United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 09-1023

## September Term 2008

SEC-Rel34-59141

Filed On: May 7, 2009

Salvatore F. Sodano,

Petitioner

٧.

Securities and Exchange Commission,

Respondent

MANDATE
Pursuant to the provisions of Ped. R. App. Pro. 41(e)
1050ED: 0 6,25,09
BY: he wh
ATTACHED: Amending Order Opinion Order on Costs

BEFORE: Garland, Griffith, and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges

## <u>O R D E R</u>

Upon consideration of the motion to dismiss, the opposition thereto, and the reply, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion to dismiss be granted. The court of appeals has jurisdiction over final orders of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). <u>See</u> 15 U.S.C. § 78y(a)(1). As a general matter, agency orders remanding a matter for further administrative proceedings are interlocutory orders, because they do not meet the requirements of finality. <u>See Meredith v. Federal Mine Safety and Health Review</u> <u>Commission</u>, 177 F.3d 1042, 1047 (D.C. Cir. 1999). The SEC's remand order here does not fall within the exception to the final judgment rule under <u>Cohen v. Beneficial</u> <u>Industrial Loan Corp.</u>, 337 U.S. 541 (1949).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

## Per Curiam