•			
1	MARC J. FAGEL (Cal. Bar No. 154425) MICHAEL S. DICKE (Cal. Bar No. 158187) DickeM@sec.gov SUSAN F. LAMARCA (Cal. Bar No. 215231) LaMarcaS@sec.gov ROBERT S. LEACH (Cal. Bar No. 196191) LeachR@sec.gov		
2	MICHAEL S. DICKE (Cal. Bar No. 158187) DickeM@sec.gov		
3	SUSAN F. LAMARCA (Cal. Bar No. 215231) LaMarcaS@sec.gov		
4			
5	KAREN KREUZKAMP (Cal. Bar No. 246151) KreuzkampK@sec.gov		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94104		
8	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 705-2500 Facsimile: (415) 705-2501 E-filing		
9	Tacsinine. (415) 705-2501		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13	ATVILLARGES		
14			
15	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, Case No. CV		
16	Plaintiff, COMPLAINT		
17	ν.		
18	KURT S. HOVAN, LISA B. HOVAN, EDWARD J. HOVAN, JR., and HOVAN		
19	CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") alleges:		
23	SUMMARY OF THE ACTION		
24	1. From 2008 through 2009, Hovan Capital Management, LLC ("HCM"), a Northern		
25	California investment adviser, misappropriated assets held for the benefit of its clients and, to conceal		
26	its wrongdoing, provided phony documents to the Commission during a 2010 examination of the		
27	firm.		
28			

ŝ

1 2. HCM's fraud involved the misuse of so-called "soft dollars" - commission rebates 2 HCM received from brokerage firms for trades executed in HCM's client accounts. In numerous 3 disclosure documents and agreements, HCM and its principals – Kurt Hovan and Lisa Hovan – 4 assured clients and others that HCM would only use soft dollars to pay for a limited category of 5 services that benefitted HCM's clients (such as investment research) and would not use soft dollars to 6 pay for items that benefitted only HCM. Contrary to their disclosures, HCM, Kurt Hovan, and Lisa 7 Hovan secretly used soft dollars to pay the salary of Kurt Hovan's brother Edward. Kurt Hovan, Lisa 8 Hovan, and HCM also received kickbacks from soft dollars paid to Edward Hovan and a consultant, 9 which they used for prohibited purposes.

3. In January 2010, when Commission examiners requested records related to HCM's
soft dollar uses, Kurt Hovan created and produced phony research reports to the examiners to make it
appear that the soft dollars were spent for legitimate research that could benefit clients.

4. By engaging in the acts alleged in this Complaint, Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, Edward
 Hovan, and HCM, among other things, violated the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws.
 The Commission seeks an order enjoining Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, Edward Hovan, and HCM from
 future violations of the securities laws and requiring them to disgorge ill-gotten gains with
 prejudgment interest and pay civil monetary penalties.

18

JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGMENT

The Commission brings this action under Section 21(d) of the Securities Exchange
 Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)], Section 209(d) of the Investment Advisers Act
 of 1940 ("Advisers Act") [15 U.S.C. § 80b-9(d)], and Section 42 of the Investment Company Act of
 1940 ("Investment Company Act") [15 U.S.C. § 80a-41].

Charlen 1, 23 6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under Sections 21(d), 21(e), and 27 of the
Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e), and 78aa], Sections 209 and 214 of the Advisers Act [15
U.S.C. §§ 80b-9 and 80b-14], and Sections 42(d), 42(e), and 44 of the Investment Company Act [15
U.S.C. §§ 80a-41(d), 80a-41(e), and 80a-43].

7. Venue in this District is proper under Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §
78aa], Section 214 of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. § 80b-14], and Section 44 of the Investment

Company Act [15 U.S.C. § 80a-43] because defendants Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM reside in,
 and a substantial portion of the conduct alleged in this complaint occurred within, the Northern
 District of California.

Assignment to the San Francisco Division is appropriate pursuant to Civil Local Rules
 3-2(c) and 3-2(d) because acts and omissions giving rise to the Commission's claims occurred,
 among other places, in Marin County.

DEFENDANTS

8 9. Kurt S. Hovan, age 43, resides in Belvedere, California and, since 1999, has served
9 as member, President, and Chief Investment Officer of HCM. He is the husband of Lisa Hovan and
10 the brother of Edward Hovan, Jr. He holds Series 7, 63, and 65 securities licenses issued by FINRA.

11 10. Lisa B. Hovan, age 46, resides in Belvedere, California and, since 1999, has served as
12 member and Chief Financial Officer of HCM. She has also served as Chief Compliance Officer of
13 HCM. She is the wife of Kurt Hovan. Lisa Hovan formerly worked as a tax manager at two large
14 accounting firms and currently provides accounting support to an investment adviser. She is a
15 certified public accountant, currently licensed in California and previously licensed in New Jersey
16 and New York.

17 11. Edward J. Hovan, Jr., age 46, resides in Bolton, Connecticut. He is the brother of
18 Kurt Hovan. From at least September 2008 through June 2009, he held the titles of Executive Vice
19 President and Portfolio Manager of HCM.

Hovan Capital Management, LLC is a California limited liability company formed
 in 1999 with its primary place of business in Belvedere, California. From August 2006 until March
 2009, it served as a sub-adviser to a registered investment company that was based in Harrison, New
 York ("Client A"). It also acted as the investment adviser and general partner for at least one
 investment partnership. HCM is indirectly owned by Kurt Hovan and Lisa Hovan through HCM
 Group, LLC. HCM was registered with the Commission as an investment adviser from April 3,
 2006, through April 7, 2011.

27

7

28

1 **OTHER RELEVANT ENTITY** 2 13. Bolton Research, LLC is a Connecticut limited liability company formed on October 3 1, 2008, with its primary place of business in Bolton, Connecticut. During its short life, it was simply a conduit for payments to Edward Hovan by HCM. 4 5 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS** 6 A. HCM Had Soft Dollar Relationships with Two Brokerage Firms. 7 14. HCM, as a registered investment adviser, owed fiduciary duties to its clients. HCM, then, could not use client assets to pay for its own expenses. So-called soft dollars create a potential 8 9 conflict of interest for investment advisers, like HCM, because soft dollars may be used to pay for 10 certain research and brokerage services HCM would otherwise have use its own money to obtain. 11 15. Because of this potential conflict, HCM, as a registered investment adviser, was 12 required to disclose soft dollar arrangements in disclosure documents filed with the Commission, 13 namely a Form ADV. As a registered investment adviser, HCM was also required to furnish its 14 advisory clients and prospective advisory clients with written disclosures of its soft dollar 15 arrangements. 16 16. In 2008 and 2009, HCM had "soft dollar" agreements with two brokerage firms. Kurt 17 Hovan signed one of the agreements and Lisa Hovan signed the other. Under these agreements, 18 HCM agreed to direct brokerage business to these firms. In return, the firms agreed to give rebates 19 on commissions paid for securities trades executed in the accounts of HCM's clients. 20 17. Specifically, the firms agreed to a soft dollar credit of \$0.01 for every \$0.02 of 21 brokerage commissions generated by trades in the accounts of HCM's clients. During this time 22 period, an overwhelming majority of HCM's trades were executed on behalf of Client A. 23 18. The agreements HCM had with its brokerage firms required HCM to use soft dollars 24 only for certain research and brokerage services and prohibited HCM from using soft dollars to pay 25 for other items, including office equipment, salaries, rent, and computer hardware and accessories. 26 19. HCM agreed to similar restrictions in its uses of soft dollars in its agreement with **2**7 Client A. Kurt Hovan signed the agreement on behalf of HCM. 28

1 20. On or about October 20, 2008, Lisa Hovan, on behalf of HCM, provided Client A with 2 a signed Certificate of Compliance for the quarter ended September 30, 2008. In the Certificate of 3 Compliance, Lisa Hovan certified that "we have reviewed and followed [Client A's] ... Soft Dollar Arrangement Policies." On or about October 20, 2008, Lisa Hovan, on behalf of HCM, also provided 4 5 Client A with an additional certification titled "Soft Dollar Arrangements." In the form, Lisa Hovan 6 represented that none of the products and services acquired with soft dollars were used for "non-7 research" purposes and that all products and services acquired with soft dollars solely assisted HCM 8 in making investment-related decisions. Lisa Hovan also affirmed that HCM's then-current soft 9 dollar expenditures were in compliance with the federal securities laws. Lisa Hovan provided Kurt 10 Hovan with a copy of HCM's certifications.

21. On or about January 15, 2009, Lisa Hovan, on behalf of HCM, provided Client A with
similar certifications for the quarter ended December 31, 2008.

13 22. In Forms ADV filed with the Commission on or about February 13, 2008 and
14 February 28, 2009, HCM, Kurt Hovan, and Lisa Hovan made representations regarding the firm's
15 soft dollar practices. In each, HCM, Kurt Hovan, and Lisa Hovan stated that HCM would use soft
16 dollars to acquire only certain research and brokerage services. In other words, HCM, Kurt Hovan,
17 and Lisa Hovan assured clients that HCM would not use soft dollars to pay for items such as office
18 equipment, salaries, rent, and computer hardware and accessories.

Kurt Hovan controlled the statements contained in the Forms ADV. As President and
 Chief Investment Officer of HCM, he controlled HCM, including all of its investment, marketing,
 solicitation, and other operational activities. He also received a portion of the management fee
 earned by HCM as compensation.

23 24. Lisa Hovan signed HCM's Forms ADV, certifying under penalty of perjury that the
24 information and statements contained in them, including exhibits and other information submitted,
25 were true and correct.

- 26
- 27
- 28

1 2

B.

Defendants Defrauded HCM's Advisory Clients by Misappropriating Soft Dollars.

3 25. Despite their disclosures, agreements, and certifications, Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and 4 HCM used more than \$178,000 of client soft dollars to pay for prohibited expenses. Among the 5 expenses paid for with these monies, in August 2008, Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM arranged 6 for an HCM consultant to kick back money received from soft dollars so that HCM could purchase a 7 new computer server. In another instance, from September 2008 through June 2009, Kurt Hovan, 8 Lisa Hovan, and HCM used soft dollars to pay the salary of Edward Hovan. under the guise of 9 obtaining research services provided by a purportedly independent company, Bolton Research, LLC. 10 Under the deal, Edward Hovan gave approximately 40% of the soft dollars he received to Kurt Hovan 11 and Lisa Hovan, who used the money to pay HCM's office rent.

- 12
- 13

1. Defendants Submitted False Invoices to HCM's Brokerage Firms in Order to Pay Edward Hovan's Salary Using Soft Dollars.

14 26. Before September 2008, Edward Hovan had been unemployed for several months and
15 had not worked in the securities industry since January 2002.

16 27. In or about September 2008, Edward Hovan began working at HCM with the title of
17 "Portfolio Manager." Edward Hovan executed trades based on instructions and guidance from Kurt
18 Hovan. Edward Hovan emailed and spoke by telephone with HCM's brokers, providing them trading
19 instructions. He signed these emails "Portfolio Manager" of HCM and later signed them as
20 "Executive Vice President & Portfolio Manager." Edward Hovan was also identified in HCM's
21 Form ADV Schedule II, dated February 28, 2009 as "Executive Vice President & Portfolio
22 Manager."

23 28. To pay Edward Hovan's salary, the Hovans devised a scheme to use soft dollars
24 inappropriately and contrary to HCM's representations.

25 29. As part of the scheme, Kurt Hovan and Lisa Hovan had Edward Hovan create a
26 supposed research firm, Bolton Research, LLC ("Bolton"). Bolton was simply a conduit for
27 payments to Edward Hovan by HCM. Bolton's only client was HCM and the only income Bolton
28 ever received was the soft dollars it received as part of this scheme.

30. At the direction of Kurt Hovan and Lisa Hovan, to aid in concealing the misuse of
 funds, Edward Hovan's name was not included on Bolton's organizational paperwork filed with the
 State of Connecticut and his name was not listed on Bolton's bank account.

4 31. To convince HCM's brokerage firms that Bolton was a legitimate, independent
5 research provider (and to disguise the fact that it was merely the means for using soft dollars to pay
6 Edward Hovan), the Hovans provided false and misleading documents to the brokerage firms, as
7 described below.

32. On or about October 29, 2008, Kurt Hovan drafted a purported "research report"
relating to an investment fund run by a manager who was renting space from HCM. Kurt Hovan
instructed Edward Hovan to cut and paste the report onto Bolton stationary, making it look like
Bolton drafted the report. Kurt Hovan also provided Edward Hovan with a so-called due diligence
questionnaire purportedly completed by the investment fund manager and instructed Edward Hovan
to alter the headers and footers to make it look like a "Bolton piece."

14 On November 4, 2008, Lisa Hovan emailed one of HCM's brokerage firms an invoice 33. 15 for \$16,666.67 from Bolton, the purported research report that Kurt Hovan had drafted and Edward 16 Hovan had pasted onto Bolton letterhead, and the so-called due diligence questionnaire, which was 17 modified to include Bolton's name on the cover and in the footer. In the email, Lisa Hovan wrote: 18 "I've also attached copies of the type of research [Bolton] provide[s] to us since this is the first time 19 [the brokerage firm] has paid them." The invoice falsely represented that Bolton had completed the 20 research report and the due diligence questionnaire. Lisa Hovan copied Kurt Hovan on the email. 21 She also copied an email account used by Edward Hovan, but which did not identify him by name.

34. None of the documents the Hovans provided to the brokerage firm tied Edward Hovan
to Bolton. Defendants did not tell the brokerage firm that Bolton was really a conduit for payments
to Edward Hovan or that Kurt Hovan had created the supposed "research reports." Unaware of the
Hovan's scheme, the brokerage firm approved the soft dollar payment.

35. From November 2008 through June 2009, defendants continued this scheme. Each
month, using a "Bolton" email account, Edward Hovan sent Lisa Hovan an invoice for work he
knew, or was reckless in not knowing, he had not done. The emails were signed simply "Michelle,

Bolton Research LLC." (Michelle Hovan was the name of Edward Hovan's wife, but the emails
conspicuously omitted her last name.) Edward Hovan did so knowing, or was reckless in not
knowing, that Lisa Hovan would forward the invoice to a brokerage firm, which would rely on the
invoice to process payment. Edward Hovan continued executing trades on behalf of HCM, which
generated additional soft dollars, and thus ensured himself future payments.

6 36. All told, between November 2008 and June 2009, defendants submitted (and the
7 brokerage firms paid) 10 of these invoices, totaling approximately \$166,667. The scheme ended only
8 after defendants depleted the available soft dollars.

9 37. Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM knew, or were reckless in not knowing, that
10 paying the salary of an employee (Edward Hovan) was prohibited unless disclosed. Kurt Hovan, Lisa
11 Hovan, and HCM further knew, or were reckless in not knowing, that HCM's disclosures contained
12 no mention that HCM used soft dollar credits for such expenses.

- 13
- 14

2. Defendants Further Defrauded HCM's Clients by Orchestrating a Kickback Scheme Through Which They Received Additional Soft Dollars.

38. Between August 2008 and August 2009, Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM
employed schemes from which they received \$77,000 in kickbacks from two individuals paid in soft
dollars.

39. The largest monthly source of kickbacks came from Edward Hovan. Initially, in
hiring Edward Hovan as the Portfolio Manager, Kurt Hovan and Lisa Hovan agreed to pay him
\$10,000 per month. However, soon after hiring Edward Hovan, Kurt Hovan agreed to increase the
monthly amount to \$16,666.67 on the condition that Edward Hovan pay HCM's office rent.

40. From November 2008 to July 2009, Bolton (acting as the conduit for funds to Edward
Hovan) received approximately \$16,667 each month in soft dollars from brokerage firms.

41. Lisa Hovan sent Bolton's invoices for purported research to the brokerage firms,
knowing, or reckless in not knowing, that Bolton would use part of the funds received to pay HCM's
rent.

- 27
- 28

42. Each month, Bolton issued a check made out to HCM's landlord in the amount of
 \$6,500 - approximately the amount of the increase to which Kurt Hovan and Edward Hovan had
 agreed.

4

43. Lisa Hovan received the checks from Bolton and gave them to HCM's landlord.

44. All told, HCM received \$65,000 from Edward Hovan in the form of HCM's office
rent. The office rent kickbacks accounted for approximately 40% of the money Edward Hovan
received in soft dollars.

8 45. The second largest source of kickbacks to HCM from soft dollars came from a third 9 party consultant to the firm. In or around August 2008, Kurt and Lisa Hovan instructed an HCM 10 consultant paid in soft dollars to inflate the consultant's invoices by approximately \$12,000. The 11 consultant complied and inflated her invoices. Lisa Hovan then submitted the padded invoices to a 12 brokerage firm for payment in soft dollars. Once the consultant received the soft dollar payment, the 13 consultant issued to HCM's technology vendor a \$12,000 check, so that HCM could purchase a new 14 computer server. The consultant sent the check to Lisa Hovan, who then gave it to HCM's 15 technology vendor.

46. Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM knew, or were reckless in not knowing, that they
could not invoice the brokerage firms directly for office rent and computer hardware expenses. They
also knew, or were reckless in not knowing, that using soft dollars to pay for office rent and computer
equipment was inconsistent with the disclosures they had made in HCM's Form ADV, the
certifications they had made to Client A, and the agreements HCM had with the brokerage firms and
Client A.

47. Edward Hovan knew, or was reckless in not knowing, that he submitted invoices for
work he had not done. He knew, or was reckless in not knowing, that the amount on the invoices had
been inflated so that he could pay HCM's office rent. Edward Hovan knew, or was reckless in not
knowing, that his conduct was part of scheme to give a false impression of the type of work he had
done and to disguise the use of the funds.

- 27
- 28

2 48. Beginning in December 2009, the Commission's examination staff conducted an
3 examination of HCM.

Kurt Hovan and HCM Falsified Records During a Commission Examination.

4 49. On or about January 13, 2010, the Commission's examination staff requested HCM to
5 provide all research reports produced by Bolton.

5

1

C.

6 50. In response to the examiners' request, Kurt Hovan sent Lisa Hovan an email with 7 attachments that included 10 one-page reports regarding various hedge funds and 10 due diligence 8 questionnaires ("DDQs") on the same hedge funds. Kurt Hovan described these documents as "10 of 9 Ed [Hovan]'s monthly DDQ and Summary reports." The DDQs attached to Kurt Hovan's email 10 were dated between September 2008 and June 2009, the same months in which Bolton was paid in 11 soft dollars. The one-page "Summary reports" were not dated, but their subject matter corresponds to 12 the DDQs, to give the impression that they were prepared at or about the same time. All of the 13 "monthly DDQs and Summary reports" appeared to have been prepared by Bolton, as all bore the 14 Bolton logo. Lisa Hovan provided the Commission's examination staff with these documents on or 15 around January 21, 2010.

16 51. Contrary to the impressions created by Kurt Hovan and Lisa Hovan, Bolton did not 17 prepare the documents provided to the examiners. Rather, Kurt Hovan created the documents 18 following the Commission's staff's January 13, 2010 request. Kurt Hovan drafted the one-page 19 reports. Also, to create the DDQs, Kurt Hovan took DDQs that had already been saved on HCM's 20 server and doctored them to make them appear to be reports prepared monthly by Bolton. Among 21 other things, Kurt Hovan changed the dates on the cover pages of the DDOs (making it appear as if 22 they were regularly prepared between September 2008 and June 2009), the contact information (to 23 make them appear to have been prepared by Bolton and not an employee of HCM), and the 24 certification dates on which various hedge fund managers purportedly completed the DDQs. The 25 false documents were designed to give the appearance that Bolton was a legitimate research firm 26 which had prepared reports in 2008 and 2009, for which it was appropriately compensated in soft 27 dollars.

28

52. When the Commission's staff asked Kurt Hovan whether he created the one-page
 reports or DDQs, Kurt Hovan testified under oath that he "did not create the documents during the
 examination, no." Kurt Hovan lied. In subsequent testimony also under oath, Kurt Hovan admitted
 that he had, in fact, created the documents during the Commission's examination.

5 6

D.

Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM Made Additional Misrepresentations in HCM's Disclosures.

53. Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM also made other material misrepresentations,
including misrepresentations about the size of HCM in HCM's February 13, 2008 and June 3, 2009
Forms ADV.

10 54. Lisa Hovan signed the 2008 and 2009 Forms ADV, certifying under penalty of perjury
11 that the information and statements made in the ADV, including exhibits, were true and correct.

12 55. In addition, as President and Chief Investment Officer of HCM, Kurt Hovan was
13 responsible for the statements in HCM's Forms ADV.

14 56. In HCM's February 13, 2008 Form ADV, Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM listed
15 one of HCM's consultants as a portfolio manager. They listed the consultant as an employee in this
16 Form ADV with the intention of making the firm appear larger than it was.

17 57. In HCM's June 3, 2009 Form ADV, Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM represented
18 that HCM was eligible for registration with the Commission because it had more than \$25 million in
19 assets under management and because it was a sub-adviser to a registered investment company.

20 Neither representation was true at the time. In fact, HCM had not managed more than \$25 million

21 since October 2008, and HCM had not served as a sub-adviser since March 2009, two months before

22 filing Part I of the Form ADV representing otherwise. Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM

intentionally made these false statements so that HCM could remain registered with the Commission,a status they considered to be important to potential investors.

- 26
- 27
- 28

1 2	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violations of Exchange Act Sections 10(b) and 20(a) and Rule 10b-5 and Aiding and Abetting (Against All Defendants)
3	58. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 57.
4	59. By engaging in the conduct described above, Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, Edward
5	Hovan, and HCM, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, by the
6	use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or the mails, with scienter:
7	a. Employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
8	b. Made untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state material facts
9	necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances
10	under which they were made, not misleading; and
11	c. Engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate
12	as a fraud or deceit upon other persons, including purchasers and sellers of
13	securities.
14	60. By reason of the foregoing, Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, Edward Hovan, and HCM have
15	violated and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to violate Section 10(b) of the Exchange
16	Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].
17	61. During the period of approximately July 1, 2008 through the filing of this Complaint,
18	Kurt Hovan was and is, directly or indirectly, a control person of HCM for purpose of Section 20(a)
19	of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(a)].
20	62. As a control person of HCM, Kurt Hovan is jointly and severally liable with and to the
21	same extent as HCM for HCM's violations of Section 10(b) the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)]
22	and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] during this time period, as alleged above.
23	63. In addition, Lisa Hovan knowingly provided substantial assistance to HCM's and/or
24	Kurt Hovan's and Edward Hovan's violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §
25	78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], and therefore is liable as an aider and
26	abettor pursuant to Section 20(e) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78t(e)]. Unless restrained and
27	enjoined, Lisa Hovan will continue violate and to aid and abet violations of Section 10(b) of the
28	Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

	SECOND	CLAIM	FOR	RELIEF
--	--------	--------------	-----	--------

Violations of Sections 206(1) and (2) of the Advisers Act and Aiding and Abetting (Against All Defendants)

3 64. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 57. 4 65. By engaging in the acts and conduct alleged above, Kurt Hovan and HCM, directly or 5 indirectly, through use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate 6 commerce or of the mails, and while engaged in the business of advising others for compensation as 7 to the advisability of investing in, purchasing, or selling securities: (1) with scienter employed 8 devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud clients or prospective clients; and (2) engaged in acts, 9 practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon clients or 10 prospective clients. 11 66. By reason of the foregoing, Kurt Hovan and HCM have violated and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to violate Sections 206(1) and (2) of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. 12 13 § 80b-6(1)]. 14 67. Lisa Hovan and Edward Hovan knowingly provided substantial assistance to Kurt 15 Hovan's and HCM's violations of Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-16 6(1) & (2), and therefore each is liable as an aider and abettor pursuant to Section 209(d) of the 17 Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. § 80b-9(d)], and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to aid and abet 18 such violations. 19 THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violations of Section 207 of the Advisers Act 20 (Against Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM)

68. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 57.
69. Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM willfully made untrue statements of material fact
in Forms ADV filed with the Commission, or willfully omitted to state in such Forms ADV a
material fact which is required to be stated therein.

25 70. By reason of the foregoing, Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, and HCM have violated Section
26 207 of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. § 80b-7], and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to
27 violate this provision.

28

1

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violations of Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act and Rule 204-2(a)(7) and Aiding and Abetting (Against HCM and Kurt Hovan)

3

71.

1

2

The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 57.

72. By engaging in the acts and conduct alleged above, HCM, an investment adviser who
at all relevant times made use of the mails and other means and instrumentalities of interstate
commerce in connection with its business as an investment adviser, failed to make and keep for
prescribed periods accurate records as the Commission, by rule, prescribed, including, but not limited
to, communications received relating to recommendations made or proposed to be made and advice
given or proposed to be given.

73. By reason of the forgoing, HCM has violated Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act [15
U.S.C. § 80b-4(a)] and Rule 204-2(a)(7) thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 275.204-2(a)(7)], and unless
restrained and enjoined will continue to violate this provision.

74. Kurt Hovan knowingly provided substantial assistance to HCM's violations of Section
204(a) of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. § 80b-4(a)] and Rule 204-2(a)(7) thereunder [17 C.F.R. §
275.204-2(a)(7)] and therefore he is liable as an aider and abettor pursuant to Section 209(d) of the
Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. § 80b-9(d)], and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to aid and abet
such violations.

- 18
- 19

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violations of Section 17(e)(1) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Against Kurt Hovan, Edward Hovan, and HCM)

20 75. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 57. 21 76. By engaging in the acts and conduct alleged above, Kurt Hovan, Edward Hovan, and 22 HCM accepted compensation other than regular salary or wages from a registered investment 23 company for the purchase or sale of property for the registered investment company. At the time 24 HCM accepted such compensation, it was affiliated with a registered investment company. At the 25 time Kurt Hovan and Edward Hovan accepted such compensation, they were affiliated with HCM, 26 which was affiliated with a registered investment company.

- 27
- 28

1	77. By reason of the foregoing, these defendants have violated Section 17(e)(1) of the
2	Investment Company Act of 1940 [15 U.S.C. § 80a-17(e)(1)], and unless restrained and enjoined will
3	continue to violate this provision.
4	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
5	WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:
6	Ι.
7	Permanently enjoin Kurt Hovan from directly or indirectly violating Section 10(b) of the
8	Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], Sections
9	204(a), 206(1), 206(2), and 207 of the Advisers Act and Rule 204-2(a)(7) thereunder [15 U.S.C.
10	§§ 80b-4(a); 80b-6(1), 80b-6(2), and 80b-7 and 17 C.F.R. § 275.204-2(a)(7)], and Section 17(e)(1) of
11	the Investment Company Act of 1940 [15 U.S.C. § 80a-17(e)(1)];
12	II.
13	Permanently enjoin Lisa Hovan from directly or indirectly violating Section 10(b) of the
14	Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] and
15	Sections 206(1), 206(2), and 207 of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1), 80b-6(2), & 80b-7];
16	III.
17	Permanently enjoin Edward Hovan from directly or indirectly violating Section 10(b) of the
18	Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], Sections
19	206(1), and 206(2) of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) & (2)], and Section 17(e)(1) of the
20	Investment Company Act of 1940 [15 U.S.C. § 80a-17(e)(1)];
21	IV.
22	Permanently enjoin HCM from directly or indirectly violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange
23	Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], Sections 204(a),
24	206(1), 206(2), and 207 of the Advisers Act and Rule 204-2(a)(7) thereunder [15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-4(a);
25	80b-6(1), 80b-6(2), 80b-7 and 17 C.F.R. § 275.204-2(a)(7)], and Section 17(e)(1) of the Investment
26	Company Act of 1940 [15 U.S.C. § 80a-17(e)(1)];
27	
28	

· .

•

1	V.		
2	Order Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, Edward Hovan, and HCM to disgorge any wrongfully		
3	obtained benefits, including prejudgment interest;		
4	VI:		
5	Order Kurt Hovan, Lisa Hovan, Edward Hovan, and HCM to pay civil penalties pursuant to		
6	Section 21(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)], Section 209 of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C.		
7	§ 80b-9], and, with respect to Kurt Hovan, Edward Hovan, and HCM, Section 42(e) of the		
8	Investment Company Act [15 U.S.C. § 80a-41(e)];		
9	VII.		
10	Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal		
11	Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that		
12	may be entered, or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the		
13	jurisdiction of this Court; and		
14	VIII.		
15	Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and necessary.		
16			
17	DATED: September 28, 2011 Respectfully Submitted,		
18	Kon Y. Kan D		
19	Karen Kreuzkamp		
20	Attorney for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION		
21			
22			
23'			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

.