

OCC 2004-58

Subject: Automated Clearing House Date: December 20, 2004

To: Chief Executive Officers and Compliance Officers of All National Banks, Federal Branches and Agencies, Technology Service Providers, Department and Division Heads, and All Examining Personnel

Description: NACHA Rule Changes

PURPOSE

OCC 2004-58 has been replaced by NACHA Operating Rules and Guidelines.

and Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC) guidance. This bulletin supplements guidance on Automated Clearing House (ACH) activities outlined in the FFIEC *IT Handbook*, "Retail Payment Systems," dated March 2004.

Background

The ACH network, through which electronic payments are distributed and settled, has existed since the

early 1970s.¹ As the industry develops new and innovative uses for ACH, and, as both ACH activity and the number of participants in the network (including third parties) grows, risks to national banks engaged in ACH activity can be complex and not readily apparent. National banks, therefore, must have the expertise to identify ACH risks and be able to implement risk management processes to appropriately manage ACH risk.

NACHA OPERATING RULES CHANGES

Three amendments to NACHA Operating Rules became effective in 2004. The most important changes introduced by the amendments are described below.

Accounts Receivable Conversion (ARC)² Opt-out Amendment (Effective June 11, 2004)

This amendment requires originators of ARC debits to allow consumers to opt out of ARC check conversion and to establish reasonable procedures under which consumers may notify originators that their checks are not to be converted to ARC debits. While not mandated by this NACHA rule amendment, the OCC also encourages national banks that are originators of ARC debits to:

- Provide consumers with a clear and conspicuous notice of their right to opt-out;³
- Establish procedures to apply any consumer opt-out requests to *all* checks involving the consumer's checking account; and,
- Provide consumers with a telephone number they may call for any inquiries related to the ARC process.

Network Security Amendment (Effective September 10, 2004)

Banking information must be transmitted through a secure session or encrypted, in either case using a commercially reasonable security technology that, at a minimum, is equivalent to 128-bit RC4 encryption technology. This requirement applies to any ACH transaction, between ACH participants, regardless of

Standard Entry Class Code. There is also a new requirement for ODFIs to use commercially reasonable methods to establish the identity of each originator who uses an unsecured electronic network to enter into a contractual relationship with the ODFI for the origination of ACH transactions.

Third-Party Senders⁴ Amendment (Effective December 10, 2004)

This amendment requires that a third-party sender enter into an agreement with an ODFI under which the third-party sender is bound by the NACHA rules. The amendment also requires the originator to enter into an agreement with the third-party sender under which the originator assumes its responsibilities pursuant to the NACHA rules. These agreements must include acknowledgements that entries that violate federal laws may not be initiated.

controls in place to restrict or refuse ACH services to potential originators engaged in Banks shoul tive business practices. However, it is important to be aware that such questional ded ACH payments through third-party senders that originate through the bank. organizations i rigir Where an orig aged in questionable business practices uses a third party sender, the ator th AS. bank bears the creased risk as if the bank contracted directly with the originator. The lack of a lar ð, In the ODFI and originator has the potential to increase the risk to the direct contractual ntions...ip b be each blish a claim against the originator in the event of loss. unabl ODFI since it risks be

If originators are not custor 's o he b bey may fall outside the bank's know-your-customer responsibility, capability, and puscess . A ke risk in such a case arises from the fact that the bank may not have information about or control over these originators. To minimize credit, transaction, compliance, and reputation risk, national banks a their third arty senders should have policies and procedures in place and implemented to ensure that enectiv ence is performed on all originators. Banks must ,úe∫ perform risk analyses on new and establish rela hips and must inform customers and third-party A senders of their policies and procedures related osure limits and risk management.

Exposure limits

nstitutions (ODFIs) to: establish an The NACHA Operating Rules require originating deposite minancip exposure limit for originators, have procedures to review the ically, and monitor ACH vn ŕe, e opting rules also require the entries relative to the exposure limit across multiple settlement uater ODFI's annual ACH audit to include verification that such requirent its a being bet. The amendment to the operating rules described above makes it clear that ODFIs must mply w requirements Jsure İ relating to third-party senders. The OCC requires banks, in general, to set e its to minimize credit and reputation risk. Exposure limits should be based upon credit-guary factor and the originator's (or third-party sender's) transaction history. Review and approval of exposure should be conducted at least annually by the board or a committee thereof. Banks with high-risk ACH activities should review

exposure limits more frequently.⁵ Exposure limits must be monitored as a part of daily operations. Banks must ensure that they have established procedures for acting upon files that exceed exposure limits and for handling exception situations. In most cases, ACH-processing personnel should not have the authority to process an ACH file that exceeds established exposure limits.

Direct Access to the ACH Operator

The risks posed to a bank by its granting to a third-party service provider direct access to the ACH operator are discussed in OCC Bulletin 2002-2. In addition to the risk management requirements set forth in that bulletin, banks should require third-party service providers to notify the ODFI of dollar totals for each file processed so that the ODFI can reconcile activity and settlement totals with the ACH operator.

SUMMARY

ACH-related products and services provide national banks with an opportunity to retain customers and attract new business. ACH transactions, however, present significant new and unique risk management and legal liability challenges to management and boards of directors. National banks should comply with NACHA Operating Rules and follow OCC- and FFIEC-related guidance in order to meet such challenges. OCC examiners should continue to assess whether a bank's ACH risk management practices are appropriate in light of the bank's ACH activities and risks.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

You may direct any related questions or comments to the Operational Risk Policy Division at (202) 874-5190.

Mark L. O'Dell Deputy Compt perational Risk er fi ¹ For more information work, see Appendix A of OCC Bulletin 2002-2 (Jan. 14, 2002) (ACH Transactions Involving h me A0 the Internet: Guidanc nd E natio ocedures) and the glossary of ACH terms in the bulletin. ² Where the national bank a s the orig nk for an originator of ARC debits, the bank should encourage its originators to ting take these measures. ³ Notice of the opt-out right could simply notice required by the NACHA rule that advises consumers that their within th luc checks may be used as the source document for ACH enu ⁴ It is increasingly common for a third party to act as an inter een an originator and an ODFI. Such a third party is liary known as a third-party sender. Third-party senders are a ty party service provider. of th : (or ⁵ Characteristics of high-risk ACH originations include unauthorized r percent of transaction volume, lack of a in. direct relationship between the ODFI and the originator, or direct access by fird party the ACH operator.

