27

28

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d)(1) and 22(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d)(1) & 77v(a)], Sections 21(d)(1), 21(d)(3)(A), 21(e) and 27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(d)(3)(A), 78u(e) & 78aa], and Sections 209(d), 209(e)(1) and 214 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-9(d), 80b-9(e)(1) and 80b-14]. Defendants have, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.
- 2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)], Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa], and Section 214 of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. § 80b-14] because certain of the transactions, acts, practices and courses of conduct constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district, and all of the defendants reside and/or are located in this district.

SUMMARY

3. This is an investment adviser fraud conducted by Defendant Richard H. Nickles ("Nickles"), through three companies that he controls: Defendant Innovative Advisory Services, Inc., Defendant Innovative Advisory Services LLC, (both collectively, "Innovative Advisory") and Defendant Island Trader LLC (all collectively, "Defendants"). From March 2009 to the present, Nickles and Innovative Advisory have raised almost \$3 million through false newspaper advertisements and oral misrepresentations about certain "insured" and "US Government Guaranteed" investments.

- 4. In fact, in at least some instances, Nickles did not invest clients' funds as he had represented. Instead, Defendants used various fraudulent gimmicks to give clients the appearance that their business was legitimate. For example, Nickles provided clients with trade confirmations for investments that he had purportedly purchased for them. The trade confirmations, however, identified securities that either did not exist or were never purchased. Also, the trade confirmations used the name Island Trader, a broker-dealer registered with the Commission and a member of the Securities Industry Protection Corporation ("SIPC") and Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). But Nickles had stopped associating with that broker-dealer in March 2009 and was using the firm's name without authority to mislead clients. Finally, Defendant Nickles falsely claims to be certified as a Certified Financial Planner, when in fact, he is not.
- 5. Defendants, by engaging in the conduct described in this Complaint, have violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws. By this complaint, the Commission seeks emergency relief against Defendants, including a temporary restraining order, an asset freeze, accountings, an order expediting discovery, and an order prohibiting the destruction of documents, as well as preliminary and permanent injunctions, disgorgement with prejudgment interest, and civil penalties.

DEFENDANTS

- 6. <u>Innovative Advisory Services, Inc.</u> is a suspended California corporation. Its principal place of business is in Santa Ana, California. It has been registered with the State of California as an investment adviser since 2004.
- 7. <u>Innovative Advisory Services LLC</u> is a Nevada limited liability company formed in December 2009. Its principal place of business is in Santa Ana, California. It is not registered with the Commission in any capacity.
- 8. <u>Island Trader LLC</u> is a Nevada limited liability company formed in December 2009. Its principal place of business is in Santa Ana, California. It is

not registered with the Commission, State of California, or State of Nevada.

9. Richard H. Nickles, age 53, resides in Dana Point, California. He is the president of Innovative Advisory Services, Inc. He is a managing member of Innovative Advisory Services LLC and Island Trader LLC. Nickles previously owned and operated a registered broker-dealer called Island Trader Securities, Inc. until he sold it to a third party in June 2008. Under the new ownership, Island Trader Securities Inc. does business under the name Island Trading (referred to herein as "Island Trading"). Nickles continued to associate with Island Trading as a registered representative until March 2009.

THE FRAUDULENT SCHEME

A. Solicitations Made To Investors

- 10. From at least 2004, Nickles conducted his investment advisory business through Defendant Innovative Advisory Services, Inc. He later continued operating this business through Innovative Advisory Services LLC, a company he formed in December 2009.
- 11. According to the Internet website for Innovative Advisory at www.innovativeadvisory.com, Nickles is the company's sole manager, who formed the company for the purpose of utilizing "the most innovative and forward looking solutions to the everyday challenges of building and preserving wealth." This currently active website states that the company's sole strategy is investing in exchange traded funds, i.e., ETFs. The website further states that Innovative Advisory charges clients monthly fees and uses custodians to hold client assets.
- 12. From March 2009 to the present, Innovative Advisory has raised nearly \$3 million by soliciting clients through newspaper advertisements appearing in the Los Angeles Times and Orange County Register. One such advertisement ran as recently as April 1, 2010. The advertisements promote investments offered by Innovative Advisory as "insured" or "U.S. Government Guaranteed," and purportedly earning annual interest ranging from 4 to 6 percent.

13. Typically, when investors call in response to the advertisement, Nickles encourages them to invest with him, and he reiterates the guaranteed nature of the investment. He has even identified to investors the specific bonds or other securities he intended to buy on their behalf. Nickles has instructed clients to make checks payable to Island Trader and later sent them trade confirmations from Island Trader.

B. <u>Defendants Are Making Material Misrepresentations To Clients</u>

1. <u>Defendants Misrepresent Investments That They</u> Purportedly Make For Clients

- 14. Through newspaper advertisements and oral representations, Nickles and Innovative Advisory promise clients they will invest their monies in insured investments or in U.S. Government bonds with guaranteed returns. Nickles has orally represented to clients that their investments are insured through SIPC.
- 15. Defendants sent clients trade confirmations, which included specific details about their purported investment, such as CUSIP numbers (a nine-character alphanumeric code that is used to identify a specific security that is the subject of a transaction), maturity date, and interest rate information. The confirmations state at the bottom "Member SIPC/FINRA." Nickles and Innovative Advisory also provide to clients monthly account statements reflecting their purported investments.
- 16. In at least some instances, the representations and the trade confirmations/account statements were false because Nickles and Innovative Advisory did not invest client funds in investments as represented. Although Defendants provided trade confirmations to clients, they did not purchase the securities identified on the confirmations. In certain instances, the security noted on the confirmation was non-existent. In other instances, there were no trades on the dates referenced in the confirmations.
 - 17. Furthermore, the investments were not held with a regulated custodian

and hence there was no SIPC protection, as falsely represented by Nickles. This is evident from the facts that (a) Island Trader, Nickles' company, is not a registered broker-dealer; and (b) Island Trading, which is a registered broker-dealer, did not hold any client funds on behalf of Nickles and/or Innovative Advisory.

2. <u>Defendants Falsely Represent That They Are Associated</u> With A Registered Broker-Dealer

- 18. From March 2009 through the present, Nickles and Innovative Advisory have falsely mischaracterized their association with Island Trading so as to attract clients. Specifically, even after Nickles stopped associating with Island Trading in March 2009, he continued providing confirmations to clients using the name Island Trader to give the false impression that his business was legitimate and that client funds would be maintained with a regulated broker-dealer that was a member of SIPC/FINRA. Defendants provided trade quotations and trade confirmations that used the name "Island Trader" until at least March 2010.
- 19. Since March 2009, Island Trading has not conducted any business with Nickles and has not acted as the custodian for either Nickles' or Innovative Advisory's clients. Nevertheless, to implement his fraudulent scheme, Nickles opened unauthorized bank accounts in the name of Island Trading using Island Trading's employer identification number.
- 20. Nickles orchestrated his scheme further by forming a company, Island Trader LLC, using a name that was confusingly similar to the legitimate broker-dealer. Nickles held out Island Trader LLC as a registered broker-dealer, when, in fact, it was not.

3. Nickles Falsely Represents That He Is A Certified Financial Planner

21. The website for Innovative Advisory Services, Inc. touts Nickles' experience and qualifications and claims that Nickles is a "CFP," which refers to a Certified Financial Planner, a designation that is bestowed by the Certified

Financial Planner Board of Standards. Nickles, however, has no such credentials or certification.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Fraud In The Offer Or Sale Of Securities Violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act (Against Nickles, Innovative Advisory Services, Inc., and Innovative Advisory Services LLC)

- 22. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 23. Nickles and Innovative Advisory, and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, in the offer or sale of securities by the use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails directly or indirectly:
 - with scienter, employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
 - b. obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of a material fact or by omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
 - c. engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.
- 24. By engaging in the conduct described above, Nickles and Innovative Advisory violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)].

26 ///

27 | ///

28 | | ///

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Fraud In Connection With The Purchase Or Sale Of Securities
Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5
(Against Nickles, Innovative Advisory Services, Inc., and
Innovative Advisory Services LLC)

- 25. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 26. Nickles and Innovative Advisory, and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with scienter:
 - a. employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud;
 - b. made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
 - engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon other persons.
- 27. By engaging in the conduct described above, Nickles and Innovative Advisory violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)], and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

26 | ///

///

27 | ///

28 | ///

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Fraud By An Investment Adviser Violations Of Sections 206 (1) and (2) of the Advisers Act (Against Nickles, Innovative Advisory Services, Inc., and Innovative Advisory Services LLC)

- 28. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 29. Nickles and Innovative Advisory, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, by the use of the mails or means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce:
 - a. with scienter, employed and are employing devices, schemes and artifices to defraud clients or prospective clients; or
 - engaged in and are engaged in transactions, practices, and courses of business which operated as a fraud or deceit upon clients or prospective clients.
- 30. By reason of the activities described herein, Nickles and Innovative Advisory violated and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate Sections 206(1) and (2) of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) and (2)].

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Failure To Register As A Broker-Dealer Violation of Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act (Against Island Trader and Nickles)

- 31. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 32. Island Trader and Nickles, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, made use of the mails or means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce to effect transactions in, or to induce or attempt to induce, the purchase or sale of securities, without being registered as a broker or dealer in

accordance with Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)].

33. By engaging in the conduct described above, Island Trader and Nickles, each violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will continue to violate, Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)].

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that the defendants committed the alleged violations.

II.

Issue judgments, in forms consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoining Nickles and Innovative Advisory Services, Inc. and Innovative Advisory Services LLC and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)], Sections 10(b) and 15(a)of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78o(a)], and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], and Sections 206(1) and 206(2) of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1) and 80b-6(2)].

III.

Issue judgments, in forms consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoining Nickles and Island Trader and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with it, who receive actual notice of the judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)].

IV.

Issue, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65, a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction freezing the assets of each Defendant, prohibiting each Defendant from destroying documents, granting expedited discovery, and requiring accountings from each Defendant.

Order each Defendant to disgorge all ill-gotten gains from their illegal conduct, together with prejudgment interest thereon.

VI.

Order each Defendant to pay civil penalties under Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)], Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)], and Section 209(e) of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. §80B-9(e)].

VII.

Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered, or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.

VIII.

Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and necessary.

DATED: April 6, 2010

David Van Havermaat Attorney for Plaintiff

Securities and Exchange Commission

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge James V. Selna and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Robert N. Block.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV10- 423 JVS (RNBx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division
312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
Los Angeles, CA 90012

[X] Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE

714 338 3708

P.010

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself 日) SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION			1.	DEFENDANTS INNOVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, INC., INNOVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, LLC, ISLAND TRADER, LLC and RICHARD H. NICKLES						
				Orange (County					
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number, If you are representing yourself, provide same.)				omeys (i	f Known)			•	,	-
David J. VanHavermaat or Securities and Exchange C 5670 Wilshire Boulevard,			65-3998			,				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	N (Place an X in one box only.)	1			INCIPAL PAI		or Diversity Cases (endant.)	Only		
■ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	,	Ditizen of This State	3	6	F DEF	Incorporated or P of Business in thi		1'TF □ 4	0ef [] 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		enship (Citizen of Another State		2 🗆 2	Incorporated and of Business in Ar		C) 5	□ \$	
			Citizen or Subject o	fa For c i	gn Country 🛭	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□6	D 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in on	e box only.)									
Foreginal Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Reported Form another district (specify): U6 Multi- District District Judge from Magistrate Judge										
v. Requested in Compl.	AINT: JURY DEMAND: UY	(cs (\$)	No (Check 'Yes' on	ly if don	nanded in compl	aint.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	1P. 23; - Yes ONO		, DMO	NEY DE	MANDED IN	COMPLA	INT: S			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite	e the U.S. Civil Statute under which	ch you ar	e filing and write a	bric[sta	tement of cause	Do not o	ite jurisdictional str	itutes unless dive	rsity.)	
The Complaint alleges violations of	of the federal securities laws. 15 U.S	S.C. § 770	(a); 15 U.S.C. § 78j	(b), 17 C	F.R. § 240,10b-	, 15 U.S.C	. §§ 80b-6 (1) & (2),	and 15 U.S.C. § 7	80(E)	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	e an X in one box only.)									
SOUTHER SEATHERSEAD		14324	ZIORIS .	3270 (8	TORIS T	120	PRISONER	****		
(1) 400 State Reapportionment	□ 110 Insurance		SONAL INJURY	P	ERSONAL	3.00	PETITIONS 1		or Sta	ndards
1 410 Antitrust	120 Marine		Airplanc Airplane Product		ROPERTY Other Fraud	. ∤⊡ 510	Motions to Vacate Sentence	Act 720 Labor/M	lamt.	
☐ 430. Banks and Banking ☐ 450. Commerce/ICC	☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	-3.5	Liability		Truth in Lendit	ng l	Habeas Corpus	Relation	_	
Rates/etc.	☐ 150 Recovery of	☐ 320	Assault, Libel &		Other Personal	□ 530	General	☐ 730 Labor/M		
☐ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &	T 230	Slander Ped. Employers'		Property Dama		Death Penalty	Reportir		
470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of	12330	Liability	385	Property Dama Product Liabili		Mandamus/	Disclost ☐ 740 Railway		
and Corrupt Organizations	Judgment 151 Medicare Act	□ 340	Marine	BA	NERCEDIA		Civil Rights	790 Other La		
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of Defaulted	□ 345	Marine Product		Appeal 28 US	555	Prison Condition	Litigation		
☐ 490 Cable/Sot TV	Student Loan (Excl.	IT 350	Liability Motor Vehicle	1	158		DESIGNATION OF THE PERSON OF T	☐ 791 Empl R		•
□ 810 Selective Service	Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	O 423	Withdrawal 28	#25/00	DENAUTY NEC	Security (Sign Or State	Act	PP -560
■ 850 Securities/Commodities/	Overpayment of	<u> </u>	Product Liability	SALES E	USC 157		Agriculture Other Food &	☐ 820 Copyrig		Webs. Mills
Exchange B75 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	□ 360	Other Personal Injury	441		Was 1	Drug	R30 Patent		
USC 3410	160 Stockholders' Suits	II 362	Personal Injury-	C 442	Employment	□ 625	Drug Related	□ 840 Tradern	ark ewswat	authoritad .
☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	☐ 190 Other Contract	1	Med Malpractice	443	Housing/Acco	'	Seizure of	SOCIAL SI	CELIKI PERIOR	A X
[] 891 Agricultural Act	☐ 195 Contract Product	□ 36S	Personal Injury-	T 444	mmodations Welfare		Property 21 USC 881	□ 862 Black C	nus (8	23)
☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	Liability D 196 Franchise	C1368	Product Liability Asbestos Personal		American with	D 630	Liquor Laws	□ 863 DIWC/I		
☐ 893 Environmental Matters	A REAL REOPERTY		Injury Product	"	Disabilities -		R.R. & Truck	(405(g)))	
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	210 Land Condemnation	1	Liability		Employment	☐ 650	Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID T		/I
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	☐ 220 Foreclosure	12-4-12	MICRATION -	LL 446		□ 660	Occupational	365 RSI (40		jenne karen
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	⊔ 462	Naturalization Application	,	Disabilities - Other	T 600	Safety /Health Other	370 Taxes	/0.60€9}! ∐.S. P!	gravez y Laintiff
nation Under Equal Access to Justice	240 Torrs to Land	☐ 463	Habeas Corpus	440	Other Civil	10.090	₩.	or Defe		
☐ 950 Constitutionality of	290 All Other Real Property]	Alica Detained)	Rights	Ţ	•	□ 871 IRS-Thi	rd Par	
State Statutes		465	Other Immigration Actions		-			USC 76	Q9	
							· 	<u> </u>		
							•			
	SACV 10-0042	3 J ∀	S (RNBx)						, ,	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:		-				<u>.</u>			
AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CY-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.										

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	this action been pre	viously filed in this court an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ☑ No ☐ Yes		
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	any cases been prev	riously filed in this court that	t are related to the present case? ☑ No □ Yes		
□ C. F	wrise from the same Call for determination for other reasons wo	or closely related transaction on of the same or substantiall ould entail substantial duplic	ns, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.		
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following information	on, use an additional sheet if	necessary.)		
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
	,	•	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Innovative Advisory Services, Inc Orange County Innovative Advisory Services, LL.C - Orange County Island Trader, LL.C - Orange County Richard H. Nickles - Orange County					
(c) List the County in this District; C Note: In land condemnation ca	-		f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.		
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country		
Orange County					
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernard Note: In land condemnation cases, use			San Luis Obispo Counties		
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (0		Q.K. Kim	Date 4/5/10		
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The or other papers as required by law	e CV-71 (JS-44) Ci /. This form, approv	ed by the Judicial Conference	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings the of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)		
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:				
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement o	f Cause of Action		
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))			
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)			
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))			
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.			
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))			

U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE

714 338 3708

P.002

David J. VanHavermaat, Cal. Bar No. 175761 Janet Rich Weissman, Cal. Bar No. 137023 Securities and Exchange Commission 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036

	DISTRICT COURT T OF CALIFORNIA		
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	CASE NUMBER		
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACV 10-00423 JVS (RNBx)		
INNOVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, INC., INNOVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, LLC., ISLAND TRADER, LLC and RICHARD H. NICKLES,	SUMMONS		
DEFENDANT(S).			
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summormust serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of the	vid J. VanHavermaat/Janet Rich Weissman, whose address is Angeles, CA 90036 If you fail to do so,		
Dated: April 7, 2010	By: Deputy Clerk		
	(Seal of the Court)		

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)

U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE

714 338 3708

P.002

David J. VanHavermaat, Cal. Bar No. 175761 Janet Rich Weissman, Cal. Bar No. 137023 Securities and Exchange Commission 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036

5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036				
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA			
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	CASE NUMBER			
PLAINTIFF(S) V,	SACV 10-00423 JVS (RNBx)			
INNOVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, INC., INNOVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, LLC., ISLAND TRADER, LLC and RICHARD H. NICKLES, DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS			
TO: DEFENDANT(S):	DVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, INC. HARD H. NICKLES, AUTHORIZED AGENT 12 TAMPICO BAY 14 POINT, CA 92629			
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached I	Angeles, CA 90036 If you fail to do so,			
Dated: April 7, 2010	Clerk, U.S. District Court By: Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)			
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	es agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed			

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)

CV-01A (12/07)

U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE

714 338 3708

P.002

David J. VanHavermaat, Cal. Bar No. 175761 Janet Rich Weissman, Cal. Bar No. 137023 Securities and Exchange Commission 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036

UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA			
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	CASE NUMBER			
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACV 10-00423 JVS (RNBx)			
INNOVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, INC., INNOVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, LLC., ISLAND TRADER, LLC and RICHARD H. NICKLES, DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS			
TO: DEFENDANT(S): RIC 236	OVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, LLC HARD H. NICKLES, MANAGING MEMBER 02 TAMPICO BAY NA POINT, CA 92629			
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached M	22 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer vid J. VanHavermaat/Janet Rich Weissman, whose address is Angeles, CA 90036			
	Clerk, U.S. District Court			
Dated: April 7, 2010	By: Deputy Clerk			
	(Seal of the Court)			
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(u)(3)].	es agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed			

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)

U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE

714 338 3708

P.002

David J. VanHavermaat, Cal. Bar No. 175761 Janet Rich Weissman, Cal. Bar No. 137023 Securities and Exchange Commission 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036

Los Angeles, California 90036					
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT T OF CALIFORNIA				
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	CASE NUMBER				
PLAINTIFF(S)	SACV 10-00423 JVS (RNBx)				
INNOVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, INC., INNOVATIVE ADVISORY SERVICES, LLC., ISLAND TRADER, LLC and RICHARD H. NICKLES,	SUMMONS				
DEFENDANT(S).					
TO: DEFENDANT(S): ISLAND TRADER, LLC RICHARD H. NICKLES, MANAGING MEMBER 23602 TAMPICO BAY DANA POINT, CA 92629 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint amended complaint amended complaint counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, David J. VanHavermaat/Janet Rich Weissman whose address is SEC/LARO, 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90036 If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	Clerk, U.S. District Court				
Dated: April 7, 2010	By: Deputy Clerk				
	(Seal of the Court)				
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(u)(3)].	es agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed				

SUMMONS