactions, the legacy system limitation continues. **DLMSO note: This proposal originated in the ammunition community and is written as commodity specific for use with ammunition only. This concept can be further expanded under DLMS, to other commodities, if requirements are identified.**

5. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

  a. **Advantages:** This change permits the ammunition community to have available the management tools needed to manage the various tiers and organizational ownership requirements inherent in the ammunition community. JAMSS represented the initial efforts to implement the full range of DLMS transactions across the military services. As the implications of implementation are identified, the opportunities for different methods of arranging information exchange as presented in the ICs are being evaluated and feedback is being presented for consideration by DLMSO in the hope that all commodities can benefit in a more refined statement of the requirements.

  b. **Disadvantages. The constraints of the 80 record position Defense Logistics Standard System formats do not allow for both ownership code and purpose code entry simultaneously. If conversion from DLMS to DLSS is required, either ownership code or purpose code will not be able to convert to the DLSS format. Accordingly use of both codes in a single transaction should be restricted either to a purely DLMS environment or,**

by agreement of the participants, if one party is not in DLMS.

6. IMPACT:

a. Data Content/Procedures: All ICs which provide for entry of ownership code and purpose code data will require modification of the implementation note at the LQ01 level as follows (change is identified by bold, italicized print):

"Use code 99 or A1 (but not both), ***except for ammunition where both codes may be used. Use of both codes for ammunition is a DLMS enhancement. If communicating with a DLSS system, only one of the codes may be received by the recipient's automated processing system."***

b. Publication: DoD 4000.25-M, DLMS Manual. Update DLMS Supplement notes as indicated.