JIM BUNNING KENTUCKY

COMMITTEES:
FINANCE
ENERGY AND NATURAL
RESOURCES
BANKING, HOUSING, AND
URBAN AFFAIRS
VETERANS' AFFAIRS

BUDGET

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

316 HART SENATE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20510 (202) 224–4343

MAIN KENTUCKY OFFICE:

1717 DIXIE HIGHWAY, SUITE 220 FORT WRIGHT, KY 41011 (859) 341-2602

December 17, 2004

Honorable Deborah Platt Majoras Chairman, Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20680

Dear Chairman Majoras:

The Federal Trade Commission is in the process of finalizing its proposed regulation under the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 ("FACTA") on Improving Prescreen Opt-Out Notices.

I understand that Commission staff in shaping the final rule may be relying in part on a colloquy between the Director of the FTC's Bureau of Consumer Protection and me during a legislative hearing on credit reporting issues. I want to clarify my position in the hope that your final regulation will permit consumers to make an informed decision about whether or not to opt out of receiving pre-screened solicitations.

At a May 20, 2003 Senate Banking Committee Hearing, I raised the subject of prescreening with J. Howard Beales, III of the FTC. My primary purpose was to learn Director Beales's opinion on whether pre-screening has helped or hurt consumers in the credit card market. I was quite satisfied with his answer that pre-screening has facilitated more competitive credit markets and has been very good for consumers.

We also engaged in a lighthearted exchange on mechanisms for opting out, and I was equally well satisfied with his responses. Director Beales clarified that when consumers elected to opt out of receiving pre-screened offers, the opt-outs are effective on a nationwide basis, not just at a statewide level.

In asking a question about pre-screening, months before language addressing the opt-out notice had been drafted, it was not my intention to take a position on the placement of that notice in pre-screened offering letters. Furthermore, there was no suggestion in the hearing record (or elsewhere in the legislative process that led to the enactment of the FACT Act for that matter), that the clear and conspicuous requirement for these notices should be changed or that consumers should receive two opt-out notices instead of just one.

In fact, while we could have changed the disclosure standard for such notices in FACTA to "clear and prominent," or specified the placement of the notice, we instead chose to leave the existing "clear and conspicuous" standard in place, while adding new language addressing the simplicity and understandability of the notice.

HAZARD OFFICE: 601 MAIN STREET SUITE 2 HAZARD, KY 41701 (606) 435–2390 HOPKINSVILLE OFFICE: 1100 SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 12 HOPKINSVILLE, KY 42240 (270) 885–1212

LEXINGTON OFFICE:
771 CORPORATE DRIVE
SUITE 105
LEXINGTON, KY 40503
(859) 219–2239

LOUISVILLE OFFICE:
600 DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. PLACE
ROOM 1072B
LOUISVILLE, KY 40202
(502) 582-5341

OWENSBORO OFFICE: 423 FREDERICA STREET ROOM 305 OWENSBORO, KY 42301 (270) 689–9085 I appreciate this opportunity to explain my thinking on this issue and look forward to seeing the FTC's final rule.

Best personal regards,

JIM BUNNING

United States Senator

cc: Honorable Orson Swindle

Honorable Thomas B. Leary

Honorable Pamela Jones Harbour

Honorable Jon Leibowitz