NHTSA's Initial Evaluation of Child Side Impact Protection – Update

Allison E. Louden, NHTSA February 6, 2009





Takata Side Impact Sled

- Sled pulse ½ sine with pk~28g
- Reach pk. velocity in 250 mm
- Sled velocity 20 mph, based on small vehicle FMVSS 214 tests (door accelerometers)
- Honeycomb stiffness
- Door padding stiffness
 - Takata's foam (stiffer)
 - Ethafoam type (softer)
- Lateral (0°) impact angle; option to change impact angle



eeting – February 20

Initial CRS SI Sled Testing

Previously presented at 2008 Government Industry meeting

- Two series of tests with Q3s dummy
- Sled at two impact angles 0° and 10°
- Five different CRS models
- Takata sled exhibited good repeatability
- Able to distinguish between carseat models using injury levels



Sled Tests at Varying Impact Angles

Test at 0°, 10°, 15° and 20° Impact Angle
Rotated Takata sled buck relative to HYGE impactor





Sled Tests at Various Impact Angles

Selected 3 of previous 5 CRS models tested

- Graco SafeSeat Step2
- Evenflo Triumph
- Maxi-Cosi Priori
 - Did not select Graco Logico M (lack of availability) nor Safety 1st All-in-One CRS (due to head contact at 10° impact angle)

Selection primarily based on side wing design

- SafeSeat Step2 and Maxi-Cosi Priori wings essentially perpendicular to the CRS seatback
- Evenflo Triumph wings slightly more angled outward from seat back



CRS Side Wing Designs



Graco SafeSeat Step2



Evenflo Triumph





15° Impact Angle



Graco SafeSeat Step2

Maxi-Cosi Priori



20° Impact Angle



Graco SafeSeat Step2

Evenflo Triumph



HIC₁₅ Values for Angled Sled Tests



Neck Tension for Angled Sled Tests

Neck Tension Q3s Dummy



Spine y-axis Acceleration for Angled Sled Tests



Pelvis y-axis Acceleration for Angled Sled Tests



Chest Displacement for Angled Sled Tests



Angled Sled Test Summary

- Upper torso and head increasingly rotated forward in CRS as impact angle increased
- Increased neck tension appeared to be due to
 - increased impact angle
 - CRSs' wing design
- Spine and pelvis y-axis accelerations
 - highest at 0° impact angle for the SafeSeat Step2 and Triumph
 - virtually identical across the impact angle range for Maxi-Cosi Priori

Impact angle appeared to have minimal effect on lateral chest displacement for the 3 CRS models



CRS SI Crash Test Objectives

 Conduct CRS near-side full-scale FMVSS No. 214 side impacts to obtain more accurate info:
Amount of door intrusion

- Door velocity at time of CRS/dummy contact
- Amount of vehicle rotation at CRS/dummy location
- Dummy responses to evaluate sled test severity and parameters

Determine similarities between crash tests and sled tests



CRS SI Crash Tests

'08 Nissan Sentra & '08 Nissan Versa One Sentra test ■ FMVSS No. 214D test conditions One Sentra and two Versa tests ■ impact point 228.6 mm (9 inches) rearward of that specified in FMVSS No. 214D in effort to more directly load door at occupant location Graco SafeSeat Step2 and Maxi-Cosi Priori seats Q3s (near-side) and Hybrid III 3Cs (far-side)

Crash Tests Compared to Sled Tests





CRS Locations Relative to Door Panel / Armrest



Sentra Struck Side Door Panel

Versa Struck Side Door Panel





- Sled and crashed vehicle responses comparable
- Dummy and CRS kinematics in crash tests similar to those in sled tests
 - Armrest issue needs further investigation
- Some dummy responses similar, while others differed, between sled and crash tests
- Additional evaluation of results required to refine side impact sled test parameters



For additional inquiries, contact

Allison E. Louden allison.louden@dot.gov (937) 666-4511

Lisa K. Sullivan lisa.sullivan@dot.gov (937) 666-4511





Thank You





