IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, c/o Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530, Plaintiff, v. |)))) | Civil Action No. | 96 | 060 6 |
|---|------------------|------------------|----|--------------|
| AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING. INC. One ADP Boulevard Roseland, New Jersey 07068-1728, Defendant. |)))) | | | |

STIPULATION

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, that:

- attached to this Stipulation, on the Court's own motion or on the motion of any party at any time, and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, if Plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of judgment by serving notice of its withdrawal on Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. and filing that notice with the Court;
- (2) the Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. waives any objection to venue for purposes of this Final Judgment;

- in the event Plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatever and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding; and
- (4) the parties' execution of this Stipulation and the entry of the Final Judgment settles, discharges, and releases any and all claims of the plaintiff:
 - against the Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. for failure to comply with any provision of § 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18a, arising from Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc.'s 1995 acquisition of AutoInfo, Inc. assets and for failure to comply with § 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18a, by Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. failing to submit documents responsive to Item 4(c) in any Premerger Notification and Report Form filed on or before January 1, 1996;
 - (b) against any officer or director of the Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. for failure to comply with any provision of § 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18a, arising from Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc.'s 1995 acquisition of AutoInfo, Inc. assets and for failure to comply with § 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18a, by failing to submit documents responsive to Item 4(c) in any Premerger Notification Report Form filed by Defendant Automatic Data Processing, Inc. on or before January 1, 1996.

Dated: Much 25 , 1996.



FOR THE DEFENDANT AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING, INC.

James B. Benson
General Counsel/Corporate Vice President
Automatic Data Processing, Inc.
One ADP Boulevard
Roseland, New Jersey 07068
(201) 994-5000

FOR THE PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES

OF AMERICA:

Anne K. Bingaman

Assistant Attorney General

Lawrence Fullerton

Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Constance K. Robinson Director of Operations

Robert A. Potter

Chief, Legal Policy Section

Jack Sidorov Attorney

Department of Justice Antitrust Division Washington, D.C. 20530 William J. Baer

Director

Bureau of Competition

George S. Cary

Senior Deputy Director Bureau of Competition

M. Howard Morse

Assistant Director

Bureau of Competition

Eric D. Rohlck

Attorney

Bureau of Competition

Kenneth M. Davidson

Attorney

Bureau of Competition

Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C. 20580 (202) 326-2681