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- Egypt-even while implementing a successful family planning pro-gram-continues to face urgent demographic challenges now and in the future. The government actively promotes family planning; contraceptive prevalence is increasing with almost half of all married women practicing family planning; and fertility is declining. With a current population of 61 million, projected to increase to 92 million by 2020, family planning needs are still large and will grow. Presently, 20 percent of married women do not use family planning despite a desire to limit or space births.
- Egypt's shifting population age structure -its demographic transition from a predominantly young to relatively older
population (figure 1)-will have important implications for economic and social programs, including family planning. The fastest growing age groups include young adults, who are served by the family planning programs and who will be looking for jobs, and the elderly, who require medical and other support programs.
- Also, Egypt's population is concentrated in a very small portion of its overall land area: currently, 97 percent of the population lives on 4 percent of the land. Both land and infrastructure will be strained by further population increases.


## Fertility and Mortality

Egypt is one of the few countries in the Near East and North Africa to
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report substantial declines in fertility. The total fertility rate (TFR) has declined from 5.5 in 1980 to 3.8 children per woman in 1994.
However, the current TFR of 3.8 children per woman substantially exceeds the replacement rate, ensuring that Egypt's population will continue to grow for many years. Further, this average obscures the great differential among subpopulations: in urban lower Egypt the TFR is less than three while women in rural upper Egypt have, on average, six children (based on 1992 Egyptian Demographic and Health Survey, EDHS). Figure 2 demonstrates the variations in TFR among Egypt's women and shows the generally inverse correlation with contraceptive use.
Egypt, like many developing countries, has experienced a notable reduction in mortality rates. Life expectancy at birth has risen from 39 years in 1952 (Committee on Population and Demography, CPD, 1982) to 61 years in 1994. A decline of over 60 percent in Egypt's infant mortality rate (IMR) was a major contributor to the increase in life expectancy. Immunization of children to prevent childhood diseases is relatively high: according to the 1992 EDHS, 67 percent of all children 12-23 months of age received vaccinations.
As in the case of falling fertility, past progress in infant mortality is impressive but does not eliminate the need to work for further improvements. At the current rate of
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76 deaths per 1,000 births, the IMR has not fallen as much as in other North African countries, such as Tunisia (34) and Morocco (50).

Over 60 percent of all births in Egypt can be classified as "high risk" births, i.e., one or more of the following factors are present: births to women under age 18 or over age 34; high birth order (3 or more), and short interval (less than 2 years) between births (Egypt National Population Council and Macro International Inc., ENPC and MII, 1993). Such factors adversely impact infant health and raise mortality rates.

## Contraceptive Prevalence

Recognizing rapid population growth as an impediment to development, the Egyptian government began in 1977 to place greater emphasis on improved delivery of family planning services, and in 1985 established a National Population Council to coordinate family planning activities in the nation. These initiatives seem to be paying off. Between 1980 and 1992, the contraceptive prevalence rate nearly doubled, from 24 percent of currently married women using contraceptives in 1980, to 47 percent in 1992 (figure 3; ENPC and MII, 1993). In recent years,
contraceptive use has increased even faster. Between 1988 and 1992, the contraceptive prevalence rate increased by over 9 percentage points, 1.3 million more users in just 4 years.
Almost all users rely on the modern contraceptive methods. Surveys of married women show a dramatic increase in the use of IUD's; in 1992 59 percent ( 2.6 million women) of those using contraception depended on IUD's, up from 42 percent in 1988. Oral contraceptives were used by another 27 percent, or 1.2 million women, somewhat
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fewer than in 1988. Figure 3 illustrates these trends.

Levels of contraceptive use vary considerably among the regions of the country, with the highest rates in urban areas. However, all regions are experiencing steady increases in contraceptive use:

| Share of Married Women <br> Using Contraceptives <br> (Percent) |
| :--- | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4} \quad \mathbf{1 9 8 8} \quad \mathbf{1 9 9 2}$.

In Egypt, as in other countries, contraceptive use increases with the level of education of women. According to the 1992 EDHS, the largest difference in contraceptive prevalence was between those who had no education (38 percent) and those with some primary education (54 percent).

Although family planning has greatly expanded over the past decade, there remains a substantial unmet need. Eighty-two percent of married women in Egypt indicate they want to limit or space births (figure 4). After taking account of those practicing contraception or not being exposed to the risk of conception, 20 percent of currently married women-about 2 million in Egypthave an unmet family planning need. Two-thirds of this group (1.3 million women, most of them over age 30) want to limit further births; the others, mostly younger, want to space births (figure 5). Unmet need is highest among rural women and women with no education.

## Age Groups

Egypt's population age structure is changing, an inevitable result of falling fertility. The most important changes in Egypt's age structure

Figure 4.
Fertility Preference of Currently Married Women, Ages 15-49: 1992

are the falling proportion of children and growing share of working age population: between now and the end of this century the number of children 14 years and under (currently 23 million), will remain virtually unchanged while the population 15-64 years old will increase almost 16 percent (an additional 5.9 million). By 2020, the working age population will increase by another 20 million from 42 million to 62 million

Egypt's population age structure (see figure 1) represents opportunities and challenges. The declining
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(Share of currently married women wanting to limit or space births)


Limiting

dependency ratio (ratio of young and old to working age) eases the economic burden of supporting dependent groups. However, the challenge is to provide jobs to the growing labor force. The changing age structure of Egypt's population also presents a challenge to the family planning program. The number of women in childbearing ages (15-49) will increase from 15 million to 25 million by 2020. Thus, even if Egypt maintains the 1992 level of contraceptive use and marriage rates, the number of couples that the family planning program will have to serve increases from 4.8 million in 1994 to 8.2 million in 2020 .

## Urbanization

Egypt, like most developing countries, is undergoing rapid urbanization, a process that is expected to continue for several decades. Currently 45 percent ( 27 million) of the country's population lives in urban areas (UN, 1993). In 2020, 54 million people (almost equal to the total population in 1990) are projected to be living in urban areas. The growth of the country's largest city, Cairo, has dominated the urbanization process in Egypt. Nearly half of the total urban population lives in the agglomeration of Greater Cairo.

## Literacy

Level of education, especially among women, is a powerful predictor of level of contraceptive use and fertility in developing populations. The literacy rate of the Egyptian population has improved over the last three decades (figure 6), from 26 percent in 1960 to 48 percent in 1990 (UNESCO, 1991). Yet, it is the second lowest literacy rate, after Yemen, in the Near East and North Africa (NENA) region. Egypt has one of the widest gaps in the NENA region in the literacy rate of
males and females; males, with a literacy rate of 63 percent, are twice as likely to be literate as females whose rate is only 34 percent.

Figure 6.
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Table 1.
Population Indicators for Egypt: 1986 to 2020
(Population in thousands)

| Indicator 1986 | 1990 | 1994 | 2000 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POPULATION |  |  |  |  |
| Total country . . 50,974 | 56,106 | 61,144 | 68,437 | 92,350 |
| Urban . . . . . . . . . . 22,378 | 24,631 | 27,282 | 31,755 | 54,394 |
| Rural . . . . . . . . . . 28,596 | 31,475 | 33,862 | 36,682 | 37,956 |
| Male, total country |  |  |  |  |
| All ages . . . . . . 25,864 | 28,413 | 30,921 | 34,542 | 46,442 |
| 0 to 14 . . . . . . . . . 10,495 | 11,234 | 11,768 | 12,310 | 12,958 |
| 6 to 12 . . . . . . . . . 4,722 | 5,020 | 5,358 | 5,688 | 6,046 |
| 13 to 18 . . . . . . . . 3,486 | 3,832 | 4,124 | 4,505 | 5,067 |
| 15 to $44 . . . . . . . . . . ~ 11,361$ | 12,881 | 14,405 | 16,585 | 22,859 |
| 15 to 49 . . . . . . . . 12, 341 | 13,972 | 15,693 | 18,162 | 22,691 |
| 15 to 64 . . . . . . . . 14,441 | 16,236 | 18,161 | 21,107 | 31,265 |
| 65+ . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 928 | 943 | 992 | 1,126 | 2,219 |
| Female, total country |  |  |  |  |
| All ages . . . . . . 25,110 | 27,693 | 30,223 | 33,894 | 45,908 |
|  | 10,751 | 11,290 | 11,753 | 12,394 |
| 6 to $12 . . . . . . . . . . . .4,414$ | 4,836 | 5,157 | 5,421 | 5,784 |
| 13 to $18 \ldots \ldots . . .3$. 3,156 | 3,493 | 3,927 | 4,353 | 4,853 |
| 15 to $44 \ldots . . . . . .11,159$ | 12,439 | 13,830 | 15,941 | 22,071 |
| 15 to 49 . . . . . . . . 12,155 | 13,552 | 15,144 | 17,553 | 24,703 |
| 15 to $64 \ldots \ldots . . . .14,315$ | 15,931 | 17,770 | 20,709 | 30,540 |
| 65+ . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 875 | 1,011 | 1,163 | 1,433 | 2,974 |
| Married females |  |  |  |  |
| 15 to $49 . . . . . . . .8,153$ | 9,134 | 10,203 | 11,871 | 17,491 |
|  | 563 | 633 | 712 | 811 |
| 20 to $24 . . . . . . . . . . . .1,332$ | 1,459 | 1,596 | 1,892 | 2,310 |
| 25 to 29 . . . . . . . . . 1,660 | 1,834 | 2,009 | 2,296 | 3,205 |
| 30 to $34 . . . . . . . . . . . ~ 1,532$ | 1,706 | 1,886 | 2,156 | 3,329 |
| 35 to 39 . . . . . . . . 1,318 | 1,495 | 1,668 | 1,930 | 3,089 |
| 40 to 44 . . . . . . . . . . . 998 | 1,183 | 1,356 | 1,591 | 2,633 |
| 45 to $49 . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ . ~ 800 ~$ | 894 | 1,055 | 1,294 | 2,114 |
| DEPENDENCY RATIO |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes ........ 77.3 | 74.4 | 70.2 | 63.7 | 49.4 |
| LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH (years) |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes . . . . . 58.2 | 59.5 | 60.8 | 62.7 | 68.5 |
| Male . . . . . . . . . . . . . 56.5 | 57.7 | 58.9 | 60.7 | 66.1 |
| Female . . . . . . . . . 59.9 | 61.4 | 62.8 | 64.8 | 71.0 |
| INFANT MORTALITY RATE (per 1,000 births) |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes . . . . . 92.1 | 84.0 | 76.4 | 65.7 | 37.8 |
| Male . . . . . . . . . . . . . 94.0 | 85.9 | 78.3 | 67.5 | 39.6 |
| Female . . . . . . . . 90.1 | 82.1 | 74.5 | 63.7 | 35.9 |
| TOTAL FERTILITY RATE (per woman) ......... 4.9 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.3 |

Note: Dependency ratio is the number of persons under age
15 and age 65 and over per 100 persons ages 15 to 64 years.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, International Programs Center, International Data Base.

Table 2.
Contraceptive Prevalence Among Married Women Under 50 Years of Age, by Method: Selected Years

| Method | Percent current users |  |  | Percent distribution of users |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1988 | 1992 | 1980 | 1988 | 1992 |
| All | 24.1 | 37.8 | 47.1 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Pill | 16.5 | 15.3 | 12.9 | 68 | 40 | 27 |
| Condom | . 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| IUD | . 4.0 | 15.7 | 27.9 | 17 | 42 | 59 |
| Female ster. | . 0.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| Other modern | . 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 3 |  | 2 |
| Traditional | . 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| CHILDLESS WOMEN: 1992 percent of ever-married women aged 45-49 $\qquad$ 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AVERAGE DURATION OF POSTPARTUM INFECUNDABILITY: 1992 <br> Number of months |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ENPC \& MII, 1993, table 5.3, and CAPMAS and WFS, 1983, table 4.4.1.

Table 3.
Average Age of Users of Selected Methods: 1992

| Method | Average age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pill . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 34.0 |  |  |  |
| Condom . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 38.4 |  |  |  |
| IUD . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 33.3 |  |  |  |
| Female ster. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41.3 |  |  |  |
| Traditional . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 36.1 |  |  |  |
| Note: Average age of user is calculated at the U.S. Bureau of the Census using the 1992 EDHS data on contraceptive prevalence by age. <br> Source: ENPC \& MII, 1993, table 5.2. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Table 4. |  |  |  |
| Fertility Rates (per 1,000 women) |  |  |  |
| Age | 1980 | 1988 | 1992 |
| 15-19.... | 104 | 72 | 64 |
| 20-24.... | . 268 | 220 | 210 |
| 25-29 | . 298 | 243 | 224 |
| 30-34... | . 227 | 182 | 157 |
| 35-39.... | . 137 | 118 | 90 |
| 40-44 | . 50 | 41 | 43 |
| 45-49.. | . 17 | 6 | 6 |
| Total fertility rate |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, International Programs Center, International Data Base.

