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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) serves as the foundation of America's national nutritional safety net. It is the nation's first line of defense against hunger and offers a powerful tool to improve nutrition among low-income people. SNAP is the largest of the 15 domestic food and nutrition assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). This report describes the characteristics of SNAP households and participants nationwide in fiscal year 2011 (October 2010 through September 2011). It also presents an overview of SNAP eligibility requirements and benefit levels in fiscal year 2011. The appendices provide detailed tabulations of household and participant characteristics for the nation and by State, as well as a brief description of the sample design and the sampling error associated with the estimates presented in the report.

## SNAP Participation and Costs

In an average month in fiscal year 2011, SNAP provided benefits to 44.7 million people living in more than 21.1 million households across the United States. The total federal cost of the Program in fiscal year 2011 was $\$ 75.7$ billion, $\$ 71.8$ billion of which went to SNAP benefits and the remainder to program administration. The average monthly SNAP benefit for all participating households in fiscal year 2011 was $\$ 284$.

The participant counts and benefit costs discussed in this section are based on FNS administrative records and thus differ slightly from estimates based on the SNAP Quality Control (SNAP QC) sample file (see Appendix D for an explanation of the differences). The remainder of this summary draws on data from the SNAP QC file.

## Characteristics of SNAP Households and Participants

In fiscal year 2011, approximately 83 percent of SNAP households lived in poverty, as measured by the federal poverty guideline issued by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) (Appendix C); 43 percent of SNAP households had gross income less than or equal to half of the poverty guideline and received 55 percent of all benefits. With the value of SNAP benefits included as income, 13 percent of SNAP households would move above the poverty guideline, and 15 percent would move from below to above half of the poverty guideline.

Twenty percent of households had zero gross income in fiscal year 2011, remaining unchanged from fiscal year 2010. The percentage of households with zero net income increased slightly from 38 to 39 percent. Thirty-one percent of SNAP households had earned income, 20 percent received Supplemental Security Income (SSI), 22 percent received Social Security income, 10 percent received Child Support Enforcement payments, 8 percent received support from Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), and 6 percent received Unemployment Income.

Seventy-six percent of SNAP households included a child, an elderly person, or a disabled person, and these households received 83 percent of all benefits. Households with children received a relatively large average monthly SNAP benefit (\$413), reflecting their larger average household size. The average household with children had 3.2 people, compared with an average of 1.1 people for households without children. A majority ( 56 percent) of SNAP households with children were single-adult households. Only seventeen percent of these single-adult households with children received cash benefits from TANF. Nearly half (49 percent) of all SNAP households with children
had earned income; 40 percent of single-adult households with children and 64 percent of marriedhead households with children had earned income. Four percent of all households with children had both TANF and earned income.

Households with elderly individuals received a relatively small average monthly SNAP benefit (\$144), reflecting their smaller-than-average size (1.3 people) and higher-than-average income compared to other SNAP participants. Eighty percent of SNAP households with elderly individuals consisted of an elderly person living alone. These individuals received an average monthly benefit of $\$ 122$, compared with an average monthly benefit of $\$ 233$ for households with elderly individuals not living alone and $\$ 307$ for households without any elderly individuals.

In fiscal year 2011, 46 percent of all SNAP participants were nonelderly adults, and nearly 9 percent were elderly. About 62 percent of nonelderly adults were women, as were 66 percent of elderly adults. Forty-five percent of all participants were children, a slight decrease from the percentage who were children in fiscal year 2010. About 66 percent of the children were of school age.

## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is a central component of the nation's nutrition assistance safety net. SNAP's stated purpose is "to permit low-income households to obtain a more nutritious diet by increasing their purchasing power" (Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended by the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, PL 110-246). SNAP is the largest of the 15 domestic food and nutrition assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). According to FNS administrative records, during fiscal year 2011, SNAP served approximately 44.7 million people in an average month at a total annual cost of $\$ 75.7$ billion, $\$ 71.8$ billion of which went to SNAP benefits. ${ }^{1}$

SNAP is available to all individuals who meet the federal eligibility guidelines set by Congress, and serves a broad demographic spectrum of the needy population. It provides benefits electronically, and the benefits may be redeemed for eligible food items. As of September 30, 2011, there were 231,435 stores across the nation authorized to accept SNAP benefits.

Federal, State, and local governments share the costs and administration of SNAP. Congress authorizes the Program and appropriates necessary funds. The Department of Agriculture establishes SNAP regulations under the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended. FNS administers SNAP nationally while State and local welfare agencies operate the Program locally. The federal government fully funds SNAP benefits and the cooperating agencies share administrative costs, with FNS paying about 50 percent of such costs.

Using SNAP household data collected for quality control purposes, FNS publishes this annual report describing the characteristics of the SNAP population (Appendix $G$ lists report titles) and uses the data for additional analyses. This report presents a picture of households and individuals participating in SNAP in fiscal year 2011. The remainder of this report draws on data for participating households eligible for SNAP under normal program rules and thus does not include information about those who received disaster assistance in fiscal year 2011 or ineligible households that were mistakenly issued benefits. ${ }^{2}$

In Chapter 2, we provide an overview of SNAP, including the regulations used to determine eligibility and benefits, and the factors that affect program participation and costs, such as national economic trends. In Chapter 3, we describe the characteristics of individuals and households participating in SNAP in fiscal year 2011. We present detailed national tables of SNAP household characteristics in Appendix A and detailed State-by-State tables of SNAP household characteristics in Appendix B. We provide the fiscal year 2011 SNAP eligibility standards and maximum benefit amounts in Appendix C. In Appendix D, we provide a detailed explanation and evaluation of the source and reliability of the estimates in this report and, in Appendix E, the sampling error of the estimates. The data collection instrument used to collect the SNAP Quality Control (SNAP QC)

[^0]data, which forms the basis of this report, appears in Appendix F, and a list of the reports in this series for earlier years is in Appendix G.

## CHAPTER 2: AN OVERVIEW OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The characteristics of SNAP households and the level of SNAP participation change over time in response to economic and demographic trends and legislative changes to SNAP. In this chapter, we explain SNAP eligibility requirements, application procedures, benefit computation, and benefit issuance. We conclude with a summary of program participation and costs, as well as a discussion on how the costs were related to the economy in fiscal year 2011.

## Program Eligibility Requirements

The Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, establishes uniform national eligibility standards for SNAP. It defines a SNAP "household" and categories of households eligible for benefits. It also sets gross and net income limits, a resource limit, and various nonfinancial criteria for eligibility. The legislation provides for exceptions to the eligibility criteria in certain high-cost areas, such as Alaska and Hawaii, and to certain individuals, such as those who are categorically eligible, elderly, or with disabilities. ${ }^{1}$ Furthermore, States have options to simplify certain eligibility rules, such as the definition of resources.

## The Household

Under SNAP rules, a household is defined as individuals who share a residential unit and customarily purchase and prepare food together. The income and countable resources of each household member are aggregated to determine eligibility and benefits. Generally, individuals who live together in a residential unit but do not purchase and prepare food together may apply as separate household units; their income and countable resources are considered separately in eligibility and benefit determinations. However, spouses must apply together and parents must apply together with their children under age 22 , even if the children have a spouse or child of their own. People who are elderly and disabled and cannot purchase and prepare food because of a substantial disability may apply as a separate household as long as the gross monthly income of the remainder of their residential unit is less than 165 percent of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) poverty guidelines. ${ }^{2}$

## Categorical Eligibility

Certain households are categorically eligible for SNAP. This eliminates certain verification requirements, such as the need to document household assets. Like other SNAP participants, the level of benefits that they receive is based on their income. A household is categorically eligible through pure public assistance (PA) status if each of its members receives Supplemental Security Income (SSI), cash Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), or General Assistance (GA).

[^1]Benefits for these categorically eligible households are determined under the same rules that apply to other eligible SNAP households.

A broader interpretation of existing categorical eligibility rules was implemented on November 21, 2000, which requires States to confer categorical eligibility on families receiving or certified as eligible to receive benefits or services, such as employment assistance, child care, or transportation assistance, that are at least 50 percent funded by TANF or Maintenance of Effort (MOE) funds. In addition, States have the option of conferring categorical eligibility on families receiving or certified to receive benefits or services that are less than 50 percent funded by TANF/MOE. They may also confer categorical eligibility on households in which at least one member receives the benefit or service, and the State determines if the entire household benefits. If the purpose of the program conferring categorical eligibility is to prevent out-of-wedlock pregnancies or foster or strengthen marriage, the household's gross income must be under 200 percent of poverty. However, if the purpose of the program is to assist needy families and reduce their dependency, no additional SNAP means test beyond that already used for TANF/MOE is required.

Many States have broad programs that provide a TANF/MOE-funded noncash benefit to confer categorical eligibility for SNAP on a large number of households. These policies are known as broad-based categorical eligibility (BBCE) policies. States have flexibility in setting the criteria for receiving the TANF/MOE-funded noncash benefit, but most apply only a gross income eligibility limit, between 130 and 200 percent of SNAP poverty guidelines, and have eliminated the asset test. The number of States (including the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands) implementing BBCE policies has expanded rapidly in recent years, rising from 29 States in fiscal year 2009 to 39 in fiscal year 2010, and to 42 States by the end of fiscal year 2011. Forty States had BBCE policies in effect throughout all of fiscal year 2011, while Colorado and Iowa established BBCE policies after the start of the fiscal year. Two of the 42 BBCE States (California and New Hampshire) had policies that applied only to households with children, although after the start of the fiscal year, California expanded its policy to include households without children. In States without BBCE policies, households participating in more narrowly targeted noncash TANF-funded programs may also be categorically eligible for SNAP.

## Income Eligibility Standards

Monthly income is the most important determinant of a household's SNAP eligibility. Households that are not categorically eligible must meet two income eligibility standards: a gross income standard and a net income standard. ${ }^{3}$ As defined in the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, gross income includes most cash income (with the exception of specific types of income, such as loans), and excludes most noncash income or in-kind benefits. A household that is not categorically eligible and does not include an elderly or disabled member must have a monthly gross income that is at or below 130 percent of the poverty guideline ( $\$ 2,389$ per month for a family of four in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2011) to be eligible for SNAP. Households with elderly or disabled members are not subject to the gross income standard.

[^2]Net income is determined by subtracting deductions permitted under SNAP from monthly gross income. SNAP deducts the following from a household's gross monthly income to arrive at net monthly income: ${ }^{4}$

- Standard Deduction. Households receive a standard deduction based on location and household size. In fiscal year 2011, a household with one to three members in the contiguous United States received a $\$ 142$ deduction; larger households received a larger standard deduction. The standard deductions for outlying States and territories vary with price differences between such areas and the contiguous United States (Appendix C). The standard deductions are indexed annually to inflation.
- Earned Income Deduction. Households with earnings receive a deduction equal to 20 percent of the combined earnings of household members.
- Dependent Care Deduction. Households with dependents receive a deduction for out-of-pocket costs for the care of children and other dependents while other household members work, seek employment, or attend school. ${ }^{5}$
- Medical Deduction. A medical deduction is available only to households with elderly or disabled members. In most States, such households may deduct combined out-ofpocket medical costs exceeding $\$ 35$ that are incurred on behalf of elderly or disabled household members. Nine States have implemented medical deduction demonstration programs that use standard deduction amounts for households with medical expenses exceeding $\$ 35$ but below a specified limit. ${ }^{6}$ Medical expenses reimbursed by insurance or government programs are not deductible in any State.
- Child Support Payment Deduction. Households may deduct legally obligated child support payments made to or for a non-household member. States may choose to exclude child support payments from gross income rather than treat them as a deduction.
- Excess Shelter Expense Deduction. A household is entitled to a deduction equal to shelter costs (such as rent, mortgage payments, utility bills, property taxes, and insurance) that exceed 50 percent of its countable income after all other potential deductions are subtracted from gross income. The limit on the excess shelter expense deduction in the contiguous United States for households without elderly or disabled members was $\$ 458$ in fiscal year 2011. The amount is indexed to inflation. The limits on the excess shelter expense deduction for outlying States and territories vary with price differences between such areas and the contiguous United States (Appendix C). Households with elderly or disabled members are entitled to subtract the full value of shelter costs that exceed 50 percent of their adjusted income. Some States also allow homeless households a deduction of up to $\$ 143$ for shelter costs.

[^3]To be eligible for SNAP, a household must have net monthly income at or below 100 percent of the poverty guidelines ( $\$ 1,838$ for a family of four in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2011). Most categorically eligible households are not subject to the net income limit. The gross and net income eligibility standards vary by household size and for residents of Alaska and Hawaii (Appendix C).

## Resources

Another important determinant of SNAP eligibility is a household's resources. Through fiscal year 2011, households that were not categorically eligible were permitted up to $\$ 2,000$ in countable resources or, if at least one household member was elderly or disabled, up to $\$ 3,000$. As stipulated in the 2008 Farm Bill, the resource limits in future years will be indexed to inflation, rounded to the nearest $\$ 250$ increment each fiscal year. ${ }^{7}$ Countable resources included cash, resources easily converted to cash (such as money in checking or savings accounts, savings certificates, stocks and bonds, and lump-sum payments), and some nonliquid resources. However, some types of property were not counted, such as retirement and educational savings accounts, family homes, tools of a trade, or business property used to earn income. Most categorically eligible households were not subject to SNAP resource limits.

Vehicles with equity below $\$ 1,500$ are excluded from the resource test. Vehicles used as homes, to produce income, transport physically disabled household members, or transport fuel or water are also excluded. Otherwise, for one vehicle per adult and any vehicle used by a teenager in the household to drive to work or school, any fair market value in excess of $\$ 4,650$ is counted toward the resource limit. Of the household's remaining vehicles, the higher of (1) any fair market value in excess of $\$ 4,650$ or (2) any equity is counted. ${ }^{8}$

States are allowed to use TANF vehicle rules in place of SNAP rules if the TANF rules were less restrictive. By September 2011, 46 States had adopted policies excluding the value of all vehicles from the resource test. ${ }^{9}$ The remaining States adopted policies excluding or increasing the allowable value of one or more vehicles. These changes were intended to make it easier for low-income workers to keep a vehicle and still receive SNAP benefits.

## Nonfinancial Eligibility Standards

The Program's nonfinancial eligibility standards restrict the participation of certain students, strikers, people who are institutionalized, fleeing felons, drug felons, unauthorized immigrants, nonimmigrant visitors to the United States, and some lawful permanent resident noncitizens. In

[^4]addition, nondisabled nonelderly adults living in households without dependents are subject to work registration requirements and time limits on benefit receipt. ${ }^{10}$

The following groups of legally resident noncitizens are eligible for SNAP benefits provided they meet SNAP's other eligibility requirements:

- Those who have lived legally in the United States for five years or more
- Those under age 18
- Those receiving disability benefits
- Those admitted as refugees and granted asylum or a stay of deportation
- Members of the US Armed Forces, veterans, and dependents of service members and veterans
- Those with at least 40 quarters of work history.

In fiscal year 2011, nondisabled nonelderly adults living in households without children could receive benefits only if they worked or participated in work-related activities. With certain exceptions, those who did not meet these work requirements were restricted to 3 months of SNAP benefits in any 36 -month period. ${ }^{11}$ Participants aged 18 to 49 were subject to these provisions unless they were in one of the following categories:

- People who were disabled
- People who were mentally or physically unfit for employment
- Pregnant women
- People needed in the home to care for an ill or incapacitated person
- Relatives or other caretakers of dependent children.

Participants not in any of the above categories were subject to benefit time limits unless they met work registration requirements by:

- Working at least 20 hours per week
- Complying with work requirements under another public assistance or work program
- Participating in a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program
- Participating in a work experience program.

[^5]
## Application Procedures

When a household applies for SNAP benefits, State agencies are required to conduct a face-toface interview at initial certification and at least once every 12 months thereafter. Elderly or disabled individuals and those with transportation problems may be interviewed by telephone or at home. All SNAP applicants have the option to appear in person at their local SNAP office, but as online applications for SNAP and state waivers for the face-to-face interview requirement proliferate, applicants increasingly also have the choice to complete their application without visiting the SNAP office. As of November 2010, 47 States had been granted waivers, either statewide or for select regions, of the requirement that households receive a face-to-face interview. These States provide the option of a telephone interview, either at initial certification, recertification, or both. Also as of November 2010, 25 States offered statewide online applications and 4 more offered online applications in parts of the State. All States must allow individuals to apply for SNAP benefits when they apply for TANF or SSI benefits.

The Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, requires local offices to process applications for SNAP benefits within 30 days of receipt. However, applications from households with an extremely low income or a low level of resources must be processed more quickly under the expedited SNAP eligibility verification procedures, allowing people to receive SNAP benefits within 7 days of application. Those eligible for expedited service include (1) migrant or seasonal farm workers with countable resources equal to or less than $\$ 100$, (2) households with gross income equal to or less than $\$ 150$ and countable resources equal to or less than $\$ 100$, and (3) households whose combined monthly gross income and liquid resources are less than the household's monthly rent or mortgage, and utilities.

SNAP participants are required to appear periodically at their local SNAP office or to participate in a telephone interview for recertification. The certification period varies with the likelihood of a change in a SNAP household's financial circumstances. The certification period may be as long as 24 months for households with elderly members and up to 48 months for households participating in SSI Combined Application Project demonstrations. In fiscal year 2011, SNAP households were certified for benefits for an average of 12 months.

## Benefit Computation

After a household is certified for SNAP, its monthly SNAP benefit is computed on the basis of its net monthly income, the benefit reduction rate, and the maximum SNAP benefit for its household size and location. The maximum benefit to which a household is entitled has been historically based on 100 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) for a family of four in June of the previous year, adjusted for household size and geographic areas outside of the contiguous United States. The TFP is a healthful and minimal cost diet, with the cost adjusted for household size and composition. ${ }^{12}$ Maximum benefits are usually revised annually to reflect changes in the cost of foods in the TFP.

[^6]However, as specified in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), the maximum benefit was set to 113.6 percent of the June 2008 TFP beginning in April 2009. As specified in subsequent legislation, this provision, which was still in effect in fiscal year 2011, will expire on October 31, 2013, when the maximum benefit is scheduled to again be based on 100 percent of the cost of the TFP in the preceding June. In fiscal year 2011, the maximum monthly benefit for a family of four in the contiguous United States was $\$ 668$ (Appendix C).

Participant households are expected to spend about 30 percent of their net cash income on food, with SNAP benefits providing the difference between that amount and the maximum benefit. Given that assumption, SNAP benefits are calculated by subtracting 30 percent of a household's net income from the maximum benefit amount to which it is entitled. This 30 percent rate at which benefits are reduced for every additional dollar of net income is called the benefit reduction rate.

If a household has zero net income (that is, its deductable expenses equal or exceed its gross income), it receives the maximum SNAP benefit. For new participants, benefits are prorated for the first month. ${ }^{13}$ All eligible one- and two-person households are guaranteed a minimum benefit except during the initial month of participation. The 2008 Farm Bill increased the minimum benefit for one- and two-person households in October 2008, from $\$ 10$ to 8 percent of the maximum benefit for a one-person household. In fiscal year 2011, the minimum benefit for one- and two-person households in the contiguous United States was $\$ 16$. ${ }^{14}$

## SSI-CAP and MFIP Households

Through their participation in the Supplemental Security Income Combined Application Project (SSI-CAP), some households with SSI benefits receive SNAP benefits that are subject to a method of computation different from other SNAP households. SSI-CAP is a joint FNS-Social Security Administration (SSA) and State project that streamlines the SNAP application process for certain households eligible for SSI (also making them categorically eligible for SNAP). Throughout fiscal year 2011, 18 States were operating SSI-CAP demonstrations: Arizona, Florida, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Virginia, and Washington. In most cases, SSI-CAP is limited to households with one elderly or disabled person who receives SSI and has no earned income. ${ }^{15}$ In 15 of the States (Arizona, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, and Virginia), SSI-CAP households receive a standard SNAP benefit based on whether the State categorizes them as having "high" or "low" shelter expenses, as determined by the State. In 3 of the States (Florida, Massachusetts, and Washington), SSI-CAP households receive a SNAP benefit based on gross income, the standard deduction, a standard utility allowance (SUA),

[^7]and a standardized "high" or "low" shelter expense deduction. SSI-CAP households are not subject to any other income deductions.

Under the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP), Minnesota households that receive TANF are subject to a different benefit computation method than other SNAP households. MFIP participants' SNAP benefit is calculated at the same time as the cash assistance benefit by subtracting total income from an income threshold that is based on family size and is higher for families with earnings. If the difference between total income and the threshold is greater than the maximum benefit set by Minnesota, the family receives the full food portion of its benefit, and possibly an additional cash benefit. As a family's income rises, the cash portion of the benefit is reduced before the food portion is reduced. Families with income closer to the income threshold may not receive a cash benefit and may receive a smaller food benefit as well. MFIP participants are credited with a 37 percent earnings deduction but are not subject to other income deductions.

## SNAP Benefit Issuance

In fiscal year 2011, as in previous years, all 50 States, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands operated Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) systems. All EBT systems were online and permitted participants to receive a debit card, similar to a bank card, for use in purchasing food at authorized retail stores. A household's monthly benefit is electronically transferred to an account created specifically for SNAP benefits. The amount of a purchase is debited from the account at the time of the transaction.

## Program Changes Since the Previous Fiscal Year

In fiscal year 2011, Colorado, Hawaii, and Iowa adopted BBCE policies for the first time. California, Maryland, and Minnesota expanded existing BBCE policies, increasing SNAP eligibility in those States, while Idaho and North Dakota restricted existing BBCE policies, decreasing SNAP eligibility in those States. In particular, California and Maryland expanded their policies to include households without children, and Minnesota expanded their policy by dropping their asset test and raising their gross income limit to 165 percent of the poverty guideline for all households. Conversely, Idaho and North Dakota restricted their policies by adding an asset test and gross income test, respectively.

Also during fiscal year 2011, Illinois and Kansas implemented medical deduction demonstration programs, which use a standard deduction amount for households with medical expenses below a specified limit. The demonstration programs simplify the application process for qualifying households and may slightly increase eligibility and benefit amounts.

## SNAP Participation and Costs

After declining slowly from 1985 through 1989, the number of SNAP participants grew substantially during the early 1990s. As illustrated in Figure 2.1, the number increased by 37 percent from fiscal year 1990 to fiscal year 1994. After peaking at 28.0 million in March 1994, the number of eligible SNAP participants declined steadily through 2000 but began to rise in 2001 and increased each year through 2011, except for a slight dip in 2007. The increase was substantial from fiscal year 2010 to fiscal year 2011. Average monthly participation increased from 17.2 million individuals in fiscal year 2000 to 40.3 million in fiscal year 2010, and to 44.7 million in fiscal year 2011. Fluctuations in the number of SNAP participants in the last 16 years have broadly tracked major economic indicators (Table 2.1).

Total SNAP costs increased from $\$ 68.3$ billion in fiscal year 2010 to $\$ 75.7$ billion in fiscal year 2011, largely as a result of the increase in SNAP participants.

Figure 2.1. SNAP Participants, Unemployed Individuals, and Individuals in Poverty, 1985-2011

${ }^{a}$ Annual values. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2011.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average monthly values. Source: Food and Nutrition Service Fiscal Year 2011 Program Operations data.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Average monthly values. Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table 2.1. Major Economic Indicators, Calendar Years 1996-2011

|  | Calendar Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic Indicator | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Real GDP Increase ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 1.9 | -0.3 | -3.5 | 3.0 | 1.7 |
| Productivity Increase ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.9 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 0.2 |
| Unemployment Rate ${ }^{d}$ | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 9.0 |
| Inflation Rate ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| Interest Ratef | 7.4 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| Individuals Below Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number in Thousands | 36,529 | 35,574 | 34,476 | 32,791 | 31,581 | 32,907 | 34,570 | 35,861 | 37,040 | 36,950 | 36,460 | 37,276 | 39,829 | 43,569 | 46,343 | 46,247 |
| Percentage of Total Population | 13.7 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 15.1 | 15.0 |

Sources:
Real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Increase: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts.
Productivity Increase: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. "Major Sector Productivity and Costs Index."
Unemployment Rate: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Inflation Rate: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts.
Interest Rate: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
Individuals Below Poverty Line: U.S. Census Bureau.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percentage change from preceding year.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The Bureau of Economic Analysis periodically revises GDP estimates. Therefore, historical numbers in this table may differ from previous reports.

${ }^{d}$ Unemployment rate for all civilian workers.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Percentage change from preceding year in the implicit price deflator for GDP.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Corporate AAA bond yield.
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## CHAPTER 3: CHARACTERISTICS OF SNAP HOUSEHOLDS AND PARTICIPANTS

SNAP serves the nutritional needs of a broad spectrum of low-income Americans. ${ }^{1}$ In an average month in fiscal year 2011, SNAP provided benefits to 44.1 million people living in 20.8 million households. ${ }^{2}$ The vast majority of SNAP households ( 83 percent) lived in poverty, according to the federal poverty guidelines for program eligibility in fiscal year 2011. Most SNAP households ( 76 percent) included a child (under age 18), an elderly individual (age 60 and over), or a disabled nonelderly individual. The average SNAP household received a monthly benefit of $\$ 281$, had gross monthly income of $\$ 744$, net monthly income of $\$ 338$, and was entitled to a total deduction of $\$ 508$ per month. ${ }^{3,4}$ The average household size was 2.1 people.

In this chapter, we discuss the composition and economic status of SNAP households, the characteristics of SNAP participants, and the changes in the characteristics of SNAP households from fiscal year 2010 to fiscal year 2011. Table 3.1 and Figure 3.1 show the poverty status of participants and the effect of SNAP benefits on poverty among participating households; Tables 3.2 and 3.3 present sources of income and average monthly income, benefit, and unit size by household composition; Table 3.4 depicts the demographic characteristics of participants; and Table 3.5 compares the change in constant 2011 dollars since 2010 in average income, deductions, and benefits for participating households.

## The Poverty Status of SNAP Households ${ }^{5}$

SNAP provides benefits to households in need. In fiscal year 2011, the gross monthly income of 83 percent of SNAP households was less than or equal to 100 percent of the federal poverty guideline (Table 3.1). ${ }^{6}$ The gross monthly income of 61 percent of all SNAP households was less

[^8]than or equal to 75 percent of the poverty guideline, and the income of 43 percent of all SNAP households was less than or equal to 50 percent of the guideline (Table 3.1). The average household had income that was slightly less than 59 percent of the poverty guideline (Table A.2).

SNAP effectively targets benefits to the neediest households; poorer households receive greater SNAP benefits than households with more income. The 43 percent of all SNAP households with gross monthly income less than or equal to 50 percent of the poverty guideline in fiscal year 2011 received 55 percent of all benefits. In contrast, the 17 percent of households with a gross monthly income over the poverty guideline received only 9 percent of all benefits (Table 3.1).

The impact of SNAP benefits on a household's purchasing power is estimated by adding the dollar value of the benefits to a household's income and then examining the distribution of households by poverty status. ${ }^{7}$ As shown in Figure 3.1, the combination of cash and SNAP benefits yields a substantially different distribution of SNAP households by poverty status. Specifically, when SNAP benefits are included in gross income, the resulting increase in the income of SNAP households was sufficient to move 13 percent of participating households above the poverty guideline. SNAP benefits had an even greater impact on the poorest SNAP households, moving 15 percent above 50 percent of the poverty guideline.

## Households with Special Needs ${ }^{8}$

SNAP effectively serves many households that include people with special needs-children, elderly, and disabled people. In fiscal year 2011, 76 percent of all SNAP households, containing 87 percent of all participants, included a child, an elderly person, or a disabled nonelderly person. These households received 83 percent of all SNAP benefits (Table A.14).

[^9]Table 3.1. Distribution of Households and Their Benefits by Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, Fiscal Year 2011

| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All Households |  | All Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Cumulative Percentage | Percentage ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Cumulative Percentage |
| 25\% or less.................................. | 29.0 | 29.0 | 33.9 | 33.9 |
| 26-50\%....................................... | 13.6 | 42.6 | 21.2 | 55.1 |
| 51-75\%....................................... | 18.2 | 60.8 | 19.9 | 75.0 |
| 76-100\% ..................................... | 22.5 | 83.4 | 16.4 | 91.4 |
| 101-130\% ................................... | 11.9 | 95.3 | 7.2 | 98.6 |
| 131\% or more ............................... | 4.7 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 |

Source: Fiscal year 2011 SNAP QC sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Defined as the fiscal year 2011 SNAP net income screen (Appendix C).
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.

Figure 3.1. Effect of SNAP Benefits on the Poverty Status of SNAP Households, Fiscal Year 2011


Source: Fiscal year 2011 SNAP QC sample.
Note: Estimates may not add to 100 percent due to rounding.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Defined as the fiscal year 2011 SNAP net income screen (Appendix C).

## Households with Children

In each month of fiscal year 2011, SNAP served approximately 9.8 million households with children, representing 47 percent of all SNAP households. Seventy-six percent of all SNAP households with earnings contained children and 49 percent of all households with children had earned income (Table 3.2). Sixteen percent of all households with children received TANF cash benefits, and 4 percent received a combination of TANF and earnings (Table A.6). Compared with other SNAP households, those with children received a relatively high average SNAP benefit of $\$ 413$ per month (Table 3.3), likely because the household size among SNAP households with children ( 3.2 people) was larger than the average household size among all SNAP households (2.1 people).

In fiscal year 2011, single adults (usually a female) headed more than half ( 56 percent) of all SNAP households with children, accounting for 26 percent of all SNAP households (Table 3.2). Approximately 9 percent of all SNAP households included a married head of household and children, accounting for 19 percent of all SNAP households with children.

Of the 5.5 million single-adult SNAP households with children, about 956,000 (17 percent) received TANF, 2.2 million ( 40 percent) had earnings, 635,000 ( 12 percent) received SSI, and 535,000 (10 percent) received Social Security. Of the 1.9 million married-head households with children, 1.2 million ( 64 percent) had earned income, 236,000 ( 13 percent) received SSI, 190,000 (10 percent) received Social Security, and 161,000 (9 percent) received TANF (Table 3.2).

The characteristics of married-head households with children varied considerably from those of single-adult households with children. The average monthly SNAP benefit for single-adult households with children was lower than that of married-head households with children (\$395 versus $\$ 484$ ) because of the smaller size of single-adult households (Table 3.3). However, the benefit per person was higher for people in single-adult households with children than for people in married-head households with children (\$136 versus $\$ 113$ ) because single-adult households were poorer. Single-adult households with children had a substantially lower gross monthly income than married-head households with children (\$832 versus \$1,368).

Among all households with children, 1.9 million (19 percent) received child support and 1.2 million (12 percent) had no countable income (Table A.6).

## Households with Elderly Individuals

In each month of fiscal year 2011, SNAP served an average of more than 3.4 million households with elderly individuals (age 60 and over). These households represent more than 16 percent of all SNAP households (Table 3.2), close to a 1 percentage point increase from fiscal year 2010. Households with elderly individuals had an average household size of 1.3 people (Table 3.3).

In fiscal year 2011, the average SNAP benefit for SNAP households with elderly individuals was $\$ 144$, compared to $\$ 307$ for households without elderly individuals (Table A.2). Elderly SNAP recipients tended to receive relatively small benefit amounts for two reasons. First, they typically had higher average gross and net incomes than other households. Households with elderly individuals had average gross and net incomes of $\$ 833$ and $\$ 385$, compared to $\$ 727$ and $\$ 330$ for households
without elderly individuals. Second, elderly SNAP recipients usually lived alone and thus were eligible for smaller maximum benefit amounts than other households. ${ }^{9}$ In fiscal year 2011, 80 percent of all SNAP households with elderly individuals were single-person households (Table 3.2). Elderly SNAP recipients who lived alone received an average SNAP benefit of $\$ 122$ per month, compared to $\$ 188$ for multi-person households with elderly individuals only, and $\$ 271$ for multiperson households with both elderly and nonelderly individuals (Table A.15). The average size of households with elderly individuals not living alone was 2.3 people (Table 3.3).

[^10]Table 3.2. Household Composition and Selected Characteristics of Participating Households, Fiscal Year 2011

| Households With: | All Households |  | Households With Countable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Social Security |  | SSI |  | Zero Gross Income |  | TANF |  | General Assistance |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20,803 | 100.0 | 6,351 | 100.0 | 4,660 | 100.0 | 4,195 | 100.0 | 4,151 | 100.0 | 1,591 | 100.0 | 844 | 100.0 |
| Children | 9,794 | 47.1 | 4,816 | 75.8 | 910 | 19.5 | 1,118 | 26.6 | 1,216 | 29.3 | 1,540 | 96.8 | 182 | 21.6 |
| Single-Adult Household ..... | 5,477 | 26.3 | 2,201 | 34.7 | 535 | 11.5 | 635 | 15.1 | 782 | 18.8 | 956 | 60.1 | 115 | 13.7 |
| Multiple-Adult Household .. | 3,026 | 14.5 | 1,811 | 28.5 | 351 | 7.5 | 453 | 10.8 | 277 | 6.7 | 335 | 21.1 | 49 | 5.9 |
| Married Head Household Other Multiple-Adult | 1,873 | 9.0 | 1,197 | 18.8 | 190 | 4.1 | 236 | 5.6 | 180 | 4.3 | 161 | 10.1 | 22 | 2.7 |
| Household ..................... | 1,154 | 5.5 | 615 | 9.7 | 162 | 3.5 | 216 | 5.2 | 97 | 2.3 | 174 | 10.9 | 27 | 3.2 |
| Children Only ................... | 1,290 | 6.2 | 804 | 12.7 | 24 | 0.5 | 30 | 0.7 | 157 | 3.8 | 249 | 15.7 | 17 | 2.1 |
| Elderly Individuals ............ | 3,426 | 16.5 | 199 | 3.1 | 2,322 | 49.8 | 1,386 | 33.0 | 224 | 5.4 | 47 | 2.9 | 144 | 17.1 |
| Living Alone ..................... | 2,730 | 13.1 | 88 | 1.4 | 1,849 | 39.7 | 1,125 | 26.8 | 210 | 5.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 108 | 12.8 |
| Not Living Alone ............... | 696 | 3.3 | 111 | 1.7 | 473 | 10.2 | 261 | 6.2 | 14 | 0.3 | 44 | 2.8 | 36 | 4.3 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,199 | 20.2 | 457 | 7.2 | 2,127 | 45.6 | 2,894 | 69.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 284 | 17.9 | 173 | 20.5 |
| Living Alone ..................... | 2,382 | 11.5 | 96 | 1.5 | 1,317 | 28.3 | 1,538 | 36.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 83 | 9.8 |
| Not Living Alone ............... | 1,816 | 8.7 | 360 | 5.7 | 810 | 17.4 | 1,356 | 32.3 | - | - | 283 | 17.8 | 90 | 10.7 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5,033 | 24.2 | 1,209 | 19.0 | 38 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,718 | 65.5 | 41 | 2.6 | 425 | 50.4 |
| Single-Person Household .... | 4,545 | 21.8 | 950 | 15.0 | 23 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,600 | 62.6 | 27 | 1.7 | 408 | 48.4 |
| Multi-Person Household ..... | 488 | 2.3 | 260 | 4.1 | 15 | 0.3 | - | - | 118 | 2.8 | 14 | 0.9 | 17 | 2.0 |
| Single-Person Households .. | 10,125 | 48.7 | 1,407 | 22.2 | 3,197 | 68.6 | 2,664 | 63.5 | 2,878 | 69.3 | 121 | 7.6 | 609 | 72.1 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sums of the household types do not match the numbers in the "Total" row because a household can have more than one of the characteristics.

[^11]Table 3.3. Average Values of Selected Characteristics by Household Composition, Fiscal Year 2011

| Households With: | Average Values |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Monthly Countable Income (Dollars) | Net Monthly Countable Income (Dollars) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Monthly SNAP Benefit (Dollars) | Monthly SNAP Benefit Per Person (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Household Size (Persons) |
| Total ...................................................... | 744 | 338 | 281 | 134 | 2.1 |
| Children | 948 | 462 | 413 | 129 | 3.2 |
| Single-Adult Household ........................... | 832 | 389 | 395 | 136 | 2.9 |
| Male Adult ........................................ | 757 | 359 | 359 | 138 | 2.6 |
| Female Adult | 837 | 391 | 398 | 133 | 3.0 |
| Multiple-Adult Household | 1,309 | 716 | 485 | 115 | 4.2 |
| Married Head Household ...................... | 1,368 | 754 | 484 | 113 | 4.3 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household ............ | 1,213 | 655 | 487 | 119 | 4.1 |
| Children Only ........................................ | 594 | 178 | 316 | 158 | 2.0 |
| Elderly Individuals ................................. | 833 | 385 | 144 | 111 | 1.3 |
| Living Alone .......................................... | 743 | 305 | 122 | 122 | 1.0 |
| Not Living Alone .................................. | 1,188 | 666 | 233 | 101 | 2.3 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {c }}$............ | 969 | 482 | 220 | 110 | 2.0 |
| Living Alone | 769 | 289 | 124 | 124 | 1.0 |
| Not Living Alone ................................... | 1,232 | 713 | 346 | 105 | 3.3 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 270 | 88 | 195 | 177 | 1.1 |
| Single-Person Household ......................... | 222 | 64 | 183 | 183 | 1.0 |
| Multi-Person Household .......................... | 711 | 310 | 307 | 140 | 2.2 |
| Single-Person Households ....................... | 499 | 176 | 153 | 153 | 1.0 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determinations, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This column is calculated by dividing the average monthly SNAP benefit by the average SNAP household size. In previous reports, this column was calculated by first calculating per-capita benefits at the household level by dividing the household benefit by the household size and then taking the average of all per-capita benefits.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are ony able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.

A majority of SNAP households with elderly individuals received SSI or Social Security income. In fiscal year 2011, 40 percent of all SNAP households with elderly individuals received SSI, 68 percent received Social Security income, and 86 percent received income from at least one of those sources (Tables 3.2 and A.6). Twenty-two percent of households with elderly individuals received both SSI and Social Security income, about 3 percentage points lower than in 2010 (Table A.6). SNAP households with elderly individuals represented 33 percent of all SNAP households with SSI and 50 percent of all SNAP households with Social Security income (Table 3.2). Nearly 7 percent of households with elderly individuals had no income (Table A.6).

## Households with Disabled Nonelderly Individuals

In fiscal year 2011, SNAP served a monthly average of 4.2 million households with disabled nonelderly individuals (Table 3.2). ${ }^{10}$ These households represented 20 percent of all SNAP households and received an average monthly SNAP benefit of $\$ 220$ (Table 3.3).

About 57 percent of SNAP households with disabled nonelderly individuals were single-person households (Table 3.2). Households with a disabled nonelderly individual living alone received a lower average monthly SNAP benefit than did those with disabled nonelderly individuals not living alone ( $\$ 124$ compared with $\$ 346$ ) (Table 3.3). Again, the difference in benefits between the two groups reflects differences in average household size. Disabled nonelderly individuals who did not live alone resided in households with an average of 3.3 individuals and a per person benefit of $\$ 105$, versus a per person benefit of $\$ 124$ for those living alone. Sixty-nine percent of households with disabled nonelderly individuals received SSI and almost 51 percent received Social Security income (Table 3.2). SNAP households with disabled nonelderly individuals represented 69 percent of all SNAP households with SSI and 46 percent of all SNAP households with Social Security income (Table 3.2).

## Other Households Served by SNAP

SNAP serves other needy households in addition to those with children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals. In fiscal year 2011, 24 percent of all SNAP households ( 5 million households) consisted solely of one or more nonelderly, nondisabled adults with no children (Table 3.2). These households tended to be single-person households ( 90 percent). In addition, 8 percent received GA, representing the largest category ( 50 percent) of all households receiving GA. These households had a very low average gross monthly income ( $\$ 270$ ), and 2.7 million ( 54 percent) had zero gross income (Tables 3.2 and 3.3). Households consisting solely of one or more nonelderly, nondisabled adults received an average SNAP benefit of $\$ 195$ per month (Table 3.3).

[^12]
## Single-Person Households

Of all SNAP households in fiscal year 2011, 10.1 million (49 percent) were single-person households (Table 3.2). ${ }^{11}$ These households received an average monthly SNAP benefit of $\$ 153$ (Table 3.3). A majority of these individuals ( 52 percent) were female (Table A.24), 27 percent were elderly, and nearly 24 percent were nonelderly disabled individuals (Table 3.2). Compared with all SNAP households, a relatively small proportion of SNAP participants living alone had earned income ( 14 versus 31 percent), and a relatively high proportion had zero gross income ( 28 versus 20 percent) (Table 3.2). By comparison, 46 percent of all multi-person households had earned income, and 12 percent had zero gross income (Tables A. 4 and A.19, calculated by subtracting the number of one-person households from the number of total households). Not surprisingly, given the high proportion of elderly and disabled individuals making up single-person households, 26 and 32 percent of single-person households received SSI and Social Security income, respectively (Table 3.2).

## Characteristics of SNAP Participants

In fiscal year 2011, 45 percent of SNAP participants were children, and they received 44 percent of prorated SNAP benefits (Table 3.4). Nearly two-thirds ( 66 percent) of children served by SNAP were school age (age 5 to 17). Forty-six percent of participants were nonelderly adults (age 18 to 59 ), and nearly 9 percent were elderly adults (age 60 or older).

Sixty-two percent of nonelderly adults and 66 percent of elderly adults were female (Table A.23). Seven percent of SNAP participants were foreign-born-3 percent were naturalized citizens, 3 percent were legal permanent residents, and less than 1 percent were refugees. More than 8 percent of all SNAP participants were citizen children living with noncitizens. ${ }^{12}$

## Changes in the Economic Conditions of SNAP Households

The overall economic conditions of the average SNAP household declined from fiscal year 2010 to fiscal year 2011, likely a result of the still struggling job market and national economy (Table 2.1). The average household gross income decreased in real dollars from $\$ 755$ in fiscal year 2010 to $\$ 744$ in fiscal year 2011, while average household net income decreased by $\$ 9$ to $\$ 338$ during the same period (Table 3.5).

The percentage of households with zero gross income stayed unchanged, at 20 percent, while the percentage of households with zero net income rose slightly to 39 percent in fiscal year 2011 (Table A.1). The percentage of households with earnings and the percentage with TANF income each remained relatively constant in fiscal year 2011, at 31 percent and 8 percent, respectively.

The average household benefit decreased in real dollars, from $\$ 301$ in fiscal year 2010 to $\$ 281$ in fiscal year 2011, even as net income per household decreased from $\$ 347$ to $\$ 338$ (Table 3.5). The decrease in average household benefit is likely due to the slight decrease in SNAP household size,

[^13]from 2.2 individuals in fiscal year 2010 to 2.1 individuals in fiscal year 2011, and the fact that, under ARRA, the maximum benefit remains at the same nominal level- 113.6 percent of the June 2008 Thrifty Food Plan (TFP). Subsequent legislation has specified that this provision will expire on October 31, 2013, when the maximum benefit will again be based on 100 percent of the cost of the TFP in the preceding June.

Table 3.4. SNAP Benefits of Participants by Selected Demographic Characteristics, Fiscal Year 2011

| Participant Characteristic | Total Participants |  | Pro-rated Benefits ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ......................................... | 44,148 | 100.0 | 5,838,193 | 100.0 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 19,927 | 45.1 | 2,580,620 | 44.2 |
| Preschool Age Children .............. | 6,780 | 15.4 | 928,628 | 15.9 |
| 0-1 ........................................ | 2,511 | 5.7 | 347,415 | 6.0 |
| 2-4 | 4,269 | 9.7 | 581,214 | 10.0 |
| School Age Children .................. | 13,147 | 29.8 | 1,651,992 | 28.3 |
| 5-7 ...................................... | 3,692 | 8.4 | 484,458 | 8.3 |
| 8-11 | 4,417 | 10.0 | 555,739 | 9.5 |
| 12-15 | 3,467 | 7.9 | 422,686 | 7.2 |
| 16-17 .................................... | 1,571 | 3.6 | 189,110 | 3.2 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18-59) ......... | 20,452 | 46.3 | 2,824,272 | 48.4 |
| Elderly Adults (60 or more) ........ | 3,770 | 8.5 | 433,301 | 7.4 |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Born Citizen ...................... | 41,007 | 92.9 | 5,418,614 | 92.8 |
| Naturalized Citizen .................... | 1,379 | 3.1 | 184,586 | 3.2 |
| Refugee .................................... | 349 | 0.8 | 46,430 | 0.8 |
| Other Noncitizen ....................... | 1,412 | 3.2 | 188,460 | 3.2 |
| Unknown ................................. | 1 | 0.0 | 104 | 0.0 |
| Citizen Children Living with <br> Noncitizens ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3,741 | 8.5 | 529,016 | 9.1 |
| Nondisabled Adults Age 18-49 in Childless Households ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4,486 | 10.2 | 778,211 | 13.3 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pro-rated benefits equal the benefits paid to households multiplied by the ratio of participants with selected characteristic to total household size.
b Noncitizens may be inside or outside the SNAP unit.
c These participants are subject to work requirements and a time limit. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) allowed States to suspend time limits on benefit receipts from April 2009 through September 2010 for those not meeting work requirements. This optional suspension was renewed through fiscal year 2011.

Table 3.5. Nominal and Real Values of Selected Characteristics, Fiscal Year 2010 and Fiscal Year 2011

| Characteristic | Fiscal Year 2010 |  | Fiscal Year 2011 <br> Nominal Value | Percentage Change in Nominal Values | Percentage Change in Real Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nominal Value | Real Value (in 2011 dollars) |  |  |  |
| Average Gross Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Household | \$731 | \$755 | \$744 | +1.8 | -1.4 |
| Per Person | 396 | 409 | 409 | +3.2 | 0.0 |
| Average Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Household | 336 | 347 | 338 | +0.7 | -2.4 |
| Per Person | 162 | 167 | 165 | +2.1 | -1.1 |
| Average Total Deduction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 491 | 507 | 508 | +3.4 | +0.2 |
| Average Household Benefit ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 287 | 301 | 281 | -2.3 | -6.7 |
| Maximum Household Benefit for a family of four ${ }^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}}$ | 668 | 700 | 668 | 0.0 | -4.6 |
| Consumer Price Index (CPI) |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Items | 218.1 |  | 224.9 | +3.2 |  |
| Food at Home | 215.8 |  | 226.2 | +4.8 |  |

Source of CPI-U average values: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Source of nominal values: Fiscal year 2010 and fiscal year 2011 SNAP QC samples.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Real values are in constant 2011 dollars. Fiscal year 2010 values were inflated by the change in the CPI-U for all items between 2010 and 2011 (+3.2 percent).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Real values are in constant 2011 dollars. Fiscal year 2010 value was inflated by the change in the CPI-U for food at home between 2010 and 2011 (+4.8 percent).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Maximum benefit for a family of four living in the 48 contiguous States.

## ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS
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## ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

## ACRONYMS

| ARRA | American Recovery and <br> Reinvestment Act of 2009 |
| :--- | :--- |
| BBCE | Broad-Based Categorical <br> Eligibility |
| EBT | Electronic Benefit Transfer <br> U.S. Department of Agriculture, <br> FNS <br>  <br> Food and Nutrition Service |
| GA | General Assistance |
| HHS | U.S. Department of Health and |
| Human Services |  |

## DEFINITIONS

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). This legislation took effect on April 1, 2009. It temporarily increased the maximum benefit to 113.6 percent of the June 2008 TFP. As specified in subsequent legislation, the increase will expire on October 31, 2013,
when the maximum benefit will again be based on 100 percent of the cost of the TFP in the preceding June. Additionally, the minimum benefit was increased from $\$ 16$ to $\$ 18$.

Asylees. Noncitizens granted political asylum. In the tables in this report, the term "refugee" includes refugees, asylees, and deportees.

Broad-Based Categorical Eligibility (BBCE). Policy under which most households receive a TANF/MOE-funded non-cash service that makes the households categorically eligible for SNAP. The non-cash service is usually in the form of a brochure or handout that provides information on State-provided assistance and services. Households meeting State-determined eligibility criteria receive this information upon application or recertification for SNAP.

Categorically Eligible Households. Households in which all members receive or are authorized to receive TANF, SSI, or GA benefits. Includes households receiving benefits or services that are at least 50 percent funded by TANF or MOE funds. Some States also confer categorical eligibility based on benefits or services that are less than 50 percent funded by TANF/MOE and on households in which at least one member receives a benefit or service, and the State determines that the entire household benefits. If the purpose of the program conferring categorical eligibility is to prevent out-of-wedlock pregnancies or foster or strengthen marriage, the household's gross income must be under 200 percent of poverty. However, if the purpose of the program is to further workforce participation, this income limit does not apply.

Certification Period. Length of time a household is certified to receive SNAP benefits. When the certification period expires, households must be recertified to continue receiving benefits.

Child Support Payment Deduction. Deduction for households with legally obligated child support payments made to or for a nonhousehold member. States may choose to exclude child support payments from gross income rather than use the deduction. See also Deductions.

Children. Individuals under age 18.
Countable Income. All earned or unearned income that is counted toward gross income. This includes most cash income (with the exception of specific types of income, such as loans), and excludes most noncash income or inkind benefits. See also Gross Income Limit.

Countable Resources. Cash on hand and resources that may be converted easily to cash, such as money in checking or savings accounts, savings certificates, stocks or bonds, and lumpsum payments. Such resources also include some nonliquid resources, although the family home, certain family vehicles, and business tools or property are not counted. See also Resource Limit.

Deductions. Allowable deductions from a household's gross monthly income to arrive at SNAP net monthly income. The deductions shown in the tables are those to which households were entitled. (MFIP and SSI-CAP participants are subject to different rules.) Some of the deductions may not have been used before a household reached zero net income status, however. Therefore, total deductions to which a household is entitled do not equal the difference between gross and net income amounts. See also Child Support Payment Deduction, Dependent Care Deduction, Earned Income Deduction, Excess Shelter Expense Deduction, Medical Deduction, Minnesota Family Investment Program, SSI-Combined Application Project, Standard Deduction, and Total Deduction.

Deemed Income. Individual sponsors of certain noncitizens may be subject to sponsor-to-noncitizen deeming, which counts the sponsor's income and resources as part of the noncitizen's own income and resources when determining eligibility for SNAP.

Dependent Care Deduction. Deduction received by SNAP households for expenses involved in caring for dependents while other household members work, seek employment, or attend school. See also Appendix C and Deductions.

Deportees. Noncitizens granted a stay of deportation. In the tables in this report, the term "refugee" includes refugees, asylees, and deportees.

Earned Income. Includes wages, salaries, selfemployment, and other reported earned income.

Earned Income Deduction. Deduction received by households with earnings, equal to 20 percent of the combined earnings of household members. (MFIP participants are entitled to a 37 percent earned income deduction.) See also Deductions and Minnesota Family Investment Program.

Elderly People. Adults age 60 or older.
Electronic Benefit Transfer. Means of benefit delivery via electronic debit card, similar to a bank card, used to purchase food at authorized retail stores.

Entrant Households. Households newly certified during fiscal year 2011 and in their first month of participation.

## Excess Shelter Expense Deduction.

Deduction received by households with shelter costs, equal to those shelter costs that exceed 50 percent of the household's countable income after all other potential deductions are subtracted from gross income. There is a limit on the shelter deduction for households without elderly or disabled members. See also Appendix C and Deductions and Homeless Household Shelter Estimate.

Expedited Service Households. Households with gross income equal to or less than $\$ 150$ and countable resources equal to or less than $\$ 100$, or those with migrant or seasonal farm workers with countable resources equal to or less than $\$ 100$ are eligible for expedited SNAP eligibility verification procedures. A State agency must review each SNAP application and conduct an
eligibility interview within seven days of application submission. Eligible households receive SNAP benefits within this time frame.

Food, Conservation and Energy Act of 2008 (2008 Farm Bill). Most recent legislation authorizing SNAP. Most SNAP provisions in this legislation, which reauthorized the SNAP program, became effective on October 1, 2008. SNAP provisions included increases in the minimum benefit for one- and two-person households and to the standard deduction, elimination of the cap on the dependent care deduction, and exclusion of most education and retirement accounts from countable resources when determining SNAP eligibility. It also indexed the resource limits to inflation, adjusting them to the nearest $\$ 250$ increment each fiscal year.

Gross Income. Total monthly countable income of a household in dollars, before applying deductions.

Gross Income Limit. SNAP monthly gross income eligibility standards, determined by household size; equal to 130 percent of HHS poverty guidelines. See also Appendix C and Countable Income.

Homeless Household Shelter Estimate. Some States allow homeless households to deduct $\$ 143$ for shelter expenses.

Household. Individuals who live in a residential unit and purchase and prepare food together.

Households with Children. Households with at least one member under age 18 .

Households with Disabled Nonelderly People. Households with (1) nonelderly SSI recipients; (2) a medical expense deduction and no elderly individuals; or (3) nonelderly adults who work fewer than 30 hours a week and who receive Social Security, veteran's benefits, or workers' compensation.

Households with Elderly People. Households with at least one member age 60 or older.

Individuals Living Alone. Individuals who reside in one-person SNAP households (although other nonparticipating individuals may live in the same residence).

Initial Certification Households. Includes both households certified for the first time within the current certification period and previously certified households that have not received benefits for at least 30 days.

Lawful Permanent Residents. Noncitizens lawfully admitted for permanent resident status.

Married-Head Households. Households with a spouse of the household head.

Maximum Benefit. From October 2010 through September 2011, the maximum benefit was based on 113.6 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in June 2008 for a reference family of four, rounded to the lowest dollar increment. The maximum benefit is uniform throughout the contiguous United States but is different for Hawaii, Alaska, the Virgin Islands, and Guam. See also Appendix C.

Medical Deduction. Deduction available to households with elderly or disabled members, equal to all unreimbursed medical expenses incurred by the elderly or disabled person that exceed $\$ 35$. See also Deductions.

Medical Deduction Demonstrations. State programs that use a standard deduction amount for households with medical expenses below a specified limit.

Metropolitan Households. Households whose SNAP application was processed at an agency in a Census Bureau-defined Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). An MSA has at least one urbanized area with population of 50,000 or more and includes adjacent territory with a high degree of social and economic integration with the core, as measured by commuting ties.

Micropolitan Households. Households whose SNAP application was processed at an agency in a Census Bureau-defined Micropolitan Statistical Area. A Micropolitan Statistical Area has at least
one urban cluster of at least 10,000 but less than 50,000 population and includes adjacent territory with a high degree of social and economic integration with the core, as measured by commuting ties.

Minimum Benefit. From October 2010 through September 2011, the minimum benefit for all one- and two-person units was equal to 8 percent of the maximum benefit for a oneperson household. Because it is derived from the maximum benefit, the minimum benefit also varies by geographic region. See also Appendix C.

Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP). Minnesota's cash assistance program, which calculates SNAP benefits for participating households as a component separate from the cash assistance calculation within the same grant.

Net Income. Total monthly countable income of a household in dollars after applying deductions. Net income is not calculated for MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Arizona, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, and Virginia.

Net Income Limit. SNAP monthly net income eligibility standard, determined by household size, equal to 100 percent of the HHS poverty guidelines. See also Appendix C.

Noncitizen. In this report, "noncitizen" refers to individuals residing in the United States who are not natural-born or naturalized citizens. These include legal permanent residents, refugees, asylees, deportees, and unauthorized aliens. Legal noncitizens are subject to additional nonfinancial eligibility criteria (see Chapter 2). Unauthorized aliens are not eligible to receive SNAP benefits but they may be nonparticipating members of SNAP units.

Nonelderly Adults. Adults ages 18 to 59 .
Nonimmigrant Visitors to the United States. Noncitizens who have been admitted for a specified period, including tourists, students, and foreign nationals with work permits.

Nonparticipating Household Head Households. Households headed by someone ineligible for SNAP, such as an ineligible noncitizen.

Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA). A law enacted in 1996 that made sweeping changes to the nation's public assistance programs. It disqualified many lawful permanent resident noncitizens and nonelderly nondisabled adults from SNAP. In addition, it changed cash welfare from an entitlement to temporary assistance designed to move parents to work. Given that many cash welfare recipients also participate in SNAP, changes to the cash welfare program significantly affect SNAP participants.

Poverty Guidelines. The poverty guidelines used by FNS are issued by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. They are developed on the basis of the poverty thresholds issued by the Census Bureau. Dividing the guidelines by 12 yields the monthly net income limits for SNAP. See also Appendix C.

Preschool-Age Children. Children under age 5.
Pure Public Assistance (PA). A household is considered to be pure PA if each member of the household receives SSI, a cash TANF benefit, or GA income.

Refugees. Noncitizens accorded refugee status. In the tables in this report, the term "refugee" includes refugees, asylees, and deportees.

Resource Limit. For all non-categorically eligible households without an elderly or disabled person, the resource limit was $\$ 2,000$ in fiscal year 2011. Households with an elderly or disabled person were allowed up to $\$ 3,000$ in countable resources. In fiscal year 2012, the resource limit for households with an elderly or disabled person increased to $\$ 3,250$. See also Countable Resources.

Rural. A household is considered rural if the county in which its local SNAP agency is located is not in a Metropolitan Statistical Area or a Micropolitan Statistical Area.

School-Age Children. Children ages 5 to 17.
Shelter Deduction. See Excess Shelter Expense Deduction.

Single-Adult with Children Households. Households with exactly one person age 18 or older, no spouse, and at least one person under age 18.

Single-Person Households. Households with exactly one person.

SSI-Combined Application Project (SSICAP). Joint FNS-SSA-State partnerships with a goal of streamlining the procedures for providing SNAP benefits to certain households eligible for SSI.

Standard Deduction. Deduction received by all households, which varies by area and household size to reflect price differences among areas. See also Appendix C and Deductions.

Standard Utility Allowance (SUA). Specified dollar amounts set by State agencies that States may use in place of actual utility costs to calculate a household's total shelter expenses.

Student. Participant age 18 or older enrolled at least half-time in a recognized school, training program, or institution of higher education.

Thrifty Food Plan (TFP). Market basket of goods based on an economical and nutritious diet, adjusted for household size and composition. Used to determine maximum SNAP benefit amounts.

Total Deduction. Includes child support payment, dependent care, earned income, excess shelter expense, medical, and standard deductions to which SNAP households are entitled. In some cases, the total deduction exceeds the amount deducted from gross income because net income may not be less than zero. See also Deductions.

Unearned Income. Includes TANF, GA, SSI, Social Security, unemployment benefits, veterans benefits, workers' compensation, other government benefits, contributions, deemed income, education loans, child support, wage
supplementations, energy assistance, State diversion payments, and other unearned income.

Work Registration. Many nonelderly nondisabled SNAP participants are required to register for work with their welfare office or State unemployment agency and must agree to accept any suitable job offered to them. Individuals exempt from SNAP work registration rules include the following:

- All individuals under age 16 or over age 60, and some individuals age 16 and 17
- Individuals responsible for the care of a dependent child under age 6 or the care of an incapacitated person
- Individuals who are physically or mentally unfit for work
- Individuals complying with work requirements of other assistance programs
- Students enrolled at least half-time in a school, training program, or institution of higher education
- Regular participants in a drug addiction or alcohol treatment program
- Individuals working 30 hours a week or earning more than an amount equal to 30 hours times the minimum wage

Work Requirements and a Time Limit. SNAP participants age 18 to 49 who are not disabled (often referred to as "able-bodied") and do not have any dependents in their household are subject to work requirements in order to receive SNAP benefits for more than 3 months in a 36 -month period. They may be exempt from the work requirement if they work at least 20 hours per week, participate in a qualifying work program, receive a work exemption, or live in a location that qualifies for a waiver due to insufficient jobs. In this report, all adults meeting this definition, regardless of exemption status, are identified as Nondisabled Adults age 18-49 in Childless Households.
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DETAILED TABLES OF SNAP HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS
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Table A.1. Distribution of Participating Households, Individuals, and Benefits by Household Composition, Locality, Countable Income Source, and SNAP Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | SNAP Households |  | Participants in Households with Household Characteristic |  | Monthly SNAP Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Dollars (000) | Percent |
| Total | 20,803 | 100.0 | 44,148 | 100.0 | 5,838,193 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children ... | 9,794 | 47.1 | 31,603 | 71.6 57.5 | 4,040,573 | 69.2 |
| School Age | 7,304 | 35.1 | 25,407 | 57.5 | 3,163,503 | 54.2 |
| Preschool Age | 5,153 | 24.8 | 17,373 | 39.4 | 2,279,563 | 39.0 |
| No Children ...................................................................... | 11,009 | 52.9 | 12,546 | 28.4 | 1,797,620 | 30.8 |
| Elderly Individuals | 3,426 | 16.5 | 4,362 | 9.9 | 494,729 | 8.5 |
| No Elderly Individuals ....................................................... | 17,378 | 83.5 | 39,787 | 90.1 | 5,343,464 | 91.5 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4,199 | 20.2 | 8,326 | 18.9 | 924,331 | 15.8 |
| No Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ........................................ | 16,605 | 79.8 | 35,822 | 81.1 | 4,913,862 | 84.2 |
| Nondisabled Adults Age 18-49 in Childless Households ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,083 | 19.6 | 4,903 | 11.1 | 830,338 | 14.2 |
| No Nondisabled Adults Age 18-49 in Childless Households ........ | 16,720 | 80.4 | 39,245 | 88.9 | 5,007,855 | 85.8 |
| Noncitizens | 1,214 | 5.8 | 3,223 | 7.3 | 407,427 | 7.0 |
| No Noncitizens ..... | 19,590 | 94.2 | 40,926 | 92.7 | 5,430,767 | 93.0 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ........ | 16,523 | 79.4 | 34,824 | 78.9 | 4,670,265 | 80.0 |
| Micropolitan ${ }^{\text {c }}$....... | 2,406 | 11.6 | 5,340 | 12.1 | 669,240 | 11.5 |
| Rural ........................................................................... | 1,557 | 7.5 | 3,443 | 7.8 | 431,762 | 7.4 |
| Countable Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ... | 16,652 | 80.0 | 37,611 | 85.2 | 4,625,052 | 79.2 |
| No Gross Income . | 4,151 | 20.0 | 6,537 | 14.8 | 1,213,141 | 20.8 |
| Net Income ... | 12,091 | 58.1 | 29,227 | 66.2 | 3,121,980 | 53.5 |
| No Net Income | 8,199 | 39.4 | 14,350 | 32.5 | 2,624,569 | 45.0 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {d }}$........ | 513 | 2.5 | 572 | 1.3 | 91,644 | 1.6 |
| Earned Income | 6,351 | 30.5 | 18,210 | 41.2 | 2,094,236 | 35.9 |
| No Earned Income ....... | 14,453 | 69.5 | 25,938 | 58.8 | 3,743,957 | 64.1 |
| Unearned Income | 12,487 | 60.0 | 26,427 | 59.9 | 3,246,833 | 55.6 |
| No Unearned Income ........ | 8,316 | 40.0 | 17,722 | 40.1 | 2,591,360 | 44.4 |
| TANF Income | 1,591 | 7.6 | 4,822 | 10.9 | 679,824 | 11.6 |
| No TANF Income ........................................................ | 19,212 | 92.4 | 39,327 | 89.1 | 5,158,369 | 88.4 |
| GA Income | 844 | 4.1 | 1,304 | 3.0 | 199,236 | 3.4 |
| No GA Income ....... | 19,959 | 95.9 | 42,844 | 97.0 | 5,638,957 | 96.6 |
| SSI | 4,195 | 20.2 | 7,684 | 17.4 | 905,380 | 15.5 |
| No SSI ........................................................................... | 16,609 | 79.8 | 36,465 | 82.6 | 4,932,813 | 84.5 |
| Social Security Income | 4,660 | 22.4 | 7,400 | 16.8 | 756,317 | 13.0 |
| No Social Security Income .................................................. | 16,143 | 77.6 | 36,748 | 83.2 | 5,081,876 | 87.0 |
| Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline | 4.151 | 20.0 | 6.537 | 14.8 | 1,213,141 | 20.8 |
| $>0-50 \%$ | 4,719 | 22.7 | 12,229 | 14.8 | 2,005,435 | 34.4 |
| 51-100. | 8,472 | 40.7 | 17,468 | 39.6 | 2,118,733 | 36.3 |
| 101+ ............................................................................ | 3,461 | 16.6 | 7,914 | 17.9 | 500,884 | 8.6 |
| SNAP Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit | 881 | 4.2 | 1,066 | 2.4 | 14,109 | 0.2 |
| Maximum Benefit ............................................................. | 8,495 | 40.8 | 14,654 | 33.2 | 2,685,125 | 46.0 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.

[^14]Table A.2. Average Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, Gross and Net Countable Income, Total Deduction, SNAP Benefit, Household Size, and Certification Period of Participating Households by Household Composition, Locality, Countable Income Source, and SNAP Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) | Gross <br> Countable Income (Dollars) | Net Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SNAP <br> Benefit (Dollars) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Household } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (Individuals) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Certification } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { (Months) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total ...................................................... | 20,803 | 100.0 | 58.5 | 744 | 338 | 508 | 281 | 2.1 | 12.2 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children ............................................... | 9,794 | 47.1 | 58.4 | 948 | 462 | 571 | 413 | 3.2 | 9.4 |
| School Age .......................................... | 7,304 | 35.1 | 60.8 | 1,025 | 517 | 585 | 433 | 3.5 | 9.4 |
| Preschool Age ..................................... | 5,153 | 24.8 | 54.5 | 915 | 431 | 575 | 442 | 3.4 | 9.2 |
| No Children .......................................... | 11,009 | 52.9 | 58.6 | 563 | 223 | 449 | 163 | 1.1 | 14.8 |
| Elderly Individuals ................................. | 3,426 | 16.5 | 84.0 | 833 | 385 | 519 | 144 | 1.3 | 19.9 |
| No Elderly Individuals ........................... | 17,378 | 83.5 | 53.5 | 727 | 330 | 506 | 307 | 2.3 | 10.7 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {c ............. }}$ | 4,199 | 20.2 | 82.3 | 969 | 482 | 528 | 220 | 2.0 | 15.8 |
| No Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ........ | 16,605 | 79.8 | 52.5 | 687 | 303 | 503 | 296 | 2.2 | 11.3 |
| Nondisabled Adults Age 18-49 in Childless Households ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4,083 | 19.6 | 29.8 | 308 | 109 | 381 | 203 | 1.2 | 9.1 |
| No Nondisabled Adults Age 18-49 in Childless Households | 16,720 | 80.4 | 65.5 | 851 | 396 | 540 | 300 | 2.3 | 13.0 |
| Noncitizens | 1,214 | 5.8 | 63.6 | 932 | 421 | 617 | 336 | 2.7 | 12.6 |
| No Noncitizens ...................................... | 19,590 | 94.2 | 58.2 | 733 | 333 | 501 | 277 | 2.1 | 12.2 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan .......................................... | 16,523 | 79.4 | 58.2 | 738 | 322 | 520 | 283 | 2.1 | 12.3 |
| Micropolitan ${ }^{\text {e ....................................... }}$ | 2,406 | 11.6 | 60.5 | 785 | 406 | 468 | 278 | 2.2 | 11.4 |
| Rural .................................................... | 1,557 | 7.5 | 58.4 | 754 | 400 | 443 | 277 | 2.2 | 11.9 |
| Countable Income Source <br> Gross Income $\qquad$ | 16,652 | 80.0 | 73.1 | 930 | 425 | 564 | 278 | 2.3 | 12.9 |
| No Gross Income ................................... | 4,151 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 291 | 292 | 1.6 | 9.6 |
| Net Income ............................................. | 12,091 | 58.1 | 84.0 | 1,102 | 568 | 535 | 258 | 2.4 | 12.4 |
| No Net Income ...................................... | 8,199 | 39.4 | 19.7 | 218 | 0 | 470 | 320 | 1.8 | 10.5 |
| Not Applicable ...................................... | 513 | 2.5 | 77.3 | 706 | - | 59 | 179 | 1.1 | 36.3 |
| Earned Income ....................................... | 6,351 | 30.5 | 79.9 | 1,197 | 555 | 691 | 330 | 2.9 | 9.3 |
| No Earned Income ................................. | 14,453 | 69.5 | 49.1 | 545 | 240 | 425 | 259 | 1.8 | 13.5 |
| Unearned Income | 12,487 | 60.0 | 72.3 | 875 | 415 | 516 | 260 | 2.1 | 14.2 |
| No Unearned Income ............................ | 8,316 | 40.0 | 37.8 | 548 | 228 | 497 | 312 | 2.1 | 9.3 |
| TANF Income ....................................... | 1,591 | 7.6 | 46.8 | 725 | 316 | 466 | 427 | 3.0 | 10.7 |
| No TANF Income .... | 19,212 | 92.4 | 59.5 | 746 | 340 | 512 | 268 | 2.0 | 12.4 |
| GA Income ............................................. | 844 | 4.1 | 46.1 | 526 | 180 | 492 | 236 | 1.5 | 13.2 |
| No GA Income ...................................... | 19,959 | 95.9 | 59.0 | 753 | 345 | 509 | 283 | 2.1 | 12.2 |
| SSI ...................................................... | 4,195 | 20.2 | 76.7 | 879 | 421 | 502 | 216 | 1.8 | 19.2 |
| No SSI ................................................. | 16,609 | 79.8 | 53.9 | 710 | 320 | 509 | 297 | 2.2 | 10.5 |
| Social Security Income ........................... | 4,660 | 22.4 | 91.5 | 974 | 486 | 527 | 162 | 1.6 | 16.9 |
| No Social Security Income ...................... | 16,143 | 77.6 | 49.0 | 678 | 297 | 503 | 315 | 2.3 | 10.9 |
| SNAP Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit ................................... | 881 | 4.2 | 133.5 | 1,309 | 972 | 337 | 16 | 1.2 | 14.3 |
| Maximum Benefit .................................. | 8,495 | 40.8 | 21.9 | 237 | 0 | 471 | 316 | 1.7 | 11.4 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determinations, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
b Because deductions are not used in their benefit determinations, 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
c Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{d}$ These participants are subject to work requirements and a time limit.
e A micropolitan area has at least one urban cluster of between 10,000 and 50,000 people and includes adjacent territory with a high degree of social and economic integration with the core as measured by commuting ties.

- Not Applicable.

Table A.3. Distribution of Participating Households with Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Amount of Gross and Net Countable Income, Countable Resources, and Gross and Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households with: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ...................................... | 20,803 | 100.0 | 9,794 | 100.0 | 3,426 | 100.0 | 4,199 | 100.0 |
| Gross Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................ | 4,151 | 20.0 | 1,216 | 12.4 | 224 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1-199 | 938 | 4.5 | 397 | 4.1 | 43 | 1.2 | 7 | 0.2 |
| 200-399 ................................ | 1,518 | 7.3 | 894 | 9.1 | 73 | 2.1 | 20 | 0.5 |
| 400-599 | 1,588 | 7.6 | 1,041 | 10.6 | 164 | 4.8 | 147 | 3.5 |
| 600-799 | 4,604 | 22.1 | 1,112 | 11.4 | 1,451 | 42.4 | 2,052 | 48.9 |
| 800-999 | 2,196 | 10.6 | 963 | 9.8 | 570 | 16.6 | 630 | 15.0 |
| 1,000+ ...... | 5,808 | 27.9 | 4,171 | 42.6 | 901 | 26.3 | 1,342 | 32.0 |
| Net Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0. | 8,199 | 39.4 | 3,202 | 32.7 | 724 | 21.1 | 599 | 14.3 |
| 1-199 | 2,717 | 13.1 | 1,216 | 12.4 | 493 | 14.4 | 682 | 16.2 |
| 200-399 | 2,647 | 12.7 | 1,114 | 11.4 | 627 | 18.3 | 811 | 19.3 |
| 400-599 | 2,293 | 11.0 | 1,032 | 10.5 | 589 | 17.2 | 724 | 17.3 |
| 600-799 | 1,461 | 7.0 | 854 | 8.7 | 319 | 9.3 | 380 | 9.1 |
| 800-999 ........ | 1,052 | 5.1 | 743 | 7.6 | 189 | 5.5 | 260 | 6.2 |
| 1,000+ .... | 1,921 | 9.2 | 1,598 | 16.3 | 215 | 6.3 | 533 | 12.7 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 513 | 2.5 | 35 | 0.4 | 270 | 7.9 | 210 | 5.0 |
| Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18,679 | 89.8 | 8,833 | 90.2 | 3,175 | 92.7 | 3,890 | 92.6 |
| \$0 | 1,246 | 6.0 | 516 | 5.3 | 86 | 2.5 | 132 | 3.1 |
| 1-500 ... | 657 | 3.2 | 328 | 3.4 | 106 | 3.1 | 130 | 3.1 |
| 501-1,000 | 120 | 0.6 | 66 | 0.7 | 28 | 0.8 | 27 | 0.6 |
| 1,001-2,000 ... | 91 | 0.4 | 48 | 0.5 | 24 | 0.7 | 17 | 0.4 |
| 2,001-3,000 ............................. | 10 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Gross Countable Income as a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Gross Income ..................... | 4,151 | 20.0 | 1,216 | 12.4 | 224 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| >0-25\% | 1,891 | 9.1 | 1,112 | 11.4 | 57 | 1.7 | 30 | 0.7 |
| 26-50 | 2,828 | 13.6 | 2,184 | 22.3 | 155 | 4.5 | 367 | 8.7 |
| 51-75 | 3,781 | 18.2 | 2,001 | 20.4 | 600 | 17.5 | 1,369 | 32.6 |
| 76-100 | 4,691 | 22.5 | 1,561 | 15.9 | 1,541 | 45.0 | 1,601 | 38.1 |
| 101-125 | 2,225 | 10.7 | 1,186 | 12.1 | 487 | 14.2 | 562 | 13.4 |
| 126-130 | 249 | 1.2 | 144 | 1.5 | 40 | 1.2 | 53 | 1.3 |
| 131-150 ................................ | 558 | 2.7 | 248 | 2.5 | 172 | 5.0 | 135 | 3.2 |
| 151+ ..................................... | 429 | 2.1 | 142 | 1.5 | 149 | 4.4 | 81 | 1.9 |
| Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Net Income ......................... | 8,199 | 39.4 | 3,202 | 32.7 | 724 | 21.1 | 599 | 14.3 |
| >0-25\% | 4,171 | 20.0 | 2,356 | 24.1 | 614 | 17.9 | 988 | 23.5 |
| 26-50 | 3,737 | 18.0 | 1,976 | 20.2 | 798 | 23.3 | 1,143 | 27.2 |
| 51-75 | 2,578 | 12.4 | 1,368 | 14.0 | 596 | 17.4 | 768 | 18.3 |
| 76-100 | 1,194 | 5.7 | 695 | 7.1 | 286 | 8.4 | 362 | 8.6 |
| 101-125 | 282 | 1.4 | 139 | 1.4 | 74 | 2.2 | 91 | 2.2 |
| 126-130 | 17 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 12 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.1 |
| 131-150 ... | 61 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.1 | 30 | 0.9 | 18 | 0.4 |
| 151+ ..................................... | 52 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.1 | 22 | 0.6 | 16 | 0.4 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b }}$....................... | 513 | 2.5 | 35 | 0.4 | 270 | 7.9 | 210 | 5.0 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
a Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003 . Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that
contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^15]Table A.4. Distribution of Participating Households by Household Size and Amount of Countable Gross and Net Income, Resources, and Gross and Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6+ |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ............................ | 20,803 | 100.0 | 10,125 | 100.0 | 4,119 | 100.0 | 2,971 | 100.0 | 2,006 | 100.0 | 988 | 100.0 | 594 | 100.0 |
| Gross Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ... | 4,151 | 20.0 | 2,878 | 28.4 | 611 | 14.8 | 381 | 12.8 | 167 | 8.3 | 80 | 8.1 | 35 | 5.9 |
| 1-199 | 938 | 4.5 | 559 | 5.5 | 182 | 4.4 | 116 | 3.9 | 57 | 2.8 | 17 | 1.7 | 8 | 1.3 |
| 200-399 | 1,518 | 7.3 | 718 | 7.1 | 404 | 9.8 | 208 | 7.0 | 121 | 6.0 | 51 | 5.2 | 16 | 2.7 |
| 400-599 | 1,588 | 7.6 | 579 | 5.7 | 493 | 12.0 | 286 | 9.6 | 144 | 7.2 | 56 | 5.6 | 30 | 5.1 |
| 600-799 | 4,604 | 22.1 | 3,342 | 33.0 | 556 | 13.5 | 347 | 11.7 | 222 | 11.0 | 94 | 9.5 | 43 | 7.3 |
| 800-999 .................... | 2,196 | 10.6 | 1,105 | 10.9 | 455 | 11.1 | 318 | 10.7 | 185 | 9.2 | 96 | 9.7 | 37 | 6.2 |
| 1,000+ ....................... | 5,808 | 27.9 | 945 | 9.3 | 1,417 | 34.4 | 1,315 | 44.3 | 1,111 | 55.4 | 595 | 60.2 | 425 | 71.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-199 | 2,717 | 13.1 | 1,461 | 14.4 | 540 | 13.1 | 364 | 12.3 | 229 | 11.4 | 89 | 9.0 | 33 | 5.6 |
| 200-399 | 2,647 | 12.7 | 1,401 | 13.8 | 533 | 12.9 | 358 | 12.0 | 205 | 10.2 | 103 | 10.4 | 47 | 7.9 |
| 400-599 | 2,293 | 11.0 | 1,100 | 10.9 | 470 | 11.4 | 354 | 11.9 | 220 | 11.0 | 98 | 9.9 | 50 | 8.4 |
| 600-799 | 1,461 | 7.0 | 455 | 4.5 | 371 | 9.0 | 306 | 10.3 | 216 | 10.8 | 73 | 7.4 | 41 | 6.8 |
| 800-999 | 1,052 | 5.1 | 173 | 1.7 | 287 | 7.0 | 261 | 8.8 | 175 | 8.7 | 96 | 9.7 | 60 | 10.2 |
| 1,000+ ....................... | 1,921 | 9.2 | 107 | 1.1 | 314 | 7.6 | 421 | 14.2 | 500 | 24.9 | 319 | 32.3 | 260 | 43.7 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$.......... | 513 | 2.5 | 484 | 4.8 | 13 | 0.3 | 9 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Countable Resources Categorically Eligible ${ }^{\text {b }}$ \$0 | 18,679 | 89.8 | 9,095 | 89.8 | 3,732 | 90.6 | 2,655 | 89.3 | 1,793 | 89.4 | 877 | 88.8 | 527 | 88.6 |
|  | 1,246 | 6.0 | 671 | 6.6 | 216 | 5.2 | 168 | 5.6 | 109 | 5.4 | 52 | 5.3 | 30 | 5.1 |
| 1-500. | 657 | 3.2 | 273 | 2.7 | 129 | 3.1 | 111 | 3.7 | 79 | 3.9 | 42 | 4.2 | 23 | 3.9 |
| 501-1,000 ................... | 120 | 0.6 | 44 | 0.4 | 22 | 0.5 | 21 | 0.7 | 16 | 0.8 | 9 | 0.9 | 9 | 1.4 |
| 1,001-2,000 ................. | 91 | 0.4 | 36 | 0.4 | 18 | 0.4 | 16 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.5 | 7 | 0.7 | 6 | 1.0 |
| 2,001-3,000 ................ | 10 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Gross Income ......... | 4,151 | 20.0 | 2,878 | 28.4 | 611 | 14.8 | 381 | 12.8 | 167 | 8.3 | 80 | 8.1 | 35 | 5.9 |
| >0-25\% ..................... | 1,891 | 9.1 | 779 | 7.7 | 397 | 9.6 | 318 | 10.7 | 219 | 10.9 | 105 | 10.6 | 72 | 12.1 |
| 26-50. | 2,828 | 13.6 | 696 | 6.9 | 716 | 17.4 | 596 | 20.1 | 446 | 22.2 | 237 | 24.0 | 138 | 23.2 |
| 51-75. | 3,781 | 18.2 | 1,579 | 15.6 | 802 | 19.5 | 583 | 19.6 | 453 | 22.6 | 218 | 22.0 | 146 | 24.6 |
| 76-100 | 4,691 | 22.5 | 2,810 | 27.8 | 749 | 18.2 | 543 | 18.3 | 338 | 16.9 | 157 | 15.9 | 94 | 15.9 |
| 101-125 ..................... | 2,225 | 10.7 | 854 | 8.4 | 485 | 11.8 | 396 | 13.3 | 269 | 13.4 | 142 | 14.3 | 80 | 13.4 |
| 126-130 ...................... | 249 | 1.2 | 82 | 0.8 | 71 | 1.7 | 42 | 1.4 | 28 | 1.4 | 18 | 1.8 | 7 | 1.2 |
| 131-150 ...................... | 558 | 2.7 | 243 | 2.4 | 144 | 3.5 | 78 | 2.6 | 54 | 2.7 | 22 | 2.2 | 18 | 3.1 |
| 151+ ......................... | 429 | 2.1 | 205 | 2.0 | 145 | 3.5 | 34 | 1.2 | 31 | 1.6 | 10 | 1.1 | 4 | 0.6 |
| Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Net Income ............ | 8,199 | 39.4 | 4,944 | 48.8 | 1,591 | 38.6 | 898 | 30.2 | 456 | 22.7 | 208 | 21.0 | 102 | 17.1 |
| >0-25\% ...................... | 4,171 | 20.0 | 1,689 | 16.7 | 857 | 20.8 | 702 | 23.6 | 512 | 25.5 | 266 | 26.9 | 144 | 24.2 |
| 26-50 .......................... | 3,737 | 18.0 | 1,517 | 15.0 | 713 | 17.3 | 645 | 21.7 | 476 | 23.7 | 225 | 22.8 | 160 | 26.9 |
| 51-75 ......................... | 2,578 | 12.4 | 976 | 9.6 | 544 | 13.2 | 461 | 15.5 | 321 | 16.0 | 166 | 16.8 | 110 | 18.6 |
| 76-100 ........................ | 1,194 | 5.7 | 364 | 3.6 | 248 | 6.0 | 227 | 7.6 | 189 | 9.4 | 103 | 10.4 | 64 | 10.8 |
| 101-125 ..................... | 282 | 1.4 | 95 | 0.9 | 80 | 1.9 | 29 | 1.0 | 47 | 2.4 | 19 | 1.9 | 13 | 2.1 |
| 126-130 ...................... | 17 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.2 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |
| 131-150 ...................... | 61 | 0.3 | 30 | 0.3 | 31 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 151+ ......................... | 52 | 0.2 | 17 | 0.2 | 34 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$.......... | 513 | 2.5 | 484 | 4.8 | 13 | 0.3 | 9 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.3 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Net income is not used in the benefit determinations of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Categorically eligible households have no countable resources because the program does not consider resources in their eligibility determinations.

- No sample households in this category.

Table A.5. Average Gross and Net Countable Income, Average Gross and Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, Average Countable Resources, and Average Benefit of Participating Households by Household Composition and Size

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Gross <br> Countable Income (Dollars) | Net Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Gross <br> Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) | Net Countable <br> Income as a <br> Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Countable <br> Resources Over <br> Households with <br> Countable Resources (Dollars) | SNAP Benefit (Dollars) |
| Total ................................................... | 20,803 | 100.0 | 744 | 338 | 58.5 | 25.0 | 331 | 281 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children ............................................ | 9,794 | 47.1 | 948 | 462 | 58.4 | 27.4 | 320 | 413 |
| School Age ...................................... | 7,304 | 35.1 | 1,025 | 517 | 60.8 | 29.7 | 330 | 433 |
| Preschool Age .................................. | 5,153 | 24.8 | 915 | 431 | 54.5 | 24.4 | 314 | 442 |
| No Children ....................................... | 11,009 | 52.9 | 563 | 223 | 58.6 | 22.7 | 344 | 163 |
| Elderly Individuals .............................. | 3,426 | 16.5 | 833 | 385 | 84.0 | 37.5 | 463 | 144 |
| No Elderly Individuals ........................ | 17,378 | 83.5 | 727 | 330 | 53.5 | 22.6 | 299 | 307 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,199 | 20.2 | 969 | 482 | 82.3 | 37.7 | 303 | 220 |
| No Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ...... | 16,605 | 79.8 | 687 | 303 | 52.5 | 21.8 | 338 | 296 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ....................................................... | 10,125 | 48.7 | 499 | 176 | 55.2 | 19.5 | 326 | 153 |
| 2 ....................................................... | 4,119 | 19.8 | 761 | 336 | 62.6 | 27.6 | 335 | 272 |
| 3 ...................................................... | 2,971 | 14.3 | 915 | 435 | 59.9 | 28.5 | 298 | 397 |
| 4 ....................................................... | 2,006 | 9.6 | 1,149 | 604 | 62.5 | 32.8 | 321 | 489 |
| 5 ...................................................... | 988 | 4.7 | 1,324 | 724 | 61.5 | 33.6 | 400 | 579 |
| 6 ...................................................... | 373 | 1.8 | 1,598 | 937 | 64.9 | 38.0 | 333 | 675 |
| 7 ...................................................... | 147 | 0.7 | 1,557 | 930 | 56.0 | 33.4 | 401 | 782 |
| 8+ ................................................... | 74 | 0.4 | 1,900 | 1,198 | 58.0 | 36.4 | 675 | 947 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.

[^16]Table A.6. Distribution of Participating Households with Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Type of Countable Income

| Type of Income | Total Households |  | Households with: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number$(000)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total | 20,803 | 100.0 | 9,794 | 100.0 | 3,426 | 100.0 | 4,199 | 100.0 |
| Countable Earned Income | 6,351 | 30.5 | 4,816 | 49.2 | 199 | 5.8 | 457 | 10.9 |
| Wages and Salaries | 5,581 | 26.8 | 4,309 | 44.0 | 162 | 4.7 | 411 | 9.8 |
| Self-Employment | 860 | 4.1 | 591 | 6.0 | 42 | 1.2 | 53 | 1.3 |
| Other Earned Income ................................... | 36 | 0.2 | 15 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Countable Unearned Income | 12,487 | 60.0 | 5,551 | 56.7 | 3,128 | 91.3 | 4,196 | 99.9 |
| TANF | 1,591 | 7.6 | 1,540 | 15.7 | 47 | 1.4 | 284 | 6.8 |
| General Assistance | 844 | 4.1 | 182 | 1.9 | 144 | 4.2 | 173 | 4.1 |
| Supplemental Security Income | 4,195 | 20.2 | 1,118 | 11.4 | 1,386 | 40.5 | 2,894 | 68.9 |
| Social Security | 4,660 | 22.4 | 910 | 9.3 | 2,322 | 67.8 | 2,127 | 50.7 |
| Unemployment Income | 1,232 | 5.9 | 756 | 7.7 | 51 | 1.5 | 55 | 1.3 |
| Veterans' Benefits | 165 | 0.8 | 35 | 0.4 | 83 | 2.4 | 40 | 0.9 |
| Workers' Compensation ............................... | 58 | 0.3 | 34 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.1 | 23 | 0.6 |
| Other Government Benefits ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 129 | 0.6 | 51 | 0.5 | 50 | 1.5 | 40 | 1.0 |
| Household Contributions | 623 | 3.0 | 399 | 4.1 | 41 | 1.2 | 58 | 1.4 |
| Household Deemed Income | 19 | 0.1 | 18 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Educational Loans | 4 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Child Support Enforcement Payments ............. | 1,980 | 9.5 | 1,904 | 19.4 | 21 | 0.6 | 325 | 7.7 |
| State Diversion Payments | 8 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Energy Assistance Income | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wage Supplementation . | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Other Unearned Income ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 682 | 3.3 | 291 | 3.0 | 266 | 7.8 | 80 | 1.9 |
| TANF or GA Income | 2,427 | 11.7 | 1,715 | 17.5 | 190 | 5.6 | 451 | 10.7 |
| TANF and Earnings | 356 | 1.7 | 348 | 3.6 | 6 | 0.2 | 29 | 0.7 |
| TANF and SSI ... | 267 | 1.3 | 262 | 2.7 | 18 | 0.5 | 261 | 6.2 |
| TANF or SSI or GA | 6,141 | 29.5 | 2,516 | 25.7 | 1,481 | 43.2 | 2,940 | 70.0 |
| (TANF or SSI or GA) and Earnings ................ | 749 | 3.6 | 605 | 6.2 | 38 | 1.1 | 358 | 8.5 |
| TANF and Child Support | 114 | 0.5 | 113 | 1.2 | 4 | 0.1 | 33 | 0.8 |
| SSI and Social Security ................................. | 1,546 | 7.4 | 276 | 2.8 | 745 | 21.7 | 860 | 20.5 |
| SSI or Social Security ................................... | 7,309 | 35.1 | 1,751 | 17.9 | 2,963 | 86.5 | 4,161 | 99.1 |
| SSI and Earnings ......................................... | 375 | 1.8 | 250 | 2.6 | 33 | 1.0 | 354 | 8.4 |
| GA and Earnings | 61 | 0.3 | 44 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.1 | 17 | 0.4 |
| Earnings and Child Support .......................... | 862 | 4.1 | 838 | 8.6 | 3 | 0.1 | 61 | 1.5 |
| No Countable Income | 4,151 | 20.0 | 1,216 | 12.4 | 224 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual income sources does not add to the total because households can receive income from more than one source.
b Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{c}$ Examples of other government benefits include Black Lung Benefits, Railroad Retirement payments, and USDA payments to farmers.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Examples of other unearned income include alimony, foster care payments, and dividends and interest payments.

Table A.7. Average Income, Total Deduction, SNAP Benefit, and Household Size of Participating Households by Type of Countable Income

| Type of Income | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Percent | Gross <br> Countable Income (Dollars) | Net Countable Income (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Income Source (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{d}}$ | SNAP <br> Benefit <br> (Dollars) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Household } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (Individuals) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 20,803 | 100.0 | 744 | 338 | - | 508 | 281 | 2.1 |
| Countable Earned Income | 6,351 | 30.5 | 1,197 | 555 | 1,022 | 691 | 330 | 2.9 |
| Wages and Salaries | 5,581 | 26.8 | 1,267 | 599 | 1,083 | 705 | 324 | 2.9 |
| Self-Employment | 860 | 4.1 | 810 | 301 | 512 | 621 | 378 | 2.7 |
| Other Earned Income | 36 | 0.2 | 653 | 314 | 234 | 476 | 327 | 2.5 |
| Countable Unearned Income | 12,487 | 60.0 | 875 | 415 | 720 | 516 | 260 | 2.1 |
| TANF | 1,591 | 7.6 | 725 | 316 | 396 | 466 | 427 | 3.0 |
| General Assistance | 844 | 4.1 | 526 | 180 | 235 | 492 | 236 | 1.5 |
| Supplemental Security Income | 4,195 | 20.2 | 879 | 421 | 554 | 502 | 216 | 1.8 |
| Social Security | 4,660 | 22.4 | 974 | 486 | 760 | 527 | 162 | 1.6 |
| Unemployment Income | 1,232 | 5.9 | 1,086 | 615 | 849 | 498 | 277 | 2.6 |
| Veterans' Benefits | 165 | 0.8 | 941 | 499 | 485 | 479 | 165 | 1.6 |
| Workers' Compensation | 58 | 0.3 | 1,278 | 750 | 899 | 566 | 222 | 2.4 |
| Other Government Benefits ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 129 | 0.6 | 1,082 | 578 | 352 | 571 | 234 | 2.2 |
| Household Contributions | 623 | 3.0 | 652 | 256 | 260 | 486 | 350 | 2.4 |
| Household Deemed Income | 19 | 0.1 | 764 | 364 | 625 | 459 | 291 | 2.2 |
| Educational Loans | 4 | 0.0 | 829 | 312 | 355 | 612 | 420 | 3.1 |
| Child Support Enforcement Payments | 1,980 | 9.5 | 1,078 | 582 | 337 | 544 | 402 | 3.4 |
| State Diversion Payments | 8 | 0.0 | 707 | 270 | 433 | 581 | 266 | 1.9 |
| Energy Assistance Income | 1 | 0.0 | 213 | 0 | 213 | 564 | 200 | 1.0 |
| Wage Supplementation | 1 | 0.0 | 1,319 | 464 | 330 | 855 | 529 | 4.0 |
| Other Unearned Income ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 682 | 3.3 | 1,012 | 499 | 341 | 573 | 242 | 2.1 |
| TANF or GA Income | 2,427 | 11.7 | 655 | 268 | 341 | 475 | 361 | 2.5 |
| TANF and Earnings | 356 | 1.7 | 1,063 | 516 | 974 | 572 | 397 | 3.2 |
| TANF and SSI | 267 | 1.3 | 1,188 | 723 | 968 | 469 | 375 | 3.5 |
| TANF or SSI or GA | 6,141 | 29.5 | 775 | 341 | 513 | 491 | 267 | 2.0 |
| (TANF or SSI or GA) and Earnings | 749 | 3.6 | 1,316 | 708 | 1,219 | 632 | 340 | 3.2 |
| TANF and Child Support | 114 | 0.5 | 984 | 527 | 556 | 486 | 457 | 3.6 |
| SSI and Social Security | 1,546 | 7.4 | 860 | 426 | 795 | 471 | 174 | 1.6 |
| SSI or Social Security | 7,309 | 35.1 | 943 | 462 | 802 | 524 | 190 | 1.7 |
| SSI and Earnings | 375 | 1.8 | 1,600 | 932 | 1,425 | 691 | 287 | 3.3 |
| GA and Earnings .. | 61 | 0.3 | 1,293 | 704 | 1,033 | 626 | 323 | 3.1 |
| Earnings and Child Support ....... | 862 | 4.1 | 1,506 | 825 | 1,401 | 694 | 352 | 3.6 |
| No Countable Income | 4,151 | 20.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 291 | 292 | 1.6 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual income sources does not add to the total because households can receive income from more than one source.
b Because net income is not used in their benefit determinations, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
c Average value of specified source over households with income from source.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determinations, 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
e Examples of other government benefits include Black Lung Benefits, Railroad Retirement payments, and USDA payments to farmers.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Examples of other unearned income include alimony, foster care payments, and dividends and interest payments.

Table A.8. Distribution of Participating Households with Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Countable Earned and Unearned Income Amounts

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households with: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................ | 20,803 | 100.0 | 9,794 | 100.0 | 3,426 | 100.0 | 4,199 | 100.0 |
| Countable Earned Income \$0 | 14,453 | 69.5 | 4,978 | 50.8 | 3,227 | 94.2 | 3,742 | 89.1 |
| 1-199 | 603 | 2.9 | 264 | 2.7 | 37 | 1.1 | 84 | 2.0 |
| 200-399 ..................... | 624 | 3.0 | 392 | 4.0 | 35 | 1.0 | 62 | 1.5 |
| 400-599 | 686 | 3.3 | 492 | 5.0 | 33 | 1.0 | 68 | 1.6 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 777 | 3.7 | 555 | 5.7 | 18 | 0.5 | 54 | 1.3 |
| 800-999 | 736 | 3.5 | 539 | 5.5 | 18 | 0.5 | 51 | 1.2 |
| 1,000-1,249 | 813 | 3.9 | 632 | 6.5 | 23 | 0.7 | 36 | 0.9 |
| 1,250-1,499 .................... | 704 | 3.4 | 614 | 6.3 | 15 | 0.4 | 39 | 0.9 |
| 1,500-1,999 .................... | 857 | 4.1 | 792 | 8.1 | 15 | 0.4 | 41 | 1.0 |
| 2,000+ ........................... | 550 | 2.6 | 535 | 5.5 | 4 | 0.1 | 22 | 0.5 |
| Countable Unearned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ..... | 8,316 | 40.0 | 4,243 | 43.3 | 298 | 8.7 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 1-199 | 1,081 | 5.2 | 688 | 7.0 | 44 | 1.3 | 13 | 0.3 |
| 200-399 | 1,648 | 7.9 | 1,153 | 11.8 | 77 | 2.3 | 33 | 0.8 |
| 400-599 | 1,425 | 6.8 | 984 | 10.0 | 168 | 4.9 | 191 | 4.6 |
| 600-799 | 4,294 | 20.6 | 922 | 9.4 | 1,461 | 42.7 | 2,245 | 53.5 |
| 800-999 | 1,598 | 7.7 | 558 | 5.7 | 561 | 16.4 | 643 | 15.3 |
| 1,000-1,249 ..................... | 1,220 | 5.9 | 484 | 4.9 | 461 | 13.4 | 471 | 11.2 |
| 1,250-1,499 .................... | 665 | 3.2 | 389 | 4.0 | 195 | 5.7 | 334 | 7.9 |
| 1,500+ ........................... | 556 | 2.7 | 372 | 3.8 | 160 | 4.7 | 266 | 6.3 |
| Countable TANF Income \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19,212 | 92.4 | 8,254 | 84.3 | 3,379 | 98.6 | 3,914 | 93.2 |
| 1-199 | 290 | 1.4 | 276 | 2.8 | 19 | 0.5 | 95 | 2.3 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 589 | 2.8 | 555 | 5.7 | 13 | 0.4 | 110 | 2.6 |
| 400-599 ..... | 488 | 2.3 | 486 | 5.0 | 8 | 0.2 | 47 | 1.1 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 162 | 0.8 | 161 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.1 | 21 | 0.5 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 45 | 0.2 | 45 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.2 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 17 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Countable GA Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .................................. | 19,959 | 95.9 | 9,612 | 98.1 | 3,281 | 95.8 | 4,026 | 95.9 |
| 1-199. | 404 | 1.9 | 57 | 0.6 | 95 | 2.8 | 119 | 2.8 |
| 200-399 ........................ | 311 | 1.5 | 35 | 0.4 | 32 | 0.9 | 25 | 0.6 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 82 | 0.4 | 53 | 0.5 | 14 | 0.4 | 16 | 0.4 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 29 | 0.1 | 23 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.2 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 12 | 0.1 | 12 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 6 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Countable TANF or GA Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .................................. | 18,376 | 88.3 | 8,079 | 82.5 | 3,235 | 94.4 | 3,748 | 89.3 |
| 1-199 ............................ | 687 | 3.3 | 326 | 3.3 | 113 | 3.3 | 209 | 5.0 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 899 | 4.3 | 590 | 6.0 | 45 | 1.3 | 135 | 3.2 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 569 | 2.7 | 538 | 5.5 | 22 | 0.6 | 63 | 1.5 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 191 | 0.9 | 184 | 1.9 | 5 | 0.1 | 28 | 0.7 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 58 | 0.3 | 58 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.3 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 23 | 0.1 | 19 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A.8. Distribution of Participating Households with Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Countable Earned and Unearned Income Amounts - Continued

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households with: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Countable SSI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .................................. | 16,609 | 79.8 | 8,676 | 88.6 | 2,040 | 59.5 | 1,305 | 31.1 |
| 1-199. | 723 | 3.5 | 78 | 0.8 | 362 | 10.6 | 370 | 8.8 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 504 | 2.4 | 89 | 0.9 | 234 | 6.8 | 287 | 6.8 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 440 | 2.1 | 111 | 1.1 | 160 | 4.7 | 294 | 7.0 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 2,185 | 10.5 | 635 | 6.5 | 535 | 15.6 | 1,677 | 39.9 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 93 | 0.4 | 48 | 0.5 | 33 | 1.0 | 66 | 1.6 |
| 1,000+ ........................ | 250 | 1.2 | 156 | 1.6 | 62 | 1.8 | 201 | 4.8 |
| Maximum for 1 Person ${ }^{\text {b }}$... | 1,079 | 5.2 | 197 | 2.0 | 276 | 8.1 | 807 | 19.2 |
| Countable Social Security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 16,143 | 77.6 | 8,884 | 90.7 | 1,103 | 32.2 | 2,071 | 49.3 |
| 1-199 | 178 | 0.9 | 103 | 1.1 | 46 | 1.3 | 87 | 2.1 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 480 | 2.3 | 121 | 1.2 | 223 | 6.5 | 218 | 5.2 |
| 400-599 | 822 | 4.0 | 179 | 1.8 | 398 | 11.6 | 365 | 8.7 |
| 600-799 ... | 1,257 | 6.0 | 164 | 1.7 | 624 | 18.2 | 600 | 14.3 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 965 | 4.6 | 131 | 1.3 | 502 | 14.7 | 437 | 10.4 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 959 | 4.6 | 212 | 2.2 | 529 | 15.4 | 420 | 10.0 |
| Other Countable Unearned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ...... | 16,244 | 78.1 | 6,610 | 67.5 | 2,928 | 85.5 | 3,614 | 86.1 |
| 1-199. | 1,230 | 5.9 | 767 | 7.8 | 223 | 6.5 | 199 | 4.7 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 1,119 | 5.4 | 843 | 8.6 | 112 | 3.3 | 142 | 3.4 |
| 400-599 ......................... | 715 | 3.4 | 534 | 5.5 | 44 | 1.3 | 97 | 2.3 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 468 | 2.2 | 305 | 3.1 | 36 | 1.0 | 56 | 1.3 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 397 | 1.9 | 247 | 2.5 | 42 | 1.2 | 43 | 1.0 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 624 | 3.0 | 483 | 4.9 | 40 | 1.2 | 47 | 1.1 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b The fiscal year 2011 maximum monthly SSI benefit for one person is $\$ 674$. The number shown is the number of households in which one person receives an SSI benefit of this amount. (The household may contain more than one person.)

Table A.9. Distribution of Participating Households by Type of Deduction and Household Composition, Countable Income Source, and SNAP Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Type of Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Dependent Care |  | Excess Shelter |  |  | Medical |  | Child Support |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Percent with Maximum ${ }^{a}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................... | 20,803 | 100.0 | 6,335 | 30.5 | 806 | 3.9 | 14,936 | 71.8 | 29.1 | 918 | 4.4 | 417 | 2.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School Age ................................................ | 7,304 | 100.0 | 3,596 | 49.2 | 553 | 7.6 | 5,821 | 79.7 | 38.6 38.3 | 125 | 1.7 | 171 | 2.2 |
| Preschool Age ................... | 5,153 | 100.0 | 2,676 | 51.9 | 576 | 11.2 | 3,949 | 76.6 | 39.0 | 39 | 0.8 | 111 | 2.2 |
| No Children ........................ | 11,009 | 100.0 | 1,521 | 13.8 | 2 | 0.0 | 7,262 | 66.0 | 19.1 | 781 | 7.1 | 199 | 1.8 |
| Elderly Individuals .............. | 3,426 | 100.0 | 197 | 5.7 | 1 | 0.0 | 2,558 | 74.7 | 0.2 | 546 | 15.9 | 21 | 0.6 |
| No Elderly Individuals ......... | 17,378 | 100.0 | 6,138 | 35.3 | 805 | 4.6 | 12,378 | 71.2 | 35.1 | 372 | 2.1 | 396 | 2.3 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$ $\qquad$ | 4,199 | 100.0 | 449 | 10.7 | 51 | 1.2 | 3,358 | 80.0 | 0.1 | 397 | 9.5 | 114 | 2.7 |
| No Disabled Nonelderly Individuals $\qquad$ | 16,605 | 100.0 | 5,886 | 35.4 | 755 | 4.5 | 11,578 | 69.7 | 37.6 | 521 | 3.1 | 303 | 1.8 |
| Countable Income Source Gross Income $\qquad$ | 16,652 | 100.0 | 6,335 | 38.0 | 798 | 4.8 | 13,419 | 80.6 | 26.1 | 917 | 5.5 | 394 | 2.4 |
| No Gross Income ................. | 4,151 | 100.0 | - | - | 8 | 0.2 | 1,517 | 36.5 | 55.7 | 1 | 0.0 | 23 | 0.6 |
| Net Income .. | 12,091 | 100.0 | 4,918 | 40.7 | 675 | 5.6 | 9,671 | 80.0 | 20.1 | 786 | 6.5 | 287 | 2.4 |
| No Net Income ................... | 8,199 | 100.0 | 1,410 | 17.2 | 131 | 1.6 | 5,265 | 64.2 | 45.8 | 132 | 1.6 | 130 | 1.6 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {c }}$.................. | 513 | 100.0 | 6 | 1.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Earned Income ..................... | 6,351 | 100.0 | 6,335 | 99.7 | 733 | 11.5 | 5,291 | 83.3 | 40.5 | 76 | 1.2 | 205 | 3.2 |
| No Earned Income ............... | 14,453 | 100.0 | - | - | 73 | 0.5 | 9,645 | 66.7 | 22.9 | 842 | 5.8 | 212 | 1.5 |
| Unearned Income ................ | 12,487 | 100.0 | 2,173 | 17.4 | 363 | 2.9 | 9,905 | 79.3 | 19.5 | 910 | 7.3 | 257 | 2.1 |
| No Unearned Income .......... | 8,316 | 100.0 | 4,161 | 50.0 | 443 | 5.3 | 5,031 | 60.5 | 48.1 | 8 | 0.1 | 160 | 1.9 |
| TANF Income .................... | 1,591 | 100.0 | 356 | 22.4 | 34 | 2.2 | 1,311 | 82.4 | 33.1 | 15 | 0.9 | 8 | 0.5 |
| No TANF Income ................ | 19,212 | 100.0 | 5,979 | 31.1 | 772 | 4.0 | 13,625 | 70.9 | 28.7 | 903 | 4.7 | 409 | 2.1 |
| GA Income ......................... | 844 | 100.0 | 58 | 6.9 | 2 | 0.2 | 678 | 80.4 | 36.7 | 9 | 1.1 | 6 | 0.7 |
| No GA Income ................... | 19,959 | 100.0 | 6,276 | 31.4 | 804 | 4.0 | 14,258 | 71.4 | 28.8 | 909 | 4.6 | 411 | 2.1 |
| SSI .................................... | 4,195 | 100.0 | 366 | 8.7 | 46 | 1.1 | 3,169 | 75.6 | 0.2 | 104 | 2.5 | 59 | 1.4 |
| No SSI .............................. | 16,609 | 100.0 | 5,969 | 35.9 | 760 | 4.6 | 11,766 | 70.8 | 36.9 | 814 | 4.9 | 358 | 2.2 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 4,660 | 100.0 | 353 | 7.6 | 26 | 0.6 | 3,785 | 81.2 | 2.6 | 865 | 18.6 | 122 | 2.6 |
| No Social Security Income ... | 16,143 | 100.0 | 5,981 | 37.1 | 780 | 4.8 | 11,151 | 69.1 | 38.1 | 53 | 0.3 | 295 | 1.8 |
| SNAP Benefit <br> Minimum Benefit | 881 | 100.0 | 184 | 20.8 | 3 | 0.4 | 494 | 56.0 | 3.4 | 201 | 22.8 | 9 | 1.1 |
| Maximum Benefit ............... | 8,495 | 100.0 | 1,413 | 16.6 | 132 | 1.6 | 5,276 | 62.1 | 45.7 | 133 | 1.6 | 130 | 1.5 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percentage of households with deduction that receive the maximum.
b Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
c Net income is not used in the benefit determinations of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits.

- No sample households in this category.

Table A.10. Average Values of Deductions of Participating Households by Household Composition, Countable Income Source, and SNAP Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households (000) | Average Amount of Deduction (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Earned Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Dependent Care ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Excess Shelter ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Medical ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Child Support ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  |  | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction |
| Total ............................. | 20,803 | 64 | 205 | 9 | 223 | 277 | 376 | 6 | 141 | 5 | 245 |
| Household Composition Children | 9,794 | 112 | 228 | 18 | 223 | 282 | 358 | 2 | 113 | 6 | 269 |
| School Age ................ | 7,304 | 117 | 238 | 18 | 231 | 288 | 360 | 2 | 112 | 6 | 272 |
| Preschool Age ............ | 5,153 | 120 | 231 | 26 | 228 | 269 | 350 | 1 | 97 | 6 | 292 |
| No Children ................. | 11,009 | 19 | 130 | 0 | 175 | 272 | 394 | 11 | 146 | 4 | 220 |
| Elderly Individuals ........ | 3,426 | 9 | 143 | 0 | 694 | 340 | 419 | 27 | 151 | 1 | 154 |
| No Elderly Individuals .. | 17,378 | 74 | 207 | 11 | 222 | 265 | 367 | 3 | 127 | 6 | 250 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {d }}$ $\qquad$ | 4,199 | 18 | 160 | 3 | 240 | 341 | 405 | 13 | 132 | 5 | 177 |
| No Disabled Nonelderly Individuals $\qquad$ | 16,605 | 75 | 208 | 10 | 222 | 261 | 367 | 5 | 148 | 5 | 271 |
| Countable Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ............... | 16,652 | 81 | 205 | 11 | 224 | 311 | 374 | 8 | 141 | 6 | 235 |
| No Gross Income .......... | 4,151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 143 | 391 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 418 |
| Net Income ................... | 12,091 | 99 | 241 | 12 | 219 | 262 | 327 | 8 | 121 | 6 | 235 |
| No Net Income ............. | 8,199 | 13 | 78 | 4 | 245 | 299 | 465 | 4 | 260 | 4 | 268 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {e }}$............ | 513 | 59 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Earned Income ............. | 6,351 | 204 | 205 | 26 | 229 | 299 | 358 | 2 | 172 | 9 | 266 |
| No Earned Income ........ | 14,453 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 163 | 267 | 386 | 8 | 138 | 3 | 226 |
| Unearned Income .......... | 12,487 | 33 | 177 | 7 | 222 | 314 | 380 | 11 | 139 | 5 | 223 |
| No Unearned Income .... | 8,316 | 110 | 219 | 12 | 224 | 222 | 367 | 0 | 292 | 5 | 281 |
| TANF Income .............. | 1,591 | 28 | 123 | 3 | 133 | 283 | 341 | 2 | 234 | 1 | 249 |
| No TANF Income ......... | 19,212 | 67 | 210 | 9 | 227 | 276 | 379 | 7 | 139 | 5 | 245 |
| GA Income ................... | 844 | 11 | 156 | 1 | 228 | 329 | 405 | 1 | 109 | 1 | 145 |
| No GA Income ............. | 19,959 | 67 | 205 | 9 | 223 | 274 | 374 | 7 | 141 | 5 | 247 |
| SSI .............................. | 4,195 | 18 | 172 | 3 | 245 | 330 | 386 | 3 | 113 | 2 | 146 |
| No SSI ........................ | 16,609 | 74 | 207 | 10 | 222 | 265 | 373 | 7 | 144 | 6 | 262 |
| Social Security <br> Income $\qquad$ | 4,660 | 11 | 137 | 2 | 314 | 338 | 398 | 27 | 139 | 5 | 179 |
| No Social Security Income $\qquad$ | 16,143 | 79 | 209 | 11 | 220 | 260 | 368 | 1 | 167 | 5 | 273 |
| SNAP Benefit <br> Minimum Benefit | 881 | 50 | 241 | 1 | 156 | 117 | 209 | 25 | 108 | 2 | 147 |
| Maximum Benefit ......... | 8,495 | 13 | 78 | 4 | 245 | 299 | 465 | 4 | 260 | 4 | 267 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determinations, 644,511 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
b Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determinations, 33,612 MFIP households and 644,511 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
c Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determinations, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
e Net income is not used in the benefit determinations of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits.

Table A.11. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Amount of Deduction

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total | 20,803 | 100.0 | 9,794 | 100.0 | 3,426 | 100.0 | 4,199 | 100.0 | 6,351 | 100.0 |
| Total Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 142 | 3,677 | 17.7 | 888 | 9.1 | 471 | 13.7 | 376 | 9.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 143-200 | 983 | 4.7 | 525 | 5.4 | 142 | 4.1 | 207 | 4.9 | 195 | 3.1 |
| 201-300 | 1,395 | 6.7 | 589 | 6.0 | 333 | 9.7 | 445 | 10.6 | 268 | 4.2 |
| 301-400 | 1,596 | 7.7 | 728 | 7.4 | 393 | 11.5 | 469 | 11.2 | 429 | 6.8 |
| 401-500 | 2,029 | 9.8 | 1,017 | 10.4 | 345 | 10.1 | 556 | 13.2 | 598 | 9.4 |
| 501-600 | 3,740 | 18.0 | 1,824 | 18.6 | 366 | 10.7 | 492 | 11.7 | 659 | 10.4 |
| 601-700 | 2,337 | 11.2 | 1,407 | 14.4 | 341 | 10.0 | 457 | 10.9 | 1,174 | 18.5 |
| 701-800 | 1,680 | 8.1 | 964 | 9.8 | 238 | 7.0 | 336 | 8.0 | 1,156 | 18.2 |
| 801-900 | 1,136 | 5.5 | 705 | 7.2 | 173 | 5.1 | 224 | 5.3 | 782 | 12.3 |
| 901-1000 | 695 | 3.3 | 461 | 4.7 | 121 | 3.5 | 154 | 3.7 | 457 | 7.2 |
| 1000+ | 1,025 | 4.9 | 655 | 6.7 | 232 | 6.8 | 272 | 6.5 | 623 | 9.8 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {c }}$. | 479 | 2.3 | 2 | 0.0 | 269 | 7.9 | 210 | 5.0 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Earned Income Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-50 | -772 | 3.7 | 372 | 3.8 | 2,875 | 1.3 | - 97 | 2.3 | 772 | 12.2 |
| 51-100 | 777 | 3.7 | 521 | 5.3 | 39 | 1.1 | 79 | 1.9 | 777 | 12.2 |
| 101-150 | 945 | 4.5 | 674 | 6.9 | 31 | 0.9 | 75 | 1.8 | 945 | 14.9 |
| 151-200 | 940 | 4.5 | 686 | 7.0 | 25 | 0.7 | 61 | 1.4 | 940 | 14.8 |
| 201-250 | 800 | 3.8 | 628 | 6.4 | 23 | 0.7 | 36 | 0.9 | 800 | 12.6 |
| 251-300 | 713 | 3.4 | 622 | 6.4 | 17 | 0.5 | 41 | 1.0 | 713 | 11.2 |
| 301-350 | 502 | 2.4 | 459 | 4.7 | 8 | 0.2 | 26 | 0.6 | 502 | 7.9 |
| 351+ | 887 | 4.3 | 851 | 8.7 | 10 | 0.3 | 34 | 0.8 | 887 | 14.0 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {d ................ }}$ | 645 | 3.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 354 | 10.3 | 291 | 6.9 | 5 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-50 | 107 | 0.5 | 106 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.2 | 80 | 1.3 |
| 51-100 | 135 | 0.7 | 135 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.1 | 124 | 1.9 |
| 101-150 | 106 | 0.5 | 106 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.2 | 101 | 1.6 |
| 151-200 | 106 | 0.5 | 106 | 1.1 | - | - | 4 | 0.1 | 96 | 1.5 |
| 201-250 | 74 | 0.4 | 74 | 0.8 | - | - | 4 | 0.1 | 71 | 1.1 |
| 251-300 | 69 | 0.3 | 69 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.2 | 65 | 1.0 |
| 301+ | 209 | 1.0 | 209 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 0.4 | 196 | 3.1 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 678 | 3.3 | 35 | 0.4 | 354 | 10.3 | 291 | 6.9 | 12 | 0.2 |
| Medical Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | 19,207 | 92.3 | 9,622 | 98.2 | 2,526 | 73.7 | 3,511 | 83.6 | 6,263 | 98.6 |
| 1-50 | 120 | 0.6 | 11 | 0.1 | 66 | 1.9 | 60 | 1.4 | 8 | 0.1 |
| 51-100 | 381 | 1.8 | 66 | 0.7 | 219 | 6.4 | 172 | 4.1 | 32 | 0.5 |
| 101-150 | 187 | 0.9 | 40 | 0.4 | 103 | 3.0 | 88 | 2.1 | 18 | 0.3 |
| 151-200 | 72 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.1 | 54 | 1.6 | 20 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 201-250 | 39 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.0 | 26 | 0.7 | 14 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.1 |
| 251-300 . | 25 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 19 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| 301+ ......... | 94 | 0.5 | 7 | 0.1 | 60 | 1.7 | 38 | 0.9 | 8 | 0.1 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 678 | 3.3 | 35 | 0.4 | 354 | 10.3 | 291 | 6.9 | 12 | 0.2 |
| Child Support Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0... | 19,708 | 94.7 | 9,540 | 97.4 | 3,051 | 89.1 | 3,794 | 90.4 | 6,134 | 96.6 |
| 1-50 | 50 | 0.2 | 16 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.1 | 22 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.3 |
| 51-100 | 60 | 0.3 | 24 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.2 | 22 | 0.5 | 18 | 0.3 |
| 101-150 | 51 | 0.2 | 27 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | 19 | 0.5 | 24 | 0.4 |
| 151-200 ............................. | 45 | 0.2 | 29 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.3 | 26 | 0.4 |
| 201-250 | 38 | 0.2 | 21 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 | 12 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.3 |
| 251-300 ................................ | 41 | 0.2 | 24 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.2 | 22 | 0.4 |
| 301+ .................................. | 131 | 0.6 | 77 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.1 | 21 | 0.5 | 75 | 1.2 |

Table A.11. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Amount of Deduction - Continued

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number $(000)$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Child Support Deduction Not Applicablef | 678 | 3.3 | 35 | 0.4 | 354 | 10.3 | 291 | 6.9 | 12 | 0.2 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction \$0 | 5354 | 25.7 | 2,085 | 21.3 | 598 | 17.4 | 631 | 15.0 | 1,048 | 165 |
| 1-50 | 695 | 3.3 | 354 | 3.6 | 123 | 3.6 | 141 | 3.4 | 204 | 3.2 |
| 51-100 | 647 | 3.1 | 350 | 3.6 | 134 | 3.9 | 201 | 4.8 | 245 | 3.9 |
| 101-150 | 778 | 3.7 | 388 | 4.0 | 172 | 5.0 | 218 | 5.2 | 288 | 4.5 |
| 151-200 | 879 | 4.2 | 422 | 4.3 | 205 | 6.0 | 223 | 5.3 | 299 | 4.7 |
| 201-250 | 916 | 4.4 | 459 | 4.7 | 207 | 6.0 | 241 | 5.7 | 304 | 4.8 |
| 251-300 | 1,047 | 5.0 | 523 | 5.3 | 169 | 4.9 | 285 | 6.8 | 337 | 5.3 |
| 301-350 | 1,141 | 5.5 | 634 | 6.5 | 168 | 4.9 | 276 | 6.6 | 404 | 6.4 |
| 351-400 | 1,066 | 5.1 | 534 | 5.4 | 163 | 4.7 | 220 | 5.3 | 402 | 6.3 |
| 401-457 | 1,198 | 5.8 | 585 | 6.0 | 224 | 6.5 | 288 | 6.9 | 441 | 6.9 |
| 458 | 4,343 | 20.9 | 2,955 | 30.2 | 6 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 2,137 | 33.6 |
| 459+ | 2,225 | 10.7 | 469 | 4.8 | 988 | 28.8 | 1,260 | 30.0 | 231 | 3.6 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 513 | 2.5 | 35 | 0.4 | 270 | 7.9 | 210 | 5.0 | 12 | 0.2 |
| No Deduction | 5,354 | 25.7 | 2,085 | 21.3 | 598 | 17.4 | 631 | 15.0 | 1,048 | 16.5 |
| Deduction Less than Capg | 8,376 | 40.3 | 4,253 | 43.4 | 1,566 | 45.7 | 2,095 | 49.9 | 2,925 | 46.1 |
| Deduction Equal to Cap ...... | 4,351 | 20.9 | 2,962 | 30.2 | 6 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 2,142 | 33.7 |
| Benefit Less than Maximum <br> Benefit $\qquad$ | 1,940 | 9.3 | 1,568 | 16.0 | 5 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 1,400 | 22.0 |
| Benefit Equal to Maximum Benefit $\qquad$ | 2,411 | 11.6 | 1,394 | 14.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 742 | 11.7 |
| Deduction Greater than Cap ... | 2,209 | 10.6 | 458 | 4.7 | 986 | 28.8 | 1,259 | 30.0 | 224 | 3.5 |
| Not Applicablef ................. | 513 | 2.5 | 35 | 0.4 | 270 | 7.9 | 210 | 5.0 | 12 | 0.2 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{a}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b This row contains MFIP households, which do not receive a standard deduction, and households in the Virgin Islands, which receive a standard deduction of $\$ 125$ for 1- and 2-person households, and $\$ 127$ for 3-person households.
${ }^{c}$ Deductions are not used in the benefit determinations of SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits.
${ }^{d}$ This deduction is not used in the benefit determinations of SSI-CAP households.
e This deduction is not used in the benefit determinations of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households.
${ }^{f}$ This deduction is not used in the benefit determinations of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits.
g Households without elderly or disabled members are subject to a cap on their excess shelter deduction.

- No sample households in this category.

Table A.12. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and SNAP Benefit Amount, SNAP Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum Benefit, and Certification Period


Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled
b The minimum benefit, applicable to one- and two-person households, is equal to 8 percent of the maximum benefit for single-person households. See Table C-6 for the FY 2011 minimum benefit values.
c Does not include households with the minimum benefit
${ }^{d}$ Average number of months in certification period. Percent not applicable in this row.

[^17]Table A.13. Distribution of Participating Households by Type of Most Recent Action and Expedited Service

| Most Recent Action and Expedited Service | Total Households |  | Entrants |  | Other Households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ........................................... | 20,803 | 100.0 | 869 | 100.0 | 19,934 | 100.0 |
| Initial Certification | 8,061 | 38.7 | 869 | 100.0 | 7,191 | 36.1 |
| Eligible for and Receiving Expedited Service | 2,468 | 11.9 | 427 | 49.1 | 2,041 | 10.2 |
| Eligible for But Did Not Receive Expedited Service $\qquad$ | 555 | 2.7 | 53 | 6.1 | 502 | 2.5 |
| Not Eligible for Expedited Service | 5,037 | 24.2 | 390 | 44.8 | 4,648 | 23.3 |
| Recertification .............................. | 12,743 | 61.3 | - | - | 12,743 | 63.9 |
| Eligible for and Receiving Expedited Service | 175 | 0.8 | - | - | 175 | 0.9 |
| Eligible for But Did Not Receive Expedited Service $\qquad$ | 59 | 0.3 | - | - | 59 | 0.3 |
| Not Eligible for Expedited Service | 12,508 | 60.1 | - | - | 12,508 | 62.7 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.

- By definition these are mutually exclusive categories; therefore, no households will be found in these categories.

Table A.14. Distribution of Participating Households, Individuals, and Benefits by Household Composition

| Household Composition | SNAP Households |  | Participants in Households with Household Characteristic |  | Monthly SNAP Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Dollars (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20,803 | 100.0 | 44,148 | 100.0 | 5,838,193 | 100.0 |
| Children, Elderly Individuals, or Disabled Individuals | 15,770 | 75.8 | 38,549 | 87.3 | 4,855,250 | 83.2 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 9,794 | 47.1 | 31,603 | 71.6 | 4,040,573 | 69.2 |
| Single-Adult Household .......................................... | 5,477 | 26.3 | 16,121 | 36.5 | 2,164,038 | 37.1 |
| Male Adult | 373 | 1.8 | 976 | 2.2 | 133,695 | 2.3 |
| Female Adult | 5,104 | 24.5 | 15,144 | 34.3 | 2,030,343 | 34.8 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ...................................... | 3,026 | 14.5 | 12,848 | 29.1 | 1,468,146 | 25.1 |
| Married Head Household ..................................... | 1,873 | 9.0 | 8,085 | 18.3 | 906,046 | 15.5 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household ........................... | 1,154 | 5.5 | 4,762 | 10.8 | 562,100 | 9.6 |
| Children Only ....................................................... | 1,290 | 6.2 | 2,634 | 6.0 | 408,389 | 7.0 |
| Elderly Individuals | 3,426 | 16.5 | 4,362 | 9.9 | 494,729 | 8.5 |
| Living Alone | 2,730 | 13.1 | 2,730 | 6.2 | 332,497 | 5.7 |
| Living with Only Elderly Individuals ....................... | 319 | 1.5 | 638 | 1.4 | 59,933 | 1.0 |
| Living with at Least One Nonelderly Individual ......... | 377 | 1.8 | 993 | 2.3 | 102,299 | 1.8 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {c }}$............................ | 4,199 | 20.2 | 8,326 | 18.9 | 924,331 | 15.8 |
| Living Alone ......................................................... | 2,382 | 11.5 | 2,382 | 5.4 | 295,819 | 5.1 |
| Not Living Alone .................................................. | 1,816 | 8.7 | 5,944 | 13.5 | 628,512 | 10.8 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 5,033 | 24.2 | 5,599 | 12.7 | 982,944 | 16.8 |
| Single-Person Household | 4,545 | 21.8 | 4,545 | 10.3 | 833,274 | 14.3 |
| Multi-Person Household ......................................... | 488 | 2.3 | 1,055 | 2.4 | 149,670 | 2.6 |
| Nondisabled Adults Age 18-49 in Childless Households ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 4,083 | 19.6 | 4,903 | 11.1 | 830,338 | 14.2 |
| Single-Person Household ......................................... | 3,730 | 17.9 | 4,093 | 9.3 | 714,422 | 12.2 |
| Multi-Person Household ......................................... | 353 | 1.7 | 810 | 1.8 | 115,916 | 2.0 |
| Single-Person Households ...................................... | 10,125 | 48.7 | 10,125 | 22.9 | 1,547,218 | 26.5 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
b Individuals with missing age were assigned child or adult status based on their relationship to the household head.
${ }^{c}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
e These participants are subject to work requirements and a time limit.

Table A.15. Average Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, Gross and Net Countable Income, Total Deduction, SNAP Benefit, Household Size, and Certification Period of Participating Households by Household Composition

| Household Composition | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) | Gross <br> Countable Income (Dollars) | Net <br> Countable Income (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total <br> Deduction <br> (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SNAP <br> Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size <br> (Individuals) | Certification <br> Period <br> (Months) |
| Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 20,803 | 100.0 | 58.5 | 744 | 338 | 508 | 281 | 2.1 | 12.2 |
| Children, Elderly Individuals, or Disabled Individuals | 15,770 | 75.8 | 68.4 | 896 | 421 | 552 | 308 | 2.4 | 13.2 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 9,794 | 47.1 | 58.4 | 948 | 462 | 571 | 413 | 3.2 | 9.4 |
| Single-Adult Household | 5,477 | 26.3 | 55.5 | 832 | 389 | 530 | 395 | 2.9 | 9.4 |
| Male Adult ... | 373 | 1.8 | 53.8 | 757 | 359 | 516 | 359 | 2.6 | 9.9 |
| Female Adult | 5,104 | 24.5 | 55.6 | 837 | 391 | 531 | 398 | 3.0 | 9.4 |
| Multiple-Adult Household | 3,026 | 14.5 | 68.3 | 1,309 | 716 | 661 | 485 | 4.2 | 9.3 |
| Married Head Household | 1,873 | 9.0 | 70.3 | 1,368 | 754 | 682 | 484 | 4.3 | 9.2 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household | 1,154 | 5.5 | 64.9 | 1,213 | 655 | 628 | 487 | 4.1 | 9.6 |
| Children Only ............................. | 1,290 | 6.2 | 47.8 | 594 | 178 | 532 | 316 | 2.0 | 9.2 |
| Elderly Individuals ........................ | 3,426 | 16.5 | 84.0 | 833 | 385 | 519 | 144 | 1.3 | 19.9 |
| Living Alone | 2,730 | 13.1 | 82.2 | 743 | 305 | 500 | 122 | 1.0 | 21.3 |
| Living with Only Elderly Individuals | 319 | 1.5 | 99.2 | 1,207 | 660 | 602 | 188 | 2.0 | 17.6 |
| Living with at Least One Nonelderly Individual | 377 | 1.8 | 84.5 | 1,172 | 672 | 571 | 271 | 2.6 | 11.5 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {e .. }}$ | 4,199 | 20.2 | 82.3 | 969 | 482 | 528 | 220 | 2.0 | 15.8 |
| Living Alone | 2,382 | 11.5 | 85.1 | 769 | 289 | 514 | 124 | 1.0 | 19.5 |
| Not Living Alone ........................ | 1,816 | 8.7 | 78.5 | 1,232 | 713 | 544 | 346 | 3.3 | 10.9 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 5,033 | 24.2 | 27.7 | 270 | 88 | 375 | 195 | 1.1 | 9.2 |
| Single-Person Household .................. | 4,545 | 21.8 | 24.6 | 222 | 64 | 357 | 183 | 1.0 | 9.2 |
| Multi-Person Household ........ | 488 | 2.3 | 56.5 | 711 | 310 | 546 | 307 | 2.2 | 9.2 |
| Nondisabled Adults Age 18-49 in Childless Households ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 4,083 | 19.6 | 29.8 | 308 | 109 | 381 | 203 | 1.2 | 9.1 |
| Single-Person Household ............ | 3,730 | 17.9 | 27.5 | 270 | 91 | 366 | 192 | 1.1 | 9.1 |
| Multi-Person Household ........ | 353 | 1.7 | 53.8 | 703 | 293 | 540 | 328 | 2.3 | 9.5 |
| Single-Person Households | 10,125 | 48.7 | 55.2 | 499 | 176 | 435 | 153 | 1.0 | 14.9 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determinations, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
b Because deductions are not used in their benefit determinations, 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
c The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Individuals with missing age were assigned child or adult status based on their relationship to the household head.
e Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
$g$ These participants are subject to work requirements and a time limit.

Table A.16. Distribution of Participating Households by Countable Income Type and Household Composition

| Household Composition | Total Households |  | Countable Income Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Zero Gross Income |  | TANF Income |  | GA Income |  | SSI |  | Social Security Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20,803 | 100.0 | 6,351 | 100.0 | 4,151 | 100.0 | 1,591 | 100.0 | 844 | 100.0 | 4,195 | 100.0 | 4,660 | 100.0 |
| Children, Elderly Individuals, or Disabled Individuals | 15,770 | 75.8 | 5,141 | 81.0 | 1,433 | 34.5 | 1,550 | 97.4 | 419 | 49.6 | 4,194 | 100.0 | 4,622 | 99.2 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 9,794 | 47.1 | 4,816 | 75.8 | 1,216 | 29.3 | 1,540 | 96.8 | 182 | 21.6 | 1,118 | 26.6 | 910 | 19.5 |
| Single-Adult Household ........... | 5,477 | 26.3 | 2,201 | 34.7 | 782 | 18.8 | 956 | 60.1 | 115 | 13.7 | 635 | 15.1 | 535 | 11.5 |
| Male Adult ......................... | 373 | 1.8 | 110 | 1.7 | 80 | 1.9 | 60 | 3.8 | 9 | 1.0 | 30 | 0.7 | 59 | 1.3 |
| Female Adult | 5,104 | 24.5 | 2,091 | 32.9 | 702 | 16.9 | 896 | 56.3 | 106 | 12.6 | 605 | 14.4 | 477 | 10.2 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ....... | 3,026 | 14.5 | 1,811 | 28.5 | 277 | 6.7 | 335 | 21.1 | 49 | 5.9 | 453 | 10.8 | 351 | 7.5 |
| Married Head Household ...... Other Multiple-Adult | 1,873 | 9.0 | 1,197 | 18.8 | 180 | 4.3 | 161 | 10.1 | 22 | 2.7 | 236 | 5.6 | 190 | 4.1 |
| Household .............. | 1,154 | 5.5 | 615 | 9.7 | 97 | 2.3 | 174 | 10.9 | 27 | 3.2 | 216 | 5.2 | 162 | 3.5 |
| Children Only ........................ | 1,290 | 6.2 | 804 | 12.7 | 157 | 3.8 | 249 | 15.7 | 17 | 2.1 | 30 | 0.7 | 24 | 0.5 |
| Elderly Individuals ................. | 3,426 | 16.5 | 199 | 3.1 | 224 | 5.4 | 47 | 2.9 | 144 | 17.1 | 1,386 | 33.0 | 2,322 | 49.8 |
| Living Alone ......................... | 2,730 | 13.1 | 88 | 1.4 | 210 | 5.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 108 | 12.8 | 1,125 | 26.8 | 1,849 | 39.7 |
| Living with Only Elderly Individuals $\qquad$ | 319 | 1.5 | 33 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.1 | - | - | 20 | 2.4 | 127 | 3.0 | 213 | 4.6 |
| Living with at Least One <br> Nonelderly Individual | 377 | 1.8 | 78 | 1.2 | 9 | 0.2 | 44 | 2.8 | 16 | 1.9 | 135 | 3.2 | 260 | 5.6 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4,199 | 20.2 | 457 | 7.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 284 | 17.9 | 173 | 20.5 | 2,894 | 69.0 | 2,127 | 45.6 |
| Living Alone ......................... | 2,382 | 11.5 | 96 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 83 | 9.8 | 1,538 | 36.7 | 1,317 | 28.3 |
| Not Living Alone ................... | 1,816 | 8.7 | 360 | 5.7 | - | - | 283 | 17.8 | 90 | 10.7 | 1,356 | 32.3 | 810 | 17.4 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {d }}$.................. | 5,033 | 24.2 | 1,209 | 19.0 | 2,718 | 65.5 | 41 | 2.6 | 425 | 50.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 38 | 0.8 |
| Single-Person ........................ | 4,545 | 21.8 | 950 | 15.0 | 2,600 | 62.6 | 27 | 1.7 | 408 | 48.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 23 | 0.5 |
| Multi-Person ......................... | 488 | 2.3 | 260 | 4.1 | 118 | 2.8 | 14 | 0.9 | 17 | 2.0 | - | - | 15 | 0.3 |
| Nondisabled Adults Age 18-49 in Childless Households ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 4,083 | 19.6 | 990 | 15.6 | 2,101 | 50.6 | 41 | 2.6 | 329 | 38.9 | 127 | 3.0 | 160 | 3.4 |
| Single-Person Household ......... | 3,730 | 17.9 | 809 | 12.7 | 2,023 | 48.7 | 28 | 1.7 | 317 | 37.5 | 109 | 2.6 | 140 | 3.0 |
| Multi-Person Household .......... | 353 | 1.7 | 182 | 2.9 | 78 | 1.9 | 14 | 0.9 | 12 | 1.4 | 18 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.4 |
| Single-Person Households ....... | 10,125 | 48.7 | 1,407 | 22.2 | 2,878 | 69.3 | 121 | 7.6 | 609 | 72.1 | 2,664 | 63.5 | 3,197 | 68.6 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{a}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
b Individuals with missing age were assigned child or adult status based on their relationship to the household head.
c Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{d}$ Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
e These participants are subject to work requirements and a time limit.

- No sample households in this category.

Table A.17. Distribution of Participating Households with Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Selected Characteristics

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Household with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Children |  | School-Age Children |  | Preschool-Age Children |  | Elderly <br> Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ..................................................... | 20,803 | 100.0 | 9,794 | 100.0 | 7,304 | 100.0 | 5,153 | 100.0 | 3,426 | 100.0 | 4,199 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children ....................... | 9,794 | 47.1 | 9,794 | 100.0 | 7,304 | 100.0 | 5,153 | 100.0 | 162 | 4.7 | 1,406 | 33.5 |
| School Age | 7,304 | 35.1 | 7,304 | 74.6 | 7,304 | 100.0 | 2,663 | 51.7 | 148 | 4.3 | 1,235 | 29.4 |
| Preschool Age ..................................... | 5,153 | 24.8 | 5,153 | 52.6 | 2,663 | 36.5 | 5,153 | 100.0 | 35 | 1.0 | 491 | 11.7 |
| Elderly Individuals ................................. | 3,426 | 16.5 | 162 | 1.7 | 148 | 2.0 | 35 | 0.7 | 3,426 | 100.0 | 121 | 2.9 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$............. | 4,199 | 20.2 | 1,406 | 14.4 | 1,235 | 16.9 | 491 | 9.5 | 121 | 3.5 | 4,199 | 100.0 |
| Countable Income Source and Resources Gross Income No Gross Income | 16,652 | 80.0 | 8,578 | 87.6 | 6,546 | 89.6 | 4,443 | 86.2 | 3,202 | 93.5 | 4,198 | 100.0 |
|  | 4,151 | 20.0 | 1,216 | 12.4 | 758 | 10.4 | 710 | 13.8 | 224 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Net Income | 12,091 | 58.1 | 6,557 | 66.9 | 5,159 | 70.6 | 3,333 | 64.7 | 2,432 | 71.0 | 3,390 | 80.7 |
| No Net Income | 8,199 | 39.4 | 3,202 | 32.7 | 2,121 | 29.0 | 1,799 | 34.9 | 724 | 21.1 | 599 | 14.3 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b }}$.................................... | 513 | 2.5 | 35 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.4 | 270 | 7.9 | 210 | 5.0 |
| Earned Income | 6,351 | 30.5 | 4,816 | 49.2 | 3,596 | 49.2 | 2,678 | 52.0 | 199 | 5.8 | 457 | 10.9 |
| Unearned Income | 12,487 | 60.0 | 5,551 | 56.7 | 4,418 | 60.5 | 2,656 | 51.5 | 3,128 | 91.3 | 4,196 | 99.9 |
| TANF Income | 1,591 | 7.6 | 1,540 | 15.7 | 1,083 | 14.8 | 874 | 17.0 | 47 | 1.4 | 284 | 6.8 |
| GA Income | 844 | 4.1 | 182 | 1.9 | 143 | 2.0 | 85 | 1.6 | 144 | 4.2 | 173 | 4.1 |
| SSI | 4,195 | 20.2 | 1,118 | 11.4 | 983 | 13.5 | 408 | 7.9 | 1,386 | 40.5 | 2,894 | 68.9 |
| Social Security Income | 4,660 | 22.4 | 910 | 9.3 | 826 | 11.3 | 262 | 5.1 | 2,322 | 67.8 | 2,127 | 50.7 |
| Countable Resources ............................... | 1,220 | 5.9 | 662 | 6.8 | 508 | 7.0 | 343 | 6.7 | 239 | 7.0 | 233 | 5.6 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction | 20,296 | 97.6 | 9,765 | 99.7 | 7,284 | 99.7 | 5,138 | 99.7 | 3,156 | 92.1 | 3,989 | 95.0 |
| Standard Deduction | 20,290 | 97.5 | 9,759 | 99.6 | 7,280 | 99.7 | 5,133 | 99.6 | 3,156 | 92.1 | 3,989 | 95.0 |
| Earned Income Deduction | 6,335 | 30.5 | 4,813 | 49.1 | 3,596 | 49.2 | 2,676 | 51.9 | 197 | 5.7 | 449 | 10.7 |
| Dependent Care Deduction ...................... | 806 | 3.9 | 804 | 8.2 | 553 | 7.6 | 576 | 11.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 51 | 1.2 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction | 14,936 | 71.8 | 7,674 | 78.4 | 5,821 | 79.7 | 3,949 | 76.6 | 2,558 | 74.7 | 3,358 | 80.0 |
| Medical Deduction | 918 | 4.4 | 137 | 1.4 | 125 | 1.7 | 39 | 0.8 | 546 | 15.9 | 397 | 9.5 |
| Child Support Deduction ........................ | 417 | 2.0 | 218 | 2.2 | 171 | 2.3 | 111 | 2.2 | 21 | 0.6 | 114 | 2.7 |
| SNAP Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit or less ${ }^{\text {c }}$. | 889 | 4.3 | 82 | 0.8 | 65 | 0.9 | 21 | 0.4 | 419 | 12.2 | 295 | 7.0 |
| Greater than the Minimum - 100 | 2,102 | 10.1 | 272 | 2.8 | 202 | 2.8 | 95 | 1.8 | 887 | 25.9 | 783 | 18.7 |
| 101-200 | 8,681 | 41.7 | 1,199 | 12.2 | 772 | 10.6 | 529 | 10.3 | 1,736 | 50.7 | 1,775 | 42.3 |
| 201-300 ............................................... | 1,566 | 7.5 | 1,271 | 13.0 | 928 | 12.7 | 585 | 11.3 | 143 | 4.2 | 361 | 8.6 |
| 301 or More .......................................... | 7,566 | 36.4 | 6,968 | 71.2 | 5,337 | 73.1 | 3,923 | 76.1 | 240 | 7.0 | 985 | 23.5 |
| Minimum Benefit .................................... | 881 | 4.2 | 75 | 0.8 | 59 | 0.8 | 17 | 0.3 | 419 | 12.2 | 290 | 6.9 |
| Maximum Benefit .................................. | 8,495 | 40.8 | 3,209 | 32.8 | 2,125 | 29.1 | 1,802 | 35.0 | 873 | 25.5 | 740 | 17.6 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 .......................................................... | 10,125 | 48.7 | 476 | 4.9 | 221 | 3.0 | 255 | 4.9 | 2,730 | 79.7 | 2,382 | 56.7 |
| 2 | 4,119 | 19.8 | 2,912 | 29.7 | 1,737 | 23.8 | 1,368 | 26.5 | 551 | 16.1 | 709 | 16.9 |
| 3 .......................................................... | 2,971 | 14.3 | 2,837 | 29.0 | 2,113 | 28.9 | 1,440 | 28.0 | 85 | 2.5 | 470 | 11.2 |
| 4 .......................................................... | 2,006 | 9.6 | 1,989 | 20.3 | 1,715 | 23.5 | 1,084 | 21.0 | 36 | 1.1 | 317 | 7.6 |
| 5 ........................................................... | 988 | 4.7 | 986 | 10.1 | 933 | 12.8 | 586 | 11.4 | 16 | 0.5 | 181 | 4.3 |
| 6+ ........................................................ | 594 | 2.9 | 594 | 6.1 | 585 | 8.0 | 420 | 8.1 | 8 | 0.2 | 139 | 3.3 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.

[^18]Table A.18. Average Values of Selected Characteristics for Participating Households with Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals

| Household Characteristic | Average Values for Households with: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Children | School-Age Children | Preschool-Age Children | Elderly Individuals | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income .............................. | 744 | 948 | 1,025 | 915 | 833 | 969 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$............................... | 338 | 462 | 517 | 431 | 385 | 482 |
| Earned Income ............................... | 312 | 561 | 586 | 599 | 41 | 86 |
| Unearned Income .......................... | 432 | 387 | 439 | 316 | 792 | 884 |
| TANF Income ............................. | 30 | 63 | 61 | 72 | 5 | 22 |
| GA Income ................................. | 10 | 7 | 8 |  | 8 | 7 |
| SSI ........................................... | 112 | 79 | 95 | 55 | 189 | 411 |
| Social Security Income ................... | 170 | 67 | 82 | 33 | 536 | 384 |
| Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guildeline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income .............................. | 58.5 | 58.4 | 60.8 | 54.5 | 84.0 | 82.3 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$........... | 24.3 | 27.3 | 29.6 | 24.3 | 34.5 | 35.8 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deductionc .......................... | 508 | 571 | 585 | 575 | 519 | 528 |
| Earned Income Deduction ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 64 | 112 | 117 | 120 | 9 | 18 |
| Over Households with Deduction .......... | 205 | 228 | 238 | 231 | 143 | 160 |
| Dependent Care Deduction ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 9 | 18 |  | 26 | 0 |  |
| Over Households with Deduction | 223 | 223 | 231 | 228 | 694 | 240 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {f }}$.... | 277 | 282 | 288 | 269 | 340 | 341 |
| Over Households with Deduction ........ | 376 | 358 | 360 | 350 | 419 | 405 |
| Medical Deductione ....................... | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 27 | 13 |
| Over Households with Deduction ......... | 141 | 113 | 112 | 97 | 151 | 132 |
| Child Support Deduction ${ }^{\text {f }}$... | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| Over Households with Deduction ......... | 245 | 269 | 272 | 292 | 154 | 177 |
| SNAP Benefit | 281 | 413 | 433 | 442 | 144 | 220 |
| Household Size ................................... | 2.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Certification Period | 12.2 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 19.9 | 15.8 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this category.
${ }^{c}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 479,294 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this category.
${ }^{d}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 644,511 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this category.
e Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 33,612 MFIP households and 644,511 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this category.
${ }^{f}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this category.

Table A.19. Distribution of Participating Households with Countable Earned and Unearned Income by Selected Characteristics


Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{a}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^19]- No sample households in this category.

Table A.20. Average Values of Selected Characteristics for Participating Households with Countable Earned and Unearned Income

| Household Characteristic | Average Values for Households with: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Countable <br> Earned Income | Countable <br> Unearned Income | Countable TANF Income | Countable GA Income |
| Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income | 744 | 1,197 | 875 | 725 | 526 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 338 | 555 | 415 | 316 | 180 |
| Earned Income | 312 | 1,022 | 154 | 138 | 54 |
| Unearned Income ................................ | 432 | 176 | 720 | 587 | 472 |
| TANF Income .................................... | 30 | 20 | 50 | 396 | 3 |
| GA Income ........................................ | 10 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 235 |
| SSI ................................................... | 112 | 34 | 186 | 107 | 141 |
| Social Security Income ....................... | 170 | 37 | 284 | 44 | 76 |
| Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income .................................... | 58.5 | 79.9 | 72.3 | 46.8 | 46.1 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$..................................... | 24.3 | 34.5 | 30.9 | 19.0 | 13.6 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction ${ }^{\text {b }}$................................ | 508 | 691 | 516 | 466 | 492 |
| Earned Income Deduction ${ }^{\text {c }}$................... | 64 | 204 | 33 | 28 | 11 |
| Over Households with Deduction .......... | 205 | 205 | 177 | 123 | 156 |
| Dependent Care Deduction ${ }^{\text {d }}$................. | 9 | 26 | 7 | 3 | 1 |
| Over Households with Deduction .......... | 223 | 229 | 222 | 133 | 228 |
| Excess Shelter Deductione .................... | 277 | 299 | 314 | 283 | 329 |
| Over Households with Deduction .......... | 376 | 358 | 380 | 341 | 405 |
| Medical Deduction ${ }^{\text {d }}$............................ | 6 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 1 |
| Over Households with Deduction .......... | 141 | 172 | 139 | 234 | 109 |
| Child Support Deductione ${ }^{\text {e }}$.................... | 5 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Over Households with Deduction .......... | 245 | 266 | 223 | 249 | 145 |
| SNAP Benefit ......................................... | 281 | 330 | 260 | 427 | 236 |
| Household Size ....................................... | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 1.5 |
| Certification Period ............................... | 12.2 | 9.3 | 14.2 | 10.7 | 13.2 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this category.
b Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 479,294 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this category.
${ }^{c}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 644,511 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this category.

[^20]Table A.21. Distribution of Participating Households With Selected Household Characteristics by the Race/Hispanic Status of the Household Head

| Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{a}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  | Countable TANF Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ............................................... | 20,803 | 100.0 | 9,794 | 100.0 | 3,426 | 100.0 | 4,199 | 100.0 | 6,351 | 100.0 | 1,591 | 100.0 |
| Race and Hispanic Status ${ }^{b}$ of Household Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, not Hispanic ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7,613 | 36.6 | 3,171 | 32.4 | 1,356 | 39.6 | 1,802 | 42.9 | 2,210 | 34.8 | 408 | 25.6 |
| African American, not Hispanic ${ }^{\text {d }}$....... | 4,742 | 22.8 | 2,091 | 21.3 | 622 | 18.2 | 1,049 | 25.0 | 1,221 | 19.2 | 404 | 25.4 |
| Hispanic, Any Race ${ }^{\text {e }}$........................ | 2,001 | 9.6 | 1,113 | 11.4 | 357 | 10.4 | 316 | 7.5 | 704 | 11.1 | 211 | 13.3 |
| Asian, not Hispanic ${ }^{\text {f }}$........................ | 491 | 2.4 | 190 | 1.9 | 201 | 5.9 | 43 | 1.0 | 152 | 2.4 | 42 | 2.6 |
| Native American, not Hispanicg ........ | 693 | 3.3 | 259 | 2.6 | 207 | 6.1 | 110 | 2.6 | 168 | 2.6 | 45 | 2.8 |
| Multiple Races Reported, not Hispanic ${ }^{\text {h }}$ $\qquad$ | 26 | 0.1 | 12 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Race Unknown ${ }^{\text {i }}$............................... | 3,820 | 18.4 | 1,559 | 15.9 | 676 | 19.7 | 837 | 19.9 | 993 | 15.6 | 206 | 13.0 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {j ... }}$ | 1,417 | 6.8 | 1,399 | 14.3 | 3 | 0.1 | 39 | 0.9 | 893 | 14.1 | 274 | 17.2 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b New codes to allow reporting of multiple races were implemented beginning in April 2007. We have grouped the new codes together to form general race and ethnicity categories. Reporting of race and ethnicity is voluntary under the new format and was missing for 21 percent of participants in FY 2011. Because of these changes, FY 2011 race and ethnicity distributions are not comparable to distributions for years prior to FY 2007.
c This category includes the following values: White; White, not of Hispanic origin.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ This category includes the following values: Black or African American; (Black or African American) and White; Black, not of Hispanic origin.
e This category includes the following values: Hispanic; (Hispanic or Latino) and (American Indian or Alaska Native); (Hispanic or Latino) and Asian; (Hispanic or Latino) and (Black or African American); (Hispanic or Latino) and (Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander); (Hispanic or Latino) and White; (Hispanic or Latino) and (American Indian or Alaska Native) and White; (Hispanic or Latino) and Asian and White; (Hispanic or Latino) and (Black or African American); (Hispanic or Latino) and (American Indian or Alaska Native) and (Black or African American); (Hispanic or Latino) and Respondent reported more than one race and does not fit into the listed values.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ This category includes the following values: Asian; Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander; Asian and White; Asian or Pacific Islander.
g This category includes the following values: American Indian or Alaska Native; (American Indian or Alaska Native) and White; (American Indian or Alaska Native) and (Black or African American); American Indian or Alaska Native (old value).
${ }^{h}$ This category includes households with household heads that reported more than one race but that do not fit into any previous category.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ This category includes the following values: Unknown; Not recorded on the application for this individual; The application was not found during the QC review, therefore racial/ethnic data is not available.
j This category includes some households with no household head and no adult listed on the file.

Table A.22. Distribution of Participating Households By Presence of a Household Member with Selected Characteristics

| Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number$(000)$ | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  | Countable TANF Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ..................................................... | 20,803 | 100.0 | 9,794 | 100.0 | 3,426 | 100.0 | 4,199 | 100.0 | 6,351 | 100.0 | 1,591 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. Born Citizen .................................. | 19,509 | 93.8 | 9,644 | 98.5 | 2,637 | 77.0 | 4,059 | 96.7 | 6,131 | 96.5 | 1,557 | 97.9 |
| Naturalized Citizen ................................. | 1,103 | 5.3 | 417 | 4.3 | 525 | 15.3 | 144 | 3.4 | 309 | 4.9 | 58 | 3.7 |
| Refugee ................................................ | 144 | 0.7 | 78 | 0.8 | 28 | 0.8 | 9 | 0.2 | 52 | 0.8 | 28 | 1.8 |
| Legal Permanent Resident ...................... | 1,072 | 5.2 | 567 | 5.8 | 333 | 9.7 | 115 | 2.7 | 473 | 7.4 | 59 | 3.7 |
| Unknown ............................................. | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Citizen Children Living with Participating Noncitizen Adults $\qquad$ | 502 | 2.4 | 502 | 5.1 | 19 | 0.6 | 55 | 1.3 | 325 | 5.1 | 63 | 3.9 |
| Citizen Children Living with Nonparticipating Noncitizen Adults $\qquad$ | 1,315 | 6.3 | 1,315 | 13.4 | 3 | 0.1 | 36 | 0.9 | 917 | 14.4 | 259 | 16.3 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{a}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

- No sample households in this category.

Table A.23. Gender and SNAP Benefits of Participants by Selected Demographic Characteristic

| Participant Characteristic | Total Participants |  | Female Participants |  | Male Participants |  | Pro-rated Benefits ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total | 44,148 | 100.0 | 24,936 | 56.5 | 19,212 | 43.5 | 5,838,193 | 100.0 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child | 19,927 | 45.1 | 9,809 | 22.2 | 10,118 | 22.9 | 2,580,620 | 44.2 |
| Preschool (4 or Less) | 6,780 | 15.4 | 3,313 | 7.5 | 3,466 | 7.9 | 928,628 | 15.9 |
| School Age (5-17) ............................ | 13,147 | 29.8 | 6,496 | 14.7 | 6,651 | 15.1 | 1,651,992 | 28.3 |
| Nonelderly Adult .............................. | 20,452 | 46.3 | 12,643 | 28.6 | 7,809 | 17.7 | 2,824,272 | 48.4 |
| 18-35 | 10,617 | 24.0 | 6,947 | 15.7 | 3,670 | 8.3 | 1,482,522 | 25.4 |
| 36-59 | 9,835 | 22.3 | 5,695 | 12.9 | 4,139 | 9.4 | 1,341,750 | 23.0 |
| Elderly Individual (60 or More) .......... | 3,770 | 8.5 | 2,485 | 5.6 | 1,285 | 2.9 | 433,301 | 7.4 |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. Born Citizen | 41,007 | 92.9 | 23,011 | 52.1 | 17,996 | 40.8 | 5,418,614 | 92.8 |
| Naturalized Citizen | 1,379 | 3.1 | 893 | 2.0 | 486 | 1.1 | 184,586 | 3.2 |
| Refugee .......................................... | 349 | 0.8 | 186 | 0.4 | 164 | 0.4 | 46,430 | 0.8 |
| Other Noncitizen .............................. | 1,412 | 3.2 | 846 | 1.9 | 566 | 1.3 | 188,460 | 3.2 |
| Unknown ....................................... | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 104 | 0.0 |
| Citizen Children Living with <br> Noncitizen Adults ${ }^{c}$ | 3,741 | 8.5 | 1,785 | 4.0 | 1,956 | 4.4 | 529,016 | 9.1 |
| Nondisabled Adults Age 18-49 in Childless Households ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4,486 | 10.2 | 1,927 | 4.4 | 2,559 | 5.8 | 778,211 | 13.3 |
| Race and Hispanic Status ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, not Hispanic ${ }^{\text {f }}$........................ | 15,255 | 34.6 | 8,685 | 19.7 | 6,570 | 14.9 | 1,930,409 | 33.1 |
| African American, not Hispanicg ....... | 10,160 | 23.0 | 5,918 | 13.4 | 4,242 | 9.6 | 1,371,781 | 23.5 |
| Hispanic, Any Race ${ }^{\text {h }}$........................ | 6,814 | 15.4 | 3,707 | 8.4 | 3,107 | 7.0 | 928,238 | 15.9 |
| Asian, not Hispanic ${ }^{\text {i }}$......................... | 1,138 | 2.6 | 636 | 1.4 | 502 | 1.1 | 163,058 | 2.8 |
| Native American, not Hispanicj ......... | 1,587 | 3.6 | 902 | 2.0 | 685 | 1.6 | 216,840 | 3.7 |
| Multiple Races Reported, not Hispanick $\qquad$ | 107 | 0.2 | 59 | 0.1 | 48 | 0.1 | 16,150 | 0.3 |
| Race Unknown ${ }^{1}$............................... | 9,087 | 20.6 | 5,029 | 11.4 | 4,058 | 9.2 | 1,211,716 | 20.8 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percent of all participants.
b Pro-rated benefits equal the benefits paid to households multiplied by the ratio of participants with selected characteristic to total household size.
c Noncitizens may be inside or outside the SNAP unit.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ These participants are subject to work requirements and a time limit.
e New codes to allow reporting of multiple races were implemented beginning in April 2007. We have grouped the new codes together to form general race and ethnicity categories. Reporting of race and ethnicity is voluntary under the new format and was missing for 21 percent of participants in FY 2011. Because of these changes, FY 2011 race and ethnicity distributions are not comparable to distributions for years prior to FY 2007.
${ }^{f}$ This category includes the following values: White; White, not of Hispanic origin.
g This category includes the following values: Black or African American; (Black or African American) and White; Black, not of Hispanic origin.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ This category includes the following values: Hispanic; (Hispanic or Latino) and (American Indian or Alaska Native); (Hispanic or Latino) and Asian; (Hispanic or Latino) and (Black or African American); (Hispanic or Latino) and (Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander); (Hispanic or Latino) and White; (Hispanic or Latino) and (American Indian or Alaska Native) and White; (Hispanic or Latino) and Asian and White; (Hispanic or Latino) and (Black or African American); (Hispanic or Latino) and (American Indian or Alaska Native) and (Black or African American); (Hispanic or Latino) and Respondent reported more than one race and does not fit into the listed values.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ This category includes the following values: Asian; Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander; Asian and White; Asian or Pacific Islander.
j This category includes the following values: American Indian or Alaska Native; (American Indian or Alaska Native) and White; (American Indian or Alaska Native) and (Black or African American); American Indian or Alaska Native (old value).

[^21]Table A.24. Distribution of Participants by Thrifty Food Plan Sex-Age Groups and Household Size

| Participant Characteristic | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (000) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| Total ............................... | 44,148 | 10,125 | 8,238 | 8,914 | 8,025 | 4,941 | 2,236 | 1031 | 640 |
| Children Under Age 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-2 years .... | 3,975 | 160 | 894 | 1,113 | 893 | 515 | 212 | 120 | 69 |
| 3-5 years ........................ | 4,123 | 123 | 800 | 1,084 | 1,021 | 603 | 281 | 130 | 82 |
| 6-8 years ......................... | 3,502 | 63 | 499 | 837 | 959 | 647 | 293 | 132 | 72 |
| 9-11 years ...................... | 3,289 | 42 | 402 | 769 | 917 | 605 | 311 | 151 | 91 |
| Females | 24,936 | 5,261 | 5,120 | 5,264 | 4,547 | 2,695 | 1,191 | 522 | 336 |
| 0-2 years ..... | 1,941 | 74 | 439 | 527 | 448 | 249 | 107 | 61 | 37 |
| 3-5 years... | 2,006 | 58 | 382 | 524 | 498 | 317 | 132 | 54 | 40 |
| 6-8 years ... | 1,687 | 20 | 225 | 391 | 476 | 324 | 149 | 64 | 38 |
| 9-11 years ..... | 1,664 | 25 | 200 | 375 | 477 | 306 | 166 | 67 | 48 |
| 12-14 years ..................... | 1,365 | 25 | 176 | 338 | 353 | 265 | 116 | 52 | 40 |
| 15-19 years ..................... | 1,836 | 140 | 355 | 460 | 385 | 236 | 146 | 67 | 47 |
| 20-50 years ..................... | 10,165 | 1,911 | 2,452 | 2,440 | 1,804 | 964 | 358 | 153 | 83 |
| 51+ years ........................ | 4,272 | 3,008 | 892 | 209 | 105 | 34 | 16 | 6 | 3 |
| Males | 19,212 | 4,864 | 3,118 | 3,650 | 3,478 | 2,246 | 1,045 | 508 | 304 |
| 0-2 years | 2,034 | 85 | 455 | 586 | 445 | 266 | 104 | 59 | 33 |
| 3-5 years | 2,117 | 65 | 418 | 559 | 522 | 286 | 148 | 76 | 42 |
| 6-8 years ........................ | 1,814 | 43 | 274 | 445 | 483 | 323 | 144 | 68 | 33 |
| 9-11 years ...................... | 1,625 | 17 | 203 | 394 | 439 | 300 | 145 | 85 | 43 |
| 12-14 years ..................... | 1,350 | 17 | 191 | 327 | 339 | 237 | 130 | 65 | 44 |
| 15-19 years .................... | 1,767 | 115 | 312 | 437 | 406 | 274 | 118 | 54 | 51 |
| 20-50 years .................... | 5,774 | 2,746 | 604 | 747 | 766 | 520 | 243 | 95 | 53 |
| $51+$ years .......................... | 2,730 | 1,775 | 661 | 154 | 76 | 40 | 12 | 6 | 6 |

[^22]Table A.25. Distribution of Household Heads, All Participants, and Nonelderly Adult Participants by Work Registration Status and Employment Status


Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.

[^23][^24]Table A.26. Comparison of Participating Households with Key SNAP Household Characteristics for Fiscal Years 1989 to $2011^{\text {a }}$

| Time Period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Households } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percentage of Households with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Zero Gross Income | Zero Net Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Minimum Benefit | Elderly Individuals | Children | Disabled Individuals ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | AFDC/ TANF | Earnings | SSI | Any Noncitizen |
| Fiscal Year 1989 | 7,217 | 7.1 | 18.3 | 7.5 | 19.3 | 60.4 | 9.1 | 41.9 | 19.6 | 20.6 | 9.8 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 | 7,811 | 7.4 | 19.3 | 5.0 | 18.1 | 60.3 | 8.9 | 42.0 | 19.0 | 19.6 | 10.3 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 | 8,863 | 8.3 | 20.5 | 4.1 | 16.5 | 60.4 | 9.0 | 40.5 | 19.8 | 18.6 | 11.8 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 | 10,059 | 9.6 | 21.9 | 3.6 | 15.4 | 62.2 | 9.5 | 39.5 | 20.2 | 18.4 | 10.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 | 10,791 | 9.7 | 23.7 | 4.0 | 15.5 | 62.1 | 10.7 | 39.4 | 20.6 | 19.4 | 11.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 | 11,091 | 10.2 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 15.8 | 61.1 | 12.5 | 38.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 10.7 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 | 10,883 | 9.7 | 25.0 | 4.3 | 16.0 | 59.7 | 18.9 | 38.3 | 21.4 | 22.6 | 10.7 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 | 10,552 | 10.2 | 24.9 | 4.5 | 16.2 | 59.5 | 20.2 | 36.6 | 22.5 | 24.1 | 10.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 | 9,452 | 9.2 | 22.7 | 6.6 | 17.6 | 58.3 | 22.3 | 34.6 | 24.2 | 26.5 | 8.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 | 8,246 | 8.8 | 20.8 | 8.3 | 18.2 | 58.3 | 24.4 | 31.4 | 26.3 | 28.1 | 4.3 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 | 7,670 | 8.5 | 20.6 | 9.7 | 20.1 | 55.7 | 26.4 | 27.3 | 26.8 | 30.2 | 6.0 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 | 7,335 | 8.4 | 20.1 | 10.9 | 21.0 | 53.9 | 27.5 | 25.8 | 27.2 | 31.7 | 6.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2001 | 7,450 | 9.4 | 22.2 | 11.2 | 20.4 | 53.6 | 27.7 | 23.1 | 27.0 | 31.8 | 5.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2002 | 8,201 | 10.5 | 24.3 | 10.7 | 18.7 | 54.1 | 27.0 | 20.9 | 28.0 | 29.5 | 5.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2003 | 8,971 | 12.7 | 27.7 | 7.0 | 17.1 | 55.1 | 22.1 | 17.2 | 27.5 | 26.3 | 5.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2004 | 10,069 | 13.1 | 29.7 | 5.9 | 17.3 | 54.3 | 22.7 | 16.2 | 28.5 | 26.8 | 6.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2005 | 10,852 | 13.7 | 30.0 | 5.2 | 17.1 | 53.7 | 23.0 | 14.5 | 29.1 | 26.4 | 6.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2006 | 11,313 | 14.1 | 31.0 | 6.2 | 17.9 | 52.0 | 23.1 | 13.0 | 29.5 | 26.8 | 6.1 |
| Fiscal Year 2007 | 11,561 | 14.7 | 31.4 | 6.6 | 17.8 | 51.0 | 23.8 | 12.1 | 29.6 | 27.7 | 5.7 |
| Fiscal Year 2008 | 12,464 | 16.2 | 33.6 | 6.7 | 18.5 | 50.6 | 22.6 | 10.6 | 28.9 | 26.2 | 5.6 |
| Fiscal Year 2009 | 14,981 | 17.6 | 36.0 | 4.1 | 16.6 | 49.9 | 21.2 | 9.7 | 29.4 | 23.6 | 5.9 |
| Fiscal Year 2010 | 18,369 | 19.7 | 38.3 | 3.8 | 15.5 | 48.7 | 19.8 | 8.0 | 29.9 | 20.9 | 5.9 |
| Fiscal Year 2011 | 20,803 | 20.0 | 39.4 | 4.2 | 16.5 | 47.1 | 20.2 | 7.6 | 30.5 | 20.2 | 5.8 |

Source: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control samples.
Note: Beginning with 2003, the weighting of the SNAP QC data reflects adjustments to FNS' Program Operations counts of households to account for receipt of benefits in error or for disaster assistance. Beginning with 2005, the weighting process was revised so that weighted SNAP QC data match adjusted Program Operations counts of households, individuals, and benefit amounts. Beginning with the 2009 report, we extended this methodology to the 2003 and 2004 data. We also incorporated corrected SNAP Program Operations data from Missouri for every year from 2003 to 2008 . Therefore, statistics for these years may vary slightly from those presented in reports prior to 2009.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fiscal year analysis files were not developed for the years before 1989.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Beginning in 2004, net income is not calculated for MFIP households or SSI- CAP households in States that use standardized SSI- CAP benefits.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The substantial increase in 1995 and decrease in 2003 are in part a result of the changes in definition of a disabled household. Prior to 1995 , disabled households were defined as households with SSI but no members over age 59. In 1995, that definition changed to households with at least one member under age 65 who received SSI, or at least one member age 18 to 61 who received Social Security, veterans benefits, or other government benefits as a result of a disability. Due to changes in the SNAP QC data in 2003, the definition of a disabled household changed again, to households with either SSI income or a medical expense deduction and without an elderly person, and households with a nonelderly adult who does not appear to be working and is receiving Social Security, veterans benefits, or workers' compensation.

Table A.27. Comparison of Average Nominal and Real Values of Key SNAP Household Characteristics for Fiscal Years 1989 to 2011

| Time Period | Gross Income (Dollars) |  | Net Income (Dollars)a |  | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | SNAP Benefit (Dollars) |  | Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guidelines (Percent) | Household Size (Persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 1989 | 442 | 802 | 247 | 448 | 216 | 392 | 132 | 240 | 60 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 | 453 | 780 | 251 | 432 | 225 | 387 | 150 | 256 | 59 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 | 464 | 766 | 253 | 418 | 235 | 388 | 162 | 270 | 58 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 | 478 | 766 | 258 | 414 | 250 | 401 | 170 | 281 | 57 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 | 490 | 763 | 258 | 402 | 262 | 408 | 170 | 274 | 56 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 | 507 | 770 | 268 | 407 | 272 | 413 | 168 | 264 | 57 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 | 514 | 759 | 265 | 391 | 283 | 418 | 172 | 261 | 56 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 | 528 | 757 | 275 | 394 | 287 | 411 | 174 | 255 | 57 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 | 558 | 782 | 299 | 419 | 291 | 408 | 169 | 242 | 58 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 | 584 | 806 | 321 | 443 | 294 | 406 | 165 | 232 | 60 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 | 603 | 814 | 338 | 456 | 299 | 404 | 162 | 223 | 62 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 | 620 | 810 | 355 | 464 | 298 | 389 | 158 | 213 | 63 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2001 | 624 | 793 | 353 | 448 | 311 | 395 | 163 | 213 | 62 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2002 | 633 | 796 | 355 | 446 | 324 | 407 | 173 | 223 | 61 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2003 | 608 | 743 | 317 | 388 | 346 | 423 | 192 | 242 | 57 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2004 | 634 | 755 | 312 | 372 | 382 | 455 | 197 | 239 | 58 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2005 | 644 | 742 | 316 | 364 | 390 | 449 | 209 | 249 | 58 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2006 | 668 | 745 | 323 | 360 | 410 | 457 | 208 | 244 | 59 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2007 | 684 | 742 | 325 | 353 | 430 | 466 | 212 | 238 | 59 | 2.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2008 | 693 | 724 | 329 | 344 | 441 | 461 | 222 | 235 | 58 | 2.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2009 | 711 | 745 | 329 | 345 | 471 | 494 | 272 | 286 | 58 | 2.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2010 | 731 | 754 | 336 | 347 | 491 | 506 | 287 | 301 | 57 | 2.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2011 | 744 | 744 | 338 | 338 | 508 | 508 | 281 | 281 | 59 | 2.1 |

Source of CPI- U values: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Source of nominal values: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control samples.
Note: Beginning with 2003, the weighting of the SNAP QC data reflects adjustments to FNS' Program Operations counts of households to account for receipt of benefits in error or for disaster assistance. Beginning with 2005, the weighting process was revised so that weighted SNAP QC data match adjusted Program Operations counts of households, individuals, and benefit amounts. Beginning with the 2009 report, we extended this methodology to the 2003 and 2004 data. We also incorporated corrected SNAP Program Operations data from Missouri for every year from 2003 to 2008. Therefore, statistics for these years may vary slightly from those presented in reports prior to 2009.
${ }^{a}$ Beginning in 2004, net income is not calculated for MFIP households or SSI- CAP households in States with standardized SSI- CAP benefit amounts.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Some of the change in average total deductions and average net income between 2003 and 2004 may be attributable to two changes in the SNAP QC datafile development process. First, we revised the way certain deductions are calculated to correct for inconsistencies and data entry errors. Second, given that deductions are not used in their benefit determination, SSI- CAP participants in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from the average total deduction calculation beginning in 2004.
cReal values are in constant 2011 dollars adjusted by changes in the CPI- $U$ for all items.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Real values are in constant 2011 dollars adjusted by changes in the CPI- U for food at home.

Table A.28. Comparison of Number of SNAP Participants by Gender and Age for Fiscal Years 1989 to 2011

| Time Period | Total Participants (000) | Female Participants (000) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ \text { Participants } \\ (000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Children } \\ \text { (Ages 0-17) } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Nonelderly Adults (Age 18-59) (000) | Elderly Individuals (Age 60+) (000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year 1989 .......... | 18,956 | 11,334 | 7,612 | 9,447 | 7,623 | 1,562 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 .......... | 20,440 | 12,169 | 8,265 | 10,143 | 8,245 | 1,574 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 .......... | 22,988 | 13,679 | 9,300 | 11,967 | 9,397 | 1,624 |
| Fiscal Year 1992. | 25,775 | 15,204 | 10,566 | 13,368 | 10,700 | 1,703 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 .......... | 27,595 | 16,276 | 11,316 | 14,213 | 11,499 | 1,870 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 .......... | 28,009 | 16,453 | 11,552 | 14,410 | 11,615 | 1,955 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 .......... | 26,955 | 16,025 | 10,926 | 13,883 | 11,118 | 1,923 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 ........... | 25,926 | 15,373 | 10,549 | 13,214 | 10,783 | 1,895 |
| Fiscal Year 1997. | 23,117 | 13,880 | 9,233 | 11,871 | 9,385 | 1,834 |
| Fiscal Year 1998. | 19,969 | 11,967 | 7,926 | 10,546 | 7,772 | 1,637 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 | 18,149 | 10,878 | 7,226 | 9,354 | 7,090 | 1,699 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 .......... | 17,091 | 10,198 | 6,891 | 8,765 | 6,623 | 1,702 |
| Fiscal Year 2001 | 17,297 | 10,347 | 6,949 | 8,841 | 6,789 | 1,660 |
| Fiscal Year 2002 .......... | 19,041 | 11,269 | 7,769 | 9,712 | 7,636 | 1,687 |
| Fiscal Year 2003 | 20,764 | 12,211 | 8,552 | 10,554 | 8,516 | 1,691 |
| Fiscal Year 2004 ..... | 23,279 | 13,697 | 9,573 | 11,635 | 9,720 | 1,920 |
| Fiscal Year 2005 | 24,794 | 14,656 | 10,132 | 12,363 | 10,383 | 2,046 |
| Fiscal Year 2006 | 25,472 | 14,957 | 10,515 | 12,514 | 10,732 | 2,227 |
| Fiscal Year 2007 | 25,775 | 15,120 | 10,655 | 12,605 | 10,909 | 2,261 |
| Fiscal Year 2008 .......... | 27,607 | 16,151 | 11,456 | 13,359 | 11,732 | 2,514 |
| Fiscal Year 2009 .......... | 32,889 | 18,854 | 14,035 | 15,617 | 14,544 | 2,728 |
| Fiscal Year 2010 .......... | 39,759 | 22,405 | 17,354 | 18,516 | 18,121 | 3,121 |
| Fiscal Year 2011 .......... | 44,148 | 24,936 | 19,212 | 19,927 | 20,452 | 3,770 |

Source: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control samples.
Note: Beginning with 2003, the weighting of the SNAP QC data reflects adjustments to FNS' Program Operations counts of households to account for receipt of benefits in error or for disaster assistance. Beginning with 2005, the weighting process was revised so that weighted SNAP QC data match adjusted Program Operations counts of households, individuals, and benefit amounts. Beginning with the 2009 report, we extended this methodology to the 2003 and 2004 data. We also incorporated corrected SNAP Program Operations data from Missouri for every year from 2003 to 2008. Therefore, statistics for these years may vary slightly from those presented in reports prior to 2009.

## APPENDIX B

DETAILED TABLES OF SNAP HOUSEHOLDS BY STATE

This page has been intentionally left blank for double-sided copying.

Table B.1. Distribution of Participating Households, Individuals, and Benefits by State

| State | SNAP Households |  | Participants in Households |  | Monthly SNAP Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number $(000)$ <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Dollars (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20,803 | 100.0 | 44,148 | 100.0 | 5,838,193 | 100.0 |
| Alabama | 377 | 1.8 | 855 | 1.9 | 110,705 | 1.9 |
| Alaska | 35 | 0.2 | 86 | 0.2 | 14,657 | 0.3 |
| Arizona | 456 | 2.2 | 1,049 | 2.4 | 133,408 | 2.3 |
| Arkansas .............................. | 205 | 1.0 | 474 | 1.1 | 57,925 | 1.0 |
| California ............................ | 1,603 | 7.7 | 3,656 | 8.3 | 538,308 | 9.2 |
| Colorado ........................... | 197 | 0.9 | 447 | 1.0 | 62,368 | 1.1 |
| Connecticut ......................... | 201 | 1.0 | 369 | 0.8 | 51,690 | 0.9 |
| Delaware | 61 | 0.3 | 133 | 0.3 | 16,852 | 0.3 |
| District of Columbia ............. | 76 | 0.4 | 134 | 0.3 | 18,963 | 0.3 |
| Florida . | 1,659 | 8.0 | 3,075 | 7.0 | 427,056 | 7.3 |
| Georgia ............................... | 781 | 3.8 | 1,760 | 4.0 | 237,574 | 4.1 |
| Guam .................................. | 12 | 0.1 | 40 | 0.1 | 8,510 | 0.1 |
| Hawaii | 79 | 0.4 | 158 | 0.4 | 33,796 | 0.6 |
| Idaho | 95 | 0.5 | 226 | 0.5 | 29,722 | 0.5 |
| Illinois | 852 | 4.1 | 1,780 | 4.0 | 245,626 | 4.2 |
| Indiana ................................ | 375 | 1.8 | 865 | 2.0 | 113,257 | 1.9 |
| Iowa ..... | 171 | 0.8 | 371 | 0.8 | 45,839 | 0.8 |
| Kansas | 136 | 0.7 | 294 | 0.7 | 36,725 | 0.6 |
| Kentucky .. | 374 | 1.8 | 811 | 1.8 | 101,507 | 1.7 |
| Louisiana ............................ | 381 | 1.8 | 870 | 2.0 | 111,590 | 1.9 |
| Maine | 126 | 0.6 | 246 | 0.6 | 31,141 | 0.5 |
| Maryland | 325 | 1.6 | 661 | 1.5 | 82,359 | 1.4 |
| Massachusetts | 443 | 2.1 | 807 | 1.8 | 103,921 | 1.8 |
| Michigan ...... | 964 | 4.6 | 1,924 | 4.4 | 258,634 | 4.4 |
| Minnesota ............................ | 243 | 1.2 | 498 | 1.1 | 56,445 | 1.0 |
| Mississippi .......................... | 269 | 1.3 | 613 | 1.4 | 75,018 | 1.3 |
| Missouri | 427 | 2.1 | 930 | 2.1 | 115,516 | 2.0 |
| Montana .. | 56 | 0.3 | 123 | 0.3 | 15,839 | 0.3 |
| Nebraska | 75 | 0.4 | 171 | 0.4 | 20,931 | 0.4 |
| Nevada | 154 | 0.7 | 328 | 0.7 | 40,372 | 0.7 |
| New Hampshire ................... | 53 | 0.3 | 112 | 0.3 | 13,129 | 0.2 |
| New Jersey ..................... | 367 | 1.8 | 749 | 1.7 | 97,886 | 1.7 |
| New Mexico ......................... | 177 | 0.8 | 410 | 0.9 | 51,223 | 0.9 |
| New York | 1,573 | 7.6 | 2,957 | 6.7 | 431,537 | 7.4 |
| North Carolina | 724 | 3.5 | 1,564 | 3.5 | 191,743 | 3.3 |
| North Dakota | 27 | 0.1 | 60 | 0.1 | 7,679 | 0.1 |
| Ohio | 837 | 4.0 | 1,760 | 4.0 | 243,442 | 4.2 |
| Oklahoma | 267 | 1.3 | 605 | 1.4 | 77,198 | 1.3 |
| Oregon ..... | 416 | 2.0 | 762 | 1.7 | 95,834 | 1.6 |
| Pennsylvania ....................... | 812 | 3.9 | 1,707 | 3.9 | 215,989 | 3.7 |
| Rhode Island | 85 | 0.4 | 156 | 0.4 | 21,450 | 0.4 |
| South Carolina ..................... | 385 | 1.9 | 834 | 1.9 | 108,651 | 1.9 |
| South Dakota | 43 | 0.2 | 100 | 0.2 | 13,374 | 0.2 |
| Tennessee | 590 | 2.8 | 1,231 | 2.8 | 162,976 | 2.8 |
| Texas .................................. | 1,601 | 7.7 | 3,947 | 8.9 | 489,158 | 8.4 |
| Utah ................................... | 110 | 0.5 | 280 | 0.6 | 32,978 | 0.6 |
| Vermont .............................. | 45 | 0.2 | 89 | 0.2 | 10,620 | 0.2 |
| Virgin Islands ....................... | 9 | 0.0 | 22 | 0.1 | 3,924 | 0.1 |
| Virginia .............................. | 399 | 1.9 | 843 | 1.9 | 107,992 | 1.8 |
| Washington ......................... | 535 | 2.6 | 1,040 | 2.4 | 129,797 | 2.2 |
| West Virginia ...................... | 156 | 0.8 | 336 | 0.8 | 39,626 | 0.7 |
| Wisconsin ............................ | 369 | 1.8 | 798 | 1.8 | 91,555 | 1.6 |
| Wyoming ............................ | 15 | 0.1 | 34 | 0.1 | 4,178 | 0.1 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

Table B.2. Average Values of Selected Characteristics by State

| State | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross <br> Countable <br> Income (Dollars) | Net Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SNAP <br> Benefit <br> (Dollars) | Household Size <br> (Persons) | Certification <br> Period <br> (Months) |
| Total | 744 | 338 | 508 | 281 | 2.1 | 12.2 |
| Alabama | 683 | 357 | 410 | 293 | 2.3 | 13.3 |
| Alaska | 928 | 497 | 582 | 421 | 2.5 | 6.9 |
| Arizona | 763 | 383 | 470 | 293 | 2.3 | 9.6 |
| Arkansas ............................. | 722 | 420 | 363 | 282 | 2.3 | 14.2 |
| California ............................ | 578 | 222 | 463 | 336 | 2.3 | 11.9 |
| Colorado ............................ | 708 | 278 | 549 | 316 | 2.3 | 10.3 |
| Connecticut ......................... | 789 | 258 | 671 | 257 | 1.8 | 13.7 |
| Delaware ............................. | 828 | 384 | 535 | 277 | 2.2 | 13.8 |
| District of Columbia ............ | 505 | 251 | 428 | 250 | 1.8 | 14.1 |
| Florida ............................... | 645 | 260 | 480 | 257 | 1.9 | 9.7 |
| Georgia .............................. | 679 | 318 | 446 | 304 | 2.3 | 7.4 |
| Guam ................................. | 727 | 348 | 514 | 681 | 3.2 | 12.0 |
| Hawaii | 783 | 439 | 412 | 428 | 2.0 | 11.6 |
| Idaho ................................. | 784 | 342 | 552 | 313 | 2.4 | 10.2 |
| Illinois ............................... | 644 | 282 | 445 | 288 | 2.1 | 10.7 |
| Indiana ... | 719 | 349 | 460 | 302 | 2.3 | 9.5 |
| Iowa .................................. | 810 | 392 | 506 | 267 | 2.2 | 7.2 |
| Kansas | 734 | 376 | 448 | 269 | 2.2 | 13.1 |
| Kentucky ............................ | 670 | 377 | 372 | 271 | 2.2 | 8.8 |
| Louisiana ............................. | 717 | 363 | 417 | 293 | 2.3 | 14.9 |
| Maine | 906 | 362 | 632 | 248 | 2.0 | 12.0 |
| Maryland ............................ | 786 | 384 | 492 | 254 | 2.0 | 8.2 |
| Massachusetts ..................... | 875 | 331 | 647 | 235 | 1.8 | 15.2 |
| Michigan ........................... | 831 | 318 | 702 | 268 | 2.0 | 14.7 |
| Minnesota ............................ | 766 | 500 | 362 | 232 | 2.0 | 12.1 |
| Mississippi .......................... | 700 | 406 | 361 | 279 | 2.3 | 14.7 |
| Missouri ............................ | 716 | 386 | 408 | 271 | 2.2 | 15.4 |
| Montana | 776 | 353 | 510 | 282 | 2.2 | 14.2 |
| Nebraska | 813 | 409 | 485 | 280 | 2.3 | 15.1 |
| Nevada ........ | 760 | 402 | 445 | 262 | 2.1 | 7.6 |
| New Hampshire ................... | 978 | 433 | 644 | 246 | 2.1 | 7.1 |
| New Jersey .......................... | 843 | 339 | 617 | 267 | 2.0 | 14.5 |
| New Mexico | 767 | 394 | 447 | 290 | 2.3 | 14.7 |
| New York ... | 854 | 281 | 724 | 274 | 1.9 | 19.1 |
| North Carolina | 755 | 418 | 437 | 265 | 2.2 | 7.8 |
| North Dakota | 915 | 357 | 640 | 281 | 2.2 | 7.7 |
| Ohio . | 713 | 286 | 535 | 291 | 2.1 | 11.9 |
| Oklahoma | 706 | 368 | 427 | 289 | 2.3 | 15.0 |
| Oregon | 790 | 365 | 519 | 231 | 1.8 | 12.0 |
| Pennsylvania ...................... | 872 | 368 | 636 | 266 | 2.1 | 17.3 |
| Rhode Island ....................... | 844 | 279 | 720 | 253 | 1.8 | 15.5 |
| South Carolina .................... | 635 | 338 | 373 | 282 | 2.2 | 8.9 |
| South Dakota ...................... | 835 | 331 | 605 | 312 | 2.3 | 13.3 |
| Tennessee ........................... | 615 | 322 | 373 | 276 | 2.1 | 7.8 |
| Texas ................................ | 815 | 425 | 470 | 306 | 2.5 | 11.3 |
| Utah ................................... | 831 | 468 | 439 | 299 | 2.5 | 7.2 |
| Vermont | 1,080 | 421 | 758 | 237 | 2.0 | 12.7 |
| Virgin Islands ....................... | 686 | 388 | 347 | 431 | 2.4 | 7.2 |
| Virginia .............................. | 679 | 353 | 417 | 271 | 2.1 | 13.6 |
| Washington ......................... | 809 | 369 | 597 | 242 | 1.9 | 14.3 |
| West Virginia ....................... | 792 | 431 | 425 | 253 | 2.1 | 13.3 |
| Wisconsin ............................ | 969 | 469 | 654 | 248 | 2.2 | 11.8 |
| Wyoming ............................. | 785 | 423 | 441 | 287 | 2.4 | 8.1 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determinations, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column.
b Because deductions are not used in their benefit determinations, 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column.

Table B.3. Distribution of Participating Households by Poverty Status and by State

| State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of the Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Zero Gross Income |  | 1\%-50\% |  | 51\%-100\% |  | 101\% or More |  |
|  |  | Number (000) | Row Percent | Number (000) | Row Percent | Number (000) | Row Percent | Number (000) | Row Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20,803 | 4,151 | 20.0 | 4,719 | 22.7 | 8,472 | 40.7 | 3,461 | 16.6 |
| Alabama ........................... | 377 | 86 | 22.7 | 81 | 21.4 | 165 | 43.8 | 46 | 12.2 |
| Alaska ................................ | 35 | 9 | 25.1 | 8 | 22.6 | 13 | 37.2 | 5 | 15.1 |
| Arizona ............................... | 456 | 114 | 25.0 | 96 | 21.1 | 156 | 34.2 | 90 | 19.6 |
| Arkansas .............................. | 205 | 44 | 21.3 | 43 | 21.1 | 95 | 46.1 | 24 | 11.5 |
| California ............................ | 1,603 | 306 | 19.1 | 778 | 48.5 | 419 | 26.2 | 100 | 6.2 |
| Colorado .............................. | 197 | 33 | 16.8 | 58 | 29.6 | 78 | 39.6 | 28 | 14.0 |
| Connecticut ......................... | 201 | 34 | 17.1 | 41 | 20.2 | 79 | 39.5 | 46 | 23.2 |
| Delaware ............................. | 61 | 10 | 15.8 | 16 | 25.6 | 21 | 35.2 | 14 | 23.4 |
| District of Columbia ............. | 76 | 26 | 34.5 | 20 | 26.5 | 22 | 29.3 | 7 | 9.6 |
| Florida ................................ | 1,659 | 482 | 29.0 | 247 | 14.9 | 683 | 41.2 | 247 | 14.9 |
| Georgia ............................... | 781 | 205 | 26.3 | 157 | 20.2 | 316 | 40.4 | 102 | 13.1 |
| Guam .................................. | 12 | 3 | 21.3 | 5 | 38.2 | 3 | 25.3 | 2 | 15.2 |
| Hawaii ................................ | 79 | 14 | 17.9 | 20 | 25.6 | 37 | 46.5 | 8 | 10.0 |
| Idaho .................................. | 95 | 19 | 19.9 | 21 | 21.9 | 40 | 42.0 | 15 | 16.2 |
| Illinois ................................. | 852 | 230 | 26.9 | 165 | 19.4 | 358 | 42.1 | 99 | 11.6 |
| Indiana ................................. | 375 | 80 | 21.3 | 80 | 21.3 | 164 | 43.8 | 51 | 13.6 |
| Iowa ................................... | 171 | 31 | 17.9 | 37 | 21.6 | 72 | 41.8 | 32 | 18.7 |
| Kansas ................................ | 136 | 28 | 20.5 | 29 | 21.1 | 60 | 43.8 | 20 | 14.6 |
| Kentucky ............................ | 374 | 83 | 22.1 | 78 | 20.9 | 176 | 47.0 | 37 | 10.0 |
| Louisiana ............................. | 381 | 63 | 16.5 | 97 | 25.4 | 176 | 46.1 | 46 | 12.0 |
| Maine ................................. | 126 | 21 | 16.5 | 16 | 12.6 | 54 | 42.9 | 35 | 28.1 |
| Maryland ............................ | 325 | 72 | 22.1 | 65 | 19.9 | 126 | 38.8 | 62 | 19.1 |
| Massachusetts ..................... | 443 | 59 | 13.3 | 71 | 16.1 | 210 | 47.3 | 103 | 23.3 |
| Michigan ............................. | 964 | 176 | 18.2 | 165 | 17.2 | 397 | 41.1 | 226 | 23.5 |
| Minnesota ............................ | 243 | 40 | 16.4 | 59 | 24.1 | 101 | 41.6 | 43 | 17.9 |
| Mississippi .......................... | 269 | 57 | 21.2 | 55 | 20.4 | 132 | 49.0 | 25 | 9.4 |
| Missouri .............................. | 427 | 83 | 19.4 | 93 | 21.7 | 189 | 44.2 | 63 | 14.7 |
| Montana ............................. | 56 | 12 | 21.5 | 10 | 17.7 | 23 | 40.8 | 11 | 20.0 |
| Nebraska ............................. | 75 | 11 | 14.2 | 15 | 20.7 | 37 | 49.1 | 12 | 16.0 |
| Nevada ............................... | 154 | 35 | 23.0 | 32 | 21.0 | 56 | 36.6 | 30 | 19.4 |
| New Hampshire ................... | 53 | 7 | 12.6 | 7 | 12.8 | 25 | 46.5 | 15 | 28.1 |
| New Jersey .......................... | 367 | 19 | 5.3 | 112 | 30.6 | 162 | 44.1 | 74 | 20.1 |
| New Mexico ......................... | 177 | 28 | 15.8 | 50 | 28.1 | 75 | 42.3 | 24 | 13.8 |
| New York ............................ | 1,573 | 126 | 8.0 | 331 | 21.1 | 787 | 50.0 | 328 | 20.9 |
| North Carolina ..................... | 724 | 174 | 24.0 | 149 | 20.5 | 265 | 36.6 | 137 | 18.9 |
| North Dakota ........................ | 27 | 3 | 11.9 | 6 | 20.4 | 11 | 40.7 | 7 | 27.0 |
| Ohio ................................... | 837 | 173 | 20.7 | 177 | 21.2 | 352 | 42.1 | 135 | 16.1 |
| Oklahoma ............................ | 267 | 50 | 18.6 | 65 | 24.2 | 122 | 45.6 | 31 | 11.6 |
| Oregon ................................ | 416 | 88 | 21.1 | 78 | 18.8 | 147 | 35.4 | 103 | 24.7 |
| Pennsylvania ....................... | 812 | 73 | 9.0 | 189 | 23.2 | 377 | 46.4 | 173 | 21.4 |
| Rhode Island ........................ | 85 | 13 | 15.7 | 13 | 15.1 | 38 | 45.0 | 20 | 24.2 |
| South Carolina ..................... | 385 | 101 | 26.1 | 92 | 23.9 | 144 | 37.5 | 48 | 12.5 |
| South Dakota ........................ | 43 | 7 | 16.3 | 9 | 20.1 | 19 | 45.3 | 8 | 18.3 |
| Tennessee ............................ | 590 | 167 | 28.4 | 122 | 20.7 | 228 | 38.7 | 72 | 12.2 |
| Texas .................................. | 1,601 | 358 | 22.3 | 349 | 21.8 | 581 | 36.3 | 313 | 19.5 |
| Utah .................................... | 110 | 23 | 21.3 | 21 | 19.1 | 49 | 44.6 | 17 | 15.0 |
| Vermont ............................. | 45 | 5 | 11.0 | 5 | 11.6 | 16 | 36.4 | 18 | 40.9 |
| Virgin Islands ....................... | 9 | 1 | 10.6 | 4 | 46.1 | 3 | 28.4 | 1 | 15.0 |
| Virginia .............................. | 399 | 88 | 22.0 | 89 | 22.3 | 170 | 42.5 | 53 | 13.2 |
| Washington ......................... | 535 | 95 | 17.8 | 118 | 22.0 | 207 | 38.6 | 115 | 21.6 |
| West Virginia ....................... | 156 | 21 | 13.7 | 27 | 17.2 | 88 | 56.0 | 21 | 13.2 |
| Wisconsin ............................ | 369 | 66 | 17.9 | 46 | 12.4 | 142 | 38.4 | 115 | 31.3 |
| Wyoming ............................ | 15 | 3 | 18.2 | 3 | 20.1 | 7 | 48.2 | 2 | 13.6 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
a Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

Table B.4. Distribution of Participating Households by Shelter-Related Characteristics and by State

| State | Households with Shelter Deduction |  | Households at the Shelter Cap |  | Average <br> Monthly <br> Shelter <br> Expense <br> (Dollars) | Average Monthly Shelter Expense Among Households with Expense (Dollars) | Average Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 14,936 | 71.8 | 4,351 | 20.9 | 600 | 734 | 376 |
| Alabama | 249 | 66.1 | 39 | 10.4 | 429 | 548 | 282 |
| Alaska ............................... | 22 | 61.9 | 3 | 9.9 | 548 | 706 | 400 |
| Arizona | 287 | 63.0 | 84 | 18.4 | 522 | 719 | 345 |
| Arkansas | 119 | 58.1 | 12 | 5.8 | 376 | 504 | 236 |
| California | 1,220 | 76.1 | 474 | 29.6 | 550 | 683 | 340 |
| Colorado .. | 152 | 77.2 | 66 | 33.3 | 678 | 804 | 417 |
| Connecticut ........................ | 168 | 83.9 | 76 | 37.8 | 900 | 1,066 | 550 |
| Delaware | 44 | 72.7 | 13 | 22.0 | 631 | 786 | 387 |
| District of Columbia ........ | 66 | 87.0 | 6 | 7.7 | 455 | 479 | 295 |
| Florida .. | 1,149 | 69.3 | 318 | 19.1 | 557 | 761 | 385 |
| Georgia | 500 | 64.0 | 111 | 14.2 | 458 | 630 | 318 |
| Guam . | 5 | 37.9 | 0 | 2.5 | 211 | 358 | 216 |
| Hawaii | 38 | 47.8 | 4 | 5.3 | 369 | 534 | 282 |
| Idaho | 74 | 77.4 | 24 | 25.1 | 638 | 772 | 376 |
| Illinois | 563 | 66.1 | 123 | 14.4 | 478 | 668 | 345 |
| Indiana | 258 | 68.9 | 48 | 12.9 | 493 | 635 | 327 |
| Iowa | 129 | 75.1 | 36 | 20.9 | 590 | 690 | 347 |
| Kansas | 95 | 69.7 | 18 | 13.5 | 474 | 583 | 297 |
| Kentucky | 232 | 62.1 | 28 | 7.5 | 400 | 524 | 269 |
| Louisiana ............................ | 232 | 60.8 | 32 | 8.4 | 424 | 561 | 285 |
| Maine | 102 | 81.3 | 35 | 27.7 | 835 | 976 | 490 |
| Maryland | 215 | 66.1 | 62 | 19.0 | 575 | 739 | 370 |
| Massachusetts | 371 | 83.8 | 113 | 25.5 | 858 | 965 | 503 |
| Michigan | 916 | 95.0 | 453 | 47.0 | 907 | 913 | 470 |
| Minnesota | 128 | 52.7 | 20 | 8.4 | 415 | 602 | 290 |
| Mississippi | 130 | 48.5 | 14 | 5.3 | 347 | 471 | 239 |
| Missouri | 266 | 62.3 | 44 | 10.3 | 415 | 541 | 280 |
| Montana | 39 | 69.0 | 14 | 25.2 | 588 | 757 | 386 |
| Nebraska | 55 | 74.2 | 12 | 15.8 | 558 | 634 | 330 |
| Nevada ............................... | 103 | 67.0 | 22 | 14.3 | 512 | 682 | 320 |
| New Hampshire ................... | 46 | 86.7 | 19 | 35.3 | 892 | 934 | 463 |
| New Jersey | 350 | 95.5 | 96 | 26.1 | 781 | 781 | 405 |
| New Mexico | 111 | 63.0 | 28 | 15.7 | 464 | 583 | 303 |
| New York . | 1,172 | 74.5 | 537 | 34.2 | 993 | 1,022 | 522 |
| North Carolina | 470 | 64.9 | 98 | 13.6 | 480 | 609 | 300 |
| North Dakota ........................ | 22 | 78.8 | 8 | 28.8 | 721 | 824 | 433 |
| Ohio | 605 | 72.2 | 219 | 26.1 | 644 | 817 | 446 |
| Oklahoma | 186 | 69.6 | 31 | 11.5 | 459 | 584 | 289 |
| Oregon | 308 | 74.0 | 92 | 22.2 | 621 | 775 | 375 |
| Pennsylvania ....................... | 720 | 88.7 | 261 | 32.1 | 791 | 813 | 440 |
| Rhode Island ........................ | 83 | 98.3 | 37 | 44.1 | 938 | 941 | 503 |
| South Carolina ..................... | 185 | 48.1 | 33 | 8.6 | 341 | 540 | 282 |
| South Dakota ........................ | 30 | 71.0 | 12 | 28.6 | 679 | 809 | 433 |
| Tennessee | 327 | 55.4 | 57 | 9.8 | 384 | 582 | 294 |
| Texas | 1,034 | 64.6 | 191 | 11.9 | 487 | 627 | 308 |
| Utah .................................... | 68 | 61.2 | 16 | 14.9 | 488 | 654 | 300 |
| Vermont .............................. | 40 | 88.3 | 15 | 32.5 | 1,032 | 1,100 | 547 |
| Virgin Islands ....................... | 5 | 53.4 | 1 | 8.4 | 298 | 371 | 191 |
| Virginia .............................. | 266 | 66.8 | 54 | 13.5 | 448 | 556 | 278 |
| Washington ......................... | 510 | 95.3 | 137 | 25.5 | 736 | 736 | 383 |
| West Virginia ...................... | 110 | 70.3 | 16 | 10.0 | 502 | 593 | 299 |
| Wisconsin ............................ | 352 | 95.3 | 86 | 23.3 | 809 | 809 | 398 |
| Wyoming ............................ | 10 | 68.5 | 2 | 12.9 | 470 | 570 | 280 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Over households with a shelter deduction.
b Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

Table B.5. Distribution of Participating Households by Household Composition and by State

| State | Households with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Single Adults with Children |  | Nondisabled Adults Age 18-49 in Childless Households ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 9,794 | 47.1 | 3,426 | 16.5 | 4,199 | 20.2 | 5,477 | 26.3 | 4,083 | 19.6 |
| Alabama | 196 | 51.9 | 48 | 12.6 | 86 | 22.8 | 125 | 33.2 | 75 | 20.0 |
| Alaska | 17 | 48.4 | 5 | 13.1 | 6 | 15.9 | 8 | 23.1 | 10 | 27.9 |
| Arizona | 229 | 50.3 | 66 | 14.5 | 59 | 13.0 | 111 | 24.4 | 98 | 21.5 |
| Arkansas | 107 | 52.2 | 27 | 13.1 | 53 | 25.8 | 64 | 31.4 | 41 | 20.1 |
| California | 1,072 | 66.9 | 76 | 4.7 | 39 | 2.5 | 443 | 27.6 | 331 | 20.7 |
| Colorado . | 107 | 54.4 | 28 | 14.3 | 36 | 18.1 | 60 | 30.2 | 29 | 14.6 |
| Connecticut | 71 | 35.1 | 40 | 20.1 | 48 | 23.8 | 44 | 22.0 | 44 | 21.7 |
| Delaware | 31 | 51.0 | 7 | 12.0 | 12 | 19.7 | 20 | 33.4 | 12 | 19.2 |
| District of Columbia | 26 | 34.3 | 12 | 15.3 | 14 | 18.3 | 20 | 26.6 | 22 | 28.8 |
| Florida | 612 | 36.9 | 343 | 20.7 | 278 | 16.7 | 264 | 15.9 | 412 | 24.8 |
| Georgia | 404 | 51.7 | 112 | 14.4 | 129 | 16.6 | 249 | 31.9 | 165 | 21.2 |
| Guam ... | 9 | 72.5 | 2 | 12.8 | 0 | 3.9 | 3 | 22.6 | 1 | 11.3 |
| Hawaii | 30 | 38.2 | 17 | 22.0 | 15 | 18.7 | 15 | 19.1 | 16 | 20.5 |
| Idaho | 50 | 52.7 | 11 | 11.4 | 19 | 19.9 | 22 | 23.7 | 19 | 20.2 |
| Illinois | 389 | 45.6 | 123 | 14.4 | 174 | 20.5 | 252 | 29.6 | 181 | 21.2 |
| Indiana | 194 | 51.8 | 51 | 13.5 | 91 | 24.3 | 121 | 32.3 | 63 | 16.9 |
| Iowa . | 80 | 46.5 | 20 | 11.9 | 35 | 20.5 | 45 | 26.0 | 37 | 21.8 |
| Kansas | 66 | 48.5 | 17 | 12.4 | 29 | 21.4 | 39 | 28.4 | 27 | 19.7 |
| Kentucky | 162 | 43.4 | 56 | 15.1 | 122 | 32.5 | 88 | 23.5 | 83 | 22.1 |
| Louisiana | 191 | 50.2 | 59 | 15.6 | 90 | 23.7 | 140 | 36.8 | 70 | 18.4 |
| Maine | 48 | 38.0 | 22 | 17.6 | 33 | 26.6 | 26 | 20.9 | 27 | 21.5 |
| Maryland | 141 | 43.3 | 53 | 16.3 | 66 | 20.3 | 96 | 29.6 | 76 | 23.4 |
| Massachusetts | 168 | 37.9 | 103 | 23.2 | 132 | 29.8 | 109 | 24.6 | 68 | 15.3 |
| Michigan | 361 | 37.4 | 148 | 15.4 | 234 | 24.3 | 193 | 20.1 | 248 | 25.7 |
| Minnesota | 102 | 42.1 | 36 | 14.7 | 61 | 25.0 | 55 | 22.8 | 43 | 17.7 |
| Mississippi | 135 | 50.4 | 37 | 13.7 | 68 | 25.3 | 84 | 31.3 | 50 | 18.8 |
| Missouri | 199 | 46.7 | 57 | 13.3 | 105 | 24.7 | 121 | 28.4 | 90 | 21.2 |
| Montana | 26 | 46.5 | 8 | 14.4 | 11 | 19.8 | 16 | 27.9 | 12 | 21.2 |
| Nebraska | 38 | 50.8 | 11 | 15.0 | 18 | 24.7 | 26 | 34.1 | 10 | 13.6 |
| Nevada ..... | 71 | 45.9 | 29 | 19.0 | 25 | 16.1 | 35 | 22.4 | 31 | 20.0 |
| New Hampshire | 24 | 44.9 | 7 | 13.4 | 19 | 36.2 | 14 | 27.1 | 8 | 15.1 |
| New Jersey ......... | 169 | 46.0 | 89 | 24.2 | 66 | 18.1 | 101 | 27.5 | 59 | 16.0 |
| New Mexico .... | 97 | 55.1 | 23 | 13.2 | 29 | 16.2 | 53 | 30.1 | 33 | 18.4 |
| New York | 594 | 37.7 | 488 | 31.0 | 393 | 25.0 | 350 | 22.3 | 221 | 14.1 |
| North Carolina | 356 | 49.2 | 100 | 13.8 | 129 | 17.8 | 206 | 28.4 | 169 | 23.3 |
| North Dakota .. | 13 | 49.3 | 5 | 17.8 | 6 | 22.0 | 9 | 33.8 | 4 | 14.8 |
| Ohio .............. | 368 | 43.9 | 132 | 15.7 | 217 | 25.9 | 235 | 28.0 | 154 | 18.4 |
| Oklahoma . | 132 | 49.5 | 40 | 15.1 | 58 | 21.7 | 76 | 28.5 | 45 | 16.7 |
| Oregon . | 150 | 36.1 | 57 | 13.7 | 70 | 16.9 | 77 | 18.5 | 132 | 31.8 |
| Pennsylvania | 335 | 41.3 | 171 | 21.0 | 241 | 29.7 | 206 | 25.4 | 125 | 15.4 |
| Rhode Island | 33 | 39.2 | 18 | 21.8 | 21 | 24.3 | 20 | 24.1 | 16 | 18.3 |
| South Carolina ... | 183 | 47.4 | 55 | 14.2 | 65 | 16.8 | 122 | 31.8 | 89 | 23.0 |
| South Dakota . | 22 | 50.8 | 7 | 15.2 | 11 | 24.9 | 13 | 30.8 | 8 | 17.6 |
| Tennessee ... | 255 | 43.2 | 80 | 13.6 | 127 | 21.6 | 150 | 25.5 | 153 | 25.9 |
| Texas ...... | 993 | 62.0 | 275 | 17.2 | 287 | 18.0 | 523 | 32.7 | 128 | 8.0 |
| Utah ........ | 59 | 53.4 | 11 | 10.2 | 20 | 18.3 | 31 | 28.4 | 22 | 20.3 |
| Vermont ........... | 17 | 38.4 | 10 | 21.5 | 12 | 27.6 | 10 | 22.3 | 8 | 18.7 |
| Virgin Islands | 5 | 59.6 | 2 | 26.3 | 1 | 5.5 | 4 | 38.6 | 1 | 14.4 |
| Virginia ............ | 193 | 48.4 | 64 | 16.1 | 84 | 21.1 | 124 | 31.0 | 74 | 18.4 |
| Washington .......... | 211 | 39.5 | 79 | 14.8 | 116 | 21.6 | 111 | 20.7 | 135 | 25.3 |
| West Virginia .......... | 69 | 44.0 | 28 | 17.8 | 54 | 34.4 | 38 | 24.2 | 25 | 15.9 |
| Wisconsin .............. | 174 | 47.2 | 57 | 15.4 | 80 | 21.7 | 103 | 27.8 | 81 | 21.9 |
| Wyoming ................ | 8 | 54.8 | 2 | 14.9 | 3 | 20.4 | 5 | 31.5 | 2 | 13.7 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{a}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ These participants are subject to work requirements and a time limit.
${ }^{c}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

Table B.6. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Countable Income Sources and by State

| State | Households with Countable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TANFa |  | GA |  | SSI |  | Social Security |  | Earned Income |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,591 | 7.6 | 844 | 4.1 | 4,195 | 20.2 | 4,660 | 22.4 | 6,351 | 30.5 |
| Alabama | 15 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.1 | 86 | 22.7 | 84 | 22.3 | 108 | 28.6 |
| Alaska | 4 | 12.5 | 8 | 23.2 | 5 | 14.1 | 6 | 17.5 | 10 | 27.6 |
| Arizona .. | 20 | 4.5 | - | - | 58 | 12.8 | 79 | 17.2 | 163 | 35.8 |
| Arkansas | 4 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.6 | 50 | 24.4 | 51 | 24.6 | 61 | 29.9 |
| California | 540 | 33.7 | 121 | 7.5 | - | - | 101 | 6.3 | 546 | 34.1 |
| Colorado | 2 | 1.2 | 28 | 13.9 | 34 | 17.4 | 40 | 20.3 | 67 | 33.9 |
| Connecticut .......................... | 18 | 8.9 | 13 | 6.5 | 41 | 20.5 | 56 | 28.1 | 49 | 24.2 |
| Delaware .......... | 4 | 7.1 | 4 | 6.9 | 9 | 14.8 | 12 | 19.9 | 20 | 33.3 |
| District of Columbia | 17 | 22.1 | 1 | 1.8 | 15 | 20.4 | 14 | 18.5 | 8 | 10.5 |
| Florida ........................... | 54 | 3.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 345 | 20.8 | 386 | 23.3 | 396 | 23.9 |
| Georgia ............................... | 16 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 118 | 15.1 | 155 | 19.8 | 260 | 33.3 |
| Guam .................................. | 1 | 11.7 | 1 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.3 | 2 | 12.3 | 5 | 41.3 |
| Hawaii ................................ | 7 | 8.5 | 6 | 7.2 | 18 | 22.7 | 18 | 22.3 | 24 | 30.7 |
| Idaho | 1 | 0.7 | 9 | 9.1 | 14 | 14.9 | 21 | 21.6 | 40 | 42.1 |
| Illinois | 31 | 3.7 | 33 | 3.9 | 161 | 18.9 | 182 | 21.4 | 242 | 28.4 |
| Indiana ................................ | 20 | 5.5 | - | - | 75 | 19.9 | 99 | 26.5 | 119 | 31.7 |
| Iowa .................................... | 16 | 9.5 | - | - | 32 | 18.7 | 35 | 20.6 | 69 | 40.2 |
| Kansas | 12 | 8.7 | 2 | 1.3 | 26 | 19.1 | 31 | 22.4 | 48 | 35.5 |
| Kentucky ............................ | 21 | 5.5 | 0 | 0.1 | 122 | 32.6 | 98 | 26.3 | 84 | 22.4 |
| Louisiana ............................. | 8 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 99 | 26.0 | 88 | 23.0 | 122 | 31.9 |
| Maine | 13 | 10.2 | 26 | 20.8 | 24 | 19.3 | 42 | 33.1 | 38 | 30.0 |
| Maryland ........................... | 23 | 7.0 | 19 | 6.0 | 72 | 22.2 | 72 | 22.3 | 87 | 26.9 |
| Massachusetts ...................... | 46 | 10.4 | 17 | 3.8 | 142 | 32.1 | 153 | 34.4 | 97 | 21.9 |
| Michigan ............................. | 67 | 6.9 | 13 | 1.3 | 201 | 20.8 | 230 | 23.9 | 345 | 35.8 |
| Minnesota ............................ | 10 | 4.3 | 23 | 9.5 | 63 | 26.0 | 56 | 22.9 | 74 | 30.5 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 3.9 | - | - | 74 | 27.4 | 60 | 22.5 | 78 | 28.9 |
| Missouri .............................. | 38 | 8.9 | 0 | 0.1 | 92 | 21.5 | 111 | 26.0 | 120 | 28.2 |
| Montana | 4 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 10 | 18.1 | 14 | 24.6 | 20 | 35.4 |
| Nebraska | 7 | 9.2 | 5 | 6.3 | 15 | 19.8 | 22 | 28.9 | 26 | 34.8 |
| Nevada | 8 | 5.5 | 2 | 1.3 | 27 | 17.8 | 35 | 22.7 | 48 | 31.2 |
| New Hampshire ................... | 5 | 9.1 | 8 | 15.4 | 13 | 24.7 | 20 | 36.7 | 16 | 29.5 |
| New Jersey .......................... | 33 | 9.1 | 47 | 12.8 | 87 | 23.7 | 89 | 24.2 | 107 | 29.1 |
| New Mexico ........................ | 22 | 12.7 | 3 | 1.6 | 34 | 19.2 | 30 | 16.8 | 70 | 39.6 |
| New York ............................. | 45 | 2.9 | 239 | 15.2 | 534 | 34.0 | 461 | 29.3 | 391 | 24.9 |
| North Carolina | 17 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.2 | 118 | 16.3 | 158 | 21.8 | 223 | 30.8 |
| North Dakota ........................ | 2 | 5.7 | - | - | 6 | 20.3 | 8 | 27.8 | 11 | 40.8 |
| Ohio .................................... | 78 | 9.3 | 13 | 1.5 | 205 | 24.5 | 216 | 25.8 | 215 | 25.6 |
| Oklahoma ............................ | 8 | 3.1 | 59 | 22.2 | 59 | 22.0 | 64 | 24.1 | 82 | 30.5 |
| Oregon ................................ | 27 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.5 | 61 | 14.6 | 86 | 20.6 | 153 | 36.8 |
| Pennsylvania ....................... | 57 | 7.0 | 70 | 8.7 | 249 | 30.6 | 234 | 28.8 | 214 | 26.4 |
| Rhode Island ........................ | 7 | 8.1 | 1 | 1.8 | 20 | 23.7 | 26 | 30.2 | 23 | 26.8 |
| South Carolina ..................... | 18 | 4.6 | 1 | 0.2 | 67 | 17.3 | 78 | 20.3 | 115 | 29.9 |
| South Dakota ........................ | 3 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 9 | 21.7 | 12 | 27.9 | 16 | 38.4 |
| Tennessee ............................ | 54 | 9.1 | - | - | 105 | 17.8 | 142 | 24.1 | 149 | 25.3 |
| Texas. | 49 | 3.0 | - | - | 255 | 15.9 | 309 | 19.3 | 672 | 42.0 |
| Utah .................................... | 5 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.7 | 19 | 16.8 | 21 | 19.2 | 42 | 37.7 |
| Vermont .............................. | 5 | 12.2 | 1 | 2.1 | 9 | 20.5 | 16 | 35.8 | 14 | 30.1 |
| Virgin Islands ....................... | 0 | 4.1 | 1 | 8.4 | - | - | 2 | 22.1 | 4 | 42.9 |
| Virginia .............................. | 34 | 8.6 | 4 | 1.1 | 82 | 20.5 | 93 | 23.2 | 121 | 30.4 |
| Washington ......................... | 51 | 9.6 | 54 | 10.2 | 109 | 20.3 | 105 | 19.6 | 154 | 28.9 |
| West Virginia ....................... | 11 | 6.8 | - | - | 53 | 34.1 | 47 | 30.1 | 41 | 26.4 |
| Wisconsin ............................ | 19 | 5.3 | 1 | 0.4 | 70 | 19.0 | 90 | 24.5 | 139 | 37.8 |
| Wyoming ............................. | 1 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.9 | 3 | 18.1 | 4 | 25.1 | 5 | 36.4 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ This does not include households receiving a noncash benefit or a noncountable cash benefit (e.g., households participating in Minnesota's Family Investment Program).
b Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Table B.7. Average Values of Selected Countable Income Sources by State

| State | Average Countable Values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TANF ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | GA | SSI | Social Security | Earned Income |
| Total | 396 | 235 | 554 | 760 | 1,022 |
| Alabama ......... | 206 | 275 | 515 | 694 | 1,004 |
| Alaska | 646 | 346 | 524 | 806 | 1,311 |
| Arizona | 251 | - | 549 | 815 | 1,209 |
| Arkansas .. | 170 | 212 | 537 | 697 | 1,052 |
| California | 515 | 219 | - | 709 | 712 |
| Colorado | 378 | 283 | 488 | 761 | 896 |
| Connecticut ........ | 479 | 198 | 548 | 810 | 1,037 |
| Delaware ....... | 317 | 116 | 594 | 811 | 1,246 |
| District of Columbia | 381 | 289 | 537 | 659 | 1,073 |
| Florida .. | 237 | 180 | 520 | 732 | 1,051 |
| Georgia | 220 | 1,232 | 527 | 806 | 1,001 |
| Guam ... | 183 | 144 | 761 | 600 | 1,334 |
| Hawaii . | 567 | 381 | 516 | 726 | 1,136 |
| Idaho ... | 284 | 48 | 506 | 769 | 968 |
| Illinois ... | 346 | 111 | 559 | 739 | 937 |
| Indiana | 201 | - | 517 | 729 | 980 |
| Iowa .... | 335 | - | 496 | 783 | 989 |
| Kansas | 257 | 195 | 493 | 688 | 1,045 |
| Kentucky ...... | 252 | 186 | 563 | 688 | 962 |
| Louisiana .... | 272 | 521 | 537 | 671 | 963 |
| Maine | 438 | 15 | 497 | 790 | 1,264 |
| Maryland | 477 | 182 | 567 | 715 | 1,204 |
| Massachusetts | 511 | 270 | 565 | 749 | 1,200 |
| Michigan ....... | 413 | 280 | 565 | 816 | 1,018 |
| Minnesota . | 9 | 193 | 612 | 690 | 1,038 |
| Mississippi | 152 | - | 531 | 657 | 1,016 |
| Missouri ... | 255 | 156 | 482 | 729 | 980 |
| Montana . | 463 | 229 | 474 | 732 | 1,085 |
| Nebraska | 333 | 72 | 485 | 742 | 1,055 |
| Nevada | 377 | 301 | 474 | 787 | 1,064 |
| New Hampshire | 556 | 168 | 504 | 802 | 1,265 |
| New Jersey ...... | 355 | 172 | 565 | 805 | 1,120 |
| New Mexico .. | 361 | 241 | 488 | 678 | 1,039 |
| New York | 492 | 387 | 616 | 789 | 999 |
| North Carolina | 239 | 207 | 519 | 774 | 1,130 |
| North Dakota | 347 | - | 487 | 716 | 1,176 |
| Ohio .. | 372 | 115 | 544 | 786 | 951 |
| Oklahoma | 186 | 38 | 491 | 701 | 1,050 |
| Oregon ......... | 399 | 80 | 519 | 819 | 1,015 |
| Pennsylvania | 354 | 193 | 599 | 762 | 1,089 |
| Rhode Island | 466 | 157 | 546 | 852 | 1,087 |
| South Carolina | 194 | 133 | 489 | 706 | 953 |
| South Dakota | 372 | 108 | 498 | 723 | 1,092 |
| Tennessee . | 185 | - | 508 | 767 | 919 |
| Texas | 184 | - | 604 | 785 | 1,097 |
| Utah | 459 | 302 | 529 | 703 | 1,215 |
| Vermont | 556 | 192 | 579 | 910 | 1,372 |
| Virgin Islands ...... | 407 | 180 | - | 674 | 916 |
| Virginia .............. | 288 | 204 | 490 | 744 | 948 |
| Washington | 374 | 157 | 557 | 796 | 1,222 |
| West Virginia ....... | 302 | - | 561 | 724 | 1,043 |
| Wisconsin ....... | 520 | 178 | 636 | 823 | 1,251 |
| Wyoming ................ | 547 | 388 | 452 | 704 | 1,108 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average values are over households with income source.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This does not include households receiving a noncash benefit or a noncountable cash benefit (e.g., households participating in Minnesota's Family Investment Program).

- No sample data in this category.

Table B.8. Distribution of Participating Households by Earnings-Related Characteristics and by State

| State | Households with Earnings |  |  | Average Earned Income Deduction (Dollars) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Average Earnings (Dollars) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | All Households ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Households with Deduction |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6,351 | 30.5 | 1,022 | 64 | 205 |
| Alabama ......... | 108 | 28.6 | 1,004 | 57 | 201 |
| Alaska .......... | 10 | 27.6 | 1,311 | 72 | 262 |
| Arizona ................ | 163 | 35.8 | 1,209 | 86 | 242 |
| Arkansas | 61 | 29.9 | 1,052 | 63 | 210 |
| California ..... | 546 | 34.1 | 712 | 48 | 142 |
| Colorado ........... | 67 | 33.9 | 896 | 61 | 179 |
| Connecticut ............ | 49 | 24.2 | 1,037 | 50 | 207 |
| Delaware ............. | 20 | 33.3 | 1,246 | 83 | 249 |
| District of Columbia | 8 | 10.5 | 1,073 | 23 | 214 |
| Florida ................. | 396 | 23.9 | 1,051 | 53 | 210 |
| Georgia ... | 260 | 33.3 | 1,001 | 67 | 200 |
| Guam ..... | 5 | 41.3 | 1,334 | 110 | 267 |
| Hawaii | 24 | 30.7 | 1,136 | 70 | 227 |
| Idaho | 40 | 42.1 | 968 | 81 | 193 |
| Illinois .. | 242 | 28.4 | 937 | 53 | 187 |
| Indiana .... | 119 | 31.7 | 980 | 62 | 196 |
| Iowa ..... | 69 | 40.2 | 989 | 79 | 198 |
| Kansas | 48 | 35.5 | 1,045 | 74 | 209 |
| Kentucky | 84 | 22.4 | 962 | 44 | 192 |
| Louisiana ..... | 122 | 31.9 | 963 | 63 | 192 |
| Maine .... | 38 | 30.0 | 1,264 | 76 | 253 |
| Maryland ...... | 87 | 26.9 | 1,204 | 66 | 240 |
| Massachusetts | 97 | 21.9 | 1,200 | 53 | 240 |
| Michigan | 345 | 35.8 | 1,018 | 74 | 203 |
| Minnesota ...... | 74 | 30.5 | 1,038 | 67 | 242 |
| Mississippi .... | 78 | 28.9 | 1,016 | 65 | 203 |
| Missouri ......... | 120 | 28.2 | 980 | 55 | 196 |
| Montana ....... | 20 | 35.4 | 1,085 | 77 | 217 |
| Nebraska | 26 | 34.8 | 1,055 | 73 | 211 |
| Nevada ......... | 48 | 31.2 | 1,064 | 66 | 213 |
| New Hampshire | 16 | 29.5 | 1,265 | 75 | 253 |
| New Jersey .......... | 107 | 29.1 | 1,120 | 65 | 224 |
| New Mexico ... | 70 | 39.6 | 1,039 | 85 | 208 |
| New York .... | 391 | 24.9 | 999 | 62 | 202 |
| North Carolina | 223 | 30.8 | 1,130 | 71 | 226 |
| North Dakota . | 11 | 40.8 | 1,176 | 96 | 236 |
| Ohio ....... | 215 | 25.6 | 951 | 49 | 190 |
| Oklahoma | 82 | 30.5 | 1,050 | 64 | 210 |
| Oregon ..... | 153 | 36.8 | 1,015 | 75 | 203 |
| Pennsylvania | 214 | 26.4 | 1,089 | 60 | 218 |
| Rhode Island | 23 | 26.8 | 1,087 | 58 | 217 |
| South Carolina | 115 | 29.9 | 953 | 59 | 190 |
| South Dakota | 16 | 38.4 | 1,092 | 88 | 220 |
| Tennessee .. | 149 | 25.3 | 919 | 46 | 184 |
| Texas ........ | 672 | 42.0 | 1,097 | 92 | 219 |
| Utah ......... | 42 | 37.7 | 1,215 | 91 | 243 |
| Vermont ....... | 14 | 30.1 | 1,372 | 83 | 274 |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 42.9 | 916 | 78 | 183 |
| Virginia .............. | 121 | 30.4 | 948 | 58 | 189 |
| Washington .......... | 154 | 28.9 | 1,222 | 81 | 246 |
| West Virginia .......... | 41 | 26.4 | 1,043 | 55 | 208 |
| Wisconsin ........... | 139 | 37.8 | 1,251 | 94 | 250 |
| Wyoming ........... | 5 | 36.4 | 1,108 | 81 | 221 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because the earnings deduction is not used in their benefit determinations, 644,511 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this column.
b Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

Table B.9. Distribution of Entrant Households with and without Expedited Service by State

| State | Total Entrant Households (000) | Entrant Households Eligible For and Receiving Expedited Service |  | Entrant Households Eligible For But Not Receiving Expedited Service |  | Entrant Households Not Eligible For Expedited Service |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number $(000)$ <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 869 | 427 | 49.1 | 53 | 6.1 | 390 | 44.8 |
| Alabama | 15 | 7 | 46.7 | 1 | 9.7 | 7 | 43.6 |
| Alaska ................. | 3 | 1 | 44.5 | 0 | 4.3 | 1 | 51.2 |
| Arizona ................ | 17 | 11 | 62.8 | 1 | 8.6 | 5 | 28.7 |
| Arkansas .............. | 12 | 4 | 36.5 | 1 | 7.7 | 6 | 55.8 |
| California | 84 | 45 | 54.2 | 6 | 7.2 | 32 | 38.6 |
| Colorado ............... | 9 | 6 | 60.2 | 1 | 10.4 | 3 | 29.4 |
| Connecticut ............ | 10 | 4 | 41.7 | 2 | 22.0 | 4 | 36.3 |
| Delaware ............. | 2 | 1 | 70.1 | - | - | 1 | 29.9 |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 3 | 66.3 | 0 | 2.6 | 1 | 31.1 |
| Florida ................. | 74 | 47 | 64.0 | - | - | 27 | 36.0 |
| Georgia | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100.0 |
| Guam .................. | 0 | 0 | 49.5 | - | - | 0 | 50.5 |
| Hawaii ..... | 2 | 1 | 39.9 | 0 | 12.5 | 1 | 47.7 |
| Idaho ... | 4 | 2 | 52.4 | 0 | 2.1 | 2 | 45.5 |
| Illinois . | 21 | 8 | 39.1 | 2 | 11.7 | 10 | 49.2 |
| Indiana .. | 15 | 6 | 41.1 | 1 | 7.0 | 8 | 51.9 |
| Iowa ....... | 8 | 2 | 32.3 | 0 | 5.1 | 5 | 62.6 |
| Kansas ..... | 7 | 3 | 43.5 | 0 | 6.1 | 3 | 50.4 |
| Kentucky ............. | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 100.0 |
| Louisiana ............ | 22 | 6 | 28.2 | 2 | 7.2 | 14 | 64.6 |
| Maine ..... | 3 | 1 | 34.9 | - | - | 2 | 65.1 |
| Maryland .... | 25 | 15 | 59.2 | 3 | 13.6 | 7 | 27.2 |
| Massachusetts . | 17 | 5 | 29.0 | 0 | 2.4 | 12 | 68.6 |
| Michigan ............. | 36 | 16 | 44.8 | 4 | 11.6 | 16 | 43.6 |
| Minnesota ......... | 10 | 4 | 41.9 | 1 | 6.3 | 5 | 51.9 |
| Mississippi | 5 | 3 | 63.2 | - | - | 2 | 36.8 |
| Missouri ....... | 24 | 12 | 50.0 | 3 | 11.3 | 9 | 38.7 |
| Montana ... | 3 | 2 | 69.4 | - | - | 1 | 30.6 |
| Nebraska .......... | 2 | 1 | 23.5 | 0 | 20.1 | 1 | 56.4 |
| Nevada .... | 7 | 4 | 60.5 | 0 | 4.0 | 2 | 35.6 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | 1 | 52.1 | 0 | 6.1 | 1 | 41.8 |
| New Jersey ........... | 10 | 5 | 45.1 | - | - | 6 | 54.9 |
| New Mexico ......... | 9 | 5 | 55.8 | - | - | 4 | 44.2 |
| New York ........ | 65 | 47 | 72.9 | - | - | 18 | 27.1 |
| North Carolina | 41 | 18 | 43.5 | 2 | 4.5 | 21 | 52.0 |
| North Dakota .. | 1 | 0 | 36.3 | 0 | 5.0 | 1 | 58.7 |
| Ohio ............... | 20 | 8 | 40.3 | 2 | 7.6 | 11 | 52.2 |
| Oklahoma ....... | 12 | 7 | 53.7 | 0 | 1.8 | 5 | 44.5 |
| Oregon ................. | 20 | 11 | 56.0 | - | - | 9 | 44.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 29 | 14 | 49.9 | 2 | 8.1 | 12 | 41.9 |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 2 | 54.0 | 0 | 5.4 | 2 | 40.6 |
| South Carolina .. | 14 | 6 | 41.0 | 1 | 10.6 | 7 | 48.4 |
| South Dakota ...... | 3 | 1 | 46.3 | - | - | 1 | 53.7 |
| Tennessee | 24 | 11 | 47.2 | 3 | 14.5 | 9 | 38.3 |
| Texas | 105 | 39 | 37.3 | 4 | 3.7 | 62 | 59.0 |
| Utah ...... | 5 | 3 | 55.8 | 0 | 5.4 | 2 | 38.8 |
| Vermont ............. | 3 | 1 | 21.7 | 0 | 17.3 | 2 | 61.0 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 0 | 0 | 55.8 | - | - | 0 | 44.2 |
| Virginia ............... | 13 | 5 | 36.4 | 1 | 7.7 | 8 | 55.9 |
| Washington ......... | 22 | 9 | 42.8 | 2 | 9.5 | 11 | 47.7 |
| West Virginia ....... | 7 | 3 | 39.4 | - | - | 5 | 60.6 |
| Wisconsin ............ | 16 | 7 | 46.1 | 2 | 12.7 | 6 | 41.2 |
| Wyoming .............. | 1 | 0 | 42.6 | 0 | 6.2 | 0 | 51.2 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.

[^25]Table B.10. Distribution of Participating Households by Race/Hispanic Status of Household Head and by State

| State | Race/Hispanic Status ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of Household Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White, not Hispanic |  | African American, not Hispanic |  | Hispanic, <br> Any Race |  | Other, not Hispanic ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Missing/Unknown ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 7,613 | 36.6 | 4,742 | 22.8 | 2,001 | 9.6 | 1,210 | 5.8 | 5,237 | 25.2 |
| Alabama | 159 | 42.1 | 198 | 52.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.9 | 16 | 4.2 |
| Alaska | 16 | 44.9 | 2 | 6.4 | 0 | 1.0 | 14 | 40.5 | 3 | 7.2 |
| Arizona | 200 | 43.9 | 34 | 7.4 | 125 | 27.5 | 55 | 12.0 | 42 | 9.2 |
| Arkansas | 119 | 57.9 | 63 | 30.8 | 3 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.2 | 18 | 8.7 |
| California | 370 | 23.1 | 248 | 15.5 | 468 | 29.2 | 106 | 6.6 | 411 | 25.6 |
| Colorado | 30 | 15.3 | 5 | 2.7 | 19 | 9.6 | 3 | 1.6 | 140 | 70.7 |
| Connecticut | 86 | 42.8 | 50 | 24.9 | 54 | 27.0 | 4 | 1.9 | 7 | 3.4 |
| Delaware | 5 | 8.5 | 5 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 50 | 82.9 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 0.9 | 70 | 92.2 | 2 | 2.2 | 1 | 1.8 | 2 | 2.9 |
| Florida ................. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 410 | 24.7 | 1,249 | 75.3 |
| Georgia | 264 | 33.8 | 442 | 56.7 | 15 | 2.0 | 13 | 1.7 | 46 | 5.9 |
| Guam ... | 0 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 79.0 | 3 | 20.6 |
| Hawaii | 17 | 22.0 | 1 | 1.8 | 2 | 2.4 | 53 | 66.9 | 5 | 6.9 |
| Idaho | 78 | 81.8 | 1 | 1.4 | 6 | 6.8 | 2 | 2.0 | 8 | 8.1 |
| Illinois | 304 | 35.6 | 276 | 32.4 | 39 | 4.6 | 17 | 2.0 | 216 | 25.3 |
| Indiana | 254 | 67.8 | 94 | 25.2 | 8 | 2.2 | 5 | 1.2 | 14 | 3.6 |
| Iowa .... | 104 | 60.4 | 13 | 7.5 | 3 | 1.8 | 3 | 1.8 | 49 | 28.6 |
| Kansas | 89 | 65.5 | 23 | 16.6 | 9 | 6.4 | 4 | 2.9 | 12 | 8.6 |
| Kentucky | 296 | 79.2 | 63 | 16.9 | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 11 | 3.0 |
| Louisiana . | 112 | 29.4 | 244 | 63.9 | 4 | 1.1 | 5 | 1.3 | 17 | 4.4 |
| Maine | 118 | 94.0 | 3 | 2.6 | 1 | 0.9 | 2 | 1.4 | 1 | 1.1 |
| Maryland | 101 | 31.2 | 168 | 51.7 | 8 | 2.5 | 10 | 3.2 | 37 | 11.4 |
| Massachusetts | 252 | 56.9 | 69 | 15.7 | 66 | 14.8 | 16 | 3.6 | 40 | 9.0 |
| Michigan | 483 | 50.1 | 287 | 29.7 | 16 | 1.7 | 19 | 2.0 | 159 | 16.5 |
| Minnesota | 138 | 56.7 | 57 | 23.6 | 7 | 3.0 | 22 | 9.2 | 18 | 7.5 |
| Mississippi | 78 | 29.2 | 161 | 59.9 | 0 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.7 | 27 | 10.1 |
| Missouri ... | 263 | 61.6 | 136 | 31.9 | 3 | 0.6 | 4 | 1.1 | 20 | 4.8 |
| Montana | 34 | 60.7 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.7 | 11 | 19.8 | 10 | 18.3 |
| Nebraska | 47 | 63.2 | 15 | 19.7 | 5 | 6.8 | 4 | 5.1 | 4 | 5.3 |
| Nevada | 73 | 47.4 | 31 | 19.8 | 25 | 16.3 | 9 | 6.1 | 16 | 10.4 |
| New Hampshire | 49 | 91.3 | 1 | 2.4 | 1 | 2.8 | 1 | 1.6 | 1 | 1.9 |
| New Jersey ......... | 118 | 32.1 | 124 | 33.8 | 79 | 21.5 | 13 | 3.5 | 33 | 9.1 |
| New Mexico ...... | 28 | 15.8 | 5 | 2.6 | 71 | 39.9 | 16 | 9.1 | 57 | 32.5 |
| New York ...... | 514 | 32.7 | 425 | 27.0 | 435 | 27.6 | 110 | 7.0 | 89 | 5.6 |
| North Carolina | 318 | 43.9 | 323 | 44.6 | 16 | 2.2 | 21 | 2.9 | 46 | 6.3 |
| North Dakota .. | 18 | 66.3 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.5 | 7 | 26.5 | 1 | 3.3 |
| Ohio .......... | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 | - | - | 24 | 2.9 | 809 | 96.7 |
| Oklahoma | 163 | 61.1 | 48 | 18.1 | 10 | 3.8 | 29 | 10.7 | 17 | 6.2 |
| Oregon ................. | 307 | 73.9 | 18 | 4.4 | 7 | 1.7 | 19 | 4.6 | 64 | 15.4 |
| Pennsylvania ........ | 479 | 58.9 | 219 | 27.0 | 71 | 8.8 | 21 | 2.6 | 22 | 2.7 |
| Rhode Island |  | - | 0 | 0.2 | - | - | 20 | 23.5 | 65 | 76.3 |
| South Carolina .. | 137 | 35.5 | 213 | 55.3 | 21 | 5.5 | 2 | 0.5 | 12 | 3.1 |
| South Dakota .... | 23 | 54.7 | 2 | 3.6 | 0 | 1.0 | 15 | 34.2 | 3 | 6.5 |
| Tennessee .. | 171 | 29.0 | 71 | 12.0 | - | - | 2 | 0.4 | 346 | 58.6 |
| Texas . | 276 | 17.2 | 206 | 12.8 | 365 | 22.8 | 19 | 1.2 | 735 | 45.9 |
| Utah ...... | 83 | 75.3 | 3 | 3.0 | 8 | 7.1 | 6 | 5.5 | 10 | 9.0 |
| Vermont | 14 | 30.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.3 | 30 | 67.3 |
| Virgin Islands ..... | 0 | 2.7 | 7 | 72.2 | 2 | 18.2 | - | - | 1 | 6.9 |
| Virginia ................. | 176 | 44.2 | 185 | 46.4 | 9 | 2.2 | 8 | 2.0 | 21 | 5.2 |
| Washington .......... | 263 | 49.0 | 34 | 6.4 | 9 | 1.8 | 45 | 8.4 | 184 | 34.4 |
| West Virginia ........ | 143 | 91.2 | 11 | 6.8 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 2 | 1.4 |
| Wisconsin ........ | 231 | 62.6 | 83 | 22.5 | 2 | 0.4 | 17 | 4.5 | 37 | 10.0 |
| Wyoming ................ | 10 | 66.7 | 0 | 1.8 | 1 | 6.3 | 2 | 11.3 | 2 | 14.0 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{a}$ New codes to allow reporting of multiple races were implemented beginning in April 2007. We have grouped the new codes together to form general race and ethnicity categories. Reporting of race and ethnicity is voluntary under the new format and was missing for 21 percent of participants in FY 2011. Because of these changes, FY 2011 race and ethnicity distributions are not comparable to distributions for years prior to FY 2007.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Other includes household heads that are Asian, Native American, or who reported multiple races that do not fit into previous categories.
c Missing/Unknown includes household heads for which racial/ethnic information was not recorded on the application, is not available because the application was not found, or is unknown, and households with no household head and no adult listed on the file.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Table B.11. Distribution of Participants by Age and by State

| State | Preschool-Age Children |  | School-Age Children |  | Total Children |  | Nonelderly Adults |  | Elderly Adults |  | Nondisabled Adults <br> Age 18-49 in Childless <br> Households ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6,780 | 15.4 | 13,147 | 29.8 | 19,927 | 45.1 | 20,452 | 46.3 | 3,770 | 8.5 | 4,486 | 10.2 |
| Alabama | 129 | 15.1 | 263 | 30.8 | 392 | 45.9 | 412 | 48.2 | 51 | 5.9 | 86 | 10.1 |
| Alaska | 14 | 16.5 | 25 | 29.0 | 39 | 45.5 | 42 | 48.8 | 5 | 5.7 | 11 | 12.9 |
| Arizona | 166 | 15.9 | 332 | 31.6 | 498 | 47.5 | 479 | 45.7 | 72 | 6.8 | 106 | 10.1 |
| Arkansas | 76 | 16.0 | 139 | 29.3 | 215 | 45.3 | 230 | 48.6 | 29 | 6.0 | 48 | 10.1 |
| California ..... | 787 | 21.5 | 1,331 | 36.4 | 2,118 | 57.9 | 1,455 | 39.8 | 83 | 2.3 | 355 | 9.7 |
| Colorado | 74 | 16.6 | 157 | 35.2 | 232 | 51.8 | 186 | 41.6 | 30 | 6.6 | 31 | 7.0 |
| Connecticut | 51 | 13.8 | 87 | 23.6 | 138 | 37.4 | 187 | 50.6 | 44 | 12.0 | 46 | 12.6 |
| Delaware | 22 | 16.4 | 41 | 30.6 | 63 | 47.0 | 63 | 47.1 | 8 | 5.9 | 12 | 9.2 |
| District of Columbia | 19 | 14.2 | 32 | 24.0 | 51 | 38.2 | 71 | 53.1 | 12 | 8.7 | 23 | 17.4 |
| Florida | 400 | 13.0 | 807 | 26.3 | 1,207 | 39.3 | 1,489 | 48.4 | 378 | 12.3 | 445 | 14.5 |
| Georgia . | 290 | 16.5 | 537 | 30.5 | 826 | 47.0 | 809 | 46.0 | 125 | 7.1 | 183 | 10.4 |
| Guam .... | 9 | 21.9 | 15 | 38.5 | 24 | 60.4 | 14 | 35.2 | 2 | 4.4 | 2 | 4.1 |
| Hawaii | 25 | 15.5 | 40 | 25.2 | 65 | 40.7 | 75 | 47.2 | 19 | 12.1 | 17 | 10.9 |
| Idaho | 40 | 17.5 | 69 | 30.5 | 109 | 48.0 | 106 | 46.8 | 12 | 5.2 | 20 | 9.1 |
| Illinois | 273 | 15.3 | 549 | 30.8 | 821 | 46.1 | 827 | 46.5 | 132 | 7.4 | 200 | 11.2 |
| Indiana | 133 | 15.4 | 271 | 31.3 | 404 | 46.7 | 408 | 47.1 | 53 | 6.2 | 75 | 8.6 |
| Iowa .. | 57 | 15.3 | 104 | 28.1 | 161 | 43.5 | 186 | 50.3 | 23 | 6.2 | 41 | 11.1 |
| Kansas | 50 | 17.0 | 87 | 29.8 | 137 | 46.7 | 139 | 47.3 | 17 | 5.9 | 29 | 10.0 |
| Kentucky | 106 | 13.1 | 212 | 26.1 | 318 | 39.2 | 432 | 53.2 | 62 | 7.6 | 96 | 11.8 |
| Louisiana | 138 | 15.8 | 267 | 30.7 | 404 | 46.5 | 400 | 46.0 | 65 | 7.5 | 81 | 9.3 |
| Maine | 30 | 12.3 | 57 | 23.0 | 87 | 35.2 | 136 | 55.2 | 24 | 9.6 | 30 | 12.2 |
| Maryland | 98 | 14.9 | 180 | 27.3 | 279 | 42.2 | 324 | 49.0 | 58 | 8.8 | 82 | 12.4 |
| Massachusetts | 93 | 11.6 | 216 | 26.8 | 310 | 38.3 | 389 | 48.1 | 109 | 13.5 | 72 | 8.9 |
| Michigan | 217 | 11.3 | 495 | 25.7 | 712 | 37.0 | 1,051 | 54.6 | 161 | 8.4 | 280 | 14.6 |
| Minnesota | 78 | 15.6 | 143 | 28.8 | 221 | 44.4 | 238 | 47.7 | 39 | 7.9 | 46 | 9.2 |
| Mississippi ... | 88 | 14.4 | 196 | 32.0 | 284 | 46.3 | 290 | 47.3 | 39 | 6.3 | 56 | 9.2 |
| Missouri | 137 | 14.8 | 278 | 30.0 | 416 | 44.7 | 453 | 48.7 | 61 | 6.6 | 98 | 10.6 |
| Montana | 18 | 14.4 | 33 | 27.2 | 51 | 41.6 | 63 | 51.3 | 9 | 7.1 | 14 | 11.6 |
| Nebraska | 32 | 18.7 | 50 | 29.4 | 82 | 48.1 | 77 | 45.2 | 11 | 6.7 | 11 | 6.7 |
| Nevada | 60 | 18.3 | 94 | 28.8 | 154 | 47.2 | 142 | 43.3 | 31 | 9.6 | 34 | 10.4 |
| New Hampshire | 13 | 12.0 | 32 | 28.3 | 45 | 40.2 | 60 | 53.2 | 7 | 6.6 | 9 | 8.2 |
| New Jersey | 109 | 14.5 | 229 | 30.5 | 337 | 45.0 | 306 | 40.8 | 106 | 14.2 | 66 | 8.7 |
| New Mexico .. | 71 | 17.3 | 131 | 31.9 | 202 | 49.2 | 183 | 44.7 | 25 | 6.1 | 37 | 8.9 |
| New York | 357 | 12.1 | 766 | 25.9 | 1,123 | 38.0 | 1,272 | 43.0 | 562 | 19.0 | 244 | 8.3 |
| North Carolina | 254 | 16.2 | 449 | 28.7 | 702 | 44.9 | 753 | 48.1 | 109 | 7.0 | 188 | 12.0 |
| North Dakota | 10 | 16.2 | 17 | 28.3 | 27 | 44.5 | 28 | 46.5 | 5 | 9.0 | 4 | 7.2 |
| Ohio . | 239 | 13.6 | 495 | 28.1 | 734 | 41.7 | 882 | 50.1 | 145 | 8.2 | 176 | 10.0 |
| Oklahoma | 97 | 16.0 | 175 | 29.0 | 272 | 45.0 | 288 | 47.6 | 45 | 7.4 | 50 | 8.3 |
| Oregon .. | 93 | 12.2 | 188 | 24.7 | 282 | 36.9 | 419 | 54.9 | 62 | 8.1 | 143 | 18.7 |
| Pennsylvania | 230 | 13.5 | 480 | 28.1 | 710 | 41.6 | 814 | 47.7 | 183 | 10.7 | 139 | 8.1 |
| Rhode Island | 20 | 13.0 | 39 | 25.1 | 59 | 38.1 | 76 | 49.0 | 20 | 12.9 | 17 | 10.8 |
| South Carolina | 118 | 14.1 | 254 | 30.4 | 372 | 44.6 | 404 | 48.4 | 59 | 7.0 | 101 | 12.1 |
| South Dakota | 18 | 17.8 | 30 | 30.2 | 48 | 48.1 | 45 | 45.2 | 7 | 6.8 | 8 | 8.0 |
| Tennessee | 167 | 13.6 | 353 | 28.6 | 519 | 42.2 | 625 | 50.7 | 87 | 7.1 | 166 | 13.5 |
| Texas ... | 769 | 19.5 | 1,419 | 35.9 | 2,188 | 55.4 | 1,453 | 36.8 | 306 | 7.8 | 132 | 3.3 |
| Utah .. | 52 | 18.7 | 88 | 31.5 | 140 | 50.2 | 127 | 45.4 | 12 | 4.4 | 25 | 9.0 |
| Vermont | 12 | 13.5 | 19 | 21.6 | 31 | 35.1 | 48 | 53.1 | 11 | 11.8 | 10 | 10.8 |
| Virgin Islands . | 4 | 16.4 | 8 | 34.3 | 11 | 50.7 | 8 | 37.2 | 3 | 12.1 | 1 | 6.2 |
| Virginia ............. | 130 | 15.4 | 255 | 30.2 | 385 | 45.7 | 388 | 46.0 | 71 | 8.4 | 77 | 9.1 |
| Washington ......... | 146 | 14.0 | 276 | 26.6 | 422 | 40.6 | 530 | 50.9 | 88 | 8.5 | 141 | 13.5 |
| West Virginia .... | 41 | 12.2 | 90 | 26.8 | 131 | 39.0 | 174 | 51.9 | 30 | 9.0 | 29 | 8.5 |
| Wisconsin ....... | 115 | 14.4 | 237 | 29.6 | 351 | 44.0 | 385 | 48.2 | 62 | 7.8 | 88 | 11.1 |
| Wyoming .............. | 6 | 17.4 | 10 | 28.5 | 16 | 46.0 | 16 | 47.1 | 2 | 6.9 | 2 | 6.7 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ These participants are subject to work requirements and a time limit.
b Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

Table B.12. Distribution of Participants by Citizenship Status and by State

| State | All Participants |  | U. S. Born Citizens |  | Naturalized Citizens |  | Refugees |  | Other Noncitizens |  | Citizen Children Living with a Noncitizen ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 44,148 | 100.0 | 41,007 | 100.0 | 1,379 | 100.0 | 349 | 100.0 | 1,412 | 100.0 | 3,741 | 100.0 |
| Alabama | 855 | 1.9 | 853 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 2 | 0.1 | 27 | 0.7 |
| Alaska | 86 | 0.2 | 82 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Arizona | 1,049 | 2.4 | 958 | 2.3 | 31 | 2.2 | 4 | 1.3 | 55 | 3.9 | 158 | 4.2 |
| Arkansas | 474 | 1.1 | 469 | 1.1 | 2 | 0.1 | - | - | 3 | 0.2 | 19 | 0.5 |
| California | 3,656 | 8.3 | 3,249 | 7.9 | 144 | 10.5 | 71 | 20.2 | 191 | 13.6 | 980 | 26.2 |
| Colorado | 447 | 1.0 | 420 | 1.0 | 10 | 0.7 | 3 | 1.0 | 14 | 1.0 | 64 | 1.7 |
| Connecticut ......................... | 369 | 0.8 | 342 | 0.8 | 12 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.3 | 13 | 1.0 | 18 | 0.5 |
| Delaware | 133 | 0.3 | 130 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.2 |
| District of Columbia ............. | 134 | 0.3 | 131 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Florida ................................ | 3,075 | 7.0 | 2,616 | 6.4 | 212 | 15.4 | 31 | 8.9 | 215 | 15.3 | 232 | 6.2 |
| Georgia | 1,760 | 4.0 | 1,705 | 4.2 | 19 | 1.4 | 11 | 3.3 | 25 | 1.7 | 110 | 3.0 |
| Guam .................................. | 40 | 0.1 | 38 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Hawaii ................................. | 158 | 0.4 | 142 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.3 |
| Idaho | 226 | 0.5 | 214 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.2 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.3 | 18 | 0.5 |
| Illinois | 1,780 | 4.0 | 1,679 | 4.1 | 60 | 4.3 | 3 | 0.7 | 38 | 2.7 | 140 | 3.8 |
| Indiana | 865 | 2.0 | 851 | 2.1 | 7 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.4 | 27 | 0.7 |
| Iowa . | 371 | 0.8 | 360 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.3 | 17 | 0.5 |
| Kansas | 294 | 0.7 | 285 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.2 | 19 | 0.5 |
| Kentucky ............................. | 811 | 1.8 | 797 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.2 | 10 | 3.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 21 | 0.6 |
| Louisiana | 870 | 2.0 | 863 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 5 | 0.4 | 13 | 0.4 |
| Maine | 246 | 0.6 | 238 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Maryland | 661 | 1.5 | 613 | 1.5 | 22 | 1.6 | 8 | 2.4 | 17 | 1.2 | 27 | 0.7 |
| Massachusetts | 807 | 1.8 | 691 | 1.7 | 63 | 4.6 | 4 | 1.2 | 48 | 3.4 | 51 | 1.4 |
| Michigan ............................. | 1,924 | 4.4 | 1,869 | 4.6 | 31 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 24 | 1.7 | 44 | 1.2 |
| Minnesota | 498 | 1.1 | 440 | 1.1 | 22 | 1.6 | 24 | 7.0 | 12 | 0.8 | 23 | 0.6 |
| Mississippi | 613 | 1.4 | 612 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Missouri .............................. | 930 | 2.1 | 906 | 2.2 | 8 | 0.6 | 7 | 2.0 | 9 | 0.7 | 17 | 0.4 |
| Montana | 123 | 0.3 | 122 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska | 171 | 0.4 | 163 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Nevada | 328 | 0.7 | 305 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.6 | 14 | 1.0 | 57 | 1.5 |
| New Hampshire .................... | 112 | 0.3 | 107 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| New Jersey .......................... | 749 | 1.7 | 625 | 1.5 | 58 | 4.2 | 5 | 1.4 | 62 | 4.4 | 80 | 2.1 |
| New Mexico | 410 | 0.9 | 393 | 1.0 | 7 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.7 | 42 | 1.1 |
| New York | 2,957 | 6.7 | 2,312 | 5.6 | 377 | 27.4 | 13 | 3.7 | 254 | 18.0 | 242 | 6.5 |
| North Carolina | 1,564 | 3.5 | 1,532 | 3.7 | 9 | 0.7 | 7 | 1.9 | 16 | 1.1 | 112 | 3.0 |
| North Dakota ........................ | 60 | 0.1 | 56 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Ohio | 1,760 | 4.0 | 1,732 | 4.2 | 10 | 0.7 | 9 | 2.6 | 8 | 0.6 | 16 | 0.4 |
| Oklahoma | 605 | 1.4 | 596 | 1.5 | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.4 | 21 | 0.6 |
| Oregon | 762 | 1.7 | 714 | 1.7 | 15 | 1.1 | 7 | 2.0 | 25 | 1.8 | 67 | 1.8 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,707 | 3.9 | 1,631 | 4.0 | 27 | 1.9 | 22 | 6.4 | 27 | 1.9 | 49 | 1.3 |
| Rhode Island ........................ | 156 | 0.4 | 138 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.7 | 14 | 0.4 |
| South Carolina ..................... | 834 | 1.9 | 828 | 2.0 | 3 | 0.2 | - | - | 2 | 0.2 | 20 | 0.5 |
| South Dakota ........................ | 100 | 0.2 | 96 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Tennessee | 1,231 | 2.8 | 1,211 | 3.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.9 | 15 | 1.0 | 53 | 1.4 |
| Texas .................................. | 3,947 | 8.9 | 3,643 | 8.9 | 85 | 6.2 | 36 | 10.4 | 182 | 12.9 | 689 | 18.4 |
| Utah ... | 280 | 0.6 | 265 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.9 | 5 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.6 |
| Vermont .............................. | 89 | 0.2 | 87 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Virgin Islands ....................... | 22 | 0.1 | 17 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Virginia .............................. | 843 | 1.9 | 811 | 2.0 | 18 | 1.3 | 4 | 1.1 | 10 | 0.7 | 46 | 1.2 |
| Washington .......................... | 1,040 | 2.4 | 927 | 2.3 | 53 | 3.8 | 16 | 4.7 | 44 | 3.1 | 101 | 2.7 |
| West Virginia ....................... | 336 | 0.8 | 334 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Wisconsin ............................ | 798 | 1.8 | 773 | 1.9 | 7 | 0.5 | 8 | 2.3 | 9 | 0.7 | 32 | 0.8 |
| Wyoming ............................. | 34 | 0.1 | 34 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Noncitizens may be inside or outside the SNAP unit.
b Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Table B.13. Distribution of Noncitizen Participants by Age and by State

| State | Total (000) | Children |  | Nonelderly Adults |  | Elderly Adults |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$... | 1,761 | 336 | 19.1 | 1020 | 57.9 | 406 | 23.0 |
| Alabama ............................. | 2 | - | - | 1 | 69.1 | 1 | 30.9 |
| Alaska .............................. | 2 | 1 | 28.8 | 1 | 62.4 | 0 | 8.8 |
| Arizona | 59 | 6 | 10.0 | 46 | 77.2 | 8 | 12.8 |
| Arkansas .............................. | 3 | 0 | 5.0 | 2 | 64.9 | 1 | 30.1 |
| California ............................ | 262 | 43 | 16.4 | 193 | 73.8 | 26 | 9.9 |
| Colorado .............................. | 17 | 3 | 17.6 | 12 | 70.1 | 2 | 12.3 |
| Connecticut ......................... | 15 | 2 | 12.1 | 7 | 49.7 | 6 | 38.2 |
| Delaware .... | 2 | 1 | 31.4 | 1 | 48.6 | 0 | 20.0 |
| District of Columbia ............. | 2 | 0 | 9.2 | 1 | 62.5 | 0 | 28.3 |
| Florida ........................ | 246 | 38 | 15.2 | 142 | 57.5 | 67 | 27.2 |
| Georgia ............................... | 36 | 12 | 33.2 | 19 | 53.0 | 5 | 13.8 |
| Guam .................................. | 1 | 0 | 4.3 | 0 | 40.9 | 0 | 54.8 |
| Hawaii ............................... | 6 | 1 | 16.4 | 3 | 48.6 | 2 | 35.1 |
| Idaho .................................. | 10 | 3 | 29.0 | 6 | 58.3 | 1 | 12.7 |
| Illinois ... | 41 | 6 | 15.6 | 23 | 56.3 | 11 | 28.1 |
| Indiana ................................ | 7 | 1 | 17.3 | 3 | 41.3 | 3 | 41.4 |
| Iowa ................................... | 7 | 2 | 29.9 | 4 | 55.6 | 1 | 14.5 |
| Kansas | 6 | 2 | 34.0 | 3 | 56.8 | 1 | 9.1 |
| Kentucky ... | 12 | 3 | 29.4 | 7 | 63.0 | 1 | 7.6 |
| Louisiana ............................. | 5 | - | - | 4 | 81.5 | 1 | 18.5 |
| Maine | 6 | 1 | 23.2 | 4 | 69.6 | 0 | 7.2 |
| Maryland ............................. | 26 | 5 | 20.4 | 16 | 61.5 | 5 | 18.0 |
| Massachusetts ...................... | 53 | 12 | 23.2 | 31 | 58.6 | 10 | 18.2 |
| Michigan ............................. | 25 | 2 | 6.0 | 12 | 46.9 | 12 | 47.1 |
| Minnesota ............................ | 36 | 12 | 32.6 | 20 | 55.5 | 4 | 11.9 |
| Mississippi .......................... | 0 | - | - | 0 | 43.5 | 0 | 56.5 |
| Missouri ............................. | 16 | 7 | 42.7 | 9 | 55.1 | 0 | 2.2 |
| Montana .............................. | 0 | 0 | 24.8 | 0 | 75.2 | - | - |
| Nebraska ............................. | 5 | 2 | 31.8 | 3 | 54.9 | 1 | 13.4 |
| Nevada .............................. | 17 | 1 | 6.3 | 11 | 68.2 | 4 | 25.5 |
| New Hampshire ................... | 4 | 1 | 20.9 | 3 | 65.2 | 1 | 13.9 |
| New Jersey .......................... | 67 | 15 | 22.6 | 28 | 42.3 | 23 | 35.1 |
| New Mexico ........................ | 10 | 1 | 5.1 | 8 | 74.8 | 2 | 20.2 |
| New York | 267 | 47 | 17.8 | 122 | 45.7 | 98 | 36.6 |
| North Carolina ..................... | 23 | 7 | 28.7 | 13 | 59.3 | 3 | 12.1 |
| North Dakota ........................ | 3 | 1 | 37.5 | 2 | 58.5 | 0 | 4.0 |
| Ohio ................................... | 17 | 7 | 39.8 | 6 | 36.1 | 4 | 24.1 |
| Oklahoma ............................ | 5 | - | - | 3 | 56.5 | 2 | 43.5 |
| Oregon ................................ | 32 | 11 | 34.0 | 18 | 55.2 | 4 | 10.8 |
| Pennsylvania ....................... | 49 | 11 | 23.4 | 24 | 48.5 | 14 | 28.1 |
| Rhode Island ....................... | 11 | 2 | 18.1 | 7 | 62.8 | 2 | 19.1 |
| South Carolina ..................... | 2 | - | - | 1 | 29.4 | 2 | 70.6 |
| South Dakota ........................ | 4 | 2 | 50.4 | 2 | 44.1 | 0 | 5.4 |
| Tennessee ............................ | 18 | 11 | 61.1 | 7 | 36.5 | 0 | 2.4 |
| Texas | 219 | 33 | 15.3 | 134 | 61.4 | 51 | 23.3 |
| Utah ................................... | 8 | 2 | 23.5 | 5 | 58.8 | 1 | 17.7 |
| Vermont .............................. | 1 | 0 | 16.2 | 1 | 69.3 | 0 | 14.6 |
| Virgin Islands ....................... | 2 | 1 | 33.7 | 1 | 45.6 | 0 | 20.7 |
| Virginia .............................. | 14 | 2 | 11.1 | 9 | 62.2 | 4 | 26.7 |
| Washington ......................... | 61 | 12 | 19.5 | 32 | 53.6 | 16 | 27.0 |
| West Virginia ...................... | 0 | - | - | 0 | 100.0 | - | - |
| Wisconsin ............................ | 17 | 5 | 26.5 | 9 | 50.3 | 4 | 23.2 |
| Wyoming ............................ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 55.6 | 0 | 44.4 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Table B.14. Distribution of Participating Households by Use of Standard Utility Allowance and by State

| State | Number (000) | Standard Utility Allowance (SUA) - Usage and Entitlement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households with Heating/Cooling SUA |  | Households with Another SUA |  | Households with No SUA |  |
|  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 20,803 | 13,657 | 65.6 | 1,313 | 6.3 | 5,320 | 25.6 |
| Alabama ........................... | 377 | 262 | 69.6 | 10 | 2.6 | 105 | 27.8 |
| Alaska ........................... | 35 | 12 | 35.1 | 11 | 32.0 | 11 | 32.9 |
| Arizona ............................... | 456 | 257 | 56.4 | 27 | 5.9 | 172 | 37.8 |
| Arkansas .............................. | 205 | 121 | 58.9 | 7 | 3.3 | 78 | 37.8 |
| California ............................ | 1,603 | 866 | 54.1 | 95 | 5.9 | 642 | 40.0 |
| Colorado ............................ | 197 | 124 | 62.6 | 23 | 11.4 | 51 | 25.9 |
| Connecticut ......................... | 201 | 167 | 83.1 | 1 | 0.4 | 33 | 16.5 |
| Delaware ........................... | 61 | 37 | 60.6 | 5 | 8.4 | 19 | 31.0 |
| District of Columbia ............. | 76 | 71 | 93.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 4 | 5.7 |
| Florida ................................ | 1,659 | 898 | 54.1 | 163 | 9.8 | 598 | 36.1 |
| Georgia ............................... | 781 | 483 | 61.9 | 31 | 4.0 | 266 | 34.1 |
| Guam .................................. | 12 | - | - | 6 | 45.7 | 7 | 54.3 |
| Hawaii .............................. | 79 | 0 | 0.1 | 35 | 43.8 | 44 | 56.1 |
| Idaho .................................. | 95 | 67 | 70.1 | 7 | 7.4 | 21 | 22.5 |
| Illinois ................................ | 852 | 444 | 52.1 | 84 | 9.9 | 324 | 38.0 |
| Indiana ............................... | 375 | 234 | 62.6 | 20 | 5.2 | 121 | 32.2 |
| Iowa .................................... | 171 | 116 | 67.5 | 22 | 12.7 | 34 | 19.9 |
| Kansas | 136 | 90 | 66.2 | 12 | 9.1 | 34 | 24.7 |
| Kentucky | 374 | 241 | 64.5 | 14 | 3.9 | 109 | 29.1 |
| Louisiana ............................. | 381 | 239 | 62.6 | 22 | 5.8 | 113 | 29.5 |
| Maine .... | 126 | 89 | 70.5 | 13 | 10.6 | 24 | 18.8 |
| Maryland ............................. | 325 | 160 | 49.3 | 44 | 13.6 | 115 | 35.5 |
| Massachusetts ...................... | 443 | 334 | 75.4 | 40 | 9.1 | 69 | 15.5 |
| Michigan ....... | 964 | 926 | 96.1 | 13 | 1.3 | 7 | 0.8 |
| Minnesota ............................ | 243 | 108 | 44.5 | 27 | 11.2 | 74 | 30.5 |
| Mississippi .......................... | 269 | 150 | 55.9 | 1 | 0.5 | 92 | 34.3 |
| Missouri | 427 | 271 | 63.4 | 17 | 3.9 | 140 | 32.7 |
| Montana .. | 56 | 34 | 60.6 | 3 | 6.1 | 19 | 33.3 |
| Nebraska .... | 75 | 51 | 68.9 | 6 | 7.6 | 18 | 23.6 |
| Nevada .............................. | 154 | 87 | 56.2 | 5 | 3.0 | 63 | 40.8 |
| New Hampshire ................... | 53 | 41 | 76.3 | 8 | 14.4 | 5 | 9.3 |
| New Jersey .......................... | 367 | 356 | 97.1 | - | - | 10 | 2.6 |
| New Mexico ........................ | 177 | 105 | 59.4 | 11 | 6.4 | 54 | 30.6 |
| New York | 1,573 | 1,050 | 66.7 | 134 | 8.5 | 62 | 3.9 |
| North Carolina .................... | 724 | 503 | 69.5 | 14 | 2.0 | 190 | 26.2 |
| North Dakota ....................... | 27 | 20 | 74.2 | 2 | 7.2 | 5 | 18.6 |
| Ohio ................................... | 837 | 531 | 63.5 | 35 | 4.2 | 270 | 32.3 |
| Oklahoma | 267 | 182 | 68.0 | 12 | 4.4 | 74 | 27.7 |
| Oregon ................................ | 416 | 302 | 72.6 | 18 | 4.3 | 96 | 23.1 |
| Pennsylvania ....................... | 812 | 732 | 90.1 | 5 | 0.7 | 36 | 4.4 |
| Rhode Island ........................ | 85 | 84 | 98.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.1 |
| South Carolina ..................... | 385 | 190 | 49.3 | 1 | 0.4 | 179 | 46.3 |
| South Dakota ........................ | 43 | 28 | 65.3 | 3 | 6.3 | 10 | 22.8 |
| Tennessee ............................ | 590 | 310 | 52.5 | 19 | 3.3 | 260 | 44.2 |
| Texas .................................. | 1,601 | 1,021 | 63.8 | 143 | 8.9 | 433 | 27.1 |
| Utah .................................... | 110 | 61 | 55.3 | 8 | 7.2 | 41 | 37.5 |
| Vermont .............................. | 45 | 35 | 79.0 | 4 | 10.0 | 5 | 11.0 |
| Virgin Islands ....................... | 9 | - | - | 0 | 1.9 | 9 | 98.1 |
| Virginia .............................. | 399 | 216 | 54.3 | 50 | 12.6 | 130 | 32.5 |
| Washington ......................... | 535 | 462 | 86.3 | 70 | 13.1 | 3 | 0.6 |
| West Virginia ...................... | 156 | 113 | 72.1 | 7 | 4.7 | 36 | 23.2 |
| Wisconsin ............................ | 369 | 367 | 99.4 | 2 | 0.6 | - | - |
| Wyoming ............................. | 15 | 9 | 62.9 | 0 | 1.7 | 5 | 35.4 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.

[^26]Table B.15. Distribution of Participating Categorically Eligible Households by Public Assistance Status and by State

| State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total SNAP } \\ & \text { Households } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Categorically Eligible Households |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Households |  | Pure PA Households ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Other Categorically Eligible Households ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 20,803 | 18,679 | 89.8 | 4,985 | 24.0 | 13,694 | 65.8 |
| Alabama | 377 | 377 | 100.0 | 63 | 16.7 | 314 | 83.3 |
| Alaska ............................. | 35 | 10 | 30.0 | 10 | 27.7 | 1 | 2.3 |
| Arizona ............................ | 456 | 456 | 100.0 | 62 | 13.6 | 394 | 86.4 |
| Arkansas .......................... | 205 | 39 | 18.8 | 34 | 16.3 | 5 | 2.5 |
| California ......................... | 1,603 | 1,421 | 88.6 | 600 | 37.4 | 821 | 51.2 |
| Colorado .......................... | 197 | 138 | 69.8 | 39 | 19.7 | 99 | 50.2 |
| Connecticut ...................... | 201 | 200 | 99.6 | 53 | 26.6 | 146 | 73.0 |
| Delaware .......................... | 61 | 61 | 100.0 | 14 | 22.5 | 47 | 77.5 |
| District of Columbia .......... | 76 | 76 | 100.0 | 26 | 34.2 | 50 | 65.8 |
| Florida ............................. | 1,659 | 1,659 | 100.0 | 337 | 20.3 | 1,322 | 79.7 |
| Georgia ............................ | 781 | 779 | 99.8 | 90 | 11.6 | 688 | 88.2 |
| Guam .............................. | 12 | 12 | 100.0 | 2 | 14.9 | 11 | 85.1 |
| Hawaii ............................. | 79 | 79 | 100.0 | 25 | 31.5 | 54 | 68.5 |
| Idaho .............................. | 95 | 95 | 100.0 | 11 | 11.6 | 84 | 88.4 |
| Illinois ............................. | 852 | 852 | 100.0 | 150 | 17.6 | 702 | 82.4 |
| Indiana ............................. | 375 | 69 | 18.4 | 66 | 17.7 | 3 | 0.7 |
| Iowa ................................ | 171 | 140 | 82.0 | 37 | 21.6 | 103 | 60.3 |
| Kansas ............................. | 136 | 34 | 24.6 | 30 | 21.9 | 4 | 2.7 |
| Kentucky ......................... | 374 | 374 | 100.0 | 94 | 25.2 | 280 | 74.8 |
| Louisiana .......................... | 381 | 381 | 100.0 | 70 | 18.4 | 311 | 81.6 |
| Maine .............................. | 126 | 126 | 100.0 | 32 | 25.2 | 94 | 74.8 |
| Maryland ......................... | 325 | 325 | 100.0 | 91 | 28.2 | 233 | 71.8 |
| Massachusetts .................. | 443 | 443 | 100.0 | 172 | 38.8 | 271 | 61.2 |
| Michigan ......................... | 964 | 964 | 100.0 | 208 | 21.6 | 756 | 78.4 |
| Minnesota ........................ | 243 | 243 | 100.0 | 106 | 43.4 | 138 | 56.6 |
| Mississippi ....................... | 269 | 268 | 99.9 | 56 | 21.0 | 212 | 78.9 |
| Missouri .......................... | 427 | 129 | 30.1 | 99 | 23.1 | 30 | 7.0 |
| Montana .......... | 56 | 56 | 100.0 | 11 | 20.0 | 45 | 80.0 |
| Nebraska ......................... | 75 | 21 | 27.8 | 19 | 25.7 | 2 | 2.1 |
| Nevada ............................ | 154 | 154 | 100.0 | 28 | 18.0 | 126 | 82.0 |
| New Hampshire ................ | 53 | 35 | 65.4 | 15 | 27.5 | 20 | 37.9 |
| New Jersey ....................... | 367 | 366 | 99.8 | 142 | 38.6 | 224 | 61.2 |
| New Mexico ..................... | 177 | 177 | 100.0 | 48 | 27.4 | 128 | 72.6 |
| New York ........................ | 1,573 | 1,572 | 99.9 | 590 | 37.5 | 982 | 62.4 |
| North Carolina .................. | 724 | 724 | 100.0 | 95 | 13.1 | 629 | 86.9 |
| North Dakota .................... | 27 | 27 | 100.0 | 6 | 20.8 | 22 | 79.2 |
| Ohio ................................ | 837 | 837 | 100.0 | 215 | 25.7 | 622 | 74.3 |
| Oklahoma ......................... | 267 | 267 | 100.0 | 54 | 20.3 | 213 | 79.7 |
| Oregon ............................. | 416 | 416 | 100.0 | 70 | 16.7 | 346 | 83.3 |
| Pennsylvania .................... | 812 | 812 | 100.0 | 297 | 36.6 | 515 | 63.4 |
| Rhode Island .................... | 85 | 85 | 99.9 | 23 | 27.0 | 62 | 73.0 |
| South Carolina .................. | 385 | 385 | 100.0 | 58 | 15.0 | 328 | 85.0 |
| South Dakota .................... | 43 | 13 | 31.1 | 9 | 20.8 | 4 | 10.4 |
| Tennessee ......................... | 590 | 138 | 23.3 | 119 | 20.2 | 18 | 3.1 |
| Texas ............................... | 1,601 | 1,601 | 100.0 | 205 | 12.8 | 1,395 | 87.2 |
| Utah ................................ | 110 | 26 | 23.6 | 19 | 16.8 | 7 | 6.8 |
| Vermont ........................... | 45 | 45 | 99.7 | 12 | 26.6 | 33 | 73.1 |
| Virgin Islands ................... | 9 | 9 | 100.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 8 | 90.0 |
| Virginia ........................... | 399 | 100 | 25.1 | 93 | 23.2 | 8 | 1.9 |
| Washington ...................... | 535 | 535 | 100.0 | 165 | 30.9 | 370 | 69.1 |
| West Virginia ................... | 156 | 156 | 100.0 | 47 | 29.9 | 110 | 70.1 |
| Wisconsin ......................... | 369 | 369 | 100.0 | 67 | 18.2 | 302 | 81.8 |
| Wyoming ......................... | 15 | 3 | 18.2 | 2 | 16.3 | 0 | 1.8 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pure PA households are those in which each member 1) received SSI, 2) was covered by a cash TANF benefit, or 3) received GA income.
b These households are categorically eligible due to the receipt of noncash TANF benefits or services such as child care or transportation subsidies, or through broad-based categorical eligibility. The vast majority of these households meet the federal SNAP eligibility criteria.

[^27]Table B.16. Distribution of Participating Households by Poverty Status and by State, and Effect of SNAP Benefits on the Poverty Status of SNAP Households

| State | Total SNAP <br> Households (000) | Distribution of Households in Relation to Poverty Guideline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Based on Cash Only |  |  | Based on Cash and SNAP Benefits |  |  | Difference in Percentage Points |  |  |
|  |  | 50 Percent or Less | 51 Percent to 100 Percent | 101 Percent or More | 50 Percent or Less | 51 Percent to 100 Percent | 101 Percent or More | 50 Percent or Less | 51 Percent to 100 Percent | 101 Percent or More |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 20,803 | 42.6 | 40.7 | 16.6 | 27.2 | 43.2 | 29.6 | -15.4 | 2.4 | 13.0 |
| Alabama ............ | 377 | 44.0 | 43.8 | 12.2 | 29.6 | 48.6 | 21.9 | -14.5 | 4.8 | 9.7 |
| Alaska ............... | 35 | 47.7 | 37.2 | 15.1 | 29.9 | 42.3 | 27.8 | -17.8 | 5.1 | 12.7 |
| Arizona .............. | 456 | 46.2 | 34.2 | 19.6 | 33.7 | 35.8 | 30.5 | -12.5 | 1.6 | 10.9 |
| Arkansas ............ | 205 | 42.4 | 46.1 | 11.5 | 28.4 | 49.7 | 21.9 | -14.0 | 3.6 | 10.4 |
| California ........... | 1,603 | 67.6 | 26.2 | 6.2 | 31.5 | 54.9 | 13.5 | -36.1 | 28.8 | 7.3 |
| Colorado ............. | 197 | 46.4 | 39.6 | 14.0 | 28.0 | 45.6 | 26.4 | -18.4 | 6.0 | 12.4 |
| Connecticut ........ | 201 | 37.3 | 39.5 | 23.2 | 23.8 | 37.3 | 38.9 | -13.5 | -2.2 | 15.7 |
| Delaware ........... | 61 | 41.4 | 35.2 | 23.4 | 26.5 | 40.0 | 33.5 | -14.9 | 4.9 | 10.1 |
| District of Columbia | 76 | 61.0 | 29.3 | 9.6 | 37.1 | 48.2 | 14.8 | -24.0 | 18.8 | 5.1 |
| Florida ............... | 1,659 | 43.9 | 41.2 | 14.9 | 34.9 | 39.4 | 25.8 | -9.1 | -1.8 | 10.9 |
| Georgia .............. | 781 | 46.4 | 40.4 | 13.1 | 33.0 | 41.9 | 25.1 | -13.5 | 1.5 | 12.0 |
| Guam ................ | 12 | 59.5 | 25.3 | 15.2 | 18.8 | 46.0 | 35.2 | -40.7 | 20.7 | 20.0 |
| Hawaii ............... | 79 | 43.5 | 46.5 | 10.0 | 20.8 | 52.2 | 27.0 | -22.7 | 5.6 | 17.0 |
| Idaho ................. | 95 | 41.8 | 42.0 | 16.2 | 27.3 | 41.6 | 31.1 | -14.5 | -0.5 | 14.9 |
| Illinois ............... | 852 | 46.3 | 42.1 | 11.6 | 32.5 | 45.5 | 22.0 | -13.8 | 3.4 | 10.4 |
| Indiana ............... | 375 | 42.6 | 43.8 | 13.6 | 29.6 | 45.1 | 25.3 | -13.0 | 1.3 | 11.7 |
| Iowa .................. | 171 | 39.5 | 41.8 | 18.7 | 23.6 | 44.0 | 32.4 | -15.9 | 2.2 | 13.7 |
| Kansas ............... | 136 | 41.6 | 43.8 | 14.6 | 27.0 | 48.5 | 24.5 | -14.6 | 4.7 | 9.9 |
| Kentucky ........... | 374 | 43.1 | 47.0 | 10.0 | 29.3 | 52.9 | 17.8 | -13.8 | 5.9 | 7.8 |
| Louisiana ........... | 381 | 42.0 | 46.1 | 12.0 | 28.1 | 49.0 | 22.9 | -13.9 | 3.0 | 10.9 |
| Maine ................ | 126 | 29.0 | 42.9 | 28.1 | 18.9 | 37.5 | 43.6 | -10.1 | -5.4 | 15.6 |
| Maryland ............ | 325 | 42.0 | 38.8 | 19.1 | 29.5 | 41.0 | 29.5 | -12.5 | 2.2 | 10.3 |
| Massachusetts .... | 443 | 29.3 | 47.3 | 23.3 | 15.4 | 34.4 | 50.2 | -13.9 | -12.9 | 26.8 |
| Michigan ........... | 964 | 35.4 | 41.1 | 23.5 | 22.3 | 38.6 | 39.1 | -13.1 | -2.5 | 15.6 |
| Minnesota .......... | 243 | 40.5 | 41.6 | 17.9 | 32.4 | 40.2 | 27.3 | -8.1 | -1.4 | 9.5 |
| Mississippi ......... | 269 | 41.6 | 49.0 | 9.4 | 30.0 | 50.8 | 19.1 | -11.6 | 1.8 | 9.7 |
| Missouri ............ | 427 | 41.1 | 44.2 | 14.7 | 26.5 | 49.7 | 23.8 | -14.6 | 5.5 | 9.1 |
| Montana ............ | 56 | 39.2 | 40.8 | 20.0 | 26.3 | 40.9 | 32.8 | -12.9 | 0.1 | 12.8 |
| Nebraska ........... | 75 | 34.9 | 49.1 | 16.0 | 19.0 | 49.5 | 31.5 | -15.9 | 0.4 | 15.5 |
| Nevada .............. | 154 | 44.0 | 36.6 | 19.4 | 29.5 | 41.9 | 28.6 | -14.5 | 5.3 | 9.2 |
| New Hampshire | 53 | 25.4 | 46.5 | 28.1 | 13.9 | 42.5 | 43.6 | -11.6 | -4.0 | 15.6 |
| New Jersey ......... | 367 | 35.8 | 44.1 | 20.1 | 18.4 | 48.0 | 33.6 | -17.4 | 3.9 | 13.6 |
| New Mexico ....... | 177 | 43.9 | 42.3 | 13.8 | 24.0 | 51.7 | 24.3 | -19.9 | 9.4 | 10.5 |
| New York .......... | 1,573 | 29.1 | 50.0 | 20.9 | 13.0 | 33.9 | 53.1 | -16.1 | -16.1 | 32.2 |
| North Carolina ... | 724 | 44.5 | 36.6 | 18.9 | 30.4 | 41.5 | 28.1 | -14.2 | 4.9 | 9.2 |
| North Dakota ...... | 27 | 32.3 | 40.7 | 27.0 | 19.1 | 40.3 | 40.6 | -13.2 | -0.4 | 13.6 |
| Ohio .................. | 837 | 41.8 | 42.1 | 16.1 | 25.7 | 46.4 | 27.8 | -16.1 | 4.4 | 11.7 |
| Oklahoma ........... | 267 | 42.8 | 45.6 | 11.6 | 30.4 | 47.3 | 22.4 | -12.4 | 1.7 | 10.7 |
| Oregon ............... | 416 | 39.9 | 35.4 | 24.7 | 29.4 | 34.4 | 36.2 | -10.5 | -1.0 | 11.5 |
| Pennsylvania ...... | 812 | 32.3 | 46.4 | 21.4 | 18.0 | 45.4 | 36.6 | -14.2 | -1.0 | 15.2 |
| Rhode Island ...... | 85 | 30.8 | 45.0 | 24.2 | 19.3 | 35.0 | 45.7 | -11.5 | -10.0 | 21.5 |
| South Carolina ... | 385 | 50.0 | 37.5 | 12.5 | 35.9 | 44.0 | 20.1 | -14.1 | 6.5 | 7.5 |
| South Dakota ...... | 43 | 36.4 | 45.3 | 18.3 | 22.3 | 41.2 | 36.5 | -14.1 | -4.1 | 18.2 |
| Tennessee .......... | 590 | 49.1 | 38.7 | 12.2 | 37.0 | 42.7 | 20.2 | -12.1 | 4.0 | 8.1 |
| Texas ................. | 1,601 | 44.1 | 36.3 | 19.5 | 29.0 | 40.6 | 30.4 | -15.1 | 4.3 | 10.9 |
| Utah .................. | 110 | 40.4 | 44.6 | 15.0 | 26.7 | 46.2 | 27.1 | -13.7 | 1.6 | 12.1 |
| Vermont ............ | 45 | 22.6 | 36.4 | 40.9 | 13.3 | 22.9 | 63.8 | -9.4 | -13.5 | 22.9 |
| Virgin Islands ..... | 9 | 56.6 | 28.4 | 15.0 | 24.5 | 47.3 | 28.2 | -32.1 | 18.9 | 13.2 |
| Virginia ............. | 399 | 44.3 | 42.5 | 13.2 | 29.9 | 47.9 | 22.2 | -14.4 | 5.4 | 9.0 |
| Washington ........ | 535 | 39.8 | 38.6 | 21.6 | 24.1 | 45.7 | 30.1 | -15.7 | 7.2 | 8.5 |
| West Virginia ..... | 156 | 30.8 | 56.0 | 13.2 | 18.9 | 56.0 | 25.1 | -11.9 | <0 | 11.9 |
| Wisconsin ........... | 369 | 30.4 | 38.4 | 31.3 | 21.9 | 29.3 | 48.8 | -8.4 | -9.1 | 17.5 |
| Wyoming ............ | 15 | 38.2 | 48.2 | 13.6 | 22.0 | 52.1 | 25.9 | -16.2 | 3.9 | 12.3 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.
${ }^{a}$ Defined as the fiscal year 2011 SNAP net income screen (see Appendix C).
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.
$<0$ Negative value too near zero to display.

## APPENDIX C

## FISCAL YEAR 2011 SNAP PARAMETERS

This page has been intentionally left blank for double-sided copying.

Table C.1. Fiscal Year 2010 HHS Poverty Income Guidelines ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Household Size | Contiguous <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 10,830$ | $\$ 13,530$ | $\$ 12,460$ |
| 2 | 14,570 | 18,210 | 16,760 |
| 3 | 18,310 | 22,890 | 21,060 |
| 4 | 22,050 | 27,570 | 25,360 |
| 5 | 25,790 | 32,250 | 29,660 |
| 7 | 29,530 | 36,930 | 33,960 |
| 7 | 33,270 | 41,610 | 38,260 |
| Each Additional Member | $+3,740$ | 46,290 | 42,560 |

Source: 75 Federal Register 148, August 3, 2010.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ HHS issued these numbers, which provide the basis for the fiscal year 2011 SNAP gross and net monthly income eligibility standards. The 2010 poverty guidelines were unchanged from the 2009 poverty guidelines (see http:// aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/ 10fedreg.shtml), since both the 2009 and 2010 poverty guidelines were developed on the basis of the 2008 Census poverty thresholds.

Table C.2. SNAP Maximum Allowable Gross Monthly Income Eligibility Standards in Fiscal Year $2011^{\text {a }}$

| Household Size | Contiguous <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 1,174$ | $\$ 1,466$ | $\$ 1,350$ |
| 2 | 1,579 | 1,973 | 1,816 |
| 3 | 1,984 | 2,480 | 2,282 |
| 4 | 2,389 | 2,987 | 2,748 |
| 5 | 2,794 | 3,494 | 3,214 |
| 7 | 3,200 | 4,001 | 3,679 |
| 7 | 3,605 | 4,508 | 4,145 |
| 8 | 4,010 | 5,015 | 4,611 |
| Each Additional Member | +406 | +507 | +466 |

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The fiscal year 2011 SNAP gross monthly income limits were based on the 2010 poverty guidelines issued by HHS (see Table C.1). FNS derived the fiscal year 2011 gross income limits by multiplying the 2010 poverty guidelines by 130 percent, dividing the results by 12, and then rounding up to the nearest dollar. The 2010 poverty guidelines were unchanged from the 2009 poverty guidelines (see http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/10fedreg.shtml), since both the 2009 and 2010 poverty guidelines were developed on the basis of the 2008 Census poverty thresholds; therefore, the gross income limits applied to SNAP households in fiscal year 2011 were based on 2008 poverty measures.

Table C.3. SNAP Maximum Allowable Net Monthly Income Eligibility Standards in Fiscal Year $2011^{\text {a }}$

| Household Size | Contiguous <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 903$ | $\$ 1,128$ | $\$ 1,039$ |
| 2 | 1,215 | 1,518 | 1,397 |
| 3 | 1,526 | 1,908 | 1,755 |
| 4 | 1,838 | 2,298 | 2,114 |
| 5 | 2,150 | 2,688 | 2,472 |
| 7 | 2,461 | 3,078 | 2,830 |
| 7 | 2,773 | 3,468 | 3,189 |
| 8 | 3,085 | 3,858 | 3,547 |
| Each Additional Member | +312 | +390 | +359 |

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The fiscal year 2011 SNAP net monthly income limits were based on the 2010 poverty guidelines issued by HHS (see Table C.1). FNS derived the fiscal year 2011 net income limits by dividing the 2010 poverty guidelines by 12 and rounding up to the nearest dollar. The 2010 poverty guidelines were unchanged from the 2009 poverty guidelines (see http:// aspe.hhs.gov/ poverty/ 10fedreg.shtml), since both the 2009 and 2010 poverty guidelines were developed on the basis of the 2008 Census poverty thresholds; therefore, the net income limits applied to SNAP households in fiscal year 2011 were based on 2008 poverty measures.

Table C.4. Value of Standard and Maximum Excess Shelter Expense Deductions in the Contiguous United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year 2011

| Deduction | Contiguous <br> United States | Alaska | Hawaii | Guam | Virgin <br> Islands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1-2$ people | $\$ 142$ | $\$ 243$ | $\$ 201$ | $\$ 286$ | $\$ 125$ |
| 3 people | 142 | 243 | 201 | 286 | 127 |
| 4 people | 153 | 243 | 201 | 305 | 153 |
| 5 people | 179 | 243 | 205 | 357 | 179 |
| 6 or more people | 205 | 256 | 617 | 509 | 205 |
| Maximum Excess <br> Shelter Expense <br> Deduction | 458 | 732 |  |  | 368 |

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
Notes: The Homeless Household Shelter Estimate was \$143.
The Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (PL 110-246) eliminated the Maximum Dependent Care Deduction.

Certain State-specific programs did not apply all federal SNAP deductions in the benefit calculation. Only the earnings deduction was used in the benefit calculation for MFIP households. No deductions were used for SSI-CAP households with standardized benefits. States with non- standardized SSI- CAP benefits used the standard deduction and the excess shelter deduction when calculating benefit levels for SSI- CAP households.

Table C.5. Value of Maximum Monthly SNAP Benefit in the Contiguous United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year $2011^{\text {a, b }}$

| Household <br> Size | Contiguous <br> United <br> States | Alaska <br> Urban | Alaska <br> Rural I | Alaska <br> Rural II | Hawaii | Guam | Virgin <br> Islands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 200$ | $\$ 239$ | $\$ 304$ | $\$ 371$ | $\$ 314$ | $\$ 295$ | $\$ 257$ |
| 2 | 367 | 438 | 559 | 680 | 575 | 541 | 472 |
| 3 | 526 | 627 | 800 | 974 | 824 | 775 | 676 |
| 4 | 668 | 797 | 1,016 | 1,237 | 1,046 | 985 | 859 |
| 5 | 793 | 946 | 1,207 | 1,469 | 1,243 | 1,169 | 1,020 |
| 7 | 1,052 | 1,255 | 1,600 | 1,948 | 1,648 | 1,551 | 1,353 |
| 8 | 1,202 | 1,434 | 1,829 | 2,226 | 1,884 | 1,773 | 1,546 |
|  |  | 1,448 | 1,762 | 1,491 | 1,403 | 1,224 |  |
| Each Additional | +150 | +179 | +229 | +278 | +236 | +222 | +193 |
| Member |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
${ }^{a}$ The maximum benefit values were effective from October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011 and were based on 113.6 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in June 2008 for a reference family of four, rounded to the lowest dollar increment.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Due to the unusual nature of Alaska's terrain and climate, areas outside major urban centers are less accessible to food distributors. Therefore, the value of the maximum benefit was adjusted to account for differences in the estimated cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in various regions of the State. For this purpose, all regions of Alaska were classified as Rural I, Rural II, or Urban.

Table C.6. Value of Minimum Monthly SNAP Benefit in the Contiguous United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year $2011^{\text {a, b }}$

| Household Size | Contiguous <br> United <br> States | Alaska <br> Urban | Alaska <br> Rural I | Alaska <br> Rural II | Hawaii | Guam | Virgin <br> Islands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-2$ | $\$ 16$ | $\$ 19$ | $\$ 24$ | $\$ 30$ | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 24$ | $\$ 21$ |

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
${ }^{a}$ The minimum benefit values were effective from October 1, 2010 to September 30, 2011.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ The minimum benefit, applicable to one- and two- person households, is equal to 8 percent of the maximum benefit for single- person households.

## APPENDIX D

## SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATES
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## SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATES

The estimates in this report are derived from a sample of households selected for review as part of the SNAP Quality Control System (SNAP QC), an ongoing review of SNAP household circumstances. The system is designed to determine (1) if households are eligible for participation in SNAP and are receiving the correct benefit amount or (2) if household participation is correctly denied or terminated. It is based on State samples (from the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands) of approximately 58,000 participating SNAP households and a somewhat smaller number of denials and terminations. The State samples of participating units are stratified by month. Annual required State samples range from a minimum of 300 to 2,400 reviews, depending on the size of the State's caseload. Each month, State agencies select an independent sample that is generally proportional to the size of the monthly participating caseload.

## Target Universe

The target universe of this study is all participating households (active cases) subject to quality control review in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. ${ }^{1}$

While most participating SNAP households are included in the target universe, certain types of households not subject to review are excluded. Specifically, the universe includes all households receiving SNAP benefits during the review period except those in which all participants (1) died or moved outside the State; (2) received benefits through a disaster certification authorized by FNS; (3) were under investigation for SNAP fraud (including those with pending fraud hearings) and/or were appealing a notice of adverse action; or (4) received restored benefits in accordance with the State manual but were otherwise ineligible. The sampling unit within the universe each month is the active SNAP household as specified in FNS regulations.

## Data Editing

The estimates in this report are derived from the fiscal year 2011 SNAP QC datafile, an edited version of the raw datafile generated by the Quality Control System. The raw fiscal year 2011 data are made up of monthly samples from October 2010 through September 2011.

Households with an incomplete Quality Control review or that were found ineligible for SNAP benefits were dropped from the edited datafile. Of the 57,892 sample cases in the raw datafile, 2,545 were determined not subject to review. The Quality Control System did not deselect any cases to correct for oversampling (see Table D.1). Of those cases subject to review, 3,388 did not undergo a complete review because the household failed to cooperate, could not be located, or all members had died or moved. An additional 758 households were found either ineligible for SNAP or eligible for SNAP but ineligible for a positive benefit and thus were dropped from the datafile because data on their characteristics are not collected. An additional 86 households were dropped from the file due to internal inconsistencies that could not be resolved, as discussed below. The final unweighted number of households in the final fiscal year 2011 SNAP QC file is 51,115. Table D. 2 shows the distribution of these unweighted households by State.

[^28]Table D.1. Number of Cases Sampled, Dropped from the Edited File, and Included in the Edited File, Fiscal Year 2011

|  | Fiscal Year 2011 <br> SNAP QC Sample |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of cases sampled | 57,892 |
| Cases not subject to review | 2,545 |
| Cases deselected to correct for oversampling | 0 |
| Cases subject to review | 55,347 |
| Incomplete cases | 3,388 |
| Cases completed | 51,959 |
| Households not eligible for a positive | 47 |
| benefit | 711 |
| Households not eligible for SNAP | 51,201 |
| Households eligible for a positive benefit | 86 |
| Households dropped due to | 51,115 |
| inconsistencies |  |
| Households on the final file |  |

Source: $\quad$ Fiscal Year 2011 SNAP QC sample.

Failure to complete reviews for all cases subject to review may bias the sample results if the characteristics of households not reviewed differ significantly from those of reviewed households. In the absence of direct measures of such differences, the ratio of completed reviews to total cases subject to review provides an indication of the magnitude of any potential bias. For fiscal year 2011, the completion rate is 94 percent, a slight increase from fiscal year 2010.

Consistent measures of unit size, income, and benefit level are important to any analysis of SNAP households. Inconsistencies may occur in the initial case record information, the transcription and data entry process, or the extraction of SNAP information for the selected months.

To obtain the highest degree of consistency between related variables in the data while maintaining the database's integrity, the reported raw data are edited as described in the Technical Documentation for the Fiscal Year 2011 SNAP QC Database and QC Minimodel. For instance, in most cases, a household's net countable income should equal the household's gross countable income minus the total deductions the household is eligible for, and the SNAP benefit level should equal the household's maximum benefit minus 30 percent of the household's net countable income. Exceptions are households participating in MFIP or SSI-CAP in States with standardized benefit amounts. These households are subject to different eligibility and benefit determination rules, and their data have been edited accordingly. Additionally, if the value of deductions exceeds gross income, net income is equal to zero.

Although most inconsistencies in these basic relationships were resolved in the editing process, the measures could not be reconciled for 86 records in the raw datafile. These 86 records were therefore dropped from the edited datafile.

Table D.2. Unweighted Distribution of Participating Households by State

| State |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | SNAP Households |  |
|  |  |  |

[^29]
## Weighting

The estimates for fiscal year 2011 in this report are based on a sample of 51,115 valid observations. The sample records have been weighted to match SNAP Program Operations totals after adjustment to remove households ineligible for benefits as well as those receiving benefits issued through the SNAP disaster assistance program, as these households are not included in the SNAP QC datafile. ${ }^{2}$ The weighting procedure matches to SNAP Program Operation totals for (1) the monthly number of participating households by State and stratum, (2) the monthly number of participants by State, and (3) the monthly total benefits issued by State. Table D. 3 compares the Quality Control System sample-based estimates to aggregate program participation data for fiscal year 2011.

The fiscal year 2011 weighting methodology is similar to the weighting methodology used for the fiscal year 2005 through fiscal year 2010 SNAP QC datafiles. However, it differs from the weighting methodology used in the development of the SNAP QC datafiles prior to fiscal year 2005, which matched to Program Operation totals for households only and not to individuals or benefits. The fiscal year 2003 and fiscal year 2004 SNAP QC datafiles are weighted to match the disaster- and error-adjusted monthly numbers of SNAP households by State and stratum. SNAP QC datafiles before fiscal year 2003 are weighted to match the monthly number of SNAP households by State and stratum, unadjusted for ineligible households or the disaster assistance program.

Table D.3. Comparison of Program Data to Edited SNAP QC Datafile, Fiscal Year 2011

| Average Monthly Value | Fiscal Year 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Program Data | Adjustments for Disaster Assistance | Adjustments for Ineligible Households | Edited SNAP QC Datafile |
| Number of households | 21,072,113 | 31,156 | 237,812 | 20,803,145 |
| Number of participants | 44,708,726 | 75,179 | 485,158 | 44,148,389 |
| Value of benefits | \$5,984,450,212 | \$20,777,434 | \$125,479,487 | \$5,838,193,291 |
| Average household size | 2.12 | 2.41 | 2.04 | 2.12 |
| Average benefit per person | \$133.85 | - | \$258.64 | \$132.24 |

Sources: Fiscal Year 2011 Program Data and SNAP QC datafile.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ We adjust households and individuals for new disaster SNAP households only, and adjust benefits for disaster SNAP benefits issued to new households as well as supplemental benefits issued to qualifying ongoing SNAP households. As a result, the average disaster SNAP benefit per person cannot be calculated from the information in this table.

[^30]
## Comparison to Reported Data

Table D. 4 compares the reported and calculated values of selected variables for fiscal year 2011. Reported values and averages reflect those in the SNAP QC datafile before any editing has taken place. Calculated values and averages are based on the edited datafile used for this report.

Table D.4. Comparison of Calculated and Reported Values for Selected Variables of Participating Households, Fiscal Year 2011


Source: Fiscal Year 2011 SNAP QC data file.
${ }^{a}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 33,612 households participating in MFIP and 479,294 households participating in an SSI- CAP program in States that use standardized SSI- CAP benefits are excluded from this comparison.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI- CAP benefits are excluded from this comparison.
${ }^{\text {c Reported benefit adjusted for reported overissuance errors, underissuance errors, and prorated benefits. }}$

This page has been intentionally left blank for double-sided copying.

## APPENDIX E

## SAMPLING ERROR OF ESTIMATES

This page has been intentionally left blank for double-sided copying.

## SAMPLING ERROR OF ESTIMATES

The estimates of the characteristics of SNAP households in this report are based on a sample of households and, consequently, are subject to statistical sampling error. One indicator of the magnitude of the sampling error associated with a given estimate is its standard error. Standard errors measure the variation in estimated values that would be observed if multiple replications of the sample were drawn. The magnitude of the standard errors depends on: (1) the degree of variation in the variable within the population from which the sample is drawn; (2) the design of the sample, including such issues as stratification and sampling probabilities; and (3) the size of the sample on which the estimate is based. This appendix presents estimates of the standard errors associated with key statistics and outlines methods for estimating the standard errors of other statistics for which standard errors have not been directly calculated.

## Standard Errors

The standard error of an estimated proportion of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, based on a simple random sample is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{p}=\sqrt{[p(1-p)(N-n)] /[(n-1) N]} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where p is the weighted estimate of the proportion, N is the number of households in the population, and n is the sample size. ${ }^{1}$ The standard error of an estimated number of households, $s_{\mathrm{N}}$, based on a simple random sample is:
(2) $S_{N}=N S_{p}$

These formulas for the standard errors of estimates based on a simple random sample do not necessarily apply to estimates derived from more complex samples, such as the stratified design of the SNAP QC sample. In this appendix, standard errors calculated using equations (1) and (2) are referred to as "naive standard errors." Standard errors can be estimated more accurately using a bootstrap method.

The bootstrap method requires the computation of 500 sets of replicate household weights. Each set is calculated using a nonlinear programming method based on a random sample of the

[^31]SNAP QC data file. These replicate weights are then used to calculate standard errors. The following discussion presents standard errors of selected estimates that were computed using the bootstrap method. It then presents a simple method for approximating standard errors of estimates for which individual standard errors have not been computed.

## Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Households

The standard errors of selected estimates of SNAP households in fiscal year 2011 are shown in Table E.1. These standard errors can be used to compute confidence intervals for the estimated number of households with a particular characteristic. ${ }^{2}$ For example, the estimated number of SNAP households that receive the minimum benefit is 881,000 (Table A.1), and the corresponding standard error is 25,730 (Table E.1). Therefore, the 95 percent confidence interval extends from 829,540 to $932,460 .{ }^{3}$

For standard errors not shown in Table E.1, the approximate standard error, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}}$, of an estimated number of households for Fiscal Year 2011 can be calculated using equation (3):
(3) $S_{E}=S_{N} x d$
where $S_{\mathrm{N}}$ is the naive standard error from equation (2) above, and d is the square root of the design effect for the population subgroup and characteristic of interest, from Table E.2. The design effect reflects the loss of precision due to the different sampling rates in different strata of the SNAP QC sample. It is the ratio of the variance computed by the bootstrap method (Table E.1) to the naive variance. ${ }^{4}$ When the population subgroup (for example, households with elderly) is listed in Table E.2, but the characteristic of interest is not, use the average square root of the design effect for the subgroup, from the rightmost column of Table E.2. When neither the subgroup nor the characteristic is listed, use the average square root of the design effect for all SNAP households, 1.91 .

For example, to estimate the standard error of the number of households containing an elderly person with zero net income, the first step is to obtain the size of the estimate. As shown in appendix Table A.17, 724,000 elderly households have zero net income. The next step is to

[^32]calculate the naive standard error. Using equations (1) and (2), the value is $15,486 .{ }^{5}$ Multiplying 15,486 by the square root of the design effect (d), 1.74, from Table E. 2 yields an estimated standard error of 26,946 .

## Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages

Comparing equations (1) and (2), it is apparent that the standard error of an estimated percentage of households, $S_{p}$, is equal to the standard error of the corresponding count of households, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$, divided by the number of households in the population that forms the base of the percentage. That is:

$$
\text { (4) } S_{p}=S_{N} / N
$$

For example, appendix Table A. 17 shows that, of the $9,794,000$ households with children, $1,216,000$ ( 12.4 percent) have no gross income. The standard error ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) of the number of households with children with no gross income is 29,600 (Table E.1). To calculate $S_{p}$, the standard error of the corresponding percentage estimate, simply divide $S_{\mathrm{N}}$ by the number of households in the population that forms the base of the percentage-in this case, $9,794,000$ households with children. The resulting standard error of the percentage estimate is 0.3 percentage points, and the corresponding 95 percent confidence interval extends from 11.8 to 13.0 percent around the point estimate of 12.4 percent.

Equation (4) can also be applied to standard errors that are not shown in Table E.1. First, calculate the adjusted naive standard error of the number of households using equation (3). Then divide the resulting standard error by the size of the population that forms the base of the percentage. Returning to an earlier example-of the $3,426,000$ households with elderly individuals, 724,000 ( 21.1 percent) have zero net income. Dividing the adjusted naive standard error (calculated above as 26,946 ) by $3,426,000$ yields an adjusted naive standard error of the percentage estimate of 0.8 percentage points.

## Standard Errors of Estimated Means

The standard errors for selected estimated means for Fiscal Year 2011 are provided in Table E.3. For example, the standard error of the mean gross income for all SNAP households in Fiscal Year 2011 is $\$ 2.71$ (Table E.3), and the mean itself is $\$ 744$ (Table A.20). Therefore, a 95 percent confidence interval extends from approximately $\$ 739$ to $\$ 749$.

[^33]Generalized approximation methods such as the one used in equation (3) work well for standard errors of estimated numbers and percentages, because the standard errors depend only on the sample size, the estimated proportion, and the design effects. Generalized methods are less appropriate for standard errors of means because the standard error depends on the variance as well as the sample size and design effects. Nevertheless, a rough approximation of the magnitude of standard errors of means not included in Table E. 3 can be obtained from Table E.4. Table E. 4 shows for each variable in Table E. 3 the average, minimum, and maximum value of that variable's standard error as a percentage of the variable's mean value. These three values are shown for all SNAP households and for selected subgroups. The standard errors in Table E. 4 include design effects.

Table E.1. Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of SNAP Households, Fiscal Year $2011^{\text {a }}$

|  | Households (000) With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sample Size | Estimated Population (000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zero Gross Income | $\begin{gathered} \text { Zero } \\ \text { Net } \\ \text { Income } \end{gathered}$ | Minimum Benefits | Earned Income | Elderly Individuals | Children | School Age Children | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals |  |  |
| All SNAP Households ....... | 58.34 | 87.83 | 25.73 | 66.20 | 48.25 | 74.41 | 58.74 | 49.68 | 51,115 | 20,803 |
| With Elderly Individuals. | 15.36 | 27.05 | 17.32 | 13.68 | 48.25 | 12.54 | 11.76 | 10.90 | 8,138 | 3,426 |
| Without Elderly Individuals | 56.06 | 82.55 | 18.99 | 65.82 | NA | 73.34 | 57.60 | 49.63 | 42,977 | 17,378 |
| With Children............ | 29.60 | 46.22 | 7.26 | 63.01 | 12.54 | 74.41 | 58.74 | 32.66 | 23,566 | 9,794 |
| With School Age Children $\qquad$ | 23.98 | 39.58 | 6.59 | 50.25 | 11.76 | 58.74 | 58.74 | 30.54 | 17,502 | 7,304 |
| Without Children ...... | 52.10 | 72.76 | 23.95 | 33.82 | 46.87 | NA | NA | 42.92 | 27,549 | 11,009 |
| With Earnings ........... | NA | 36.40 | 12.54 | 66.20 | 13.68 | 63.01 | 50.25 | 18.03 | 15,596 | 6,351 |
| With Disabled <br> Nonelderly <br> Individuals................. | 0.35 | 22.16 | 14.28 | 18.03 | 10.90 | 32.66 | 30.54 | 49.68 | 10,998 | 4,199 |

## Source:

Fiscal Year 2011 SNAP QC sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors were estimated using the bootstrap method.
NA $=$ not applicable.

Table E.2. Square Root of Design Effects (d) for Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers or Percentages of SNAP Households, Fiscal Year $2011^{\text {a }}$

| Base of Estimated Number | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average Square Root of Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zero Gross Income | Zero Net Income | Minimum Benefits | Earned Income | Elderly Individuals | Children | School Age Children | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals |  |
| All SNAP Households ......... | 1.59 | 1.95 | 1.39 | 1.56 | 1.41 | 1.62 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.53 |
| With Elderly Individuals | 1.64 | 1.74 | 1.39 | 1.54 | NA | 1.56 | 1.53 | 1.55 | 1.56 |
| Without Elderly Individuals $\qquad$ | 1.60 | 1.99 | 1.41 | 1.64 | NA | 1.76 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.60 |
| With Children ............. | 1.41 | 1.54 | 1.31 | 1.98 | 1.54 | NA | 2.11 | 1.46 | 1.62 |
| With School Age Children. $\qquad$ | 1.42 | 1.58 | 1.33 | 1.82 | 1.51 | NA | NA | 1.48 | 1.52 |
| Without Children ......... | 1.78 | 2.20 | 1.39 | 1.47 | 1.55 | NA | NA | 1.49 | 1.65 |
| With Earnings............. | NA | 1.72 | 1.47 | NA | 1.54 | 2.89 | 1.99 | 1.37 | 1.83 |
| With Disabled Nonelderly Individuals. | 0.98 | 1.58 | 1.41 | 1.45 | 1.63 | 1.73 | 1.67 | NA | 1.49 |

Source: $\quad$ Fiscal Year 2011 SNAP QC sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The design effect is the ratio of the variance computed by the bootstrap method to the naive variance for the specific cell of the table. The average square root of design effect for each row is a simple arithmetic average of the values for each cell in the row.
NA = not applicable.

Table E.3. Standard Errors of Estimated Means, Fiscal Year $2011^{\text {a }}$

|  | Gross Income | Net Income | Benefits | All Deductions | Total Resources | Household Size | Certification Period | Earnings ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TANF ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SSI ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All SNAP Households.... | 2.71 | 1.08 | 0.23 | 1.92 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 6.96 | 4.87 | 4.17 | 1.57 |
| With Elderly Individuals | 7.12 | 6.36 | 1.73 | 6.09 | 2.84 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 35.94 | 38.82 | 7.43 | 5.93 |
| Without Elderly Individuals. | 3.27 | 1.46 | 0.57 | 1.97 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 7.13 | 4.82 | 5.12 | 1.46 |
| With Children............ | 4.80 | 3.47 | 2.00 | 2.80 | 1.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 9.01 | 4.95 | 9.58 | 1.95 |
| With School Age Children. | 6.52 | 5.04 | 2.49 | 3.31 | 1.31 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 11.70 | 6.37 | 10.25 | 2.26 |
| Without Children........ | 4.38 | 2.95 | 0.69 | 2.62 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 11.15 | 19.70 | 4.64 | 2.52 |
| With Earnings............ | 6.74 | 5.78 | 1.88 | 3.21 | 1.56 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 6.96 | 11.82 | 12.73 | 2.18 |
| With Disabled Nonelderly Individuals | 5.77 | 5.85 | 2.30 | 4.53 | 1.34 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 27.79 | 11.30 | 5.09 | 4.00 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 SNAP QC sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors were estimated using the bootstrap method.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ For households with a nonzero amount.

Table E.4. Range of Standard Errors of Mean Amounts Expressed as a Percentage of the Mean Amount, Fiscal Year $2011^{\text {a }}$

| Number of Households in Base of Mean (000) | Standard Error as Percent of Mean Amount |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Lowest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Highest ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 20,803 (All SNAP Households). | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 |
| 3,426 (Households With Elderly Individuals) ............................... | 3.2 | 0.8 | 11.5 |
| 9,794 (Households With Children). | 1.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 |
| 6,351 (Households With Earnings). | 1.5 | 0.4 | 5.7 |
| 4,199 (Households With Disabled Nonelderly Individuals)...... | 2.0 | 0.6 | 7.9 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2011 SNAP QC sample.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors from Table E. 3 and mean amounts from applicable text tables.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Average standard error across all 11 variables in Table E. 3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Lowest of the standard errors across all 11 variables in Table E. 3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
${ }^{d}$ Highest of the standard errors across all 11 variables in Table E. 3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
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## QUALITY CONTROL REVIEW SCHEDULE

PRIVACY ACT/PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0584-0299. The time required to complete this collection is estimated to average 1.05 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. This report is required under provisions of 7 CFR 275.14 . This information is needed for the review of State performance in determining recipient eligibility. The information is used to determine State compliance, and failure to report may result in a finding of non-compliance.
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Children $3,16,23,28,59,60,64,77,78,79$
Households containing ..... 14, 16-20, 29, 30, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-48,50-55, 57, 58, 62, 71, 97, 103, 105-108
Citizenship (see also Noncitizen) $7,21,23,30,35,36,58,59,62,78,79$
Contributions ..... 31, 40, 41
Countable resources (see Resources)
Deductions ..... $5,13,24,28,29,31,36,41,44-47,53-56,63,68,94,97,107$
Child support payment deduction ..... $5,28,33,46,53-56$
Dependent care deduction $5,28,44-46,53-56,88$
Earned income deduction ..... 5, 28, 44-46, 53-56, 74
Excess shelter expense deduction $5,28,31,44,45,47,53-56,88$
Medical deduction ..... 5, 29, 44-46, 53-56, 62
Standard deduction $5,9,10,31,88$
Deemed income ..... 28, 31, 40, 41
Dependent care deduction 5, 28, 44-46, 53-56, 88
Deportees. ..... 7, 27, 28, 30Disabled nonelderly individuals
$\qquad$ 13, 14, 18-21, 29, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-48, 50-55, 57, 58, 62, 71, 97, 105-108Earned income$16,18,21,28,35,36,40-48,52-58,72-74,97,105,106$
Earned income deduction ..... $5,28,44-46,53-56,74$
Educational loans ..... 31, 40, 41
Elderly Individuals ..... $3,4,7-9,16-21,23,28,59,77,79$
Households containing 4-6, 13-14, 16-21, 27-30, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-48,50-55, 57, 58, 62, 71, 97 103, 105-108
Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) ..... 10, 27, 28
Energy assistance ..... 31, 40, 41
Entrant households ..... 28, 49, 75
Excess shelter expense deduction $5,28,31,44,45,47,53-56,88$
Expedited service households ..... $8,28,49,75$
Food, Conservation and Energy Act of 2008 (2008 Farm Bill) ..... 6, 9, 29,
Gender$18,19,21,22,50-52,59,64$
General assistance (GA) $3,20,27,30,31,35,36,40-42,44,45,52-56,72,73$
Gross income $3-5,8-10,13-16,19-21,24,29,35-39,41,44,45$,51-56, 62, 63, 68, 69, 85, 86, 94, 97, 103, 105-107
Gross income screen ..... 3-5, 29, 86
Homeless household shelter estimate ..... 5, 28, 29
Household composition $13,18,19,23,35,36,39,44,45,50-53,55,71$
Married head with children households ..... 16, 18-19, 29, 50-52
Single adult with children households 16, 18-19, 31, 50-52, 71
Household head ..... 57, 61, 76
Household size. $13,16,19,20,36,38,39,41,43,51,53-56,60,63,68,85-90,96,107$
Households, participating 13, 20, 35-58, 62, 67, 69-72, 74, 76, 80, 81, 93, 95-97
Income, monthly countable $15,16,19,28-30,35-48,51-58,68,69,72,73,94$Earned ......................................16, 18, 21, 28, 35, 36, 40-48, 52-58, 72-74, 97, 105, 106Gross ..................................... 3- 5, 8-10, 13-16, 19-21, 24, 29, 35-39, 41, 44, 45, 51-56,62, 63, 68, 69, 85, 86, 94, 97, 103, 105-107Net$4,5,8,9,19,21,24,30,35-39,41,44,45,51,53,54,5,56,62,63,68$,87, 94, 107, 102, 103, 105-107
Unearned 31, 35, 36, 40-45, 53-56
Child support ..... 16, 40, 41
General assistance (GA) 3, 20, 27, 30, 31, 35, 36, 40-42, 44, 45, 52-56, 72, 73
Social Security $18,20,21,27,31,35,36,40,41,43-45,52-56,72,73$
Supplemental Security Income (SSI). $3,8,9,16,18,20,21,27,31,35$,36, 40, 41, 43-45, 52-56, 72, 73
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 16, 18, 21, 27, 35,$36,40-42,44,45,48,52-58,61,62,72,73$Initial certification households29, 49, 107
Lawful permanent resident noncitizens ..... 6, 30
Married head with children households ..... $16,18,19,29,50-52$
Maximum benefit 8-10, 29, 35, 36, 44, 45, 47, 48, 53, 55, 89, 94
Medical deduction $5,29,44-46,53-56,62$
Medical deduction demonstrations. ..... 5, 29
Metropolitan households ..... 29, 35, 36
Micropolitan households ..... 29, 35, 36
Minimum benefit $9,30,35,36,44,45,48,53,55,62,90,97,102,105,106$
Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) 9, 10, 13, 19, 27, 30, 35-39, 41, 44, 45,$47,51,53-56,62,63,68,80,88,94,97$
Net income. $4,5,8,9,19,21,24,30,35-39,41,44,45,51,53,54,55$,$56,62,63,68,87,94,97,102,103,105-107$
Net income screen ..... $3,5,6,15,30,87$
Noncitizens ..... 6, 7, 21, 59, 78
Households containing $21,35,36,58,59,62,78$
Asylees ..... 7, 27, 28, 30
Deportees 7, 27, 28, 30
Lawful permanent resident noncitizens $6,7,30,58$
Nonimmigrant visitors to the United States ..... 6, 30
Refugees $7,21,27,28,30,58,59,78$
Nonelderly adults $21,29,30,59,61,77,79$
Households containing ..... 21
Nonelderly nondisabled childless adults $6,7,20,23,59$
Households containing ..... $6,7,20,35,36,50,51,52,71,77$
Nonimmigrant visitors to the United States ..... 6, 30
Nonparticipating household head households ..... 30, 57, 61
Participants $1,7-11,13,21,23,35,50,59-61,64,67,77-79,93,96$
Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) ..... 27, 30
Poverty, individuals in $4,11,12,13-15$
Poverty guidelines $3,4,6,10,13-15,29,30,35,37-39,54,56,63,69,85-87$
Preschool- age children ..... 23, 30, 59, 77
Households containing 35, 36, 39, 44, 45, 53-55
Prorated benefit ..... 9, 21, 23, 59
Race/ Hispanic status ..... 57, 59, 76
Recertification ..... 8, 49
Refugees $7,21,27,28,30,58,59,78$
Resources, Countable (Countable resources) ..... $3,6,8,28,37-39,53$
Vehicles ..... 6, , 28
Resource limit ..... 3, 6, 30
Rural ..... 30, 35, 36
School- age children ..... $21,23,31,59,77$
Households containing ..... $35,36,39,44,45,53-55$
Self- employment income ..... 40, 41
Sex (see Gender)
Shelter deduction (see Excess shelter expense deduction)
Single adult with children households ..... $16,18,19,31,50-52,71$
Single- person households ..... 9, 17-21, 31, 50-52
Social Security $18,20,21,31,35,36,40,41,43-45,52-56,72,73$
Social Security Administration (SSA) ..... 9, 27, 31
Standard Error ..... 101-108
Standard deduction ..... 5, 9, 10, 31, 88
Standard Utility Allowance (SUA) ..... 9, 27, 31, 80
State diversion payments ..... 40, 41
States 4-10, 67-82, 85-99, 93, 95, 96
Supplemental Security Income (SSI) 3, 4, 8, 9, 16, 20, 21, 27, 31, 35, $36,40,41,43-45,52-56,72,73$
Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) ........3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 16, 18, 21, 27, 30, 31 35, 36, $40-42,44,45,48,52-58,61,62,72,73$
Time limits $6-7,31,35,36,50-52,59,71,77$
Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) ..... 8, 22, 27, 29, 31, 60, 89
Unearned income 31, 35, 36, 40-45, 53-56
Unemployment compensation ..... 31, 40, 41
Vehicles ..... 6, 28
Veterans' benefits ..... 3, 7, 20, 29, 31, 40, 41
Wage supplementation ..... 31, 40, 41
Wages ..... 28, 31, 40, 41
Work registration ..... 6, 7, 31, 61
Work Requirements and a Time Limit ..... 6, 7, 31
Workers' compensation 20, 29, 31, 40, 41


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The total cost of SNAP in fiscal year 2011 included $\$ 3.9$ billion in other costs, including the federal share of State administrative costs and employment and training programs, printing and processing, anti-fraud funding, and program evaluation.
    ${ }^{2}$ FNS coordinates with State, local, and volunteer organizations to provide food to those affected by storms, earthquakes, floods, or other disaster emergencies. About 902,000 people received disaster assistance at some time in fiscal year 2011. This number is calculated internally by Mathematica based on information provided by FNS, individual State reports, and direct contact with States.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Generally, a person is considered to be disabled for SNAP benefit purposes if he or she receives federal or State disability or blindness payments or other disability retirement benefits from a government agency under the Social Security Act, including Supplemental Security Income (SSI) or Social Security disability or blindness payments; receives an annuity under the Railroad Retirement Act and is (1) eligible for Medicare or (2) considered to be disabled based on SSI rules; is a veteran who is totally disabled, permanently housebound, or in need of regular aid and attendance; or is permanently disabled and receiving veterans benefits as a surviving spouse or child of a veteran.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Secretary of HHS establishes the federal poverty guidelines annually for many assistance programs. See Appendix C for a list of the fiscal year 2010 poverty guidelines and a description of how they are determined.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Individuals participating in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) or an SSI Combined Application Project (SSI-CAP) are subject to different eligibility and benefit determination rules, as described later in this chapter.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The amount of deductions to which a household is entitled—the household's deduction entitlement-is not always equal to the amount used to compute SNAP benefits. Because net income may not be less than zero, households with total deductions greater than their gross income may claim only a portion of their deduction entitlement.
    ${ }^{5}$ The 2008 Farm Bill eliminated the cap on the dependent care deduction beginning October 1, 2009.
    ${ }^{6}$ For detailed information on these demonstrations, see Technical Documentation for the Fiscal Year 2011 SNAP QC Database and QC Minimodel.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ In fiscal year 2012, the resource limit for households that were not categorically eligible and included at least one elderly or disabled member increased to $\$ 3,250$.
    ${ }^{8}$ The equity of a vehicle is defined as its fair market value minus remaining liens.
    ${ }^{9}$ In 38 of these States, almost all participating households were certified eligible for a TANF/MOE-funded benefit that conferred categorical eligibility or were categorically eligible because every member received SSI, cash TANF, or GA income (Table B.15).

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ States may waive the time limit in geographic areas or State-wide if the area has an unemployment rate which exceeds 10 percent or does not have a sufficient number of jobs to provide employment.
    ${ }^{11}$ These nondisabled nonelderly adults living in households without children could be exempt from the work requirements if they lived in a waiver area (e.g., because of a high unemployment rate) or had been granted a discretionary exemption by the State. Only 15 percent of the State's caseload may receive a discretionary exemption.

[^6]:    12 See Thrifty Food Plan, 2006, at http://www.cnpp.usda.gov/Publications/FoodPlans/MiscPubs/ TFP2006Report.pdf, for more information.

[^7]:    ${ }^{13}$ SNAP households will not receive benefits in the first month if the amount of pro-rated benefits would be less than $\$ 10$.
    ${ }^{14}$ Table C. 6 presents minimum benefit values for the other States and territories for fiscal year 2011.
    ${ }^{15}$ In Florida, Massachusetts, and Washington, a household must have no earned income to enter the program but, once enrolled, may have earned income for up to three months and remain eligible. In Kentucky, New York, North Carolina, South Dakota, and Texas, a household may have earned income and still be eligible for SSI-CAP benefits. In Kentucky and South Dakota, married couples may also be eligible for SSI-CAP benefits, but each individual must meet the eligibility criteria to be treated as a member of the same household; in Texas, married couples may participate but are treated as separate households.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The information in this chapter and the estimates in Appendices A and B are based on a sample of 51,115 households that participated in SNAP in fiscal year 2011. The sample was drawn from SNAP households in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Households in Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands were not included in the sample because Puerto Rico operates its own Nutritional Assistance Program, which replaced SNAP in July 1982, and the Northern Mariana Islands participates in another block grant program instead of SNAP.
    ${ }^{2}$ The estimates of 44.1 million participants and 20.8 million households differ slightly from the number of SNAP participants and households in FNS administrative records ( 44.7 million people and 21.1 million households) because the sample estimate is adjusted to exclude receipt of benefits by ineligible households and those receiving disaster assistance. These adjustments also affect household average monthly benefits, which are $\$ 281$ in the SNAP QC data, compared with $\$ 284$ in FNS administrative records (Appendix D provides details).
    ${ }^{3}$ Because net income is not used in benefit determination for households participating in MFIP and households participating in an SSI-CAP with a standardized benefit, the average monthly net income estimate excludes these households.
    ${ }^{4}$ The average total deduction estimate reflects the entire deduction to which households are entitled. Because households may not deduct more than their gross income, the estimate is greater than households' average deduction. With deductions not used in their benefit determination, SSI-CAP households with standardized benefits are excluded from the estimate.
    ${ }^{5}$ For more detailed information on the economic status of SNAP households, see Appendix Tables A. 3 through A. 8.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Appendix Table C. 1 for the poverty guidelines.

[^9]:    ${ }^{7}$ This comparison assumes that program participants value their SNAP benefits at face value.
    ${ }^{8}$ See Appendix Tables A.3, A.6, A.8, A.11, A.12, A.14, A.15, A.16, A. 17 , A.18, A.19, A.21, A.22, and A. 23 for more details on these households.

[^10]:    ${ }^{9}$ In this report, we use the term "living alone" to refer to individuals who reside in one-person SNAP households, although others may live in the same residential unit.

[^11]:    b Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
    c Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled nonelderly individuals.

    - No sample households are found in this category.

[^12]:    ${ }^{10}$ The SNAP QC data do not include information that can be used to identify elderly persons who are disabled. In addition, while we are able to identify households with a disabled person in the SNAP QC datafile if the household does not contain an elderly member, we cannot identify which household member is disabled. In this report, we identify households with a disabled nonelderly member as households with (1) nonelderly SSI recipients; (2) a medical expense deduction and no elderly individuals; or (3) nonelderly adults who work fewer than 30 hours a week and receive Social Security, veterans benefits, or workers' compensation.

[^13]:    ${ }^{11}$ These individuals apply for SNAP benefits for themselves only. Other people may live in the household.
    ${ }^{12}$ Some of the noncitizen household members participated in SNAP with citizen children; others were ineligible and did not participate.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
    b These participants are subject to work requirements and a time limit.
    c A micropolitan area has at least one urban cluster of between 10,000 and 50,000 people and includes adjacent territory with a high degree of social and economic integration with the core, as measured by commuting ties.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Net income is not used in the benefit determinations of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits.

[^15]:    b Net income is not used in the benefit determinations of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits.
    ${ }^{c}$ Categorically eligible households have no countable resources because the program does not consider resources in their eligibility determinations.

[^16]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determinations, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^17]:    - Not Applicable

[^18]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the SNAP QC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are only able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Net income is not used in the benefit determinations of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The minimum benefit, applicable to one- and two-person households, is equal to 8 percent of the maximum benefit for single-person households. See Table C-6 for the FY 2011 minimum benefit values.

[^19]:    b Some states allow child support expenses to be subtracted before gross income is calculated. As a result, it is possible to have countable income but no gross income.
    c Net income is not used in the benefit determinations of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits.
    ${ }^{d}$ The minimum benefit, applicable to one- and two-person households, is equal to 8 percent of the maximum benefit for single-person households. See Table C-6 for the FY 2011 minimum benefit values.

[^20]:    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 33,612 MFIP households and 644,511 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this category.
    e Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households are excluded from this category.

[^21]:    ${ }^{k}$ This category includes individuals who reported more than one race and who do not fit into any previously mentioned value.
    ${ }^{1}$ This category includes the following values: Unknown; Not recorded on the application for this individual; The application was not found during the QC review, therefore racial/ethnic data is not available.

[^22]:    Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Quality Control sample.

[^23]:    ${ }^{a}$ Household heads who are not participating with the household. Some household heads in this category are ineligible for the SNAP, or are in separate SNAP units not included in the SNAP QC sample. This category also includes some households with no adult listed on the file.

[^24]:    - Not Applicable.

[^25]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

    - No sample data in this category.

[^26]:    ${ }^{a}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determinations, 33,612 MFIP households and 479,294 SSI-CAP households in States that use standardized SSI-CAP benefits are excluded from this category.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

    - No sample data in this category.

[^27]:    ${ }^{c}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Participating households in Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands have been included in the target universe since fiscal year 1993. Before that year, the universe excluded households in those areas.

[^29]:    Source: Fiscal Year 2011 Supplemental Nutrition
    Assistance Program Quality Control sample.

[^30]:    ${ }^{2}$ The adjusted total number of households and benefits is lower than Program Data figures by about 1 and 2 percent, respectively.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ More precisely, $n$ is the sample size corresponding to the population that forms the denominator or "base" of the proportion being estimated. When the base is all SNAP households in fiscal year 2011, $n=51,115$. Sample sizes for selected demographic subgroups for fiscal year 2011 are shown in the sample size column of Table E-1. For subgroups not shown in Table E-1, the sample size can be approximated by multiplying the total sample size $(51,115)$ by the ratio of the subgroup population size to the total population size ( $N$ ). For fiscal year $2011, \boldsymbol{N}=20,803,000$ and there are $3,426,000$ elderly households. Thus the approximate sample size for elderly households in fiscal year 2011 would be calculated as $(3,426,000 / 20,803,000) \times(51,115)=8,418$. In this case the approximation can be compared to the true elderly sample size of 8,138 , as shown in Table E-1.

[^32]:    ${ }^{2}$ A confidence interval is a range of values that will contain the true value of an estimated characteristic with a known probability. For instance, a 95 percent confidence interval extends approximately 2 standard errors above and below the estimated value for a characteristic, and 95 percent of all confidence intervals will contain the true value.
    ${ }^{3}$ Calculated as: $(881,000-(2 \times 25,730))=829,540$ and $(881,000+(2 \times 25,730))=932,460$.
    ${ }^{4}$ The variance and naive variance are the standard error and naive standard error squared, respectively.

[^33]:    ${ }^{5}$ Equation (1):

    $$
    \sqrt{[(724,000 / 3,426,000) \times(1-(724,000 / 3,426,000)) \times(3,426,000-8,138)] /[(8,138-1) \times 3,426,000]}=0.004520
    $$

    $$
    \text { Equation (2): } 3,426,000 \times 0.004520=15,486
    $$

    where $3,426,000$ is the estimated population of elderly households, 724,000 is the estimated population of elderly households with zero net income, 8,138 is the sample size of elderly households (Table E-1), and 15,486 is the standard error.

