periodically through the program, but no more than approximately ten minutes apart that the program is a paid advertisement for the product or service.

The proposed consent order also requires respondents to pay the Federal Trade Commission \$500,000. The funds paid by the respondents shall, in the discretion of the Federal Trade Commission, be used to provide direct redress to consumers. If the Federal Trade Commission determines that consumer redress is impracticable or otherwise unwarranted, any funds not so used shall be paid to the United States Treasury.

The remaining parts of the proposed consent order require the respondents (1) to maintain promotional and substantiation materials related to the claims covered by the order, (2) to deliver a copy of the order to all current and future principals, officers, directors, and managers, and deliver a summary of the order to all current and future employees, agents, and representatives having responsibilities with respect to the subject matter of this order, (3) to notify the Commission of any changes in the structure of the proposed corporate respondent, (4) to notify the Commission of any changes in employment of the individual respondent, and (5) to file one or more compliance reports.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed consent order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–1360 Filed 1–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 942-3278]

Tru-Vantage International, L.L.C.; Analysis to Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 23, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: C. Steven Baker or Russell W. Damtoft, Federal Trade Commission, Chicago Regional Office, 55 East Monroe St., Suite 1860, Chicago, IL 60603. (312) 353–8156.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for January 13, 1998), on the World Wide Web, at "http:// www.ftc.gov/os/actions/htm." A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H–130, Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-3627. Public comment is invited. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted an agreement, subject to final approval, to a proposed consent order from respondent Tru-Vantage International, L.L.C.

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for sixty (60) days for reception of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After sixty (60) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take other appropriate action or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter concerns an efficacy claim made regarding Howard Berg's Mega Reading promoted by respondent. The Commission's complaint charges that respondent, in concert with Howard S. Berg and Kevin Trudeau, made a false and unsubstantiated claim that Howard Berg's Mega Reading is successful in teaching anyone, including adults, children and disabled individuals, to significantly increase their reading speed while substantially comprehending and retaining the material.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to remedy the violations charged and to prevent the respondent from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. The proposed order extends to any product or program purported to significantly increase one's reading speed.

Part I of the proposed consent order prohibits the respondent from representing that Howard Berg's Mega Reading, or any substantially similar product, is successful in teaching anyone, including adults, children and disabled individuals, to increase their reading speed above 800 words per minute while substantially comprehending and retaining the material. Part II of the proposed order prohibits the respondent from representing the performance, benefits, or efficacy of any product or program purported to significantly increase one's reading speed, unless the representation is substantiated.

The remaining parts of the proposed consent order require the respondent to maintain promotional and substantiation materials related to the claims covered by the order, to provide a copy of the order to designated parties having responsibilities with respect to the subject matter of this order, to notify the Commission of any changes in the company structure, and to file one or more compliance reports.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed consent order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary. [FR Doc. 98–1364 Filed 1–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request Entitled Nondiscrimination in Federal Financial Assistance Programs

AGENCY: Office of Equal Employment Opportunity, GSA.