UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Release No. 52406/September 12, 2005

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING

File No. 3-11979

_

In the Matter of :

: ORDER MAKING FINDINGS AND

AMERICAN MACHINE, INC., et al. : REVOKING REGISTRATION BY DEFAULT

AS TO SUN ASSET HOLDINGS, INC.

SUMMARY

This Order revokes the registration of the common stock of Sun Asset Holdings, Inc. (Sun Asset). The revocation is based on Sun Asset's repeated failure to file required periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission).

I. BACKGROUND

The Commission initiated this proceeding on July 13, 2005, with an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP), pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). The OIP alleges that Sun Asset's common stock is registered with the Commission under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act, and that Sun Asset has failed to file any required annual and quarterly reports with the Commission since a Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002, filed April 15, 2003 (2002 Form 10-KSB). Sun Asset was served with the OIP on August 24, 2005, and failed to file an answer, due on September 6, 2005. A respondent who fails to file an answer to the OIP may be deemed to be in default, and the administrative law judge may determine the proceeding against

¹ Forms 10-KSB and 10-QSB may be filed, in lieu of Forms 10-K and 10-Q, by a company that is a "small business issuer." <u>See</u> 17 C.F.R. § 228.10(a).

² On July 27, 2005, the Commission received a document entitled "Dan Hodges's Answer to Order Instituting Proceedings" that disavows any responsibility, authority, or control by Hodges over any of the twenty respondents in this proceeding at the time of the alleged violations. Hodges is identified in Sun Asset's 2002 Form 10-KSB, which reported that, effective with a February 2003 reverse merger and installation of new management, Hodges, who had been sole officer and director, had resigned. It goes without saying that the Hodges filing is not an answer to the OIP on behalf of Sun Assets.

him. <u>See</u> 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), .220(f); OIP at 7. Thus, Sun Asset is in default, and the undersigned finds that the allegations in the OIP are true as to Sun Asset.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

Sun Asset, formerly known as Coyote Canyon Corporation, is a Wyoming shell corporation with common stock registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act since January 26, 2000. Sun Asset failed to file annual reports on Form 10-KSB and quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB for any fiscal period subsequent to its Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002. That Form 10-KSB, filed on April 15, 2003, reported that Sun Asset had no assets and \$5,253 in liabilities. Currently, there is no active market for Sun Asset's stock.

III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to file required annual and quarterly reports, Sun Asset violated Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13.

IV. SANCTION

Revocation of the registration of the stock of Sun Asset will serve the public interest and the protection of investors, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act. Revocation will help ensure that the corporate shell is not later put to an illicit use involving publicly traded securities manipulated to the detriment of market participants. Further, revocation accords with Commission sanction considerations set forth in Steadman v. SEC, 603 F.2d 1126, 1140 (5th Cir. 1979) and with the sanctions imposed in similar cases in which corporations violated Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 by failing to file required annual and quarterly reports. See Neurotech Dev. Corp., Admin. Proc. No. 3-11724 (A.L.J. Mar. 1, 2005); Hamilton Bancorp, Inc., 79 SEC Docket 2680 (A.L.J. Feb. 24, 2003); WSF Corp., 77 SEC Docket 1831 (A.L.J. May 8, 2002). Sun Asset's violations were recurrent, egregious, and deprived the investing public of current and accurate financial information on which to make informed decisions.

Failure to file periodic reports violates a crucial provision of the Exchange Act. The purpose of the periodic reporting requirements is to publicly disclose current, accurate financial information about an issuer so that investors may make informed decisions:

The reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is the primary tool which Congress has fashioned for the protection of investors from negligent, careless, and deliberate misrepresentations in the sale of stock and securities. Congress has extended the reporting requirements even to companies which are "relatively unknown and insubstantial."

<u>SEC v. Beisinger Indus. Corp.</u>, 552 F.2d 15, 18 (1st Cir. 1977) (quoting legislative history); <u>accord e-Smart Tech., Inc.</u>, 83 SEC Docket 3586, 3590 (Oct. 12, 2004). The Commission has warned that "many publicly traded companies that fail to file on a timely basis are 'shell companies' and, as such, attractive vehicles for fraudulent stock manipulation schemes." <u>e-Smart Tech., Inc.</u>, 83 SEC Docket at 3590-91 n.14.

V. ORDER

	IT	IS OR	DER	ED that,	pursuant	to S	ectic	on 12(j) of	f the Se	ecur	ities	Exchar	nge Act of	1934,	15
		9//	the	REGIST	RATION	of	the	common	stock	of	Sun	Asset	Holdings,	Inc.,	IS
REVC	KE	D.													

Carol Fox Foelak Administrative Law Judge