

Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report

June 2008, NCJ 221946

Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003

Sexual Victimization in Local Jails Reported by Inmates, 2007

By Allen J. Beck, Ph.D. and Paige M. Harrison, *BJS Statisticians*

The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (P.L. 108-79) requires the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) to carry out a comprehensive statistical review and analysis of the incidence and effects of prison rape for each calendar year. This report fulfills the requirement under Sec. 4(c)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act to provide a list of local jails according to the prevalence of sexual victimization.

In December 2007, BJS published *Sexual Victimization in State and Federal Prison Reported by Inmates, 2007* (NCJ 219414), which details the findings from 23,398 inmates held in 146 sampled prisons in the National Inmate Survey (NIS). This report presents the findings for the 282 local jails in the NIS sample. The survey on sexual victimization, conducted by RTI International (Research Triangle Park, NC), was administered to 40,419 jail inmates between April and December 2007. (See *Methodology* for sample description.)

The NIS is part of the National Prison Rape Statistical Program, which collects administrative records of reported sexual violence as well as collecting allegations of sexual violence directly from victims through surveys of current and former inmates. Administrative records have been collected annually since 2004. Data collections from former inmates under active supervision and youth held in state and locally operated juvenile facilities are underway.

The 2007 NIS survey consisted of an audio computerassisted self interview (ACASI) in which inmates, using a touch-screen, interacted with a computer-assisted questionnaire and followed audio instructions delivered via headphones. A small number of jail inmates (223) completed a short paper form. These were primarily inmates housed in administrative or disciplinary segregation or considered too violent to be interviewed.

The NIS is a self-administered survey designed to encourage reporting by providing anonymity to respondents. Computer-assisted technologies provide uniform conditions under which inmates complete the survey. In each facility, respondents are randomly selected. Before the interview, inmates are informed verbally and in writing that participation is voluntary and that all information will be held in confidence. Overall, two-thirds (67%) of eligible sampled jail inmates participated in the survey.

To provide reliable facility-level estimates of sexual violence, the NIS limited reporting of sexual victimization to incidents that occurred at the sampled jail facilities during the 6 months prior to the date of the interview. Inmates who had served less than 6 months were asked about their experiences since admission to the facility.

The NIS collects only allegations of sexual victimization. Because participation in the survey is anonymous and reports are confidential, the NIS does not permit any follow-up investigation or substantiation through review of official records. Some allegations in the NIS may be untrue. At the same time, some inmates may remain silent about sexual victimization experienced in the facility, despite efforts of survey staff to assure inmates that their survey responses would be kept confidential. Although the effects may be off-setting, the relative extent of underreporting and false reporting in the NIS is unknown.

Errata: Sexual Victimization in Local Jails Reported by Inmates, 2007

Estimates in the report described the National Inmate Survey's limited reporting of sexual victimization incidents to "the last 6 months, or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months." After the report was published, it was discovered that the reporting period programmed in the audio computer-assisted self interview (ACASI) computer instrument was based on incidents occurring in "the last 12 months, or since admission, if less than 12 months." The estimated numbers in the report were not impacted by this amendment.

3.2% of jail inmates reported experiencing one or more incidents of sexual victimization

Among the 40,419 jail inmates participating in the 2007 survey, 1,330 reported experiencing one or more incidents of sexual victimization. Because the NIS is a sample survey, weights were applied for sampled facilities and inmates within facilities to produce national-level and facility-level estimates. The estimated number of local jail inmates experiencing sexual violence totaled 24,700 (or 3.2% of all jail inmates, nationwide).

About 1.6% of inmates (12,100, nationwide) reported an incident involving another inmate, and 2.0% (15,200) reported an incident involving staff. Some inmates (0.4%) said they had been sexually victimized by both other inmates and staff (table 1).

The NIS screened for specific sexual activities, then asked respondents if they were forced or pressured to engage in these activities by another inmate or staff. (See appendices 7 through 9 for specific survey guestions.) Reports of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence were classified as either nonconsensual sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts. Approximately 0.7% of jail inmates (5,200) said they had nonconsensual sex with another inmate, including giving or receiving sexual gratification, and oral, anal, or vaginal penetration. An additional 0.9% of jail inmates (6,900) said they had experienced one or more abusive sexual contacts only, that is, unwanted touching of specific body parts in a sexual way by another inmate.

An estimated 1.3% of all inmates (10,400) reported that they had sex or sexual contact unwillingly with staff as a result of physical force, pressure, or offers of special favors or privileges. An estimated 1.1% of all inmates (8,400) reported they willingly had sex or sexual contact with staff. Regardless of whether an inmate reported being willing or unwilling, any sexual contact between jail inmates and staff is illegal; however, the difference may be informative when addressing issues of staff training, prevention, and follow-up.

Table 1. Local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, National Inmate Survey, 2007

| | National estimate | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---------|--|
| Туре | Number | Percent | |
| Total | 24,700 | 3.2% | |
| Inmate-on-inmate | 12,100 | 1.6% | |
| Nonconsensual sexual acts | 5,200 | 0.7 | |
| Abusive sexual contacts only | 6,900 | 0.9 | |
| Staff sexual misconduct | 15,200 | 2.0% | |
| Unwilling activity | 10,400 | 1.3 | |
| Excluding touching | 8,300 | 1.1 | |
| Touching only | 2,100 | 0.3 | |
| Willing activity | 8,400 | 1.1 | |
| Excluding touching | 7,100 | 0.9 | |
| Touching only | 1,200 | 0.2 | |

Note: Detail may not sum to total because inmates may report more than one type of victimization. They may also report victimization by other inmates and by staff.

Table 2. Local jails with high rates of inmate sexual victimization, National Inmate Survey, 2007

| | | | Percent of inmates report- ing sexual victimization ^a | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|---|------|---|
| Facility name | Number of respondents | Response rate | | | Number of similar facilities ^e |
| U.S. total | 40,419 | 67% | 3.2% | 0.1% | |
| Torrance Co. Det. Fac. (NM) ^f | 67 | 40 | 13.4 | 4.1 | 53 |
| Clark Co. Jail (WA) | 163 | 71 | 9.1 | 2.2 | 80 |
| Bernalillo Co. Metro. Det. Ctr. | | | | | |
| (NM) | 117 | 42 | 8.9 | 2.9 | 151 |
| Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. (FL) | 228 | 83 | 8.5 | 1.9 | 86 |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail (OH |) 85 | 57 | 8.1 | 2.1 | 116 |
| Wayne Co. Jail (IN) | 131 | 75 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 133 |
| Franklin Co. Jail (NY) | 81 | 86 | 7.3 | 1.4 | 110 |
| New York City Rose M. Singer | | | | | |
| Ctr. (NY) ^g | 178 | 68 | 7.2 | 1.7 | 129 |
| Atlanta City Jail (GA) | 145 | 41 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 239 |
| Fulton Co. Jail (GA) | 187 | 67 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 137 |
| Caldwell Parish Jails (LA) | 210 | 93 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 149 |
| Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. | | | | | |
| Ctr. (PA) | 180 | 71 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 150 |
| Will Co. Adult Det. Fac. (IL) | 172 | 73 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 168 |
| Androscoggin Co. Jail (ME) | 55 | 67 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 192 |
| La Fourche Parish Jail (LA) | 151 | 76 | 6.6 | 1.2 | 122 |
| Dixie Co Jail (FL) | 56 | 67 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 231 |
| Los Angeles Co Twin Towers | | | | | |
| Corr. Fac. (CA) | 95 | 43 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 239 |
| Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. | | | | | |
| Ctr. (CA) | 141 | 66 | 6.4 | 2.2 | 210 |

Note: Includes all facilities with a prevalence rate of at least twice the national average (3.2%). Excludes Chowan Co. Det. Fac. (NC), 8.6%, and Pulaski Co. Tri-Co. Justice & Det. Ctr. (IL), 6.7%, with rates that were not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level.

^aPercent of inmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff in the past 6 months or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months.

^bNumber of respondents selected for the NIS on sexual victimization

^cWeights were applied so that inmates who responded accurately reflected the entire population of each facility on selected characteristics, including age, gender, race, and time served since admission.

^dStandard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around the weighted survey estimates. For example, the 95% confidence interval around the total percent is 3.2% plus or minus 1.96 times 0.1% (or 3.0% to 3.4%).

^eEstimates for each facility are determined to be statistically similar if the 95% confidence interval around the difference contains zero. (See Methodology for details.)

^fPrivate facility.

gFemale only facility.

18 jails had prevalence rates of at least twice the national average of 3.2%

Of the 282 jail facilities in the 2007 NIS, 18 had an overall victimization rate of at least twice the national average of 3.2% (table 2). The overall victimization rate is a measure of prevalence that includes all experiences, regardless of the level of coercion and type of sexual activity.

Statistically, the NIS is unable to identify the facility with the highest prevalence rate. Because the estimates are based on a sample of inmates rather than a complete enumeration, the estimates are subject to sampling error. The precision of each facility estimate can be calculated based on the estimated standard error. For example, the victimization rate of 13.4% recorded for the Torrance County Detention Facility (New Mexico) has a precision of plus or minus 8.0% with a 95% confidence level. This precision, based on the standard error of 4.1% multiplied by 1.96, indicates a 95% confidence that the true prevalence rate in the Torrance County Detention Facility is between 5.4% and 21.4%.

Within each facility, the estimated standard error varies by the size of the estimate, the number of completed interviews, and the size of the facility. Although the sampling procedures are designed to produce the same level of precision within all facilities (a standard error of 1.75%), the actual standard errors varied depending on the response rate and characteristics of the responding inmates. (See *Methodology* for further discussion of standard errors.)

As a consequence of sampling error, the NIS cannot provide an exact ranking for all facilities as required under the Prison Rape Elimination Act. However, detailed tabulations of the survey results are presented by facility and state in appendix tables 1 through 6.1 Facility prevalence rates vary by level and type of victimization, and observed differences between facilities will not always be statistically significant. Consequently, these measures cannot be used to reliably rank facilities from 1 (the highest) to 282 (the lowest).

Unlike the results of the 2007 NIS in state and federal prisons, the NIS in local jails does not provide a statistical basis for identifying a small group of facilities with the highest rates of sexual victimization. Based on the large confidence interval around the Torrance County Detention Facility (13.4% plus or minus 8.0%), 38

other facilities would be included in the interval, but these facilities also have estimated rates and confidence intervals

By constructing 95% confidence intervals around the differences between facility estimates, we can determine the number of facilities with statistically similar rates of victimization. For example, the confidence interval around the observed difference between the Torrance County Detention Facility and the Polk County Jail (lowa) is 8.6% plus or minus 9.5%. Since the interval includes zero, these facilities are considered to be statistically similar. Overall, 53 jail facilities are statistically similar to the Torrance County Detention Facility.

Facilities with rates lower than the 4.8% in the Polk County Jail are statistically different from Torrance County. Terrebonne Parish Jail (Louisiana) had the next highest rate, 4.7%. Since the 95% confidence interval around the observed difference with Torrance County (8.7% plus or minus 8.4%) does not include zero, the Terrebonne Parish Jail is considered statistically different. (See *Methodology* for calculation of confidence intervals comparing facilities.)

Nearly a third of all facilities had rates indistinguishable from zero

Eighteen jail facilities had no reported incidents of sexual victimization (table 3). Cameron County Jail (Texas) was the largest jail (1,368 inmates) with no reported incidents, followed by Northwest Ohio Regional Correctional Center

Table 3. Local jails with no reported incidents of inmate sexual victimization, National Inmate Survey, 2007

| Facility name | Number of inmates in custody ^a | Number of respondents | Response rate ^b |
|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Cameron Co. Jail (TX) | 1,368 | 100 | 40% |
| Northwest Ohio Reg. Corr. Ctr. (OH) | 662 | 154 | 70 |
| Orange Co. Work Rel. Ctr. (FL) | 300 | 104 | 59 |
| Hampden Co. Western Mass. Corr. Alcohol Ctr. (MA |) 184 | 117 | 84 |
| Jackson Co. Municipal Corr. Inst. (MO) | 219 | 55 | 43 |
| Coles Co. Jail (IL) | 97 | 70 | 83 |
| Culpeper Co. Jail (VA) | 113 | 58 | 69 |
| Atchison Co. Jail (KS) | 77 | 39 | 57 |
| Story Co. Jail (IA) | 81 | 38 | 63 |
| Knox Co. Work Rel. Center (TN) | 64 | 35 | 72 |
| Dinwiddie Co. Jail (VA) | 59 | 39 | 76 |
| Cecil Co. Com. Adult Rehab. Ctr. (MD) | 49 | 32 | 75 |
| Tippah Co. Jail (MS) | 38 | 26 | 83 |
| Bullock Co. Jail (AL) | 33 | 9 | 41 |
| Prowers Co. Jail (CO) | 31 | 19 | 91 |
| Koochiching Co. Law Enfor. Ctr. (MN) | 20 | 9 | 100 |
| Searcy Co. Jail (AR) | 11 | 8 | 73 |
| Wayne Co. Jail (MO) | 16 | 6 | 86 |

Note: An additional 69 facilities had rates of sexual victimization that were not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level.

¹Facility level information and estimates are provided for all sampled jails in appendix tables 1 and 2. Appendix tables 3 through 6 exclude those jails with no reported incidents of sexual victimization and rates not statistically different from zero.

^aNumber of inmates held in the facility on the day of the facility roster plus any new inmates admitted prior to the first day of data collection. (See Methodology for details.)

^bResponse rate equals the total number of respondents divided by the number of inmates sampled minus the number of ineligible inmates times 100 percent. (See Methodology for sampling description.)

(Ohio), with 662 inmates, and Orange County Work Release Center (Florida), with 300 inmates.

An additional 69 facilities had rates that were not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level. Thirty-seven of these facilities had rates below 1.5% (not shown), and 21 were large facilities with more than 1,000 inmates in custody. The Bexar County Adult Detention Center (Texas), with 4,179 inmates in custody, was the largest facility surveyed that had a rate of sexual victimization indistinguishable from zero (1.6% plus or minus 1.8%).

Identification of the facilities with the highest rates of sexual victimization depends on non-statistical judgments

Of the 18 facilities that had the highest overall prevalence rates of sexual victimization, 3 facilities were consistently high on measures restricted to the most serious forms of sexual victimization (table 4). The Torrance County Detention Facility (New Mexico) had the highest rate — 10.1% when sexual victimization excluded willing activity with staff and 8.9% when victimization excluded abusive sexual contacts (allegations of touching only). The Southeastern Ohio Regional Jail and the Bernalillo County Metropolitan Detention Center (New Mexico) were also among the top five facilities on each of these more serious measures of sexual victimization.

Of the 282 sampled facilities, 19 jails had statistically significant rates of injury related to sexual victimization (table 5). Overall, 0.6% of all jail inmates

reported an injury related to sexual victimization. The Riverside County Robert Presley Detention Center (California) had the highest observed rate with 4.6% of inmates reporting an injury, followed by Garfield County Jail (Colorado) with 4.0%, and San Diego County George F. Bailey Detention Facility (California) with 3.6%.

The Brevard County Detention Center (Florida), with an injury rate of 3.1%, and the Southeastern Ohio Regional Jail (Ohio), with an injury rate of 2.5%, were also among the 5 facilities recording the highest overall rates of sexual victimization and the highest rates of nonconsensual sexual activity.

Most victims of sexual violence in jails did not report an injury. Nationwide, approximately 20% of the estimated 24,700 victims said they had been injured as a result of the sexual victimization. The majority of injured victims reported minor injuries, such as bruises, cuts, or scratches (16%). Most injured victims (85%) also reported at least one more serious injury. Among all victims, 8% reported

Table 4. Local jails with the highest rates of inmate sexual victimization, by type, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization^a

| Measure/facility | Percent | Standard error |
|--|---------|----------------|
| Facilities with the highest percent reporting any form of sexual victimization | | |
| Torrance Co. Det. Fac. (NM) ^b | 13.4% | 4.1% |
| Clark Co. Jail (WA) | 9.1 | 2.2 |
| Bernalillo Co. Metro. Det. Ctr. (NM) | 8.9 | 2.9 |
| Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. (FL) | 8.5 | 1.9 |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail (OH) | 8.1 | 2.1 |
| Facilities with the highest percent reporting a non- consensual sexual act or abusive sexual contact ^c | | |
| Torrance Co. Det. Fac. (NM) ^b | 10.1% | 3.8% |
| Clark Co. Jail (WA) | 8.5 | 2.1 |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail (OH) | 8.1 | 2.1 |
| Bernalillo Co. Metro. Det. Ctr. (NM) | 7.8 | 2.7 |
| Wayne Co. Jail (IN) | 7.5 | 1.9 |
| Facilities with the highest percent reporting a nonconsensual sexual act ^d | | |
| Torrance Co. Det. Fac. (NM) ^b | 8.9% | 3.3% |
| Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. (FL) | 7.8 | 1.8 |
| Bernalillo Co. Metro. Det. Ctr. (NM) | 6.7 | 2.5 |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail (OH) | 5.8 | 1.8 |
| Lexington-Fayette Urban Co. D.C.C. (KY) | 5.5 | 1.8 |
| | | |

Note: All measures are based on facilities with estimates statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level.

^aInmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff in the past 6 months or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months.

^dIncludes allegations of unwanted contacts with another inmate and any contacts with staff that involved oral, anal, and vaginal penetration, handjobs and other sexual acts.

| Table 5. Local jails with the | highest rates of | injury, National |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Inmate Survey, 2007 | | |
| Facility name | Percent injured | Standard error |

| Facility name | Percent injured | Standard error |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Total | 0.6% | < 0.0% |
| Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. | | |
| Ctr. (CA) | 4.6 | 2.0 |
| Garfield Co. Jail (CO) | 4.0 | 1.7 |
| San Diego Co. George F. Bailey | | |
| Det. Fac.(CA) | 3.6 | 1.4 |
| Androscoggin Co. Jail (ME) | 3.5 | 1.7 |
| Kentucky River Reg. Jail (KY) | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| Erie Co. Holding Ctr. (NY) | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. (FL) | 3.1 | 1.4 |
| Will Co. Adult Det. Fac. (IL) | 2.7 | 1.2 |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail (OH) | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| St. Tammany Parish Jail (LA) | 2.4 | 1.1 |
| Santa Barbara Co. Jail (CA) | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| Franklin Co. Jail (NY) | 2.2 | 0.7 |
| Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street (TX) | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Richmond City Jail (VA) | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| St. Bernard Parish Prison (LA) | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| Western Reg. Jail (WV) | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Jackson Co. Jail (AL) | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| La Fourche Parish Jail (LA) | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug | | |
| and Alcohol Trt. Ctr. (OH) | 1.0 | 0.5 |

Note: All other facilities had injury rates not statistically different from zero.

^bPrivate facility.

^cExcludes allegations of willing sexual contacts with staff.

being knocked unconscious, 6% reported anal or rectal tearing, 6% internal injuries, 3% broken bones, and 2% knife or stab wounds.

| Type of injury | All inmates | All victims |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Any injury | 0.6% | 19.5% |
| Knife or stab wounds | 0.1 | 2.1 |
| Broken bones | 0.1 | 3.3 |
| Anal/rectal tearing | 0.2 | 6.3 |
| Teeth chipped/knocked out | 0.3 | 8.9 |
| Internal injuries | 0.2 | 6.3 |
| Knocked unconscious | 0.2 | 7.8 |
| Bruises, cuts, scratches | 0.5 | 15.8 |
| Number of inmates | 772,800 | 24,700 |

Rates of sexual victimization were unrelated to basic facility characteristics

Data collected in the 2005 Census of Jail Inmates and the 2006 Census of Jail Facilities were analyzed in conjunction with the NIS data to determine whether any facility characteristics were associated with higher rates of sexual victimization (table 6). An initial examination of selected facility characteristics revealed few measurable differences at the 95% level of statistical confidence.

- · Inmates in long-term facilities (those with the authority to house inmates convicted of felonies with sentences of more than a year) had an overall sexual victimization rate (3.4%) that was similar to the rates reported by inmates in short-term facilities (3.5%) and in detention-only facilities (3.0%).
- Victimization rates in female-only facilities were the highest (5.0%), largely due to incidents of inmate-on-inmate sexual victimization (3.9%). Women in coed facilities had similar rates (5.0%). Therefore, the rate appears to reflect higher overall rates reported by women, regardless of the type of facility (not shown in a table).
- Sexual victimization was reported at slightly lower levels (2.1%) in small facilities (those holding fewer than 100 inmates). Because of the small number of inmates in these facilities, comparisons with other facilities were not statistically significant.
- Though crowding is often assumed to be linked to prison violence, the highest rates of sexual victimization (3.7%) were reported in facilities that were the least crowded (operating at less than 90% of capacity). As with other comparisons, these differences were not statistically significant.

Table 6. Prevalence of inmate sexual victimization, by selected characteristics of jail facilities, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Percent of inmates reporting sexual victim-

| | I | zation | | | |
|---|----------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|
| | - | | | 0' " | Nonconsen- |
| English of an atomical a | Number of | T-4-1 | Inmate- | Staff- | sual sexual |
| Facility characteristic | inmates ^b | Total | on-inmate | on-inmate | acts only ^c |
| Type of facility ^d | | | | | |
| Detention only | 36,358 | 3.0% | 1.3% | 2.2% | 2.2% |
| Detention/short-term | 159,634 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| Long-term | 77,407 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| Gender housed | | | | | |
| Males only | 62,093 | 3.3% | 1.5% | 2.1% | 2.2% |
| Females only | 2,487 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Both males and females | 208,762 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| Size of facility ^e | | | | | |
| Less than 100 | 1,351 | 2.1% | 1.4% | 0.9% | 1.1% |
| 100-249 | 6,495 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| 250-499 | 14,348 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 500-999 | 50,943 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 1,000-1,999 | 99,197 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 2,000 or more | 101,065 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| Percent of capacity occupied | i ^f | | | | |
| Less than 90% | 70,517 | 3.7% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.2% |
| 90-100 | 87,678 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| 101-110 | 53,660 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| 111% or greater | 61,544 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Time since last renovation ^g | | | | | |
| 5 years or less | 85,585 | 3.2% | 1.6% | 2.0% | 2.2% |
| 6-10 | 53,004 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 11-20 | 89,831 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| 21 years or more | 44,979 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 |

Note: Characteristics of jail facilities were drawn from the 2005 Census of Jail Inmates and the 2006 Census of Jail Facilities, conducted by BJS. Missing data from the BJS censuses were obtained from the 2005 - 2007 National Jail and Adult Detention Directory, published by the American Correctional Association.

^aPercent of inmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff in the past 6 months or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months.

^bNumber of inmates held in each type of facility on the day of the roster plus any new inmates admitted prior to the first day of data collection.

^cIncludes allegations of unwanted oral, anal, and vaginal penetration, handjobs, and other sexual acts with other inmates and staff.

^dDetention facilities have authority to hold persons facing charges beyond 72 hours; short-term facilities hold persons convicted of offenses with sentences usually of a year or less; long-term facilities hold persons convicted of felonies with sentences of more than 1 year.

eFacility size is based on the rated capacity (i.e., the maximum number of beds or inmates assigned by a rating official).

Based on the number of persons held on March 31, 2006, divided by the rated capacity times 100%.

⁹Based on the year of most recent major renovation or the year of original construction, if never renovated.

> Inmates in facilities that had opened or been renovated in the last 5 years reported lower rates of sexual victimization (3.2%) than inmates in other facilities. Again, differences in these rates were not statistically significant.

Rates of sexual victimization were more strongly related to inmate characteristics than to facility characteristics

Rates of sexual assault among inmates varied across demographic categories:

- Female inmates were more likely than male inmates to report a sexual victimization (table 7). An estimated 5.1% of female inmates, compared to 2.9% of male inmates, said they had experienced one or more incidents of sexual victimization.
- Persons of two or more races reported higher rates of sexual assault in jails (4.2%), compared to white (2.9%), black (3.2%), and Hispanic inmates (3.2%).
- About 4.6% of inmates ages 18 to 24 reported being sexually assaulted, compared to 2.4% of inmates age 25 and older.
- Inmates with a college education reported higher rates of sexual assault (4.6%) than inmates with less than a high school degree (2.8%).

The largest differences in sexual victimization rates were found among inmates based on their sexual preference and past sexual experiences:

- Inmates with a sexual orientation other than heterosexual reported significantly higher rates of sexual victimization. An estimated 2.7% of heterosexual inmates alleged an incident, compared to 18.5% of homosexual inmates, and 9.8% of bisexual inmates or inmates indicating "other" as an orientation.
- Inmates with 21 or more sexual partners prior to admission reported the highest rates of victimization (4.1%); inmates with 1 or no prior sexual partners reported the lowest rates (2.4%).

Table 7. Prevalence of inmate sexual victimization, by selected characteristics of jail inmates, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization^a

| | | Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|------------------|---------------------|--|
| Inmate characteristic | Number of inmates ^b | Total | Inmate-on-inmate | Staff-on- inmate | Nonconsen- sual sexual acts only |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Male | 678,500 | 2.9% | 1.3% | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| Female | 94,300 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Race/Hispanic origin | 0.,000 | . | | | |
| White ^c | 273,900 | 2.9% | 1.8% | 1.5% | 1.7% |
| Black ^c | 282,400 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Hispanic | 141,400 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| Other ^{c,d} | 18,200 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Two or more races ^c | 51,500 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Age | ,,,,,,, | | | | |
| 18-19 | 52,600 | 4.7% | 1.8% | 3.4% | 3.6% |
| 20-24 | 156,500 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| 25-34 | 245,600 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 35-44 | 186,100 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 45-54 | 107,100 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 55 or older | 24,900 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 |
| Education | | | | | |
| Less than high school | 287,800 | 2.8% | 1.5% | 1.6% | 1.8% |
| High school graduate | 282,500 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Some college ^e | 175,100 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| College degree or more | 22,500 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Sexual orientation | | | | | |
| Heterosexual | 702,800 | 2.7% | 1.1% | 1.7% | 1.7% |
| Bi-sexual | 28,700 | 9.8 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 6.6 |
| Homosexual | 9,900 | 18.5 | 13.7 | 7.1 | 13.2 |
| Other | 10,300 | 9.8 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 7.6 |
| Number of prior sexual partner | s | | | | |
| 0-1 | 127,100 | 2.4% | 1.2% | 1.3% | 1.6% |
| 2-4 | 121,600 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 5-10 | 145,000 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| 11-20 | 118,200 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 21 or more | 230,600 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| Prior sexual assault | | | | | |
| Yes | 102,600 | 11.8% | 8.0% | 5.5% | 6.9% |
| No | 666,100 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Sexually assaulted at another facility | | | | | |
| Yes | 11,800 | 33.0% | 25.9% | 13.9% | 21.1% |
| No | 756,900 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 |

^aInmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff in the past 6 months or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months.

- Inmates who had experienced a prior sexual assault were about 6 times more likely to report a sexual victimization in jail (11.8%), compared to those with no sexual assault history (1.9%).
- Among inmates who reported having been sexually assaulted at another prison or jail in the past, a third reported having been sexually victimized at the current facility.

^bEstimated number of jail inmates at midyear 2007, excluding inmates under age 18 and inmates held in jails with an average daily population of five inmates or fewer.

^cExcludes persons of Hispanic or Latino origin.

^dIncludes American Indians, Alaska Natives, Asians, Native Hawaiians, and other Pacific Islanders

^eIncludes persons with associate degrees.

Inmate-on-inmate victimization occurred most often in the victim's cell; staff-on-inmate victimization occurred in a closet, office, or other locked room

Circumstances varied between inmate-on-inmate and staffon-inmate incidents. An estimated 48% of inmate-oninmate incidents occurred between 6 p.m. and midnight, while 47% of staff-on-inmate incidents occurred from midnight to 6 a.m. (table 8). Over half of inmate-on-inmate victimizations took place in the victim's cell or room (56%), while a closet, office, or other locked room was the most common location for staff-on-inmate victimizations (47%).

Inmate-on-inmate sexual assault victims most often reported being threatened with harm or a weapon (44%) or "persuaded or talked into it" (41%). Staff-on-inmate sexual assault victims were most often "given a bribe or blackmailed" (52%). Two-thirds (67%) of inmate-on-inmate incidents involved one perpetrator, compared to 80% of staffon-inmate incidents.

About half of the victims of inmate-on-inmate sexual assault said the most serious incidents (nonconsensual sexual acts) had occurred only once. One in 7 victims said they had been a victim of a nonconsensual sexual act 11 times or more. Among victims of staff-on-inmate sexual misconduct, 34% said they had unwilling sexual contact once; 15% reported 11 times or more.

One in 4 victims of an inmate-on-inmate assault told someone else within or outside the facility about the incident; about 1 in 7 victims of staff-on-inmate incidents said they reported the incident to someone.

Percent of staff-on-inmate sexual victimizations, by gender of inmate and staff

| | included and other | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | All incidents | Unwilling activity | Willing activity | | | |
| Male inmates | | | | | | |
| Female staff | 61.5% | 47.7% | 78.7% | | | |
| Male staff | 14.4 | 20.4 | 5.0 | | | |
| Both male and female | 13.1 | 17.9 | 8.8 | | | |
| Female inmates | | | | | | |
| Female staff | 1.7% | 1.8% | 1.8% | | | |
| Male staff | 7.7 | 10.2 | 5.0 | | | |
| Both male and female | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.8 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Nearly 62% of all reported incidents of staff sexual misconduct involved female staff with male inmates; 8% involved male staff with female inmates. Female staff were involved in 48% of incidents reported by male inmates who said they were unwilling and in 79% of incidents with male inmates who said they were willing. In an effort to better understand the allegations of staff sexual misconduct, the 2008 NIS will include questions to determine how often sexual contact reported as unwilling occurred in the course of pat downs or strip searches.

Table 8. Circumstances surrounding incidents of inmate sexual victimization in local jails, National Inmate Survey, 2007

| 2007 | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | Inmate-on-inmate | | Staff-on-inmate | |
| | All inci- | Noncon- | All inci- | Llowilling |
| Circumstance | dents | sensual sexual acts | dents | Unwilling activity |
| Number of victims | 12,100 | 5,200 | 15,200 | 10,400 |
| | 12,100 | 3,200 | 13,200 | 10,400 |
| Time of day ^a | 04.40 | 00.40/ | 00.00/ | 00.00 |
| 6 a.m. to noon | 24.19 | | 28.3% | |
| Noon to 6 p.m. | 30.4 | 35.7 | 24.3 | 28.2 |
| 6 p.m. to midnight | 48.4 | 50.8 | 28.0 | 32.4 |
| Midnight to 6 p.m. | 35.5 | 46.6 | 47.0 | 44.1 |
| Where occurred ^a | | | | |
| Victim's cell/room | 56.39 | % 63.7% | 30.3% | 30.0% |
| Another inmate's cell/ | | | | |
| room | 37.2 | 50.0 | 14.5 | 17.3 |
| Shower/bathroom | 19.4 | 29.4 | 22.7 | 24.6 |
| Yard/recreation area Closet, office or other | 14.2 | 14.7 | 9.2 | 10.3 |
| locked room | 10.0 | 16.7 | 47.0 | 47.4 |
| Workshop/kitchen | 8.0 | 11.4 | 26.6 | 29.7 |
| Classroom/library | 5.6 | 9.0 | 20.5 | 24.9 |
| Elsewhere in facility | 5.9 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| Off facility grounds | 6.8 | 10.8 | 14.4 | 15.3 |
| Type of coercion ^a | | | | |
| Persuaded/talked into it | 40.69 | % 56.3% | 35.2% | 42.0% |
| Given bribe/blackmailed | 34.1 | 52.4 | 52.3 | 60.8 |
| Given drugs/alcohol | 16.7 | 29.1 | 24.7 | 32.6 |
| Offered protection from | 00.0 | 44.0 | 00.4 | 00.0 |
| other inmates Threatened with harm or | 26.3 | 41.0 | 22.1 | 29.8 |
| a weapon | 43.7 | 54.3 | 24.6 | 32.1 |
| Physically held down or | | 0 | | 0 |
| restrained | 34.1 | 41.8 | 15.0 | 18.7 |
| Physically harmed/injured | 25.6 | 32.5 | 11.4 | 14.3 |
| Number of perpetrators | | | | |
| One | 66.89 | % 57.8% | 79.6% | 73.49 |
| More than one | 33.2 | 42.2 | 20.4 | 26.6 |
| Number of times | | | | |
| 1 | : | 50.8% | : | 34.3% |
| 2 | : | 13.8 | : | 24.4 |
| 3 to 10 | : | 21.3 | : | 26.3 |
| 11 or more | : | 14.1 | : | 15.0 |
| Reported at least one | | | | |
| incident ^b | 00.00 |)/ 00 00/ | 4 4 407 | 00.00 |
| Yes | 23.99 | | 14.4% | |
| No | 76.1 | 67.0 | 85.6 | 79.8 |

[:] Not calculated.

^aDetail may sum to more than 100% because multiple responses were allowed for each item.

bIndicated at least one incident was reported to facility staff (line staff, medical or mental health staff, teacher, counselor, volunteer, or chaplain), another inmate, or a family member or friend.

Methodology

The National Inmate Survey (NIS) was conducted in 282 local jails between April and December 2007, by RTI International under a cooperative agreement with the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). The NIS comprised two questionnaires—a survey of sexual victimization and a survey of past drug and alcohol use and abuse. Inmates were randomly assigned one of the questionnaires so that, at the time of the interview, the content of the survey remained unknown to facility staff and the survey interviewers.

The interviews, which averaged 26 minutes in length, used computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) and audio computer-assisted self interviewing (ACASI) collection methods. For approximately the first five minutes, survey interviewers conducted a personal interview using CAPI to obtain background data, date of admission, conviction status, and current offense. For the remainder of the interview, respondents interacted with a computer-administered questionnaire using a touch-screen and synchronized audio instructions delivered through headphones. Respondents completed the ACASI portion of the interview in private, with the interviewer either leaving the room or moving away from the computer.

A shorter paper questionnaire was available for inmates who were unable to come to the private interviewing room. The paper form was completed by 223 inmates (0.6% of all sexual violence interviews), primarily those housed in administrative or disciplinary segregation or considered too violent to be interviewed.

Before the interview, inmates were informed verbally and in writing that participation was voluntary and that all information provided would be held in confidence. Interviews were conducted in English (94%) or Spanish (6%).

Selection of local jail facilities

A sample of 303 local jails was drawn to produce a 10% sample of the 3,002 local jail facilities identified in the 2005 Census of Jail Inmates. The 2005 census was a complete enumeration of all jail jurisdictions, including all publicly operated and privately operated facilities under contract to local jail authorities. The 2007 NIS was restricted to jails that had more than five inmates on June 30, 2005. Based on estimates from the 2007 Annual Survey of Jails, these jails held an estimated 772,800 inmates age 18 or older on June 29, 2007.

Local jail facilities were systematically sampled to ensure that at least one jail was selected in each state, except in Alaska (with 14 facilities operated by local municipalities) and in Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Rhode Island, and Vermont, in which there were no jails. In these states, facilities with jail functions were state-operated and were included in the 2007 NIS prison collection.

All jail facilities were selected in a three-step process. First, jails on the sampling frame were sorted by region and

state. Jails in six states were determined to lack a sufficient total number of inmates statewide to meet the one facilityper-state requirement. These facilities were grouped to form separate strata. One facility from each stratum was selected with probability proportionate to size. Overall, six jails in these small states were selected.

Second, 294 jails in the remaining 44 large states and the District of Columbia were selected. Thirty-two were selected with certainty, in that their large population yielded a probability of selection equal to 1.0. After ordering the remaining facilities by region and state, 262 facilities were selected based on their size relative to the total number of inmates in all noncertainty facilities.

Third, two of the selected jails were determined to be multifacility jail jurisdictions (New York City and Cook County, IL). Initial size measures for these jurisdictions included all facilities. As a result, jail facilities in these jurisdictions were enumerated and then sampled—three in New York City and two in Cook County—with probabilities proportionate to the number of inmates in the facility relative to the total reported for the jurisdiction.

Of the 303 selected jails, 21 facilities were excluded from the survey (table 9). Five facilities refused to participate in the survey. Eight facilities were determined to be ineligible, because more than 90% of inmates in each were prearraigned or held for Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) or the U.S. Marshals Service or because the

Table 9. Sampled jail facilities excluded from the survey, National Inmate Survey, 2007

5 facilities refused to participate in the survey:

Decatur Co. Prison (GA) Jefferson Parish Corr. Fac. (LA) Mississippi Co. Jail (MO) Mobile Co. Jail (AL) Rutherford Co. Adult Det. Ctr. (TN)

8 facilities were determined to be ineligible:

Baltimore City Central Booking & Intake Ctr. (MD)^a Broward Co. Work Rel. Ctr. (FL)^b Leavenworth Det. Ctr. (KS)b Los Angeles Co. Mira Loma Fac. (CA)b Onondaga Co. Jail (NY)a Sedgwick Co. Work Rel. Ctr. (KS)^c Val Verde Co. Jail & Corr. Fac. (TX)b Ventura Co. East Valley Branch Jail (CA)^a

8 facilities will be in the 2008 sample with certainty:^d

Columbia Co. Det. Ctr. (FL) Dauphin Co. Prison (PA) Henderson Co. Jail (TX) Jackson Co. Jail (MS) Merced Co. Jail (CA) Philadelphia City Det. Ctr. & Health Serv. Unit (PA) Rutherford Co. Jail (NC) Salt Lake Co. Jail (UT)

^aMore than 90% of inmates were pre-arraigned.

^bMore than 90% of inmates held for ICE or U.S. Marshals.

^cCommunity-based facility.

^dUnable to participate due to lack of space, staffing, or jail renovation/expansion; will be surveyed in 2008, when logistical issues are resolved.

facility was a community-based facility. (The 2008 NIS will include all inmates held for ICE and U.S. Marshals Service.) Eight facilities were unable to participate due to lack of space or staffing or because the jail was being renovated. All expect to be included in the 2008 NIS. All other selected jails participated fully in the survey.

Selection of inmates

The number of inmates sampled in each facility varied based on 5 criteria:

- an expected prevalence rate of sexual victimization of
- · a desired level of precision based on a standard error of 1.75%
- a projected 70% response rate among selected inmates
- a 10% chance among participating inmates of not receiving the sexual victimization questionnaire
- a pre-arraignment adjustment factor equal to 1 in facilities where the status was known for all inmates, and less than 1 in facilities where only the overall proportion of prearraigned was known.

An initial roster of inmates was obtained in the week prior to the start of interviewing at each facility. Inmates under age 18 and inmates who had not been arraigned were deleted from the roster. Each eligible inmate was assigned a random number and sorted in ascending order. Inmates were selected from the list up to the expected number of inmates determined by the sampling criteria.

Due to the dynamic nature of jail populations, a second roster of inmates was obtained on the first day of data collection. Eligible inmates on the second roster who were not on the initial roster were identified. These inmates had either been arraigned since the initial roster was created or were newly admitted to the facility and arraigned. A random sample of these new inmates was selected using the same probability of selection derived from the first roster.

A total of 74,713 inmates were selected. (See appendix table 1 for the number of inmates sampled in each facility.) After selection, an additional 7,314 ineligible inmates were excluded — 6,549 were transferred to another facility before interviewing began, 676 were mentally or physically unable to be interviewed, and 89 were under age 18.

Overall, 45,414 inmates participated in the survey, yielding a response rate of 67%. Approximately 90% of the participating inmates (40,419) received the sexual assault survey. Of all selected inmates, 18% refused to participate in the survey; 4% were not available to be interviewed (e.g., in court, in medical segregation, determined by the facility to be too violent to be interviewed, or restricted from participation by another legal jurisdiction); and 11% were not interviewed due to survey logistics (e.g., language barriers and transfers to another facility after interviewing began).

Weighting and non-response adjustments

Responses from sampled interviewed inmates were weighted to provide national-level and facility-level estimates. Each interviewed inmate was assigned an initial weight corresponding to the inverse of the probability of selection within each sampled facility. A series of adjustment factors were applied to the initial weight to minimize potential bias due to non-response and to provide national estimates.

Bias occurs when the estimated prevalence is different from the actual prevalence for a given facility. In each facility, bias could result if the random sample of inmates did not accurately represent the facility population. Bias could also result if the non-respondents were different from the respondents. Post-stratification and non-response adjustments were made to the data to compensate for these two possibilities. These adjustments included:

- calibration of the weights of the responding inmates within each facility so that the estimates accurately reflected the facility's entire population in terms of known demographic characteristics. (These characteristics included distributions by inmate age, gender, race, date of admission, and sentence length.) This adjustment ensures that the estimates accurately reflect the entire population of the facility and not just the inmates who were randomly sampled.
- calibration of the weights so that the weight from a nonresponding inmate is assigned to a responding inmate with similar demographic characteristics. This adjustment ensures that the estimates accurately reflect the full sample, rather than only the inmates who responded.

For each inmate, these adjustments were based on a generalized exponential model, developed by Folsom and Singh, and applied to the sexual assault survey respondents.2

A final ratio adjustment to each inmate weight was made to provide national-level estimates for the total number of inmates held in jails with an average daily population of more than six inmates at midyear 2007. These ratios represented the estimated number of inmates by gender in the survey estimates and accuracy of the 2007 Annual Survey of Jails divided by the number of inmates by gender in the 2007 NIS after calibration for sampling and non-response.

Survey estimates and accuracy

Survey estimates are subject to sampling error arising from the fact that the estimates are based on a sample rather than a complete enumeration. Within each facility, the estimated sampling error varies by the size of the estimate, the number of completed interviews, and the size of the facility.

²R.E. Folsom, Jr., and A.C. Singh, (2002), "The Generalized Exponential Model for Sampling Weight Calibration for Extreme Values, Nonresponse, and Poststratification," Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods, 598-603.

Estimates of the standard errors for selected measures of sexual victimization are presented in tables 10 and 11 and in appendix tables 2 through 5.

These standard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around survey estimates (that is, numbers, percents, and rates), as well as around differences in these estimates.

For example, the 95% confidence interval around the percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization in the Torrance County Detention Facility (New Mexico) is approximately 13.4% plus or minus 1.96 times 4.1% (or 5.4% to 21.4%). Based on similarly constructed samples, 95% of the intervals would be expected to contain the true (but unknown) percentage.

The standard errors may also be used to construct confidence intervals around differences between facility estimates. For example, the 95% confidence interval comparing the percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization in the Riverside County Robert Presley Detention Center (California), 6.4%, with the Torrance County Detention Facility (New Mexico), 13.4%, may be calculated. The confidence interval around the difference of 7.0% is approximately 1.96 times 4.7% (the square root of the pooled variance estimate, 21.7%). The pooled variance estimate is calculated by taking the square root of the sum of each standard error squared, i.e., the square root of (2.2²) plus (4.1²). Since the interval (-2.2% to 16.2%) contains zero, the difference between the Riverside County facility and the Torrance County facility is not statistically significant.

Exposure period

For purposes of calculating comparative rates of sexual victimization, respondents were asked to provide the most recent date of admission to the current facility. If the date of admission was at least 6 months prior to the date of the interview, inmates were asked questions related to their experiences during the past 6 months. If the admission date was less than 6 months prior to the interview, inmates were asked about their experiences since they had arrived at the facility.

Overall, the average exposure period for sexual victimization among sampled jail inmates was 2.6 months. Among sampled inmates, approximately 20% had been in jail for 2 weeks or less; 15% between 2 weeks and a month; 17% between 1 and 2 months; 30% between 2 and 6 months; and 18% more than 6 months.

Measuring sexual victimization

The survey of sexual victimization relied on the reporting of the direct experience of each inmate, rather than on the reporting on the experience of other inmates. Questions asked related to inmate-on-inmate sexual activity were asked separately from questions related to staff sexual misconduct. (For specific survey questions see appendices 7 and 8.)

Table 10. Standard errors for the prevalence of inmate sexual victimization for characteristics of jail inmates, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Percent of inmates reporting sexual

| | victimization ^a | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Inmate characteristic | Total | Inmate-on-inmate | Staff-on- inmate | Nonconsen- sual sexual acts | |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Male | 0.11% | 0.08% | 0.09% | 0.09% | |
| Female | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.23 | 0.22 | |
| Race/Hispanic origin | | | | | |
| White ^b | 0.24% | 0.16% | 0.16% | 0.16% | |
| Black ^b | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.13 | |
| Hispanic | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.31 | |
| Other ^{b,c} | 0.74 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.60 | |
| Two or more races ^b | 0.57 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.49 | |
| | | | | | |
| Age | 0.070/ | 0.240/ | 0.570/ | 0.500/ | |
| 18-19 | 0.67% | | 0.57% | 0.59% | |
| 20-24 25-34 | 0.52 0.27 | 0.24 0.16 | 0.37 0.19 | 0.36 0.20 | |
| 25-3 4 35-44 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.20 | |
| 45-54 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.14 | |
| 55 or older | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.19 | |
| 33 of older | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.29 | 0.40 | |
| Education | | | | | |
| Less than high school | 0.16% | | 0.11% | 0.12% | |
| High school graduate | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.24 | |
| Some college ^d | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.29 | |
| College degree or | 0.70 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.50 | |
| more | 0.73 | 0.57 | 0.53 | 0.53 | |
| Sexual orientation | | | | | |
| Heterosexual | 0.11% | 0.07% | 0.08% | 0.08% | |
| Bi-sexual | 0.96 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.79 | |
| Homosexual | 1.85 | 1.90 | 2.09 | 2.03 | |
| Other | 1.49 | 1.05 | 1.30 | 1.37 | |
| Number of prior sex- ual partners | | | | | |
| 0-1 | 0.22% | 0.17% | 0.18% | 0.19% | |
| 2-4 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.20 | |
| 5-10 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | |
| 11-20 | 0.45 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.23 | |
| 21 or more | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | |
| Prior sexual assault | | | | | |
| Yes | 0.55% | 0.49% | 0.38% | 0.49% | |
| No | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.08 | |
| Sexually assaulted at another facility | | | | | |
| Yes | 2.64% | 2.88% | 1.71% | 2.08% | |
| No | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.09 | |

^aPercent of inmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff in the past 6 months or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months.

^bExcludes persons of Hispanic or Latino origin.

^cIncludes American Indians, Alaska Natives, Asians, Native Hawaiians, and other Pacific Islanders.

^dIncludes persons with associate degrees.

The ACASI survey began with a series of questions that screened for specific sexual activities, without restriction, including both wanted and unwanted sex or sexual contacts with other inmates. As a means to fully measure all sexual activities, questions related to the touching of body parts in a sexual way were followed by questions related to explicit giving or receiving of sexual gratification and questions related to acts involving oral, anal, or vaginal sex. The nature of coercion (including use of physical force, pressure, or other forms of coercion) was measured for each type of reported sexual activity.

ACASI survey items related to staff sexual misconduct were asked in a different order. Inmates were first asked about being pressured or being made to feel they had to have sex or sexual contact with the staff and then asked about being physically forced. In addition, inmates were asked if any facility staff had offered favors or special privileges in exchange for sex. Finally, inmates were asked if they willingly had sex or sexual contact with staff. All reports of sex or sexual contact between an inmate and facility staff, regardless of the level of coercion, were classified as staff sexual misconduct.

The ACASI survey included additional questions related to both inmate-on-inmate and staff-on-inmate sexual victimization. These questions, known as latent class measures, were included to assess the reliability of the survey questionnaire. After being asked detailed questions, all inmates were asked a series of general questions to determine if they had experienced any type of unwanted sex or sexual contact with another inmate or had any sex or sexual contact with staff. (See appendix 9.)

The entire ACASI questionnaire (listed as National Inmate Survey) and the shorter paper and pencil survey form (PAPI) are available on the BJS web site at http:// www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/correct.htm#Programs>.

Definition of terms

Sexual victimization — all types of sexual activity, e.g., oral, anal, or vaginal penetration; handjobs; touching of the inmate's buttocks, thighs, penis, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way; abusive sexual contacts; and both willing and unwilling sexual activity with staff.

Nonconsensual sexual acts — unwanted contacts with another inmate or any contacts with staff that involved oral, anal, vaginal penetration, handjobs, and other sexual acts.

Abusive sexual contacts only — unwanted contacts with another inmate or any contacts with staff that involved touching of the inmate's buttocks, thigh, penis, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way.

Unwilling activity — incidents of unwanted sexual contacts with another inmate or staff.

Willing activity — incidents of willing sexual contacts with staff. These contacts are characterized by the reporting inmates as willing; however, all sexual contacts between inmates and staff are legally nonconsensual.

Table 11. Standard errors for circumstances surrounding incidents of sexual victimization in local jails, by type of incident, National Inmate Survey, 2007

| incident, National Init | | -on-inmate | Staff-o | n-inmate |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Circumstance | All inci- dents | Nonconsensual sexual acts | All inci- dents | Unwilling activity |
| Number of victims | 12,100 | 5,200 | 15,200 | 10,400 |
| Time of day | | | | |
| 6 a.m. to noon | 2.09% | 2.99% | 2.78% | 3.32% |
| Noon to 6 p.m. | 2.31 | 3.45 | 1.64 | 2.30 |
| 6 p.m. to midnight | 2.75 | 3.29 | 1.76 | 2.05 |
| Midnight to 6 p.m. | 3.00 | 3.21 | 2.50 | 4.20 |
| Where occurred | | | | |
| Victim's cell/room Another inmate's cell/ | 2.69% | 2.95% | 1.77% | 3.07% |
| room | 2.42 | 3.35 | 1.71 | 2.52 |
| Shower/bathroom | 1.88 | 3.01 | 2.35 | 2.82 |
| Yard/recreation area Closet, office, or other | 1.67 | 2.38 | 1.47 | 1.65 |
| locked room | 1.32 | 2.44 | 2.58 | 2.61 |
| Workshop/kitchen | 1.21 | 2.14 | 1.79 | 2.41 |
| Classroom/library | 1.01 | 1.93 | 1.63 | 2.35 |
| Elsewhere in facility | 1.13 | 1.18 | 1.05 | 1.10 |
| Off facility grounds | 1.11 | 2.04 | 1.71 | 1.99 |
| Type of coercion | | | | |
| Persuaded/talked into it Given a bribe/black- | | | 2.23% | |
| mailed | 2.48 | 3.33 | 2.70 | 2.61 |
| Given drugs/alcohol Offered protection from | | 3.17 | 1.70 | 2.32 |
| other inmates Threatened with harm | 2.17 | 3.04 | 1.67 | 2.38 |
| or a weapon Physically held down or | 2.93 | 3.51 | 2.57 | 3.37 |
| restrained Physically harmed/ | 3.07 | 3.59 | 1.87 | 2.49 |
| injured | 3.30 | 3.16 | 1.57 | 2.15 |
| Number of perpetrators More than one | 3.09% | 3.12% | 2.25% | 2.88% |
| Number of times | 2.0070 | / • | /0 | |
| number of times | | 3.37% | | 2.99% |
| 2 | | 3.37% 2.32 | | 2.99% |
| 3 to 10 | | 2.79 | | 2.36 |
| 11 or more | | 2.79 | | 2.30 |
| | | 2.30 | • | 2.10 |
| Reported at least one in Yes | ncident* 2.05% | 2 170/ | 1.89% | 2 60% |
| 165 | 2.05% | 3.17% | 1.89% | 2.60% |

[:] Not calculated.

^{*}Indicated at least one incident was reported to facility staff (line staff, medical or mental health staff, teacher, counselor, volunteer, or chaplain), another inmate, or a family member or friend.

U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs **Bureau of Justice Statistics**

PRESORTED STANDARD POSTAGE & FEES PAID DOJ/BJS Permit No. G-91

Washington, DC 20531

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

> The Bureau of Justice Statistics is the statistical agency of the U.S. Department of Justice. Michael D. Sinclair is the Acting Director.

> Allen J. Beck and Paige M. Harrison wrote this report. The statistical unit of RTI, under Marcus Berzofsky, produced the appendix tables. Allen J. Beck, Paige M. Harrison, Paul Guerino, and RTI staff provided statistical review and verification. Tina Dorsey produced the report, Catherine Bird edited it, and Jayne Robinson prepared the report for publication, under the supervision of Doris J. James.

Paige M. Harrison, under the supervision of Allen J. Beck, was project manager for the National Inmate Survey. RTI, International staff, under a cooperative agreement and in collaboration with BJS, designed the survey, developed the questionnaires, and monitored data collection and data processing, including Rachel Caspar, Principal Investigator/Instrumentation Task Leader; Christopher Krebs, Co-principal Investigator; Ellen Stutts, Co-principal Investigator and Data Collection Task Leader; Susan Brumbaugh, Logistics Task Leader; Jamia Bachrach, Human Subjects Task Leader; David Forvendel, Research Computing Task Leader; Ralph Folsom, Senior Statistician; and Marcus Berzofsky, Statistics Task Leader.

June 2008 NCJ 221946

This report in portable document format and in ASCII and its related statistical data and tables are available at the BJS World Wide Web Internet site: bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov/index.cfm?ty=pbdetail&iid=1148>.

Office of Justice Programs

Innovation • Partnerships • Safer Neighborhoods http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov

| | Number | Number | Number | | nber of respond | |
|---|---|---|---|--------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Facility name | Number of inmates in custody ^a | Number of inmates sampled | Number of ineligible inmates ^b | Total | Sexual victimization survey | Response rate ^c |
| Total | 306,598 | 74,713 | 7,314 | 45,414 | 40,419 | 67.4% |
| Alabama | | | | | | |
| Anniston City Jail | 67 | 67 | 24 | 30 | 26 | 69.8 |
| Bullock Co. Jail | 33 | 30 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 40.7 |
| Etowah Co. Det. Ctr. | 590 | 265 | 2 | 205 | 185 | 77.9 |
| Jackson Co. Jail | 187 | 164 | 15 | 113 | 102 | 75.8 |
| Limestone Co. Jail | 220 | 179 | 22 | 105 | 96 | 66.9 |
| Shelby Co. Jail | 509 | 252 | 30 | 149 | 134 | 67.1 |
| Arizona | | | | | | |
| Coconino Co. Jail | 596 | 278 | 32 | 150 | 134 | 61.0 |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue | 2,009 | 323 | 15 | 227 | 201 | 73.7 |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango | 2,366 | 345 | 42 | 259 | 232 | 85.5 |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^d | 1,160 | 315 | 41 | 199 | 179 | 72.6 |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye | 2,446 | 343 | 29 | 231 | 203 | 73.6 |
| Pinal Co. Jail | 1,100 | 330 | 2 | 205 | 182 | 62.5 |
| Arkansas | ., | 555 | - | 200 | .02 | 32.0 |
| Searcy Co. Jail | 11 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 72.7 |
| California | • • | • | Ŭ | J | J | |
| Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail | 4,183 | 358 | 45 | 184 | 161 | 58.8 |
| Fresno Co. Det. Fac Main Jail | 1,005 | 315 | 29 | 149 | 130 | 52.1 |
| Imperial Co. Jail | 569 | 276 | 52 | 156 | 134 | 69.6 |
| Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac. | 1,322 | 322 | 30 | 206 | 183 | 70.5 |
| Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail | 5,847 | 429 | 63 | 158 | 132 | 43.2 |
| Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac. | 4,307 | 363 | 31 | 200 | 174 | 60.2 |
| Los Angeles Co. North Con. Fac. Los Angeles Co. Pitchess Honor Rancho Jail - North | 1,681 | 321 | 16 | 204 | 183 | 66.9 |
| | 4,118 | 389 | 135 | 108 | 95 | 42.5 |
| Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac. | | | 67 | 216 | | 42.5 77.1 |
| Orange Co. Central Jail Complex | 2,701 | 347 | | | 196 | 86.0 |
| Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac. | 1,186 | 326 | 19 | 264 | 240 | |
| Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr. | 595 | 256 | 17 | 188 | 168 | 78.7 |
| Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr. | 734 | 278 | 30 | 164 | 141 | 66.1 |
| Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr. | 2,384 | 341 | 26 | 205 | 186 | 65.1 |
| Sacramento Co. Main Jail | 2,340 | 349 | 49 | 221 | 200 | 73.7 |
| San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr. | 2,997 | 348 | 42 | 156 | 135 | 51.0 |
| San Bernardino Co Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr. | 1,185 | 297 | 18 | 225 | 208 | 80.6 |
| San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr. | 942 | 312 | 12 | 241 | 216 | 80.3 |
| San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac. | 1,724 | 322 | 24 | 214 | 195 | 71.8 |
| San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac.d | 735 | 267 | 21 | 177 | 162 | 72.0 |
| San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1 | 479 | 287 | 43 | 136 | 119 | 55.7 |
| San Joaquin Co. Jail | 1,752 | 335 | 46 | 203 | 182 | 70.2 |
| Santa Barbara Co. Jail | 1,068 | 317 | 26 | 218 | 183 | 74.9 |
| Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex | 4,943 | 340 | 92 | 170 | 148 | 68.5 |
| Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North | 845 | 267 | 16 | 161 | 143 | 64.1 |
| Stanislaus Co. Public Safety Ctr. | 746 | 271 | 14 | 179 | 165 | 69.6 |
| Tulare Co. Men's Corr. Fac. | 1,673 | 308 | 21 | 220 | 206 | 76.7 |
| Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road | 847 | 283 | 10 | 202 | 183 | 74.0 |
| Colorado | | | | | | |
| Adams Co. Det. Fac. | 1,469 | 304 | 38 | 190 | 177 | 71.4 |
| Arapahoe Co. Jail | 1,296 | 315 | 20 | 191 | 162 | 64.7 |
| El Paso Co. Det. Fac. | 1,704 | 379 | 62 | 233 | 200 | 73.5 |
| Garfield Co. Jail | 109 | 109 | 9 | 72 | 66 | 72.0 |
| Prowers Co. Jail | 31 | 31 | 9 | 20 | 19 | 90.9 |
| Weld Co. Jail | 523 | 266 | 30 | 180 | 159 | 76.3 |
| District of Columbia | | | | | | |
| D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail | 3,226 | | 20 | 206 | | 64.4 |

| Appendix table 1. Characteristics of local j | , | | | - | umber of respor | ndents |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Number | Number | Number | | Sexual | |
| Facility name | of inmates in custody ^a | of inmates sampled | of ineligible inmates ^b | Total | victimization survey | Response rate ^c |
| Florida | | | | | | |
| Alachua Co. Jail | 1,167 | 307 | 16 | 218 | 191 | 74.9 |
| Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. | 2,000 | 327 | 30 | 247 | 228 | 83.2 |
| Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac. | 1,388 | 316 | 24 | 188 | 172 | 64.4 |
| Broward Co. Main Jail | 1,788 | 373 | 88 | 134 | 119 | 47.0 |
| Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach | 1,092 | 312 | 26 | 175 | 161 | 61.2 |
| Broward Co. Stockade | 689 | 292 | 50 | 148 | 130 | 61.2 |
| Collier Co. Jail | 1,300 | 307 | 19 | 172 | 157 | 59.7 |
| Dixie Co. Jail | 106 | 105 | 8 | 65 | 56 | 67.0 |
| Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail | 2,524 | 338 | 27 | 223 | 202 | 71.7 |
| Hillsborough Co. Orient Road Jail | 2,109 | 380 | 78 | 187 | 167 | 61.9 |
| Jackson Co. Corr. Fac. | 237 | 186 | 9 | 126 | 111 | 71.2 |
| Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr. | 786 | 284 | 8 | 213 | 186 | 77.2 |
| Lake Co. Jail | 1,278 | 318 | 40 | 180 | 163 | 64.7 |
| Lee Co. Jail | 670 | 275 | 40 | 95 | 87 | 40.4 |
| Marion Co. Jail | 2,102 | 325 | 12 | 247 | 228 | 78.9 |
| Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr. | 2,102 | 336 | 16 | 203 | 183 | 63.4 |
| Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr. Miami-Dade Co. Training & Treatment Ctr. | 2,905 1,355 | 352 | 25 | 173 | 151 | 52.9 |
| | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr. Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr. | 1,204 4,295 | 295 343 | 26 31 | 152 206 | 134 192 | 56.5 66.0 |
| • | | | | | | |
| Orange Co. Work Release Ctr. | 300 824 | 203 | 9 | 115 174 | 104 | 59.3 67.2 |
| Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac. | | 307 | 48 | | 152 | |
| Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.) | 1,506 | 292 | 33 | 151 | 133 | 58.3 |
| Sarasota North Co. Jail | 1,120 | 294 | 8 | 178 | 156 | 62.2 |
| Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac. | 1,235 | 319 | 31 | 200 | 169 | 69.4 |
| South Co. Jail | 1,444 | 294 | 3 | 177 | 157 | 60.8 |
| St. Johns Co. Jail | 579 | 266 | 18 | 197 | 173 | 79.4 |
| Georgia | | _ | _ | | | |
| Atlanta City Jail | 731 | 432 | 53 | 157 | 145 | 41.4 |
| Bartow Co. Jail | 556 | 245 | 15 | 160 | 137 | 69.6 |
| Carroll Co. Jail | 520 | 253 | 24 | 186 | 162 | 81.2 |
| Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit | 2,973 | 341 | 28 | 244 | 221 | 78.0 |
| Coweta Co. Jail | 365 | 230 | 31 | 140 | 121 | 70.4 |
| Crisp Co. Jail | 169 | 154 | 37 | 90 | 79 | 76.9 |
| Dekalb Co. Jail | 3,365 | 354 | 22 | 236 | 215 | 71.1 |
| Dooly Co. Jail | 66 | 65 | 4 | 44 | 34 | 72.1 |
| Dougherty Co. Jail | 863 | 285 | 23 | 178 | 164 | 67.9 |
| Floyd Co. Jail | 730 | 280 | 26 | 188 | 173 | 74.0 |
| Fulton Co. Jail | 2,464 | 367 | 59 | 206 | 187 | 66.9 |
| Gwinnett Co. Comprehensive Corr. Complex | 521 | 246 | 7 | 178 | 163 | 74.5 |
| Gwinnett Co. Jail | 2,826 | 342 | 33 | 230 | 203 | 74.4 |
| Muscogee Co. Jail | 1,439 | 319 | 45 | 213 | 180 | 77.7 |
| Paulding Co. Det. Ctr. | 347 | 228 | 24 | 94 | 83 | 46.1 |
| Pelham Municipal Jail | 143 | 140 | 3 | 73 | 67 | 53.3 |
| Richmond Co. Corr. Inst. | 243 | 183 | 4 | 120 | 107 | 67.0 |
| daho | 0 | .00 | • | 120 | | 01.0 |
| Bingham Co. Jail | 134 | 134 | 29 | 51 | 45 | 48.6 |
| Ilinois | 104 | 10-7 | 20 | 01 | -10 | +0.0 |
| Coles Co. Jail | 97 | 94 | 0 | 78 | 70 | 83.0 |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 2 | 2,080 | 356 | 44 | 203 | 182 | 65.1 |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 2 Cook Co. Jail - Division 11 | 2,080 1,593 | 329 | 44 | 203 | 182 | 72.7 |
| | | | | | | |
| Ogle Co. Jail | 39 | 39 | 4 | 22 | 20 15 | 62.9 |
| Pulaski Co. Tri-County Justice & Det. Ctr. ^e | 200 | 200 | 0 | 17 | 15 172 | 8.5 |
| Will Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 991 | 364 | 100 | 192 | 172 | 72.7 |
| ndiana | | | | | | • • • |
| Daviess Co. Jail | 186 | 167 | 11_ | 100 | 90 | 64.1 |
| Hamilton Co. Jail | 375 | 234 | 7 | 144 | 130 | 63.4 |
| Harrison Co. Jail | 147 | 147 | 3 | 76 | 71 | 52.8 |
| Hendricks Co. Jail | 300 | 211 | 24 | 102 | 88 | 54.5 |
| Lake Co. Jail | 959 | 291 | 19 | 183 | 165 | 67.3 |
| | | | | | | |
| Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac. | 258 | 234 | 76 | 90 | 80 | 57.0 |

| | | | Number of respondents | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Number of inmates | Number of inmates | Number of ineligible | | Sexual victimization | |
| Facility name | in custody ^a | sampled | inmates ^b | Total | survey | rate ^ċ |
| lowa | | | | | | |
| Polk Co. Jail | 1,150 | 302 | 189 | 83 | 74 | 73.5 |
| Story Co. Jail | 81 | 81 | 14 | 42 | 38 | 62.7 |
| Kansas | | | | | | |
| Atchison Co. Jail | 77 | 77 | 0 | 44 | 39 | 57.1 |
| Kentucky | | | | | | |
| Boyd Co. Jail | 280 | 206 | 23 | 118 | 107 | 64.5 |
| Daviess Co. Det. Ctr. | 681 | 282 | 27 | 202 | 178 | 79.2 |
| Grant Co. Jail | 360 | 216 | 8 | 134 | 119 | 64.4 |
| Hardin Co. Det. Ctr. | 616 | 263 | 9 | 203 | 179 | 79.9 |
| Kentucky River Reg. Jail | 266 | 197 | 23 | 111 | 92 | 63.8 |
| Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr. | 1,323 | 319 | 21 | 188 | 161 | 63.1 |
| Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr. | 1,960 537 | 333 | 34 | 179 143 | 155 120 | 59.9 |
| Warren Co. Reg. Jail Louisiana | 557 | 255 | 21 | 143 | 120 | 61.1 |
| Ascension Parish Jail | 297 | 202 | 14 | 152 | 137 | 80.9 |
| Avoyelles Parish Bunkie Det. Ctr. | 316 | 202 | 3 | 173 | 150 | 86.1 |
| Caldwell Parish Jails (2 facilities) | 566 | 204 252 | 3 7 | 227 | 210 | 92.7 |
| Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^e | 796 | 385 | 28 | 311 | 272 | 92. <i>1</i> 87.1 |
| East Baton Rouge Prison | 1,638 | 313 | 18 | 240 | 202 | 81.4 |
| Franklin Parish Jail | 713 | 266 | 8 | 230 | 205 | 89.1 |
| La Fourche Parish Jail | 264 | 245 | 19 | 173 | 151 | 76.5 |
| Lafayette Parish Corr. Center | 998 | 286 | 15 | 232 | 206 | 85.6 |
| Sabine Parish Det. Ctr. | 115 | 115 | 5 | 82 | 76 | 74.5 |
| St. Bernard Parish Prison | 181 | 167 | 29 | 115 | 104 | 83.3 |
| St. Tammany Parish Jail | 977 | 298 | 30 | 206 | 174 | 76.9 |
| Terrebonne Parish Jail | 697 | 274 | 19 | 236 | 215 | 92.5 |
| Maine | | | | | | |
| Androscoggin Co. Jail | 116 | 116 | 21 | 64 | 55 | 67.4 |
| Maryland | | | | | | |
| Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac. | 1,197 | 308 | 23 | 187 | 172 | 65.6 |
| Baltimore City Det. Ctr. | 2,966 | 358 | 28 | 207 | 182 | 62.7 |
| Cecil Co. Comm. Adult Rehab. Ctr. | 49 | 49 | 5 | 33 | 32 | 75.0 |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. | 740 | 278 | 17 | 202 | 181 | 77.4 |
| Washington Co. Det. Ctr. | 425 | 238 | 19 | 154 | 142 | 70.3 |
| Massachusetts | | | | | | |
| Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac. | 444 | 230 | 12 | 169 | 149 | 77.5 |
| Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 363 | 216 | 3 | 185 | 159 | 86.9 |
| Hampden Co. Western Massachusetts Corr. Alcohol Ctr. | 184 | 160 | 5 | 131 | 117 | 84.5 |
| Middlesex Co. House of Corr Billerica | 1,245 | 289 | 43 | 161 | 151 | 65.4 |
| Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac. | 1,611 | 307 | 10 | 198 | 174 | 66.7 |
| Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 1,465 | 303 | 10 | 198 | 179 | 67.6 |
| Michigan | 054 | 100 | 6 | 447 | 100 | 62.0 |
| Bay Co. Jail | 251 394 | 189 222 | 6 30 | 117 130 | 108 126 | 63.9 |
| Kalamazoo Co. Jail Kent Co. Corr. Fac. | 394 1,401 | 303 | 30 20 | 139 228 | 126 199 | 72.4 80.6 |
| | 37 | 303 37 | 9 | | 22 | |
| Montmorency Co. Jail Oakland Co. Jail | 1,800 | 352 | 9 40 | 25 231 | 204 | 89.3 74.0 |
| Ottawa Co. Jail | 444 | 244 | 40 27 | 176 | 162 | 81.1 |
| Wayne Co. Andrew C. Baird Det. Fac. | 2,088 | 600 | 68 | 165 | 149 | 31.0 |
| Wayne Co. William Dickerson Det. Fac. | 1,219 | 376 | 87 | 177 | 153 | 61.2 |
| Minnesota | 1,210 | 57.0 | 01 | 111 | 100 | 01.2 |
| Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr. | 964 | 327 | 64 | 150 | 133 | 57.0 |
| Koochiching Co. Law Enforcement Ctr. | 20 | 20 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 100.0 |
| Mississippi | _0 | _0 | ~ | | | |
| Madison Co. Jail | 533 | 287 | 15 | 227 | 212 | 83.5 |
| Tippah Co. Jail | 38 | 38 | 3 | 29 | 26 | 82.9 |
| Missouri | | | - | | _• | |
| Clay Co. Det. Ctr. | 305 | 205 | 15 | 133 | 122 | 70.0 |
| Jackson Co. Municipal Corr. Inst. | 219 | 196 | 48 | 63 | 55 | 42.6 |
| St. Louis Co. Jail | 1,270 | 315 | 24 | 218 | 192 | 74.9 |
| Wayne Co. Jail | 16 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 85.7 |

| | | | | N | umber of respor | ndents |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | Number | Number | Number | | Sexual | |
| Facility name | of inmates in custody ^a | of inmates sampled | of ineligible inmates ^b | Total | victimization survey | Respons rate ^c |
| Montana | iii odotody | campica | iiiiiatoo | Total | Guivey | 1010 |
| Cascade Co. Reg. Jail | 391 | 233 | 5 | 136 | 120 | 59.6 |
| Vebraska | 391 | 233 | 3 | 130 | 120 | 39.0 |
| Douglas Dept. of Corr. | 1,277 | 305 | 31 | 165 | 146 | 60.2 |
| Nevada | 1,277 | 305 | 31 | 100 | 140 | 00.2 |
| Clark Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.250 | 260 | 20 | 204 | 100 | 64.0 |
| | 3,259 | 368 383 | 38 61 | 204 175 | 180 156 | 61.8 54.3 |
| Las Vegas City Det. Ctr. | 1,172 | | | | | |
| Washoe Co. Det. Ctr. | 1,284 | 382 | 52 | 264 | 233 | 80.0 |
| New Hampshire | F7F | 000 | 40 | 450 | 4.40 | 04.0 |
| Hillsborough Co. House of Corr. | 575 | 260 | 16 | 158 | 146 | 64.8 |
| New Jersey | | | | | | |
| Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac. | 1,403 | 317 | 13 | 145 | 125 | 47.7 |
| Camden Co. Corr. Fac. | 1,798 | 324 | 24 | 240 | 213 | 80.0 |
| Essex Co. Corr. Fac. | 2,306 | 345 | 23 | 201 | 178 | 62.4 |
| Hudson Co. Corr. Fac. | 1,825 | 320 | 14 | 226 | 198 | 73.9 |
| Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr. | 920 | 450 | 36 | 209 | 186 | 50.5 |
| Morris Co. Corr. Fac. | 348 | 230 | 32 | 137 | 121 | 69.2 |
| Union Co. Jail | 1,000 | 294 | 26 | 182 | 163 | 67.9 |
| New Mexico | | | | | | |
| Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr. | 3,064 | 341 | 25 | 132 | 117 | 41.8 |
| San Juan Co. Det. Ctr. | 740 | 296 | 32 | 205 | 191 | 77.7 |
| Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac. | 597 | 264 | 19 | 171 | 147 | 69.8 |
| Torrance Co. Det. Fac. ^e | 241 | 185 | 8 | 71 | 67 | 40.1 |
| New York | | .00 | · · | | • | |
| Albany Co. Corr. Fac. | 853 | 297 | 19 | 150 | 140 | 54.0 |
| Erie Co. Corr. Fac. | 1,072 | 326 | 26 | 214 | 196 | 71.3 |
| Erie Co. Holding Ctr. | 716 | 324 | 41 | 133 | 118 | 47.0 |
| Franklin Co. Jail | 114 | 110 | 7 | 89 | 81 | 86.4 |
| | | 334 | 20 | 172 | | |
| New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr. | 2,565 | | | | 150 | 54.8 |
| New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr. | 1,279 | 319 | 44 | 175 | 157 | 63.6 |
| New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr.d | 1,109 | 308 | 20 | 195 | 178 | 67.7 |
| Oswego Co. Corr. Fac. | 139 | 133 | 5 | 92 | 85 | 71.9 |
| Westchester Co. Penitentiary | 667 | 271 | 16 | 202 | 183 | 79.2 |
| North Carolina | | | | | | |
| Cabarrus Co. Jail | 265 | 195 | 45 | 68 | 61 | 45.3 |
| Chowan Co. Det. Fac. | 37 | 32 | 4 | 16 | 15 | 57.1 |
| Cleveland Co. | 267 | 226 | 30 | 122 | 108 | 62.2 |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail | 2,386 | 365 | 42 | 217 | 192 | 67.2 |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North | 737 | 276 | 14 | 161 | 139 | 61.5 |
| New Hanover Co. Det. Ctr. | 567 | 277 | 34 | 136 | 117 | 56.0 |
| Wake Co. Jail | 1,416 | 311 | 30 | 201 | 179 | 71.5 |
| North Dakota | ., | 0 | | _0. | | |
| Cass Co. Jail | 222 | 203 | 27 | 126 | 110 | 71.6 |
| Ohio | 222 | 200 | 21 | 120 | 110 | 7 1.0 |
| Cuyahoga Co. Corr. Ctr. | 2,173 | 366 | 32 | 211 | 186 | 63.2 |
| Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I | 2,173 2,714 | 383 | 32 62 | 187 | 174 | 58.3 |
| | | | | | | |
| Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr. | 1,240 | 316 | 31 | 214 | 186 | 75.1 |
| Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol Treatment | | 147 | 8 | 121 | 103 | 87.1 |
| Marion-Hardin Co. Multi-County Corr. Ctr. | 184 | 183 | 39 | 64 | 61 | 44.4 |
| Northwest Ohio Reg. Corr. Ctr. | 662 | 289 | 44 | 172 | 154 | 70.2 |
| River City Corr. Fac. | 185 | 158 | 0 | 138 | 124 | 87.3 |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail | 204 | 204 | 37 | 95 | 85 | 56.9 |
| Oklahoma | | | | | | |
| Mayes Co. Jail | 118 | 118 | 0 | 46 | 40 | 39.0 |
| Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr. | 2,021 | 322 | 33 | 218 | 194 | 75.4 |
| Rogers Co. Jail | 182 | 179 | 18 | 126 | 108 | 78.3 |
| Oregon Oregon | | | | | | |
| Coos Co. Jail | 100 | 100 | 13 | 65 | 58 | 74.7 |
| Marion Co. Corr. Fac. | 602 | 275 | 35 | 187 | 169 | 77.9 |
| Washington Co. Jail | 638 | 288 | 40 | 175 | 157 | 70.6 |
| | | | TU | 110 | 101 | , 0.0 |

| | Number Number Number Soyuel | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|---|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| icility name | Number of inmates in custody ^a | Number of inmates sampled | Number of ineligible inmates ^b | Total | Sexual victimization survey | Response rate ^c | | |
| nnsylvania | | | | | | | | |
| Allegheny Co. Jail | 2,817 | 345 | 13 | 257 | 230 | 77.4 | | |
| Berks Co. Prison | 1,325 | 313 | 34 | 211 | 176 | 75.6 | | |
| Blair Co. Prison | 298 | 204 | 19 | 151 | 133 | 81.6 | | |
| Erie Co. Prison | 564 | 258 | 14 | 193 | 164 | 79.1 | | |
| ancaster Co. Prison | 1,248 | 298 | 10 | 204 | 180 | 70.8 | | |
| ycoming Co. Pre-Release Ctr. | 59 | 59 | 2 | 42 | 38 | 73.7 | | |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. | 1,738 | 320 | 19 | 195 | 170 | 64.8 | | |
| Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac. | | 248 | 13 | 157 | 141 | 66.8 | | |
| Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac. | 3,125 | 345 | 25 | 219 | 189 | 68.4 | | |
| Philadelphia City House of Corr. | 1,700 | 319 | 14 | 227 | 198 | 74.4 | | |
| Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr. | 1,700 | 293 | 10 | 202 | 180 | 71.4 | | |
| ork Co. Prison | 2,199 | 334 | 12 | 202 | 188 | 65.5 | | |
| th Carolina | ۷,۱۶۶ | JJ4 | 14 | 211 | 100 | 00.0 | | |
| Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr. | 336 | 212 | 17 | 146 | 133 | 74.9 | | |
| | 336 377 | 212 | 17 15 | 129 | 115 | 60.3 | | |
| erkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr. harleston Co. Det. Ctr. | 377 1,769 | 229 329 | 40 | 170 | 148 | 58.8 | | |
| lorence Co. Det. Ctr. | 458 | 329 247 | 40 21 | 170 | 163 | 58.8 79.6 | | |
| ancaster Co. Det. Ctr. | 458 198 | 247 194 | 30 | 81 | 70 | 79.6 49.4 | | |
| ancaster Co. Det. Ctr. sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr. | 361 | 219 | 30 16 | 140 | 70 129 | 49.4 69.0 | | |
| th Dakota | 301 | 213 | 10 | 140 | 128 | 09.0 | | |
| | 206 | 252 | 20 | 122 | 101 | E0 6 | | |
| ennington Co. Jail nessee | 386 | 252 | 29 | 133 | 121 | 59.6 | | |
| | 750 | 272 | 20 | 104 | 00 | 40.6 | | |
| vidson Co. Criminal Justice Ctr. | 758 | | 28 | 104 | 90 | 42.6 | | |
| eene Co. Det. Ctr. | 324 64 | 213 64 | 19 7 | 110 41 | 103 35 | 56.7 71.9 | | |
| nox Co. Work Release Ctr. | 71 | | | | | 71.9 88.1 | | |
| adison Co. Penal Farm | | 71 | 4 | 59 | 54 | | | |
| nelby Co. Corr. Ctr. | 3,142 | 330 | 17 | 229 | 199 | 73.2 80.8 | | |
| nelby Co. Justice Ctr. | 2,995 727 | 343 | 30 | 253 | 224 | 75.6 | | |
| ıllivan Co. Jail | | 275 | 13 | 198 | 184 | | | |
| oton Co. Jail | 172 | 172 | 12 | 119 | 111 | 74.4 | | |
| arren Co. Jail | 216 | 180 | 14 | 113 | 102 | 68.1 | | |
| Secretary Adult Dat Ctr | 4 4 70 | 440 | 67 | 450 | 4.45 | 44.4 | | |
| exar Co. Adult Det. Ctr. | 4,179 | 418 | 67 | 156 | 145 | 44.4 | | |
| owie Co. Corr. Ctr. | 757 | 274 | 24 | 155 | 138 | 62.0 | | |
| razoria Co. Jail & Det. Ctr. | 932 | 319 | 40 16 | 198 | 181 | 71.0 | | |
| ameron Co. Jail | 1,368 | 308 | 16 | 118 | 100 | 40.4 | | |
| allas Co. Decker Det. Ctr. | 455 | 275 | 46 | 152 | 134 | 66.4 | | |
| allas Co. George Allen Jail | 789 | 287 | 24 | 163 | 146 | 62.0 | | |
| Vallas Co. North Tower Jail | 3,185 | 344 | 27 | 222 | 202 | 70.0 | | |
| Oallas Co. West Tower Jail | 1,386 | 322 | 18 | 173 | 156 | 56.9 | | |
| Penton Co. Det. Ctr. | 1,018 | 296 | 16 | 213 | 192 | 76.1 | | |
| l Paso Co. Jail Annex | 1,426 | 305 | 18 | 203 | 181 | 70.7 | | |
| Salveston Co. Jail | 1,206 | 320 | 32 | 194 | 170 | 67.4 | | |
| Gregg Co. Jail | 952 | 314 | 43 | 176 | 161 | 64.9 | | |
| Harris Co. Jail | 4,634 | 351 | 25 | 257 | 229 | 78.8 | | |
| larris Co. Jail - Baker Street | 4,537 | 351 | 35 | 248 | 216 | 78.5 | | |
| askell Co. Rolling Plains Reg. Jail & Det. Ctr.e | 550 | 270 | 15 | 171 | 152 | 67.1 | | |
| efferson Co. Det. Ctr. | 1,354 | 347 | 27 | 235 | 204 | 73.4 | | |
| eberg Co. Jail | 127 | 127 | 17 | 55 | 50 | 50.0 | | |
| mestone Co. Det. Ctr. ^e | 1,169 | 408 | 45 | 140 | 127 | 38.6 | | |
| ontgomery Co. Jail | 1,097 | 306 | 22 | 231 | 201 | 81.3 | | |
| ewton Co. Corr. Ctr. ^e | 878 | 276 | 2 | 260 | 225 | 94.9 | | |
| otter Co. Det. Ctr. | 625 | 276 | 28 | 164 | 144 | 66.1 | | |
| arrant Co. Corr. Ctr. | 2,081 | 336 | 33 | 196 | 176 | 64.7 | | |
| ravis Co. Corr. Fac. | 2,432 | 351 | 39 | 245 | 217 | 78.5 | | |
| h | | | | | | | | |
| eber Co. Corr. Fac. | 890 | 298 | 16 | 208 | 196 | 73.8 | | |

Appendix table 1. Characteristics of local jails selected in the National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| | | | | Number of respondents | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Facility name | Number of inmates in custody ^a | Number of inmates sampled | Number of ineligible inmates ^b | Total | Sexual victimization survey | Response rate ^c | |
| Virginia | | | | | | | |
| Central Virginia Reg. Jail | 410 | 230 | 7 | 144 | 132 | 64.6 | |
| Culpeper Co. Jail | 113 | 113 | 25 | 61 | 58 | 69.3 | |
| Dinwiddie Co. Jail | 59 | 59 | 5 | 41 | 39 | 75.9 | |
| Duffield Reg. Jail Fac. | 404 | 231 | 18 | 161 | 141 | 75.6 | |
| Newport News City Jail | 700 | 277 | 18 | 153 | 131 | 59.1 | |
| Norfolk City Jail | 1,797 | 320 | 18 | 223 | 198 | 73.8 | |
| Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr. | 793 | 285 | 20 | 161 | 145 | 60.8 | |
| Richmond City Jail | 1,529 | 309 | 22 | 214 | 184 | 74.6 | |
| Roanoke City Jail | 666 | 276 | 10 | 150 | 131 | 56.4 | |
| Roanoke Co. Jail | 330 | 221 | 29 | 105 | 89 | 54.7 | |
| Rockbridge Co. Reg. Jail | 63 | 63 | 4 | 46 | 40 | 78.0 | |
| Virginia Beach Corr. Ctr. | 1,653 | 323 | 14 | 228 | 205 | 73.8 | |
| Washington | | | | | | | |
| Chelan Co. Reg. Jail | 368 | 242 | 28 | 149 | 127 | 69.6 | |
| Clark Co. Jail | 905 | 304 | 41 | 186 | 163 | 70.7 | |
| King Co. Corr. Fac. | 1,511 | 386 | 57 | 186 | 168 | 56.5 | |
| King Co. Reg. Justice Ctr. | 1,249 | 332 | 38 | 193 | 181 | 65.6 | |
| Snohomish Co. Jail | 1,291 | 327 | 42 | 210 | 194 | 73.7 | |
| Whatcom Co. Jail | 387 | 283 | 21 | 175 | 156 | 66.8 | |
| West Virginia | | | | | | | |
| Western Reg. Jail | 502 | 253 | 9 | 175 | 154 | 71.7 | |
| Wisconsin | | | | | | | |
| Dane Co. Jail | 1,035 | 303 | 37 | 182 | 152 | 68.4 | |
| La Crosse Co. Jail | 211 | 182 | 24 | 96 | 89 | 60.8 | |
| Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 377 | 230 | 29 | 155 | 132 | 77.1 | |
| Milwaukee Co. House of Corr. | 2,002 | 326 | 18 | 195 | 171 | 63.3 | |
| Milwaukee Co. Jail | 1,217 | 357 | 98 | 144 | 127 | 55.6 | |
| Waukesha Co. Jail | 464 | 259 | 24 | 157 | 141 | 66.8 | |
| Waupaca Co. Jail Wyoming | 203 | 161 | 17 | 106 | 97 | 73.6 | |
| Sheridan Co. Det. Ctr. | 99 | 99 | 0 | 79 | 70 | 79.8 | |

^aNumber of inmates in the facility on the day of the facility roster plus any new inmates admitted prior to the first day of data collection.

^bInmates were considered ineligible if they were (1) under age 18, (2) mentally or physically incapacitated, (3) transferred or released after sample selection, but before data collection period, or (4) identified as pre-arraigned. See *Methodology* for sample selection criteria.

^cResponse rate is equal to the total number of respondents divided by the number of inmates sampled minus the number of ineligible inmates times 100%.

^dFemale facility.

^ePrivate facility.

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007

| | Percent of inma | ates reporting s | exual victimization ^a | Percent of inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts ^b | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Facility name | Reported | Weighted ^c | Standard error ^d | Weighted ^c | Standard error ^d | | |
| Total | 3.3% | 3.2% | 0.1% | 2.6% | 0.1% | | |
| Alabama | | | | | | | |
| Anniston City Jail ^e | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.3 | | |
| Bullock Co. Jail ^e | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Etowah Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 | | |
| Jackson Co. Jail | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 0.8 | | |
| Limestone Co. Jail ^e | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.2 | | |
| Shelby Co. Jail | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0.9 | | |
| Arizona | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Coconino Co. Jail | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue | 3.5 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 1.0 | | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Tur Avende Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.9 | | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Edrango Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^f | 2.8 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 1.1 | | |
| • | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1.0 | | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye | | | | | | | |
| Pinal Co. Jail ^e | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.0 | | |
| Arkansas | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Searcy Co. Jail ^e | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| California | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 4.0 | | |
| Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail | 3.1 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 1.6 | | |
| Fresno Co. Det. Fac Main Jail | 3.1 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 1.5 | | |
| Imperial Co. Jail | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 1.4 | | |
| Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac. | 3.3 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 1.1 | | |
| Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail | 3.8 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 1.5 | | |
| Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac. | 4.0 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.9 | | |
| Los Angeles Co. Pitchess Honor Rancho Jail | | | | | | | |
| North ^ē | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.8 | | |
| Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac. | 7.4 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 6.4 | 2.6 | | |
| Orange Co. Central Jail Complex | 5.1 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 1.4 | | |
| Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac. | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.9 | | |
| Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr. | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.9 | | |
| Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr. | 5.7 | 6.4 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 2.2 | | |
| Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr. | 3.2 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 0.9 | | |
| Sacramento Co. Main Jail | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | | |
| San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr. | 8.1 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 2.0 | | |
| San Bernardino Co Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr. | 2.9 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 1.1 | | |
| San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr. | 2.3 | 2.1 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | | |
| San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac. | 5.1 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 1.5 | | |
| San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. | | | | | | | |
| Fac. ^f | 5.6 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 1.8 | | |
| San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 4.9 | 1.7 | | |
| San Joaquin Co. Jail ^e | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | | |
| Santa Barbara Co. Jail | 4.4 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 1.3 | | |
| Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.1 | | |
| Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North | 4.2 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 1.5 | | |
| Stanislaus Co. Public Safety Ctr. ^e | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.1 | | |
| Tulare Co. Men's Corr. Fac. ^e | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | |
| Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 | | |
| Colorado | 5.5 | 0 | | 0 | 0.0 | | |
| Adams Co. Det. Fac. | 5.6 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 1.6 | | |
| Arapahoe Co. Jail | 4.9 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 1.1 | | |
| El Paso Co. Det. Fac. | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 0.9 | | |
| Garfield Co. Jail | 4.5 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 1.9 | | |
| Prowers Co. Jail ^e | | | | | | | |
| Weld Co. Jail ^e | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | | |
| District of Columbia D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail | 3.9 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 1.7 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| | Percent of inm | ates reportina s | exual victimization ^a | | reporting nonconsensual ive sexual contacts ^b |
|---|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Facility name | Reported | Weighted ^c | Standard error ^d | Weighted ^c | Standard error ^d |
| Florida | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Alachua Co. Jail | 4.2 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 1.2 |
| Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. | 7.9 | 8.5 | 1.9 | 7.1 | 1.8 |
| Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac. | 2.9 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| Broward Co. Main Jail | 5.0 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 2.4 |
| Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach | 4.3 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 1.5 |
| Broward Co. Stockade ^e | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Collier Co. Jail | 5.7 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 1.7 |
| Dixie Co. Jail | 5.4 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 6.5 | 2.5 |
| Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail | 3.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 |
| Hillsborough Co. Orient Road Jail ^e | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| Jackson Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr. | 2.7 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.1 |
| Lake Co. Jail ^e | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Lee Co. Jail ^e | 3.4 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.4 |
| Marion Co. Jail | 4.8 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 1.3 |
| Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr. | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| Miami-Dade Co. Training & Treatment Ctr. ^e Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Ctr. | 5.2 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 4.5 | 1.8 |
| Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr. | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 1.1 |
| Orange Co. Work Release Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac. | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.) | 3.0 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 1.6 |
| Sarasota North Co. Jail | 6.4 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 5.6 | 1.7 |
| Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac. | 4.7 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 5.0 | 1.7 |
| South Co. Jail | 4.5 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.3 |
| St. Johns Co. Jail | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Georgia | | | | | |
| Atlanta City Jail | 4.8 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 3.0 |
| Bartow Co. Jail | 3.6 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Carroll Co. Jail | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit | 5.0 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 1.6 |
| Coweta Co. Jail | 2.5 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 1.3 |
| Crisp Co. Jail ^e | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Dekalb Co. Jail | 2.8 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
| Dooly Co. Jail ^e | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.4 |
| Dougherty Co. Jail | 3.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Floyd Co. Jail ^e | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Fulton Co. Jail | 7.5 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 5.7 | 1.7 |
| Gwinnett Co. Comprehensive Corr. Complex ^e | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Gwinnett Co. Jail | 3.9 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 1.2 |
| Muscogee Co. Jail | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| Paulding Co. Det. Ctr. | 6.0 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 2.1 |
| Pelham Municipal Jail ^e | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Richmond Co. Corr. Inst. | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Idaho | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Bingham Co. Jail | 6.7 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 2.3 |
| Illinois | 5.1 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 2.0 |
| Coles Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 2 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 11 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 1.3 |
| Ogle Co. Jail | 5.0 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 3.1 |
| Pulaski Co. Tri-County Justice & Det. Ctr. ^{e,g} | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Will Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 5.2 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 1.6 |
| ndiana | ٥.٢ | 0.0 | 2.0 | ٦.٥ | 1.0 |
| Daviess Co. Jail | 3.3 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Hamilton Co. Jail | 3.3 3.1 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 0.9 1.4 |
| Harrison Co. Jail | | | | | |
| Harrison Co. Jail Hendricks Co. Jail | 1.4 | 2.0 3.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| | | - 5 1 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 1.5 |
| | 3.4 | | | | 4.9 |
| Lake Co. Jail | 4.8 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 1.3 |
| | | | | | 1.3 1.5 1.9 |

| | Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, |
|---|--|
| ı | by facility National Inmate Survey 2007 (cont.) |

| | Percent of inma | ates reporting se | exual victimization ^a | Percent of inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts ^b | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Facility name | Reported | Weighted ^c | Standard error ^d | Weighted ^c | Standard error ^d | | |
| lowa | | | | | | | |
| Polk Co. Jail ^e | 4.1 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 2.2 | | |
| Story Co. Jail ^e | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Kansas | | | | | | | |
| Atchison Co. Jail ^e | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Kentucky | | | | | | | |
| Boyd Co. Jail | 5.6 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 1.5 | | |
| Daviess Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.1 | | |
| Grant Co. Jail | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.8 | | |
| Hardin Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.8 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.9 | | |
| Kentucky River Reg. Jail | 5.4 6.2 | 4.0 | 1.5 1.9 | 3.2 | 1.3 | | |
| Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr. | 3.9 | 6.1 4.3 | 1.9 | 3.3 2.3 | 1.4 1.1 | | |
| Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr. Warren Co. Reg. Jail | 3.3 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 1.4 | 0.9 | | |
| Louisiana | 5.5 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.3 | | |
| Ascension Parish Jail | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | | |
| Avoyelles Parish Bunkie Det. Ctr. ^e | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | | |
| Caldwell Parish Jails - (2 facilities) | 6.2 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 1.4 | | |
| Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^e | 1.8 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.7 | | |
| East Baton Rouge Prison | 4.0 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 1.1 | | |
| Franklin Parish Jail | 3.9 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 1.1 | | |
| La Fourche Parish Jail | 7.9 | 6.6 | 1.2 | 5.0 | 1.0 | | |
| Lafayette Parish Corr. Center | 5.8 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 1.2 | | |
| Sabine Parish Det. Ctr. ^e | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | | |
| St. Bernard Parish Prison | 1.9 | 1.9 | 8.0 | 1.9 | 0.8 | | |
| St. Tammany Parish Jail | 4.6 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 1.4 | | |
| Terrebonne Parish Jail | 5.1 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 1.1 | | |
| Maine | 7.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | F 4 | 2.0 | | |
| Androscoggin Co. Jail Maryland | 7.3 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 5.1 | 2.0 | | |
| Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac. | 3.5 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.9 | | |
| Baltimore City Det. Ctr. | 3.3 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 1.2 | | |
| Cecil Co. Comm. Adult Rehab. Ctr. ^e | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. | 3.9 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | | |
| Washington Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.8 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.1 | | |
| Massachusetts | | | | | | | |
| Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.7 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 0.9 | | |
| Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 4.4 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 1.0 | | |
| Hampden Co. Western Massachusetts Corr. | | | | | | | |
| Alcohol Ctr. ^e | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Middlesex Co. House of Corr Billerica ^e | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | | |
| Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr. Michigan | 3.9 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.2 | | |
| Bay Co. Jail ^e | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | | |
| Kalamazoo Co. Jail | 3.2 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.1 | | |
| Kent Co. Corr. Fac. | 4.5 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 1.3 | | |
| Montmorency Co. Jail | 4.5 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 1.7 | | |
| Oakland Co. Jail ^e | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.0 | | |
| Ottawa Co. Jail ^e | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 | | |
| Wayne Co. Andrew C. Baird Det. Fac. e | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | | |
| Wayne Co. William Dickerson Det. Fac.e | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | | |
| Minnesota | | | | | | | |
| Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr. | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | | |
| Koochiching Co. Law Enforcement Ctr.e | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Mississippi | | | | | | | |
| Madison Co. Jail | 3.3 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 1.4 | | |
| Tippah Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Missouri Clay Co. Det. Ctr. ^e | 1.6 | 1 5 | 0.0 | 1 5 | 0.0 | | |
| Jackson Co. Municipal Corr. Inst. ^e | 1.6 0.0 | 1.5 0.0 | 0.8 0.0 | 1.5 0.0 | 0.8 0.0 | | |
| St. Louis Co. Jail ^e | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 | | |
| Wayne Co. Jail ^e | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| | Percent of inma | tes reporting sexu | ual victimization ^a | Percent of inmates reporting nonconsensua sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts ^b | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Facility name | Reported | Weighted ^c | Standard error ^d | Weighted ^c | Standard error ^d | | |
| Montana | | | | | | | |
| Cascade Co. Reg. Jail | 4.2 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 1.5 | | |
| Nebraska | ••• | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | | |
| Douglas Dept. of Corr. | 3.4 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.3 | | |
| Nevada | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | , | 1.0 | | |
| Clark Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.9 | | |
| Las Vegas City Det. Ctr. ^e | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | | |
| Washoe Co. Det. Ctr. | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.8 | | |
| New Hampshire | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough Co. House of Corr. | 3.4 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | | |
| New Jersey | | | | | | | |
| Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac. | 4.8 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 1.4 | | |
| Camden Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | | |
| Essex Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | | |
| Hudson Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | | |
| Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr. | 3.8 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | | |
| Morris Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 | | |
| Union Co. Jail | 2.5 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.1 | | |
| New Mexico | | | | | ••• | | |
| Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr. | 7.7 | 8.9 | 2.9 | 7.8 | 2.7 | | |
| San Juan Co. Det. Ctr. ^e | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac. | 4.1 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 1.1 | | |
| Torrance Co. Det. Fac. ⁹ | 10.4 | 13.4 | 4.1 | 10.1 | 3.8 | | |
| New York | 10.1 | 10.1 | *** | 10.1 | 0.0 | | |
| Albany Co. Corr. Fac. | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.0 | | |
| Erie Co. Corr. Fac. | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.1 | | |
| Erie Co. Holding Ctr. | 7.6 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 1.6 | | |
| Franklin Co. Jail | 7.4 | 7.3 | 1.4 | 5.1 | 1.2 | | |
| New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr. | 4.7 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 1.6 | | |
| New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr. | 3.8 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | | |
| New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. f | 7.9 | 7.2 | 1.7 | 6.9 | 1.7 | | |
| Oswego Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.7 | | |
| Westchester Co. Penitentiary | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | |
| North Carolina | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | |
| Cabarrus Co. Jail ^e | 4.9 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.3 | | |
| Chowan Co. Det. Fac. ^e | 6.7 | 8.6 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Cleveland Co. | 5.6 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 1.4 | | |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail | 3.6 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 1.4 | | |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North | 5.8 | 5.6 6.1 | 1.4 | 3.0 4.7 | 1.7 | | |
| New Hanover Co. Det. Ctr. ^e | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Wake Co. Jail North Dakota | 3.9 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 1.2 | | |
| Cass Co. Jail | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | | |
| | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | | |
| Ohio | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 | | |
| Cuyahoga Co. Corr. Ctr. ^e Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I | | | | | | | |
| | 3.4 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 1.7 | | |
| Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr. | 2.7 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 1.1 | | |
| Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol | E O | 5.9 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 1.1 | | |
| Treatment Marian Hardin Co. Multi County Corr. Ctr. e | 5.8 | | 0.6 | 4.9 0.8 | 0.6 | | |
| Marion-Hardin Co. Multi-County Corr. Ctr. ^e | 1.6 | 0.8 | | | | | |
| Northwest Ohio Reg. Corr. Ctr. ^e | 0.0 2.4 | 0.0 2.5 | 0.0 0.8 | 0.0 2.5 | 0.0 0.8 | | |
| River City Corr. Fac. | | | | | | | |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail | 8.2 | 8.1 | 2.1 | 8.1 | 2.1 | | |
| Oklahoma Mayoo Co Jail [®] | E 0 | <i></i> | 2.4 | <i>E E</i> | 2.4 | | |
| Mayes Co. Jail ^e | 5.0 | 5.5 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 3.1 | | |
| Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr. | 4.6 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 1.4 | | |
| Rogers Co. Jail | 3.7 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 1.3 | | |
| Oregon | 4 - | 4 4 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | | |
| Coos Co. Jail ^e | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 | | |
| Marion Co. Corr. Fac. Washington Co. Jail ^e | 3.0 0.6 | 3.0 0.5 | 1.1 0.4 | 2.7 0.5 | 1.1 0.4 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| 2.2 1.7 0.8 1.2 4.4 2.6 2.9 3.5 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 2.9 | 2.2 1.7 0.7 1.3 4.2 2.2 2.8 4.1 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 0.9 0.9 0.5 0.8 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.6 1.4 0.8 1.8 1.0 | sexual acts or abusing Weighted ^c 1.4 1.1 0.7 0.4 2.1 0.0 2.8 3.5 2.3 1.1 5.9 | Standard error ^d 0.8 0.7 0.5 0.3 1.0 0.0 1.2 1.5 1.1 0.7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.7 0.8 1.2 4.4 2.6 2.9 3.5 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 | 1.7 0.7 1.3 4.2 2.2 2.8 4.1 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 0.9 0.5 0.8 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.6 1.4 0.8 1.8 | 1.1 0.7 0.4 2.1 0.0 2.8 3.5 2.3 1.1 | 0.7 0.5 0.3 1.0 0.0 1.2 1.5 |
| 1.7 0.8 1.2 4.4 2.6 2.9 3.5 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 | 1.7 0.7 1.3 4.2 2.2 2.8 4.1 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 0.9 0.5 0.8 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.6 1.4 0.8 1.8 | 1.1 0.7 0.4 2.1 0.0 2.8 3.5 2.3 1.1 | 0.7 0.5 0.3 1.0 0.0 1.2 1.5 |
| 0.8 1.2 4.4 2.6 2.9 3.5 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 0.7 1.3 4.2 2.2 2.8 4.1 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 0.5 0.8 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.6 1.4 0.8 | 0.7 0.4 2.1 0.0 2.8 3.5 2.3 1.1 | 0.5 0.3 1.0 0.0 1.2 1.5 |
| 1.2 4.4 2.6 2.9 3.5 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 1.3 4.2 2.2 2.8 4.1 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 0.8 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.6 1.4 0.8 1.8 | 0.4 2.1 0.0 2.8 3.5 2.3 1.1 | 0.5 0.3 1.0 0.0 1.2 1.5 |
| 1.2 4.4 2.6 2.9 3.5 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 4.2 2.2 2.8 4.1 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.6 1.4 0.8 1.8 | 2.1 0.0 2.8 3.5 2.3 1.1 | 1.0 0.0 1.2 1.5 1.1 |
| 4.4 2.6 2.9 3.5 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 4.2 2.2 2.8 4.1 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.6 1.4 0.8 1.8 | 2.1 0.0 2.8 3.5 2.3 1.1 | 1.0 0.0 1.2 1.5 1.1 |
| 2.6 2.9 3.5 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 2.2 2.8 4.1 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 1.3 1.2 1.6 1.4 0.8 1.8 | 0.0 2.8 3.5 2.3 1.1 | 0.0 1.2 1.5 1.1 |
| 2.9 3.5 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 2.8 4.1 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 1.2 1.6 1.4 0.8 1.8 | 2.8 3.5 2.3 1.1 | 1.2 1.5 1.1 |
| 3.5 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 4.1 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 1.6 1.4 0.8 1.8 | 3.5 2.3 1.1 | 1.5 1.1 |
| 3.7 1.5 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 3.9 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 1.4 0.8 1.8 | 2.3 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 1.5 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 1.5 6.9 2.0 | 0.8 1.8 | 1.1 | |
| 7.8 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 6.9 2.0 | 1.8 | | 0.7 |
| 2.1 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 2.0 | | 5.9 | 1.6 |
| 2.3 2.6 1.4 3.7 | | 1.0 | 2.0 | |
| 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 1.9 | | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| 2.6 1.4 3.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.7 |
| 1.4 3.7 | | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| 3.7 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 2.9 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 1.4 |
| 3.1 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| | | | | |
| 3.3 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| | | | | |
| 3.3 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.1 |
| 5.0 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 1.8 |
| 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4.9 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 1.4 |
| | | | | ••• |
| 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| | | | | 1.0 |
| | | | | 0.4 |
| | | | | 0.0 |
| | | | | 0.9 |
| | | | | 1.4 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | 1.5 |
| | | | | 1.9 |
| | | | | 0.4 |
| | | | | 1.3 |
| | | | | 1.4 |
| | | | | 1.3 |
| | | | | 1.4 |
| | | | | 1.5 |
| | | | | 0.7 |
| | | | | 1.1 |
| | 2.5 | 1.8 | | 1.8 |
| 8.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.1 |
| 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 0.5 |
| 2.1 | | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| | | | | 1.5 |
| | | | | 1.7 |
| 5.5 | *·* | e e e | *·* | *** |
| 5.5 | | 1.5 | | 1.5 |
| | 4.3 1.1 0.0 2.2 3.4 5.0 5.1 2.1 4.4 4.1 3.7 2.6 5.1 2.0 4.4 2.0 0.8 3.0 1.3 2.1 3.4 5.5 | 4.3 2.8 1.1 0.8 0.0 0.0 2.2 1.8 3.4 3.1 5.0 5.0 5.1 5.2 2.1 1.7 4.4 3.9 4.1 4.0 3.7 3.8 2.6 3.8 5.1 5.0 2.0 1.3 4.4 3.8 2.0 2.5 0.8 0.7 3.0 3.1 1.3 1.1 2.1 3.0 3.4 3.7 | 4.3 2.8 1.1 1.1 0.8 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.2 1.8 0.9 3.4 3.1 1.4 5.0 5.0 1.5 5.1 5.2 1.9 2.1 1.7 1.0 4.4 3.9 1.3 4.1 4.0 1.4 3.7 3.8 1.4 2.6 3.8 1.6 5.1 5.0 1.5 2.0 1.3 0.8 4.4 3.8 1.2 2.0 2.5 1.8 0.8 0.7 0.6 3.0 3.1 1.1 1.3 1.1 0.6 2.1 3.0 1.7 3.4 3.7 1.5 5.5 6.0 1.7 | 4.3 2.8 1.1 2.3 1.1 0.8 0.5 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.2 1.8 0.9 1.8 3.4 3.1 1.4 3.1 5.0 5.0 1.5 4.7 5.1 5.2 1.9 5.2 2.1 1.7 1.0 0.7 4.4 3.9 1.3 3.6 4.1 4.0 1.4 4.0 3.7 3.8 1.4 3.2 2.6 3.8 1.6 2.8 5.1 5.0 1.5 4.7 2.0 1.3 0.8 0.8 4.4 3.8 1.2 3.4 2.0 2.5 1.8 2.5 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.7 3.0 3.1 1.1 2.6 1.3 1.1 0.6 0.8 2.1 3.0 1.7 1.3 3.4 3.7 1.5 3.7 5.5 6 |

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Percent of inmates reporting nonconsensual Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization^a sexual acts or abusive sexual contactsb Standard errord Standard errord Facility name Reported Weighted^c Weighted^c Virginia Central Virginia Reg. Jaile 8.0 0.7 0.6 0.0 0.0 Culpeper Co. Jaile 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Dinwiddie Co. Jaile 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Duffield Reg. Jail Fac. 3.5 3.5 1.3 3.0 1.2 Newport News City Jaile 2.3 3.7 2.1 3.7 2.1 Norfolk City Jaile 1.5 1.5 8.0 1.2 8.0 Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr. 28 24 24 11 11 Richmond City Jail 4.9 4.5 1.4 4.5 1.4 Roanoke City Jaile 5.3 5.4 1.9 4.6 1.8 Roanoke Co. Jaile 2.2 2.1 1.3 0.7 0.6 Rockbridge Co. Reg. Jail^e 2.5 2.0 1.1 2.0 1.1 Virginia Beach Corr. Ctr.e 2.0 2.4 1.4 2.4 1.4 Washington Chelan Co. Reg. Jaile 1.6 1.5 0.8 1.5 8.0 Clark Co. Jail 8.0 9.1 2.2 8.5 2.1 King Co. Corr. Fac. 5.4 4.2 1.4 4.2 1.4 King Co. Reg. Justice Ctr.e 0.6 0.7 0.6 0.0 0.0 Snohomish Co. Jail 1.5 1.4 8.0 1.4 8.0 Whatcom Co. Jail 6.4 5.6 1.5 1.5 5.1 West Virginia Western Reg. Jail 3.2 3.9 1.5 2.9 1.3 Wisconsin 4.6 3.6 3.1 1.2 Dane Co. Jail 13 La Crosse Co. Jaile 2.2 0.6 0.3 0.6 0.3 Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac. 3.8 3.7 1.3 2.7 1.1 2.3 Milwaukee Co. House of Corr.e 26 26 14 14 Milwaukee Co. Jail 2.4 1.8 1.0 1.8 1.0 Waukesha Co. Jail 0.9 35 3.1 1.1 1.8 Waupaca Co. Jail 2.1 2.0 0.9 2.0 0.9 Wyoming Sheridan Co. Det. Ctr.e 1.4 1.1 0.6 1.1 0.6

^aInmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff since admission to the facility or since admission if less than 6 months.

^bExcludes staff-on-inmate acts and contacts reported by inmate as willing.

^cWeights were applied so that inmates who responded accurately reflected the entire population of each facility on selected characteristics, including age, gender, race, time served, and sentence length. (See *Methodology* for weighting and nonresponse adjustments.)

^dStandard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around the weighted survey estimates. For example, the 95% confidence interval around the total percent is 4.5% plus or minus 1.96 times 0.3% (or 3.9% to 5.1%).

^eThe 95% confidence level around the weighted estimate includes zero.

fFemale facility.

^gPrivate facility.

Appendix table 3. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts and abusive sexual contacts, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007

| E 199 | Nonconsensual sexual acts ^a | | Abusive sexual contacts only ^b | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Facility name | Percent victimized | Standard error ^c | Percent victimized | Standard error ^c | |
| Total | 2.1% | 0.1% | 1.1% | 0.1% | |
| Alabama | | | | | |
| Etowah Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.7 | |
| Jackson Co. Jail | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | |
| Shelby Co. Jail | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | |
| Arizona | | | | | |
| Coconino Co. Jail | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^d | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| California | | | | | |
| Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.9 | |
| Fresno Co. Det. Fac Main Jail | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | |
| Imperial Co. Jail | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | |
| Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac. | 2.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | |
| Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac. | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac. | 2.7 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 2.0 | |
| Orange Co. Central Jail Complex | 1.0 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 1.2 | |
| Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac. | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | |
| Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr. | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | |
| Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr. | 4.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.1 | |
| Sacramento Co Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr. | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | |
| Sacramento Co. Main Jail | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 | |
| San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr. | 3.8 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.2 | |
| San Bernardino Co. Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr. | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.9 | |
| San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr. | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac. | 2.4 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 1.3 | |
| San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac.d | 3.3 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.2 | |
| San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 1.4 | |
| Santa Barbara Co. Jail | 3.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | |
| Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | |
| Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road | 2.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | |
| Colorado | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Adams Co. Det. Fac. | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | |
| Arapahoe Co. Jail | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 1.1 | |
| El Paso Co. Det. Fac. | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| Garfield Co. Jail | 3.0 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.4 | |
| District of Columbia | 3.0 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.4 | |
| D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | |
| Florida | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | |
| Alachua Co. Jail | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0.9 | |
| Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. | 7.8 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | |
| Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac. | 7.0 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.1 | |
| Broward Co. Conte Con. Fac. Broward Co. Main Jail | 2.5 | 0.6 1.9 | 3.3 | 1.7 | |
| Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach | 2.5 3.8 | 1.5 | 3.3 0.5 | 0.4 | |
| | 5.0 5.1 | 1.5 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | |
| Collier Co. Jail Dixie Co. Jail | 5.1 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 5.3 | 0.3 2.4 | |
| | | | | | |
| Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | |
| Jackson Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr. | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 | |
| Marion Co. Jail | 3.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.0 | |
| Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr. | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr. | 3.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr. | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | |
| Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac. | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.) | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Sarasota North Co. Jail | 2.5 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 1.4 | |
| Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac. | 4.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | |
| South Co. Jail | 2.9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | |
| St. Johns Co. Jail | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.4 | |

Appendix table 3. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts and abusive sexual contacts, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| | Nonconsensual sexual acts ^a | | Abusive sexual contacts on | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| Facility name | Percent victimized | Standard error ^c | Percent victimized | Standard erro |
| Georgia | | | | |
| Atlanta City Jail | 5.6 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Bartow Co. Jail | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Carroll Co. Jail | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit | 2.1 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 1.3 |
| Coweta Co. Jail | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 8.0 |
| Dekalb Co. Jail | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| Dougherty Co. Jail | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Fulton Co. Jail | 4.8 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| Gwinnett Co. Jail | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| Muscogee Co. Jail | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Paulding Co. Det. Ctr. | 3.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Richmond Co. Corr. Inst. | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| ldaho | | | | |
| Bingham Co. Jail | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.7 |
| Illinois | | | | |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 2 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 11 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Will Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 4.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| Indiana | 1.1 | 1.0 | | |
| Daviess Co. Jail | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Hamilton Co. Jail | 2.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Hendricks Co. Jail | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Lake Co. Jail | 4.6 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| | | | | |
| Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac. | 4.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Wayne Co. Jail | 1.5 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 1.7 |
| Kentucky | 4.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | |
| Boyd Co. Jail | 1.6 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 1.4 |
| Daviess Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Grant Co. Jail | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 8.0 |
| Hardin Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Kentucky River Reg. Jail | 4.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr. | 5.5 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr. | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Warren Co. Reg. Jail | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| Louisiana | | | | |
| Ascension Parish Jail | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Caldwell Parish Jails - (2 facilities) | 5.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.7 |
| Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^e | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| East Baton Rouge Prison | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| Franklin Parish Jail | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| La Fourche Parish Jail | 4.0 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 0.7 |
| Lafayette Parish Corr. Center | 3.8 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| St. Bernard Parish Prison | 3.6 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.8 0.5 |
| | | | | |
| St. Tammany Parish Jail | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| Terrebonne Parish Jail | 1.7 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 1.0 |
| Maine | F 4 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Androscoggin Co. Jail | 5.1 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Maryland | | | | |
| Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac. | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| Baltimore City Det. Ctr. | 2.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. | 3.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Washington Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Massachusetts | | | | |
| Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 3.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 1.3 |
| Michigan | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Kalamazoo Co. Jail | 3.1 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Kalamazoo Co. Jali Kent Co. Corr. Fac. | | | | |
| Montmorency Co. Jail | 3.1 3.6 | 1.2 1.7 | 1.2 0.0 | 0.6 0.0 |
| | | 1 / | 1111 | 0.0 |

Appendix table 3. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts and abusive sexual contacts, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| Eacility name | Nonconsensua Percent victimized | Standard error ^c | Abusive sexual of Percent victimized | Standard error |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Facility name | Percent victimized | Standard error | Percent victimized | Standard error |
| Minnesota | | | | |
| Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr. | 2.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Mississippi | | | | |
| Madison Co. Jail | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Montana | | | | |
| Cascade Co. Reg. Jail | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| Nebraska | | | | |
| Douglas Dept. of Corr. | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Nevada | | | | |
| Clark Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Washoe Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 8.0 |
| lew Hampshire | | | | |
| Hillsborough Co. House of Corr. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| lew Jersey | | | | |
| Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac. | 4.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Camden Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Essex Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Hudson Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr. | 2.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Morris Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Union Co. Jail | 3.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| lew Mexico | | | | |
| Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr. | 6.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac. | 3.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Torrance Co. Det. Fac. ^e | 8.9 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 2.7 |
| lew York | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Albany Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| Erie Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Erie Co. Holding Ctr. | 3.8 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Franklin Co. Jail | 5.3 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 0.7 |
| New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr. | 3.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr. | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. ^d | 1.5 | 0.7 | 5.7 | 1.6 |
| Oswego Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Westchester Co. Penitentiary | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| North Carolina | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Cleveland Co. | 5.4 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| | 3.5 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North Wake Co. Jail | 3.5 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 1.0 |
| North Dakota | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Cass Co. Jail | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Ohio | 2.9 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I | | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr. | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 8.0 |
| Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol | 1.9 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| Treatment River City Corr. Fac. | 2.5 | 0.8 | 4.0 0.0 | 1.0 0.0 |
| | 2.5 5.8 | | | |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| Oklahoma | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 4.0 |
| Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.2 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 |
| Rogers Co. Jail | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 8.0 |
| Oregon | 0.4 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Marion Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Pennsylvania | 4 = | 2.2 | 2.5 | o - |
| Allegheny Co. Jail | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Lancaster Co. Prison | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac. | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| Philadelphia City Curran/Fromhold Corr. Fac. | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| | | | | |
| Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr. | 5.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 8.0 |

Appendix table 3. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts and abusive sexual contacts, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| | Nonconsensua | al sexual acts ^a | Abusive sexual contacts only ^b | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Facility name | Percent victimized | Standard error ^c | Percent victimized | Standard error ^c | |
| South Carolina | | | | | |
| Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Berkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr. | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | |
| Florence Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | |
| Sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr. | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | |
| South Dakota | | | | | |
| Pennington Co. Jail | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | |
| Tennessee | <u>-</u> | | ••• | 0.0 | |
| Madison Co. Penal Farm | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 | |
| Shelby Co. Corr. Ctr. | 3.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.8 | |
| Shelby Co. Justice Ctr. | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | |
| Sullivan Co. Jail | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | |
| Tipton Co. Jail | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Warren Co. Jail | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | |
| Texas | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | |
| Bowie Co. Corr. Ctr. | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | |
| Dallas Co. Decker Det. Ctr. | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | |
| Dallas Co. George Allen Jail | 3.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | | |
| Dallas Co. North Tower Jail Dallas Co. West Tower Jail | 3.2 2.6 | 1.3 1.4 | 1.6 2.6 | 0.9 | |
| | | | | 1.2 | |
| El Paso Co. Jail Annex | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.9 | |
| Galveston Co. Jail | 1.8 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.1 | |
| Gregg Co. Jail | 3.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | |
| Harris Co. Jail | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | |
| Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street | 5.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Jefferson Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.6 | |
| Montgomery Co. Jail | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 | |
| Newton Co. Corr. Ctr.e | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | |
| Tarrant Co. Corr. Ctr. | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Travis Co. Corr. Fac. | 3.0 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 1.2 | |
| Utah | | | | | |
| Weber Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 1.0 | |
| Virginia | | | | | |
| Duffield Reg. Jail Fac. | 0.9 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 1.2 | |
| Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr. | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Richmond City Jail | 3.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 | |
| Roanoke City Jail | 1.4 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 1.7 | |
| Washington | | | | | |
| Clark Co. Jail | 3.4 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 1.8 | |
| King Co. Corr. Fac. | 3.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | |
| Whatcom Co. Jail | 4.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | |
| West Virginia | | | | | |
| Western Reg. Jail | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | |
| Wisconsin | | | | | |
| Dane Co. Jail | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | |
| Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 3.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Waukesha Co. Jail | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | |
| Waupaca Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.9 | |

Note: Excludes facilities with rates of sexual victimization not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level. Detail may not sum due to rounding.

^aIncludes all inmates who reported unwanted contacts with another inmate or any contacts with staff that involved oral, anal, or vaginal penetration, handjobs, and other sexual acts.

^bIncludes all inmates who reported unwanted contacts with another inmate or any contacts with staff that involved touching of the inmate's buttocks, thighs, penis, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way.

^cStandard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around weighted survey estimates. (See *Methodology*.)

dFemale facility.

^ePrivately operated facility.

| Appendix table 4. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incider | nt and facility, |
|--|------------------|
| National Inmate Survey, 2007 | |

| | Inmate- | on-inmate ^a | Staff-on-inmate ^a | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Facility name | Percent victimized | Standard error ^b | Percent victimized | Standard error ^b | |
| Total | 1.6% | 0.1% | 2.0% | 0.1% | |
| Alabama | | | | | |
| Etowah Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Jackson Co. Jail | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.5 | |
| Shelby Co. Jail | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | |
| Arizona | | | | | |
| Coconino Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^c | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye California | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 1.0 | |
| Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | |
| Fresno Co. Det. Fac Main Jail | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 | |
| Imperial Co. Jail | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.2 | |
| Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac. | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.9 | |
| Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 1.3 | |
| Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac. | 1.4 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 1.1 | |
| Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac. | 5.0 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | |
| Orange Co. Central Jail Complex | 3.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | |
| Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac. | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.7 | |
| Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 1.0 | |
| Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr. | 3.7 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 1.9 | |
| Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.2 | |
| Sacramento Co. Main Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.2 | |
| San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr. | 3.6 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 1.2 | |
| San Bernardino Co. Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr. | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.8 | |
| San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr. | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.8 | |
| San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac. | 3.0 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 1.4 | |
| San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac. ^c | 3.8 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 1.5 | |
| San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.9 | |
| Santa Barbara Co. Jail | 3.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.0 | |
| Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | |
| Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North | 2.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.8 | |
| Colorado | 0.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | |
| Adams Co. Det. Fac. | 3.7 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | |
| Arapahoe Co. Jail | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | |
| El Paso Co. Det. Fac. Garfield Co. Jail | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 1.2 | |
| | 4.0 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 1.2 | |
| District of Columbia | 3.1 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.5 | |
| D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail Florida | 3.1 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.5 | |
| Alachua Co. Jail | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. | 6.7 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 1.5 | |
| Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac. | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | |
| Broward Co. Come Con. Fac. Broward Co. Main Jail | 1.7 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 2.1 | |
| Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach | 3.8 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | |
| Collier Co. Jail | 1.5 | 0.7 | 4.2 | 1.7 | |
| Dixie Co. Jail | 5.3 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | |
| Jackson Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | |
| Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr. | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.7 | |
| Marion Co. Jail | 2.7 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 1.1 | |
| Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.3 | |
| Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr. | 2.4 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 1.8 | |
| Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr. | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 1.0 | |
| Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.1 | |
| | 2.2 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.5 | |
| Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.) Sarasota North Co. Jail | 2.2 5.0 | 1.5 1.6 | 2. <i>1</i> 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac. | 3.7 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.0 | |
| South Co. Jail | 3.7 0.6 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 1.6 | |
| | | | | | |
| St. Johns Co. Jail | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 | |

Appendix table 4. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| | Inmate-on-inmate ^a | | Staff-on-inmate ^a | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| Facility name | Percent victimized | Standard error ^b | Percent victimized | Standard error |
| Georgia | | | | |
| Atlanta City Jail | 6.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| Bartow Co. Jail | 1.4 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Carroll Co. Jail | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit | 3.5 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.1 |
| Coweta Co. Jail | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| Dekalb Co. Jail | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Dougherty Co. Jail | 0.3 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Fulton Co. Jail | 3.0 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 1.4 |
| Gwinnett Co. Jail | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| Muscogee Co. Jail | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Paulding Co. Det. Ctr. | 3.5 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 2.1 |
| Richmond Co. Corr. Inst. | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| daho | | | | |
| Bingham Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 2.3 |
| Illinois | | | | |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 11 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 1.1 |
| Will Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 1.8 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 1.9 |
| Indiana | | | | |
| Daviess Co. Jail | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Hamilton Co. Jail | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Hendricks Co. Jail | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Lake Co. Jail | 2.3 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 1.8 |
| Wayne Co. Jail | 5.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| Kentucky | 0.0 | ••• | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Boyd Co. Jail | 4.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Daviess Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Grant Co. Jail | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| Hardin Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| Kentucky River Reg. Jail | 1.2 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 1.5 |
| , , | 2.1 | 1.2 | 4.0 4.5 | |
| Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr. | | | 4.5 2.5 | 1.6 |
| Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr. | 1.8 | 1.0 | | 1.6 |
| Warren Co. Reg. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 1.7 |
| Louisiana | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | ٥٦ |
| Ascension Parish Jail | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Caldwell Parish Jails - (2 facilities) | 2.3 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 1.3 |
| Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^d | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| East Baton Rouge Prison | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| Franklin Parish Jail | 1.9 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| La Fourche Parish Jail | 3.7 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 0.9 |
| Lafayette Parish Corr. Center | 2.7 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 |
| St. Bernard Parish Prison | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| St. Tammany Parish Jail | 4.1 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| Terrebonne Parish Jail | 3.7 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| Maine | | | | |
| Androscoggin Co. Jail | 5.1 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 |
| Maryland | | | | |
| Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Baltimore City Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 1.4 |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| Washington Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.5 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| Massachusetts | | | | |
| Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 2.4 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 1.1 |
| Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| Michigan | <u> ·</u> | | | 1.0 |
| Kalamazoo Co. Jail | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.2 |
| Kent Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.5 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 1.2 |
| Montmorency Co. Jail | 3.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| INCOMPRED VOLUMENT | ა.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

| Appendix table 4. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident and facility, |
|---|
| National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.) |

| | Inmate-on-inmate ^a | | Staff-on-inmate ^a | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Facility name | Percent victimized | Standard error ^b | Percent victimized | Standard error ^b |
| Minnesota | | | | |
| Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr. | 0.5 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 1.2 |
| Mississippi | | | | |
| Madison Co. Jail | 1.8 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| Montana | | | | |
| Cascade Co. Reg. Jail | 3.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Nebraska | | | | |
| Douglas Dept. of Corr. | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| Nevada | 2.4 | • 4 | 2.0 | |
| Clark Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| Washoe Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 1.0 |
| New Hampshire | 1.3 | Λ Q | 1.6 | Λ Q |
| Hillsborough Co. House of Corr. | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| New Jersey Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac. | 2.5 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| Camden Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Essex Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Hudson Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.9 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr. | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.9 |
| Morris Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Union Co. Jail | 0.7 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 1.7 |
| New Mexico | | | | |
| Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr. | 3.8 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 2.5 |
| Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 1.3 |
| Torrance Co. Det. Fac.d | 6.4 | 3.1 | 7.0 | 3.0 |
| New York | | | | |
| Albany Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| Erie Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 8.0 |
| Erie Co. Holding Ctr. | 1.9 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 1.5 |
| Franklin Co. Jail | 2.2 | 0.7 | 6.4 | 1.3 |
| New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr. | 2.1 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1.1 |
| New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. ^c | 5.5 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 1.1 |
| Oswego Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Westchester Co. Penitentiary | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.0 |
| North Carolina | 4.0 | | | |
| Cleveland Co. | 1.6 | 0.8 | 5.4 | 1.9 |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North | 2.2 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 1.8 |
| Wake Co. Jail | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 1.3 |
| North Dakota | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Cass Co. Jail Ohio | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr. | 3.7 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 0.7 1.1 |
| Hamilton Co. Justice Cti. Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol Treat- | | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| ment | 4.9 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.7 |
| River City Corr. Fac. | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail | 2.5 | 1.2 | 6.9 | 1.9 |
| Oklahoma | - | | - | - |
| Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Rogers Co. Jail | 1.7 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| Oregon | | | | |
| Marion Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Pennsylvania | | | | |
| Allegheny Co. Jail | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Lancaster Co. Prison | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 1.1 |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac. | 3.5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Philadelphia City Curran/Fromhold Corr. Fac. | 1.8 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr. | 4.0 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 1.3 |
| York Co. Prison | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Appendix table 4. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| National inflate ourvey, 2007 (cont.) | Inmate-or | ı-inmate ^a | Staff-on-inmate ^a | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Facility name | Percent victimized | Standard error ^b | Percent victimized | Standard error ^b |
| South Carolina | | | | |
| Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| Berkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Florence Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
| Sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr. | 3.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| South Dakota | | | | |
| Pennington Co. Jail | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| Tennessee | | | | |
| Madison Co. Penal Farm | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Shelby Co. Corr. Ctr. | 2.1 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 1.6 |
| Shelby Co. Justice Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| Sullivan Co. Jail | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Tipton Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Warren Co. Jail | 3.6 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Texas | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Bowie Co. Corr. Ctr. | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Dallas Co. Decker Det. Ctr. | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Dallas Co. George Allen Jail | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Dallas Co. North Tower Jail | 3.0 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Dallas Co. West Tower Jail | 3.0 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| El Paso Co. Jail Annex | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| Galveston Co. Jail | 2.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Gregg Co. Jail | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| Harris Co. Jail | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street | 2.5 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.2 |
| Jefferson Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 1.0 |
| Montgomery Co. Jail | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Newton Co. Corr. Ctr. ^d | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Tarrant Co. Corr. Ctr. | 1.1 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 1.2 |
| Travis Co. Corr. Fac. | 4.6 | 0.6 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| Utah | 4.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| | 3.1 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Weber Co. Corr. Fac. | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Virginia | 3.0 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Duffield Reg. Jail Fac. | | | 0.5 | |
| Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.1 |
| Richmond City Jail | 2.9 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 1.2 |
| Roanoke City Jail | 4.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| Washington | F 4 | 4 7 | 4.0 | 4.4 |
| Clark Co. Jail | 5.1 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 1.4 |
| King Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.7 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| Whatcom Co. Jail | 0.8 | 0.4 | 4.8 | 1.4 |
| West Virginia | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Western Reg. Jail | 1.4 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.4 |
| Wisconsin | | | | |
| Dane Co. Jail | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 1.2 |
| Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 1.2 |
| Waukesha Co. Jail | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Waupaca Co. Jail | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 |

Note: Excludes facilities with rates of sexual victimization not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level. Detail may sum to more than total because victims may have reported both inmate-on-inmate and staff-on-inmate sexual victimization.

^aIncludes all types of sexual victimization, including oral, anal, or vaginal penetration, touching of the inmate's buttocks, thighs, penis, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way and other sexual acts.

^bStandard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around weighted survey estimates. (See *Methodology*.)

^cFemale facility.

^dPrivate facility.

| Appendix table 5. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts, by type of incident and facility, |
|--|
| National Inmate Survey, 2007 |

| National inmate Survey, 2007 | Inmate-o | n-inmate | Staff-on-inmate | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Facility name | Percent victimized ^a | Standard error ^b | Percent victimized ^c | Standard error ^b | |
| Total | 0.7% | 0.1% | 1.6% | 0.1% | |
| Alabama | | | | | |
| Etowah Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Jackson Co. Jail | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.5 | |
| Shelby Co. Jail | 1.3 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Arizona | | | | | |
| Coconino Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.9 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue | 0.9 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 0.5 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^d | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.0 | |
| California | | | | | |
| Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | |
| Fresno Co. Det. Fac Main Jail | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | |
| Imperial Co. Jail | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.2 | |
| Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac. | 0.9 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.9 | |
| Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | |
| Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac. | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 1.1 | |
| Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac. | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | |
| Orange Co. Central Jail Complex | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | |
| Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac. | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 | |
| Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr. | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | |
| Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr. | 2.2 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 1.7 | |
| Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr. | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | |
| Sacramento Co. Main Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | |
| San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr. | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | |
| San Bernardino Co. Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | |
| San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr. | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | |
| San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac. | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 | |
| San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac.d | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.2 | |
| San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | |
| Santa Barbara Co. Jail | 2.6 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | |
| Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | |
| Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road Colorado | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.8 | |
| Adams Co. Det. Fac. | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | |
| Arapahoe Co. Jail | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| El Paso Co. Det. Fac. | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | |
| Garfield Co. Jail | 1.5 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 1.2 | |
| District of Columbia | 1.5 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 1.2 | |
| D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.4 | |
| Florida | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | |
| Alachua Co. Jail | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. | 4.5 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 1.5 | |
| Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac. | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Broward Co. Main Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.9 | |
| Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach | 3.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | |
| Collier Co. Jail | 1.1 | 0.7 | 4.2 | 1.7 | |
| Dixie Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | |
| Jackson Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | |
| Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr. | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | |
| Marion Co. Jail | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.9 | |
| Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.3 | |
| Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr. | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 1.7 | |
| Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr. | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.6 1.9 | 1.7 | |
| Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.1 | |
| Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.) | 2.2 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.5 | |
| Sarasota North Co. Jail | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac. | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.0 | |
| South Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.4 | |
| St. Johns Co. Jail | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | |
| | U. 1 | 0.0 | | U.U | |

Appendix table 5. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| National inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.) | Inmate-or | n-inmate | Staff-on-inmate | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Facility name | Percent victimized ^a | Standard error ^b | Percent victimized ^c | Standard error ^b | |
| Georgia | | | | | |
| Atlanta City Jail | 4.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.1 | |
| Bartow Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | |
| Carroll Co. Jail | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | |
| Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | |
| Coweta Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | |
| Dekalb Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | |
| Dougherty Co. Jail | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 | |
| Fulton Co. Jail | 1.3 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 1.3 | |
| Gwinnett Co. Jail | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Muscogee Co. Jail | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| Paulding Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.8 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 1.8 | |
| Richmond Co. Corr. Inst. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | |
| daho | | | | | |
| Bingham Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | |
| Illinois | | | | | |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.9 | |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 11 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.1 | |
| Will Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 0.9 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 1.7 | |
| Indiana | -:- | | -: - | • | |
| Daviess Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | |
| Hamilton Co. Jail | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | |
| Hendricks Co. Jail | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | |
| Lake Co. Jail | 1.4 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 1.3 | |
| Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 1.8 | |
| Wayne Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | |
| Kentucky | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | |
| Boyd Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 | |
| Daviess Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | |
| Grant Co. Jail | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | |
| Hardin Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | |
| Kentucky River Reg. Jail | 0.7 | 0.6 | 4.0 | 1.5 | |
| Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.5 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 1.6 | |
| Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr. | 0.4 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 1.6 | |
| Warren Co. Reg. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.5 | |
| Louisiana | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.0 | |
| Ascension Parish Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | |
| Caldwell Parish Jails - (2 facilities) | 0.7 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 1.3 | |
| Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^e | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | |
| East Baton Rouge Prison | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| Franklin Parish Jail | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.8 | |
| La Fourche Parish Jail | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.9 | |
| Lafayette Parish Corr. Center | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 0.9 | |
| St. Bernard Parish Prison | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| St. Tammany Parish Jail | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.8 | |
| Terrebonne Parish Jail | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | |
| Maine | | | 3.0 | | |
| Androscoggin Co. Jail | 3.5 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 | |
| Maryland | | | | - | |
| Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | |
| Baltimore City Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.3 | |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.9 | |
| Washington Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.5 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 1.2 | |
| Massachusetts | | - | | - | |
| Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | |
| Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.1 | |
| Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.0 | |
| Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | |
| Michigan | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.7 | |
| Kalamazoo Co. Jail | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.9 | |
| Kent Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.1 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 1.1 | |
| Montmorency Co. Jail | 3.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Montmorency Co Jan | | | | | |

Appendix table 5. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| National limate Survey, 2007 (Cont.) | Inmate-or | n-inmate | Staff-on-inmate | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Facility name | Percent victimized ^a | Standard error ^b | Percent victimized ^c | Standard error ^b | |
| Minnesota | | | | | |
| Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr. | 0.5 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 1.2 | |
| Mississippi | | | | | |
| Madison Co. Jail | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.9 | |
| Montana | | | | | |
| Cascade Co. Reg. Jail | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | |
| Nebraska | | | | | |
| Douglas Dept. of Corr. | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | |
| Nevada | | | | | |
| Clark Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| Washoe Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.6 | |
| New Hampshire | | | | | |
| Hillsborough Co. House of Corr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | |
| New Jersey | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 4.0 | |
| Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac. | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.3 | |
| Camden Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.8 | |
| Essex Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | |
| Hudson Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | |
| Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr. | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.9 | |
| Morris Co. Corr. Fac. Union Co. Jail | 0.7 0.7 | 0.6 0.6 | 1.1 3.0 | 0.7 1.7 | |
| | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.7 | |
| New Mexico Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr. | 2.4 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 2.4 | |
| Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac. | 2.4 0.6 | 0.5 | 5.8 3.7 | 2.4 1.3 | |
| Torrance Co. Det. Fac. | 0.6 4.7 | 0.5 2.7 | 3. <i>1</i> 4.2 | 1.3 2.1 | |
| New York | 4.1 | ۷.1 | 4.4 | ۷.۱ | |
| Albany Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | |
| Erie Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.0 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 1.7 | 0.9 | |
| Erie Co. Holding Ctr. | 0.6 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 1.3 | |
| Franklin Co. Jail | 1.2 | 0.6 | 5.3 | 1.2 | |
| New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr. | 1.4 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.3 | |
| New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1.1 | |
| New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. ^d | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 | |
| Oswego Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | |
| Westchester Co. Penitentiary | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.9 | |
| North Carolina | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | |
| Cleveland Co. | 1.6 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 1.8 | |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | |
| Wake Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.0 | |
| North Dakota | - - | - - | | *** | |
| Cass Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | |
| Ohio | - - | | ,. <u>.</u> | | |
| Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | |
| Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.1 | |
| Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol Treat- | | | | | |
| ment | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 0.7 | |
| River City Corr. Fac. | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.6 | |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail | 1.2 | 0.9 | 5.8 | 1.8 | |
| Oklahoma | | | | | |
| Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| Rogers Co. Jail | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 | |
| Dregon | | | | | |
| Marion Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | |
| Pennsylvania | | | | | |
| Allegheny Co. Jail | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 | |
| Lancaster Co. Prison | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 | |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac. | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | |
| Philadelphia City Curran/Fromhold Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 | |
| Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr. | 2.4 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 1.3 | |
| York Co. Prison | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |

Appendix table 5. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| | Inmate-or | n-inmate | Staff-on-inmate | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Facility name | Percent victimized ^a | Standard error ^b | Percent victimized ^c | Standard error ^b | |
| South Carolina | | | | | |
| Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 | |
| Berkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | |
| Florence Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 | |
| Sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr. | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | |
| South Dakota | | | | | |
| Pennington Co. Jail | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | |
| Tennessee | | | | | |
| Madison Co. Penal Farm | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Shelby Co. Corr. Ctr. | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 1.5 | |
| Shelby Co. Justice Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | |
| Sullivan Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | |
| Tipton Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | |
| Warren Co. Jail | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | |
| Texas | 2.1 | | 0.1 | 0.0 | |
| Bowie Co. Corr. Ctr. | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.6 | |
| Dallas Co. Decker Det. Ctr. | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | |
| Dallas Co. George Allen Jail | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | |
| Dallas Co. North Tower Jail | 1.1 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 | |
| Dallas Co. West Tower Jail | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | |
| El Paso Co. Jail Annex | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 | |
| Galveston Co. Jail | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | |
| Gregg Co. Jail | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.1 | |
| Harris Co. Jail | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.9 | |
| Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.1 | |
| Jefferson Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.6 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.8 | |
| Montgomery Co. Jail | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.6 | |
| Newton Co. Corr. Ctr ^e | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | |
| Tarrant Co. Corr. Ctr. | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 1.2 | |
| Travis Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.0 | |
| Jtah | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | |
| Weber Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.0 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.1 | |
| /irginia | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | | |
| Duffield Reg. Jail Fac. | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | |
| Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.9 | |
| Richmond City Jail | 1.4 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 1.1 | |
| Roanoke City Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.9 | |
| Vashington | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.9 | |
| Clark Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 1.3 | |
| King Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 | |
| Whatcom Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 1.4 | |
| | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 1.4 | |
| Vest Virginia Western Reg. Jail | 0.7 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 1.0 | |
| Western Reg. Jan Wisconsin | 0.7 | 0.0 | ۷.۱ | 1.0 | |
| Dane Co. Jail | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 1.0 | |
| | 0.4 | | 2.2 | | |
| Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 0.7 0.5 | 0.6 0.4 | | 1.2 | |
| Waukesha Co. Jail | | | 2.0 | 1.0 | |
| Waupaca Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |

Note: Excludes facilities with rates of sexual victimization not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level.

^aIncludes reports of oral, anal, or vaginal penetration, handjobs, and other sexual acts by another inmate.

^bStandard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around weighted survey estimates. (See Methodology.)

^cIncludes all reports of staff sexual misconduct including oral, anal, or vaginal penetration, handjobs, and other sexual acts.

^dFemale facility.

ePrivate facility.

Appendix table 6. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident, level of coercion, and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Inmate-on-inmate

| | Inmate-on-inmate | | Staff-on-inmate Without | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Facility name | Physically forced | Pressured ^a | Physically forced | Pressured ^a | Without force or pressure ^b |
| Total | 1.1% | 1.1% | 0.8% | 1.2% | 1.1% |
| Alabama | | | | | |
| Etowah Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Jackson Co. Jail | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Shelby Co. Jail | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | | | | | |
| Coconino Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 8.0 | 0.7 |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^c | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| California | | | | | |
| Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Fresno Co. Det. Fac Main Jail | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Imperial Co. Jail | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac. | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac. | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac. | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| Orange Co. Central Jail Complex | 0.7 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac. | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.6 |
| Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr. | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr. | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
| Sacramento Co. Main Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.5 |
| San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr. | 2.3 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| San Bernardino Co. Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr. | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr. | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac. | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 1.4 |
| San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac.c | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 0.5 |
| San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Santa Barbara Co. Jail | 3.1 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 |
| Colorado | | | | | |
| Adams Co. Det. Fac. | 2.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| Arapahoe Co. Jail | 2.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| El Paso Co. Det. Fac. | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Garfield Co. Jail | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| District of Columbia | | | | | |
| D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail | 2.1 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 |
| Florida | | | | | |
| Alachua Co. Jail | 2.4 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. | 4.1 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| Broward Co. Main Jail | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach | 0.8 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Collier Co. Jail | 0.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| Dixie Co. Jail | 5.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Jackson Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr. | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Marion Co. Jail | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr. | | 1.9 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 1.8 |
| Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr. | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.) | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.5 |
| Sarasota North Co. Jail | 3.7 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac. | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| South Co. Jail | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| St. Johns Co. Jail | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 |

Staff-on-inmate

Appendix table 6. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident, level of coercion, and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| | Inmate-on-inmate | | Staff-on-inmate | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| Facility name | Physically forced | Pressured ^a | Physically forced | Pressured ^a | Without force or pressure ^b | |
| Georgia | | | · · · · · · | | | |
| Atlanta City Jail | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | |
| Bartow Co. Jail | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.0 | |
| Carroll Co. Jail | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | | | |
| | | 1.5 3.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 0.9 | |
| Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit | 1.7 | | | 1.6 | | |
| Coweta Co. Jail | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 | |
| Dekalb Co. Jail | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | |
| Dougherty Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.1 | |
| Fulton Co. Jail | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.9 | |
| Gwinnett Co. Jail | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | |
| Muscogee Co. Jail | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | |
| Paulding Co. Det. Ctr. | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 2.0 | |
| Richmond Co. Corr. Inst. | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | |
| ldaho | | | | | | |
| Bingham Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 1.6 | |
| Illinois | 3.0 | 5.5 | | J. <u>L</u> | 1.0 | |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | |
| | | | 0.0 2.0 | 0. <i>7</i> 1.2 | | |
| Cook Co. Jail - Division 11 | 1.1 | 1.5 | | | 1.6 | |
| Will Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 2.0 | |
| Indiana | | | . – | . – | | |
| Daviess Co. Jail | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | |
| Hamilton Co. Jail | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | |
| Hendricks Co. Jail | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | |
| Lake Co. Jail | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.5 | |
| Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | |
| Wayne Co. Jail | 4.9 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.3 | |
| Kentucky | | | | | | |
| Boyd Co. Jail | 2.5 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.6 | |
| Daviess Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 | |
| Grant Co. Jail | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Hardin Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | |
| Kentucky River Reg. Jail | 1.2 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0.9 | |
| Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 4.1 | |
| Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr. | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | |
| Warren Co. Reg. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.4 | |
| Louisiana | | | | | | |
| Ascension Parish Jail | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | |
| Caldwell Parish Jails - (2 facilities) | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 3.6 | |
| Catahoula Corr. Ctr.d | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | |
| East Baton Rouge Prison | 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | |
| Franklin Parish Jail | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 | |
| | | | | | | |
| La Fourche Parish Jail | 2.1 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | |
| Lafayette Parish Corr. Center | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.4 | |
| St. Bernard Parish Prison | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | |
| St. Tammany Parish Jail | 4.1 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | |
| Terrebonne Parish Jail | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 | |
| Maine | | | | | | |
| Androscoggin Co. Jail | 5.1 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.7 | |
| Maryland | | | | | | |
| Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac. | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | |
| Baltimore City Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 3.5 | |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.5 | |
| Washington Co. Det. Ctr. | | 0.0 | | | | |
| • | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 0.7 | |
| Massachusetts | | | | | • • | |
| Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | |
| Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 2.9 | |
| Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 | |
| Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr. | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | |
| Michigan | | | | | | |
| Kalamazoo Co. Jail | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | |
| Kent Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.1 | |
| | 3.6 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Montmorency Co. Jail | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | U.U | 0.0 | |

Appendix table 6. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident, level of coercion, and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| | Inmate-on- | -inmate | Staff-on-inmate | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| Facility name | Physically forced | Pressured ^a | Physically forced | Pressured ^a | Without force or pressure ^b | |
| | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | , , | | | |
| Minnesota Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr. | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.7 | |
| Mississippi | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | |
| Madison Co. Jail | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | |
| Montana | | | | | | |
| Cascade Co. Reg. Jail | 3.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | |
| Nebraska | | | | | | |
| Douglas Dept. of Corr. | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | |
| Nevada | | | | | | |
| Clark Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | |
| Washoe Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | |
| New Hampshire | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | |
| Hillsborough Co. House of Corr. | 0.6 | 8.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | |
| New Jersey | 2.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | |
| Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac. Camden Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.5 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | |
| Essex Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | |
| Hudson Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.4 | 0.5 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | |
| Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr. | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.7 | |
| Morris Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | |
| Union Co. Jail | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2.2 | |
| New Mexico | 3 | - | | | | |
| Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr. | 3.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 2.5 | |
| Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac. | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 1.4 | |
| Torrance Co. Det. Fac.d | 4.7 | 6.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | |
| New York | | | | | | |
| Albany Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.1 | |
| Erie Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.9 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 1.7 | |
| Erie Co. Holding Ctr. | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 1.9 | |
| Franklin Co. Jail | 2.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 3.4 | |
| New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr. | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | |
| New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 2.8 | |
| New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. ^c | 3.3 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.1 | |
| Oswego Co. Corr. Fac. | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | |
| Westchester Co. Penitentiary | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.7 | |
| North Carolina | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 | |
| Cleveland Co. | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 3.6 | |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail | 0.8 2.2 | 1.5 2.2 | 0.7 2.8 | 0.7 2.5 | 1.5 1.3 | |
| Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North Wake Co. Jail | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | |
| North Dakota | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.4 | |
| Cass Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.8 | |
| Ohio | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I | 1.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | |
| Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr. | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | |
| Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol | | | | | | |
| Treatment | 2.6 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | |
| River City Corr. Fac. | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | |
| Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail | 1.2 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 4.4 | |
| Oklahoma | | | _ | | | |
| Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr. | 2.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | |
| Rogers Co. Jail | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 | |
| Oregon | 4 = | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.2 | |
| Marion Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.5 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.2 | |
| Pennsylvania | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | |
| Allegheny Co. Jail | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | |
| Lancaster Co. Prison | 1.2 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.1 | |
| | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 0.6 | |
| Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac. Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Ed. | ac 2.5 | 7 2 | | | | |
| Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fa | | 2.3 | 0.0 0.5 | | | |
| Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fa Philadelphia City Curran/Fromhold Corr. Fac. | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.1 | |
| Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fa | | | | | | |

Appendix table 6. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident, level of coercion, and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

| | Inmate-on | -inmate | Staff-on-inmate | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Facility name | Physically forced | Pressured ^a | Physically forced | Pressured ^a | Without force or pressure b |
| South Carolina | | | | | |
| Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| Berkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Florence Co. Det. Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.5 |
| Sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr. | 2.6 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| South Dakota | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Pennington Co. Jail | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Tennessee | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1,1 | 1.1 | |
| Madison Co. Penal Farm | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Shelby Co. Corr. Ctr. | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
| Shelby Co. Justice Ctr. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 |
| Sullivan Co. Jail | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| Tipton Co. Jail | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Warren Co. Jail | 3.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Texas | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| | 4 7 | 17 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Bowie Co. Corr. Ctr. | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | | |
| Dallas Co. Decker Det. Ctr. | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Dallas Co. George Allen Jail | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Dallas Co. North Tower Jail | 2.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 |
| Dallas Co. West Tower Jail | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| El Paso Co. Jail Annex | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.4 |
| Galveston Co. Jail | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Gregg Co. Jail | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.0 |
| Harris Co. Jail | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 |
| Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street | 1.7 | 2.5 | 8.0 | 2.6 | 0.7 |
| Jefferson Co. Det. Ctr. | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| Montgomery Co. Jail | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Newton Co. Corr. Ctr.d | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Tarrant Co. Corr. Ctr. | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Travis Co. Corr. Fac. | 3.4 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| Utah | | | | | |
| Weber Co. Corr. Fac. | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
| Virginia | | | | | |
| Duffield Reg. Jail Fac. | 1.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr. | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Richmond City Jail | 1.6 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.9 |
| Roanoke City Jail | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Washington | | | | | |
| Clark Co. Jail | 4.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 1.9 |
| King Co. Corr. Fac. | 1.8 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.5 |
| Whatcom Co. Jail | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| West Virginia | | | *** | | |
| Western Reg. Jail | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| Wisconsin | 1.7 | 0.0 | U. 1 | | 1.0 |
| Dane Co. Jail | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac. | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.9 |
| | 1.0 | | 0.7 | 2.0 0.7 | 1.3 |
| Waukesha Co. Jail | | 0.5 | | | |
| Waupaca Co. Jail | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 |

Note: Excludes facilities with rates of sexual victimization not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level. Details may sum to more than totals on table 4 because victims may report on more than one incident involving different levels of coercion.

alnoludes incidents in which the perpetrator, without using force, pressured the inmate or made the inmate feel that they had to participate. (See Methodology for definitions.)

^bIncludes incidents in which the staff offered favors or privileges in exchange for sex or sexual contact and incidents in which the inmate reported they willingly had sex or sexual contact with staff.

^cFemale facility.

^dPrivate facility.

Appendix 7. Survey items related to inmate-on-inmate sexual victimization, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Males

- E16. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to touch your butt, thighs, or penis in a sexual way?
- E17. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to let them touch your butt, thighs, or penis in a sexual way?
- E22. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you give or receive a handjob?
- E23. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to give or receive a handjob?
- E26. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you give or receive oral sex or a blow job?
- E27. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to give or receive oral sex or a blow job?
- E32. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you have anal sex?
- E33. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to have anal sex?
- E34. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you have any type of sex or sexual contact other than sexual touching, handjobs, oral sex or blow jobs, or anal sex?
- E35. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to have any type of sex or sexual contact other than sexual touching, handjobs, oral sex or blowjobs, or anal sex?

Females

- E18. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to touch your butt, thighs, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way?
- E19. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to let them touch your butt, thighs, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way?
- E24. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you give or receive oral sex?
- E25. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to give or receive oral sex?
- E28. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you have vaginal sex?
- E29. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to have vaginal sex?
- E32. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you have anal sex?
- E33. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to have anal sex?
- E34. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you have any type of sex or sexual contact other than sexual touching, oral sex, vaginal sex, or anal sex?
- E35. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to have any type of sex or sexual contact other than sexual touching, oral sex, vaginal sex, or anal sex?

Appendix 8. Survey items related to staff sexual misconduct, National Inmate Survey, 2007

These next questions are about the behavior of staff at this facility during the last 6 months. By staff we mean the employees of this facility and anybody who works as a volunteer in this facility.

- During the last 6 months, have any facility staff pressured you or made you feel that you had to let them have sex or sexual contact with you?
- During the last 6 months, have you been physically forced by any facility staff to have sex or sexual contact?
- G7 During the last 6 months, have any facility staff offered you favors or special privileges in exchange for sex or sexual contact?
- G2 During the last 6 months, have you willingly had sex or sexual contact with any facility staff?

- G11 [IF G2 OR G4 OR G5 = Yes] During the last 6 months, which of the following types of sex or sexual contact did you have with a facility staff person?
- G11a. You touched a facility staff person's body or had your body touched in a sexual way
- G11b. You gave or received a handjob
- G11c. You gave or received oral sex or a blowjob
- G11d. You had vaginal sex
- G11e. You had anal sex

Appendix 9. Follow-up questions for inmates reporting no sexual activity, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Follow-up questions for inmates reporting no sexual activity in the screener questions for sexual activity with inmates:

LCM1 During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force, pressure you, or make you feel that you had to have any type of sex or sexual contact?

LCM2a How long has it been since another inmate in this facility used physical force, pressured you, or made you feel that you had to have any type of sex or sexual contact?

Within the past 7 days

- ☐ More than 7 days ago but within the past 30 days
- months
- 4. This has not happened to me at this facility

LCM3 [If Male] During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force, pressure you, or make you feel that you had to have oral or anal sex?

[If Female] During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force, pressure you, or make you feel that you had to have oral, vaginal, or anal sex?

LCM4a [If Male] How long has it been since another inmate in this facility used physical force, pressured you, or made you feel that you had to have oral or anal sex?

[If Female] How long has it been since another inmate in this facility used physical force, pressured you, or made you feel that you had to have oral, vaginal, or anal sex?

LCM4b [If Male] How long has it been since another inmate in this facility used physical force, pressured you, or made you feel that you had to have oral or anal sex?

[If Female] How long has it been since another inmate in this facility used physical force, pressured you, or made you feel that you had to have oral, vaginal, or anal sex?

Follow-up questions for inmates reporting no sexual activity in the screener questions for sexual activity with staff:

LCM5 During the last 6 months, have you had any sex or sexual contact with staff in this facility whether you wanted to have it or not?

LCM6a How long has it been since you had any sex or sexual contact with staff in this facility whether you wanted to or not?

- months
- 4. More than 12 months ago
- ☐ This has not happened to me at this facility

LCM7 In the last 6 months, did you have oral, vaginal, or anal sex with any staff at this facility whether you wanted to or not?

LCM8a How long has it been since you had oral, vaginal, or anal sex with any staff at this facility whether you wanted to or not?

LCM8b How long has it been since you had oral or anal sex with any staff at this facility whether you wanted to or not?