FINOLA H. MANVELIAN, Cal. Bar No. 180681 E-mail: manyelianf@sec.gov MARSHALL SPRUNG, Cal. Bar No. 188253 2 E-mail: sprungm@sec.gov JUNLING MA, Cal. Bar No. 213241 3 E-mail: maj@sec.gov 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission 5 Rosalind R. Tyson, Regional Director Andrew G. Petillon, Associate Regional Director John M. McCoy III, Regional Trial Counsel 6 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036-3648 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 7 8 Facsimile: (323) 965-3908 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 Case Not V (19-5493DSF (CWx) SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, 13 COMPLAINT Plaintiff. 14 VS. 15 AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION, 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 This matter involves violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act 1. 20 ("FCPA") by Avery Dennison Corporation ("Avery") through its indirect 21 subsidiary, Avery (China) Co. Ltd. ("Avery China"), and several acquired entities. 22 2. From 2002 through 2005, Avery China's Reflectives Division paid or authorized the payments of several kickbacks, sightseeing trips, and gifts to 23 24 Chinese government officials. The amount of illegal payments actually paid 25 amounted to approximately \$30,000. In addition, after Avery acquired a company 26 in June 2007, employees of the acquired company continued their pre-acquisition

practice of making illegal petty cash payments to customs or other officials in

several foreign countries, resulting in illegal payments of approximately \$51,000.

27

28

The payments and promises to pay were made with the purpose and effect of improperly influencing decisions by foreign officials to assist Avery entities to obtain or retain business.

- 3. Avery failed to accurately record these payments and gifts in the company's books and records, and failed to implement or maintain a system of internal accounting controls sufficient to detect and prevent such illegal payments or promises of illegal payments.
- 4. By engaging in the conduct described in this Complaint, Avery violated Sections 13(b)(2)(A) and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78m(b)(2)(A) and 78m(b)(2)(B).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 21(d) and 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d) and 78aa. The defendant, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business alleged in this Complaint.
- 6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa. Many of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of conduct constituting violations of the laws alleged in this Complaint occurred within the Central District of California, and the defendant may be found in this district.

DEFENDANT

7. Avery is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Pasadena, California. It operates in over sixty countries and develops, manufactures and markets a wide range of products, including self-adhesive materials, office products, labels and graphics imaging media. Its common stock is registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act and is listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

OTHER RELEVANT ENTITY

8. Avery China is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Avery headquartered in Shanghai, China. It is incorporated under the laws of China and wholly owned by Avery Dennison Hong Kong BV, which is in turn wholly owned by Avery Dennison Group Danmark ApS, which is in turn wholly owned by Avery Dennison Corporation. The Reflectives Division is part of Avery China and is currently part of Avery's Graphics Division. Avery China is overseen by Avery's Asia Pacific Group, an unincorporated group based in Hong Kong within the Avery Dennison Hong Kong BV entity.

FACTS

A. Payments and Promises of Payments by Avery China's Reflectives Division Promises of Payments to Wuxi Institute Officials

- 9. Avery China sells reflective materials through the Reflectives
 Division. Reflective materials are commonly used in printing, road signs and
 emergency vehicle markings. In China, the Ministry of Public Security requires
 that all products used in road communications and safety meet certain requirements
 as certified by an authorized government entity. One such entity is called the
 Traffic Management Research Institute under the Ministry of Public Security
 located in Wuxi, Jiangsu Province ("Wuxi Institute"). The Wuxi Institute helps
 formulate project plans, draft product and project specifications, and tests pilot
 projects, and as such could play an important role in awarding government
 contracts. From early 2004, Avery China's then-national manager for the
 Reflectives Division ("Reflectives China National Manager") sought to obtain
 business through the Wuxi Institute. As part of that effort, in January 2004, an
 Avery China sales manager accompanied four Wuxi Institute officials to a meeting
 and bought each a pair of shoes with a combined value of approximately \$500.
- 10. In May 2004, Avery China hired a former Wuxi Institute official as a sales manager for the Reflectives Division, because his wife was also an official at

///

the Wuxi Institute who was in charge of two projects that Avery China wanted to pursue: a "digital license plate" project for which Avery China had previously bid unsuccessfully, and a pilot project to develop a new graphic design for police cars.

11. In August 2004, Avery China was awarded two government contracts through the Wuxi Institute to install new graphics on approximately 15,400 police cars for two Chinese government entities. The Reflectives China National Manager obtained these contracts by agreeing to artificially increase the sales price and then refund that amount back to the Wuxi Institute as a "consulting fee." In doing so, he understood at least a portion of that refunded amount would be for the benefit of Wuxi Institute officials. The total sales under the two contracts were \$677,494, and Avery China profited by approximately \$363,953. However, Avery's Asia Pacific Group discovered the kickback scheme before any illegal payment was made. The attempted illegal payments would have amounted to approximately \$41,138, or 6% of the total sales.

2002 Sightseeing Trip

12. In December 2002, another Reflectives Division salesman proposed, and the Reflectives China National Manager approved, hosting a sightseeing trip for five government officials with a budget of about RMB 35,000, or \$4,227. Two reimbursement requests were used to conceal the expenses for the trip (one of which was altered at some point in time).

Attempted Kickback to Project Manager at State-owned Entity

13. In August 2004, the Reflectives China National Manager approved a kickback payment to an official at Henan Luqiao, a state-owned enterprise, to secure a sales contract. The total sales under this contract were approximately \$106,562, and Avery China profited by \$61,381. However, Avery China discovered the kickback arrangement and never made the promised payment to the official at Henan Luqiao, which would have amounted to approximately \$2,415.

5

11

12

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

24 25

23

26 27

28

Kickback Arranged through Product Distributor (Hefei Anchang)

From May to June 2005, a Reflectives Division sales manager 14. negotiated a sale to a state-owned end user. To secure the sale, the sales manager agreed to pay a commission to a project manager at the end user. He then asked a distributor to fill the order and fund the agreed upon commission out of what ordinarily would have been the distributor's profit. The transaction was booked as a sale to the distributor, rather than to the end-user. The distributor claimed to have paid the project manager approximately \$24,752 out of its own profit margin. The total sales in the transaction were \$466,162, and Avery China profited by \$273,213.

2005 Sightseeing Trip

In late 2005, during a sales conference that Avery China sponsored in 15. a famous Chinese tourist destination, the successor to the Reflectives China National Manager paid for sightseeing trips for at least four government officials. The manager later attempted to cover up both his role in planning the trip and the sightseeing during the conference. He asked his secretary to alter the conference invoice by reallocating the sightseeing expenses to other expense categories, and have the travel agency submit the changed invoice to Avery China for payment. The changed invoice did not contain any sightseeing expenses; rather, they were buried in expenses for rooms, meals, and transportation. The total cost for the conference (which had more than 40 attendees overall) was approximately \$15,500.

B. Improper Payments by Employees of Acquired Companies

In 2005, Avery integrated the operations of an Indonesia contractor it had acquired. The contractor operated out of a bonded zone in Indonesia, and had a practice of paying approximately \$100 each to three customs officials who regularly visited its warehouse to inspect goods. The contractor continued the practice after the acquisition. To obtain cash for the payments, an employee of the acquired subsidiary obtained \$10 petty cash on a daily basis for the \$300 needed each month, and the accounting entry reflected \$10 of travel expense each day for the employee.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17.

Paxar employees in Indonesia made illegal payments to customs and tax officials to obtain bonded zone licenses and to overlook bonded zone regulatory violations, and that the former general manager of Paxar Indonesia directed employees to fabricate fake invoices to conceal illegal payments. An internal audit review also uncovered payments to customs officials in Pakistan made by Paxar Pakistan through its customs broker. In April 2008, Avery commenced a global trade compliance review in twenty-seven countries, which included an FCPA review. In July 2008, Avery commenced a more comprehensive FCPA review in ten high risk countries, including China. Beyond the illicit payments identified at Paxar Indonesia and Paxar Pakistan, the ten country review has also identified problematic payments in Paxar China. In all three locations, illicit payments were made both before and after the acquisition, with the latest illicit payment occurring in January 2008. The post-acquisition payments amount to \$5,000, \$30,000 and \$16,000 at Paxar Indonesia, Paxar Pakistan, and Paxar China, respectively.

In June 2007, Avery acquired Paxar Corporation, a NYSE listed

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF RECORD KEEPING VIOLATIONS

Violations of Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act

- 18. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference ¶¶ 1 through 17 above.
- 19. By failing to make or keep books, records and accounts that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflected its transactions and disposition of its assets, Avery violated Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78m(b)(2)(A).

26 ///

27

28

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

INTERNAL CONTROLS VIOLATIONS

Violations of Section 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act

- 20. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference $\P\P$ 1 through 17 above.
- 21. By failing to devise and maintain a system of internal accounting controls sufficient to provide reasonable assurances that transactions were recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and to maintain the accountability of assets, Avery violated Section 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78m(b)(2)(B).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

- (a) Issue an Order requiring defendant Avery to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3), and
- (b) Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and necessary.

DATED: July 24, 2009

JUNIANG MA

Attorney for Plaintiff

Securities and Exchange Commission