1 2 3 4 5	DONALD W. SEARLES, Cal. Bar No. 135' E-mail: searlesd@sec.gov LUCEE S. KIRKA, Cal. Bar No. 121685 E-mail: kirkal@sec.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission Rosalind R. Tyson, Regional Director Michele Layne, Associate Regional Director 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor	
6 7	Los Angeles, California 90036 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (323) 965-3908	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
11 12	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No.
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF
14	VS.	THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS
15 16	TODD M. FICETO, FLORIAN HOMM, COLIN HEATHERINGTON, HUNTER WORLD MARKETS, INC., AND HUNTER ADVISORS, LLC,	
17	Defendants,	
18 19	AND TODD M. FICETO AS CUSTODIAN FOR HIS MINOR	
20	CUSTODIAN FOR HIS MINOR CHILDREN,	
21	Relief Defendant.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission" or the "SEC") alleges as follows:

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d)(1), 20(e), 20(g) and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d)(1), 77t(e), and 77v(a), Sections 21(d)(1), 21(d)(2), 21(d)(3)(A), 21(d)(6), 21(e), and 27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(d)(2), 78u(d)(3)(A), 78u(e) and 78aa, and Sections 209(d), 209(e), and 214 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-9, 80b-14. Defendants have directly or indirectly made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.

2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77v(a), Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa, Section 214 of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-14, and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because Defendants reside and/or transact business within this district and certain of the transactions, acts, practices and courses of conduct constituting violations of the federal securities laws alleged in this Complaint occurred within this district.

### **SUMMARY**

3. From at least September 2005 through September 2007 (the "relevant period"), Todd M. Ficeto ("Ficeto"), Florian Homm ("Homm"), Colin Heatherington ("Heatherington"), Hunter World Markets, Inc. ("HWM") and Hunter Advisors, LLC ("Hunter Advisors") (collectively, "the Defendants") engaged in a fraudulent scheme to manipulate the markets of various thinly-traded U.S. microcap stocks in connection with an ancillary scheme to defraud investors in several now defunct hedge funds managed by Absolute Capital Management Holdings Limited ("ACMH").

4. During the relevant period, HWM was a registered broker-dealer located in Beverly Hills, California, and co-owned by Ficeto and Homm. Homm was also the co-founder and the chief or co-chief investment adviser for ACMH, a London-based hedge fund management company and SEC-registered investment adviser that managed eight equity hedge funds (the "Absolute funds") and purportedly had \$2.1 billion in assets under management as of August 31, 2007. Using his position as the principal investment adviser of the Absolute funds, and as a co-owner of HWM, Homm misused the assets of the Absolute funds to allow him, Ficeto, Heatherington and HWM to manipulate upward the prices of a number of domestic microcap issuers whose stock was publicly traded in the United States. Homm, Ficeto, and their mutual friend and ACMH employee, Heatherington, made tens of millions of dollars as a result of that manipulative trading activity. When Homm abruptly resigned on September 18, 2007, the same day that ACMH's new chief executive officer was to meet with Homm to discuss increased oversight of Homm's investment decisions and bonus payments, the Absolute funds and their investors were left holding between \$440 and \$530 million in "illiquid positions." Most of those "illiquid positions" were, in fact, U.S. microcap stocks purchased and traded by the Absolute funds through HWM.

5. The U.S. microcap issuers (collectively the "Issuers") that were the subject of the Defendants' manipulative activities consisted of at least six U.S.-domiciled microcap companies quoted and traded in the United States on the Over-the Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") and/or the Pink Sheets operated by OTC Markets Group Inc. ("Pink Sheets").

6. Rather than allow the markets to set the price of the Issuers' securities through the natural interplay of supply and demand, the Defendants knowingly or recklessly rigged the market by participating in and furthering a market manipulation scheme to drive upward the prices of these thinly-traded microcap stocks. In conducting their market manipulation scheme, the Defendants used a

number of classic manipulative techniques, including: placing matched orders; placing orders that marked the close or otherwise set the closing price for the day; and conducting wash sales, all of which were done for the principal purpose and effect of artificially affecting the Issuers' stock prices.

7. Matched orders are orders for the purchase or sale of a security that are entered with the knowledge that orders of substantially the same size, at substantially the same time and price, have been or will be entered by the same or different persons for the sale or purchase of such security. As detailed within, Defendants executed hundreds of such orders in the Issuers' securities through HWM, either by trading between and among the brokerage accounts maintained by the Absolute funds at HWM, or between the brokerage accounts maintained by the Absolute funds at HWM and the Defendants' individual brokerage accounts at HWM, all for the principal purpose and effect of raising or stabilizing the prices of the Issuers' securities.

8. Marking the close or last-trade-of-the day transactions, or other transactions conducted for the purpose of setting the day's closing price for a stock, involve the practice of repeatedly executing the last transaction of the day in a security in order to affect its closing price. Defendants' conduct included making extensive and successive purchases in an attempt to move the price of a stock and to lock in the higher price at the close of the market. As detailed within, Defendants executed and caused to be executed scores of end-of-day transactions for the purpose and effect of marking the close and to thereby artificially set the closing price of the Issuers' stock for the day.

9. Wash trades involve the sale of securities made at about the same time as a purchase of the same securities resulting in no change of beneficial ownership of the stock. As detailed within, Defendants conducted at least eight wash sales that had no legitimate economic purpose and were conducted for the purpose of manipulating the prices of the Issuers' stock and/or to generate massive sale credits

1

2

(*i.e.*, the amount added to the sale or purchase price of a security for compensation to the dealer) and commissions to HWM.

10. The Defendants' intent to manipulate the markets in the Issuers' securities is demonstrated not only through their multi-year manipulative trading activity, but also through hundreds of instant messages ("IMs") between ACMH's and HWM's principal traders which were recorded on a secret, alternate messaging system that allowed ACMH's and HWM's traders to freely talk without fear that their scheme would be discovered by the Commission or the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"). As reflected in those secret IMs, ACMH's trader, typically Heatherington, acting at the direction and with the knowledge and consent of Homm, instructed HWM's trader, Tony Ahn, acting under the direction and with the knowledge and consent of Ficeto -- or Ficeto himself -- to place matched orders, transactions that marked the close, and wash sales in the Issuers' stock, for the purpose of artificially raising or stabilizing the Issuers' stock prices.

11. The Defendants' manipulation of the Issuers' stock prices allowed Ficeto, Homm and Heatherington to generate enormous profits through Ficeto's and Homm's co-ownership of HWM, and through their sale of the Issuers' shares to the Absolute funds at inflated prices. The Defendants' manipulation of the Issuers' stock prices also allowed Homm and ACMH to materially overstate the Absolute funds' performance and net asset values ("NAVs") in a fraudulent practice known as "portfolio pumping." As a result of their fraudulent conduct, Ficeto, Homm, and Heatherington collectively made at least \$63.7 million in illicit proceeds.

### THE DEFENDANTS

12. **Todd M. Ficeto** is a resident of Malibu, California, and is currently the sole owner of HWM. He was also a registered representative, trader, branch manager and general securities principal for HWM. Ficeto was also the principal of Hunter Advisors, which directed the investment activities of a "fund of funds"

that also participated in the stock manipulation. Ficeto was also a director of MicroMed Cardiovascular, Inc., from December 2005 to March 2007, during which time MicroMed's share price was manipulated by Ficeto, Homm, Heatherington and HWM. Ficeto has a lengthy regulatory disciplinary history. In December 2004, Ficeto was censured, fined \$40,000, and suspended for 15 days from association with any National Association of Securities Dealers ("NASD") member in any supervisory capacity for failure to supervise; in June 2002, he was censured and fined \$12,500 for failing to report customer complaints; and in 1996, he was censured, fined \$2,500, required to repay \$7,155.75 in commissions, and suspended from recommending penny stocks for two years for failing to provide customers with adequate information. Ficeto was under heightened regulatory supervision from November 2004 until June 2006.

13. Florian Homm was a resident of Majorca, Spain during at least part of the relevant period. Homm was the co-founder, the original chief investment officer and, from January to September 2007, the co-chief investment officer of ACMH. He was also a co-owner and director of HWM during the relevant period. While he has no U.S. regulatory disciplinary history, he was fined €70,000 by German securities regulators in August 2004 for publishing false statements about a company and was fined €0,000 and placed on two years' probation in December 2005 by a German court for failing to declare his self-interest in research reports. The reports included a sell recommendation for a stock in which the Absolute Return Europe Fund, a fund Homm managed, had taken a short position. Homm's current whereabouts are unknown.

14. Colin Heatherington is a resident of Victoria, British Columbia,
Canada. During the relevant period he was a trader for ACMH, located in Spain,
and held the title "Risk Management/Execution." Heatherington reported directly
to Homm. Heatherington also partially owned and controlled a British Virgin
Islands entity called CIC Global Capital Limited ("CIC Global"), which made

28

1

numerous trades with the Absolute funds through CIC Global's brokerage account
at HWM. Heatherington asserted his Fifth Amendment right against selfincrimination during his testimony before the Commission.

15. **Hunter World Markets, Inc.**, a California corporation, was a Beverly Hills-based broker-dealer registered with the Commission. The firm was founded by Ficeto in 1995. During the relevant period Ficeto and Homm coowned HWM.

16. **Hunter Advisors, LLC**, a California limited liability company, is managed and controlled by Ficeto, who owns 99% of the company. Hunter Advisors was the investment adviser to the Hunter Fund, Ltd. (the "Hunter Fund"), a British Virgin Islands hedge fund incorporated in August 2002 whose sole three investors were three of the Absolute funds. Hunter Advisors directed securities trades through the Hunter Funds brokerage account at HWM.

### **RELIEF DEFENDANT**

17. Todd Ficeto, as Custodian for his Minor Children. Two of Ficeto's minor children maintained accounts at HWM, for which Ficeto was the custodian.
On behalf of his children, Ficeto transferred Issuer shares to them and then sold their shares to the Absolute funds as part of Defendants' manipulative scheme for a \$177,000 profit.

### **OTHER RELEVANT ENTITIES AND PERSONS**

18. **Absolute Capital Management Holdings Limited ("ACMH")**\_is a London-based hedge fund management company organized under the laws of the Cayman Islands. ACMH was an investment adviser registered with the Commission from January 30, 2006 to September 10, 2007.

19. ACMH Funds (collectively, the "Absolute funds" or the "funds") were eight equity hedge funds formerly managed by ACMH, all of which were domiciled in the Cayman Islands. The names of the funds were: the European Catalyst Fund Limited; the Absolute Return Europe Fund Limited; the Absolute

Germany Fund Limited; the Absolute Octane Fund Limited; the Absolute East West Fund Limited; the Absolute Large Cap Fund Limited; the Absolute India Fund Limited; and the Absolute Activist Value Fund Limited. Following the discovery of the Defendants' fraudulent activities, the funds were liquidated and/or their assets merged with a different fund managed by a different investment adviser. Individuals, entities, hedge funds and funds of funds invested in the Absolute funds. During the relevant period, each of the Absolute funds maintained brokerage accounts at HWM. U.S. individuals and entities also held investments in the Absolute funds. As of January 31, 2008, U.S.-based shareholders had invested approximately \$40 million in the Absolute funds.

20. **Hunter Fund, Ltd.** was a British Virgin Islands hedge fund incorporated in August 2002. Ficeto was the portfolio manager for the Hunter Fund through Hunter Advisors. Three of the Absolute funds were the sole investors in the Hunter Fund and cumulatively invested approximately \$34.5 million. The Hunter Fund has since been dissolved.

21. The Issuers that were the subject of Defendants' manipulative scheme were a number of U.S.-domiciled microcap companies (*i.e.*, companies with low or "micro" capitalizations) whose shares were registered with the Commission during the relevant period and traded in the United States on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") or quoted in the Pink Sheets. The OTCBB is an electronic quotation system, whose servers are located and maintained in the United States, that displays real-time quotes, last-sale prices, and volume information for many OTC securities that are not listed on the Nasdaq Stock Market or a national securities exchange. Broker-dealers who subscribe to the system can use the OTCBB to look up prices or enter quotes for OTC securities. The Pink Sheets — named for the color of paper on which they had historically been printed — are listings of price quotes for companies that trade in the over-the-counter market ("OTC market"), whose servers are located and

maintained in the United States. Market makers — the brokers who commit to
buying and selling the securities of OTC issuers, such as HWM — can use the
Pink Sheets to publish bid and ask prices. A company named OTC Markets Group
Inc., formerly known as Pink OTC Markets Inc. and the National Quotation
Bureau, publishes the Pink Sheets in electronic format. The following chart lists
the Issuers and relevant details concerning those companies and their common
stock:

Issuer Name and Trading Symbol	State of Incorporation	Principal Place of Business	Market Where Traded	Date Became Public
ProElite, Inc. (PETE or PELE)	New Jersey	Los Angeles, California	Pink Sheets	5/14/07
Berman Center, Inc. (BRMC)	Delaware	Chicago, Illinois	Pink Sheets	4/28/06
NuRx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (formerly known as Quest Group International, Inc.) (QSTG)	Nevada	Irvine, California	OTCBB	6/8/06
MicroMed Cardiovascular, Inc. (MMCV)	Delaware	Houston, Texas	OTCBB	8/9/05
Java Detour, Inc. (JVDT)	Delaware	Davis, California	Pink Sheets	6/20/07
Logistical Support, Inc. (LGSL)	Utah	Chatsworth, California	OTCBB	6/19/03

22. Tony Ahn ("Ahn") is a resident of Fullerton, California, and was

HWM's trader. On January 10, 2011, Ahn consented to the entry of an administrative and cease-and-desist order relating to his employment at HWM, ordering him, among other things: (i) to cease-and-desist from committing or causing any violations of Sections 10(b), 15(c)(1) and 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 10b-5 and 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder; (ii) to pay a \$40,000 civil monetary penalty; and (iii) barring him from association with any broker and dealer, with the right to apply for association after five years.

23. Elizabeth Pagliarini ("Pagliarini") is a resident of Mission Viejo,
California, and was HWM's chief compliance officer. Pagliarini resigned from
HWM in May 2008. On January 10, 2011, Pagliarini consented to the entry of an
administrative and cease-and-desist order relating to her employment at HWM,
ordering her, among other things: (i) to cease-and-desist from committing or
causing any violations of 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 17a-8 thereunder;
(ii) to pay a \$20,000 civil monetary penalty; and (iii) suspending her from acting in
a supervisory capacity with any broker or dealer for a period of twelve months.

### **FACTS**

### A. <u>Background</u>

24. Homm co-founded ACMH in August 2004. The company's primary function, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Absolute Capital Management (UK), Ltd., was to provide investment advisory services to the Absolute funds. ACMH received a management fee of 2% per year as well as an incentive fee of 20% of new profits.

25. Although each of the Absolute funds purportedly had a portfolio
manager and one or more investment analysts, Homm had de facto control over
each of the funds and had free rein to make investment decisions on their behalf.
On numerous occasions, Homm directed ACMH's traders, including
Heatherington, to place buy or sell orders for the Issuers' securities on behalf of the
funds. In addition, while providing investment advice with respect to securities in

his role as chief investment officer, Homm engaged in self-dealing by misusing investor monies invested in the Absolute funds to purchase Issuers' shares at artificially inflated prices from HWM, Ficeto, CIC Global and Ficeto's children, resulting in millions of dollars in stock trading proceeds to the Defendants, as well as millions of dollars in sales credits and commissions to HWM, of which he was a co-owner.

26. HWM conducted investment banking and brokerage operations, both of which furthered Ficeto's, Homm's and Heatherington's fraudulent scheme. On its investment banking side, Ficeto and HWM identified U.S. microcap companies, which were then capitalized using money from the Absolute funds. HWM and Ficeto then brought the companies public, if their shares were not already publicly traded. Most of the microcap stocks manipulated by the Defendants, such as ProElite, Berman, and Quest, began as privately-held companies, had minimal assets and had little, if any, value. Ficeto presented these companies to Homm as potential opportunities for market manipulation and together, Ficeto and Homm worked out the structure by which they and/or the Absolute funds would provide financing and/or invest in the Issuers in exchange for large blocks of the Issuers' stock and warrants for additional stock. HWM acted as the placement agent in connection with those transactions, in exchange for which it received placement fees, shares of common stock, and warrants to purchase additional shares. In many instances, HWM, Ficeto, and CIC Global received restricted shares, or warrants for restricted shares, for free or for a de minimus amount of money. In addition, in an attempt to control and dominate the volume of shares in the market, Ficeto negotiated lock-up agreements with the Issuers' directors, executive officers and/or then-existing shareholders to withhold their shares from the market.

27. In total, from September 2005 through October 2007, the Absolute funds invested, through private placements, in each of the six Issuers, and Ficeto with Homm, through their co-ownership of HWM, received over \$8 million in placement, consulting and other fees, as well as warrants and shares of stock.

28. On its brokerage side, HWM, as a market-maker, made a market in the Issuers' securities, in that it stood ready to buy and sell the Issuers' stock on a regular and continuous basis at a publicly quoted price it submitted. HWM was also a member of FINRA and a FINRA-approved market maker. HWM was also a subscriber with Pink Sheets, which allowed HWM to see and place quotes with Pink Sheets. In its capacity as a market maker, HWM was responsible for executing the trades at issue in this Complaint. The execution of these securities transactions was a multi-step process, with each step occurring in the United States. In particular, HWM, acting through its trader Ahn, or through Ficeto himself, would receive buy or sell orders in the Issuers' stock from the Absolute funds, acting through its trader, Heatherington, and from HWM, Ficeto and Ficeto's children, and Heatherington's company, CIC Global. HWM would then execute those buy or sell orders, either internally by identifying a buyer or seller at HWM, thereby brokering both sides of the transaction, or externally, with another broker-dealer, through the domestic inter-dealer quotation system, such as OTC Link. HWM would then report the trade, which would then clear and settle. Broker-dealers, such as HWM, are required to report their trades to FINRA within 90 seconds of the trade's execution. This information, including trading price and volume, is then publicly disseminated by FINRA to the market. HWM also caused the trades at issue in this Complaint to be cleared, using RBC Dain Rauscher, which was located in St. Paul, Minnesota during the relevant period, which specializes in clearing, custody and execution services. HWM would then cause journal entries to be made in the brokerage accounts that the Absolute funds and the Defendants maintained at HWM, reflecting the exchange of cash and securities, to settle the trades.

27 29. As part of Defendants' manipulative scheme, HWM accounted for 28 the largest percentage of the trading volume in each of the Issuers' securities. For

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

example, during portions of the relevant period, for ProElite, Berman and Quest, HWM's trades accounted for over 94% of the trading volume of their respective stocks. HWM also dominated the market, executing trades representing the greatest volume percentage of trades, in the remaining issuers, handling over 43% in Java Detour; over 40% in MicroMed; and over 29% in Logistical Support.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

### **B**. **Defendants' Matched Orders**

30. As set forth in detail in Appendix 1 to this Complaint, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, Defendants executed and caused to be executed matched orders between Absolute fund accounts held at HWM, as well as between HWM accounts controlled by the Defendants and Absolute fund accounts, whose orders were routed through other U.S. brokerdealers, including Knight Securities and Morgan Stanley. The matched orders were made with the intent to artificially raise or stabilize the closing market price of the Issuers' stocks in the Absolute funds' portfolios for the purpose of positively impacting the Absolute funds' NAVs, which were calculated at the end of the month. On multiple occasions, the performance of the Issuers' stock, as manipulated by the Defendants, allowed some of the Absolute funds to generate positive returns in months that otherwise would have had negative returns. Defendants' manipulative activity also enriched the Defendants either through their sales of the Issuers' stock to the funds at inflated prices and/or through transaction fees paid to HWM as a result of the trades.

### C. **Defendants' Marking the Close**

31. Ficeto, Homm, Heatherington and HWM also executed or caused to be executed numerous trades of the Issuers' common stock to be made in the names of the Absolute funds for the purpose of setting the day's closing price for a stock, in a manipulative practice known as "marking the close." Many of those trades occurred at or near the close of the trading day.

32. As detailed in the Appendix 2 to this Complaint, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, Ficeto, Homm, Heatherington and
HWM marked the close, or otherwise executed last-trade-of-the-day transactions in
the Issuers' stock either through the purchase of shares in the open market or
through the use of matched orders.

33. Ficeto also executed an additional manipulative cross trade between two Absolute funds at 16:00 on February 28, 2007, for 100,000 shares of a non-Issuer company, Columbia Goldfields, Ltd., which trade marked the close for that day, after making purchases at increasing prices during the day. The total volume for the day was 3,171,250, of which 1,150,850 were purchases made by Ficeto for the Absolute East West Fund.

### D. Defendants' Wash Trades

34. Ficeto, Homm, Heatherington, and HWM also executed, or caused to be executed, a number of manipulative trades between two accounts held by the same fund, thus effecting at least eight wash trades. As with the matched orders, the purpose of these transactions was to manipulate the prices of the Issuers' stock and/or generate sales credits to HWM.

ACMH Fund	Trade Date	Issuer	Shares sold between Fund accounts	HWM sales credit
East West Fund	6/18/07	ProElite	500,001	\$20,000
Activist Value Fund	6/26/07	ProElite	250,000	\$20,000
Octane Fund	9/13/07	Quest	4.5 million	\$450,000
Octane Fund	9/13/07	ProElite	2.5 million	\$125,000
Total				\$615,000

35. In addition, the wash trades in ProElite constituted all of the trades on those three days in ProElite, and accordingly set the closing stock price. The wash trade in Quest artificially inflated its stock price since later trades in Quest stock were at a higher price than trades that had been made before the HWM matched

1 || order.

### E. Defendants' Secret Instant Messaging System

36. To communicate with purchasers and sellers, most broker-dealers, including HWM, use e-mail and IM services provided and hosted by Bloomberg, L.P. Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78q(a), and Rule 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.17a-4(b)(4), and FINRA Rules 3010 & 3110, require electronic business communications, including Bloomberg e-mails and IMs, to be archived and monitored for compliance purposes. Unlike conventional e-mail systems such as Microsoft Exchange, Bloomberg messages do not reside on a server on the user's premises. Instead, each user's workstation accesses a central server farm managed by Bloomberg. E-mails and IMs are stored on the Bloomberg servers and are viewed through Bloomberg's proprietary terminals. In order to comply with Section 17(a), Rule 17a-4(b)(4) and FINRA Rules 3010 & 3110, and enable companies to archive those communications, Bloomberg allows its clients to access messages for archival purposes through daily Internet downloads.

37. In order to circumvent Section 17(a), Rule 17a-4 and FINRA Rules 3010 & 3110, ACMH's and HWM's principal traders used a secret, alternate IM messaging system, in addition to their Bloomberg terminals, that allowed them to speak freely with each other without fear that their communications relating to their market manipulation scheme would be discovered by the Commission or FINRA. In numerous IMs between HWM's trader Ahn and ACMH's trader Heatherington, Ahn and Heatherington openly discussed manipulating the Issuers' stock prices. On multiple days, Heatherington stated the price at which he wanted an Issuer's stock to close that day, and Ahn would execute the orders to achieve that price. Appendix 3 to this Complaint, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, contains a representative sample of the IMs discussing Defendants' manipulation of the Issuers' stock prices.

38. The IMs also demonstrate that Ficeto knew of the Defendants' secret IM system and that he knew and approved of Ahn's fraudulent trading techniques and strategies. When Heatherington communicated proposed trade prices, Heatherington frequently asked Ahn to obtain Ficeto's approval before executing the trade. Ficeto knew, or was reckless in not knowing, of all of the manipulative orders based on the fact that he frequently stood behind Ahn at the HWM trading desk in Beverly Hills, California, observed Ahn's activities on behalf of the funds and discussed the trades with him. Moreover, on February 28, 2007 (when Ahn was absent), Ficeto executed all of the trades himself, including the aforementioned matched orders in MicroMed and Java Detour.

1

2

3

4

5

### F. <u>Defendants' Back-Dated Trades</u>

39. Ficeto, Homm, Heatherington and HWM also back-dated a number of trades. As detailed in certain IMs, Heatherington asked Ahn to revise the trade date of certain transactions to reflect a date that was prior to month's end. For example, on September 4, 2007, Heatherington asked Ahn if a matched trade for 400,000 shares of ProElite traded among three of the ACMH funds could be back-dated to the previous Friday, the last trading day of August. Ahn responded that he would need to call Ficeto to obtain approval. Ficeto approved the trade which was entered with an "as of" date of August 31, 2007.

40. In another exchange between Heatherington and Ahn on July 30, 2007, Heatherington proposed a matched order between Absolute accounts held at HWM at such a high price for the day that Ahn responded that it would "be a red flag to print [*i.e.*, be reported] a lot above the high of the day." To avoid raising the red flag triggered by Heatherington's proposed price, Ahn suggested backdating the trade to the prior week, but noted that he would need to obtain Ficeto's approval before so doing. After obtaining Ficeto's approval, Ahn proceeded to enter the trade as of July 23, 2007, when the stock was trading closer to Heatherington's initial proposed price. 1 2

3

4

5

6

7

### G. Defendants' Sales of the Issuers' Stock to the Absolute Funds

41. As reflected in the chart below, as a result of their manipulation of the Issuers' stock through matched orders, orders marking the close, and wash sales through the brokerage accounts held by the Absolute funds at HWM, the Defendants reaped significant profits from their sales of the Issuers' stock to the Absolute funds, and through sales credits and commissions, all at the expense of the Absolute funds' investors.

	Issuer Name	HWM sales to Absolute funds (Ficeto and Homm)	HWM Sales to Hunter Fund			CIC Global sales to Absolute funds (Heatherington)
3	Elite	\$14.2 million	\$2.8 million	\$1.1 million	\$2.4 million	\$8.7 million
4 Log 5 Suj 6	gistical pport	\$988,000		\$73,989	\$634,958	
7 Ber	rman	\$2.3 million		\$2.6 million	\$888,789	\$1.7 million
3 Mi	croMed	\$8.0 million		\$323,298	\$243,580	\$870,230
) Qu	est	\$1.8 million		\$1.1 million		\$364,989
$\frac{2}{3}$ Jav	va tour	\$2.3 million		\$7,700		
4 <b>To</b>	tal	\$29,588,000	\$2,800,000	\$5,204,987	\$4,167,327	\$11,635,219

25

26

28

### H. Defendants Profited from the Fraud

42. Ficeto made approximately \$27.3 million as a result of Defendants'

27 || fraudulent scheme, including stock sales, sales credits, commissions and other fees.

43. Homm made approximately \$24.9 million through HWM as a result

of Defendants' fraudulent scheme, including stock sales, sales credits, 1 2 commissions and other fees.

Heatherington made approximately \$11.6 million as a result of 44. Defendants' fraudulent scheme.

HWM made approximately \$32.5 million as a result of Defendants' 45. fraudulent scheme, which was allocated equally to Ficeto and Homm as a result of their co-ownership of HWM.

8 46. Hunter Advisors made approximately \$1.1 million as a result of Defendants' fraudulent scheme.

10 47. In addition, Ficeto, in his capacity as a custodian for his minor children, made at least \$177,000 as a result of Defendants' fraudulent activity, based on sale of the Issuers' stock which Ficeto transferred to his children and later 12 sold to the funds on his children's behalf. Some of Ficeto's sales on behalf of his 13 14 minor children involve some of the most egregious examples of matched orders 15 and price manipulation. For example, on May 15, 2007, following the effective 16 date of the Form SB-2 registration statement for ProElite, the Defendants began executing matched orders between the Absolute funds after the close of trading 17 18 using the funds' accounts at HWM. After driving the price up from \$3.20 to \$7.99 and then to \$12.99, all within a span of minutes, the Defendants sold portions of 19 their stock holdings in ProElite to the funds at those artificially inflated prices. 20There were no significant announcements by the ProElite, or other news that day, 22 which would explain the rapid rise in ProElite's stock price. The chart below summarizes this trading activity: 23

24 /// 25 ///

21

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

2 3	Trade date Account name		Buy or sale	Execution time	HWM Reported volume	Price	Total Proceeds
4	5/15/07	Activist Value Fund	S	16:36	(500,000)	\$3.20	\$1,600,000
5	5/15/07	Octane Fund	В	16:36	500,000	\$3.30	\$1,650,000
6	5/15/07	Large Cap Fund	S	16:36	(600,000)	\$3.20	\$1,920,000
7	5/15/07	East West Fund	В	16:36	600,000	\$3.30	\$1,980,000
8	5/15/07	European Catalyst Fund	S	16:36	(3,000,000)	\$3.20	\$9,600,000
9	5/15/07	Absolute Return Europe Fund	В	16:36	3,000,000	\$3.30	\$9,900,000
10	5/15/07	CIC Global (Heatherington)	S	16:38	(140,000)	\$7.99	\$1,118,600
11	5/15/07	HWM	S	16:38	(800,000)	\$7.99	\$6,392,000
12	5/15/07	Absolute Return Europe Fund	В	16:38	940,000	\$8.05	\$7,567,000
13	5/15/07	Ficeto as custodian for his minor son	S	16:40:00	(5,000)	\$11.99	\$59,950
14	5/15/07	Ficeto as custodian for his minor	S	16:40:00	(5,000)	\$11.99	\$59,950
15	5/15/07	daughter Absolute Return	3		(3,000)	φ11.77	
16	5/15/07	Europe Fund	В	16:40:00	10,000	\$12.05	\$120,500

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

I.

# **Ficeto's Concealment Of Material Information in Issuer**

### **Registration Statements**

48. Generally, after the Absolute funds invested in one or more of the Issuers through a private placement, HWM orchestrated a reverse merger to bring the companies public by merging the entity with a publicly traded shell company. As part of Defendants' fraudulent scheme, following the reverse merger, the Issuers prepared a Form SB-2 registration statement to be filed with the Commission to register for resale the shares held by HWM, Ficeto, CIC Global, Homm, the Hunter Fund, and/or the Absolute funds. Drafts of the SB-2 registration statements were circulated to the Issuer and its auditors, as well as to Ficeto for his review, comment and approval.

28

27

Ficeto provided materially misleading information to the Issuers' 49.

counsel who prepared the Form SB-2 registration statements for the Issuers identified in paragraph 52 below. Specifically, Ficeto provided the information contained in the Forms SB-2 for the aforementioned microcap companies identifying who the control persons were for the various Absolute funds, which were some of the selling shareholders whose shares were being registered. The tables listing the entity selling shareholders failed to mention Homm's de facto control over the investment decisions for all of the Absolute funds. For example, in the Form SB-2 for ProElite, Inc., the selling shareholders listed included six of the Absolute Funds. For all but one of the funds, Ficeto named other persons, other than Homm, as the person controlling the fund. In fact, Ficeto knew that Homm, as Absolute's chief and/or co-chief investment officer, controlled the investment decisions for all of the Absolute funds.

50. By ascribing control of the various Absolute funds to different individuals other than Homm, investors could be misled into believing that more than one person or entity, other than Homm, controlled the investment decisions of the Absolute funds. Accurate disclosure of the control person of each of the Issuers would have been material information to potential and actual investors in the Issuers, as it would have been important for a reasonable investor to know: (i) who the control person was behind each selling shareholder; (ii) the regulatory disciplinary history, if any, of such control person; (iii) the relationship between the fund's control person and the placement agent, underwriter and broker-dealer involved in the sale of the Issuer's securities; and (iv) whether the different funds were, in fact, under common control, so as to properly assess the risks associated with purchasing the Issuers' shares, and whether the offering was legitimate or, rather, as was the case here, part of a fraudulent stock manipulation scheme.

51. Ficeto was responsible for the misleading disclosures in the Form SB-2 registration statements for the Issuers identified in paragraph 52 below, each of which concealed Homm's de facto control over the Absolute funds. For

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

example, ProElite's counsel routinely forwarded drafts of the Forms SB-2 for
Ficeto's comment, and in at least one instance for ProElite, counsel informed
individuals, including Ficeto, in an e-mail that the company would not file the
Form SB-2 until they received "actual approval" (emphasis in original) from
Ficeto. Ficeto was the only one from whom Issuers' counsel could have gotten the
misleading information stated in the footnotes in the Issuers' Form SB-2
registration statements regarding the identity of the control person for each of the
Absolute fund.

52. The Issuers and registration statements as to which Ficeto concealed information concerning the identity of the control person for each of the funds are as follows:

Issuer	SEC Filing	Date of Filing
Berman	Amendment No. 4 to Form SB-2 (Registration No. 333-126387)	April 28, 2006
MicroMed	Form SB-2 (Registration No. 333-127938)	August 29, 2005
MicroMed	Form SB-2 (Registration No. 333-136457)	December 21, 2005
MicroMed	Amendment No. 1 to Form SB-2 (Registration No. 333-136457)	October 13, 2006
Java Detour	Amendment No. 5 to Form SB-2 (Registration No. 333-139731)	June 20, 2007
Java Detour	Amendment No. 1 to Form SB-2 (Registration No. 333-144437)	July 24, 2007

### J. <u>HWM's Broker-Dealer Obligations</u>

53. In serving as the vehicle to execute the manipulative trading, HWM

also violated the federal securities laws applicable to broker-dealers.

### 1. <u>HWM Failed to Preserve Required Books and Records</u>

54. Broker-dealers must make and keep certain records relating to their

business. Those records include originals of a memorandum of each brokerage order, and of any other instruction, given or received for the purchase or sale of securities, whether executed or unexecuted, and all communications received and copies of all communications sent (and any approvals thereof) relating to the broker-dealer's business. 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.17(a)(6)(i) and 240.17a-4(b)(4).

55. In violation of those record retention rules, HWM and Ficeto failed to retain either the original or copies of IMs from an alternate IM system that related to HWM's business. Specifically, HWM and Ficeto failed to retain IM transcripts when Ficeto or Ahn communicated with Heatherington through their secret, alternate IM system about the manipulation of the Issuers' stock prices.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

### 2. <u>HWM's Failure to File SARs</u>

56. The Bank Secrecy Act ("BSA"), 12 U.S.C. §§ 1829(b),1951-1959 and 31 U.S.C. §§ 5311-5330, as amended by the USA Patriot Act, Pub. L. No. 107-56, 115 Stat. 296 (2001), and implemented under rules promulgated by the U.S. Treasury Department's Financial Crimes Enforcement Network ("FinCEN"), requires broker-dealers to file suspicious activity reports ("SARs") with FinCEN to report a transaction involving or aggregating to at least \$5,000 that the brokerdealer knows, suspects or has reason to suspect: (1) involves funds derived from illegal activity or were conducted to disguise funds derived from illegal activities; ... (3) had no business or apparent lawful purpose; or (4) involved use of the broker-dealer to facilitate criminal activity. 31 C.F.R. § 103.19. Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 17a-8 thereunder, requires broker-dealers to comply with the recordkeeping, retention and reporting obligations of 31 C.F.R. § 103.19.

57. To ensure compliance with these obligations, HWM's procedures required that SARs be filed for transactions that may be indicative of money laundering or other reportable events. HWM designated the firm's compliance officer, Pagliarini, with responsibility to file SARs on the firm's behalf.

28

58. HWM failed to file a SAR regarding suspicious money transfers out

of Homm's brokerage account at HWM. Although Homm conducted minimal securities trading in that account, large amounts of cash, of at least \$5,000, were routinely transferred during the relevant period into that account from HWM's operations account, and soon thereafter transferred out of that account to various bank accounts, including accounts in Switzerland. The dates and amounts of the suspicious transfers out of Homm's HWM brokerage account for which SAR's should have been filed are as follows:

Date Transferred in	Amount	Date Transferred out	Amount	Transferred to		
Cash in account as of	\$1,891,745.31					
1/1/06						
		1/4/06	\$1,790,000	Banque SCS Alliance SA		
				Alliance SA Switzerland		
				Switzerfallu		
7/13/06	\$1,950,000					
8/15/06	\$1,780,000					
		8/22/06	\$2,000,000	Banque SCS Alliance SA		
				Switzerland		
9/18/06	\$250,000					
10/6/06	\$500,000					
		10/27/06	\$75,000	Banque SCS Alliance SA		
				Switzerland		
		10/31/06	\$425,000	Banque SCS Alliance SA		
				Switzerland		
12/1/06	\$600,000					
		12/8/06	\$800,000	Banque SCS Alliance SA		
				Switzerland		

59. In addition to the above suspicious cash transfers routed through

Homm's account at HWM, for which no SAR was filed, HWM also failed to file a SAR regarding a transaction from Heatherington's CIC Global account at HWM. On or about April 21, 2008, Ficeto wired \$4.6 million from Heatherington's CIC Global account, which represented ill-gotten stock sale proceeds from Defendants' scheme, to a Canadian bank account in the name of a different company. HWM should have filed a SAR for that transaction as well, but failed to do so.

60. The information available to HWM and HWM's chief compliance officer, namely, the matched orders, orders marking the close, and wash sales in the Issuers' stock, and the enormous trading profits, sales credits and commissions generated thereby, should have given HWM and its chief compliance officer reason to suspect that the transfers of cash to overseas bank accounts involved funds derived from Defendants' illegal market manipulation scheme, were designed to evade the requirements of the BSA, had no business or apparent lawful business purpose, and involved the use of HWM to facilitate criminal activity.

## K. <u>Ficeto's, Homm's and Hunter Advisors' Abuse As Investment</u> <u>Advisers</u>

61. Homm provided investment advice with respect to securities in his role as the chief investment officer for ACMH, an SEC-registered investment adviser. While directing the investment activities of the Absolute funds, Homm misused investor money to purchase Issuer shares from himself, HWM, Ficeto, CIC Global and Ficeto's children. Through his self-dealing, he caused the Absolute funds to purchase Issuer shares from entities he owned and/or controlled, and reaped millions of dollars of sales credits through his co-ownership of HWM. Additionally, Homm defrauded the Absolute funds by effecting manipulative trades in the Issuers' securities between the funds which resulted in the funds incurring unnecessary costs, including sales credits and commissions, and paying inflated prices for the Issuers' securities.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

62. Ficeto, Heatherington and HWM knowingly and substantially assisted

1

2

Homm in abuse of his position as an investment advisor to the funds. In particular, Ficeto understood that Homm was the funds' chief investment officer and dealt directly with Homm with respect to the funds' investments in several of the Issuers. HWM helped bring the Issuers public through reverse mergers and once the Issuers' shares were registered for re-sale, Ficeto, Heatherington and HWM executed hundreds of manipulative orders among the Absolute funds' accounts at HWM. Many of those orders occurred with respect to shares of ProElite, an issuer in which HWM and Ficeto owed a considerable stake. One of the matched orders was a back-dated trade in ProElite that Ficeto approved, the purpose of which was to affect the NAV for an ACMH fund. Additionally, Ficeto and HWM (along with Heatherington's company, CIC Global) sold their shares of Issuers' stock to the Absolute funds through matched orders at artificially high prices resulting from Defendants' market manipulative scheme.

63. Hunter Advisors, which was managed and controlled by Ficeto, was the investment adviser for the Hunter Fund. While directing the investment activities of the Hunter Fund, Ficeto caused the Hunter Fund to purchase shares of ProElite stock at inflated prices from HWM and Ficeto personally. In December 2006, Ficeto also approved the sale of Hunter Fund's 1,000,000 shares of a non-Issuer company, Clinuvel Pharmaceuticals Limited, to CIC Global at a price substantially below the market price. Two months later, CIC Global sold those shares to an Absolute fund for over three times the price it had paid to the Hunter Fund.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# FRAUD IN THE OFFER OR SALE OF SECURITIES Violation of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act (Against Defendant Ficeto)

64. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through63 above.

1	65.	Defendant Ficeto, by concealing material information in the Issuers'			
2	registration statements (see ¶¶ 48-52), directly or indirectly, in the offer or sale of				
3	securities b	y the use of means or instruments of transportation or communication			
4	in interstate	commerce or by the use of the mails:			
5		a. with scienter, employed devices, schemes, or artifices to			
6		defraud;			
7		b. obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of a			
8		material fact or by omitting to state a material fact necessary in			
9		order to make the statements made, in light of the			
10		circumstances under which they were made, not misleading;			
11		and			
12		c. engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which			
13		operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the			
14		purchaser.			
15	66.	By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendant Ficeto			
16	violated, an	d unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a)			
17	of the Secu	rities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a).			
18		SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
19		FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE			
20		OR SALE OF SECURITIES			
21	Violat	ion of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder			
22	(	Against Defendants Ficeto, Homm, Heatherington and HWM)			
23	67.	The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference $\P\P$ 1 through			
24	63 above.				
25	68.	Defendants Ficeto, Homm, Heatherington and HWM, and each of			
26	them, by en	gaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in			
27	connection	with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or			
28	instrumenta	lities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a			
	1				

1	national securities	exchange, with scienter:
2	a.	employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
3	b.	made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a
4		material fact necessary in order to make the statements made,
5		in the light of the circumstances under which they were made,
6		not misleading; or
7	с.	engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which
8		operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon other
9		persons.
10	69. By en	gaging in the conduct described above, Defendants Ficeto,
11	Homm, Heathering	gton and HWM violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will
12	continue to violate	Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and
13	Rule 10b-5 thereu	nder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.
14		THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
15	FRAUD BY BR	OKER-DEALER IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE
16		OR SALE OF SECURITIES
17		Violation of Section 15(c)(1) of the Exchange Act
18		(Against Defendant HWM)
19	(Aiding and A	betting Against Defendants Ficeto, Homm and Heatherington)
20	70. The C	Commission realleges and incorporates by reference $\P\P$ 1 through
21	63 above.	
22	71. Defer	ndant HWM engaged in the business of effecting transactions in
23	securities for the a	ccounts of others, and therefore was a broker within the meaning
24	of Section 3(a)(4)	of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78c(a)(4).
25	72. Defer	ndant HWM, while a broker, by engaging in the conduct
26	described above, d	irectly or indirectly, by the use of the mails or the means or
27	instrumentalities o	f interstate commerce, effected transactions in and attempted to
	11	e or sale of securities by means of manipulative, deceptive, or

other fraudulent devices or contrivances, including acts, practices, and courses of
business that operated or would have operated as a fraud or deceit upon any
person, including persons to whom HWM, through its associated persons, offered
and/or sold securities.

73. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendant HWM violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, 15(c)(1) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78o(c)(1).

74. Defendants Ficeto, Homm and Heatherington knowingly provided substantial assistance to HWM in its violation of Section 15(c)(1) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 780(c)(1).

75. By reason of the foregoing, Ficeto, Homm and Heatherington aided and abetted, and unless enjoined, will continue to aid and abet HWM's violations of Section 15(c)(1) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 780(c)(1).

### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## BROKER-DEALER FAILURE TO MAINTAIN REQUIRED RECORDS Violation of Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 17a-4(b)(4) and 17a-8 thereunder; (Against Defendant HWM)

(Aiding and Abetting Violation of Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 17a-4(b)(4) by Defendant Ficeto)

76. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through63 above.

77. Defendant HWM by engaging in the conduct described above, particularly by failing to archive and maintain the communications relating to its business made through an instant message system , violated Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78q(a), and Rule 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.17a-4(b)(4).

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

78. Defendant HWM by engaging in the conduct described above,

particularly by failing to comply with the reporting and record keeping
requirements of Part 103 of Title 31 of the Code of Federal Regulations by failing
to file required SARs, violated Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §
78q(a), and Rule 17a-8 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.17a-8.

79. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendant HWM violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78q(a), and Rules 17a-4(b)(4) and 17a-8 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.17a-4(b)(4) and 240.17a-8.

80. Defendant Ficeto knowingly provided substantial assistance to HWM in its violation of Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78q(a), and Rule 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.17a-4(b)(4).

81. By engaging in the conduct described above and pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78t(e), Ficeto aided and abetted HWM's violations, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to aid and abet violations, of Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act , 15 U.S.C. § 78q(a), and Rule 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.17a-4(b)(4).

### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### **INVESTMENT ADVISOR FRAUD**

Violation of Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisors Act (Against Defendant Homm)

(Aiding and Abetting Against Defendants Ficeto, Heatherington and HWM)
82. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through
63 above.

83. Since at least September 2005 through September 2007, Defendant
Homm acted as an investment adviser to the Absolute funds for purposes of
Section 202(a)(11) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-2(a)(11), because he was
the chief and/or co-chief investment adviser for ACMH and made investment
decisions for the Absolute funds.

84. Since at least September 2005 through September 2007, Defendant Homm, by use of the mails or means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, directly or indirectly, knowingly, willfully or recklessly: (i) employed devices, schemes or artifices to defraud his clients or prospective clients; and (ii) engaged in transactions, practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud or deceit upon his clients.

85. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant Homm violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) and 80b-6(2).

86. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants Ficeto,
Heatherington and HWM knowingly provided substantial assistance to Homm's violations of Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) and 80b-6(2). Unless enjoined, Ficeto, Heatherington and HWM will continue to aid and abet violations of Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) and 80b-6(2).

### SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### **INVESTMENT ADVISOR FRAUD**

## Violations of Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisors Act (Against Defendants Ficeto and Hunter Advisors)

87. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through63 above.

88. Since at least December 2004 through September 2007, Defendant
Ficeto acted as an investment adviser to the Hunter Fund for purposes of Section
202(a)(11) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-2(a)(11), because he was the
chief investment adviser for Hunter Advisors and made investment decisions for
the Hunter Fund.

89. Since approximately September 2005 through September 2007,
Defendant Ficeto, by use of the mails or means or instrumentalities of interstate

commerce, directly or indirectly: (i) employed devices, schemes or artifices to
defraud his clients or prospective clients; and (ii) engaged in transactions, practices
and courses of business which operated as a fraud or deceit upon his clients or
prospective clients.

90. By engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants Ficeto and Hunter Advisors violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate,
Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) and 80b-6(2).

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that Defendants committed the alleged violations.

### II.

Issue a judgment, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), permanently enjoining Defendant Ficeto and his agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a); Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5; and Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(1) and (2); and from aiding and abetting violations of Sections 15(c)(1) and 17(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(c)(1), and Rule 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.17a-4(b)(4); and from aiding and abetting violations of Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(1) and (2).

### III.

Issue a judgment, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), permanently enjoining Defendant Homm and each of his agents, servants,

employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, from violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5; and Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(1) and (2); and from aiding and abetting violations of Section 15(c)(1) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(c)(1).

### IV.

Issue a judgment, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), permanently enjoining Defendant Heatherington and each of his agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, from violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5; and from aiding and abetting violations of Section 15(c)(1) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(c)(1); and from aiding and abetting violations of Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(1) and (2).

### V.

Issue a judgment, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), permanently enjoining Defendant HWM and its agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, from violating Sections 10(b), 15(c)(1) and 17(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§15 U.S.C. 78j(b) and 78(q)(a), and Rules 10b-5, 17a-4(b)(4) and 17a-8 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 240.10b-5, 240.17a-4(b)(4) and 240.17a-8 and from aiding and abetting violations of Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(1) and (2).

### VI.

Issue a judgment, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), permanently enjoining Defendant Hunter Advisors, LLC and each of its agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation
with any of them, who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or
otherwise, from violating Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act, 15
U.S.C. § 80b-6(1) and (2).

### VII.

Enter an order, pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(e), and/or 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2), prohibiting Defendant Ficeto from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 781, or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(d).

### VIII.

Order Defendants to disgorge all ill-gotten gains from their illegal conduct, together with prejudgment interest thereon.

### IX.

Order Defendants to pay civil penalties under Section 20(d)(1) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)(1), Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3), and Section 209(e) of the Advisers Act, 15 U.S.C. § 80b-9(e).

### X.

Enter a Final Judgment imposing a penny stock bar against Ficeto pursuant to Section 20(g) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77t(g), and Section 21(d)(6) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77u(d)(6).

### XI.

Order Relief Defendants to disgorge all ill-gotten gains from Defendants' illegal conduct together with prejudgment interest thereon.

### XII.

Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the

1

1	terms of all orders and decrees that	at may be entered, or to entertain any suitable					
2	application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.						
3	XIII.						
4	Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and						
5	necessary.	sary.					
6							
7	DATED: February 24, 2011	Respectfully submitted,					
8							
9							
10		DONALD W. SEARLES Attorney for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission					
11		Securities and Exchange Commission					
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19 20							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24 25							
23 26							
20							
28							
		33					

## APPENDIX 1

### **DEFENDANTS' MANIPULATIVE MATCHED TRADES**

4						- ·		<u>.</u>
5	Symbol	TradeDate	Account Name	Buy/Sell	Quantity	Previous Price	HWM Price	Closing Price
6	BRMC	20051229	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	500	2.00	2.35	2.35
6			MS (P/B) EUROPEAN					
7	BRMC	20051229	CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	2.00	2.35	2.35
	BRMC	20060119	CORPORATE ADVISORS EUROPE 2183	S	(500)	3.00	2.50	2 50
8	DRIVIC	20000119	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN	3	(500)	3.00	2.50	2.50
	BRMC	20060119	CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	3.00	2.50	2.50
9	BRMC	20060130	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	2.00	2.50	2.50
10			MS (P/B) EUROPEAN					
10	BRMC	20060130	CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	2.00	2.50	2.50
11			MS (P/B) EUROPEAN		(= 0 0)			
11	BRMC	20060131	CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	2.50	3.00	3.00
12	BRMC	20060131	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	B	500	2.50	3.00	3.00
	BRMC	20060330	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	2.00	3.00	3.00
13	BRMC	20060330	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	2.00	3.00	3.00
	DIVINC	20000330	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN	0	300	2.00	5.00	5.00
14	BRMC	20060524	CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	5.00	3.00	3.00
15	BRMC	20060524	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	500	5.00	3.00	3.00
15	BRMC	20060526	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	1.50	3.00	3.00
16			MS (P/B) EUROPEAN					
10	BRMC	20060526	CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	1.50	3.00	3.00
17	BRMC	20060530	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	1.50	3.00	3.00
1			MS (P/B) EUROPEAN	_		4 = 0		
18	BRMC	20060530	CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	1.50	3.00	3.00
	BRMC	20060626	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	2.50	3.00	3.00
19	BRMC	20060626	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	B	500	2.50	3.00	3.00
20	DIVINC	20000020	MS (P/B) ARE 1 tind 8400	D	300	2.50	5.00	5.00
20	BRMC	20060630	CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(1000)	3.25	3.095	3.00
21	BRMC	20060630	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	1000	3.25	3.155	3.00
<i>2</i> 1			MS (P/B) EUROPEAN					
22	BRMC	20060711	CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	4.99	3.00	3.00
	BRMC	20060711	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	500	4.99	3.00	3.00
23	BRMC	20060714	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	2.00	3.00	3.00
	DDMO	00000744	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN		500	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	BRMC	20060714	CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	2.00	3.00	3.00
25	BRMC	20060717	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(600)	2.00	3.00	3.00
25	BRMC	20060717	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	B	600	2.00	3.00	3.00
26	BRMC	20060717	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	2.00	3.00	3.00
20	DININO	20000720	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN	5	(000)	2.00	0.00	0.00
27	BRMC	20060720	CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	2.00	3.00	3.00
28	BRMC	20060724	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	3.00	2.50	2.50

					Previous	HWM	Closing	
1	Symbol	TradeDate	Account Name	Buy/Sell	Quantity	Price	Price	Price
2	2    BRMC   20060724   CATALÝST FUND 2810			В	500	3.00	2.50	2.50
3	BRMC	20060725	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	2.50	2.25	2.50
.	BRMC	20060725	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	500	2.50	2.25	2.50
4	BRMC	20060726	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	2.25	2.00	2.00
5	BRMC	20060726	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	2.25	2.00	2.00
6	BRMC	20060727	THE HUNTER FUND LTD 8843	S	(400000)	2.00	1.97	2.00
7	BRMC	20060727	TODD M FICETO CUST 2846	S	(10000)	2.00	1.97	2.00
8	BRMC	20060727	TODD M FICETO CUST 8586	S	(10000)	2.00	1.97	2.00
	BRMC	20060727	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	B	420000	2.00	2.03	2.00
9	BRMC	20060728	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	2.00	1.75	1.75
10	BRMC	20060728	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	B	500	2.00	1.75	1.75
	BRMC	20060825	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(5000)	3.80	1.75	1.75
11	BRMC	20060825	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	B	5000	3.80	1.75	1.75
12	Brano	20000020	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN		0000	0.00		
12	BRMC	20060831	CATALÝST FUND 2810	S	(500)	1.75	2.50	2.50
13	BRMC	20060831	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	500	1.75	2.50	2.50
14	BRMC	20060928	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	2.50	3.00	3.00
14	BRMC	20060928	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	2.50	3.00	3.00
	BRMC	20061012	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	1.75	3.00	3.00
16	BRMC	20061012	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	500	1.75	3.00	3.00
17	BRMC	20061020	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400 MS (P/B) EUROPEAN	S	(500)	4.00	3.00	3.00
18	BRMC	20061020	CATALÝST FUND 2810	В	500	4.00	3.00	3.00
19	BRMC	20061030	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(1000)	1.75	3.00	3.00
	BRMC	20061030	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	1000	1.75	3.00	3.00
20	BRMC	20061120	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	5.00	3.00	3.00
21	BRMC	20061120	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	5.00	3.00	3.00
22	BRMC	20061226	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	1.75	3.00	3.00
	BRMC	20061226	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	500	1.75	3.00	3.00
23	BRMC	20061229	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400 MS (P/B) EUROPEAN	S	(1500)	3.15	3.1667	3.20
24	BRMC	20061229	CATALYST FUND 2810	В	1500	3.15	3.1667	3.20
25	BRMC	20070131	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(100000)	3.20	3.25	3.30
25	BRMC	20070131	MS (P/B) ABSOLUTE East West 2256	В	100000	3.20	3.35	3.20
26	BRMC	20070227	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(10000)	2.75	3.45	3.50
27	BRMC	20070227	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	10000	2.75	3.55	3.50
28	BRMC	20070228	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(600000)	3.75	3.45	3.50

1	Symbol	TradeDate	Account Name	Buy/Sell	Quantity	Previous Price	HWM Price	Closing Price
2	BRMC	20070228	CIC GLOBAL CAPITAL LIMITED 7358	S	(400000)	3.75	3.45	3.50
3	BRMC	20070228	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	В	1000000	3.75	3.55	3.50
4	BRMC	20070330	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(10000)	3.25	3.55	3.60
5	BRMC	20070330	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	В	10000	3.25	3.65	3.60
	BRMC	20070423	ABSOLUTE INDIA FUND 1663	S	(200000)	3.00	2.95	3.00
6	BRMC	20070423	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	200000	3.00	3.05	3.00
7	BRMC	20070905	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(2083203)	4.00	3.96	4.00
8 9	BRMC	20070919 as of 20070905	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	В	2083161	4.00	4.04	4.00
10	BRMC	20070919 as of 20070905	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	В	42	4.00	4.04	4.00
11								
12	LGSL	20051020	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	1.30	1.40	1.40
12	LGSL	20051020	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	500	1.30	1.40	1.40
13	LGSL	20051024	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(500)	1.25	1.40	1.40
14	LGSL	20051024	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	1.25	1.40	1.40
15	LGSL	20051103	THE HUNTER FUND LTD 8843	S	(2500)	1.31	1.40	1.40
16	LGSL	20051103	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	2500	1.31	1.40	1.40
17	LGSL	20060726	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(5000)	0.25	0.20	0.20
10	LGSL	20060726	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	5000	0.25	0.20	0.20
18	LGSL	20060731	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(2500)	0.13	0.25	0.25
19	LGSL	20060731	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	2500	0.13	0.25	0.25
20	LGSL	20060918	FLORIAN HOMM 8121	S	(22500)	0.17	0.17	0.17
20	LGSL	20060918	CORPORATE ADVISORS EUROPE 2183	В	22500	0.17	0.17	0.17
22	LGSL	20060921	ABSOLUTE INDIA FUND 1663	S	(800000)	0.17	0.2475	0.25
22	LGSL	20060921	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	800000	0.17	0.2525	0.25
	LGSL	20061026	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(5000)	0.40	0.25	0.25
24	LGSL	20061026	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	5000	0.40	0.25	0.25
25	MMCV	20051110	TODD M FICETO CUST 8586	S	(5000)	2.50	3.75	3.75
26	MMCV	20051110	CORPORATE ADVISORS EUROPE 2183	В	5000	2.50	3.75	3.75
27	MMCV	ABSOLUTE OCTANE		S	(10000)	3.75	3.75	3.75
28								

1	Symbol	TradeDate	Account Name	Buy/Sell	Quantity	Previous Price	HWM Price	Closing Price
2	MMCV	20051230	CORPORATE ADVISORS EUROPE 2183	В	10000	3.75	3.75	3.75
3	MMCV	20060626	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(500)	3.50	3.75	3.75
4	MMCV	20060626	CIC GLOBAL CAPITAL LIMITED 7358	S	(1000)	3.50	3.75	3.75
5	MMCV	20060626	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	500	3.50	3.75	3.75
5	MMCV	20060626	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	1000	3.50	3.75	3.75
6	MMCV	20060703	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	S	(500)	5.00	4.20	4.20
7	MMCV	20060703	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	500	5.00	4.20	4.20
8	MMCV	20060717	THE HUNTER FUND LTD 8843	S	(205750)	3.90	3.97	4.00
9	MMCV	20060717	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	205750	3.90	4.03	4.00
10	MMCV	20061214	ABSOLUTE LARGE CAP FUND 1653	S	(400000)	3.40	3.95	4.00
	MMCV	20061214	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	400000	3.40	4.05	4.00
11	MMCV	20070228	Accounts at HWM INC	S	(11500)	4.25	4.40	4.40
12	MMCV	20070228	Accounts at HWM INC	В	11500	4.25	4.40	4.40
	MMCV	20070427	ABSOLUTE LARGE CAP FUND 1653	S	(390000)	0.40	0.38	0.40
13	MMCV	20070427	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	B	390000	0.40	0.42	0.40
14		20010421			000000	0.40	0.42	0.40
14 15	QSTG	20070831	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	В	3000000	1.30	0.775	1.50
16	QSTG	20070831	HWM, INC Invest acct. #2 - - 1378	S	(2500000)	1.30	0.74	1.50
	QSTG	20070831	CIC GLOBAL CAPITAL LIMITED 7358	S	(500000)	1.30	0.74	1.50
17	QSTG	20070913	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1693	S	(4500000)	1.05	1.45	1.07
18 19	QSTG	20070913	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	В	4500000	1.05	1.55	1.07
20	PELE	20070531	ABSOLUTE LARGE CAP FUND 1653	S	(150000)	12.00	13.95	14.00
21	PELE	20070531	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	150000	12.00	14.05	14.00
22	PELE	20070606	HWM, INC Invest acct. #2 - - 1378	S	(200000)	14.00	13.99	14.00
23	PELE	20070606	CIC GLOBAL CAPITAL LIMITED 7358	S	(100000)	14.00	13.99	14.00
24	PELE	20070606	THE HUNTER FUND LTD 8843	В	300000	14.00	14.01	14.00
25	PELE	20070615	HWM, INC Invest acct. #2 - - 1378	S	(120000)	15.00	14.99	15.00
26	PELE	20070615	CIC GLOBAL CAPITAL LIMITED 7358	S	(60000)	15.00	14.99	15.00
77	PELE	20070615	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	60000	15.00	15.05	15.00
27 28	PELE	20070615	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	В	60000	15.00	15.05	15.00

° ||

1	Symbol	TradeDate	Account Name	Buy/Sell	Quantity	Previous Price	HWM Price	Closing Price
2	PELE	20070615	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	60000	15.00	15.05	15.00
3	PELE	20070618	ABSOLUTE EAST WEST FUND 2899	S	(500001)	15.00	11.98	12.00
4	PELE	20070618	MS (P/B) ABSOLUTE East West 2256	В	500001	15.00	12.02	12.00
5	PELE	20070626	ABSOLUTE ACTIVIST VALUE MASTER 2738	S	(250000)	12.00	11.98	12.00
6	PELE	20070626	MS (P/B) ABSOLUTE ACTIVIST VAL MSTR FD 2577	В	250000	12.00	12.02	12.00
7	PELE	20070627	HWM, INC Invest acct. #2 - - 1378	S	(100000)	15.00	14.995	15.00
8	PELE	20070627	CIC GLOBAL CAPITAL	s	(50000)	15.00	14.995	15.00
9	PELE	20070627	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400 MS (P/B) ABSOLUTE	B	150000	15.00	15.02	15.00
10	PELE	20070629	Large Cap 1673	S B	(225000)	15.00	14.98 15.02	15.00
11	PELE	20070629	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400 HWM, INC Invest acct. #2 - - 1378	S	225000	15.00 15.00	14.995	15.00 15.00
12	PELE	20070713	CIC GLOBAL CAPITAL LIMITED 7358	s	(50000)	15.00	14.995	15.00
13	PELE	20070713	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	В	50000	15.00	15.02	15.00
14 15	PELE	20070713	MS (P/B) ABSOLUTE ACTIVIST VAL MSTR FD 2577	В	50000	15.00	15.02	15.00
16	PELE	20070713	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	50000	15.00	15.02	15.00
17	PELE	20070731	CIC GLOBAL CAPITAL LIMITED 7358	S	(75000)	15.00	14.995	15.00
18	PELE	20070731	TODD FICETO 7107 MS (P/B) ABSOLUTE East	S	(75000)	15.00	14.995	15.00
19	PELE PELE	20070731 20070815	West 2256 MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	B S	150000 (250000)	15.00 15.00	15.02 14.95	15.00 14.90
20	PELE	20070815	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	250000	15.00	15.05	14.90
	PELE	20070816	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400 MS (P/B) EUROPEAN	S	(250000)	14.90	14.95	15.00
21	PELE	20070816	ABSOLUTE OCTANE	S	(250000)	14.90	14.95	15.00
22	PELE	20070816	FUND 1613	В	500000	14.90	15.05	15.00
23 24	PELE	20070817	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400 MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S S	(250000)	15.00 15.00	14.95 14.95	<u>15.00</u> 15.00
24	PELE	20070817	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	B	500000	15.00	14.95	15.00
26	PELE	20070820	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(250000)	15.00	14.95	15.00
27	PELE	20070820	ABSOLUTE OCTANE FUND 1613	В	250000	15.00	15.05	15.00
28	ABSOLUTE OCTANE PELE 20070823 FUND 1613		S	(500000)	15.00	14.95	15.00	

1 1/			_		Previous	HWM	Closing	
1	Symbol	TradeDate	Account Name	Buy/Sell	Quantity	Price	Price	Price
2			MS (P/B) ABSOLUTE					
	PELE	20070823	ACTIVIST VAL MSTR FD 2577	В	250000	15.00	15.05	15.00
3		20070623	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN	D	230000	15.00	15.05	15.00
	PELE	20070823	CATALYST FUND 2810	В	250000	15.00	15.05	15.00
4			ABSOLUTE OCTANE					
	PELE	20070824	FUND 1613	S	(750000)	15.00	14.95	15.00
5	PELE	20070824	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	250000	15.00	15.05	15.00
			MS (P/B) ABSOLUTE					
6			ACTIVIST VAL MSTR FD	_				
7	PELE	20070824	2577	В	250000	15.00	15.05	15.00
·	PELE	20070824	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN CATALYST FUND 2810	В	250000	15.00	15.05	15.00
8		20070024	EUROPEAN CATALYST	D	230000	13.00	13.05	13.00
	PELE	20070828	FUND LTD	В	75000	15.00	15.015	15.00
9	PELE	20070828	HWM, Inc. 2572	S	(50000)	15.00	15.00	15.00
	PELE	20070828	CIC Global	S	(25000)	15.00	15.00	15.00
10	PELE	20070830	CIC Global	S	(25000)	15.00	14.97	15.00
11	PELE	20070830	HWM, Inc. 2572	S	(50000)	15.00	14.97	14.97
11			EUROPEAN CATALYST		()			
12	PELE	20070830	FUND LTD	В	75000	15.00	15.015	14.97
12			MS (P/B) ABSOLUTE East	_				
13	PELE	20070831	West 2256	S	(150000)	15.00	14.95	15.00
13		20070024	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN	В	450000	45.00	15.05	15.00
14	PELE	20070831	CATALYST FUND 2810 EUROPEAN CATALYST	D	150000	15.00	15.05	15.00
	PELE	20070907	FUND LTD	В	75000	15.00	15.0150	15.00
15			ABSOLUTE ACTIVIST					
	PELE	20070907	VALUE	В	75000	15.00	15.0150	15.00
16	PELE	20070907	Ficeto	S	(75000)	15.00	15.00	15.00
17	PELE	20070907	CIC Global	S	(75000)	15.00	15.00	15.00
17								
18			MS (P/B) EUROPEAN					
	JVDT	20070227	CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(1000)	2.10	2.45	2.50
19	JVDT	20070227	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	1000	2.10	2.55	2.50
	JVDT	20070228	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	S	(1000)	2.50	2.95	3.00
20	1) /DT	00070000	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN		4000	0.50	0.05	0.00
	JVDT	20070228	CATALYST FUND 2810 MS (P/B) EUROPEAN	В	1000	2.50	3.05	3.00
21	JVDT	20070730	CATALYST FUND 2810	s	(50000)	2.97	2.95	3.00
	JVDT	20070730	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	B	50000	2.97	3.05	3.00
22		20010100	MS (P/B) EUROPEAN		00000	2.01	0.00	0.00
23	JVDT	20070731	CATALYST FUND 2810	S	(25000)	3.00	3.45	3.50
23	JVDT	20070731	MS (P/B) ARE Fund 8400	В	25000	3.00	3.55	3.50
24								
- ·								
25								

1	APPENDIX 2
2	
3	DEFENDANTS' MARKING THE CLOSE/LAST TRADE
4	TRANSACTIONS
5	ProElite
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	40

Trade Date	Number of Shares Purchased	Last Execution	Execution Price	Closing Price	Total Volume
9/29/06	10,000	15:52:13	.0045	.0045	10,000
11/10/06	500	14:47:00	2.5	2.5	500
11/29/06	5000	10:39:00	2.75	2.75	5000
12/28/06	100	12:09:00	3.25	3.25	100
4/30/07	217	15:55:33	12	12	217
5/31/07	700,000	14:16:00	14	14	700,000
6/6/07	300,000	15:59:00	15	15	300,000
6/15/07	181,087	15:35:21	15	15	181,100
6/18/07	501,252	15:32:00	12	12	501,252
6/26/07	250,000	15:36:00	12	12	250,000
6/29/07	225,000	15:27:00	15	15	225,000
7/13/07	150,000	15:38:00	15	15	150,000
7/31/07	150,000	15:58:00	15	15	150,000
8/15/07	251,100	15:26:54	15	14.90	251,100
8/17/07	500,000	11:10:00	15	15	500,000
8/20/07	250,000	11:15:00	15	15	250,000
8/23/07	500,000	11:38:00	15	15	500,000
8/28/07	75,000	11:33:00	15	15	75,000
8/31/07	225,000	12:23:00	15	15	225,000
9/7/07	150,000	11:24:22	15	15	150,000
9/13/07	2,500,199	13:42:00	15	15	2,500,199

# MicroMed

Trade Date	Number of Shares Purchased	Last Execution	Execution Price	Closing Price	Total Volume
1/31/06	4300	15:47:45	\$4.00	\$4.00	6800
2/28/06	4000	15:47:16	\$3.50	\$3.50	9800
3/31/06	5000	15:52:30	\$3.50	\$3.50	9148
4/28/06	5343	15:59:26	\$3.90	\$3.90	6743
5/31/06	3000	15:56:47	\$3.25	\$3.25	4000
6/30/06	3200	15:54:49	\$4.20	\$4.20	3200
7/31/06	2,307,571	15:50:33	\$3.80	\$3.80	2,308,571
8/31/06	12,000	15:59:33	\$4.30	\$4.30	20,500
10/31/06	10,000	15:53:15	\$4.15	\$4.15	7500
11/7/06	3300	15:49:06	\$4.00	\$4.00	4300
11/30/06	240,000	15:48:29	\$4.40	\$4.40	8182
12/29/06	2500	15:58:37	\$4.30	\$4.30	4538
1/31/07	2038	15:47:56	\$4.30	\$4.30	3538
2/28/07	30,000	15:46:29	\$4.40	\$4.40	40,300
3/30/07	2500	15:49:30	\$4.40	\$4.40	5134

### Berman

Trade Date	Number of Shares Purchased	Last Execution	Execution Price	Closing Price	Total Volume
1/30/06	500	15:59:10	\$2.50	\$2.50	600
5/30/06	500	15:49:12	\$3.00	\$3.00	800
6/30/06	1000	15:53:53	\$3.00	\$3.00	1000
7/28/06	500	15:55:25	\$1.75	\$1.75	500
9/28/06	500	15:25:33	\$3.00	\$3.00	500
10/12/06	500	13:51:38	\$3.00	\$3.00	686
12/26/06	500	14:34:00	\$3.00 (\$1.75)	\$3.00	600
1/31/07	2,600,000	15:25:00	\$3.30	\$3.30	2,600,000
2/27/07	10,000	15:43:00	\$3.50 (\$1.40)	\$3.50	10,000
3/30/07	240,000	15:57:52	\$3.60	\$3.60	330,571
7/31/07	208,500	15:50:50	\$3.50	\$3.50	258,285

### Java Detour

Trade Date	Number of Shares Purchased	Last Execution	Execution Price	Closing Price	Total Volume
1/8/07	500	12:04:00	\$2.10	\$2.10	1000
2/27/07	1000	15:51:00	\$2.50	\$2.50	1000
2/28/07	1000	15:16:33	\$3.00	\$3.00	1000
7/30/07	50,000	15:33:00	\$3.00	\$3.00	50,000
7/31/07	25,000	15:48:00	\$3.50	\$3.50	25,000

## **Logistical Support**

Trade Date	Number of Shares Purchased	Last Execution	Execution Price	Closing Price	Total Volume
10/20/05	500	9:40:22	\$1.40	\$1.40	500
7/26/06	5000	13:39:45	\$.20	\$.20	5000
3/31/06	762,500	15:52:00	\$.25	\$.25	762,500
1/31/07	56,000	15:53:54	\$.25	\$.25	69,200

## Quest

Trade Date	Number of Shares Purchased	Last Execution	Execution Price	Closing Price	Total Volume
4/30/07	60,500	15:56:17	\$1.25	\$1.25	112,500
5/31/07	14,800	15:49:49	\$1.25	\$1.25	27,571
6/28/07	10,500	15:46:20	\$1.25	\$1.25	10,500

1		APPENDIX 3
2	Ins	tant Messaging Excerpts
3		
4	-	– Heatherington instructed Ahn to sell 800,000 port to the India Fund because "AIF [the India Fund]
5		nce." Heatherington also asked Ahn to post the trade
6		g, and Ahn agreed, noting that he would post it "30 o make it less conspicuous." The India Fund sold
7		y to the European Catalyst Fund for a \$60,000 profit.
8		
9	Heatherington:	need a booking please
10		
11	Ahn:	yes, how can i help
12	Heatherington:	ARE sells 800K LGSL 0.17, AIF buys
13		AIF needs a bit of performance
14		
15	Ahn:	should I put this is up now, or after the close?
16		
17	Heatherington:	after ok with you?
18	Ahn:	absolutely, I'll put it after 30 minutes after the
19		close to make it less conspicuous
20		
21	Heatherington:	thanks! Much appreciated!
22		
23		
24		
25 26	Heatherington:	pls buy lgsl up to 0.25 and we will do the cross tonight at 0.25
20 27		
27	Ahn:	should I do this near the end of the day, or take it
20		up there now?
		45

Heatherington:	take it up now is fine and cross after market again pls		
The price of LGSL on September 18, 2006 was \$0.17 (there were no traded during market hours on September 20, 2006). On September 21, 2006, HWM executed purchases at increasing prices starting at \$0.20 and next at \$0.25. A not HWM trade was then executed at \$0.17. HWM then executed a matched order for 1000 shares between AIF and Catalyst at 15:45:00 at \$0.25 which was the last trade of the market day. The closing price for that day is \$0.25.			
• November 30, 2006 – Heatherington listed for Ahn his proposed closing prices for several stocks, including \$2.75 for ProElite, \$3.00 for Berman, and \$4.40 for MicroMed. Later that day, Heatherington wrote Ahn asking him to enter a cross trade for Berman at \$1.50 after the close of the market, then changing that order, noting that "Todd is going to kill me." MicroMed closed at \$4.40, the last traded price for Berman before thats date was \$3.00, and a later cross trade in Berman Center given by Heatherington was entered after the close of the market.			
Heatherington:	MMCV 4.40 today pls DUVT 0.51 BRMC 3.00 PELE 2.75 can you pls put a cross up in PSPR at the open, bloomberg is not pulling a price		
Ahn:	yes, at what price?		
Heatherington:	0.35 last I would like to cross 700K BRMC at 1.50 after the market, ECF sells, AOF buys wait Todd is going to kill me, pls have him call pls cancel the BRMC trade for now AOF buys 1,500,000 BRMC 1.50, ARE sells 1,000,000, ECF sells 500,000 MMCV 4.40		

Ш

The following were the closing prices and the previous prices for some of these stocks on 11/30/06:

	Closing Price	Previous Price
MMCV	4.40	\$4.00
BRMC	no trades on that day	\$3.00
PELE	no trades on the day	\$2.75

• January 8, 2007 – HWM executed trades in Java Detour so that a price would be reported by Bloomberg either by using Absolute accounts held at HWM or through matched orders with another broker. For example, on January 8, 2007, Ahn told Heatherington that a price for Java Detour wasn't available on Bloomberg. In order to have a trade execute and the price show up at current levels, Ahn suggested having Heatherington put in an order to buy shares with another broker, with HWM then selling shares to that broker. Ahn even suggested a price, which was changed by Ficeto, and the trade was executed at Ficeto's price. The European Catalyst Fund was the selling fund, as well as the buying fund.

Ahn:	jvdt – prices are coming in as unavailable on part of bloomberg and Arca apparently the price never adjusted after the split
Heatherington:	ok
Ahn:	we have a suggestion if you want to put a print at the current levels
Heatherington:	ok
Ahn:	if you can put a buy order through another broker, like 2.10 bid

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

ok Spy

Heatherington:

we can go and hit that bid

Ahn: Heatherington: Ahn Heatherington:	<pre>just another way to do a cross for you, but at the sam time it puts another broker in this name</pre> 500 ok? that's fine, I'll be on the lookout is the order with Hudson?
Ahn	that's fine, I'll be on the lookout is the order with Hudson?
	is the order with Hudson?
Heatherington:	DDC
Heatherington:	DDC
	RBC
Ahn:	its fine, sorry for the hassle, I'll just put a cross myse what price do you want this baby at?
Heatherington:	same as before I suppose, ask Todd
Ahn:	you can cancel the buy order with RBC, I'll put up th cross here
Ahn:	just spoke with todd he suggests using a 2.25 limit and they'll go 2.10 bid
Heatherington:	ok
Ahn:	done, sold 500 shares at 2.10
by HWM and the	Java Detour on January 8, 2007 were the trades executor other broker, for a closing price of \$2.10, and the \$\$2.25, the price Ficeto had suggested.

1	• January 31, 2007 – Heatherington gave Ahn a number of proposed closing			
2	prices, including \$3.30 for Berman. Ahn said that he executed the Berman trade at \$3.30, and would "do the others after the bell." Most of the stocks			
3	closed at the prices specified by Heatherington, with another closing a few			
4	pennies below the target price, and for another Ahn saying he wouldn't ge one of them to the price Heatherington wanted.			
5				
6 Ahn: brmc cross is up to get it to 3.30, will		to 3.30, will do the others after		
7		the bell I put it up now, so with	the delay you can see it too	
8		<b>I</b> , <b>I</b>		
9	Heatherington:	closing prices		
10		CGDF 1.38 CSSV 3.80		
11		EDNE 1.15		
12		MMCV 4.30 BRMC 3.30		
13				
14	Ahn:	how many shares can i u	shares can i use for each	
15				
16	Heatherington:	LGSL 0.25		
17		whatever you need DUVT 0.38		
18		CSSV 4.00 now pls		
19		ok with EDNE?		
20	Ahn:	EDNE is tough		
21		won't get it there [sic]		
22				
23	The following were the closing prices and the previous prices for some of these stocks on January 31, 2007:			
24	5	,		
25		Closing Price	Previous Price	
26	MMCV	4.30	\$4.00	
27	BRMC	3.30	\$3.20 \$0.22	
28	LGSL	0.25	\$0.23	

• March 30, 2007 – Heatherington gave Ahn "Tony's Hit List," with Berman set to close at \$3.60. To increase the price, Ahn continuously bought shares, and asked Heatherington if he could purchase 200,000 shares of Berman stock. Heatherington responded that Ahn could buy whatever he had to so long as Berman closed at \$3.60 per share, which was the price at which it ultimately closed that day (the previous price was \$3.60, with the first trade of the day at 2.75). Ahn purchased approximately \$820,000 worth of Berman for the Octane Fund on that day.

Heatherington:	"Tony's Hit List"	
Ahn:	hahah	
Heatherington:	MMCV 4.40 BRMC 3.60	
Ahn:	brmc – Hill is selling 2k I have bought a total of 10k already	
Heatherington:	keep buying need 3.60	
Ahn:	I have bought additional 20k, how much can I buy up to, we are short on time	
Heatherington:	whatever you have to need 3.60 200k I don't care	

• July 31, 2007 – Heatherington listed "the prices for today" for a number of issuers, including \$3.50 for Berman, the price at which it closed that day due to cross trades between the Absolute funds. Heatherington wrote Ahn that he could "buy whatever it takes" and that it is ""TOTALLY FINE IF THEY GO HIGHER :D." With one exception, the closing prices specified by Heatherington were reached and two were exceeded.

1	Heathering	ton:	ok, here ar MMCV 0.	e the prices for today 90	
2			DUVT 0.3		
3			JVDT 3.50 QSTG 1.5		
4			IMTO 2.0 BRMC 3.5	0	
5			LGSL 0.20		
6					
7	Ahn:		thanks		
8					
9	Heatherington:		you can buy whatever it takes		
10			is it ok if these stocks go higher than these prices listed		
11 12	Ahn:				
12					
13	Heatherington:		TOTALLY FINE IF THEY GO HIGHER :D		
15					
16					
17	The following were the clo		re the closin	g prices and the previous prices for some of	
18		51/07.			
19		Closi	ng Price	Previous Price	
20	MMCV	.90		.95 (first trade of the day at .70)	
21	JVDT	3.50		3.00	
22	QSTG BRMC	1.50 3.50		<ul><li>1.50 (first trade of the day at 1.05)</li><li>3.50 (first trade of the day at 3.08)</li></ul>	
23	LGSL	0.20		0.2 (first trade of the day at 0.10)	
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
				51	