



DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
HEADQUARTERS
8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060-6221

IN REPLY
REFER TO DLMSO

April 28, 2010

MEMORANDUM FOR SUPPLY PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE (PRC) MEMBERS

SUBJECT Approved Defense Logistics Management System (DLMS) Change (ADC) 358,
Supply Discrepancy Report (SDR) Transaction Content/Business Rule
Revisions/Clarification and Administrative Updates (SDR) (Staffed as PDC 356)

The attached change to DOD 4000.25-M, Defense Logistics Management System (DLMS) manual, Reporting of Supply Discrepancies, is approved. Refer to the implementation schedule in the attachment for the implementation date applicable to specific revisions. The updated DLMS Supplement will be posted to the DLMSO Web site <http://www.dla.mil/j-6/dlms0/elibrary/TransFormats/formats.asp> within **5** work days from the above date for implementation planning.

Addressees may direct questions to the DLMSO points of contact, Ms. Ellen Hilert, Chair, Supply Process Review Committee, 703-767-0676; DSN 427-0676; or, e-mail: ellen.hilert@dlmso.dla.mil. Others must contact their Component designated representative.

A handwritten signature in purple ink, appearing to read "Donald C. Pipp", with a stylized flourish at the end.

DONALD C. PIPP
Director
Defense Logistics Management
Standards Office

Attachment

cc:
SDR Committees (U.S. & SA)
ADUSD(L&MR)SCI

ADC 358
**SDR Transaction Content/Business Rule Revisions/
Clarification and Administrative Updates**

1. ORIGINATING SERVICE/AGENCY AND POC INFORMATION: Defense Logistics Management Standards Office (DLMSO), Ms. Ellen Hilert, Chair, Supply Process Review Committee and DoD SDR System Administrator, 703-767-0676; DSN 427-0676; or, E-Mail: Ellen.Hilert@dla.mil.

2. FUNCTIONAL AREA: Supply/DoD WebSDR

3. REFERENCES:

4. PROPOSED CHANGE: Revisions subsequent to PDC staffing are identified in **yellow highlighting**.

a. Description of change: This change addresses multiple issues associated with the content of SDR transactions and associated guidance, where applicable, as follows:

(1) Updated mapping and guidance for use of various types of materiel identification used in SDR transactions.

(2) Removal of obsolete, unused data elements established as placeholders during DLMS development.

(3) Inclusion of the vendor shipment number on the SDR reply format.

(4) Expansion of reply remarks from 500 to 750 characters.

(5) Miscellaneous updates to DLMS notes to provide clarification of expected data content.

(6) Assignment of a new reply code value and revision to the text explanation for another, as indicated below.

(7) WebSDR routing rule for Discrepancy Code T8 for incorrect part number to require routing to the item manager. The definition of T8 is also expanded for clarification.

(8) New business rules to updated vendor shipment information contained in SDR replies to be used for data base update without forwarding to the customer applicable to SDRs submitted with Action Code 3B (no reply to submitter required).

(9) Clarification of use of a “pseudo shipment number” on SDRs prepared by distribution depots for new procurement receipts where the actual vendor shipment number is not available.

(10) Opening of additional materiel identification data element mappings to accommodate mixed use of data elements and prevent XML transaction failure.

(11) Add clarification of responsibility for monitoring of the SDR program applicable to transshipper-prepared SDRs.

(12) Administrative corrections to the DLMS Supplements.

b. Procedures: Yellow highlighting is used to identify changes subsequent to initial staffing of the proposal.

(1) Revise DoD 4000.25-M, Volume 2, as shown in Enclosure 1. This includes guidance for **construction and inclusion of pseudo shipment numbers and data content on SDR replies**. It also updates the guidance for management evaluation.

(2) **New Reply Code 308. This code is reserved for deferred implementation.** This code is intended to be used by Distribution Depots on SDR replies to DLA under DLA Continuous Process Improvement (CPI)-directed process changes. A joint implementation date will be coordinated separately; the new code will not be published/made available until the implementation schedule is known and procedures confirmed. The new code will be published as an addendum to this ADC. It is currently envisioned that procedures for Reply Code 308 will be comparable to other 300-series reply codes. Under this process, the SDR reply will be sent to the customer (and other distribution copy addresses per the original report or Component rules if applicable), and to the action activity now responsible for disposition. The distribution depot will designate the Routing Identifier of the new action activity (via pop-up window in WebSDR or as the “To” address in the DLMS 842A/R transaction). When using Reply Code 308, the distribution depot will record the results of their research in the remarks block.

308 - Discrepancy confirmed; SDR forwarded for disposition by DLA as indicated.

(3) Revise Reply Code 144. The text for Code 144 is revised to clarify intended use.

144 – Recorded for information *only*. No **financial** action taken.

(4) **Establish WebSDR routing rule for Discrepancy Code T8** for Web-entered SDRs to route these SDRs to the manager if known. Do not allow customer to over-ride by directing the SDR to the shipping activity. SDR transactions prepared outside WebSDR must be properly routed by the submitting application. Revise text for Discrepancy Code T8:

T8 - Incorrect part number (*suspected shipment of unauthorized part number for NSN*).

(5) **New business rules for SDR Replies associated with Action Code 3B (Discrepancy reported for corrective action and trend analysis. No reply required).** New business rules require Components to prepare **historical** reply transactions even though a reply is not required by the customer **when shipment information required for metrics as contained in the original SDR is incorrect or left blank**. Under this requirement, Components using automated SDR applications would generate historical replies (Transaction Set Purpose Code 49) when the original SDR content, such as the applicable contract number, vendor CAGE, shipment number, is incorrect or blank. **This business process is not available to WebSDR responding activities at**

this time. This will update the WebSDR metrics table used to produce reports without forwarding a copy of the reply to the customer. These replies may be system generated to reflect valid shipment information and Reply Code 144, Recorded for information and trend analysis (as modified by PDC 356). Additional data elements for the shipping activity and the owner/manager are being made available in the reply transaction and WebSDR direct input to capture shipment information for where not identified or incorrect on the original submission.

(6) **WebSDR Input Screen Adjustments:** Data entry fields shall be made available in the SDR reply for the contract number, shipping activity, and owner/manager. In addition, the field name for the shipping activity must be clarified in the SDR submission screen. Currently the web screen says “SHIPPING: Shipper’s Name.” Revise to read Shipping: Shipping Activity.”

(7) **Revise DLMS Supplement 842A/W, SDR, Follow-up, Correction, Cancellation, & Reconsideration Request,** as shown in Enclosure 2.

(8) **Revise DLMS Supplement 842A/R, SDR Reply,** as shown in Enclosure 3.

5. REASON FOR CHANGE:

a. Clarification of guidance for preparation of SDRs, SDR replies, and use of SDRs in management evaluation.

b. Requirements for SDR data content has matured and obsolete data elements should be removed from the DLMS format to eliminate a source of confusion.

c. Notes better identify field length and availability of data elements.

d. Administrative updates are identified including corrections to the SDR reply format to remove erroneous points of contact that are only applicable to the report format.

e. Reply Code. DLA requests new Reply Code 308 in addition to existing Reply Code 307. Having two distinct codes will provide a means of distinguishing which SDRs are forwarded by the distribution depot to DLA without review (e.g. SDRs applicable to vendor shipments, but mistakenly routed to a depot) and those which have been confirmed by depot research, but require further review by the ICP in order to provide materiel disposition and financial resolution to the customer. The new code may be used for metrics and clarification of the status of the SDR. This supports CPI recommendations which define which SDRs must be referred to the manager for resolution. Depots will be able to provide research/findings on the SDR reply which will be made available to the customer and the manager.

f. Business Rules for Action Code 3B. The WebSDR data base (metrics table) cannot provide appropriate results for management reports and queries without valid information regarding the shipment. Users of the Action Code 3B are often transshippers who do not have or are not required to populate all the SDR data content. This type of user doesn’t want reply transactions since no immediate action is needed from the responding activity. Existing capability for creation of a historical reply will allow for updating the data base without overloading the customer with unnecessary replies. Additional data elements for the shipping

activity and the owner/manager are being made available in the reply to capture shipment information.

g. Incorrect Item. DLA requested WebSDR and AFSAC, which are the primary sources of T8 discrepancies, ensure that these SDRs are sent directly to the manager. **This rule is applicable to all Components.** This will eliminate forwarding delays since these SDRs are not worked by the shipping activity and so would always require forwarding.

h. Reply Remarks Field Length. Currently systems with higher or no character length for SDR responses are sending responses that cannot be fully transmitted through DAASC. This results in the customer receiving incomplete disposition on SDRs. This change will expand the available length within WebSDR to better match that available in most Component SDR applications. Since reply remarks may contain detailed information, such as a clear text ship-to location, it is important to allow the extra space which better utilizes the capability already available to most applications.

i. WebSDR Screen Adjustments. The use of the term “shipper’s name” is misinterpreted by transshippers due to differences between supply and transportation terminology. The goal is to capture the actual shipping activity such as the distribution depot, retail base for lateral movements, or the vendor’s CAGE for direct vendor delivery and new procurement.

6. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES:

a. Advantages: Allows new implementers and existing users to better understand the transaction content requirements. Addition of the new reply codes and business rules for Action Code 3B will improve development of metrics/statistics for SDR management reporting capabilities.

b. Disadvantages: None identified.

7. IMPACT:

a. DLMS Transactions: Updates are identified for the 842A/W and 842A/R DLMS Supplements. Applications must ensure that changes will not cause transaction failure.

b. New DLMS Data Content: There are no new data elements established in this PDC. However, SDR Completion Date (in lieu of shipper’s completion date) s deferred for future implementation under this revised name. The SDR Reply Code set is modified as follows: Reply Code 308 is approved, but deferred. The text explanation associated with Reply Code 144 is revised.

c. Changes/Removal to Existing Data Content: Field length, code values, and mapping for multiple DLMS data elements are modified as indicated above and in Enclosures 2 and 3. Three data elements applicable to the report format will be made available in the reply format: contractor-assigned shipment number, owner/manager Routing Identifier, and shipper identification. This change will also remove multiple data elements from the SDR report and reply. (Where the SDR is the only identified requirement for these elements, the data element will be removed from the DLMS dictionary.)

(1) The following data elements will no longer be associated with the SDR report (X12 qualifier name provided where “creative” mapping was used due to lack of an equivalent data element in X12):

- Quality Assurance Point of Contact (POC)
- Project Code
- Date Packed (In UID Loop)
- Shelf Life Expiration (In UID Loop)
- NSN of Correct Container (X12 Ocean Container Number)
- NSN of Incorrect Container (X12 Container Sequence Number)
- Cubic Container Volume Received (X12 Gas Volume)
- Cubic Container Volume Requested (X12 Water Volume)
- UII Type (X12 Dealer Type Identification)
- New Part Number (in UID Loop)
- Enterprise Identifier (in UID Loop)
- Internal ICP Costs (X12 Operating Expenses)
- Total Costs
- Container Replacement Cost
- Action Office (X12 Action Party)

(2) The following data elements will no longer be associated with the SDR reply (X12 qualifier name provided where “creative” mapping was used due to lack of an equivalent data element in X12):

- Quality Assurance (POC)
- Purchase Order Number
- Gain or Loss Indicator Code
- Quantity in Float
- Quantity on Hand
- Total Inventory
- Book Inventory
- Packaging Instructions (type of note text)
- Date Requested for Cataloging Action
- Causative Research Indicator (X12 Cause Lookup)
- Changed by POC
- Forwarder Contact (POC)
- Preparer (POC)
- Report Preparer (POC) (mapped to two locations)
- Requestor (POC)
- Submitting Contact (POC)

d. Implementation Schedule:

(1) DLMS Supplement changes are effective upon publication. Changes are approved for phased implementation with the following exceptions.

(a) Reply Code 308 will be deferred until a preferred implementation date is identified by DLA. The text explanation revision for Reply Code 144 is effective immediately.

(b) WebSDR screen updates (other than expanded reply remarks) are requested for December 2010.

(c) The expanded field length for reply remarks will be made available for use via DLMS transactions and WebSDR screen input June 2011.

(d) Business rule updates associated with requested Action Code 3B shall be implemented as soon as feasible within Component applications/processes.

(2) DoD Components should coordinate with DAASC for implementation of XML schema updates.

e. Publications: Potential impact on updating the DTR for inclusion of management evaluation requirements.

Enclosure 1, DoD 4000.25-M, Volume 2,

1. Chapter 17, Supply Discrepancy Reporting. Update the following paragraphs as shown in **bold italics**:

a. Insert guidance for pseudo shipment numbers:

C17.3.1.2. Shipment Information. SDRs must contain a document number. Identify the original requisition document number when known; otherwise a constructed document number is required. A constructed document number identifying the receiving distribution depot is required for depot receipts reported electronically including new procurement receipts. ***A constructed document number may also be prepared by a transshipper based upon the CCP or port DoDAAC.*** Additional information, such as transportation numbers (TCN, bill of lading number, etc.) or procurement information (procurement instrument number, line item number, vendor shipment number) shall be provided in accordance with the ***DS DLMS transaction format/SF 364.*** ***When reporting new procurement receipts, the distribution depot shall site a “pseudo shipment number,” equivalent to that cited on the receipt, when the actual vendor shipment number is not available from shipping documentation. The pseudo shipment number will be constructed to identify the receiving depot and a constant 01 (that is, the serial number will not be incremented; therefore, the pseudo shipment number will not be unique). In order to systemically identify pseudo shipment numbers the third position must be numeric (vendor assigned shipments use a three digit alpha prefix to identify the vendor). For example, pseudo shipment numbers prepared by Distribution Depot San Joaquin, California (DDJC), will be reported as DDIJC01.***

b. Insert guidance for incorrect part (renumber subsequent paragraph):

C17.3.2.10. Technical Data. Report missing and/or incomplete item technical information, e.g., name plate, operating handbook, logbook, precautionary markings, warranty data, engineering drawings and associated lists, specifications, standards process sheets, manuals, technical reports, catalog item identifications, and related information that are missing, illegible, incomplete and/or incorrect regardless of dollar value. When reparable are returned and the appropriate historical information/documentation, operator/maintenance logbooks, engine oil analysis, etc., as required by Government regulations, and/or technical manuals are not included, the receiving activity shall submit an SDR regardless of dollar value. Any additional costs incurred by the repairing depot/arsenal because of the missing data shall be charged to the originating activity. Submitter will ensure that the specific description of what documentation is missing is included in the remarks section. ***Report as an incorrect part when technical data markings for the part number appear to be inconsistent with the specified NSN. Incorrect part discrepancies shall be submitted directly to the item manager. (Do not use to report an incorrect item received as described below. Refer to W-series discrepancy codes for most appropriate discrepancy code.)***

c. Clarify guidance for action activities preparing SDR replies:

C17.3.11.1.3. Replies. Responsible activities shall reply to SDRs ***under DLMS*** by submission of a DS 842A/R ***to DAAS/WebSDR or direct WebSDR input.*** ***Pending implementation of DLMS,*** other ***previously authorized*** approved SDR response formats ***may be***

used indicating the appropriate disposition, e.g., acknowledgment of the report, disposition of the materiel, validation of the report with authorization of financial adjustment, and/or instructions for **repackaging**, repair, or other types of corrective action. SDR replies must contain the appropriate discrepancy code consistent with all information provided by the customer. This may require updating of the discrepancy codes used by the customer to assign the appropriate discrepancy code for the scenario described in the SDR remarks. ***Pending final resolution, the action activity may identify the interim disposition/status using up to three codes disposition/status (reply) codes. Applicable codes are available published from in the DLMS Logistics Qualifier list. If no coding exists to specifically identify the reasons for the delay, indicate Reply Code 137 and provide a clear-text explanation. Supplemental information is provided in accordance with the DS/SF 364. Shipment information applicable to direct vendor deliveries, new procurement, etc., must be completed by the action activity where incomplete or inaccurate on the customer's original report. This includes identification of the shipping activity or vendor (by CAGE), contract number, and identifying shipment numbers. DLMS compliant applications must also ensure that direct vendor delivery shipments are identified by Document Type Code 6 on the SDR reply, regardless of what the customer originally designated. Historical replies (transactions citing Transaction Set Purpose Code 49) shall be used to fulfill these requirements where the submitter indicated that an SDR reply was not required.***

d. Update guidance for management evaluation:

C17.4. MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

C17.4.1 Purpose. The supply discrepancy reporting program is designed to promote evaluation, correction, and improvement of logistics operations. To accomplish this objective, discrepancies as specified in this publication must be reported and investigated in accordance with established policies and procedures. DoD Components shall institute SDR quality programs that will include periodic reviews to assess the accuracy and quality of work processes applicable to supply discrepancy processing. In addition, DoD Components shall require, as a minimum, semi-annual summary reporting to an appropriate headquarters for review and analysis. The DoD Components unable to support the full scope of the required data collection under legacy systems shall incorporate this reporting requirement under business system modernization efforts. ***The DoD WebSDR management reports and canned queries may be used to supplement internally-prepared reports. DoD Components and USTRANSCOM shall monitor SDR program performance applicable to transshipper SDRs.*** Data collected must be sufficient to enable monitoring activities to:

C17.4.1.1. Identify trends

C17.4.1.2. Establish volume and dollar values of SDRs.

C17.4.1.3. Bring management attention to problems with shipping activities as necessary.

C17.4.1.4. Prevent recurrence of discrepancies.

C17.4.1.5 Measure quality and responsiveness of action activities.

2. Appendix 4, Instructions for Preparation of Standard Form 364:

- a. Delete the following paragraph from Item 12, Remarks:

~~Information Contact. Include name and communication numbers, e.g., DSN and commercial telephone numbers, facsimile number, and E-mail address of the person to be contacted for additional information if different from that entered in Item 14a.~~

**Enclosure 2, DLMS Transaction: Standard SDR, Follow-up, Correction, Cancellation,
& Reconsideration Request**

#	Location	DS 842A/W Revision	Reason
1.	DLMS Introductory Notes	<u>Add ADC 358 to DLMS Introductory note 5:</u> - ADC 358, SDR Transaction Content/Business Rule Revisions/Clarification	Identifies DLMS Changes included in the DLMS Supplement
2.	1/PER01/1700	<u>Remove qualifier “QA” and note:</u> QA — Quality Assurance Contact DLMS Note: Use to identify an alternative point of contact when reporting a product quality deficiency or expired shelf life discrepancy.	This is a secondary POC. The requirement was based upon original SF 364 instructions. This secondary POC was never implemented by ICP applications and is no longer considered necessary. Corresponding change will be made in the DLMS manual
3.	2/LIN02/0200	<u>Revise note:</u> ZZ Mutually Defined DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify material by other than NSN or part number (includes management control number or locally assigned material identification control numbers). 2. Packaging Discrepancies reported by transshippers may use “UNKNOWN” or “MIXED” when specific materiel identification is not available or the shipment level SDR applies to mixed content. 3. For ZZ: WebSDR field length = 50 15	Clarification and correction of the field length. Staffing Note: Components should provide comment if there is a known requirement for a field length longer than 15.
4.	2/LIN04/0200	<u>Add data element level note and revise qualifier level notes:</u> Federal Note: Use to further identify an item. Use multiple Product/Service ID pairs as needed. <i>DLMS Note: The sequence of data content for secondary materiel identification is dependent upon the applicable primary materiel identification. The referred sequence of secondary information is: end item description or next higher assembly (applicable only to SA product quality) part number; manufacturer’s CAGE; nomenclature. However, part number, manufacturer’s CAGE, and nomenclature may be cited in any order without causing transaction failure.</i> CN Commodity Name DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the nomenclature associated with the item	Clarification. Under original DLMS design, the mapping of materiel identification values was unspecified. As design evolved, new qualifiers were added and made available without assigning a specific location. To ensure that transactions do not fail, systems must be capable of receiving these values regardless of location in the LIN. This is required to prevent re-programming within various SDR applications which would

#	Location	DS 842A/W Revision	Reason
		<p>identified above. 2. For CN: WebSDR field length = 43 25.</p> <p>MG Manufacturer's Part Number DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the part number when NSN is also provided primary materiel identification is previously identified. 2. For MG: WebSDR field length = 32.</p> <p>ZB Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code DLMS Note: Use to identify manufacturer associated with a previously identified part number identified above.</p>	<p>require a synchronized implementation without a gain in functionality.</p> <p>Reprogramming is not envisioned for this change – this is primarily to accommodate XML applications where lack of specific LIN mapping has caused transaction failure in the past.</p> <p>Field length for commodity name/nomenclature was miss-identified in the current DLMS supplement.</p>
5.	2/LIN06/0200	<p><u>Add data element level note and revise qualifier level notes:</u></p> <p><i>DLMS Note: The sequence of data content for secondary materiel identification is dependent upon the applicable primary materiel identification. The referred sequence of secondary information is: end item description or next higher assembly (applicable only to SA product quality) part number; manufacturer's CAGE; nomenclature. However, part number, manufacturer's CAGE, and nomenclature may be cited in any order without causing transaction failure.</i></p> <p>CN Commodity Name DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the nomenclature associated with the item identified. 2. For CN: WebSDR field length = 43 25.</p> <p>MG Manufacturer's Part Number DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the part number when primary materiel identification is previously identified. 2. For MG: WebSDR field length = 32.</p> <p>ZB Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code DLMS Note: Use to identify manufacturer associated with a previously identified part number.</p>	Same as above.
6.	2/LIN08/0200	<p><u>Add data element level note and revise qualifier level notes:</u></p> <p><i>DLMS Note: The sequence of data content for secondary materiel identification is dependent upon the applicable primary materiel identification. The referred sequence of secondary information is: end item description or next higher assembly</i></p>	Same as above.

#	Location	DS 842A/W Revision	Reason
		<p><i>(applicable only to SA product quality) part number; manufacturer's CAGE; nomenclature. However, part number, manufacturer's CAGE, and nomenclature may be cited in any order without causing transaction failure.</i></p> <p>CN Commodity Name DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the nomenclature associated with the item identified. 2. For CN: WebSDR field length = 43 25.</p> <p>MG Manufacturer's Part Number DLMS Note: 1. <i>Use to identify the part number when primary materiel identification is previously identified.</i> 2. For MG: WebSDR field length = 32.</p> <p>ZB Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code DLMS Note: <i>Use to identify manufacturer associated with a previously identified part number.</i></p>	
7.	2/DTM01/0600	<p><u>Revise DLMS notes as follows</u></p> <p>868 Last Follow-up DLMS Note: Use to identify the date of the customer's <i>follow-up</i> for status of a previously submitted SDR.</p> <p>881 Request DLMS Note: Use to identify the date of the customer's request <i>for</i> reconsideration.</p> <p>909 Contestability DLMS Note: Use to identify the date on which the <i>FMS</i> customer contested the action activities response to an SDR <i>subsequent to a reconsideration request</i>.</p>	Administrative updates and clarification.

#	Location	DS 842A/W Revision	Reason
8.	2/REF01/0700	<u>Revise DLMS note for qualifier NN as follows:</u> NN Nonconformance Report Number DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the originator's SDR report control number. This will be the originator's system assigned number. Identify the originating system in REF03 (see REF03 for values). 2. When If the SDR was originated using the DoD WebSDR, this will be the web-generated control number. A WebSDR control number will also be assigned to transactions during processing. WebSDR <i>transaction processing</i> will repeat the REF segment to include each previously identified if more than one SDR report control number on the outgoing transaction . 3. For NN: WebSDR maximum field length = 20 (actual length for originating applications will differ)	Clarification.
9.	2/LQ01/1050	<u>Remove qualifier "78" and note:</u> 78 Project Code DLMS Note: Use to identify special programs, exercises, projects, operations, and other purposes	Although implemented by AFSAC, this data element is not available in other Component SDR applications. Consensus of Components is that it is not needed in the SDR transaction. It should not be perpetuated if submitted to WebSDR. DAASC should notify AFSAC if/when inclusion of the Project Code will cause transaction failure.
10.	2/NTE01/2400	<u>Revise note as indicated:</u> WHI Warehouse Instruction DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify Instructions to Depot remarks . May be used by the material owner to provide instructions or additional information to the distribution depot. 2. This is a separate additional remarks block reserved for use in association with SDR reports forwarded transactionally by the primary action activity to a distribution depot for research using Beginning Segment Code 47.	Clarification. DSS has capability to receive this remarks block, although it is not currently in use. This capability would be applicable to the Army or USMC ICP forwarding an SDR transactionally. Use of the Beginning Segment Code 47 would trigger the depot to respond to the ICP (and not to the submitting customer).

#	Location	DS 842A/W Revision	Reason
11.	2/NTE02/2400	<u>Revise note:</u> Description (remarks block) DLMS Note: 1. Use multiple repetitions of NTE, with up to 80 positions for each line. WebSDR maximum field for note = 500. 2. Special characters are not allowed except as identified. Valid characters are: @ # \$ () - = + , / & ; and .	Clarification
12.	2/DTM01/2500	<u>Remove qualifiers 510 and 511:</u> 510—Date Packed 511—Shelf Life Expiration	These data elements are in the IUID loop. No requirement is known requiring these data elements to be associated with a UII.
13.	2/DTM01/2500	<u>Add note to qualifier 512:</u> 512 Warranty Expiration DLMS Note: Reserved for future use. Not available at this time.	Clarification for future use.
14.	2/REF01/2600	<u>Remove the following qualifier and note:</u> OC—Ocean Container Number DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the NSN of the correct container required by not used when reporting a packaging discrepancy (Reflected in the packaging data screen—Enhancement not applicable at this time). 2. Reserved for future use (pending development of procedures). 3. For OC: WebSDR field length = 50	This element was originally requested as a unique Army requirement. It is now withdrawn as not applicable to the DoD SDR submission.
15.	2/REF01/2600	<u>Remove the following qualifier and note:</u> QW—New Part Number DLMS Note: 1. Use in UID loop to indicate the current part number when different from the original part number identified in the UII. This is a future enhancement. 2. Reserved not currently available.	This element was established as a placeholder during early IUID planning. It is now withdrawn as not applicable to the SDR.
16.	2/REF0401/ 2600	<u>Remove the following qualifier and note:</u> SQ—Container Sequence Number DLMS Note: Use to identify the NSN of the incorrect container actually received when reporting a packaging discrepancy (Reflected in the packaging data screen—Enhancement not applicable at this time). 2. Reserved for future use (pending development of procedures). 3. For SQ: WebSDR field length = 50	This element was originally requested as a unique Army requirement. It is now withdrawn as not applicable to the DoD SDR submission.

#	Location	DS 842A/W Revision	Reason
17.	2/REF0401/ 2600	<p><u>Remove the following qualifier and note:</u></p> <p>T0—Dealer Type Identification DLMS Note: Use to provide the UII Type, e.g., VIN, UID1, UID2, etc. Use with REF01 Code U3. This is a future enhancement. An ANSI data maintenance request has been prepared to request a new code qualifier for UII Type for future use.</p>	<p>This element was established as a placeholder during early IUID planning. It is now withdrawn as not applicable to the SDR.</p>
18.	2/QTY01/2700	<p><u>Remove the following qualifiers and notes:</u></p> <p>GV—Gas Volume DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the cubic container volume of the container received. A data maintenance action was approved in version 5010. The approved code/name is CPD—Discrepant Container or Packaging Number. 2. Reserved for future use (pending development of procedures). 3. For GV: WebSDR field length = 50</p> <p>WV—Water Volume DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the cubic container volume of the container requested. A data maintenance action was approved in version 5010. The approved code/name is CPR—Required Container or Packaging Number. 2. Reserved for future use (pending development of procedures).</p>	<p>This element was originally requested as a unique Army requirement. It is now withdrawn as not applicable to the DoD SDR submission.</p>
19.	2/AMT01/2730	<p><u>Remove the following qualifiers and notes:</u></p> <p>2H—Operating Expenses DLMS Note: 1. Use to indicate internal ICP costs when reporting a packaging discrepancy (reflected in the packaging data screen). 2. Reserved for future DLMS Enhancement; not currently available in WebSDR.</p>	<p>This element was not implemented and there are no known plans for its use. (Alternative cost fields are already available.)</p>
20.	2/AMT01/2730	<p><u>Remove the following qualifiers and notes:</u></p> <p>UI—Total Costs DLMS Note: 1. Use to indicate the sum of material costs, labor costs, and container costs, as reflected in the packaging data screen. Required entry for U.S. Army only. 2. Reserved for future DLMS Enhancement; not currently available in WebSDR.</p> <p>CRC—Container Replacement Cost DLMS Note: 1. Use to indicate container costs as reflected in the packaging data screen. Required entry for U.S. Army only. 2. Reserved for future DLMS Enhancement; not currently available in WebSDR.</p>	<p>This element was originally requested as a unique Army requirement. It is now withdrawn as not applicable to the DoD SDR submission.</p>
21.	2/N101/2800	<p><u>Remove the following qualifier and note:</u></p>	<p>This was an element</p>

#	Location	DS 842A/W Revision	Reason
		91— Action Party DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the Action Office responsible for processing the SDR. The code is used for assigning suspense; internal routing; and determining complaint location. 2. Reserved for future DLMS Enhancement; not currently available in WebSDR.	originally provided for DLA legacy system interface. It is not used in the current interface with DLA. It should not be perpetuated if submitted to WebSDR. DAASC should notify AFSAC if/when inclusion of the Action Party will cause transaction failure.
22.	2/N101/2800	Remove the following qualifiers and notes: IAT— Party Executing and Verifying DLMS Note: 1. Use to indicate the Enterprise Identifier (EID) responsible for the UII. This is a future enhancement. A data maintenance action was approved in version 5020. The approved code/name is "EID— Department of Defense Enterprise Identifier". 2. The value of the UID Issuing Agency Code (IAC) may be derived from the qualifier used for the Enterprise Identifier. Use only N103 qualifiers for which a corresponding IAC is noted.	This element was established as a placeholder during early IUID planning. It is now withdrawn as not applicable to the SDR.

#	Location	DS 842A/W SDR Report <u>Administrative Revision Not Previously Identified</u>	Reason
1.	2/LQ01/1050	Revised DLMS note for qualifier “TG” as follows: TG Title Document Code List DLMS Note: <i>1. Use on SA SDR to identify the SA Document Number Requirement Type as one of the following: Follow-on Support Requirement (FSR), Government Furnished Equipment/Materiel (GFE), System Sale (SYS), Repair/Replace Requirement (RRP), Repair/Return Requirement (RPT), or World Wide Redistribution System Requirement (WWR). An ANSI data maintenance action will be taken to obtain a more appropriate code for use in a future version/release.</i> <i>2. For TG: WebSDR field length = 3</i>	Administrative update: To keep names consistent when used internally and other systems. Inserts authorized code values in note.

Enclosure 3, DLMS Transaction: SDR Reply

Item #	Location	DS 842A/R Revision	Reason
	DLMS Introductory Notes	<p><u>Add ADC 358 to DLMS Introductory note 4:</u></p> <p><i>- ADC 358, SDR Transaction Content/Business Rule Revisions/Clarification</i></p>	Identifies DLMS Changes included in the DLMS Supplement
1.	1/PER01/1700	<p><u>Remove qualifier "QA" and note:</u></p> <p>QA— Quality Assurance Contact DLMS Note: Use to identify an alternative point of contact when reporting a product quality deficiency or expired shelf life discrepancy.</p>	This is a secondary POC. The requirement was based upon original SF 364 instructions. This secondary POC was never implemented by ICP applications and is no longer considered necessary. Corresponding change will be made in the DLMS manual
2.	2/LIN04/0200	<p><u>Add data element level note and add qualifiers/revise qualifier level notes:</u></p> <p>Federal Note: Use to further identify an item. Use multiple Product/Service ID pairs as needed.</p> <p><i>DLMS Note: The sequence of data content for secondary materiel identification is dependent upon the applicable primary materiel identification. The referred sequence of secondary information is: part number; manufacturer's CAGE; nomenclature. However, part number, manufacturer's CAGE, and nomenclature may be cited in any order without causing transaction failure.</i></p> <p>CN Commodity Name DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the nomenclature associated with the item identified above. 2. For CN: WebSDR field length = 13 25.</p> <p><i>MG Manufacturer's Part Number</i> DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the part number when NSN is also provided primary materiel identification is previously identified. 2. For MG: WebSDR field length = 32.</p> <p>ZB Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code DLMS Note: Use to identify manufacturer associated with a <i>previously identified</i> part number identified above.</p>	<p>Clarification. Same as corresponding change to the SDR report format.</p> <p>Field length for commodity name/nomenclature was miss-identified in the current DLMS supplement.</p>

Item #	Location	DS 842A/R Revision	Reason
3.	2/LIN06/0200	<p><u>Add data element level note and the following qualifiers w/ DLMS notes:</u></p> <p><i>DLMS Note: The sequence of data content for secondary materiel identification is dependent upon the applicable primary materiel identification. The referred sequence of secondary information is: part number; manufacturer's CAGE; nomenclature. However, part number, manufacturer's CAGE, and nomenclature may be cited in any order without causing transaction failure.</i></p> <p>CN Commodity Name DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the nomenclature associated with the item identified. 2. For CN: WebSDR field length = 43 25.</p> <p>MG Manufacturer's Part Number DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the part number when primary materiel identification is previously identified. 2. For MG: WebSDR field length = 32.</p> <p>ZB Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code DLMS Note: Use to identify manufacturer associated with a previously identified part number.</p>	Same as above.
4.	2/LIN08/0200	<p><u>Open LIN08-09; Add data element level note and qualifier level notes:</u></p> <p><i>DLMS Note: Use of LIN08 is optional. The sequence of data content for secondary materiel identification is dependent upon the applicable primary materiel identification. The referred sequence of secondary information is: part number; manufacturer's CAGE; nomenclature. However, part number, manufacturer's CAGE, and nomenclature may be cited in any order without causing transaction failure.</i></p> <p>CN Commodity Name DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the nomenclature associated with the item identified. 2. For CN: WebSDR field length = 43 25.</p> <p>MG Manufacturer's Part Number DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the part number when primary materiel identification is previously identified. 2. For MG: WebSDR field length = 32.</p>	<p>Same as above. This will allow for same combination of values used in the report (that is, a primary materiel ID, plus part number, CAGE, and commodity name.)</p> <p>Staffing note: Since secondary materiel identification is optional, applications which cannot currently receive LIN08 may drop this last entry as long as it does not cause transaction failure.</p>

Item #	Location	DS 842A/R Revision	Reason
		ZB Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code DLMS Note: Use to identify manufacturer associated with a previously identified part number.	
5.	2/REF01/0700	NN Nonconformance Report Number DLMS Note: 1. Use to identify the originator's SDR report control number. This will be the originator's system assigned number. Identify the originating system in REF03 (see REF03 for values). 2. Must use a second REF segment to identify the applicable DoD WebSDR control number when one was provided on the SDR report. 3. A WebSDR control number will also be assigned to transactions during processing <i>if not previously assigned</i> . WebSDR <i>transaction processing</i> will repeat the REF segment <i>if to include each previously identified SDR report control number is be provided for the SDR on the outgoing transaction;</i> Component applications need not repeat all applicable values. 4. For NN: WebSDR maximum field length = 20 (actual length for originating applications will differ)	Clarification.
6.	2/REF01/0700	<u>Remove qualifier "PO" and note:</u> PO Purchase Order Number DLMS Note: For PO: WebSDR field length = 13	The requirement for this element was based upon original SF 364 instructions. It was not implemented and alternative values are available. Component consensus is that there is no need for a unique qualifier for the PO number.
7.	2/REF01/0700	<u>Add new qualifier and corresponding DLMS note:</u> SI Shipper's Identifying Number for Shipment (SID) DLMS Note: DoD action activities responsible for contracted vendor shipments are required to populate the contractor-assigned Shipment Number when applicable.	This field was inadvertently left out of the available contractor shipment information on the reply. Corresponding guidance for use of this field was added to the DLMS manual.
8.	2/CS/0750	<u>Add segment-level note:</u> DLMS Note: Action activities are required to populate the contractor shipment information when applicable.	Clarification and added emphasis.
9.	2/LQ01/1050	<u>Remove qualifier "GD" and note:</u> GD Gain or Loss Indicator Code DLMS Note: Use with 1/BNR01/0200 Code 06. Used by the distribution depot to provide results of investigation to the material owner (Navy requirement).	This element was associated with a legacy requirement that has not been established in the current Navy interface with WebSDR.

Item #	Location	DS 842A/R Revision	Reason
10.	2/QTY01/2700	<p><u>Remove the following qualifiers and notes:</u></p> <p>14 Quantity in Float DLMS Note: 1. Use with 1/BNR01/0200 Code 06 to identify the quantity in float. Used by the distribution depot to provide results of investigation to the material owner (Navy requirement). 2. For 14: WebSDR field length = 7</p> <p>17 Quantity on Hand DLMS Note: 1. Use with 1/BNR01/0200 Code 06 to identify the adjusted on hand quantity. Used by the distribution depot to provide results of investigation to the material owner (Navy requirement). 2. For 17: WebSDR field length = 7</p> <p>26 Total Inventory DLMS Note: 1. Use with 1/BNR01/0200 Code 06 to indicate physical inventory count. Used by the distribution depot to provide results of investigation to the material owner (Navy requirement). 2. For 26: WebSDR field length = 7</p> <p>BI Book Inventory DLMS Note: 1. Use with 1/BNR01/0200 Code 06 to indicate record balance. Used by the distribution depot to provide results of investigation to the material owner (Navy requirement). 2. For BI: WebSDR field length = 7</p>	<p>These elements were associated with a legacy requirement that has not been established in the current Navy interface with WebSDR.</p>
11.	2/N101/2800	<p><u>Add qualifiers and corresponding DLMS notes:</u></p> <p><i>SH Shipper</i> <i>DLMS Note: Use to identify the shipping activity by Routing Identifier, DoDAAC, or vendor CAGE.</i></p> <p><i>SUS Supply Source</i> <i>DLMS Note: Use to identify the owner or manager by Routing Identifier; this is the party that directed the shipment (Source of Supply) when applicable to wholesale requisitions.</i></p>	<p>Equivalent to the report format. Allows for identification of the actual shipping activity and Source of Supply on the reply when not included or incorrectly identified on the SDR report. DAAS Note: Metrics Table update required if different from submitter input.</p>
12.	2/N103/2800	<p><u>Add qualifiers:</u></p> <p><i>10 DoDAAC</i> <i>M4 RIC</i></p>	<p>Allows for identification of the applicable DoDAAC or RIC (only CAGE is currently listed in the reply at this position)</p>

Item #	Location	DS 842A/R Revision	Reason
13.	2/NTE01/3500	<u>Remove qualifier and note:</u> PKG Packaging Instructions DLMS Note: 1. Use to provide information related to packaging discrepancies.	Obsolete. Standard remarks block is sufficient to provide disposition instructions.
14.	2/NTE02/3500	<u>Revise note:</u> Description (remarks block) DLMS Note: 1. Use multiple repetitions of NTE, <i>with up to 80 positions for each line.</i> WebSDR maximum field for note = 500. 2. <i>General reply remarks (Qualifier AES) will be expanded to 750 positions in a future release. The expanded length is reserved for future implementation planned for June 2011.</i> 3. <i>Special characters are not allowed except as identified. Valid characters are: @ # \$ () - = + , / & ; and .</i>	This expands available field length for reply remarks. Staffing Note: Components must notify DLMSO if <u>June 2011</u> implementation date is not acceptable.
15.	2/DTM01/3600	<u>Replace existing note:</u> 198 Completion DLMS Note: Use for Shipper's SDR Completion Date when the shipper closed the SDR (providing disposition) on the incoming side (Navy requirement). <i>Reserved for future use. Not available at this time.</i>	This data element is not used at this time. A tentative requirement has been identified to provide an SDR completion date to WebSDR. This element will be reserved for future use to support this requirement when associated procedures are defined.
16.	2/DTM01/3600	<u>Remove the following qualifiers and notes:</u> 992 Date Requested DLMS Note: Use to identify the date the action activity requested cataloging action.	This element was associated with a legacy requirement that has not been established in the current interface with WebSDR.
17.	2/LQ01/4650	<u>Remove qualifier "CLP" and note:</u> CLP Cause Lookup DLMS Note: Use to identify the Causative Research Indicator (Navy requirement). An ANSI data maintenance request has been prepared to request a new data element 1270 code qualifier.	This element was associated with a legacy requirement that has not been established in the current Navy interface with WebSDR.

#	Location	DS 842A/R SDR Reply <u>Administrative Revisions Not Previously Identified</u>	Reason
1.	1/PER01/1700	<u>Remove the following qualifiers and DLMS notes:</u> CB Changed By DLMS Note: Use to identify the preparing official's	Administrative correction. These POC Qualifiers are not applicable to the

#	Location	DS 842A/R SDR Reply <u>Administrative Revisions Not Previously Identified</u>	Reason
		<p>information as associated with modified SDR.</p> <p>FC Forwarder Contact DLMS Note: Use to identify a point of contact at the action activity forwarding the SDR.</p> <p>PI Preparer DLMS Note: Use to identify the preparing official's information as associated with cancelled SDR. An ANSI data maintenance request has been prepared to request a new data element 1270 code qualifier.</p> <p>PU Report Preparer DLMS Note: Use to identify the preparing official's information as associated with a new SDR submission.</p> <p>RQ Requestor DLMS Note: Use to identify preparing official's information as associated with a contested SDR (ILCO POC for contested SDR or request for reconsideration is identified using Qualifier SE).</p> <p>SM Submitting Contact DLMS Note: Use to identify submitting contract as associated with an SDR follow-up.</p>	<p>Reply and were inadvertently picked up in an earlier Supplement update. There is no known programming change associated with removal of identified values.</p>
2.	2/N101/4100	<p><u>Revise DLMS note to read:</u></p> <p>ST Ship To DLMS Note: <i>1. Use to identify the location to which material must be shipped/ returned. Location may be identified by code (DoDAAC, RIC, or CAGE when using N103 value) and by clear text address.</i> <i>2. Pending full implementation of discrete data elements for the ship-to address, clear text addresses must be repeated in the remarks block to assure that all users will receive the specified address.</i></p>	<p>Clarification provided for system design under DLMS. Removes incorrect reference to field length which is now re-located to the proper data element.</p>
3.	2/N102/4100	<p><u>Add DLMS note 2:</u></p> <p>Name</p>	<p>Identifies WebSDR field length for the first line of the ship-to address.</p>

#	Location	DS 842A/R SDR Reply <u>Administrative Revisions Not Previously Identified</u>	Reason
		DLMS Note: 1. This is the activity name and equates to address line 1. 2. <i>For ST: WebSDR field length = 35.</i>	
4.	2/PER01/4600	<u>Remove the following qualifier and DLMS note:</u> PU Report Preparer DLMS Note: Use to identify the preparing official's information as associated with a new SDR submission.	Administrative correction. This POC Qualifier is not applicable to the Reply and was inadvertently picked up in an earlier Supplement update. There is no known programming change associated with removal.

Enclosure 4, Comment Resolution

	Submitter	Comment	DLMSO Response
1.	Army	<p>1. The Army (National Level Community) concurs with PDC 356.</p> <p>2. Army detail comments are:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">a. SCE-BTL - concur; concur with Enclosure 3, Item 13 – additional separate remarks block for Packaging (would be very useful if field will be compatible with LMP).</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b. WR - Concur for the APS/War Reserve Storage sites. SDR's and PQDR's are used by APS/War Reserve Sites, there is no special logic or processes for APS/War Reserve Business Processes.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">c. LOGSA - Concur.</p> <p>CASCOM, Enterprise Systems Directorate has reviewed proposed changed and concurs. This change will not apply to legacy STAMIS.</p>	<p>Regarding packaging remarks: Although potentially useful, due to limited implementation, DLMSO is recommending that this field not be retained. The existing remarks block is available for all types of disposition remarks.</p>
2.	Air Force	The Air Force concurs with PDC 356 without comments.	
3.	DLA	DDC J3M concurs.	
4.	Navy	The Navy concurs with PDC 356 as written and submits no additional changes or amendments.	
5.	DMLSS Program, JMFLDC	We have reviewed and concur with the proposed DLMS addendum dated November 24, 2009 for the PDC 356.	
6.	USTRANSCOM DEDO/DTEB	Will coordinate with our IPT representative to update DTR (regarding management report evaluation requirements for transshipper SDRs)	
7.	DLMSO	<p>Typo in pseudo shipment number format.</p> <p>Proposed structure must include a numeric value in the first three positions so that it will not duplicate alpha prefixes assigned to vendors.</p>	<p>Yes, pseudo shipment numbers are not unique and are perpetuated from the receipt to the SDR to retain the association.</p> <p>Guidance will be updated as</p>

		<p>Expand clarification: Does this mean that pseudo shipment numbers don't have to be unique and that they're just filling a mandatory data element that is missing?</p>	<p>follows: <i>When reporting new procurement receipts, the distribution depot shall site a "pseudo shipment number," equivalent to that cited on the receipt, when the actual vendor shipment number is not available from shipping documentation. The pseudo shipment number will be constructed to identify the receiving depot and a constant 01 (that is, the serial number will not be incremented; therefore, the pseudo shipment number will not be unique). In order to systemically identify pseudo shipment numbers the third position must be numeric (vendor assigned shipments use a three digit alpha prefix to identify the vendor). For example, pseudo shipment numbers prepared by Distribution Depot San Joaquin, California (DDJC), will be reported as DDIJC01.</i></p>
8.	AFSAC	<p>Questions/concerns with Enclosure 2:</p> <p>#5 2/LIN/0200: On the data element CN for commodity name, is 13 positions correct? Our noun field is 40 positions long. However, I did a query of our database, and I didn't see any records where we had more than 20 positions. We do however; routinely have 20 positions and WebSDR displays the full 20 positions when we pass it. (EX. WebSDR Control #20093001274).</p> <p>#6 2/LIN08/0200: We pass all these fields but I think they are recommending a certain order to pass them but not requiring that order. I am not clear on the preferred order the way it is written. We pass as FS, CN, F8 and F7 (if Q discrepancy), MG, ZB. Based on your understanding of the paragraph, do we have to change this order? There is once again a reference to the CN segment being only 13 positions versus 20.</p> <p>#9 2/LQ01/1050: We do pass if filled the LQ01 78</p>	<p>Field length for CN corrected to 25 characters.</p> <p>Regarding the order of materiel ID data elements: There is no change for X12 EDI applications. The new mapping will help XML applications.</p> <p>ADC is asking that 78 (project code) and 91 (Action Office) be removed from the format/not be forwarded, but we are asking DAAS to accept your transaction or work with you for best opportunity to update your format.</p> <p>If shipment number is available to you, it would be good to include it, but we are focusing on the</p>

	<p>segment; however, it is not a required field and doesn't serve much purpose. We must know our drop dead date to remove it if passing it will cause EDI failure.</p> <p>#22 2?N101/2800: We always pass the N101 = 91 (pos 2800 of record) and associated N102/N103/N104 segments. However, it appears we also pass the same N101 info in the N101 = ZD segment (position 1200 of record). Just need to coordinate an implementation time so we do get EDI failures after field removed.</p> <p>Questions with Enclosure 3:</p> <p>#2 2/LIN04/0200: Another CN reference with a field length of 13 (recommend this be updated to 20). WebSDR displays 20 and we frequently pass 20 today.</p> <p>#3 2/LIN06/0200: Another CN reference with a field length of 13 (recommend this be updated to 20). WebSDR displays 20 and we frequently pass 20 today.</p> <p>#4 2/LIN08/0200: Another CN reference with a field length of 13 (recommend this be updated to 20). WebSDR displays 20 and we frequently pass 20 today.</p> <p>#7 2/REF01/0700: I also wanted to clarify in the 842 reply transaction the new Ref01/0700 ref segment field REF/SI . . . is this only in the reply record? Does it have to be in all reply records (e.g. our rejected replies) or does it pass only if filled? We don't have any logic today to pass this in the submission either . . . should we?</p> <p>#13 2/NTE01/3500: PKG . . . We have logic to accept this, but a quick look at our history indicates we haven't ever processed one.</p> <p>I don't think there is anything drastic other than a clarification of the CN field length because the item name in the catalog is definitely longer than 13 positions and I know that we transmit to Sean up to 20 positions now and he displays it. Also, I need to know the date I have to have the deleted fields removed from our logic so we don't have EDI failure.</p>	<p>ICP/IMM applications and DSS to populate this field (in reports or replies), since shipment number is a key data element for vendor shipments in those applications.</p>
--	---	---

9	DLMSO	<p>PDC 356 was reviewed in detail during weekly teleconferences with the Component SDR implementation team. During these calls DLA withdrew the request for Reply Code 520, Reply Code 308 was deferred, and minor revision to the text of Reply Code 144 were agreed to.</p> <p>Components were requested to notify DLMSO if any of the presumed obsolete data elements were still needed.</p> <p>The new text field for original reply remarks on a forwarded SDR was questioned.</p>	<p>Reply Code 308 will be adopted at a later date. DLA will notify DLMSO when implementation is planned.</p> <p>None of the obsolete elements were identified as required.</p> <p>Research showed that the original reply was dropped on 504 replies which result in new (00) SDRs. However, the value of these notes was not found to be sufficient to justify the programming impact.</p>
---	-------	---	---