UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE)
COMMISSION,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
V.)
)
ALGIRD M. NORKUS and)
FINANCIAL UPDATE, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

Case No.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

 This case involves a multi-million-dollar fraudulent offering scheme operated by Defendant Algird M. Norkus ("Norkus") and the corporation he controlled, Defendant Financial Update, Inc. ("Financial Update") (collectively "Defendants").

2. From as early as 1993 through at least July 2010, Norkus, acting as

President of Financial Update, raised at least \$6.4 million from at least 17 investors through the offer and sale of promissory notes issued by Financial Update. Norkus told the investors that their money would be used to fund Financial Update's business activities. He enticed investors by promising interest rates between 11% and 24% per year.

3. In reality, Norkus used investor money to pay for personal expenses such

Case: 1:10-cv-06582 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/14/10 Page 2 of 8 PageID #:2

as his mortgage and a car. He also used the money provided by newer investors to make interest and principal payments to earlier investors. Norkus never disclosed to investors that he was using their money in this fashion.

4. By engaging in this conduct, which is described more fully below,
Defendants violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15
U.S.C. § 77(q)(a)], Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] promulgated thereunder.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Commission brings this action pursuant to Section 20(b) of the
 Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(b)] and Section 21(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)].

 This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa].

7. Venue is proper in this District because the Defendants reside in this District, and many of the acts, transactions and conduct which constitute the violations alleged in this Complaint occurred within this District.

DEFENDANTS

8. Financial Update, Inc. ("Financial Update") was incorporated in the state of Illinois and was headquartered in Oakbrook, Illinois. Financial Update purported to be in the business of insurance and annuity sales.

9. Algird M. Norkus ("Norkus"), age 66, is a resident of Sugar Grove,

Case: 1:10-cv-06582 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/14/10 Page 3 of 8 PageID #:3

Illinois. Norkus was president of Financial Update since its inception in 1987. Norkus passed the Series 6 and 63 licensing exams administered by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority and also became a Certified Financial Planner according to the records of the Certified Financial Planner Board of Standards, Inc. From January 1996 through August 2010, Norkus was a registered representative for various entities that had registered with the Commission as broker-dealers, some of which were also dually registered as investment advisers.

THE FRAUDULENT SCHEME

10. Beginning as early as 1993, Norkus, acting as President of Financial Update, solicited persons to invest in promissory notes issued by Financial Update, which purportedly was in the business of selling insurance.

11. At least 17 investors from states including Illinois, Ohio, Iowa and Florida invested at least \$6.4 million in the Financial Update promissory notes.

12. Norkus told the investors that their money was going to be used to fund Financial Update's business activities. Norkus further specified to many of the investors that he was going to use their money to acquire lists of persons who had been refused insurance by other insurance companies and then attempt to sell insurance to the persons on those lists.

13. Norkus documented the investments by signing a "Promissory Agreement" or "Corporate Agreement" with the investors. Under the terms of the Agreement, Financial Update was to make monthly interest payments at interest rates ranging from 11% to 24% per annum and was to return the principal normally after five years.

Case: 1:10-cv-06582 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/14/10 Page 4 of 8 PageID #:4

14. Norkus also provided the investors with a personal written guaranty of their investments and told at least one investor that there was "no risk" to the investment.

15. Norkus located prospective investors through many means. Several of the investors were Norkus's close friends and/or neighbors. At least one investor met Norkus at a free lunch seminar given by an insurance company. Also, some investors were referred to Norkus by other investors.

16. According to certain investors, some of whom were elderly and/or unsophisticated, Norkus gained their confidence by becoming their friend and confidant for some time before soliciting money and by giving them significant personal attention which included at times: (1) paying personal visits to investors' homes; and (2) taking the investors out to lunch.

17. Investors generally received their required monthly interest payments untilJuly 2010, but did not receive their August payment.

18. Instead of using investors' money as promised, Norkus used their money to pay for personal expenses such as his mortgage and a car. He also used the money provided by newer investors to make interest and principal payments to earlier investors. Norkus never disclosed to investors that he was using their money in this fashion.

19. In August 2010, Norkus was confronted by two investors who had not received a required interest payment on their Financial Update promissory note(s). In response, Norkus confessed to the investors that he had been running a Ponzi scheme, and that he had not used investors' money to fund Financial Update's business activities as he had represented.

<u>COUNT I</u> Violations of Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act

20. Paragraphs 1 through 19 are realleged and incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein.

21. From as early as 1993 through at least July 2010, Defendants knowingly or recklessly, in the offer and sale of securities, by the use of the means and instruments of transportation and communication in interstate commerce and by the use of the mails, directly and indirectly, have employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud.

22. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants have violated and, unless enjoined, will continue to violate Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1)].

<u>COUNT II</u> Violations of Section 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act

23. Paragraphs 1 through 19 are realleged and incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein.

24. From as early as 1993 through at least July 2010, Defendants knowingly, recklessly or negligently, in the offer and sale of securities, by the use of the means and instruments of transportation and communication in interstate commerce and by the use of the mails, directly and indirectly, have: (a) obtained money and property by means of untrue statements of material fact or omissions to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (b) engaged in transactions, practices or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud and deceit upon purchasers of securities or other persons.

Case: 1:10-cv-06582 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/14/10 Page 6 of 8 PageID #:6

25. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants have violated and, unless enjoined, will continue to violate Sections 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(2) and § 77q(a)(3)].

<u>COUNT III</u> Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder

26. Paragraphs 1 through 19 are realleged and incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein.

27. From as early as 1993 through at least July 2010, Defendants knowingly or recklessly, in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, directly and indirectly, by the use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and of the mails, have: (a) employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud; (b) made untrue statements of material fact and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (c) engaged in acts, practices and courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of securities or other persons.

28. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants have violated and, unless enjoined, will continue to violate Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] promulgated thereunder.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order:

I.

Finding that Defendants committed the violations charged and alleged herein;

II.

Permanently enjoining the Defendants and their agents, servants, employees and attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating, directly or indirectly, Sections 17(a)(1), 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1), 77q(a)(2), and 77q(a)(3)], Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] promulgated thereunder;

III.

Requiring Defendants to disgorge the ill-gotten gains that they received as a result of their wrongful conduct, including prejudgment interest;

IV.

Requiring Defendants to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)];

V.

Retaining jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principals of equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered or to entertain any suitable application or

motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court;

VI.

Granting relief to prevent further secretion or dissipation of assets purchased with

investor funds; and

VII.

Granting any other relief this Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Scott B. Tandy Scott Tandy (Illinois Bar No. 6226214) Steven C. Seeger (Illinois Bar No. 6243849) SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 175 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 900 Chicago, Illinois 60604 Telephone: (312) 353-7435 Facsimile: (312) 353-7398 E-mail: tandys@sec.gov seegers@sec.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff, the Securities and Exchange Commission