By Hand

Mr. Donald S. Clark Secretary Federal Trade Commission Room H-159 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20580 WASHINGTON
701 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Suite 600
Washington DC
20004-2692

T+1 202 777 4500 Direct T+1 202 777 4521

F+1 202 777 4555 E andrea.agathoklis@ freshfields.com W freshfields.com

April 6, 2004

Re: In the Matter of Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc., et al., FTC Docket No. 9314

Dear Mr. Clark:

Enclosed please find an Errata Sheet to Respondent Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc.'s First Request for Admissions to Complaint Counsel.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (202) 777-4521, or MJ Moltenbrey at (202) 777-4560.

Very truly yours,

Andrea M. Agathoklis

Andrea M. Agathallis

2|2

cc: Hon. D. Michael Chappell

Administrative Law Judge
Federal Trade Commission

Jeffrey W. Brennan, Esq. Markus H. Meier, Esq. David M. Narrow, Esq. Complaint Counsel Federal Trade Commission

**Enclosures** 

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

PIEDMONT HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC., a corporation,

and

PETER H. BRADSHAW, M.D.,
S. ANDREWS DEEKENS, M.D.,
DANIEL C. DILLON, M.D.,
SANFORD D. GUTTLER, M.D.,
DAVID L. HARVEY, M.D.,
JOHN W. KESSEL, M.D.,
A. GREGORY ROSENFELD, M.D.,
JAMES R. THOMPSON, M.D.,
ROBERT A. YAPUNDICH, M.D.,
and WILLIAM LEE YOUNG III, M.D.,
individually

Docket No. 9314

# ERRATA SHEET TO RESPONDENT PIEDMONT HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC.'S FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL

Counsel for Respondents Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc., et. al. hereby file an errata sheet to the Respondent Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc.'s First Request for Admissions to Complaint Counsel. In the version filed on April 2, 2004, Respondents' counsel inadvertently failed to insert the number "29" in Request for Admission Number 8. In its entirety, Request 8 will read:

#### "Request 8:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, the information that PHA provided to its physician members referred to in paragraph 29 of the Complaint reflected PHA's lowest priced fee schedules."

Respondents counsel hereby submits a revised version thus correcting the error-

Date: April 6, 2004

By: 1

Mary Jean Moltenbrey

Andrea M. Agathoklis

FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER LLP

701 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20004-2692 Email: paul.yde@freshfields.com mj.moltenbrey@freshfields.com andrea.agathoklis@freshfields.com

Tel: (202) 777-4500 Fax: (202) 777-4555

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT PIEDMONT HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC., et. al.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Andrea M. Agathoklis, hereby certify that on April 6, 2004:

I caused two copies of Respondents' Errata Sheet to Respondent Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc.'s First Request for Admissions to Complaint Counsel, including attachments, to be served by hand delivery upon the following person:

Hon. D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission Room H-104 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

I caused two copies of Respondents' Errata Sheet to Respondent Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc.'s First Request for Admissions to Complaint Counsel, including attachments, to be served by hand delivery upon the following person:

Office of the Secretary Federal Trade Commission Room H-159 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

I caused two copies of Respondents' Errata Sheet to Respondent Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc.'s First Request for Admissions to Complaint Counsel, including attachments, to be served via electronic mail transmission and followed by U.S. mail delivery to the following persons:

Jeffrey Brennan, Esq Markus H. Meier, Esq. David Narrow, Esq. Complaint Counsel Federal Trade Commission 601 New Jersey Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Andrea M. Agathoklis

# RESPONDENT PIEDMONT HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC.'S FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL

DOCUMENT CONTAINING
MINOR REVISION and CORRECTION IN REQUEST NUMBER 8

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

PIEDMONT HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC., a corporation,

and

PETER H. BRADSHAW, M.D.,
S. ANDREWS DEEKENS, M.D.,
DANIEL C. DILLON, M.D.,
SANFORD D. GUTTLER, M.D.,
DAVID L. HARVEY, M.D.,
JOHN W. KESSEL, M.D.,
A. GREGORY ROSENFELD, M.D.,
JAMES R. THOMPSON, M.D.,
ROBERT A. YAPUNDICH, M.D.,
and WILLIAM LEE YOUNG III, M.D.,
individually

Docket No. 9314

## RESPONDENT PIEDMONT HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC.'S FIRST REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL

Pursuant to FTC Rules of Practice 3.32, Respondent Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc., ("PHA") requests that Complaint Counsel respond in writing to the following requests for admissions within 10 days of the service of these requests.

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1. Complaint Counsel is instructed to provide a sworn written answer or objection to each Request for Admission ("RFA") below. If an objection is made, the reasons therefore shall be stated. The answer shall specifically admit or deny the matter or set forth in detail the reasons why Complaint Counsel cannot truthfully admit or deny the matter. An answer shall fairly meet the substance of the requested admission, and when good faith requires that Complaint Counsel qualify its answer or deny only a part of the matter on which an admission is requested, Complaint Counsel shall admit so much of the RFA as is true and qualify or deny the remainder.
- 2. Complaint Counsel may not give lack of information or knowledge as a reason for failure to admit or deny unless Complaint Counsel states that it has made reasonable inquiry and that the information known to or readily obtainable by Complaint Counsel is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny.
- 3. If Complaint Counsel considers that a matter of which an admission has been requested presents a genuine issue for trial, Complaint Counsel may not, on that ground alone, object to the request, Complaint Counsel may nay deny the matter or set forth reasons why Complaint Counsel cannot admit or deny it.
- 4. Any matters not responded to within 20 days of service of this request will be deemed admitted.
- 5. Whenever a RFA is stated in the conjunctive, it shall also be taken in the disjunctive, and vice versa.

- 6. Whenever a RFA is stated in the singular, it shall also be taken in the plural, and vice versa.
- 7. This is a continuing request for admissions. If after making your initial production you obtain or become aware of any further information responsive to this request, you are required to provide supplemental responses.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

- 1. The term "Complaint Counsel," "FTC" or "you" means the Federal Trade Commission, its staff, attorneys, economists, paralegals, employees and other agents.
- 2. The term "Respondents" means Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc., Peter H. Bradshaw, M.D., S. Andrews Deekens, M.D., Daniel C. Dillon, M.D., Sanford D. Guttler, M.D., David L. Harvey, M.D., John W. Kessel, M.D., A. Gregory Rosenfeld, M.D., James R. Thompson, M.D., Robert A. Yapundich, M.D., and/or William Lee Young, III, M.D.
- 3. The term "Piedmont Health Alliance" or "PHA" means Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc., its current and former directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, predecessors, affiliates, partnerships, and joint ventures, as well as its constituent bodies, divisions, committees, and councils.
- 4. The term "payor" means any person who purchases, reimburses for, or otherwise pays for health care services for itself or for any other person or that administers such purchase, reimbursement, or payment, including health insurance companies, preferred provider organizations, health maintenance organizations, government health benefit programs,

employers that provide health benefits to employees, unions that provide health benefits to members, and third-party administrators of health benefits claims.

- The term "Unifour area" means the area comprised of Alexander, Burke, Caldwell and Catawba counties of North Carolina.
- 6. The term "and/or" shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively as applicable under the circumstances to provide the broadest possible scope to the request for production.
- 8. The term "Bonus Plan Contract" means contracts between PHA and self funded employers in which PHA physicians share financial risk, e.g., PHA's current contract with Hickory Springs.
- 7. The term "Modified Messenger Model" means the contracting method used by PHA that is described in paragraphs 28-31 of the Complaint.

#### **REQUESTS FOR ADMSSIONS**

#### Request 1:

Admit that the legality of PHA's Bonus Plan Contracts is not being challenged in this adjudicative proceeding.

#### Request 2:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, each PHA member only received information about the fees that those individual practices would have received under previous payer contracts.

#### Request 3:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, no PHA member received information about fees that other PHA physician members received under prior payer contracts.

#### Request 4:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, PHA physician members submitted different low and high minimum prices to PHA than were submitted by other physician members.

#### Request 5:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, PHA physician members submitted different high minimum prices to PHA.

#### Request 6:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, PHA physician members within particular specialties, submitted different low minimum prices to PHA.

#### Request 7:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, PHA physician members within particular specialties, submitted different high minimum prices to PHA.

#### Request 8:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, the information that PHA provided to its physician members referred to in paragraph 29 of the Complaint reflected PHA's lowest priced fee schedules.

#### Request 9:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, PHA doctors who submitted minimum prices that exceeded a payer's initial proposal did not know whether the payer would permit them to later accept that proposal.

#### Request 10:

Admit that PHA's computer algorithm which matches payer offers to PHA physician members' minimum prices is an acceptable method of establishing a competitive equilibrium under the joint DOJ/FTC HealthCare Guidelines.

#### Request 11:

Admit that United is satisfied with the current level of PHA member participation in its contract.

#### Request 12:

Admit that Cigna is satisfied with the current level of PHA member participation in its contract.

#### Request 13:

Admit that the information referenced in paragraph 29 of the Complaint that PHA provided to its physician members included PHA's lowest priced contracts.

Date: April 6, 2004

By: Andrea M. Agath LL. Yde

Mary Jean Moltenbrey Andrea M. Agathoklis

FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER LLP

701 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20004-2692 Email: paul.yde@freshfields.com mj.moltenbrey@freshfields.com andrea.agathoklis@freshfields.com

Tel: (202) 777-4500 Fax: (202) 777-4555

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT PIEDMONT HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC., et. al.

# RESPONDENT PIEDMONT HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC.'S FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL

ORIGINAL AS FILED

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### **RECEIPT COPY**

In the Matter of

PIEDMONT HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC., a corporation,

and

PETER H. BRADSHAW, M.D.,
S. ANDREWS DEEKENS, M.D.,
DANIEL C. DILLON, M.D.,
SANFORD D. GUTTLER, M.D.,
DAVID L. HARVEY, M.D.,
JOHN W. KESSEL, M.D.,
A. GREGORY ROSENFELD, M.D.,
JAMES R. THOMPSON, M.D.,
ROBERT A. YAPUNDICH, M.D.,
and WILLIAM LEE YOUNG III, M.D.,
individually

Docket No. 9314

O4 APR -2 PM 4: 58

### RESPONDENT PIEDMONT HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC.'S FIRST REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL

Pursuant to FTC Rules of Practice 3.32, Respondent Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc., ("PHA") requests that Complaint Counsel respond in writing to the following requests for admissions within 10 days of the service of these requests.

#### <u>INSTRUCTIONS</u>

- 1. Complaint Counsel is instructed to provide a sworn written answer or objection to each Request for Admission ("RFA") below. If an objection is made, the reasons therefore shall be stated. The answer shall specifically admit or deny the matter or set forth in detail the reasons why Complaint Counsel cannot truthfully admit or deny the matter. An answer shall fairly meet the substance of the requested admission, and when good faith requires that Complaint Counsel qualify its answer or deny only a part of the matter on which an admission is requested, Complaint Counsel shall admit so much of the RFA as is true and qualify or deny the remainder.
- 2. Complaint Counsel may not give lack of information or knowledge as a reason for failure to admit or deny unless Complaint Counsel states that it has made reasonable inquiry and that the information known to or readily obtainable by Complaint Counsel is insufficient to enable it to admit or deny.
- 3. If Complaint Counsel considers that a matter of which an admission has been requested presents a genuine issue for trial, Complaint Counsel may not, on that ground alone, object to the request, Complaint Counsel may nay deny the matter or set forth reasons why Complaint Counsel cannot admit or deny it.
- 4. Any matters not responded to within 20 days of service of this request will be deemed admitted.
- 5. Whenever a RFA is stated in the conjunctive, it shall also be taken in the disjunctive, and vice versa.

- 6. Whenever a RFA is stated in the singular, it shall also be taken in the plural, and vice versa.
- 7. This is a continuing request for admissions. If after making your initial production you obtain or become aware of any further information responsive to this request, you are required to provide supplemental responses.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

- The term "Complaint Counsel," "FTC" or "you" means the Federal Trade Commission, its staff, attorneys, economists, paralegals, employees and other agents.
- 2. The term "Respondents" means Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc., Peter H. Bradshaw, M.D., S. Andrews Deekens, M.D., Daniel C. Dillon, M.D., Sanford D. Guttler, M.D., David L. Harvey, M.D., John W. Kessel, M.D., A. Gregory Rosenfeld, M.D., James R. Thompson, M.D., Robert A. Yapundich, M.D., and/or William Lee Young, III, M.D.
- 3. The term "Piedmont Health Alliance" or "PHA" means Piedmont Health Alliance, Inc., its current and former directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, predecessors, affiliates, partnerships, and joint ventures, as well as its constituent bodies, divisions, committees, and councils.
- 4. The term "payor" means any person who purchases, reimburses for, or otherwise pays for health care services for itself or for any other person or that administers such purchase, reimbursement, or payment, including health insurance companies, preferred provider organizations, health maintenance organizations, government health benefit programs,

employers that provide health benefits to employees, unions that provide health benefits to members, and third-party administrators of health benefits claims.

- The term "Unifour area" means the area comprised of Alexander, Burke, Caldwell and Catawba counties of North Carolina.
- 6. The term "and/or" shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively as applicable under the circumstances to provide the broadest possible scope to the request for production.
- 8. The term "Bonus Plan Contract" means contracts between PHA and self funded employers in which PHA physicians share financial risk, e.g., PHA's current contract with Hickory Springs.
- 7. The term "Modified Messenger Model" means the contracting method used by PHA that is described in paragraphs 28-31 of the Complaint.

#### **REQUESTS FOR ADMSSIONS**

#### Request 1:

Admit that the legality of PHA's Bonus Plan Contracts is not being challenged in this adjudicative proceeding.

#### Request 2:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, each PHA member only received information about the fees that those individual practices would have received under previous payer contracts.

#### Request 3:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, no PHA member received information about fees that other PHA physician members received under prior payer contracts.

#### Request 4:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, PHA physician members submitted different low and high minimum prices to PHA than were submitted by other physician members.

#### Request 5:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, PHA physician members submitted different high minimum prices to PHA.

#### Request 6:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, PHA physician members within particular specialties, submitted different low minimum prices to PHA.

#### Request 7:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, PHA physician members within particular specialties, submitted different high minimum prices to PHA.

#### Request 8:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, the information that PHA provided to its physician members referred to in paragraph \_\_\_\_ of the Complaint reflected PHA's lowest priced fee schedules.

#### Request 9:

Admit that under PHA's Modified Messenger Model, PHA doctors who submitted minimum prices that exceeded a payer's initial proposal did not know whether the payer would permit them to later accept that proposal.

#### Request 10:

Admit that PHA's computer algorithm which matches payer offers to PHA physician members' minimum prices is an acceptable method of establishing a competitive equilibrium under the joint DOJ/FTC HealthCare Guidelines.

#### Request 11:

Admit that United is satisfied with the current level of PHA member participation in its contract.

#### Request 12:

Admit that Cigna is satisfied with the current level of PHA member participation in its contract.

#### Request 13:

Admit that the information referenced in paragraph 29 of the Complaint that PHA provided to its physician members included PHA's lowest priced contracts.

Date: April 2, 2004

Paul/L. Yde

Mary Jean Moltenbrey Andrea M. Agathoklis

FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER LLP

701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Suite 600

Washington, DC 20004-2692 Email: paul.yde@freshfields.com mj.moltenbrey@freshfields.com andrea.agathoklis@freshfields.com

Tel: (202) 777-4500 Fax: (202) 777-4501

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT PIEDMONT

HEALTH ALLIANCE, INC., et. al.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Andrea M. Agathoklis, hereby certify that on April 2, 2004:

I caused two copies of Respondents' Request for Admissions, to be served by hand delivery upon the following person:

Hon. D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission Room H-104 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

I caused two copies of Respondents' Request for Admission, to be served by hand delivery upon the following:

Office of the Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
Room H-159
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20580

I caused a copy of Respondents' Request for Admission to be served via electronic mail transmission and followed by U.S. mail delivery to the following person:

Markus H. Meier, Esq.
David Narrow, Esq.
Complaint Counsel
Federal Trade Commission
601 New Jersey Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Andrea M. Agathokiis

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I. Andrea M. Agathoklis, hereby certify that on April 2, 2004:

I caused two copies of Respondents' Request for Admissions, to be served by hand delivery upon the following person:

Hon. D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission Room H-104 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

I caused two copies of Respondents' Request for Admission, to be served by hand delivery upon the following:

Office of the Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
Room H-159
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20580

I caused a copy of Respondents' Request for Admission to be served via electronic mail transmission and followed by U.S. mail delivery to the following person:

Jeffrey Brennan, Esq Markus H. Meier, Esq. David Narrow, Esq. Complaint Counsel Federal Trade Commission 601 New Jersey Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Andrea M. Agathoklis