



Continuous Monitoring as a Service

CONOPS and Deliverables

*Presented by: Dr. George Moore
August 9, 2012*



Homeland
Security

Continuous Monitoring as a Service

Common Threads



Basic Process: D/A With nothing Procurement and Deliverables

- ▶ FEDSIM Schedules-based Acquisition
 - FedRAMP-like PMO Approval

- ▶ D/A Group Task Order Competition
 - D/As participate in requirements customization.
 - Technical Evaluation Team Participation
 - Based on D/A specific proposals
 - FedRAMP-like Provisional Authorization
 - D/A Decision on ATO

- ▶ Any Task Order under a Schedules solution will be FFP or T&M/LH



Sensors (Tools)

- ▶ Each CMaaS provider will be proposing a suite of tools.
- ▶ Selecting the CMaaS provider will also select that suite of sensors.
 - Except for D/A with existing sensors. The D/A may continue to use those.
- ▶ A standard dashboard will be provided (see next presentation).
 - Except D/As with existing dashboards may continue to use those.

Three Scenarios

- ▶ Basic Process – D/A with NO
 - dashboard or
 - sensors
- ▶ Advanced Process – D/A with EXISTING
 - dashboard and/or
 - sensors
- ▶ Micro Process – Micro D/As
- ▶ What did we miss??

Buying Group CONOPS

- ▶ To reduce cost of procurement (especially testing) propose have D/As buying CMaaS and sensors through a buying group.
- ▶ Groups would be formed by D/As (with DHS coordination) voluntarily, based on the following kinds of characteristics:
 - Similarity of Business: (e.g., Law Enforcement group)
 - Advantage: Similarity of data sensitivity
 - Disadvantage: Networks may be diverse
 - Similarity of Network Structure (e.g., device types, size, complexity?)
 - Advantage: Network similarity will simplify engineering
 - Disadvantage: May have variable data sensitivity.
 - Similarity of Business and Network Structure
 - Advantage: Seems to have the best of both
 - Possible Disadvantage: Too many groups = high task order cost.

Continuous Monitoring as a Service

Basic Process

D/A Without Dashboard or Sensors



Basic Process: D/A With nothing Services to be Received

- ▶ CMaaS Roles and Responsibilities
 - Provide D/A specific lifecycle documentation to each customer D/A.
 - Meet D/A specific environmental concerns.
 - Operate on existing D/A networks.
 - Install, Operate and Maintain -- Dashboard and Sensors
 - Meet standards for completeness and timeliness.
 - Ensure non-disruption of D/A network and operations.
 - Require minimum effort on the part of D/A to support sensors and dashboard.
 - Provide Training and Mentoring to D/A on “Governance”
 - Provide selected custom reports to D/A to support decision-making.
 - SECURE D/A CM data and do NOT unsecure D/A networks.



Basic Process: D/A With nothing Services to be Received

- ▶ DHS Roles and Responsibilities
 - Funding CMaaS tools and services.
 - Coordinate a FedRAMP-Like Certification and Accreditation.
 - Report CMaaS infrastructure to CyberScope.
 - Train CMaaS contractors on Federal CM Governance Model and how to customize this.
 - Oversee CMaaS as COTR to ensure adequate performance to D/As.
 - Receive and consider D/A performance feedback
 - Provide Dashboard for use in D/As.
 - Establish Baseline Federal Scoring and Grading Rules (and assess validity across D/As)
 - Assist D/As assess priorities and readiness for CM.
 - Reduce Cyberscope manual inputs.



Basic Process: D/A With nothing

D/A Roles and Responsibilities

- ▶ D/A Roles and Responsibilities
 - Participate in DHS led Risk and Readiness Assessments.
 - Participate in a CMaaS and Tool “buying group” with similar D/As.
 - Participate in Technical Evaluation.
 - Evaluate security plan and decide whether to grant ATO for D/A.
 - Initial Set-up
 - Provide meta-data about D/A organizations to identify IT responsibilities and IT customer roles.
 - Specify additional data grouping(s) that will make results most useful to the D/A at the operational level.
 - Identify who should have access to CM data based on those roles and responsibilities.
 - Allocate appropriate staff for TBD amount of training/mentoring in governance.
 - Establish a short “governance” process plan
 - On-Going
 - Operate a CM “governance” process to use the CM data to mitigate risk fixing the worst problems first. (See next slide.)
 - Optional: Produce ad hoc reports from dashboard data to facilitate decisions.



Basic Process: D/A With nothing Typical CM “Governance” Activities

- ▶ D/A Stakeholder Identification/Communication
- ▶ Adjusting Federal Scoring/Grading for D/A use (optional)
- ▶ No-Fault “Pilot” operation phase.
- ▶ Transition out of “No-Fault” Phase.
- ▶ D/A Level Decision Boards
 - Risk Transfers
 - New Risk Management
 - CM Issues and Coordination Team
 - Sensor Performance Measurement/Management
- ▶ LAN Manager Assistance.
 - Tiger Teams (as needed)
 - Help Desk (as needed)
- ▶ C&A Assistance
 - Assessments (as needed)
 - POA&Ms (as needed)
- ▶ Monthly CyberScope reporting effort will be significantly reduced (through automation).



Basic Process: Federal “Governance” Activities

- ▶ D/A Stakeholder Identification/Communication
- ▶ Assessing Risk/Priorities and D/A Readiness
- ▶ Designing Federal Scoring/Grading to compare D/As
 - Ensuring Fairness and transparency
 - Ensuring Validity and Reliability
- ▶ No-Fault “Pilot” operation phase - Transition out of “No-Fault” Phase.
- ▶ Federal Level Decision Boards
 - Risk Transfers
 - New Risk Management
 - Coordination with US-CERT, NCSD, etc.
 - CM Issues and Coordination Team
 - Sensor Performance Measurement/Management
 - Dashboard Performance/Usability Issues
 - Coordination with Standards and Policy (Across domains)



Basic Process: Federal “Governance” Activities

- ▶ D/A Manager Assistance.
 - Tiger Teams
 - Help Desk
 - User Group to Share Problems and Solution
 - Website to provide simple “How-to” Assistance
- ▶ C&A Assistance
 - Models for using CM in Assessments
 - Models for using Dashboards as POA&Ms
- ▶ Coordination with OIG/GAO to ensure program is understood and assists D/A with audit compliance
- ▶ Coordination with private sector and non-Federal government sector

Continuous Monitoring as a Service

Basic Process

D/A With Existing Dashboard and/or Sensors



Advanced Processes: D/A with Existing Dashboard

- ▶ D/A may continue to use existing dashboard.
 - Maintains own data feeds
 - Maintains/operates dashboard
 - Dashboard expected to meet “intent” of the Federal dashboard, but need not meet all operational and functional requirements.
 - Use Federal scoring changes to adjust D/A dashboard scores, as appropriate.
 - May choose to convert to provided dashboard in the future

- ▶ CMaaS provider will feed data to the provided dashboard
 - For these purposes (among others)
 - CyberScope reporting
 - D/A visibility into their “federal” grades
 - Coordination with CERT and other analysis.
 - Receiving Federal-level grading changes based on threat trend analysis and federal/industry situational awareness.
 - D/A and CMaaS decide whether to feed data from sensors or from D/A dashboard during task order competition.
 - D/A will make data visible in an acceptable format
 - CMaaS provider may be tasked to assist in data integration.



Advanced Processes: D/A with Existing Sensors

- ▶ D/A may continue to use existing sensors
 - Joint D/A-CMaaS plan developed during task order competition.
 - D/A will make data visible to dashboard in an acceptable format -- CMaaS provider may be tasked to assist in data integration.
 - Sensors expected to meet “intent” of the CM program sensors, but need not meet all operational and functional requirements.
 - CMaaS provider will provide missing sensors.
 - DHS/FNS will clear plan after verifying that these conditions are met.
 - D/A may choose to move to the CMaaS suite of sensors in the future if more cost-effective.



Continuous Monitoring as a Service

Micro Process
For Micro Agencies



Micro Process

- ▶ Obtain CMaaS through a micro-agency buying group (could be as many as three?)
 - Could join one or more larger D/As as well.
- ▶ Governance provided by custom designed service organization: (D/A choice)
 - Members of the group,
 - CMaaS Provider, and/or
 - Selected larger D/A group member or volunteer.

Micro Process

Micro-D/A Roles and Responsibilities

- ▶ D/A Roles and Responsibilities
 - Participate in a CMaaS and Tool “buying group” with similar D/As.
 - Participate in Technical Evaluation (optional)
 - Evaluate Security plan and decide whether to grant ATO for D/A (use FedRAMP PA)
 - Initial Set-up
 - Provide meta-data about D/A organizations to identify IT responsibilities and IT customer roles. [Minimal]
 - Specify additional data grouping(s) that will make results most useful to the D/A at the operational level. [Minimal]
 - Identify who should have access to CM data based on those roles and responsibilities. [Minimal]
 - Allocate appropriate staff for TBD amount of training/mentoring in governance. [Minimal]
 - Establish a short “governance” process plan. [Group]
 - On-Going
 - Operate a CM “governance” process to use the CM data to mitigate risk fixing the worst problems first. (See next slide.) [Group]
 - Optional: Produce ad hoc reports from dashboard data to facilitate decisions. [Minimal]



Micro Process

Typical Micro CM “Governance” Activities

- ▶ Stakeholder Identification/Communication [Minimal]
- ▶ Adjusting Federal Scoring/Grading for D/A use (optional) [Provided by Group]
- ▶ No-Fault “Pilot” operation phase. [Provided by Group]
- ▶ Transition out of “No-Fault” Phase. [Provided by Group]
- ▶ D/A Level Decision Boards [Provided by Group]
 - Risk Transfers
 - New Risk Management
 - CM Issues and Coordination Team
 - Sensor Performance Measurement/Management
- ▶ LAN Manager Assistance [Provided by Group]
 - Tiger Teams (D/A choice)
 - Help Desk (D/A choice)
- ▶ C&A Assistance [Provided by Group]
 - Assessments (D/A choice)
 - POA&Ms (D/A choice)
- ▶ Monthly CyberScope reporting effort will be significantly reduced (through automation).
[N/A as most don’t report now]

