1	MARC J. FAGEL TRACY L. DAVIS	*		
2	MARK P. FICKES (Conditionally Admitted Pursuant	to G.R. (2)(c)(2))		
3	fickesm@sec.gov JASON M. HABERMEYER (Conditionally Admitted	Pursuant to G.R. (2)(c)(2))		
4	habermeyerj@sec.gov			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	,		
6	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94104			
7	Telephone: 415-705-2500			
8	UNITED STATES DISTR	ICT COURT		
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
10	AT TACOMA			
11				
12	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No.		
13	Plaintiff,			
14	vs.	COMPLAINT		
15	INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL TELEVISION,			
16	INC.,			
17	Defendant.			
18	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission	("the Commission") alleges:		
19	SUMMARY OF TI	HE ACTION		
20	This case involves false financial reporting	ng at International Commercial		
21	Television, Inc. ("ICTV" or the "Company"), a markete	r of health and beauty products		
22	headquartered in Bainbridge Island, Washington. From	February 2007 to June 2008, ICTV		
23	improperly recognized revenue and incorrectly recorded	product returns, resulting in a material		
24	overstatement of revenue and net income in periodic rep	orts filed with the Commission during a		
25	six-quarter period. Collectively, ICTV overstated reven	ue and net income by \$3.7 million and		
26	\$3.9 million, respectively. As a result, ICTV reported no	et income for each fiscal period, when in		
27	reality the company had suffered net losses.			
28				

27

28

///

///

///

-2-

9

6

12

18 19

17

2021

23

24

22

25

2627

28

DEFENDANT

10. ICTV is a Nevada corporation headquartered in Bainbridge Island, Washington. The Company's common stock is registered with the Commission under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §78/]. ICTV sells health and beauty products internationally via infomercials and through various televised shopping networks.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Background and Applicable Revenue Recognition Policies

- 11. According to the Company's SEC filings, ICTV is a marketer of consumer retail goods, specializing in "fountain of youth" health and beauty products it owns or holds the right to sell. The Company's best-selling product is the Derma Wand, a skin care appliance that, according to ICTV's SEC filings, purportedly "reduces fine lines and wrinkles and improves overall skin appearance."
- 12. ICTV sells product through two main channels: (1) direct sales to end users via infomercials produced by the Company ("direct sales"), and (2) distribution through third-party distributors for sell-through to end users ("sell-through sales"). ICTV's distributors include televised shopping networks such as HSN.
- 13. As a public company, ICTV's financial statements filed with the Commission are required to be prepared in accordance with GAAP.
- 14. Under GAAP, revenue must be realized or realizable and earned before it can be recognized. GAAP guidance provides that revenue generally is realized and earned when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the seller's price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.
- 15. GAAP also provides that, when a right of return exists, revenue cannot be recognized at the time of sale unless, *inter alia*, the amount of future returns can be reasonably estimated and an offsetting allowance established.

B. ICTV's Improper Revenue Recognition on Purported Sales Through HSN

16. In 2007, ICTV formed a relationship with HSN, one of the top-grossing television shopping networks in the United States. This alliance with HSN helped to promote

-3-

TELEPHONE: 415-705-2500

6

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

> 18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

SEC v. International Commercial Television, INC. COMPLAINT

ICTV's product and brand awareness, increasing ICTV's reported net sales by 97% over yearend 2006. In total, during 2007, ICTV's reported net sales, including HSN sales and direct sales, increased by 280% from 2006.

- 17. ICTV sold product through HSN predominantly through a "drop-ship" contract entered into between ICTV and HSN in or about May 2007. Under the drop-ship contract, HSN did not purchase the product itself, but instead facilitated sales to HSN's customers (i.e., the end users). Generally, HSN sent ICTV written requests to pre-order product that would be sold during future HSN television broadcasts. ICTV stored the product at ICTV's third-party fulfillment warehouse until HSN sold the product on the air. After HSN sold the product to its customers, ICTV's warehouse shipped the product to the HSN customers, and HSN subsequently sent payment to ICTV. ICTV retained title to the product until HSN sold the product on-air to its customers and the product was shipped to the end users. HSN did not guarantee the purchase of any product, and any unsold product remained under the ownership of ICTV. The contract also allowed HSN to return any product from its customers up to 60 days after delivery to the customer.
- 18. From the first quarter of 2007 through the second quarter of 2008, ICTV prematurely recognized revenue on ICTV's sales to HSN, before HSN sold through to its customers and before the right of return expired, in violation of GAAP.
- 19. Despite the contractual provisions governing sell-through and right of return, ICTV recognized revenue upon HSN's order of the product, before HSN sold through to its customers and before the right of return expired.
- 20. Throughout 2007 to the second quarter of 2008, ICTV failed to ensure that, by the end of each quarter, HSN had sold through the units for which revenue was recognized during that period. As a result, ICTV reported revenue to investors for sales which had not been completed that quarter and for which it had no assurance of being paid.
- 21. ICTV's accounting of sales through HSN violated revenue recognition criteria under GAAP. Delivery of the goods had not occurred prior to revenue recognition. Collectibility of the receivable was not reasonably assured, given that product had not been sold

-4-

through and HSN had the right to return any unsold product. And, there was no persuasive evidence of an arrangement, as HSN had not sold through to its customers at the time ICTV recognized the sales, and ICTV retained ownership of any unsold product.

- 22. In addition to prematurely recognizing revenue on sales to HSN, ICTV also recognized revenue in 2007 on a new product called Cell Rx that failed an HSN quality control inspection and was never sold through HSN. The receivable remained on ICTV's books through the second quarter of 2008 and was not reversed until ICTV issued a restated Form 10-K/SB in March 2009.
- 23. ICTV's recognition of revenue on the Cell Rx product was also improper because, under GAAP, there was no persuasive evidence of an arrangement, as HSN never agreed to purchase the product or to sell the product to end users. In addition, because there was no sale, delivery had not occurred, the seller's price was not fixed or determinable, and collectibility was not reasonably assured.
- 24. As a result of the improper accounting for HSN sales, ICTV reported materially inflated revenue and net income to investors and to the Commission on Forms 10-Q and 10-K from the first quarter of 2007 through the second quarter of 2008. Investors determining whether to buy or sell ICTV stock were thus basing their decisions on false information about ICTV's financial performance.

C. ICTV's Improper Revenue Recognition on Direct Sales

- 25. ICTV also failed to properly recognize revenue and returns for the Company's direct sales (i.e., sales made directly by ICTV to end users via infomercials), resulting in a material overstatement of revenue and net income from the second quarter of 2007 through the second quarter of 2008.
- 26. First, ICTV improperly recognized revenue on direct sales prior to customer acceptance. ICTV provided its direct sales customers a 30-day free trial period whereby the customer could try the ordered product prior to purchase. ICTV billed customers upon expiration of the 30-day period. Although ICTV did not bill customers until expiration of the

-5-

25

26

27

TELEPHONE: 415-705-2500

 trial period, ICTV recognized revenue upon shipment of the product, before expiration of the trial period.

- 27. Under GAAP, where a customer is given a trial or evaluation period for a product, revenue cannot be recognized until the earlier of when the customer accepts the product (as set forth in the contract with the seller or by affirmative acceptance) or the trial period has expired. Because ICTV's direct sales customers did not accept the product prior to expiration of the trial period, ICTV's recognition of revenue was improper.
- 28. ICTV also improperly accounted for returns of product sold through the Company's direct sales channels. ICTV failed to estimate and establish a return allowance as required by GAAP, despite a disclosure in ICTV's financial statements stating that "[t]he company provides an allowance for returns based upon past experience." Contrary to this disclosure, ICTV did not establish any return allowance. Instead, ICTV recorded returns as they were received and wrote off returns directly against current sales.
- 29. In failing to establish an allowance and instead writing off returns directly against current sales, ICTV overstated revenue on direct sales, for two reasons. First, the actual returns that ICTV recorded were significantly underreported and did not reflect the actual returns received. ICTV relied exclusively on return reports received from ICTV's third-party fulfillment warehouse (which processed the direct sales and returns). However, these reports were inherently flawed due in part to the warehouse's methods for updating the reports.
- 30. The second issue with ICTV's accounting treatment, which compounded the problem, was that ICTV failed to adhere to its stated 30-day return policy. ICTV accepted returns at any time, without exception. Because ICTV failed to adhere to its stated 30-day return policy and accepted returns beyond the 30-day period, ICTV's practice of recording actual returns overstated revenue by failing to offset future returns.
- 31. As a result of ICTV's improper accounting practices on direct sales, ICTV reported materially inflated revenue and net income in its Forms 10-Q for the second and third quarters of 2007 and its Form 10-K for fiscal year 2007. ICTV also reported inflated revenue and net income in its Forms 10-Q for the first and second quarters of 2008. Investors

determining whether to buy or sell ICTV stock were thus basing their decisions on false information about ICTV's financial performance.

D. ICTV's Restatement

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 32. In October 2008, ICTV announced that it intended to restate its historical financial statements for the fiscal year ended 2007 and the first two quarters of 2008 as a result of improper revenue recognition. ICTV completed its filing of amended financial statements in June 2009. The restatement included a \$1.4 million reduction in 2007 revenue related to the HSN errors, and an \$840,000 reduction in 2007 revenue related to the failure to properly record direct sales returns. ICTV also reduced 2008 revenue by an additional \$840,000 due to the direct sales returns issue.
- 33. In April 2010, ICTV again restated its financial statements for the fiscal year ended 2007. The restatement included an additional \$550,000 revenue reduction related to the premature recognition of direct sales revenue prior to expiration of a free trial period.
- 34. The following table reflects that the accounting errors were material to the Company's financial statements:

Period	Originally Reported Net Sales	Adjustment to Net Sales	Restated Net Sales	Percentage Overstatement (Under)
FY 2007	\$11,324,000	(\$2,836,000)	\$8,488,000	33%
1Q 2008	\$3,669,000	\$197,000	\$3,866,000	(5%)
2Q 2008	\$4,282,000	(\$1,077,000)	\$3,205,000	34%

35. Additionally, in all periods all originally reported net income was negated and restated to a net loss as follows:

Period	Originally Reported Net Income	Restated Net (Loss)	Reduction to Reported Net Income
FY 2007	\$1,475,775	(\$1,081,988)	(\$2,557,763)
1Q 2008	\$109,980	(\$164,773)	(\$274,753)
2Q 2008	\$260,298	(\$862,399)	(\$1,122,697)

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violations of Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1, and 13a-13 thereunder

36. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through

-7-

28 35.

SEC v. International Commercial Television, Inc.
Complaint

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 44 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2600 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 TELEPHONE: 415-705-2500

1	37. ICTV filed with the Commission quarterly and annual reports on Forms 10-Q	
2	and 10-K that contained untrue statements of material fact and omitted to state material	
3	information required to be stated therein or necessary in order to make the required statements, in	
4	the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, in violation of	
5	Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1, and 13a-13 thereunder.	
6	38. ICTV has violated, and unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to violate	
7	Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(a)] and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1, and 13a-13	
8	[17 C.F.R. §§ 240.12b-20, 240.13a-1, and 240.13a-13] thereunder.	
9	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
10	Violations of Section $13(b)(2)(A)$ of the Exchange Act	
11	39. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through	
12	35.	
13	40. ICTV failed to make and keep books, records, or accounts which, in reasonable	
14	detail, accurately and fairly reflected its transactions and dispositions of its assets, in violation of	
15	Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act.	
16	41. ICTV has violated and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to violate	
17	Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(b)(2)(A)].	
18	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
19	Violations of Section $13(b)(2)(B)$ of the Exchange Act	
20	42. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through	
21	35.	
22	43. ICTV violated Section 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act, which obligates issuers	
23	of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 781] to devise	
24	and maintain a sufficient system of internal accounting controls.	
25	44. ICTV has violated and, unless restrained and enjoined, will continue to violate	
26	Section 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78m(b)(2)(B)].	
27		
28		

-8-

Case 3:10-cv-05555 Document 1 Filed 08/09/10 Page 9 of 9

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:	
3	I.	
4	Issue an order permanently enjoining ICTV from violating Sections 13(a),	
′ 5	13(b)(2)(A), and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78m(a), 78m(b)(2)(A), and	
6	78m(b)(2)(B)] and Rules 12b-20, 13a-1, and 13a-13 [17 C.F.R. §§ 240.12b-20, 240.13a-1,	
7	and 240.13a-13] thereunder.	
8	II.	
9	Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the	
10	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders	
11	and decrees that may be entered, or to entertain any suitable application or motion for	
12	additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.	
13	III.	
14	Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and necessary.	
15		
16		
17	Dated: August 9, 2010 Respectfully submitted,	
18		
19	Jason M. Habermeyer	
20	Attorney for Plaintiff	
21	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	
22	COMMISSION	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

-9-