





### **Bureau of Justice Statistics**

# National Criminal History Improvement Program

Fiscal Year 2004 Program Announcement

*NCHIP* 



## National Criminal History Improvement Program

Fiscal Year 2004 Program Announcement

March 2004, NCJ 204535

Department of Justice	Contents	
Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics	Message from the Director	1
	Program goals	2
	Accomplishments	4
John Ashcroft Attorney General	Program priorities	6
	Appropriation	9
This program announcement is one in a series. To determine if more recent	Allowable costs	10
announcements are available,	Application submission due date	16
go to: http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/	Application requirements	16
	Quick Start to GMS Application checklist	23 24

#### **Electronic Submission of NCHIP Application**

The Office of Justice Programs (OJP) now requires that applications for funding be submitted through the OJP Grants Management System (GMS). Access through the Internet to this online application system will expedite and streamline the receipt, review and processing of requests for funding. Applications will only be accepted through the GMS online application system.

To learn how to begin the online application process, please see the Quick Start Guide to Using the Office of Justice Programs Online Grants Management System (see appendix). A toll-free telephone number (1-888-549-9901) has been established to provide applicants with technical assistance as they work through the online application process.

#### Message from the Director, BJS

I am pleased to have the opportunity to provide you with my thoughts for the upcoming year. First of all, I want to pass along my appreciation for your unflagging willingness to provide us data on quality and content of record systems. We have conducted repeated surveys to learn about various aspects of the record holdings in your State, the ability to share information across States, and the accessibility of other records systems on mental health, sex offenders, and stalkers. Particularly exciting has been our work on establishing the Record Quality Index (RQI). To date, 34 States have completed all RQI data submissions and another 10 have made partial submission and are moving rapidly toward completion. The Index will provide a level of insight into strengths and weaknesses of the records systems and background check capabilities within a State and provide a clear set of opportunities to address Federal funds to very specific records problems and needs. Good performance measurement will take us to the next level of specificity in order to achieve a more comprehensive and accurate and complete check each time a background check is conducted.

As a test of our performance, BJS recently sponsored research on the extent to which checks in Point-of-Contact States produce similar rates of presale firearms denials to a NICS-only check. The research utilized about 40,000 applicants in two Point-of-Contact States. The study found agreement 98% of the time on who was an acceptable purchaser and who was a prohibited purchaser. This is very good and important news about the integrity of the background checks being conducted either by NICS or by the States.

As in previous years, BJS has utilized data from the States as a tool for directing the allocation of funds to priority areas. BJS has identified the following key priorities and urges the States to consider these in planning their 2004 NCHIP activities –

- full participation in the III System;
- full participation in the National Protection Order File and the National Sex Offender Registry;
- automating and updating with disposition information older criminal history records;
- improving communication of dispositions and other case outcomes from courts and prosecutors to the repositories housing criminal history records;
- incorporating mental health records into background check systems;
- supporting improvements which facilitate the identification of those records containing convictions for misdemeanor domestic violence;

- implementing the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact which governs the interstate exchange of criminal records which are needed for noncriminal justice purposes
- implementing new record-keeping requirements for checks conducted by the NICS

I strongly encourage you to use the NCHIP funds to meet these priorities or facilitate the transmittal of relevant records to the Denied Persons File in the NICS Index—it can be used for proactively identifying persons prohibited from purchasing a firearm because of a history of domestic violence or mental health problems or whose records are not fingerprint-supported—it can help avoid many, many hours of unneeded research of records.

I also want to continue to emphasize the need for courts and prosecutors to be major players in the development of and improvements to criminal records systems. We are learning more and more each day about the distinct advantages of livescan right in the courtroom for insuring the timely and accurate transmittal of disposition information. We are learning more and more about the need to insure that non-prosecution outcomes are properly tagged to open arrest transactions. Since we know that large numbers of those arrested are not prosecuted, it is imperative that such information make it into the repository and be properly linked to the arrest charge.

In addition, it is important to stress the immense utility of the FBI's NIBRS system. If incident-level crime data could be linked to perpetrators, the criminal record could become not just a chronology of justice system transactions and outcomes, but a biography of the communal harm left behind by the offender. Such information would be of tremendous value in making pretrial release decisions, preparing presentence reports, assessing the appropriateness of aggravated sanctions, and helping to better understand the sentencing outcome and the role of prior record in judicial sentencing decisions. I would encourage all of you to learn more about NIBRS to enable you to build a crosswalk between records of crime and criminal records.

As always, BJS staff are available to assist you in planning for the upcoming year and preparing your application. Please feel free to contact me with any comments or suggestions on NCHIP at Larry.Greenfeld@usdoj.gov.

Again, thank you for your continued support and participation in this very important national effort to improve public safety.

Lawrence A. Greenfeld Director Bureau of Justice Statistics

## National Criminal History Improvement Program

The Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) is publishing this notice to announce the continuation of the National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP) in Fiscal Year 2004, identify the program priorities, and provide information on application requirements. Copies of this announcement can also be found on the Internet at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/

#### **Program goals**

The goal of the NCHIP grant program is to improve the Nation's safety and security by enhancing the quality, completeness, and accessibility of criminal history record information and by insuring the nationwide implementation of criminal justice and noncriminal justice background check systems. Achieving this goal is contingent upon accomplishing four objectives:

- providing direct financial and technical assistance to the States to improve their criminal records systems and other related systems to support background checks;
- ensuring the infrastructure is developed to connect each State's records systems to FBI records systems and, in turn, to connect each States' background check databases to one another;
- providing the training and technical assistance to States needed to insure that records systems are developed and managed to conform to FBI standards and the most appropriate technologies and that States adhere to

the highest standards of practice with respect to privacy and confidentiality; and.

 assessing and measuring through systematic evaluation and standardized performance measurement and statistics, the extent of progress in implementing improvements in Statelevel and national records holdings and background check systems.

The NCHIP program serves as an umbrella for various records improvements activities and funding streams each of which has unique goals and objectives. BJS strongly encourages States, as a basic principle of this program, to ensure the integrated functioning of records improvements initiatives, regardless of the funding source.

#### **Authorizing legislation**

In 2004 the appropriation for the NCHIP program was made pursuant to the Crime Identification Technology Act of 1998, and the procedures for applying for NCHIP grants reflect the provisions of that Act. In particular, State matching funds are required for NCHIP applications. The NCHIP program implements the grant provisions of —

- the Crime Identification Technology Act of 1998 (CITA), Pub. L. No. 105-251, 112 Stat. 1871 (1998), codified at 42 USC Section 14601 et seq.;
- the Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act (Brady Act), Pub. L. No. 103-159, 107 Stat.1536 (1993), codified as amended at 18 U.S.C. Section 921 et seq.;

- the National Child Protection Act of 1993 (NCPA), Pub. L. No. 103-209, 107 Stat. 2490 (1993), codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. Sections 3759, 5101 note, 5119, 5119a, 5119b, 5119c;
- those provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (Omnibus Act), Pub. L. No. 90-351, 82 Stat. 197 (1968), codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. Section 3711 et seq., as amended; and the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (Violent Crime Control Act), Pub. L. No. 103-322, 108 Stat. 1796 (1994), codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. Section 13701 et seq., which pertain to the establishment, maintenance, analysis, or use of criminal history records and criminal record systems:
- relevant requirements of the Jacob Wetterling Crimes Against Children and Sexually Violent Offender Registration Act, Pub. L. No. 103-322, 108 Stat. 2038, Megan's Law, Pub.L. No. 104-145, 110 Stat. 1345, and the Pam Lychner Sexual Offender Tracking and Identification Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-236, 110 Stat. 3093; and
- the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-386, 114 Stat. 1464 (2000) and related laws pertaining to the identification, collection, analysis and interstate exchange of records relating to domestic violence and stalking (including protection orders).

#### **Program history**

The NCHIP program was initiated in 1995 and has encompassed evolving efforts to support State activities for the

establishment of records systems and the collection and use of criminal history and related records. Since 1995 direct awards under the basic NCHIP (including awards to "priority" States and awards under the Advanced State Award program (ASAP)) totaled over \$438 million. In addition, \$6 million was transferred to the FBI for implementation of the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) and nearly \$18 million was awarded to provide direct technical assistance to States, to evaluate the program, and to collect statistics and research data on presale firearm checks.

The National Sex Offender Registry
Assistance Program (NSOR-AP) was added to NCHIP in FY 1998, with a \$25 million appropriation having the goal of assisting States in upgrading sex offender registries consistent with Federal and State standards and providing data to the FBI's National Sex Offender Registry. Starting in FY 1999, funding for State sex offender registries has been covered under the general NCHIP.

As part of the Violent Crime Control Act, the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 authorized a total of \$6 million for fiscal years 1996 through 1998 to improve processes for entering data on stalking and domestic violence into local, State, and national databases. The funds were incorporated into, and awarded under, the NCHIP program during those years. This program was re-authorized by the Violence Against Women Act of 2000 (Pub. L. No. 106-386, Section 8) at \$3 million per year for fiscal years 2001 through 2005 and funding was re-instituted in FY 2002.

To date, all States, the District of Columbia, and 5 U.S. Territories have received funds under NCHIP.

#### **Accomplishments**

NCHIP has provided support to States for —

- Record improvement and support for courts. All States have received funds under NCHIP to upgrade the quality of criminal history record systems. Funds have been awarded for acquisition of advanced equipment, development of software, and conversion of manual records to an automated format which permits instant access and linkage. Automated criminal records permit immediate access for law enforcement and other purposes such as background checks. BJS conducts surveys of criminal record holdings within the States every two years. The 2001 BJS survey indicated that States held approximately 64 million records on individuals. About 9 out of 10 of these records were automated and 3 out of 4 automated records were accessible for conducting presale firearms and other background checks. Trend data from prior surveys show that record quality and access to records has substantially increased as a consequence of NCHIP. Since the inception of NCHIP, the number of criminal history records held nationwide grew 29% while the number of automated records increased 35%. Over the same period, the number of records available for sharing under the FBI's Interstate Identification Index (III) climbed 75%.
- Participation in the Interstate Identification Index (III). To ensure compatibility, all record enhancements funded under NCHIP are required to conform to FBI standards for III participation. III participation is critical

- since it constitutes the primary system through which the FBI accesses stateheld data for NICS checks. In 1989 only 20 States were members of the FBI's III system which permits instant access to out-of-state data. By yearend 1993, 26 States were participants. As of March 2004, 47 States are members of III meeting the rigorous standards of the FBI for participation.
- Automation of records and fingerprint data. Funds have been used by States to establish automated fingerprint identification systems (AFIS) and to purchase livescan equipment for State and local agencies. AFIS systems enable States to conduct automated searches for records based on fingerprint characteristics and to interface with the FBI's Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification Systems (IAFIS). As of March 2004, 47 States, the District of Columbia, and 3 territories (American Samoa, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands) participate in IAFIS, which became operational in July 1999. In addition to ensuring that records are properly matched to the correct offender, AFIS minimizes the time and manpower required for searching fingerprint databases, which facilitates matching of latent prints obtained at a crime scene. Livescan equipment permits law enforcement to take fingerprints without use of inkpads or other similar procedures and to electronically transfer fingerprints to the State's AFIS for comparison and matching against State and FBI held prints. Almost all States have received NCHIP funds to use in connection with fingerprint automation systems.
- National instant background check.
   The Brady Act requires that a background check be conducted using the FBI's NICS to identify potential

purchasers who are prohibited from purchasing firearms. The effectiveness of the system is dependent on the extent to which complete records are available in response to system inquiries. NCHIP funds have been used to increase the number of records which are accessible to the system and to fund the development of court systems to ensure that records include final dispositions.

The NICS is now supporting nearly 8 million checks annually at the presale stage of firearms purchases. From the inception of the Brady Act on March 1, 1994 to December 31, 2002. nearly 46 million applications for firearm transfers were subject to background checks. Approximately 976,000, or 2.1% of all applications. were rejected, primarily for the presence of a prior felony conviction history but also, in a growing proportion of checks, for non-felony prohibiting background factors enumerated in the 1968 Gun Control Act as amended. State and local agencies maintain a significant role in background checks, having conducted checks on almost half of the applications for firearm transfers or permits in 2002, while the FBI was responsible for the remainder. NCHIP funds have facilitated the integration of databases within States - the number of rejections for reasons other than felony convictions increased 294% from the first year of the Brady Act to 2002.

 Sex Offender Registry. Beginning in FY 1998, NCHIP funds have been provided to assist States in developing and enhancing the operation of State sex offender registries that include information on convicted sex offenders. These funds have been used for purchase of equipment, training, and development of procedures required to ensure that released offenders are registered with proper authorities and that State systems are capable of interfacing with the FBI's National Sex Offender Registry system. The FBI's permanent National Sex Offender Registry became operational in July 1999.

As of March 2004, all 50 States, the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands were contributing to the system which has more than 329,000 records.

Domestic violence records/protection orders. NCHIP has put special emphasis on ensuring that domestic violence-related offenses are included in criminal records. The Federal Gun Control Act as amended prohibits sales of firearms to persons subject to a qualifying domestic violence related protection order or convicted of a qualifying domestic violence misdemeanor. Funds have specifically been awarded for development of State protection order files that are compatible with the FBI's national file so as to permit interstate enforcement of protection orders and the denial of firearm transfers to prohibited persons subject to a protection order. As of March 2004, 45 States, the District of Columbia, and the U.S. Virgin Islands submit data to the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) Protection Order File, which became operational in May 1997 and includes nearly 846,000 records of protection orders.

#### **Program priorities**

(1) Commitment to establishing the infrastructure to support full implementation of the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS), including commitment to full participation in the Interstate Identification Index (III)

Consistent with the Brady Act, the FBI's NICS became operational in November 1998 and provides instant background checks to determine if a potential firearm purchaser is a convicted felon or otherwise prohibited from purchasing a firearm under Federal or State statute. The effectiveness of NICS depends on the extent to which the most accurate and complete records of Federal and State criminal offenses and records in other prohibiting categories are instantly available in response to inquiries from firearms dealers.

To ensure that checks are made against the most current and complete records, the NICS configuration encourages States to serve as a "Point of Contact" (POC) interfacing between firearm dealers and the FBI's national record system. NCHIP recognizes the national benefits which accrue from States serving as POC's and encourages States to serve in this role by allowing funds to be used to cover costs associated with establishment of the capability to serve as a POC.

Since inception, and as currently authorized under CITA, NCHIP is designed to assist States in developing the infrastructure which will support operation of the NICS. Accordingly, the program does not cover operating costs associated with NICS participation. States are encouraged, however, to use NCHIP funds to develop systemic

solutions to minimize delays in instant responses to NICS inquiries (for details, see *Allowable costs* section below). Use of funds to enhance the database by including missing dispositions would, for example, be appropriate in pursuing this priority funding topic as described in the following section, *Commitment to support court efforts*.

The underlying system supporting FBI responses to NICS inquiries is the III. At present, 47 States participate in the system. Full participation in III is a key goal of NCHIP, and States which are not participating must include in their NCHIP applications a discussion of their III status and plan for future participation.

# (2) Commitment to support court efforts relating to development of record systems

Whenever a criminal history record shows an arrest without a disposition, there is the potential for delay in responding to presale firearm inquiries under the NICS, since disqualification, in most cases, is dependent upon a finding of a conviction rather than a simple arrest. In addition, immediate access to protection orders on an interstate basis is vital for protection of victims of domestic violence.

For this reason, all applications are required to demonstrate that court needs have been considered. If no funds for upgrading court systems capable of providing disposition data are requested, applicants must include a letter from the State court administrator or Chief Justice indicating that the courts have been consulted in connection with the application. The category of allowable costs has been expanded to cover costs of implementing all court system enhancements which will result in improved disposition availability and linkage with the State criminal history record system.

In addition, all States must specifically discuss the technical and policy issues which limit the State's ability to provide disposition data in response to NICS inquiries within the required NICS timeframe. The application should also describe procedures which will be followed to ameliorate this problem both in the long term and within the current grant period and the extent to which NCHIP funds will be used for this purpose, including, where appropriate, the allocation of some portion of NCHIP funds to court or county clerks, or other relevant agencies, to cover costs of accessing dispositions and adding such dispositions to the State automated database. (For further guidance, refer to sections, Allowable costs and Application requirements, below.)

## (3) Including mental health records in background check systems

The 1968 Gun Control Act as amended indicates that persons who are adjudicated as mentally defective or have been involuntarily committed to a mental institution are ineligible to purchase a firearm. Some POC States include a check of such records during the course of a presale background check.

NCHIP encourages applicants to consider incorporating mental health data checks into their own background check systems. NCHIP also encourages applicants to transmit such records, or a notice of the existence of such records, to the FBI NICS Index. This will ensure that prohibiting mental health records are available when the FBI is conducting background checks regardless of the State in which the record originated.

BJS recognizes the privacy implications associated with access to mental health records and is willing to assist States in developing solutions that address privacy and confidentiality concerns.

#### (4) Facilitating NICS checks

Denied persons files

Another potential area for funding is the development and transmittal of records to the NICS Index Denied Persons File. The Denied Persons File is a file of federally disqualifying records that are not otherwise entered into NCIC, III, or the NICS Index. This includes misdemeanor crimes of domestic violence, warrants that do not meet the criteria for entry into NCIC, active protection orders not available in NCIC, and non-fingerprint supported criminal history records. If under State law, a criminal justice agency is prohibited from providing mental health records to the NICS mental health file. NCHIP funds may be used to enter the names of persons prohibited under the mental health prohibition into the Denied Persons File. This file is an important resource for improving both the timeliness of NICS checks and the efficiency that comes from avoiding unneeded record research.

Addressing "open arrests" in older records

Researching open arrests has become a very time-consuming and burdensome activity for localities. A more coordinated approach to building the national identification infrastructure will help rectify this problem. The FBI conducted a study of a week's worth of failed instant checks to learn more about the characteristics of the problem of open arrests. The study demonstrated that about three-quarters of the problem records had the last arrest prior to the initiation of NCHIP and more than half had the last arrest occurring before 1984. In other words, open arrest problems are most often occurring when an older record is being checked. Better development and use of denied persons files should avert recurring checks of the same person.

## (5) Developing record systems for protection order files and records of domestic violence

The Federal Gun Control Act prohibits sales of firearms to persons subject to a qualifying protection order related to domestic violence and persons convicted of a qualifying domestic violence misdemeanor. Complete records in each of these categories must promptly be made accessible to the POC and the FBI to ensure that NICS checks identify persons covered by these prohibitions. The time-sensitivity and differences of protection orders issued by different courts increase the complexity of developing a protection order system which is complete and up-to-date.

Establishment of accessible files of domestic violence related misdemeanors is also difficult since misdemeanor data have not traditionally been maintained at the State-level and domestic violence related offenses may be difficult to distinguish from misdemeanors classified as assaults. In light of the complexity associated with efforts in this area, and the importance of these data to protecting public safety, NCHIP strongly encourages States to focus on the development of these record systems and to ensure that such systems meet the needs of those agencies which have been charged with responsibility relating to domestic violence.

# (6) Strengthening records to improve national security standards and avert terrorism

The need to ensure the security of government facilities and records has put substantial strain on the manpower and resources of most States. As the Nation develops strategies to prevent future terrorist events, it is clear that access to accurate and complete records and the

availability of automated fingerprint identification systems are critical to support the wide variety of background checks necessary to protect public safety.

NCHIP will focus on initiatives, using criminal records, to support anti-terrorism. Concerns about the adequacy of redundant and backup records systems, building better ties between immigration and criminal records, and better coordination with homeland defense and emergency management agencies within the States are all matters on which States may wish to focus interest. However, it is important to reiterate that all of these efforts must center on the development of better records systems or towards the improvement of accessibility or utility of records systems.

# (7) Promoting enhanced access to criminal records for noncriminal justice purposes, including implementation of the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact

The demand for criminal record background checks on persons seeking positions involving national security, fiscal responsibility, and responsibility for children, the elderly, the disabled and other vulnerable populations has increased markedly in recent years, reflecting changes in technology and the increased dependence on available information wherever it may be held. In keeping with the provisions of CITA, NCHIP recognizes the need to facilitate such background checks, consistent with applicable limitations of State and Federal law and policy.

To support the accessibility of information on an interstate basis, the Interstate Crime Control and Privacy Compact was enacted in 1998 as part of CITA. As of January 2004, 21 States have enacted the compact. Seven States (as of March 2004) have started implementation of the National Fingerprint File (NFF) which constitutes the technological basis for implementation of the Compact.

The Compact provides the framework for exchange of criminal record data, using the III system, in response to fingerprint-based inquiries for noncriminal justice purposes. NCHIP supports State efforts to establish the technology and policies necessary for participation in the Compact and encourages States to consider protocols, including funding for necessary equipment, training, and outreach, to assist organizations engaged in activities involving vulnerable populations to meet the requirements for interstate background checks.

# (8) Implementing new record-keeping requirements for checks conducted by the National Instant Criminal Background Check System

The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2004 (Pub. L. No. 108-199), Section 617, requires the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) to destroy "any identifying information submitted by or on behalf of any person who has been determined not to be prohibited from possessing or receiving a firearm no more than 24 hours after the system advises a Federal firearms licensee that possession or receipt of a firearm by the prospective transferee" would not violate Federal or State law. The law requires the implementation of this provision by July 21, 2004, 180 days after January 23, 2004, the day the bill was signed into law.

The FBI is consulting with State agencies that act as a Point of Contact (POC) for the NICS about changes in requirements for POC participation in the system that will be necessary to comply with this new legal requirement. Specifically, the FBI anticipates that implementation of this new requirement will necessitate that State POC's provide additional information to the FBI on the status of POC transactions. State POC's may apply for NCHIP funds to cover expenses

for necessary changes in programming or operations to enable the NICS to comply with this provision of Pub. L. No. 108-199. BJS will ensure the timely processing of applications by States seeking funds for this purpose.

#### **Appropriation**

The NCHIP appropriation under CITA is \$29.7 million, with an additional \$2.95 million appropriated for the purposes described in the Violence Against Women Act of 1994 and the Violence Against Women Act of 2000. Consistent with the legislative goal of CITA, and with the ongoing NCHIP policy, NCHIP allows States to determine task areas they expect will most improve operations of the criminal justice system and will result in maximum benefits to the citizens of the applicant State.

#### **Eligibility requirements**

An award will be made to each eligible applicant State with funds from the FY 2004 appropriation. The NCHIP application must be submitted by the agency designated by the Governor to administer the NCHIP program. States may choose to submit applications as part of a multi-state consortium or other entity. In such cases, please contact your BJS program manager for further information.

#### Review criteria

States should understand that full funding may not be possible for all proposed activities. Allocation of funds will be based on the amount requested and the following factors:

(1) the extent to which funds will support/enhance participation in NICS, III, the National Sex Offender Registry, the NCIC Protection Order File, the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact, and other related Federal and State systems;

- (2) the extent to which improvements in the State system, by virtue of record numbers, levels of technical development, or operating procedures, will have a major impact on availability of records throughout the national system;
- (3) the proposed use or enhancement of innovative procedures which may be of value to other jurisdictions;
- (4) the technical feasibility of the proposal and the extent to which the proposal appears reasonable in light of the State's current level of system development and statutory framework;
- (5) the total amount already awarded under previous NCHIP and NSOR-AP program announcements:
- (6) the extent to which the State has fulfilled goals of previous NCHIP awards, including NSOR-AP awards, expended funds in previous awards, and demonstrated a commitment to criminal history record improvement through activities under the program;
- (7) State commitment to the national record system as evidenced by membership in III and participation in the FBI's NFF, and the current status of development of its criminal history records and the National Sex Offender Registry;
- (8) reasonableness of the budget;
- (9) evidence of State progress in meeting record improvement and background check goals as measured in terms of audits, and meeting data collection goals relating to presale firearm checks and background checks on

- persons seeking positions involving children, the elderly, and the disabled;
- (10) appropriate focus on criminal history data improvement regarding protection orders and crimes against children, the elderly, and the disabled;
- (11) nature of the proposed expenditures;
- (12) the extent to which the application recognizes the role of the courts in ensuring complete records; and
- (13) the reasonableness of the relationship between the proposed activities and the current status of the State system, in terms of technical development, legislation, current fiscal demands, and future operating costs.

#### Allowable costs

Allowable expenses are detailed below. All expenses are allowable only to the extent that they directly relate to programs described in the application's program narrative.

- (1) Participation in III. This is a key goal.
  Covered costs include, but are not limited to, costs associated with automation of the database, synchronization of records between the State and the FBI, and development of necessary software and hardware enabling electronic access on an intrastate or interstate basis.
- (2) **Database enhancement.** Improving the quality, completeness, and accuracy of criminal history and sex offender and protection order records is a key goal of the NCHIP effort with particular focus on automating the interface between the record repository and prosecutors, courts, and corrections.

Allowable costs include the costs associated with implementing improved criminal history record capture procedures (including complete arrest reporting and researching missing dispositions, provided that the captured data are subsequently included in the permanent automated database), establishing more effective accuracy controls, and ensuring that records of all criminal events that start with an arrest or indictment are included in the database. BJS anticipates that in many cases accomplishing this goal will entail direct transfer of funds from the designated NCHIP agency to the courts.

Funds may be used to capture data on domestic violence misdemeanor convictions and to ensure that data on persons convicted of abuse of children, the elderly, and the disabled and/or stalking and domestic violence offenses (including protection orders and violations thereof) are included in the database.

Funds may also be used to automate and/or upgrade accuracy and completeness of the State sex offender registry. Eligible costs include automating linkages between the registry and law enforcement agencies within the State, and developing and implementing procedures to transfer information to the FBI. Funds may be used to develop and implement procedures, software and training and to ensure that changes in status and offender location are accurately captured and recorded. Funds may only be used for procedures that are compatible with the National Sex

Offender Registry and related systems.

States may also use funds to identify and develop access to data on other categories of persons prohibited from firearm purchase under amendments to the Gun Control Act (18 U.S.C. Section 922), as incorporated in the Brady Act. States proposing use of funds for these purposes must demonstrate in the application that necessary protections for individual privacy will be incorporated in the proposed procedures.

Funds provided to courts or prosecutors are allowable where the function to be supported can be expected to improve the capture of dispositions or other data relating to the offender record. (See Commitment to support court efforts relating to development of record systems section, above.) This would include implementation of, or upgrades to, record systems which facilitate immediate identification of disposition records, provided the automated disposition records are accessible for State- or Federal-level criminal history inquiries.

NCHIP funds may be used to establish operational back-up systems for use in the event of failure of the primary criminal history record system.

(3) Flagging of records. Funds may be used to upgrade the accessibility of records through flagging for presale firearms and preemployment checks. Allowable costs include costs of flagging or algorithms used for flagging of felony records and records of persons with convictions for crimes involving children, the elderly, and/or the disabled, as well as records of persons convicted of crimes involving domestic violence and/or stalking.

Costs may include the cost of technical record flagging and the costs associated with identification of records to be flagged (see [17] below regarding interface with NIBRS).

In support of the National Sex
Offender Registry, funds may also
be used for review of existing
records to identify, flag, and
transmit data on previously
released offenders who qualify for
inclusion in the National Sex
Offender Registry. This may
include a review of juvenile records
where consistent with State law or
practice.

(4) Participation in the NICS. NCHIP funds may be used to enable the State to participate in the NICS consistent with the provisions of 18 U.S.C. Section 922 (t) and funds may be used to undertake activities to improve or ameliorate any problem that is delaying instant responses to NICS inquiries. Allowable expenditures include, but are not limited to, costs necessary to enable the State to serve as a POC under the NICS system (including costs of equipment, software, personnel training, and development and implementation of related operating and administrative procedures).

Funds may not be used to cover ongoing costs of presale firearm background checks, but may be used to pay costs associated with capturing dispositions in response to a specific NICS inquiry, provided that the captured data is entered into the automated State and FBI system and serves to upgrade the permanent quality of the record systems.

- (5) **Firearm permits.** NCHIP funds may be used to comply with Brady Act provisions pursuant to an ATF-approved firearm permit system and to develop and implement procedures to review the currency of firearm permits and/or to provide appropriate notification when permits are revoked.
- (6) Prosecution of firearm sale denials. NCHIP funds may be used to study the extent to which persons denied a firearm pursuant to a presale background check are prosecuted at the State or local level. Allowable costs include both costs associated with the design and implementation of a research/statistical study and costs associated with establishing and implementing a protocol for regular collection of data on this issue. Funds may also be used to develop software to enable States to transfer records of denied firearm purchasers to the FBI or to State or local offices for prosecution.
- (7) Record enhancement and support of anti-terrorism and national **security systems.** Support for the national effort to improve homeland security is a critical goal of NCHIP and record managers are encouraged to develop appropriate liaisons with State homeland security leaders. To support these efforts, funds may be used to coordinate State criminal records with other record systems, including immigration records, and to develop protocols for record exchange and linkage. Funds may also be used to enhance the utility of criminal records by facilitating access to records for purposes of background checks on persons seeking sensitive positions. Funds may only be used, however, for activities which focus on improving the development of complete, accessible, and useful

records which provide support for the national systems administered by the FBI.

- (8)Protection order file. Costs (including equipment, software, training, and procedural development) associated with development and enhancement of protection order files and with interface with the FBI's NCIC Protection Order File are covered. Protection order files supported with NCHIP funds must be compatible with the FBI file, and the application must indicate that records are presently being submitted to the FBI or indicate the date upon which that submission will commence. Funds are only allowable to support development of local protection order files where data in such files are or will become directly accessible throughout the State and available to the FBI's national system.
- (9)Interface between criminal history records, sex offender registry, and civil protection order files. To ensure that. consistent with State law, a complete data review is possible in connection with background checks for child care or other authorized purposes, funds may be used to develop software to establish protocols to permit interface between the criminal history record system, the State sex offender registry, and related protection order files including files of civil protection orders.
- (10) Uniform RAP sheet format. The FBI has recently endorsed a format and standards for transmission of a uniform RAP sheet (text version of a person's criminal history record) among States. The format reflects

efforts initiated under the BJS/SEARCH Task Force on Uniform RAP Sheet Standards. Funds are available to assist States in converting State criminal history records to the FBI standard interstate RAP sheet format or for developing electronic interchange capabilities related thereto.

(11) Compatibility with State and Federal systems. Funds may be used to ensure compatibility with Federal record systems, such as III, and to implement statewide integrated system strategies which interface all components of the criminal justice system, including law enforcement, prosecutors, courts, and corrections, to the extent that such expenditures improve the availability of criminal record data, including protection orders.

Systems funded under NCHIP must be compatible with FBI standards for national data systems, such as NIBRS, NCIC-2000, NICS, IAFIS, the NCIC Protection Order File, and the National Sex Offender Registry. NCHIP funds may not be used to support studies, analysis, design, or development of State integrated systems strategies.

- (12) Record automation. Allowable costs include conversion of manual or other nonautomated records to electronic records. Records automated to include court dispositions, using NCHIP funds, must be accessible to State- and Federal-level criminal history inquiries.
- (13) **Privacy.** The advance of criminal record technology increases the availability of identifiable records and raises significant issues of privacy. NCHIP funds may be used to cover costs associated with the analysis of

privacy issues and the development and implementation of privacy protocols.

- (14)AFIS/livescan. Funds may be used to purchase equipment, develop procedures, and implement protocols related to activities involving the AFIS, repository, and the operation of the registry. This may include purchase of livescan equipment for local agencies. Where funds are to be used for this purpose, the application should demonstrate that funds can be justified on the basis of geographic, population, traffic, or other related factors. Livescan can only be purchased where the State has established an AFIS and either has implemented or is implementing procedures to ensure that the AFIS is compatible with FBI standards.
- Participation in the NSOR. The (15)NCHIP program is intended to support the National Sex Offender Registry (NSOR) by assisting States to develop and enhance State registries that feed into the national system to ensure compliance with requirements set forth in State and Federal legislation (the Wetterling Act, Megan's Law, and the Lychner Act). Allowable expenditures include costs of equipment, software, personnel training, and development and implementation of related operating and administrative procedures.

Costs of regular operating expenditures are not covered under the program.

Sex offender registry files supported with NCHIP funds must be compatible with the FBI file.

Funds are only allowable to support development of local sex offender registries where data in such files are or will become directly accessible throughout the State and available to the FBI's national system.

Funds may be used to purchase equipment and develop software to permit the disclosure of registry data in connection with background checks or other purposes as authorized by State or Federal legislation.

NCHIP funds are available to create a linkage between the State data file and systems accessed by local law enforcement officers. Funds may not be used to purchase equipment for use by individual law enforcement officers.

capability. In support of sex offender registry operations, funds may be used for the purchase of equipment and the development/implementation of procedures to include mugshots of registrants for use either within the State or for transmission to the FBI at such time as that capability becomes available. Mugshots support community notification and law enforcement use of the registry as a tool for identification and apprehension of suspects.

States requesting funds for this use must justify the location of the equipment in terms of geography, population, traffic, and demography and ensure that equipment to be used at the local or county level include the capability of transmitting images to the registry for use throughout the State. All equipment and software purchased or developed with funds under the award must be compatible with FBI standards.

- (17) Interface with NIBRS. Funds may be used to interface with any State data system that is compatible with NIBRS for purposes of identifying persons convicted of crimes against children, the elderly, or the disabled; sex offenses; crimes involving domestic violence and/or stalking; and/or identification of records involving firearm crimes for operational or research purposes. NCHIP funds are not available, however, to develop the NIBRS database.
- Research, evaluation, (18)monitoring, and audits. Costs associated with research or evaluation efforts are allowable to the extent that they are directly associated with a project approved in the application. Costs associated with monitoring State compliance with legislative or programmatic goals through ongoing or periodic audits or other procedures are allowable and encouraged. The purchase of equipment such as modems and the necessary communications and data software for storing and transmitting evaluative data between States and to BJS or other designated Federal agencies is an allowable expense.
- (19) Conversion of juvenile records to the adult system. Federal regulations allow the FBI to accept juvenile records if submitted by the State or local arresting agency. Expenditures to interface juvenile and adult records are allowable if consistent with relevant State law and undertaken to further the goals of the NCHIP program.
- (20) Missing dispositions backlog reduction. These costs are

- allowable to improve the level of disposition completeness including older arrest records. States must also propose a strategy to prevent future backlogs from developing.
- (21) Equipment upgrades. Upgrade costs are allowable where related to improving availability of data and where appropriate given the level of data completeness and participation in national records systems. Replacement costs will be considered, but States are encouraged to contribute some portion of the total costs.
- (22) Training and participation in seminars and conferences. Limited funds may be used to cover costs of training and participation in State, regional, or national meetings (including travel, where necessary).
- (23) Reducing cost of background checks. States may use funds to develop and implement technologies that lower costs of conducting background checks. These funds may also be used to pay all or part of the State's cost of conducting background checks on persons who are employed by or volunteer with a public, not-for-profit, or other voluntary organization to reduce the amount of fees charged for such background checks, consistent with 42 U.S.C. 5119(b).
- (24) Adoption and implementation of the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact. The Compact was enacted as Title II of CITA. Funds may be used to cover costs associated with State review and enactment of the Compact and with development and implementation of procedures (including purchase of equipment and development of

software) necessary to facilitate operations pursuant to Compact protocols, including efforts relating to participation in the FBI's NFF.

Records of approved sales. The (25)Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2004 (Pub. L. No. 108-199), Section 617, established new record-keeping requirements for NICS transactions in which the dealer has been given a "proceed" response by the system. Consistent with FBI procedures established to comply with this Act, POC States may utilize NCHIP funds to implement programming or operational changes in records management necessary to comply with these new requirements.

#### **Application submission due date**

Applications may be submitted at any time after publication of this announcement. Applications must be received by April 30, 2004, to be eligible for funding in FY 2004. Awards are expected to be made by August 30, 2004. As these will be new awards this year, please check the *Initial Award* box when completing your application on the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).

#### **Award period**

Awards will be made for up to 12 months. Since the program builds on long-term NCHIP activity, States will have the flexibility to begin funded activities immediately upon award or as late as October 1, 2005. All activities must be scheduled to be completed by September 30, 2006. FY 2004 projects may overlap with FY 2003 projects or may run consecutively.

#### Performance measurement

The NCHIP program is continually assessing the status of State record-holdings and State participation in national records systems. BJS requires applicants to provide performance data which are used to measure the progress and achievements of the program.

Applicants agree to —

(1) provide information, quantitative where available, as part of the program narrative on results achieved under the program (see pages 19 and 20 for details); (2) provide data to the Records Quality Index (RQI) performance monitoring system; (3) provide Brady Act related data to the Firearm Inquiry Statistics Program (FIST) in a prescribed format; and (4) respond in a timely manner to informational requests and formal evaluations sponsored by BJS and/or the FBI.

#### **Application Requirements**

The Office of Justice Programs (OJP) requires that funding applications be submitted through the OJP Grants Management System (GMS). Access through the Internet to this online application system will expedite and streamline the receipt, review, and processing of funding requests. Applications will only be accepted through the GMS online application system.

Applicants must submit the following —

- Application for Federal Assistance
- Assurances and Certificates
- Program Attachments:

Attachment #1: Budget Detail Worksheet with budget narrative

Attachment #2: Program Narrative (including accomplishments, current

status of program goals, description of tasks to be funded, unexpended funds, and coordination activities)

Attachment #3: Other Program
Attachments (including
documentation relating to court
participation, notification letter to
the State Information Technology
Point of Contact, and other written
certifications)

Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424). The SF-424 is a standard form used by most Federal agencies. It contains 18 items that are to be completed online in the Overview, Applicant Information, and Project Information sections of the OJP GMS.

#### **Assurances and Certificates.**

Applicants are required to review, accept, and "sign off" on these assurances and certifications electronically through GMS. Please verify that the name, address, phone number, fax number, and e-mail address of the authorizing official on these online forms are correct.

Assurances. The applicant must comply with assurances in order to receive Federal funds under this program. It is the responsibility of the recipient of Federal funds to fully understand and comply with these requirements. Failure to comply may result in withholding of funds, termination of the award, or other sanctions.

Certifications Regarding Lobbying: Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters; and the Drug-Free Workplace Requirement. Applicants are required to review and check off the box on the certification form included in their online

application process. This form commits the applicant to compliance with the certification requirements under 28 CFR Part 69, "New Restrictions on Lobbying," and 28 CFR Part 67, "A Government-Wide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement) and Government-Wide Requirements for Drug-Free Workplace (Grants)."

#### **Budget Detail Worksheet (Attachment #1)**

Applications must provide a detailed justification for all costs, including the basis for computation of these costs. For example, the detailed budget would include the salaries of staff involved in the project and the portion of those salaries to be paid from the award; fringe benefits paid to each staff person; travel costs related to the project; equipment to be purchased with the award funds; and supplies required to complete the project.

Budget narrative. The budget narrative should detail costs included in each budget category for the Federal and the non-Federal (in-kind and cash) share. The purpose of the budget narrative is to relate items budgeted to project activities and to provide justification and explanation for budget items, including criteria and data used to arrive at the estimates for each budget category. The budget narrative should also indicate amounts to be made available to subrecipient agencies (under Contractual/Contracts category) other than the direct award recipient. The following is provided to assist in developing the budget narrative:

a. Personnel category. List each position by title (and name of employee if available), show annual salary rate and percentage of time to be devoted to the project by the employee. Compensation paid for employees engaged in Federally-assisted activities must be consistent with that paid for similar work within

the applicant organization.

- b. Fringe benefits category.
  Indicate each type of benefit
  included and explain how the total
  cost allowable for employees
  assigned to the project is
  computed.
- c. Travel category. Itemize travel expenses of project personnel by purpose (e.g., faculty to training site, field interviews, advisory group meetings) and show basis of computation. For example, "Five trips for x purpose at \$80 average cost \$50 transportation and two days per diem at \$15" or "Six people to 3-day meeting at \$70 transportation and \$45 subsistence." In training projects where travel and subsistence for trainees is included, this should be separately listed indicating the number of trainees and the unit costs involved.
- (1) Identify the tentative location of all training sessions, meetings, and other travel.
- (2) Travel costs are allowable as expenses by employees who are in travel status on official business. These costs must be in accordance with the Federal or an organizationally-approved travel policy.
- (3) Recipients may follow their own established travel rates. If a recipient does not have an established travel policy, the recipient must abide by the Federal travel policy. Subrecipients of States must follow their State's established travel policy. If a State does not have an established travel policy, the subrecipient must

- abide by the Federal travel rates.
- d. *Equipment*. List each type of equipment to be purchased or rented with unit or monthly costs.
- e. Supplies. List items within this category by major type (office supplies, training materials, research forms, postage) and show basis for computation. Provide unit or monthly estimates.
- f. Contractual category. State the selection basis for any contract, subcontract, prospective contract or prospective subcontract. Please note, applications that include noncompetitive contracts for the provision of specific services must contain a sole source justification for any procurement in excess of \$100,000. For individuals to be reimbursed for personal services on a fee basis, list by name or type of consultant or service, the proposed fee (by day, week, or hour) and the amounts of time to be devoted to such services. For construction contracts and organization (including professional associations and education institutions performing professional services), indicate the type of service to be performed and the estimated contract cost data.
- g. Other category. Includes items such as rent, reproduction, telephone, and janitorial or security services. List items by major type with basis of computation shown. (Provide square footage and cost per square foot for rent. Provide local and long distance telephone charges separately.)
- h. *Indirect charges category.* The Agency may accept an indirect cost rate previously approved for an applicant by a Federal agency.

Applicants must enclose a copy of the approved rate agreement with the grant application.

i. Program income. If applicable, provide a detailed estimate of the amount of program income to be generated during the grant period and its proposed application to reduce the cost of the project or to increase the scope of the project. Also, describe the source of program income, listing the rental rates to be obtained, sale prices of publications supported by grant funds, and registration fees charged for particular sessions. If scholarships (covering, for example, registration fees) are awarded by the organization to certain conference attendees, the application should identify the percentage of all attendees that are projected as "scholarship" cases and the precise criteria for their selection.

#### **Program Narrative (Attachment #2)**

The program narrative must include the following three sections:

- Background and identification of needs, including accomplishments and current status of program goals
- (2) Description of tasks to be funded
- (3) Coordination activities

States may, at their option, satisfy requirements noted below by referencing or summarizing previous NCHIP applications.

## Section 1: Background and identification of needs

Accomplishments. Applicants must provide a detailed summary of the accomplishments achieved with funding under NCHIP. This section should describe, in quantifiable terms if possible, results achieved and advances made since the inception of NCHIP. Specifically address accomplishments relating to participation in each of the national databases and initiatives (i.e. III, NICS, NCIC Protection Order File, National Sex Offender Registry, IAFIS, mental health records, and livescan/AFIS capability). Where relevant, reference should be made to surveys and audits.

Current status of program goals. This section should include a short update of current efforts relating to improvement of criminal history records, protection order data, or sex offender registries (including efforts supported under OJP and other Federal or State funded programs) and should discuss any evaluative efforts undertaken to identify the key areas of weakness in the State's criminal record system since submission of previous NCHIP applications. The applicant should specifically describe the status of State participation in the NICS, the III, the National Sex Offender Registry, and the NCIC Protection Order File.

Please address each of the following subject areas in your application and include current number of records in each system, where applicable.

Interstate Identification Index (III). States that are not members of III at the time of application must identify the tasks remaining to permit III participation and indicate whether available funding is adequate and the planned month and year of participation in III. If available funding is not adequate, the State is expected to apply for NCHIP funding that will permit the State to participate in III. Mental health records availability. States should indicate whether their mental health records are checked, either by the State POC

or the FBI, during a NICS check. Where mental health records are accessible, please include the number of records currently available and any plans to improve availability. If mental health records are not currently accessible at the time of a background check, please describe factors which limit or prohibit exchange of mental health records.

Protection order files. States should indicate whether they submit information for inclusion in the FBI's NCIC Protection Order file. States that submit protection orders should indicate the number of active protection orders provided to the FBI. States that do not submit protection orders should describe the prohibiting factors and any plans to overcome them.

Sex offender registry. State applications should discuss the extent to which the State provides information to the FBI's NCIC Sex Offender Registry. States that do not submit sex offender information should describe the prohibiting factors and any plans to overcome them.

<u>Denied persons file.</u> State applications should discuss the extent to which the State provides information to the FBI's Denied Persons File in the NICS Index. States that do not submit information to this file should describe the prohibiting factors and any plans to overcome them.

NICS inquiries. The application must also discuss the extent to which dispositions requested in connection with a NICS inquiry have not been provided within the required time frame, and must identify any problems that are delaying instant responses to NICS inquiries and identify proposed solutions to these problems. Particular attention should be directed at needs of courts in connection with capture of disposition data.

### Section 2: Description of tasks to be funded under NCHIP

Please describe the activities to be conducted with NCHIP funds over the project period and specifically address each of the priority areas (identified under *Program priorities*). States not requesting money in priority areas must indicate in the application that alternative efforts are being undertaken to achieve overall priority goals. (Reference may be made to accomplishments or current status to alleviate duplication.)

NICS. To support operation of the NICS, each application must also indicate those activities which are designed to improve the effective operation of the NICS, including in particular, the ability to capture dispositions associated with arrests identified in NICS inquiries and to promptly enter such dispositions in the appropriate automated State and FBI records. States which do not propose funding for this purpose should justify that decision by demonstrating alternative means which are, or will be, followed to ensure rapid responses to NICS inquiries.

Courts. In recognition of the importance of court reporting to the development of complete and accurate criminal records, all applications must describe tasks and indicate the level of funds that will be made directly available to the courts. (See preceding discussion under *Priorities*.) Where no funds are provided for court-directed disposition reporting activities, a certification by the appropriate State court official declining participation must be included with this section.

Performance measures. To permit assessment of State progress in meeting program goals, this section should set forth measurable benchmarks or goals for each proposed activity and describe audits or other evaluative efforts such as statistical analysis or comparison between computerized

evaluative efforts such as statistical analysis or comparison between computerized criminal history records and NIBRS or Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) data. Studies relating to handgun sales or prosecution of persons rejected for firearm purchase based on a NICS check, if proposed, should be described in this section.

<u>Budget.</u> The budget should provide details for expenses in required categories (including match) <u>and by individual task.</u> The application should identify those agencies to receive direct funding and indicate the fiscal arrangements to accomplish fund transfer.

Match. The authorizing legislation of NCHIP requires a 10% match. This section should include a description of activities, with accompanying fiscal implications, which the State indicates will serve as the match for activities funded under NCHIP. BJS will consider all efforts which are designed to meet program goals, as set forth in the Program Announcement to be allowable in support of the 10% match requirement.

<u>Unexpended funds.</u> The application should describe the specific reasons that funds remain unexpended.

Compatibility with other systems. The application should describe the extent to which proposed activities are compatible with NIBRS, NCIC 2000, NICS, IAFIS, and other applicable statewide or regional criminal justice information sharing standards or plans including State antiterrorism plans.

#### **Section 3: Coordination**

The administering NCHIP agency should coordinate efforts with relevant emergency management task forces and agencies to ensure that records development activities are compatible with security measures for preventing acts of terrorism. NCHIP activities will be coordinated with other OJP efforts authorized and funded under CITA.

To encourage coordination and information sharing among criminal justice systems, all OJP awards supporting information technology development are being special conditioned to require that a description of the project be submitted to the State Information Technology Point of Contact (POC), if one has been designated.

The name and address of the State POC can be obtained at 1-800-421-6770 or at the OJP Executive Council webpage <a href="http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ec/states.htm">http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ec/states.htm</a>. A copy of the correspondence can be submitted as part of Attachment #3 (see below) or sent directly to BJS prior to fund drawdown. There is no requirement that the point of contact concur with the information technology project. The intent of this condition is to facilitate communication within the State.

## Other Program Attachments (Attachment #3)

The following required documents should be submitted as a single file attachment:

- letter of support/commitment from the courts (if no funds are going to the courts);
- statement/memo indicating that funds are going to the courts (including amount of funds);

- letter to the State Information Technology POC describing the current application request, as referenced above; and
- as required by CITA, the authorizing legislation for the NCHIP program, a statement certifying that: (1) the State is either currently participating in the Interstate Identification Index (III) or actively working toward such membership, and, (2) has initiated, or will initiate, a comprehensive strategy for statewide information sharing which *emphasizes* the integration of all criminal justice system components — law enforcement, courts, prosecution, corrections, and probation and parole.

## Quick-Start Guide to Using the Office of Justice Programs Online Grants Management System (GMS)

- **Step 1.** Using an established Internet account, go to <a href="www.ojp.usdoj.gov/fundopps.htm">www.ojp.usdoj.gov/fundopps.htm</a>. An online Applicant Procedures handbook is available on this page, and applicants may link directly to OJP's Grants Management System (GMS), which will provide online help screens. Note: Applicants without an Internet account should call the GMS Hotline at 1-888-549-9901 for assistance.
- **Step 2.** Click on "Logon to the Grants Management System (GMS)
- **Step 3.** Follow the on-screen instructions. First time users should click on "New User? Register Here." Applicants who already have a GMS password should click on "GMS Signin." Proceed to the FY 2004 BJS National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP) Program Announcement, and begin working on it. Applicants will receive e-mail confirmation from BJS that they are eligible to submit an application within 7 days.

**Plan ahead.** Applicants can register at any time and are encouraged to do so as soon as possible. **Please note** that applicants must ensure that the information for the authorizing official and alternate contact is entered correctly. The authorizing official is the individual authorized to accept grant funds in your organization. If the individual applying online is not the signing authority, that individual must list the authorizing official's name and contact information where appropriate.

- **Step 4.** Complete the online Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) by providing the required information in the Overview, Applicant Information, and Project Information screens.
- **Step 5.** Complete the application by electronically "accepting" the Assurances and Certifications and submitting the three required file attachments: Budget Detail Worksheet, Program Narrative, and Other Program Attachments. (See the Application checklist on the previous page and application requirements in the Program Announcement for detailed instructions about the information to include in each of these attachments.) **Please note that applicants must upload one file per attachment. Only the most current file uploaded to the appropriate attachment will be saved as part of the application.** All sections of each attachment must be completed for your application to be considered for funding.

**Submit your completed application online.** The GMS system will notify the applicant that the application has been received and sent to BJS and provide an application identification number for future reference.

**Questions or Problems:** Applicants who have questions should refer to the online handbook or access applicable help screens. If the questions cannot be addressed by accessing the online GMS reference tools, call the GMS Hotline at 1-888-549-9901.

## Application Checklist FY 2004 National Criminal History Improvement Program

All applications must be electronically submitted through the Office of Justice Programs' Grants Management System (GMS), which can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/fundopps.htm">http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/fundopps.htm</a>. Please use this checklist to make sure your application is complete. Your GMS application must include:

	, i Oillis		
		cation for Federal Assistance (Standard Form 424). This form is generated by eting the on-screen Overview, Applicant Information, and Project Information screens S.	
		ances and Certifications. The assurances and certifications must be reviewed cepted electronically by the authorizing official or the designated authorizing official.	
Attach	nments	(3)	
	Works include Summ	et Detail Worksheet and Budget Summary (Attachment #1). The Budget Detail sheet must present a detailed budget that itemizes all proposed costs and must e a budget narrative that provides justification for all proposed costs. A Budget eary should also be included (with the 10% State match clearly outlined in the detail neet and summary).	
	<b>Program Narrative (Attachment #2).</b> The Program Narrative must include the following sections (see NCHIP Program Announcement FY 2004 pgs. 19-21 for specific information that should be included in each section):		
		Accomplishments	
		Current Status of Program Goals (including involvement in FBI National Systems)	
		Description of Tasks to be funded (dollar amounts should be included for each task) Specifically address each of the Priority areas.	
		Unexpended Funds - current amount of unexpended NCHIP funds and a statement indicating when remaining funds will be spend.	
	Other	Program Attachments (Attachment #3). All attachments must be in one file.	
		Letters of support/commitment from the courts if no funds are going to the courts.	
		Statement/Memo indicating funds are going to the courts (including amount of funds).	
		Letter to the State Information Technology Point of Contact describing current application request.	

Please refer to corresponding sections in the Program Announcement to determine specific content of each of these sections.

Online Forms