The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than July 21, 1998.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (Randall C. Sumner, Vice President) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2034:

1. National City Bancshares, Inc., Evansville, Indiana; to acquire Princeton Federal Bank, FSB, Princeton, Kentucky, and thereby engage in the activities of operating a thrift, pursuant to § 225.28(b)(4) of Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, July 1, 1998.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 98–17946 Filed 7–6–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

TIME AND DATE: 11:00 a.m., Monday, July 13, 1998.

PLACE: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, 20th and C Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20551. STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.
- 2. Any items carried forward from a previously announced meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Lynn S. Fox, Assistant to the Board; 202–452–3204.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: You may call 202–452–3206 beginning at approximately 5 p.m. two business days before the meeting for a recorded announcement of bank and bank holding company applications scheduled for the meeting; or you may contact the Board's Web site at http://www.bog.frb.fed.us for an electronic announcement that not only lists applications, but also indicates procedural and other information about the meeting.

Dated: July 2, 1998.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 98–18142 Filed 7–2–98; 3:42 pm] BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 981-0173]

Global Industrial Technologies, Inc.; Analysis to Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Krauss, FTC/H–383, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326–2713.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pusuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for June 26, 1998), on the World Wide Web, at "http:// www.ftc.gov/os/actions97.htm." A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H-130, Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580, either in person or by calling (202)326-3627. Public comment is invited. Such commenters or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its

principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") has accepted, subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Order ("Agreement") from Global Industrial Technologies, Inc. ("proposed respondent").

The proposed Order has been placed on the public record for sixty (60) days for reception of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After sixty (60) days, the Commission will again review the Agreement and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the Agreement or make final the Agreement's proposed Order.

The Commission's investigation of this matter concerns the proposed acquisition by Global of all of the outstanding shares of AP Green Industries, Inc. ("AP Green") through a cash tender offer. Global and AP Green are two leading U.S. manufacturers of refractories. Refractories are heatresistant materials used to line furnaces in industries that involve the heating or containment of solids, liquids, or gases at high temperatures. The Commission's proposed complaint alleges that Global and AP Green compete with each other in the United States market for glassfurnace silica refractories. Glass-furnace silica refractories are used in the glass industry to build the roofs and other portions of glass-melting furnaces.

The Agreement Containing Consent Order would, if finally accepted by the Commission, settle charges that the acquisition may substantially lessen competition in the production and sale of glass-furnace silica refractories in the United States and lead to a monopoly in that line of commerce. The Commission has reason to believe that the acquisition agreement violates Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the acquisition would have anticompetitive effects and would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act if consummated. unless an effective remedy eliminates such anticompetitive effects.

The Commission's Complaint alleges that glass-furnace silica refractories provide unique characteristics, and that as a result, the use of these materials would not be diminished by even a large price increase. The Complaint further alleges that imports of glass-furnace silica refractories are small.

Global and AP Green are the only two producers of glass-furnace silica refractories in the United States, and entry of other producers is unlikely and would be time consuming. The Commission's Complaint alleges that the proposed acquisition, which would result in a monopoly in the United States, would lessen competition by eliminating competition between Global and AP Green, and would lead to higher prices and less product innovation.

The proposed Order accepted for public comment contains provisions that would require Global to divest AP Green's glass-furnace silica refractories business to Robert R. Worthen and Dennis R. Williams (jointly or through a corporation called Utah Refractories Corp.) in a manner that receives the prior approval of the Commission within 30 days of the date the proposed Order was accepted for public comment, or if such divestiture fails, to another buyer that receives the prior approval of the Commission in a manner that receives the prior approval of the Commission within 90 days of the date the proposed Order was accepted for public comment. The divestiture includes the AP Green manufacturing plant located in Lehi, Utah, where AP Green produces silica refractories, together with the sources of raw materials used to manufacture silica refractories and all other assets relating to the research, development, production, sale, or distribution of silica refractories, but excluding AP Green's manufacturing facility in Sproul, Pennsylvania. Global's divestiture of the AP Green silica refractories business, if completed, would satisfy the requirements of the Order and remedy the lessening of competition alleged in the Complaint.

If Global fails to divest AP Green's silica refractories business within 90 days of the date the proposed Order was accepted for public comment, then the Commission may appoint a trustee to divest AP Green's silica refractories business, or, at the option of the trustee, Global's Northeast, Maryland manufacturing plant, where Global produces silica refractories, together with the sources of raw materials used to manufacture silica refractories and all other assets relating to the research, development, production, sale, or distribution of silica refractories, but excluding Global's manufacturing facility in Calhoun, Georgia.

The Order also contains a provision requiring Global to maintain the viability and marketability of the Global and AP Green silica refractories businesses pending the divestiture.

The consent is crafted to preserve the current competitive state of the U.S. market for glass-furnace silica refractories. The consent will maintain the AP Green silica plant as an independent supplier of glass-furnace silica refractories for U.S. customers. Thus, there will continue to be two domestic sources of the product, as there were prior to the proposed merger.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed Order. Comments should also be directed to whether the pre-approved buyers, Robert R. Worthen and Dennis R. Williams and their corporation, Utah Refractories Corp., will be financially viable and able to replace the competition lost by this acquisition. This analysis is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the Agreement or the proposed Order or in any way to modify the terms of the Agreement or the proposed Order.

Benjamin I. Berman,

Acting Secretary.
[FR Doc. 98–17933 Filed 7–6–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 972-3157]

Herbal Worldwide Holdings Corp., et al.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis To Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 6th St. and Pa. Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Carter or Susan Arthur, Dallas Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, 100 N. Central Expressway, Suite 500, Dallas, TX. 75201. (214) 979–9350. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned

consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days. The following Analysis To Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for June 26, 1998), on the World Wide Web, at "http:// www.ftc.gov/os/actions97.htm." A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H-130, Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-3627. Public comment is invited. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted an agreement, subject to final approval, to a proposed consent order from Herbal Worldwide Holdings Corp., José Diaz, and Eduardo N. Naranjo (hereinafter "respondents"). Respondents are marketers of an overthe-counter weight loss product called "Fattaché."

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for sixty (60) days for the reception of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After sixty (60) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and any comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take other appropriate action or make final the agreement's proposed order.

This matter has focused on respondents' Spanish-language television advertisement for Fattaché. The ingredients in Fattaché include psyllium, chitosan, glucomannan, and apple pectin.

The proposed complaint alleges that respondents made unsubstantiated claims that: (1) Fattaché causes weight loss without a change in diet: (2) Fattaché prevents the absorption of ingested fat; (3) Fattaché helps eliminate ingested fat before it is absorbed, and (4) testimonials from consumers appearing in advertisements for Fattaché reflect the typical or ordinary experience of